



Corrigendum: The Main Dimensions of Sport Personality Traits: A Lexical Approach

Reinout E. De Vries*

Department of Experimental and Applied Psychology/Institute of Brain and Behavior Amsterdam, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Keywords: sport personality traits, lexical study, HEXACO, Big Five, sport and leisure activities

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Maurizio Bertollo,
G. d'Annunzio University of
Chieti–Pescara, Italy

*Correspondence:

Reinout E. De Vries
re.de.vries@vu.nl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Movement Science and Sport
Psychology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 11 February 2021

Accepted: 30 March 2021

Published: 13 May 2021

Citation:

De Vries RE (2021) Corrigendum: The
Main Dimensions of Sport Personality
Traits: A Lexical Approach.
Front. Psychol. 12:666906.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.666906

A Corrigendum on

The Main Dimensions of Sport Personality Traits: A Lexical Approach

by De Vries, R. E. (2020). *Front. Psychol.* 11:2211. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.02211

In the original article, there were errors in **Tables 4** and **5** (and in Tables S4.1 through S4.6 in the Supplemental File) as published. Instead of using the natural logarithm (LN) to transform the odd ratios into Cohen's *d*, the common logarithm (LOG) was used. Consequently, all estimates of Cohen's *d* in **Tables 4** and **5** (and Supplemental Tables S4.1 through S4.6) are underestimates of the true values. The corrected **Tables 4** and **5** and the Supplementary Tables S4.1 through S4.6 to the original article has been updated.

The author apologizes for these errors and states that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2021 De Vries. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 4 | Logistic regression of the most practiced sports and leisure activities on background and sport personality traits ($N = 555$).

	Fitness ($n = 149$)			Running ($n = 131$)			Soccer ($n = 122$)		
	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	d
Background									
1. Gender (0 = F, 1 = M)	0.88	(0.59–1.32)	–0.07	1.61*	(1.04–2.48)	0.26	11.91**	(6.17–23.01)	1.37
2. Age	0.98**	(0.96–0.99)	–0.01	0.98*	(0.97–1.00)	–0.01	0.99	(0.97–1.01)	–0.01
3. Education (1 = Lo thru 3 = Hi)	1.20	(0.91–1.58)	0.10	1.15	(0.86–1.53)	0.08	0.48**	(0.34–0.67)	–0.41
Sport personality traits									
4. Friendly Fairness	1.38	(0.79–2.40)	0.18	0.94	(0.53–1.65)	–0.04	0.90	(0.46–1.75)	–0.06
5. Resilience	1.01	(0.62–1.66)	0.01	0.96	(0.55–1.68)	–0.02	0.69	(0.35–1.37)	–0.20
6. Agility	1.13	(0.57–2.25)	0.07	1.69	(0.82–3.48)	0.29	0.98	(0.43–2.23)	–0.01
7. Drive	1.34	(0.70–2.59)	0.16	2.09*	(1.03–4.23)	0.41	1.48	(0.68–3.22)	0.22
8. Perfectionism	0.89	(0.45–1.80)	–0.06	0.51	(0.23–1.12)	–0.37	4.69**	(1.92–11.47)	0.85
9. Inventiveness	0.39**	(0.20–0.75)	–0.52	1.14	(0.58–2.25)	0.07	1.05	(0.49–2.26)	0.03
Nagelkerke pseudo R^2	6.8%	9.2%	37.1%						
χ^2	26.71, $df = 9, p < 0.01$			35.03, $df = 9, p < 0.01$			153.58, $df = 9, p < 0.01$		

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.**TABLE 5** | Logistic regression of the most practiced sports and leisure activities on background and sport personality traits ($N = 555$).

