



The Role of Musical Aesthetic Emotions in Social Adaptation to the Covid-19 Pandemic

Pietro Sarasso, Irene Ronga*, Marco Neppi-Modona and Katuscia Sacco

BIP (Brain Plasticity and Behaviour Changes) Research Group, Department of Psychology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

Keywords: Covid-19, cognitive dissonance, uncertainty, music, neuroaesthetics, emotions, social change, aesthetic appreciation

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Niels Chr. Hansen,
Aarhus Institute of Advanced
Studies, Denmark

Reviewed by:

Martin Skov,
Copenhagen Business
School, Denmark
Jonna Katariina Vuoskoski,
University of Oslo, Norway
Diana Omigie,
Max-Planck-Gesellschaft
(MPG), Germany

*Correspondence:

Irene Ronga
irene.ronga@unito.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Auditory Cognitive Neuroscience,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 29 September 2020

Accepted: 01 February 2021

Published: 12 March 2021

Citation:

Sarasso P, Ronga I, Neppi-Modona M
and Sacco K (2021) The Role of
Musical Aesthetic Emotions in Social
Adaptation to the Covid-19 Pandemic.
Front. Psychol. 12:611639.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.611639

INTRODUCTION

During the coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) pandemic lockdown, people from all over the world shared all sorts of artistic experiences. Singing or playing music at the window is just a few examples of artistic activities that kept us feeling “together” while being apart. Why did we choose artistic expression, and especially music, to communicate with others during the quarantine? Should we regard this behavior only as a distraction, or is it possible that music evolved as a social tool to help us, perhaps unconsciously, to adapt in times of social distress? In other words, can music be considered as an adaptive form of artistic expression? Here, we will suggest that this may indeed be the case.

In times of greater uncertainty brought by unpredicted situations, such as those we lived during the Covid-19 outbreak (Baker et al., 2020), we are sometimes pushed to reduce our discomfort by conservatively dismissing alternative behaviors and avoiding information that disconfirms our previously acquired beliefs, behaviors, or cognitions. The tension produced by inter- or intrapersonally inconsistent (i.e., ambiguous) thoughts, attitudes, perceptions, or behaviors is what Festinger (1957) referred to as “cognitive dissonance.” Interestingly, the concept of cognitive dissonance has informed the public debate around lockdown measures and health policies in the USA during the Covid-19 pandemic. One of the founders of the cognitive dissonance theory, the social psychologist Elliot Aronson, commented that interpersonal cognitive dissonance might be “the motivational mechanism that underlies the reluctance to admit mistakes or accept scientific findings” (Aronson and Tavris, 2020). This aversive psychological drive triggers a series of dissonance reduction strategies (e.g., act rationalization, behavioral change, and denial of responsibility, trivialization) that can lead to either attitudinal change or attitudinal bolstering (Cancino-Montecinos et al., 2020). Reducing dissonance by rigidly dismissing alternatives can be potentially detrimental for both individual choices and behaviors as well as for the collective policy (Brady et al., 1995; Margolis et al., 2016). Conversely, the ability to adaptively modify our behavior to unexpected and surprising events is a fundamental human evolutionary conquest. However, during the pandemic, such an adaptive response required us “to live with uncertainty, [...] which involves living with the dissonance for a while rather than jumping immediately to a self-justification” (Aronson and Tavris, 2020). Recent accounts of cognitive dissonance (Kaaronen, 2018), framed within the predictive coding theory (Friston, 2010), associate our motivation for “dissonance reduction” (Festinger, 1957) with “prediction error reduction” (Friston, 2010), which, as we will explain thereafter, also plays an important role in the perceptual, aesthetic, and emotional dimensions of musical experience (Quiroga-Martinez et al., 2019). Prediction errors are transient states of uncertainty induced by mismatches between novel information and preexisting beliefs. The brain actively minimizes prediction errors either by adapting the sensory environment to our representation through (physical or mental) action or through learning by adapting

our representations to the sensory environment (Friston, 2010). The balance in the trade-off between these two possibilities determines dissonance reduction strategies (Kaaronen, 2018) and, as we will explain in the following paragraphs, can be influenced by the aesthetic attitude induced by musical experiences (Sarasso et al., 2020a).

