



# Erratum: Recursive fury: conspiracist ideation in the blogosphere in response to research on conspiracist ideation

## **Frontiers in Psychology Editorial Office\***

Frontiers, Lausanne, Switzerland

\*Correspondence: [psychology.editorial.office@frontiersin.org](mailto:psychology.editorial.office@frontiersin.org)

### **Edited by:**

Viren Swami, University of Westminster, UK

### **A correction on**

#### **Recursive fury: conspiracist ideation in the blogosphere in response to research on conspiracist ideation**

by Lewandowsky, S., Cook, J., Oberauer, K., and Marriott, M. (2013). *Front. Psychol.* 4:73. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00073

A correction implemented in the PDF file of the article was missed out in the HTML. Hence the PDF and full text HTML versions do not correspond.

Under the heading *Survey responses* “scammed” (1) the following sentence: The notion of “scamming” took center-stage in the blogosphere’s response to LOG12, although not all comments went so far as to suggest “...there are no ‘Human Subjects<sup>4</sup>.’” should read “The notion of ‘scamming’ took center-stage in the blogosphere’s response to LOG12.” and footnote 4 should have been removed.

Received: 08 April 2013; accepted: 08 April 2013;  
published online: 12 April 2013.

Citation: Frontiers in Psychology Editorial Office (2013) Erratum: Recursive fury: conspiracist ideation in the blogosphere in response to research on conspiracist ideation. *Front. Psychol.* 4:218. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00218

This article was submitted to *Frontiers in Personality Science and Individual Differences*, a specialty of *Frontiers in Psychology*.

Copyright © 2013 Frontiers in Psychology Editorial Office. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.