	Solving puzzles ($n = 118$)			Swimming ($n = 103$)			Tennis ($n = 98$)		
	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	d
Background									
1. Gender (0 = F, 1 = M)	0.32**	(0.20–0.52)	–0.62	0.26**	(0.16–0.43)	–0.75	0.72	(0.45–1.16)	–0.18
2. Age	1.07**	(1.05–1.09)	0.04	1.00	(0.98–1.02)	0.00	1.01	(1.00–1.03)	0.01
3. Education (1 = Lo thru 3 = Hi)	0.96	(0.71–1.31)	–0.02	1.08	(0.79–1.48)	0.04	1.43*	(1.03–1.98)	0.20
Sport personality traits									
4. Friendly Fairness	1.12	(0.58–2.15)	0.06	1.45	(0.76–2.76)	0.20	1.43	(0.75–2.74)	0.20
5. Resilience	0.84	(0.48–1.46)	–0.10	1.10	(0.63–1.93)	0.05	0.61	(0.32–1.16)	–0.28
6. Agility	1.02	(0.46–2.26)	0.01	0.91	(0.41–2.00)	–0.05	4.05**	(1.74–9.42)	0.77
7. Drive	0.66	(0.30–1.43)	–0.23	0.91	(0.42–2.01)	–0.05	0.72	(0.32–1.63)	–0.18
8. Perfectionism	1.58	(0.69–3.60)	0.25	0.80	(0.35–1.82)	–0.12	1.50	(0.63–3.55)	0.22
9. Inventiveness	0.82	(0.38–1.75)	–0.11	1.40	(0.67–2.93)	0.19	0.86	(0.41–1.83)	–0.08
Nagelkerke pseudo R^2	22.1%	11.2%	7.9%						
χ^2	85.13, $df = 9, p < 0.01$			39.77, $df = 9, p < 0.01$			27.16, $df = 9, p < 0.01$		

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.

TABLE S4.1 | Logistic regression of practicing fitness on background, HEXACO personality, and sport personality trait variables ($N = 449$).

	Fitness ($n = 125$)					
	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	D
Background						
1. Gender (0 = F, 1 = M)	0.78	(0.48–1.26)	–0.14	0.79	(0.48–1.30)	–0.13
2. Age	0.98*	(0.97–1.00)	–0.01	0.98*	(0.96–1.00)	–0.01
3. Education (1 = Lo thru 3 = Hi)	1.22	(0.89–1.69)	0.11	1.22	(0.88–1.70)	0.11
Personality						
4. Honesty-Humility	1.43	(0.84–2.42)	0.20	1.21	(0.68–2.13)	0.11
5. Emotionality	1.15	(0.66–1.98)	0.08	1.05	(0.60–1.85)	0.03
6. Extraversion	1.17	(0.71–1.94)	0.09	1.20	(0.69–2.10)	0.10
7. Agreeableness	0.75	(0.43–1.31)	–0.16	0.81	(0.46–1.43)	–0.12
8. Conscientiousness	0.93	(0.52–1.68)	–0.04	0.84	(0.45–1.59)	–0.10
9. Openness to Experience	0.92	(0.56–1.52)	–0.05	1.10	(0.64–1.88)	0.05
Sport personality traits						
10. Friendly Fairness				1.43	(0.75–2.75)	0.20
11. Resilience				0.89	(0.51–1.58)	–0.06
12. Agility				1.32	(0.60–2.90)	0.15
13. Drive				1.45	(0.69–3.07)	0.20
14. Perfectionism				0.92	(0.40–2.09)	–0.05
15. Inventiveness				0.32**	(0.15–0.70)	–0.63
Nagelkerke pseudo R^2	4.7%	8.1%				
χ^2	14.75, $df = 9$, $p = 0.10$	25.97, $df = 15$, $p = 0.04$				

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.**TABLE S4.2** | Logistic regression of practicing running on background, HEXACO personality, and sport personality trait variables ($N = 449$).

	Running ($n = 104$)					
	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	d
Background						
1. Gender (0 = F, 1 = M)	1.71*	(1.01–2.90)	0.30	1.46	(0.84–2.51)	0.21
2. Age	0.98*	(0.96–0.99)	–0.01	0.98	(0.96–1.00)	–0.01
3. Education (1 = Lo thru 3 = Hi)	1.20	(0.84–1.71)	0.10	1.30	(0.90–1.87)	0.14
Personality						
4. Honesty-Humility	0.86	(0.50–1.48)	–0.08	0.97	(0.54–1.75)	–0.02
5. Emotionality	0.90	(0.50–1.62)	–0.06	0.90	(0.49–1.66)	–0.06
6. Extraversion	1.84*	(1.06–3.21)	0.34	1.41	(0.77–2.58)	0.19
7. Agreeableness	0.57	(0.31–1.05)	–0.31	0.55	(0.30–1.04)	–0.33
8. Conscientiousness	0.47*	(0.25–0.89)	–0.42	0.47*	(0.23–0.94)	–0.42
9. Openness to Experience	0.91	(0.53–1.57)	–0.05	0.82	(0.46–1.47)	–0.11
Sport personality traits						
10. Friendly Fairness				1.05	(0.54–2.06)	0.03
11. Resilience				0.94	(0.48–1.82)	–0.03
12. Agility				1.51	(0.66–3.49)	0.23
13. Drive				2.22 [†]	(0.97–5.08)	0.44
14. Perfectionism				0.69	(0.27–1.73)	–0.20
15. Inventiveness				1.09	(0.47–2.50)	0.05
Nagelkerke pseudo R^2	9.7%	13.2%				
χ^2	29.89, $df = 9$, $p < 0.01$	41.01, $df = 15$, $p < 0.01$				