As Festinger (1957) himself argued, social communication is a source of cognitive dissonance as well as a vehicle for reducing it (Matz and Wood, 2005). Here, we will review theories suggesting that the appreciation, production, and sharing of music might help individuals and societies to tolerate uncertainty and disturbing emotions, reduce cognitive dissonance in an adaptive way, and learn from the ever-changing environment. In other words, the aesthetic emotions prompted by music might improve and intensify communication, thereby allowing the emergence of collective strategies to reduce dissonance.

TOLERATING DISSONANT UNCERTAINTY: BEAUTY AND KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION

The relation between aesthetic emotions and learning/knowledge acquisition stems from the classical philosophical tradition. In his oeuvre *Poetics*, which is considered as a “learning and inference doctrine” (Tracy, 1946), Aristotle affirms: “The reason in delight in seeing a picture is that one is at the same time learning–gathering the meaning of things” (Tracy, 1946, p. 1). More recently, the aesthetic experience has been described as a cognitive process enhancing the attention toward the beautiful percept (Marković, 2012) and thus supporting the neglect of self-referred concerns (i.e., the Kantian notion of *disinterested interest*). This notion, later reformulated by Schopenhauer as a “will-less” mental state during aesthetic experiences, still influences recent developments in neuroaesthetics (Chatterjee and Vartanian, 2016). Similar interpretations of aesthetic experiences are also found in neuroaesthetic studies of music. Brattico and Pearce (2013) define an aesthetic experience of music “as one in which the individual immerses herself in the music, dedicating her attention to perceptual, cognitive and affective interpretation based on the formal properties of the perceptual experience.”

In our view, the hypothesized ability of aesthetic experience to transitorily free the beholders from “wanting” (Chatterjee and Vartanian, 2014; Kirsch et al., 2016) supports the re-orienting of attention toward knowledge acquisition (Menninghaus et al., 2017; Sarasso et al., 2020a). In our view, such an “aesthetic attitude” (Stolnitz, 1978) is fundamental in order to accept newly acquired knowledge and to update desired states in an ever-changing environment while embracing potentially disturbing or threatening novel sensations and emotions (Sarasso et al., 2020a). Aesthetic emotions might be fundamental to drive our ability to attune with reality and to fully embrace the “here and now” of perception (Menninghaus et al., 2017), an attitude that musicologists define as “openness to experience” (Mencke et al., 2019).

Interestingly, in agreement with the above-mentioned philosophical debate, recent experimental research has suggested

that music might serve as a social tool to tolerate cognitive dissonance, thereby helping individuals to adapt (Masataka and Perlovsky, 2012, 2013; Perlovsky, 2015). For example, a study involving 4-year-old children, by Masataka and Perlovsky (2012), showed that participants devalued a toy they were not allowed to play with. Interestingly, music exposure prevented this devaluation. Moreover, the same authors showed that cognitive interference in a “Stroop interference task” can be mitigated by consonant music and potentiated by dissonant music (Masataka and Perlovsky, 2013). Both findings suggest that music, when appreciated, might provide the necessary aesthetic reward to tolerate conflicting cognitive states and uncertainty (Masataka and Perlovsky, 2013).

AESTHETIC APPRECIATION IN THE PERCEPTION–ACTION CYCLE: EVIDENCE FROM NEUROIMAGING

The previously described knowledge-oriented (Biederman and Vessel, 2006) “aesthetic attitude” prompted by the expectation of aesthetic rewards (e.g., musical pleasure; Ferreri et al., 2019) is related to specific brain activations subserving the link between aesthetic emotions and knowledge acquisition (Schoeller and Perlovsky, 2016; Sarasso et al., 2020a).

More specifically, recent neurocomputational models suggest that aesthetic appreciation may represent the conscious feedback of successful minimization of prediction errors *via* the update of predictive representations of the environment (Schmidhuber, 2009; Van de Cruys and Wagemans, 2011; Schoeller and Perlovsky, 2016; Sarasso et al., 2020a). In other words, aesthetic pleasure arises in correspondence with the improvement of the predictions about the incoming sensory stimulation. In the case of music, sounds might become aesthetically rewarding because of the refinement of musical expectations (Hansen et al., 2017; Koelsch et al., 2019). This learning-driven aesthetic reward has been shown to be mediated by the frontal dopaminergic network (Ferreri et al., 2019). Neurophysiologically, the pattern of activation of these circuits overlaps with that elicited by informational gains (Schwartenbeck et al., 2016) and the refinement of representational models (Mencke et al., 2019) that are involved in music perception (Koelsch et al., 2019; Mencke et al., 2019). The aesthetic dopaminergic reward may therefore constitute the intrinsic motivation to learn something new (Ferreri et al., 2019), thus helping the individual to tolerate the risk arising from sensory and cognitive uncertainty and to focus on learning-oriented activities (i.e., refining mental predictive sensory models; Koelsch et al., 2019; Mencke et al., 2019).

