Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Drozdstoy Stoyanov Stoyanov, Plovdiv Medical University, Bulgaria

REVIEWED BY Ahmed Ameen Fateh, Shenzhen Children's Hospital, China Hua Yu, Hangzhou Seventh Peoples Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Frederik Buchholz Frederik.Buchholz@medizin.uni-leipzig.de

RECEIVED 10 July 2024 ACCEPTED 20 September 2024 PUBLISHED 11 October 2024

CITATION

Buchholz F, Meffert M, Bazin P-L, Trampel R, Turner R and Schönknecht P (2024) Highfield imaging of the subgenual anterior cingulate cortex in uni- and bipolar depression. *Front. Psychiatry* 15:1462919. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1462919

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Buchholz, Meffert, Bazin, Trampel, Turner and Schönknecht. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Highfield imaging of the subgenual anterior cingulate cortex in uni- and bipolar depression

Frederik Buchholz^{1*}, Martin Meffert¹, Pierre-Louis Bazin², Robert Trampel³, Robert Turner³ and Peter Schönknecht^{1,4,5}

¹Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, ²Full Brain Picture Analytics, Leiden, Netherlands, ³Department of Neurophysics, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig, Germany, ⁴Out-patient Department for Sexualtherapeutic Prevention and Forensic Psychiatry, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, ⁵Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatic, Saxon State Hospital Altscherbitz, Leipzig, Germany

Background: The subgenual Anterior Cingulate Cortex (sgACC), as a part of the Anterior Cingulate Cortex and the limbic system plays a crucial role in mood regulation. Previous structural and functional brain imaging studies of the sgACC have revealed alterations of Gray Matter (GM) volumes and Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent signals (BOLD) in patients with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) and Bipolar Disorder (BD), suggesting potential biomarker traits for affective disorders.

Method: In this study we investigated the gray matter volume of the sgACC in 3 different patient groups: 40 MDD patients, of which 20 were medicated (MDDm) and 20 were unmedicated (MDDu), and 21 medicated BD patients, and compared them with 23 healthy volunteers. We examined GM volume alteration using highresolution 7T Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) which produced quantitative maps of the spin-lattice relaxation time (T1). T1 maps provide high contrast between gray and white matter, and at 7 Tesla voxels with submillimeter resolution can be acquired in a reasonable scan time. We developed a semiautomatic segmentation protocol based on refined landmarks derived from previous volumetric studies using quantitative T1 maps as raw input data for automatic tissue segmentation of GM, WM and CSF (cerebrospinal fluid) tissue. The sgACC ROI was then superimposed on these tissue probability maps and traced manually by two independent raters (F.B., M.M.) following our semiautomatic segmentation protocol. Interrater reliability was calculated on a subset of 10 brain scans for each hemisphere, showing an Intra-Class Correlation coefficient (ICC) r = 0.96 for left sqACC and r = 0.84 for right sgACC respectively. In summary, we have developed a reproducible and reliable semi-automatic segmentation protocol to measure gray matter volume in the sqACC. Based on previous findings from meta-analyses on morphometric studies of the sqACC, we hypothesized that patients with MDD would have lower gray matter sgACC volumes compared to healthy subjects.

Results: Post-hoc analysis revealed smaller subgenual volumes for the left hemisphere in both the medicated (MDDm) and non-medicated (MDDu) group versus healthy controls (p = .001, p = .008) respectively. For the right hemisphere, the (MDDu) and BD group exhibited significantly lower subgenual volumes than healthy controls (p < .001, p = .004) respectively.

Conclusion: To our knowledge, this is the first morphometric MRI study using T1 maps obtained in high-resolution 7 Tesla MRI to compare MDD and BD patients with healthy controls.

KEYWORDS

major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, gray matter volume (GMV), subgenual ACC, psychopathology

1 Introduction

The human subgenual Anterior Cingulate Cortex (sgACC), a part of the rostral anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) located just below the genu of the corpus callosum (CC), is considered to be a gateway for fronto-limbic networks mainly involved in affective disorders, both major depressive disorder (MDD) and bipolar disorder (BD). The ACC can be divided into different subregions based on functional and histological differences, including receptor distribution (1-3). (4) found reduced numbers and densities of glia in MDD and BD in the subgenual part of Brodmann area 24 (BA24sg), but no change in neuronal numbers or neuronal density in post-mortem analysis. Similar results for MDD could be shown by (5), who found evidence for glia alterations and a small decrease in neuronal size while (6) reported reduction in neuronal soma size and increased neuronal density in the ACC. (7, 8) reported predominantly neuronal density reductions in BD patients. Metaanalyses conducted on structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies with region-of-interest (ROI) approach revealed reduced ACC volumes in both right and left ACC in patients with MDD compared to healthy controls (9, 10) or as reported by (11), mainly in antidepressant-free patients compared to healthy controls. Further evidence for reduction of gray matter in sgACC area by means of using Voxel-based Morphometry (VBM) analyses were shown by (12, 13). Attempts to define the functional connectivity of the sgACC using tractography analysis in Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) have suggested that the sgACC is highly connected to the amygdala, hypothalamus, nucleus accumbens and orbitofrontal cortex (14), (15). DTI studies on BD patients revealed an increased connectivity between left sgACC and left amygdalohippocampal complex (16), while (17) observed a cluster of decreased fractional anisotropy in right sgACC in BD patients. (18) showed in medication-naive patients with recurrent MDD a significant decrease in 5-HT1B receptor binding potential in the subgenual ACC (17% between-group difference), a serotonin receptor subtype with a potential role in the development of depressive Symptoms. The ACC and in particular the sgACC show alterations in functional studies in affective disorders. (19) found decreased blood flow and glucose metabolism in the sgACC as well as reduction in the gray matter in the left sgACC. Reduced blood flow in the sgACC was also shown in Positron Emission Tomography (PET) by (20), while functional MRI (fMRI) studies have reported hyperactivity in rostral ACC (21, 22) In addition, baseline hyperactivation in the sgACC was found to be predictive of treatment resistance and poor treatment outcomes (23). (24) observed elevated connectivity between the sgACC and the insula and also between sgACC and the amygdala in drug-naïve MDD patients. Increased connectivity between sgACC and dorsolateral frontal cortex (DLPFC), which correlates positively with the severity of depressive symptoms, was observed by (25) and the sgACC has been since an indirect target for repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) protocols in depression (26), albeit shown to account only for a modest treatment outcome in its current state (27). Studies in Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT), one of the most effective treatments for depression, particularly in treatment resistant depression, also found higher BOLD signal fluctuations (fALFF) in the sgACC area at baseline in depressed patients, which decreased over the course of ECT. In addition, the authors note that connectivity of sgACC with the hippocampus and other cortical areas was significantly reduced, suggesting that the antidepressant effect of ECT may be mediated by the downregulation of sgACC activity and connectivity (28). Finally, in clinical treatment the posteriorly adjacent subcallosal ACC is already an important site for deep brain stimulation (DBS) in the treatment of refractory depression (29, 30).

