Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kuanjun He, Inner Mongolia Minzu University, China

REVIEWED BY

Li Yin, Sichuan University, China Qiu-li Zhang, Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical College Hospital, China Carolina Muniz Carvalho, Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Min Hu Mumin@jlu.edu.cn Huichuan Qi gihuichuan@jlu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 02 June 2024 ACCEPTED 15 July 2024 PUBLISHED 30 July 2024

CITATION

Nie J, Zhang Y, Ma J, Xue Q, Hu M and Qi H (2024) Major depressive disorder elevates the risk of dentofacial deformity: a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Psychiatry* 15:1442679. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1442679

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Nie, Zhang, Ma, Xue, Hu and Qi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Major depressive disorder elevates the risk of dentofacial deformity: a bidirectional twosample Mendelian randomization study

Jinhan Nie¹, Yi Zhang¹, Jun Ma², Qing Xue¹, Min Hu^{1,3*} and Huichuan Qi^{1*}

¹Department of Orthodontics, Hospital of Stomatology, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, ²Department of Oral Anatomy and Physiology, Hospital of Stomatology, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, ³Key Laboratory of Pathobiology, Ministry of Education, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China

Background: The association between psychiatric disorders and dentofacial deformities has attracted widespread attention. However, their relationship is currently unclear and controversial.

Methods: A two-sample bidirectional MR analysis was performed to study the causal relationship between dentofacial deformity and eight psychiatric disorders, including major depressive disorder, panic disorder, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, Alzheimer's disease, autism spectrum disorder, and neuroticism. Inverse variance weighted, weighted median, MR-Egger regression, weighted mode four methods, and further sensitivity analyses were conducted.

Results: The major depressive disorder affected dentofacial deformity, with an OR = 1.387 (95% CI = 1.181-1.629, $P = 6.77 \times 10^{-5}$). No other psychiatric disorders were found to be associated with dentofacial deformity. In turn, dentofacial deformity were associated with neuroticism, with an OR = 1.050 (95% CI = 1.008-1.093, P = 0.018). And there was no evidence that dentofacial deformity would increase the risk of other psychiatric disorders.

Conclusions: Major depressive disorder might elevate the risk of dentofacial deformities, and dentofacial deformity conditions would increase the risk of the incidence of neuroticism.

KEYWORDS

psychiatric disorders, major depressive disorder, dentofacial deformity, Mendelian randomization, causal relationship

1 Introduction

The importance of mental health has progressively come to light in the past decades. Depression, as the most prevalent psychiatric disorder, ranks as the third leading cause of the global burden of disease (1). Psychiatric disorders have been identified to affect various conditions, including cardiovascular diseases, bone and joint diseases, and endocrine disorders (2-4). The association between psychiatric disorders and oral diseases has also attract widespread attention (5-7). Dentofacial deformity including malocclusion, is one of the most common oral diseases, affecting mastication, pronunciation, and systemic metabolism in 56% of people worldwide (8-10). Additionally, social responses conditioned by dental appearance also impact the psychological status (11). Patients with severe dental deformities are at a higher risk of suffering from mental illnesses, such as anxiety and depression (12). On the other hand, psychiatric patients exhibit metabolic and behavioral abnormalities that increase the risk of dentofacial deformities. A higher incidence of malocclusions was reported in children with autism and in those who exhibit signs of hyperactivity (13, 14). However, current research on the link between psychiatric disorders and dentofacial deformities is not as abundant as that for other oral disorders, such as periodontitis (15-17). Additionally, the conclusions of existing studies on their relationship remain controversial (18, 19).

This study aims to strengthen and expand understanding of the causal relationship between psychiatric disorders and dentofacial deformity using a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian Randomization (MR) analysis. Eight psychiatric disorders with high prevalence and close relation to oral diseases were selected, including major depressive disorder (MDD), panic disorder (PD), schizophrenia (SCZ), bipolar disorder (BIP), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), Alzheimer's disease (ALZ), autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and neuroticism. The findings indicate that MDD may increase the risk of dentofacial deformities, and dentofacial deformities could lead to higher incidences of neuroticism. This genetic evidence further

TABLE 1 The GWAS consortiums for eight psychiatric traits.

reinforces the connection between psychiatric disorders and dentofacial deformities.

2 Methods

2.1 Experimental design and data sources

A bidirectional study was conducted to clarify the causal relationship between psychiatric disorders and dentofacial deformity. No additional ethical approval or participant consent was required as publicly available data was analyzed in this study. Eight common psychiatric disorders were selected for inclusion, whose genetic associations were obtained from the largest metaanalysis of GWAS conducted by the UK Biobank (UKB) and Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) (Table 1). GWAS statistics for dentofacial deformity (including malocclusion) were obtained from FinnGen consortium R9 release data, including 9866 cases and 259234 controls (28). All study participants were of European ancestry, which helps to avoid bias due to ethnic differences. Additionally, exposure and outcome data were obtained from distinct databases, effectively reducing sample overlap.