Moreover, aesthetic appreciation correlates with enhanced activations in early sensory areas (including mirror activations; Nadal, 2013; Sarasso et al., 2019, 2020b) and motor inhibition (see Sarasso et al., 2020a for a review). During the perception of more appreciated musical sounds, as an example, automatic defensive motor responses to surprising (i.e., uncertainty arising) stimuli are inhibited (Brattico et al., 2013). While increased sensory activations are thought to reflect a knowledge-oriented

(Biederman and Vessel, 2006) attentional focusing (Vartanian and Goel, 2004; Nadal, 2013) on the object perceptual features, motor inhibition is crucial to slow down action production (Gallese, 2017; Sarasso et al., 2020a). In other words, transient states of motor inhibition free resources to update sensory representations in response to unexpected events (Wessel and Aron, 2017). Within a predictive coding framework, beauty might induce our brain to momentarily minimize prediction errors through representations update rather than action production. The suspension of previously acquired prototypical actions allows the planning of new motor responses on the basis of newly-acquired information (Sarasso et al., 2020a) and, at a phenomenological level, makes room for more intense emotions and sensations (Menninghaus et al., 2017). As Vittorio Gallese writes: “immobility, that is, a greater degree of motor inhibition, probably allows us to allocate more neural resources, intensifying the activation of bodily-formatted representations, and in so doing, making us adhere more intensely to what we are simulating” (Gallese, 2017, p. 48).

Such an emotional amplification triggered by musical aesthetic appreciation might involve the collective ability to learn and adapt (Bericat, 2016), especially when fast collective behavioral updates are vital. Group-level emotions are powerful predictors of policy support and guide social change (Halperin et al., 2013). Collective emotions accompany social action, and as evidenced by recent developments in social neuroscience, collective decisions often rest on emotional contagion (Bosse et al., 2013). Affect and emotions represent fast and parsimonious ways of representing the world in uncertain, complex situations, thereby guiding judgement, decision-making, and adaptive action (Damasio, 1996).

DISCUSSION

In summary, we believe that arts are not just hobbies, but as Hillman (1988) proposes, activities that might “challenge collective anesthesia.” According to the author, without this artistic function, we would become insensible toward each other and emotionally numb with respect to our environment. According to this view, the artistic experiences shared by

people from all over the world during the lockdown for the Covid-19 pandemic, rather than representing simple folkloric manifestations, might have served a specific social adaptation function. We propose, as a preliminary hypothesis, that the social sharing of emotions conveyed by music can help to tolerate and amplify novel uncertainty-arising affective signals, which in turn would enable the adaptive update of behaviors and beliefs (Eyerman and Jamison, 1995). This idea needs further experimental confirmation and is still lacking a unified understanding of the scientific results from various disciplines, from low-level sensory processes to higher and more complex social phenomena. At the level of collective decision-making and adaptive change, the role of music should be further analyzed under the hypothesis of a twofold effect: (a) the enhancement of interoceptive awareness of affective visceral states prompted by the sharing of emotions through communication tools, such as music (Liljeström et al., 2013), and (b) the reduction of the dissonance between conflicting attitudes, emotions, and cognitions (Masataka and Perlovsky, 2012), coherently with the hypothesized role of aesthetic emotions in our ability to tolerate uncertainty for the sake of knowledge acquisition (Sarasso et al., 2020a). Novel neuroscientific findings at the level of sensory cognition suggest that musical aesthetic emotions might allow us to better attune to environmental changes. If this preliminary evidence is confirmed and extended to higher socio-cognitive levels by future research, public policies should regard artistic training as a crucial educational activity that might shape more “open” societies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PS and IR wrote the article. MN-M and KS reviewed the article. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

PS, IR, and KS are supported by the grant Rehome n. 320-36 (Finpiemonte, Torino) to KS.