The aim of this study is to investigate gray matter volume among patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) and bipolar disorder (BD) (19), while benefiting from the higher resolution that 7T MRI provides over 1.5T or 3T MRI scanning.

We kept in line with previous clinical segmentation protocols using a region-of-interest (ROI) approach to measurement of the sgACC (19, 31). We hypothesized that subjects with MDD would have lower mean volumes in the subgenual ACC region compared to healthy controls. In addition, we applied the segmentation protocol to quantitative T1 maps obtained with the MP2RAGE sequence (32), a sequence in which contrast is obtained with two adiabatic inversion pulses and a low flip-angle gradient echo signal acquisition. In brain applications, T1 mapping sequences may have an advantage over other MRI sequences as they separate quantitative T1 from other MR parameters to characterize tissue properties such as myelin, iron, and water content (33). We applied our segmentation protocol to a sample of 40 MDD patients, of whom 20 were medicated (MDDm), 20 were unmedicated (MDDu), together with 21 medicated BD patients, and compared them with 23 healthy volunteers to examine for GM (gray matter) volume alteration. We hypothesized that subjects with MDD would have lower mean volumes in the subgenual ACC region compared to healthy controls.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study design and participants

The study design comprised four study groups with at least 20 subjects divided by diagnosis, ensuring sufficient test power (1-b = 0.80) for large-sized effects with an alpha error rate of 5% in a one-way ANOVA with fixed effects and four groups (34). Psychiatric inpatients and outpatients in a depressed mood state, aged 18 to 65 years (mean age 38 ± 12 years), Caucasian, were recruited through the University Hospital Leipzig. Two patient groups were medicated (MDDm and BD) while one MDD group (MDDu) received no psychopharmacological medication for at least three months prior to MRI. The study was approved by the local ethics committee of the university Leipzig, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the investigation, according to the declaration of Helsinki. From 107 subjects initially included in the study, 91

completed the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) (50) to establish axis I lifetime diagnoses, and 87 received a complete 7T MRI. Diagnoses were validated after 2-4 years, based on long-term clinical records, which required a switch of diagnosis for one patient (female, 20 years) from recurrent MDD to bipolar I disorder. This resulted in 84 subjects (20 MDDu, 20 MDDm, 21 BD, 23 healthy controls) being entered for volumetric analysis. Diagnosis of MDD according to the criteria of DSM-IV was assessed for each patient by clinical evaluation of a senior physician; symptom severity was measured using the structured interview SIGH-D17/IDS-C30 for Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS), Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) (35) and Bech Rafaelsen Melancholia Scale (BRMS) (36). In addition, the duration of illness, the duration of the current depressive episode and the number of episodes were recorded (see Table 1 below for a summary). Further information regarding demographics of the study groups and the clinical course of the patients can be found in (37). Exclusion criteria for patients were (1) a clinically significant neurological or other medical disorder (e.g. dementia or organic brain disorder, including history of traumatic brain injury), (2) current or previous addiction or substance abuse, (3) suicidality and (4) general MR imaging exclusion criteria.

2.2 Image acquisition and preprocessing

All Magnetic Resonance (MR) images were acquired using a 7T whole-body scanner (MAGNETOM 7T, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) as well as a 24 channel-NOVA coil (Nova Medical, Inc., Wilmington, MA, USA). A 3D Magnetization-Prepared 2 Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echoes sequence (MP2RAGE) (32) and a TR-FOCI pulse for inversion were applied (38). T1 maps were obtained using the following parameters: repetition time TR=8,25 s, inversion times Tl1/Tl2 = 1 s/3,3 s, flip angles (a1/a2) = 7°/5°, echo time (TE) = 2.51 ms,

TABLE 1 Comparison of the study groups regarding demographic and clinical characteristics.

	MDDm (n=20)	MDDu (n=20)	BD (n=21)	HC (n=23)	Group comparison (n=84) Test statistic	Correction for ICV (n=84) P-value
Sex (male/female)	8/12	7/13	9/12	9/14	v23, N=84 = 0.27	0.97
Age	36.2 ± 12.8	42.9 ± 10.8	39.3 ± 12.0	36.0 ± 12.8	$F_{3, 80} = 1.47$	0.23
Intracranial volume (liter)	1.54 ± 0.16	1.52 ± 0.15	1.53 ± 0.12	1.55 ± 0.12	$F_{3, 80} = 0.34$	0.80
Beck Depression Inventory II	26.4 ± 10.2	21.6 ± 10.6	22.5 ± 13.4	n.a.	$F_{2, 58} = 0.97$	0.38
Bech-Rafaelsen Melancholia Scale	16.3 ± 4.9	14.8 ± 7.9	18.6 ± 9.0	n.a.	$F_{2, 36} = 1.03^{w}$	0.37
Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression	17.5 ± 6.9	16.2 ± 9.7	19.5 ± 8.9	n.a.	F _{2, 58} = 0.78	0.46
Inventory of Depressive Symptomology	31.3 ± 12.4	30.9 ± 13.9	33.0 ± 15.9	n.a.	F _{2, 58} = 0.13	0.88
Years since 1 st episode	7.6 ± 10.4	13.3 ± 10.5	15.9 ± 10.6	n.a.	F _{2, 57} = 3.20	0.048*

*p ≤.05, ^AAN(C)OVA statistics, MDDm, Major Depressive disorder (medicated); MDDu, Major Depressive disorder (unmedicated); BD, Bipolar disorder; HC, healthy controls; ICV, Intracranial volume. The p values listed in the tables are not corrected for multiple testing using Bonferroni correction, n.a. not available, ^wWelch Statistic.

receiver bandwidth (BW) = 240 Hz/Px, 1 average. A field of view (FOV) of 224 mm x 224 mm x 168 mm and an imaging matrix of 320 x 320 x 240 resulted in a nominal acquisition voxel size of 0.7 mm isotropic. By accelerating the acquisition using parallel imaging (39) with an acceleration factor of two, a scan time of 18:02 minutes was achieved.

