



Corrigendum: A Paradigm for Matching Waking Events Into Dream Reports

JiaXi Wang¹, JingYu He¹, Ting Bin¹, HuiYing Ma¹, Jing Wan¹, XinQuan Li², XiaoLing Feng¹ and HeYong Shen^{1*}

¹ School of Psychology, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, ² School of Psychology, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, China

Keywords: actions, attribution, behavior, dreaming, emotions, incorporation, metaphor

A Corrigendum on

A Paradigm for Matching Waking Events Into Dream Reports

by Wang, J., He, J., Bin, T., Ma, H., Wan, J., Li, X., et al. (2020). Front. Psychol. 11:1430. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01430

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Roumen Kirov, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Bulgaria

> *Correspondence: HeYong Shen shenheyong@hotmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Psychopathology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 30 April 2021 Accepted: 10 May 2021 Published: 04 June 2021

Citation:

Wang J, He J, Bin T, Ma H, Wan J, Li X, Feng X and Shen H (2021) Corrigendum: A Paradigm for Matching Waking Events Into Dream Reports. Front. Psychol. 12:702950. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.702950 In the original article, there was an error in the abstract section, with an inaccurate expression for some results of this research.

A correction has been made to the abstract. The corrected abstract is shown below:

In this study, participants recorded their waking events (Personal significant events, PSEs/Major concerns, MCs) and dream reports for 7 days. These events and dreams were paired by the same day (216 PSEs-dreams pairs and 215 MCs-dreams pairs). Then participants were instructed to both find similar features (characters, objects, locations, actions, emotions, and themes) of their events-dreams pairs and give a match score of their events-dreams pairs. Besides, we proposed a method for independent judges to match waking events into dreams (the external ratings). The rating standard of the external-ratings was to look for similar behaviors between events and dreams. Based on this rating standard, three independent judges were instructed to rate participants' eventsdreams pairs. Firstly, we compared the two kinds of methods of self-ratings. Spearman correlations showed that the two methods were significantly correlated with each other. These results suggested that the sum of different kinds of similar features could be used to represent self-ratings reported of the degree of the correlation between a waking event and a dream. Regression correlations showed that for PSEs-dreams pairs, actions, emotions, and themes were similar features that affected the degree of the correlation between an event and a dream of the same day, and for MCs-dreams pairs, emotions, and themes were similar features that affected the degree of the correlation between an event and a dream of the same day. These results suggested that different kinds of similar features had different influence on the self-ratings' evaluation for the degree of matching between waking event and dream. Secondly, we compared the rating results of the self-ratings and the rating results of the external-ratings. Spearman correlations showed that the results of the self-ratings were significantly correlated with the results of the external-ratings. So this study's method for the external ratings may be suitable for future studies. Besides, as the external ratings of this study can

1

rate dream metaphors, we also made a short discussion on dream metaphors. Future studies can use the method to explore dream metaphors.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated. Copyright © 2021 Wang, He, Bin, Ma, Wan, Li, Feng and Shen. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.