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; [†] $p = 0.059$.

TABLE S4.3 | Logistic regression of playing soccer on background, HEXACO personality, and sport personality trait variables ($N = 449$).

	Soccer ($n = 93$)					
	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	D
Background						
1. Gender (0 = F, 1 = M)	15.54**	(6.77–35.66)	1.51	15.07**	(6.30–36.04)	1.50
2. Age	0.99	(0.97–1.01)	–0.01	1.00	(0.98–1.02)	0.00
3. Education (1 = Lo thru 3 = Hi)	0.52**	(0.35–0.77)	–0.36	0.49**	(0.32–0.75)	–0.39
Personality						
4. Honesty-Humility	0.56	(0.30–1.05)	–0.32	0.66	(0.33–1.35)	–0.23
5. Emotionality	1.04	(0.53–2.06)	0.02	1.08	(0.52–2.24)	0.04
6. Extraversion	1.12	(0.59–2.14)	0.06	1.17	(0.55–2.49)	0.09
7. Agreeableness	1.39	(0.71–2.71)	0.18	1.37	(0.67–2.79)	0.17
8. Conscientiousness	1.42	(0.66–3.03)	0.19	0.86	(0.38–1.98)	–0.08
9. Openness to Experience	0.64	(0.34–1.22)	–0.25	0.47*	(0.23–0.96)	–0.42
Sport personality traits						
10. Friendly Fairness				1.10	(0.48–2.48)	0.05
11. Resilience				0.64	(0.28–1.45)	–0.25
12. Agility				1.02	(0.39–2.71)	0.01
13. Drive				0.92	(0.34–2.45)	–0.05
14. Perfectionism				9.16**	(3.05–27.47)	1.22
15. Inventiveness				0.68	(0.26–1.79)	–0.21
Nagelkerke pseudo R^2	32.7%	39.8%				
χ^2	105.38, $df = 9, p < 0.01$	131.73, $df = 15, p < 0.01$				

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.**TABLE S4.4** | Logistic regression of solving puzzles on background, HEXACO personality, and sport personality trait variables ($N = 449$).

	Solving Puzzles ($n = 98$)					
	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	d
Background						
1. Gender (0 = F, 1 = M)	0.32**	(0.18–0.58)	–0.63	0.33**	(0.18–0.59)	–0.61
2. Age	1.06**	(1.04–1.09)	0.03	1.06**	(1.04–1.09)	0.03
3. Education (1 = Lo thru 3 = Hi)	0.92	(0.65–1.31)	–0.05	0.90	(0.63–1.29)	–0.06
Personality						
4. Honesty-Humility	1.07	(0.56–2.04)	0.04	1.03	(0.52–2.06)	0.02
5. Emotionality	0.95	(0.49–1.84)	–0.03	0.95	(0.49–1.87)	–0.03
6. Extraversion	0.39**	(0.21–0.71)	–0.52	0.41**	(0.21–0.81)	–0.49
7. Agreeableness	1.57	(0.81–3.03)	0.25	1.55	(0.79–3.05)	0.24
8. Conscientiousness	1.44	(0.71–2.93)	0.20	1.35	(0.64–2.85)	0.17
9. Openness to Experience	1.52	(0.83–2.79)	0.23	1.51	(0.79–2.87)	0.23
Sport personality traits						
10. Friendly Fairness				0.96	(0.44–2.07)	–0.02
11. Resilience				1.07	(0.57–2.02)	0.04
12. Agility				0.77	(0.32–1.87)	–0.14
13. Drive				0.92	(0.39–2.19)	–0.05
14. Perfectionism				1.35	(0.51–3.57)	0.17
15. Inventiveness				1.02	(0.42–2.47)	0.01
Nagelkerke pseudo R^2	22.7%	23.0%				
χ^2	71.80, $df = 9, p < 0.01$	72.53, $df = 15, p < 0.01$				

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.