Nighres (40) (Version 1.4.0), a Python-based toolbox, was used for preprocessing of the uniform MP2RAGE images. The quantitative maps of the spin-lattice relaxation time (T1) were skullstripped for extracerebral tissue. Intracranial volume (ICV) was determined as the number of non-zero voxels after skullstripping. T1 maps were then used as raw input data for automatic tissue segmentation of Tissue Probability Maps (TPM) with three tissue compartments of GM, WM and CSF for both cortical hemispheres separately, following the MGDM and CRUISE cortical segmentation pipeline in Nighres (41). A simple ridge structure filter was used to enhance sulcal CSF contrast. All images and their respective TPM were then aligned to the standard orientation of Mai et al. (42) using LIPSIA software (43) with shifted linear interpolation (44).

2.3 Defining anatomical region of the sgACC ROI

In previous clinical applications, (19) defined the subgenual part of Brodmann's area 24 (sg24) as the first full gyrus inferior to the Corpus Callosum (CC), that is bound anteriorly by the vertical plane through the rostral tip of the genu corporis callosi. Posteriorly it is bound by the first coronal slice, in which the Capsula Interna (CI) separating the caudate from the putamen can be visualized. Furthermore, we followed the classification scheme implied in (3, 45) and defined the region of subgenual ACC primarily with reference to the boundaries depicted in the cytoarchitectonic maps for the subgenual region of Brodmann area 24a/b. As can be seen on the flat map in Figure 1 (1), there is a further subdivision within BA24 into three subregions 24a, 24b and 24c. While 24c is found in the perigenual area, our segmentation method intended to delineate subgenual regions s24a and s24b. In accordance with previous clinical applications (19), the anterior boundary of the subgenual ACC was adopted as the first slice of cortex beneath the rostral tip of the genu corporis callosi (CC). The superior boundary of the ROI was represented by callosal sulcus (CaS) delineating it from the adjacent BA 33, which can be found as a narrow strip of cortex along the inner bank of the callosal sulcus. BA 33 was excluded from the ROI as it is merely described to be a hippocampal embryological remnant mainly assigned to interoception and pain perception domains (46). The inferior boundary of the ROI was represented by the Cingulate Sulcus (CiS), and if the CiS did not extend caudally beyond the full subgenual area, a line of its expected course was extended to ensure a reliable boundary to adjacent region BA 32. The posterior boundary as described in (19) as the last slice of cortex before the Capsula Interna (CI) becomes visible and separates the caudate from the putamen could not be reliably implemented into our segmentation protocol, as the transition of the CI becoming clearly visible often occurred throughout multiple



FIGURE 1

"Flat map" of the medial surface of the human ACC showing the distribution of subregions and areas from a histological assessment based on a flattening procedure to allow a more accurate visualization of the examined cingulate areas as described in the text. The sulcal depths are noted with a homogeneous gray color. CC, corpus callosum; CG, cingulate gyrus; SCG, superior cingulate gyrus; SFG, superior frontal gyrus; cas, callosal sulcus; cgs, cingulate sulcus; pcgs, paracingulate sulcus. Reprinted with permission from 'Cytology and Receptor Architecture of Human Anterior Cingulate Cortex' by Palomero-Gallagher et al., doi 10.1002/cne.21684, Copyright © 2008 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

slices. Instead, we used the vertical extension of the Anterior Parolfactory Sulcus (APS) as a posterior boundary, which was previously described by (Ono et al. (47) and reaffirmed in post-mortem analysis (48) as a practical boundary to delineate subgenual cingulate (BA24) from the posterior subcallosal area (BA25), as it was also intended in (19) method. Although the APS is estimated to be missing in about 13-20% of cases (46, 48), we found that most of the times at least part of its vertical branch could be traced and analogous to (48) method we defined the posterior boundary of our ROI as the extension between the upper end of APS and its linear extension to the Rostrum. If, in some cases, the APS was not visible at all, a vertical extension from the Rostrum was utilized as maximum posterior limit.

2.4 sgACC segmentation procedure

Left and right sgACC volumes were measured according to our segmentation protocol (Document S1) using the publicly available Medical Image Processing and Visualization software (49) (MIPAV, Version 11.0.8) for interactive masking in triplanar view. Tissue probability maps (TPM) with a fixed intensity range of [0:4095] were superimposed on the native grayscale T1 images to allow clear visualization of distinct tissue compartments labeled (1) - GM, (2) -WM and transparent voxels corresponding to CSF, which allowed WM-defined tissue to be masked out before starting the segmentation procedure to prevent inadvertent segmentation of non-GM voxels. After initial anteroposterior compartmentalization of the sgACC-ROI, a rater's task was then to mark out the sgACC GM tissue using the anatomical landmarks and automatic segmentation boundaries defined in our segmentation protocol. Segmentation was performed in coronal view, slice by slice, in anterior-posterior direction. Subsequently, remaining GM voxels within the ROI were filled out in sagittal and axial planes. Finally, all masked voxels were reviewed in all three planes by fading in and out and compared to the underlying anatomy. To assess the reliability of the semi-automatic segmentation method for sgACC gray matter tissue on high-resolution 7T MRIs, a subsample of 10 brain scans with respect to even representation of age, sex and diagnostic group were preselected and segmented by two trained independent raters (F.B., M.M.), who were blinded to subject diagnosis. Subjects' datasets were randomly displayed either left-right mirrored or not mirrored ensuring that the rater worked on the left side of the screen during the entire segmentation procedure. There were constant working conditions regarding light, display settings, and zoom. An example of a segmented sgACC ROI in triplanar view can be seen on Figure 2. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), calculated from 10 subjects (10 left and 10 right sgACC) for the manual segmentation of sgACC volumes resulted in a value of r = 0.96on the left hemisphere and, r = 0.84 for right sgACC.

2.5 Data characteristics and statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS Statistics 29 (SPSS Inc. Released 2009. PASW Statistics for Windows, Chicago, USA). All tests were two-tailed and P-values below.05 were considered significant. Normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test and was confirmed (p > .05) for all variables except for age, BRMS, age of onset, number of depressive episodes, duration of illness, and duration of the current episode. We detected two unusually large sgACC volumes, one in the left UPU group (595mm³) and one in the right BD group (496mm³). For comparison of subgroup volumes, we performed one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with sgACC volumes as the dependent variable and diagnosis (20 MDDu, 20 MDDm, 21 BD, and 23 C) as the grouping variable covaried for intracranial volume (ICV). Given high intercorrelations between the left and the right sgACC volumes (r = .35, P = .001) and in order to analyse sgACC volumes for both hemispheres separately, a univariate approach was chosen. ANCOVA results obtained under inhomogeneity of variance (Levene Statistic, P <.05), were validated by partialling out the covariates and calculating a robust Welch Statistic for the residuals. Correlations with confounding variables related to sgACC volume are presented in Table 2. When there was a significant main effect of diagnosis, Post hoc group comparisons were evaluated against a Bonferroni-adjusted α level of significance set at p <.05 to correct for multiple comparisons. Finally, we explored relationships between our volumes and clinical characteristics. Correlations with depressive, non-depressive or overall episode counts were calculated using Pearson's r or Spearman's q for non-normally distributed data respectively. For correlations with clinical ratings, Kendall's τ was used to account for non-equidistant ordinal data. Homogeneity of (co)-variance was tested for all group comparisons using Brown-Forsythe test.



