



Corrigendum: Together Apart: The Mitigating Role of Digital Communication Technologies on Negative Affect During the COVID-19 Outbreak in Italy

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Patrícia Arriaga,
University Institute of Lisbon
(ISCTE), Portugal

*Correspondence:

Alessandro Gabbiadini
alessandro.gabbiadini@unimib.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Personality and Social Psychology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 27 April 2021

Accepted: 05 May 2021

Published: 26 May 2021

Citation:

Gabbiadini A, Baldissarri C, Durante F,
Valtorta RR, De Rosa M and
Gallucci M (2021) Corrigendum:
Together Apart: The Mitigating Role of
Digital Communication Technologies
on Negative Affect During the
COVID-19 Outbreak in Italy.
Front. Psychol. 12:701130.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.701130

Alessandro Gabbiadini^{1*}, Cristina Baldissarri², Federica Durante¹,
Roberta Rosa Valtorta², Maria De Rosa² and Marcello Gallucci²

¹ University of Milano-Bicocca, Department of Psychology – Mind and Behavior Technological Center, Milan, Italy, ² University of Milano-Bicocca, Department of Psychology, Milan, Italy

Keywords: COVID-19, social isolation, social support, digital technology, negative affect

A Corrigendum on

Together Apart: The Mitigating Role of Digital Communication Technologies on Negative Affect During the COVID-19 Outbreak in Italy

by Gabbiadini, A., Baldissarri, C., Durante, F., Valtorta, R. R., De Rosa, M., and Gallucci, M. (2020).
Front. Psychol. 11:554678. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.554678

Due to a clerical error, the values for the amount of technology use for business/school motives before the lockdown were reported incorrectly in **Table 2** and **Table 3**. The amended **Table 2** and **Table 3** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2021 Gabbiadini, Baldissarri, Durante, Valtorta, De Rosa and Gallucci. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 2 | Descriptive statistics and correlations among variables.

	α	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
1 Age	–	31.26	13.19																	
2 Gender	–	–	–	–0.163**																
3 Days of isolation	–	14.15	7.18	–0.206**	0.075															
4 Number of exits	–	2.36	1.63	0.358**	–0.089	–0.550**														
5 Number of persons living with	–	2.96	1.30	–0.283**	0.027	0.075	–0.118*													
6 House sqm	–	123.09	77.09	–0.106*	0.002	0.085	–0.055	0.357**												
7 Past technology use	–	1.75	0.55	0.168**	–0.149**	–0.013	0.012	–0.074	–0.030											
8 Amount of technology use	–	2.42	0.70	–0.196**	–0.021	0.037	–0.147**	–0.075	0.002	0.474**										
9 Past tech use for business/school	–	1.92	1.18	0.353**	–0.165**	–0.194**	0.233**	–0.158**	–0.113*	0.183**	0.056									
10 Frequency tech use for business/school	–	2.40	1.35	0.181**	–0.100*	–0.027	0.096*	–0.049	–0.002	0.116*	0.099*	0.562**								
11 Social support	0.89	5.53	0.96	0.115*	0.077	–0.039	–0.003	0.013	0.022	0.177**	0.162**	0.038	–0.014							
12 Loneliness	0.93	2.80	1.08	–0.249**	0.052	0.025	–0.085	0.034	0.006	–0.164**	–0.003	–0.078	–0.022	–0.507**						
13 State boredom	0.95	3.79	1.16	–0.367**	0.198**	0.114*	–0.145**	0.037	–0.011	–0.136**	0.078	–0.145**	–0.110*	–0.245**	0.617**					
14 State irritability	0.90	3.50	1.31	–0.399**	0.242**	0.117*	–0.140**	0.164**	0.030	–0.129**	0.089	–0.168**	–0.059	–0.250**	0.503**	0.685**				
15 State anger	0.90	2.65	1.23	–0.330**	0.196**	0.094*	–0.078	0.074	0.030	–0.072	0.091*	–0.102*	–0.059	–0.248**	0.502**	0.657**	0.733**			
16 State anxiety	0.84	4.48	1.23	–0.195**	0.301**	–0.024	–0.032	0.075	–0.023	–0.114*	0.041	–0.090	–0.063	–0.080	0.349**	0.571**	0.567**	0.565**		
17 Belongingness	0.80	4.53	1.01	0.187**	0.128**	–0.003	0.004	0.019	0.015	0.091	0.125**	0.056	0.029	0.428**	–0.311**	–0.230**	–0.223**	–0.213*	0.039	

Gender was coded 1 = males and 2 = females. *N* = 463; **p* < 0.05; ***p* < 0.01.

TABLE 3 | Significant results of simple and multiple linear regressions.

Predictor	Dependent variable	Model statistics	B	SE B	β	95%CI		p
						LL	UL	
Age	Social support	$R^2 = 0.062, F_{(9, 453)} = 3.31, p < 0.001$	0.010	0.004	0.135	0.002	0.017	=0.011
Gender			0.267	0.103	0.121	0.064	0.469	=0.010
Past technology use			0.310	0.082	0.179	0.150	0.471	<0.001
Age	Loneliness	$R^2 = 0.081, F_{(9, 453)} = 4.44, p < 0.001$	-0.020	0.004	-0.246	-0.029	-0.012	<0.001
Past technology use			-0.257	0.091	-0.131	-0.437	-0.078	=0.005
Age			-0.031	0.004	-0.352	-0.040	-0.022	<0.001
Gender	Boredom	$R^2 = 0.166, F_{(9, 453)} = 10.04, p < 0.001$	0.349	0.118	0.131	0.108	0.581	=0.003
Age			-0.033	0.004	-0.365	-0.041	-0.024	<0.001
Gender			0.470	0.118	0.174	0.238	0.702	<0.001
Age	Anger/irritability	$R^2 = 0.179, F_{(9, 453)} = 10.99, p < 0.001$	-0.014	0.005	-0.155	-0.024	-0.005	=0.003
Gender			0.777	0.128	0.275	0.525	1.028	<0.001
Age			0.019	0.004	0.243	0.011	0.027	<0.001
Gender	Belongingness	$R^2 = 0.077, F_{(9, 453)} = 4.203, p < 0.001$	0.406	0.108	0.174	0.193	0.619	<0.001

N = 463; Predictors: (Constant), Age, Gender, Days of isolation, Number of exits, Number of persons living with, House sqm, Past technology use, Past tech use for business/school, Frequency tech use for business/school. LL, lower limit; UL, upper limit.