



# Corrigendum: Validation of the Czech Version of the Relational Needs Satisfaction Scale

Martina Pourová<sup>1\*</sup>, Tomáš Riháček<sup>1\*</sup> and Gregor Žvelc<sup>2,3,4</sup>

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited and reviewed by:

Hyemin Han,  
University of Alabama, United States

### \*Correspondence:

Martina Pourová  
pourova.martina@mail.muni.cz  
Tomáš Riháček  
tomas.rihacek@gmail.com

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Health Psychology,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Psychology

**Received:** 09 April 2021

**Accepted:** 15 April 2021

**Published:** 12 May 2021

### Citation:

Pourová M, Riháček T and Žvelc G  
(2021) Corrigendum: Validation of the  
Czech Version of the Relational Needs  
Satisfaction Scale.  
Front. Psychol. 12:692929.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.692929

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychology, Faculty of Social Studies, Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia, <sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, Faculty of Arts, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia, <sup>3</sup> Department of Psychology, UP FAMNIT, University of Primorska, Koper, Slovenia, <sup>4</sup> Institute for Integrative Psychotherapy and Counselling, Ljubljana, Slovenia

**Keywords:** relational needs satisfaction scale, factor structure, measurement invariance, convergent validity, psychometrics

## A Corrigendum on

### Validation of the Czech Version of the Relational Needs Satisfaction Scale

by Pourová, M., Riháček, T., and Žvelc, G. (2020). *Front. Psychol.* 11:359.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00359

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Table 5** as published. In the published version, the variables in the leftmost column are presented in a wrong order. The correct order is as follows: GP-CORE; WHO-5; ECR-RS - Global avoidance; ECR-RS - Global anxiety. The corrected **Table 5** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2021 Pourová, Riháček and Žvelc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

**TABLE 5** | Convergent validity of RNSS.

	<b>Authenticity</b>	<b>Support and protection</b>	<b>Having an impact</b>	<b>Shared experience</b>	<b>Initiative from the other</b>	<b>Overall score</b>
GP-CORE	-0.56	-0.47	-0.38	-0.44	-0.37	-0.61
WHO-5	0.48	0.37	0.36	0.37	0.32	0.51
ECR-RS: Global avoidance	-0.50	-0.48	-0.27	-0.39	-0.40	-0.58
ECR-RS: Global anxiety	-0.36	-0.21	-0.28	-0.23	-0.32	-0.39

*RNSS, Relational Needs Satisfaction Scale; ECR-RS, Experiences in Close Relationships – Relationships Structure; WHO-5, Well-Being Index; GP-CORE, Clinical Outcome Measure in Routine Evaluation – General Population; N = 423. All correlations were significant at  $p < 0.001$ .*