FIGURE 2

(A) coronal, (B) sagittal and (C) transversal view of T1 maps with segmented (green) sgACC ROI. Tissue probability maps (TPM) indicating different tissues types (for right hemisphere only): purple - GM, blue - WM, transparent - cerebrospinal fluid. Crosshair indicates superior, inferior, left and right direction.

TABLE	2	Impact	of	candidate	confounding	variables	on	the
sgACC	vo	lume.						

	left		right		
	Test statistic	p-value	Test statistic	p-value	
ICV	r = .12	0.29	r = .26	.016*	
Gender	t = 1.96	0.054	t = 1.48	0.143	
Age	<i>ρ</i> =05	0.645	$\rho = .015$	0.89	

*p \leq .05, ICV, Intracranial volume, Pearson's correlation coefficient r, Student's t for independent t-tests, Spearman's correlation coefficient ρ .

2.6 Classification-related issues

Macroscopic inter-individual differences in brain morphology, e.g. sulcal and gyral folding variability must be carefully considered to correctly assign the designated ROI to a corresponding functional Brodmann area. Particularly in the subgenual region, the cingulate sulcus (CiS) did not always extend sufficiently into the ventro-caudal direction of the ROI to serve as a reliable inferior boundary between s24 and s32. When this was the case, a line had to be traced as an approximation of its expected course to delineate s32 from our target region s24. In about 30-60% of cases, the CiS shows a double parallel distribution forming two cingulate gyri (50, 51). The inner one is defined as the main ACC, corresponding to BA 24, and the one located between the CiS and the outer pcgs (paracingulate sulcus) is considered to be the SCiG (superior cingulate gyrus) or paracingulate gyrus, corresponding to BA 32 (3, 52). Interpretation of sulcal structures became further complicated when in some cases the appearance of the intralimbic sulcus (ILS) superior and parallel to the CiS also gave the impression of a double-folded pattern. It was previously described by Vogt et al. (3) as a secondary cingulate sulcus (though not named) or by Paus et al. (51) as a shallow sulcus between the cingulate and callosal sulci. In their post-mortem analysis on 27 neurologically healthy individuals, (3) noted, that if the CiS or its secondary dimples closely approached the callosal sulcus, they usually separated area 24b dorsally from area 24a rostral to the genu. This sulcal variability can lead to systematic errors in the classification of these cytoarchitectonically defined sgACC areas. For example, if an intralimbic (secondary) sulcus is falsely classified as the cingulate sulcus delineating the ROI inferiorly, the defined area would only include subgenual BA 24a, but not BA 24b. To differentiate a secondary intralimbic sulcus (ILS) from the main branch of cingulate sulcus (CiS), several parasagittal slices had to be assessed. While the ILS usually appeared as a superficial dimple in midsagittal slices but disappeared within one or two slices from the midline, it was required for the CiS to be clearly evident in at least three parasagittal slices from the midline (53).

3 Results

3.1 Group comparisons

The study groups were well balanced in terms of their main potential confounding variables age ($F_{3, 80} = 1.47 \text{ p} = .27$), gender ($\chi 2_{3 (n = 84)} = 0.27$, p = .97) and ICV ($F_{3, 80} = .34$, p = .80). Univariate

ANOVAs assessing the difference between the four groups separately for the left and right sgACC volumes reached significance for left ($F_{3,80} = 6.43$, p <.001) and right hemisphere $(F_{(3,80)} = 6.68, p < .001)$. To account for unexplained variance, group comparisons were then repeated under inclusion of ICV as covariate. The overall ANCOVA between groups reached significance for left ($F_{(3,79)} = 6.15$, p <.001) and right $F_{(3,79)} =$ 6.63, p <.001 subgenual ACC volumes. Post-hoc analysis revealed smaller subgenual volumes in both the medicated (MDDm) and non-medicated (MDDu) group versus healthy controls (p = .001; p = .008) respectively for the left hemisphere. For the right hemisphere, the (MDDu) and BD group exhibited significantly lower subgenual volumes than healthy controls (p < .001; p = .004). These group comparisons remained significant after correcting with Bonferroni method with α level of significance set at p <.05. Excluding the outliers with unusually large sgACC volume in the left UPU group as well in the right BD group defined by the groupwise z-scores ($|z| \ge 2.58$) from the full ANCOVA model did not change the overall results. Table 3 shows the volumes of the entire dataset and detailed statistics on group comparisons.

3.2 Relationship between sgACC volume, clinical characteristics and medication

The sgACC volumes did not correlate with clinical characteristics such as duration of illness (years since 1st episode), the duration of the current episode (weeks since onset), number of depressive, non-depressive, or overall illness episodes in the patient groups except for the sgACC volumes in the right MDDm group, which correlated significantly with disease severity scores such as the BDI-II ($r_{20} = 0.48$, p = .004), HRSD ($r_{20} = 0.35$, p = .032) and IDS ($r_{20} = 0.44$, p = .007). However, after correction for multiple testing, no correlations remained significant.

The medicated patients were highly heterogeneous with respect to the psychopharmacological treatment within the 3 months prior to the neuroimaging. To test whether the sgACC volume was related to the different treatments, we grouped the medications according to their clinical use. Four homogeneous groups were identified as follows: antidepressant monotherapy (N = 9), antidepressants combined with sedative medication (i.e., benzodiazepines, anticonvulsants, or hypnotics; N = 7), antidepressants combined with either atypical neuroleuptics or lithium (N = 8) and therapies combining antidepressants with lithium plus atypical neuroleptics (N = 9). One-way ANOVAs yielded no effect for the type of medication on the sgACC volume (left sgACC: $_{F4, 30} = 1.06$, P = 0.4; right sgACC: $F_{4, 30} = 1.27$, P = 0.3).".