TABLE S4.5 | Logistic regression of practicing swimming on background, HEXACO personality, and sport personality trait variables ($N = 449$).

	Swimming ($n = 82$)					
	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	d
Background						
1. Gender (0 = F, 1 = M)	0.23**	(0.13–0.43)	–0.81	0.24**	(0.13–0.45)	–0.79
2. Age	1.01	(0.99–1.03)	0.01	1.00	(0.98–1.03)	0.00
3. Education (1 = Lo thru 3 = Hi)	1.09	(0.76–1.59)	0.05	1.09	(0.75–1.59)	0.05
Personality						
4. Honesty-Humility	1.19	(0.64–2.22)	0.10	1.10	(0.57–2.13)	0.05
5. Emotionality	0.93	(0.48–1.79)	–0.04	0.92	(0.48–1.79)	–0.05
6. Extraversion	1.53	(0.83–2.82)	0.23	1.60	(0.82–3.11)	0.26
7. Agreeableness	0.84	(0.43–1.65)	–0.10	0.86	(0.44–1.71)	–0.08
8. Conscientiousness	0.86	(0.43–1.72)	–0.08	0.84	(0.40–1.77)	–0.10
9. Openness to Experience	1.44	(0.79–2.63)	0.20	1.51	(0.80–2.87)	0.23
Sport personality traits						
10. Friendly Fairness				0.99	(0.47–2.12)	–0.01
11. Resilience				1.17	(0.62–2.22)	0.09
12. Agility				0.78	(0.32–1.92)	–0.14
13. Drive				0.98	(0.40–2.41)	–0.01
14. Perfectionism				1.02	(0.38–2.76)	0.01
15. Inventiveness				0.88	(0.36–2.15)	–0.07
Nagelkerke pseudo R^2	12.4%	12.7%				
χ^2	35.41, $df = 9$, $p < 0.01$	36.54, $df = 15$, $p < 0.01$				

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.**TABLE S4.6** | Logistic regression of playing tennis on background, HEXACO personality, and sport personality trait variables ($N = 449$).

	Tennis ($n = 79$)					
	Odds	95% CI	d	Odds	95% CI	d
Background						
1. Gender (0 = F, 1 = M)	0.78	(0.44–1.37)	–0.14	0.69	(0.38–1.24)	–0.20
2. Age	1.00	(0.98–1.02)	0.00	1.01	(0.99–1.03)	0.01
3. Education (1 = Lo thru 3 = Hi)	1.53*	(1.04–2.25)	0.22	1.54*	(1.03–2.30)	0.24
Personality						
4. Honesty-Humility	0.99	(0.53–1.82)	–0.01	1.23	(0.63–2.39)	0.11
5. Emotionality	0.88	(0.46–1.67)	–0.07	0.88	(0.45–1.74)	–0.07
6. Extraversion	0.99	(0.55–1.79)	–0.01	0.88	(0.46–1.71)	–0.07
7. Agreeableness	0.87	(0.45–1.66)	–0.08	0.72	(0.37–1.42)	–0.18
8. Conscientiousness	1.33	(0.67–2.65)	0.16	1.35	(0.63–2.87)	0.17
9. Openness to Experience	0.84	(0.47–1.53)	–0.10	0.71	(0.37–1.36)	–0.19
Sport personality traits						
10. Friendly Fairness				1.87	(0.85–4.13)	0.35
11. Resilience				0.57	(0.27–1.20)	–0.31
12. Agility				5.29**	(1.98–14.18)	0.92
13. Drive				0.48	(0.18–1.27)	–0.40
14. Perfectionism				1.25	(0.45–3.46)	0.12
15. Inventiveness				0.98	(0.39–2.46)	–0.01
Nagelkerke pseudo R^2	3.0%	9.5%				
χ^2	8.16, $df = 9$, $p = 0.52$	26.70, $df = 15$, $p = 0.03$				

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.