



Corrigendum: The Influence of Unconscious Perceptual Processing on Decision-Making: A New Perspective From Cognitive Neuroscience Applied to Generation Z

Dolores Lucía Sutil-Martín^{1*} and Juan José Rienda-Gómez²

¹ Department of Business Economics, Rey Juan Carlos University, Móstoles, Spain, ² Department of Financial Economics and Accounting and Modern Language, Rey Juan Carlos University, Móstoles, Spain

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Luis Mañas-Viniegra,
Complutense University of
Madrid, Spain

*Correspondence:

Dolores Lucía Sutil-Martín
doloreslucia.sutil@urjc.es

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Organizational Psychology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 02 August 2021

Accepted: 11 August 2021

Published: 02 September 2021

Citation:

Sutil-Martín DL and Rienda-Gómez JJ
(2021) Corrigendum: The Influence of
Unconscious Perceptual Processing
on Decision-Making: A New
Perspective From Cognitive
Neuroscience Applied to
Generation Z.
Front. Psychol. 12:752308.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.752308

Keywords: cognitive neuroscience, unconscious perceptual processing, backward visual masking, generation Z, neuroticism, extroversion, introversion, logistic regression

A Corrigendum on

The Influence of Unconscious Perceptual Processing on Decision-Making: A New Perspective From Cognitive Neuroscience Applied to Generation Z

by Sutil-Martín, D. L., and Rienda-Gómez, J. J. (2020). *Front. Psychol.* 11:1728. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01728

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Table 6: Difference of proportions: Male_Female** as published. There are two different typographical errors. The right value of the sample proportion for Male_Female_C is 0.939394, and the sample size is 33. The corrected **Table 6: Difference of proportions: Male_Female** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Sutil-Martín and Rienda-Gómez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 6 | Difference of proportions: Male_Female.

Variable	N	Sample proportion
Male_Female_C	33	0.939494
Male_Female	308	0.594156
Difference = $p(\text{Male_Female_C}) - p(\text{Male_Female})$		
Estimate for difference		0.345238
95% CI for difference		(0.247080, 0.443396)
Test for difference = 0 (vs. not = 0):	Z = 6.89	P-Value = 0.000***
Fisher's exact test: P-value		0.000***

Sig. Codes: ***0.001.