4 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first morphometric MRI study using T1 maps obtained in high-resolution 7 Tesla MRI, providing submillimeter resolution for high precision volumetric procedures. While Drevets et al. (19) and studies replicating this protocol (54, 55) reported significant subgenual gray matter reductions in the sgACC

TABLE 3 Absolute and corrected mean (± SD) sgACC volumes in mm3.	
--	--

	MDDm(n=20)	MDDu (n=20)	BD (n=21)	HC (n=23)	Group comparison (n=84)	Correction for ICV (n=84)
Left sgACC	259.99 ± 60.96	283.75 ± 123.47	331.08 ± 135.63	401.89 ± 122.17	$F_{3,80} = 6,43$ ^A p <.001*	$F_{3,79} = 6,15^{A}$ p <.001*
Right sgACC	327.12 ± 136.75	269.16 ± 73.25	322.07 ± 118.85	400.98 ± 118.85	$F_{3,80} = 6,68$ A $p < .001^*$	F _{3,79 =} 6,63 ^A p <.001*

*p ≤.05, ^AAN(C)OVA statistics, MDDm, Major Depressive disorder (medicated); MDDu, Major Depressive disorder (unmedicated); BD, Bipolar disorder; HC, healthy controls; ICV, Intracranial volume. The p values listed in the tables are not corrected for multiple testing using Bonferroni correction.

mainly on the left hemisphere, other studies reported no differences in sgACC volumes between MDD patients and healthy controls (56-58). Meta-analyses conducted on existing volumetric studies with a ROIbased segmentation protocol indicated bilateral ACC volume reduction in patients with MDD compared to healthy controls, with less robust data on BD patients (9-11). These discrepant results reported in the literature are likely due to different definitions of structural landmarks with respect to how they define the sgACC region and, if present, the adjacent paracingulate gyrus, which is caused by relative expansion of the paracingulate sulcus (pcgs). ROI-based segmentation studies differed in terms of the inclusion or exclusion of this gyrus. Differences in patient samples, acquisition and analysis methods and a lack of uniform segmentation protocol may also contribute to these contradictory results. The extent of GMV reduction within our study groups is comparable to those reported in previous clinical protocols (19) ranging from 29-35% GMV reduction for left hemispheric MDD groups and 29-33% GMV reduction for the right hemispheric BD and MDDu groups relative to healthy controls measured in our ANCOVA model. Moreover, our reported GMV reductions are consistent with neuropathological findings reporting a loss of glial cells (4, 59), which is associated with a loss of neuropil hypothesized to arise secondarily from glutamate-induced excitotoxicity (60). Loss of neuropil as consequence of synaptic regression reported among mood disorders in ACC (61) concomitant with dendritic retraction is estimated to amount for the bulk of observed total GMV decrease, with glial cell reduction likely amounting only to a minor fraction of it. It is further hypothesized, that this synaptic deficit is one of the core pathologies responsible for poor function in the prefrontal-limbic network in MDD (62). Additionally, hypercortisolemia as a result of hyperactivity/ disinhibition of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis has been frequently described as a hallmark of MDD (63, 64), leading to gradual decreases in tissue volume in MDD. Furthermore, medial prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate contain high levels of glucocorticoid receptors and share extensive connections with structures within the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and have been implicated in regulation thereof (65, 66). Hyperactivity of the HPA axis has also been linked to the pathophysiology of BD (67), although data is less straightforward to interpret due to the neurotrophic effects of mood stabilizers such as lithium, which have been associated with normalized or increased GM volume in treatment responders (68, 69). While the volumetric data for sgACC reduction in BD is less robust, Hajek et al. (9) reported in their meta-analysis a trend for left subgenual volume decrease in bipolar patients with positive family history compared to healthy controls. While their sample size of included ROI studies (n=5) was low, we could only find a significant volume reduction in right hemispheric sgACC in BD patients.

The right hemispheric sgACC gray matter reduction in the MDDu group but not in the MDDm group is in line with findings of a metaanalysis by (11), in which patients without antidepressants had significantly smaller subgenual than patients taking antidepressants and healthy controls. Possible explanations for such an effect are increased neuroplasticity due to increased levels of neurotrophic factors, caused either by specific effects or secondary effects of antidepressants (70), or generally better illness course through earlier intervention seeking. Interestingly, this assumed neuroprotective effect was only pronounced in our patient samples for right hemispheric sgACC whereas for left hemispheric sgACC the medicated (MDDm) group exhibited even larger volume reductions than the MDDu group relative to healthy controls.

The strength of this study lies in hypothesis-driven ROI measurements in line with previously validated clinical protocols and careful controls against experimental bias through blinding the raters to the diagnoses. It includes a large sample size, and study groups were well balanced with respect to the main confounding variables age, sex, ICV, and disease severity. To avoid potential confounding variables, we included in the present study only individuals without neurological and cardiovascular comorbidities. There was no evidence that additional clinical or demographic factors contributed to sgACC volume differences in our patients.

Sulcal and gyral variability can be especially problematic for automated methods such as voxel-based morphometry (VBM), because they attempt to minimize differences in sulcal and gyral architecture by normalizing images to standard template space while also being prone to registration errors (71). Therefore, a ROI-based segmentation approach was chosen, while also benefitting from tissue probability maps (TPM) by means of predefining GM, WM and CSF tissue which reduced rater-dependent error and accounted for interindividual variation of cortical folding patterns. Segmentation of sgACC GM tissue was performed manually to ensure consistency with known functional subdivisions defined in our segmentation protocol.

One of the limitations of our study is its cross-sectional design, in which patients with BD could be misdiagnosed with MDD based on their preliminary clinical course during their recruitment. Limitations of the computer-assisted segmentation algorithm must be considered. First, based on *in vivo* MRI data, our method cannot assess the microstructural (e.g. histological) validity of landmarks. Secondly, even in high-resolution 7 Tesla MR images, the sulcal boundaries of the cytoarchitectonically defined sACC areas cannot always be reliably matched to anatomic landmarks when accounting for inter-individual variability in gross brain morphology. Even though our defined sgACC ROI, apart from its anterior border, is encapsulated by sulcal structures filled with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) tissue, these sulci could not always

be adequately displayed in their full extent. Thus, their dorsal extension often had to be approximated, reducing the specificity with which our designated ROI may be interpreted as corresponding to a given Brodmann Area. This emphasizes the need for continued investigation into how sulcal variability is related to the configuration of functional fields within the cortex (72) and how probabilistic maps can further quantify variability in the position, size and extent of cytoarchitectonic areas (46). In conclusion, we have demonstrated left sgACC gray matter reduction in both the medicated and unmedicated MDD patient samples, and right sgACC gray matter reduction in the unmedicated depressed (MDDu) and bipolar (BD) patient samples, relative to healthy controls.