REFERENCES

- Aronson, E., and Tavris, C. (2020). *The Role of Cognitive Dissonance in the Pandemic*. The Atlantic. Available online at: <https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2020/07/role-cognitive-dissonance-pandemic/614074/> (accessed December 20, 2020).
- Baker, S., Bloom, N., Davis, S., and Terry, S. (2020). *COVID-Induced Economic Uncertainty*. NBER Working Paper. 26983. doi: 10.3386/w26983. Available online at: <https://www.nber.org/papers/w26983>
- Bericat, E. (2016). The sociology of emotions: four decades of progress. *Curr. Sociol.* 64, 491–513. doi: 10.1177/0011392115588355
- Biederman, I., and Vessel, E. (2006). Perceptual pleasure and the brain: a novel theory explains why the brain craves information and seeks it through the senses. *Am. Sci.* 94, 247–253. doi: 10.1511/2006.59.247
- Bosse, T., Hoogendoorn, M., Klein, M. C. A., Treur, J., van der Wal, C. N., and van Wissen, A. (2013). Modelling collective decision making in groups and crowds: integrating social contagion and interacting emotions, beliefs and intentions. *Auton. Agent. Multi. Agent. Syst.* 27, 52–84. doi: 10.1007/s10458-012-9201-1
- Brady, G. L., Clark, J. R., and Davis, W. L. (1995). The political economy of dissonance. *Public Choice*. 82, 37–51. doi: 10.1007/BF01047728
- Brattico, E., Brigitte, B., and Jacobsen, T. (2013). Toward a neural chronometry for the aesthetic experience of music. *Front. Psychol.* 4:206. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00206
- Brattico, E., and Pearce, M. (2013). The neuroaesthetics of music. *Psychol. Aesthetics, Creat. Arts*. 7, 48–61. doi: 10.1037/a0031624
- Cancino-Montecinos, S., Björklund, F., and Lindholm, T. (2020). A general model of dissonance reduction: unifying past accounts via an emotion regulation perspective. *Front. Psychol.* 11:3184. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.540081
- Chatterjee, A., and Vartanian, O. (2014). Neuroaesthetics. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 18, 370–375. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2014.03.003
- Chatterjee, A., and Vartanian, O. (2016). Neuroscience of aesthetics. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1369, 172–194. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13035