Gray matter volume reduction in the sgACC could serve as a potential biomarker in prediction and differential diagnosis of affective disorders, although further validation of these morphological differences is needed. With progress being made in functional connectivity MRI, the sgACC remains a promising target area for Intermittent theta-burst stimulation (iTBS) protocols (26), which have reported considerable remission outcomes in treatment-resistant depression by indirect stimulation of the sgACC.

As in many other studies, this investigation was cross-sectional and therefore provides limited information on the possible changes in sgACC volume over the course of illness. Ideally, future studies also incorporate a longitudinal design with follow-up measurements to be able to further distinguish cause from consequence.

Data availability statement

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are subject to privacy. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics committee University Leipzig. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

FB: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MM: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. P-LB: Methodology, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. RTr: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. RTu: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. PS: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Open Access Publishing Fund of Leipzig University.

Acknowledgments

We thank the patients, their families, and the healthy volunteers for their participation and the physicians who collected clinical data at Clinic and Polyclinic for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy at University Hospital Leipzig. Special acknowledgement belongs to PD Geyer from the Max-Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences in Leipzig, facilitating the mutual collaboration and being responsible for MRI data collection. Likewise, we want to thank Dr. rer. nat. Schindler for her crucial involvement in our working group and her overseeing role in affiliated projects since its conception.

Conflict of interest

Author P-LB was employed by company Full Brain Picture Analytics.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2024. 1462919/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Palomero-Gallagher N, Mohlberg H, Zilles K, Vogt B. Cytology and receptor architecture of human anterior cingulate cortex. *J Comp Neurol.* (2008) 508:906–26. doi: 10.1002/cne.21684

2. Palomero-Gallagher N, Vogt BA, Schleicher A, Mayberg HS, Zilles K. Receptor architecture of human cingulate cortex: Evaluation of the four-region neurobiological model. *Hum Brain Mapp*. (2009) 30:2336–55. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20667

3. Vogt BA, Nimchinsky EA, Vogt LJ, Hof PR. Human cingulate cortex: surface features, flat maps, and cytoarchitecture. *THE J OF Comp Neurol.* (1995) 359(3):490–506. doi: 10.1002/cne.903590310

4. Ongür D, Drevets WC, Price JL. Glial reduction in the subgenual prefrontal cortex in mood disorders. *Neurobiology*. (1998) 95(22):13290-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.22.13290

5. Cotter D, Mackay D, Landau S, Kerwin R, Everall I. Reduced glial cell density and neuronal size in the anterior cingulate cortex in major depressive disorder. *Archives of general psychiatry* (2001) 58(6):545–53. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.58.6.545

6. Chana G, Landau S, Beasley C, Everall IP, Cotter D. Two-dimensional assessment of cytoarchitecture in the anterior cingulate cortex in major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia: Evidence for decreased neuronal somal size and increased neuronal density. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2003) 53:1086–98. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(03) 00114-8

7. Benes FM, Vincent SL, Todtenkopf M. The density of pyramidal and nonpyramidal neurons in anterior cingulate cortex of schizophrenic and bipolar subjects. *Biological psychiatry* (2001) 50(6):395–406. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(01) 01084-8

8. Bouras C, Kövari E, Hof PR, Riederer BM, Giannakopoulos P. Anterior cingulate cortex pathology in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Acta Neuropathologica*. (2001) 102:373–9. doi: 10.1007/s004010100392

9. Hajek T, Kozeny J, Kopecek M, Alda M, Höschl C, Hajek T. Reduced subgenual cingulate volumes in mood disorders: a meta-analysis. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. (2008) 33 (2):91–9.

 Koolschijn PCMP, Van Haren NEM, Lensvelt-Mulders GJLM, Hulshoff Pol HE, Kahn RS. Brain volume abnormalities in major depressive disorder: A meta-analysis of magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Hum Brain Mapp*. (2009) 30:3719–35. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20801

11. Bora E, Harrison BJ, Davey CG, Yücel M, Pantelis C. Meta-analysis of volumetric abnormalities in cortico-striatal-pallidal- thalamic circuits in major depressive disorder. *psychol Med.* (2012) 42:671–81. doi: 10.1017/S0033291711001668

12. Niida A, Niida R, Matsuda H, Motomura M, Uechi A. Analysis of the presence or absence of atrophy of the subgenual and subcallosal cingulate cortices using voxelbased morphometry on MRI is useful to select prescriptions for patients with depressive symptoms. *Int J Gen Med.* (2014) 7:513–24. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S72736

 Rodríguez-Cano E, Sarró S, Monté GC, Maristany T, Salvador R, McKenna PJ, et al. Evidence for structural and functional abnormality in the subgenual anterior cingulate cortex in major depressive disorder. *psychol Med.* (2014) 44:3263–73. doi: 10.1017/S0033291714000841

14. Beckmann M, Johansen-Berg H, Rushworth MFS. Connectivity-based parcellation of human cingulate cortex and its relation to functional specialization. *J Neurosci.* (2009) 29:1175–90. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3328-08.2009

15. Johansen-Berg H, Gutman DA, Behrens TEJ, Matthews PM, Rushworth MFS, Katz E, et al. Anatomical connectivity of the subgenual cingulate region targeted with deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Cereb Cortex*. (2008) 18:1374–83. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhm167

16. Houenou J, Wessa M, Douaud G, Leboyer M, Chanraud S, Perrin M, et al. Increased white matter connectivity in euthymic bipolar patients: Diffusion tensor tractography between the subgenual cingulate and the amygdalo-hippocampal complex. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2007) 12:1001–10. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4002010

17. Vederine FE, Wessa M, Leboyer M, Houenou J. A meta-analysis of whole-brain diffusion tensor imaging studies in bipolar disorder. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. (2011) 35:1820–6. doi: 10.1016/J.PNPBP.2011.05.009

18. Tiger M, Farde L, Rück C, Varrone A, Forsberg A, Lindefors N, et al. Low serotonin1B receptor binding potential in the anterior cingulate cortex in drug-free patients with recurrent major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res - Neuroimaging*. (2016) 253:36–42. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2016.04.016

19. Drevets WC, Price JL, Simpson JR Jr, Todd RD, Reich T, Vannier M, et al. Subgenual prefrontal cortex abnormalities in mood disorders. *Nature*. (1997) 386:824–7. doi: 10.1038/386824a0b

20. Pizzagalli DA, Oakes TR, Fox AS, Chung MK, Larson CL, Abercrombie HC, et al. Functional but not structural subgenual prefrontal cortex abnormalities in melancholia. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2004) 9:325. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4001501

21. Pizzagalli DA. Frontocingulate dysfunction in depression: Toward biomarkers of treatment response. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2011) 36:183–206. doi: 10.1038/npp.2010.166

22. Wagner G, Koch K, Schachtzabel C, Reichenbach JR, Sauer H, Schlösser RGM, et al. Enhanced rostral anterior cingulate cortex activation during cognitive control is

related to orbitofrontal volume reduction in unipolar depression. *J Psychiatry Neurosci.* (2008) 33(3):199–208. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2007.12.054

23. Connolly CG, Wu J, Ho TC, Hoeft F, Wolkowitz O, Eisendrath S, et al. Restingstate functional connectivity of subgenual anterior cingulate cortex in depressed adolescents. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2013) 74:898–907. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.05.036

24. Dunlop BW, Mayberg HS. Neuroimaging-based biomarkers for treatment selection in major depressive disorder. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* (2014) 16(4):479–90. doi: 10.31887/DCNS.2014.16.4/bdunlop

25. Davey CG, Harrison BJ, Yücel M, Allen NB. Regionally specific alterations in functional connectivity of the anterior cingulate cortex in major depressive disorder. *psychol Med.* (2012) 42:2071–81. doi: 10.1017/S0033291712000323

26. Cole EJ, Stimpson KH, Bentzley BS, Gulser M, Cherian K, Tischler C, Williams NR, et al. Stanford accelerated intelligent neuromodulation therapy for treatment-resistant depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2020) 177:716–26. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.19070720

27. Elbau IG, Lynch CJ, Downar J, Vila-Rodriguez F, Power JD, Solomonov N, et al. Functional connectivity mapping for rTMS target selection in depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. (2023) 180:230–40. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.20220306

28. Argyelan M, Lencz T, Kaliora S, Sarpal DK, Weissman N, Kingsley PB, et al. Subgenual cingulate cortical activity predicts the efficacy of electroconvulsive therapy. *Trans Psychiatry.* (2016) 6(4):e789. doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.54

29. Crowell AL, Riva-Posse P, Holtzheimer PE, Garlow SJ, Kelley ME, Gross RE, et al. Long-term outcomes of subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2019) 176:949–56. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.18121427

30. Schlaepfer TE, Bewernick BH. Deep brain stimulation for major depression. Handb Clin Neurol. (2013) 116:235–43. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53497-2.00018-8

31. Fornito A, Malhi GS, Lagopoulos J, Ivanovski B, Wood SJ, Saling MM, et al. Anatomical abnormalities of the anterior cingulate and paracingulate cortex in patients with bipolar I disorder. *Psychiatry Res - Neuroimaging*. (2008) 162:123–32. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2007.06.004

32. Marques JP, Kober T, Krueger G, van der Zwaag W, Van de Moortele PF, Gruetter R. MP2RAGE, a self bias-field corrected sequence for improved segmentation and T1-mapping at high field. *NeuroImage.* (2010) 49:1271–81. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2009.10.002

33. Geyer S, Weiss M, Reimann K, Lohmann G, Turner R. Microstructural parcellation of the human cerebral cortex - from Bbrodmann's post-mortem map to *in vivo* mapping with high-field magnetic resonance imaging. *Front Hum Neurosci.* (2011) 5:19. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2011.00019

34. Cohen J. A power primer. *psychol Bull.* (1992) 112:155–9. doi: 10.1037//0033-2909.112.1.155

35. Bech P, Bolwig TG, Kramp P, Rafaelsen OJ. The Bech-Rafaelsen Mania Scale and the Hamilton Depression Scale. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica*. (1979) 59(4):420–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1979.tb04484.x

36. Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. BDI-II beck depression inventory, 2nd edn, Manual. San Antonia: The Psychological Corporation; (1996).

37. Schindler S, Schmidt L, Stroske M, Storch M, Anwander A, Trampel R, et al. Hypothalamus enlargement in mood disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. (2019) 139:56–67. doi: 10.1111/acps.12958

38. Hurley AC, Al-Radaideh A, Bai L, Aickelin U, Coxon R, Glover P, et al. Tailored RF pulse for magnetization inversion at ultrahigh field. *Magnetic Resonance Med.* (2010) 63:51–8. doi: 10.1002/mrm.22167

39. Griswold MA, Jakob PM, Heidemann RM, Nittka M, Jellus V, Wang J, et al. Generalized autocalibrating partially parallel Acquisitions (GRAPPA). *Magnetic Resonance Med.* (2002) 47:1202–10. doi: 10.1002/mrm.10171

40. Huntenburg JM, Steele CJ, Bazin PL. Nighres: processing tools for high-resolution neuroimaging. *GigaScience*. (2018) 7(7):giy082. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giy082

41. Bazin PL, Weiss M, Dinse J, Schäfer A, Trampel R, Turner R. A computational framework for ultra-high resolution cortical segmentation at 7 Tesla. *NeuroImage*. (2014) 93:201–9. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2013.03.077

42. Mai JK, Paxinos G, Voß T. *Atlas of the human brain*. San Diego: Academic Press. (2007).

43. Lohmann G, Müller K, Bosch V, Mentzel H, Hessler S, Chen L, et al. Lipsia—a new software system for the evaluation of functional magnetic resonance images of the human brain. *Computerized Med Imaging Graphics*. (2001) 25:449–57. doi: 10.1016/S0895-6111(01)00008-8

44. Blu T, Thévenaz P, Unser M. Linear interpolation revitalized. *IEEE Trans Image Process.* (2004) 13:710–9. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2004.826093

45. Devinsky O, Morrell MJ, Vogt BA. Contributions of anterior cingulate cortex to behaviour. *Brain.* (1995) 118(Pt 1):279–306. doi: 10.1093/brain/118.1.279

46. Palomero-Gallagher N, Eickhoff SB, Hoffstaedter F, Schleicher A, Mohlberg H, Vogt BA, et al. Functional organization of human subgenual cortical areas: Relationship between architectonical segregation and connectional heterogeneity. *NeuroImage*. (2015) 115:177–90. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.053

47. Ono M, Kubik S, Abernathy CD. Atlas of the Cerebral Sulci. New York: Thieme (1990).

48. Spasojević GD, Malobabić S, Suščević D, Stijak L, Nikolić V, Gojković I, et al. Morphological variability of the subcallosal area of man. *Surgical and radiologic anatomy: SRA.* (2011) 33(4):313-8. doi: 10.1007/s00276-010-0689-2

49. Mcauliffe' MJ, Lalonde' FM, Mcgarry' D, Gandler' W, Csaky3 ,K, Trus' BL. Medical image processing, analysis and visualization in clinical research.In: Proceedings 14th IEEE Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems. CBMS 2001, IEEE Comput. Soc (2001) p. 381–6.