- Damasio, A. R. (1996). The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal cortex. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 351, 1413–1420. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1996.0125
- Eyerman, R., and Jamison, A. (1995). Social movements and cultural transformation: popular music in the 1960s. *Media Cult. Soc.* 17, 449–468. doi: 10.1177/016344395017003006
- Ferreri, L., Mas-Herrero, E., Zatorre, R. J., Ripollés, P., Gomez-Andres, A., Alicart, H., et al. (2019). Dopamine modulates the reward experiences elicited by music. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 3793–3798. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1811878116
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 127–138. doi: 10.1038/nrn2787
- Gallese, V. (2017). “Mirroring, a liberated embodied simulation and aesthetic experience,” in *Mirror Images. Reflections in Art and Medicine*, ed H. Hirsch and A. Pace (Kunstmuseum Thun: Verlag für moderne Kunst), 27–37.
- Halperin, E., Porat, R., Tamir, M., and Gross, J. J. (2013). Can emotion regulation change political attitudes in intractable conflicts? from the laboratory to the field. *Psychol. Sci.* 24, 106–111. doi: 10.1177/0956797612452572
- Hansen, N. C., Dietz, M. J., and Vuust, P. (2017). Commentary: predictions and the brain: how musical sounds become rewarding. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 11:168. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00168
- Hillman, J. (1988). The right to remain silent. *J. Humanist. Educ. Dev.* 26, 141–153. doi: 10.1002/j.2164-4683.1988.tb00322.x
- Kaaronen, R. O. (2018). A theory of predictive dissonance: predictive processing presents a new take on cognitive dissonance. *Front. Psychol.* 9:2218. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02218
- Kirsch, L. P., Urgesi, C., and Cross, E. S. (2016). Shaping and reshaping the aesthetic brain: emerging perspectives on the neurobiology of embodied aesthetics. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 62, 56–68. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.12.005
- Koelsch, S., Vuust, P., and Friston, K. (2019). Predictive processes and the peculiar case of music. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 23, 63–77. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2018.10.006
- Liljeström, S., Juslin, P. N., and Västfjäll, D. (2013). Experimental evidence of the roles of music choice, social context, and listener personality in emotional reactions to music. *Psychol. Music.* 41, 579–599. doi: 10.1177/0305735612440615
- Margolis, M. F., Berinsky, A., Carlos, R., De Benedictis-Kessner, J., Dunham, J., Gonzalez, A., et al. (2016). Cognitive dissonance, elections, and religion: how partisanship and the political landscape shape religious behavior. *Public Opin. Q.* 80, 717–740. doi: 10.1093/poq/nfw023
- Marković, S. (2012). Components of aesthetic experience: aesthetic fascination, aesthetic appraisal, and aesthetic emotion. *Iperception.* 3, 1–17. doi: 10.1068/i0450aap
- Masataka, N., and Perlovsky, L. (2012). Music can reduce cognitive dissonance. *Nat. Preced.* 3, 1–17. doi: 10.1038/npre.2012.7080.1
- Masataka, N., and Perlovsky, L. (2013). Cognitive interference can be mitigated by consonant music and facilitated by dissonant music. *Sci. Rep.* 3:2028. doi: 10.1038/srep02028
- Matz, D. C., and Wood, W. (2005). Cognitive dissonance in groups: the consequences of disagreement. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 88, 22–37. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.88.1.22
- Mencke, I., Omigie, D., Wald-Fuhrmann, M., and Brattico, E. (2019). Atonal music: can uncertainty lead to pleasure? *Front. Neurosci.* 12:979. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00979
- Menninghaus, W., Wagner, V., Hanich, J., Wassiliwizky, E., Jacobsen, T., and Koelsch, S. (2017). The distancing-embracing model of the enjoyment of negative emotions in art reception. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 40:e347. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X17000309
- Nadal, M. (2013). The experience of art. Insights from neuroimaging. *Prog. Brain Res.* 204, 135–158. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-63287-6.00007-5
- Perlovsky, L. (2015). Origin of music and embodied cognition. *Front. Psychol.* 6:538. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00538
- Quiroga-Martinez, D. R., Hansen, N. C., Højlund, A., Pearce, M. T., Brattico, E., and Vuust, P. (2019). Reduced prediction error responses in high- as compared to low-uncertainty musical contexts. *Cortex.* 120, 181–200. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2019.06.010
- Sarasso, P., Neppi-Modona, M., Sacco, K., and Ronga, I. (2020a). “Stopping for knowledge”: the sense of beauty in the perception-action cycle. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 118, 723–738. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.09.004
- Sarasso, P., Ronga, I., Kobau, P., Bosso, T., Artusio, I., Ricci, R., et al. (2020b). Beauty in mind: aesthetic appreciation correlates with perceptual facilitation and attentional amplification. *Neuropsychologia* 136:107282. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2019.107282
- Sarasso, P., Ronga, I., Pistis, A., Forte, E., Garbarini, F., Ricci, R., et al. (2019). Aesthetic appreciation of musical intervals enhances behavioural and neurophysiological indexes of attentional engagement and motor inhibition. *Sci. Rep.* 9:18550. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-55131-9
- Schmidhuber, J. (2009). Simple algorithmic theory of subjective beauty, novelty, surprise, interestingness, attention, curiosity, creativity, art, science, music, jokes. *J. Soc. Instrum. Control Eng.* 48, 21–31. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-02565-5_4
- Schoeller, F., and Perlovsky, L. (2016). Aesthetic chills: knowledge-acquisition, meaning-making, and aesthetic emotions. *Front. Psychol.* 7:1093. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01093
- Schwartenbeck, P., FitzGerald, T. H. B., and Dolan, R. (2016). Neural signals encoding shifts in beliefs. *Neuroimage* 125, 578–586. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.067
- Stolnitz, J. (1978). “The aesthetic attitude” in the rise of modern aesthetics. *J. Aesthet. Art Crit.* 36, 409–422. doi: 10.2307/430481
- Tracy, H. L. (1946). Aristotle on aesthetic pleasure. *Class. Philol.* 41:362919. doi: 10.1086/362919
- Van de Cruys, S., and Wagemans, J. (2011). Putting reward in art: a tentative prediction error account of visual art. *Iperception.* 2, 1035–1062. doi: 10.1068/i0466aap
- Vartanian, O., and Goel, V. (2004). Neuroanatomical correlates of aesthetic preference for paintings. *Neuroreport* 15, 893–897. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200404090-00032
- Wessel, J. R., and Aron, A. R. (2017). On the globality of motor suppression: unexpected events and their influence on behavior and cognition. *Neuron* 93, 259–280. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.013

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Sarasso, Ronga, Neppi-Modona and Sacco. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.