50. Fornito A, Whittle S, Wood SJ, Velakoulis D, Pantelis C, Yücel M. The influence of sulcal variability on morphometry of the human anterior cingulate and paracingulate cortex. *NeuroImage*. (2006) 33:843–54. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.06.061

51. Paus T, Otaky N, Caramanos Z, Macdonald D, Zijdenbos A, D'avirro D, et al. In vivo morphometry of the intrasulcal gray matter in the human cingulate, paracingulate, and superior-rostral sulci: hemispheric asymmetries, gender differences and probability maps. *The Journal of comparative neurology*. (1996) 376(4):664–73. doi: 10.1002/(SICI) 1096-9861(19961223)376:4<664::AID-CNE12>3.0.CO;2-M

52. Crespo-Facorro B, Kim JJ, Andreasen NC, O'Leary DS, Wiser AK, Bailey JM, et al. Human frontal cortex: An MRI-based parcellation method. *NeuroImage*. (1999) 10:500–19. doi: 10.1006/nimg.1999.0489

53. Selahi Ö., Kuru Bektaşoğlu P, Hakan T, Firat Z, Güngör A, Çelikoğlu E. Cingulate sulcus morphology and paracingulate sulcus variations: Anatomical and radiological studies. *Clin Anat (New York N.Y.).* (2023) 36:256–66. doi: 10.1007/ s00276-010-0689-2

54. Botteron KN, Raichle ME, Drevets WC, Heath AC, Todd RD. Volumetric reduction in left subgenual prefrontal cortex in early onset depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2002) 51(4):342–44. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(01)01280-x

55. Hastings RS, Parsey RV, Oquendo MA, Arango V, Mann JJ. Volumetric analysis of the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and hippocampus in major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2004) 29:952–9. doi: 10.1038/sj.npp.1300371

56. Brambilla P, Nicoletti MA, Harenski K, Sassi RB, Mallinger AG, Frank E, et al. Anatomical MRI study of subgenual prefrontal cortex in bipolar and unipolar subjects. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2002) 27(5):792–9. doi: 10.1016/S0893-133X(02)00352-4

57. Bremner J, Vythilingam M, Vermetten E, Nazeer A, Adil J, Khan S, et al. Reduced volume of orbitofrontal cortex in major depression. *Biological psychiatry* (2002) 51(4):273–9. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(01)01336-1

58. Frodl T, Jäger M, Born C, Ritter S, Kraft E, Zetzsche T, et al. Anterior cingulate cortex does not differ between patients with major depression and healthy controls, but relatively large anterior cingulate cortex predicts a good clinical course. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging.* (2008) 163:76–83. doi: 10.1016/J.PSCYCHRESNS. 2007.04.012

59. Rajkowska G, Miguel-Hidalgo JJ, Wei J, Dilley G, Pittman SD, Meltzer HY, et al. PRIORITY COMMUNICATION morphometric evidence for neuronal and glial

prefrontal cell pathology in major depression*. *Biol Psychiatry*. (1999) 45(9):1085-1098. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(99)00041-4

60. Price JL, Drevets WC. Neurocircuitry of mood disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology. (2010) 35:192-216. doi: 10.1038/npp.2009.104

61. Eastwood SL, Harrison PJ. Synaptic pathology in the anterior cingulate cortex in schizophrenia and mood disorders. A review and a Western blot study of synaptophysin, GAP-43 and the complexins. *Brain Res Bullet.* (2001) 55(5):569–78. doi: 10.1016/s0361-9230(01)00530-5

62. Bennett MR. The prefrontal-limbic network in depression: A core pathology of synapse regression. *Prog Neurobiol*. (2011) 93:457-67. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2011.01.001

63. Otte C, Gold SM, Penninx BW, Pariante CM, Etkin A, Fava M, et al. Major depressive disorder. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2016) 2:16065. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.65

64. Pariante CM, Lightman SL. The HPA axis in major depression: classical theories and new developments. *Trends Neurosci.* (2008) 31:464-8. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2008.06.006

65. MacLullich AMJ, Ferguson KJ, Wardlaw JM, Starr JM, Deary IJ, Seckl JR. Smaller left anterior cingulate cortex volumes are associated with impaired hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis regulation in healthy elderly men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. (2006) 91:1591–4. doi: 10.1210/jc.2005-2610

66. Wolf OT, Convit A, De Leon MJ, Caraos C, Qadri SF. Basal hypothalamopituitary-adrenal axis activity and corticotropin feedback in young and older men: relationships to magnetic resonance imaging-derived hippocampus and cingulate gyrus volumes. *Neuroendocrinology*. (2002) 75(4):241–9. doi: 10.1159/000054715

67. Belvederi Murri M, Prestia D, Mondelli V, Pariante C, Patti S, Olivieri B, et al. The HPA axis in bipolar disorder: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2016) 63:327–42. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.014

68. Lyoo IK, Dager SR, Kim JE, Yoon SJ, Friedman SD, Dunner DL, et al. Lithiuminduced gray matter volume increase as a neural correlate of treatment response in bipolar disorder: A longitudinal brain imaging study. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2010) 35:1743–50. doi: 10.1038/npp.2010.41

69. Moore P, Cortese TG, Glitz P, Zajac-Benitez OJ, Zajac-Benitez OJ, Zajac-Benitez OJ, et al. A longitudinal study of the effects of lithium treatment on prefrontal and subgenual prefrontal gray matter volume in treatment-responsive bipolar disorder patients. *J Clin Psychiat.* (2009) 70(5):699–705. doi: 10.4088/JCP.07m03745

70. Castrén E, Võikar V, Rantamäki T. Role of neurotrophic factors in depression. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* (2007) 7:18–21. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2006.08.009

71. Crum WR, Griffin LD, Hill DLG, Hawkes DJ. Zen and the art of medical image registration: Correspondence, homology, and quality. *NeuroImage*. (2003) 20:1425–37. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.07.014

72. Amunts K, Schleicher A, Zilles K. Cytoarchitecture of the cerebral cortex-More than localization. *NeuroImage*. (2007) 37:1061–5. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007. 02.037