2.2 Selection of genetic variables

To ensure the validity of the MR analysis, the selection of instrumental variables (IVs) should meet three conditions: (1) the association assumption: the IVs should be strongly correlated with the exposure of interest, (2) the exclusion limitation assumption: the IVs should only affect outcome diseases through exposure, excluding any other pathways, and (3) the independence assumption: the IVs should be independent of any confounding factors (29) (Figure 1). For most exposures, the conventional GWAS significance threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ was used as the screening condition. However, when this threshold could not provide enough single nucleotide

Trait	Data source	nCase	nControl	References
MDD	PGC	246,363	561,190	Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions (20)
PD	PGC	2248	7992	Genome-wide association study of panic disorder reveals genetic overlap with neuroticism and depression (21)
ADHD	PGC	38,691	186,843	Genome-wide analyses of ADHD identify 27 risk loci, refine the genetic architecture, and implicate several cognitive domains (22)
ASD	PGC	18,382	27,969	Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder (23)
Neuroticism	UKBB	393411		Mixed-model association for biobank-scale datasets (24)
BIP	PGC	41,917	371,549	Genome-wide association study of more than 40,000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology (25)
SCZ	PGC	76,755	243,649	Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia (26)
ALZ	UKBB	39,106	46,828	New insights into the genetic etiology of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias (27)

MDD, major depressive disorder; PD, panic disorder; ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; BIP, bipolar disorder; SCZ, schizophrenia; ALZ, Alzheimer's disease; UKB, UK Biobank; PGC, Psychiatric Genomics Consortium.



polymorphisms (SNPs), the extended screening condition to $P < 5 \times 10^{-6}$ was used for some exposures (PD, ASD, dentofacial deformity). Meanwhile, standard clumping parameters ($r^2 \le 0.001$; clumping window = 10 000 kb) were used to remove the chain imbalance and filter out independent SNPs (30). To reduce the weak instrumental variable bias, we calculated the F-statistic of the screened independent SNPs [F = $R^2 \times (N-2)/(1-R^2)$] and excluded the weakly instrumented variables with F-statistics less than 10 (31).

2.3 MR analysis

The analysis of this study was based on the R package "Two Sample MR". The inverse variance weighted (IVW) method was chosen as the primary analysis method. Weighted median, MR-Egger regression, and weighted mode methods were used as the auxiliary analysis methods. IVW enables the most precise statistics by combining the Wald ratio estimates results for each valid SNP's causal effect while disregarding invalid IVs and pleiotropy (32). Therefore, as a complement, weighted median, MR-Egger regression, and weighted mode were used to analyze different dimensions of horizontal pleiotropy of IVs. The statistical significance of the P value was set at 0.05 (two-sided), and for noncontinuous variables, odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), were used to analyze the association between exposure and outcome. The MR-Steiger test was also applied to confirm the directionality that the exposure influenced the results. In addition, Bonferroni correction was applied to the P values when multiple factors were included as exposures for analysis.

2.4 Sensitivity analysis

To confirm the heterogeneity of MR analysis, Cochran's Q test was selected, the *P* value of which could demonstrate the existence of heterogeneity. For the studies with heterogeneity (Cochran's Q test *P* value < 0.05), IVW analysis was a good solution. MR-Egger intercept analysis was used to describe the horizontal pleiotropy of the analysis model. In addition, the MR-PRESSO method could also detect horizontal pleiotropy and simultaneously remove the outliers for correction (33, 34). Also, to verify the stability of the results, a leave-one-out analysis was performed for each SNP.

3 Results

3.1 Major depressive disorder increases the risk of dentofacial deformity

Since eight psychiatric disorders were selected as the exposure factors in the study, Bonferroni correction was additionally performed to improve accuracy. A causal relationship was considered statistically significant when the corrected P value was less than 0.0065 (0.05/8). The effects of eight psychiatric disorders on dentofacial deformity were investigated by four methods mentioned above (Figure 2). In the main IVW study, MDD increased the risk of developing dentofacial deformity, with an OR = 1.387 (95% CI = 1.181-1.629, $P = 6.77 \times 10^{-5}$) (Figure 3A). The correct causal direction ($P = 1.122352e^{-50}$) was ensured through the MR-Steiger test. Both the heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy of MDD effects on dentofacial deformity were rejected in Cochran's Q test and MR-Egger intercept analysis. Moreover, the MR-PRESSO test did not find the outliers (Figure 2). In addition, the leave-one-out analysis excluded the extreme influence of one single SNP (Figure 3B). Beyond, statistically, several other psychiatric disorders did not influence the incidence of dentofacial deformity statistically (Supplementary Figure S1).

3.2 Dentofacial deformity increases the risk of neuroticism

In turn, dentofacial deformity was used as the exposure to study the effects on psychiatric disorders. Respectively, there was an association between the presence of dentofacial deformity and the occurrence of neuroticism (Figure 4), with an OR = 1.050 (95% CI = 1.008-1.093, P = 1.050 (95% CI = 1.050 (95%

Exposure	Method	nSNP	P.value		OR(95%CI)	Cochran's. Q.test.P	Mr.Egger. Intercept.	Mr.Presso. P Global.Test.P
MDD	MR Egger	49	0.85		→ 0.91(0.35 to 2.38)	0.65		
	Weighted median	49	<0.01	⊢ ●	1.50(1.19 to 1.89)			
	IVW	49	6.77e-5		1.39(1.18 to 1.63)	0.6	0.39	<0.01(raw,0 outliers)
	Weighted mode	49	0.08		→ 1.58(0.96 to 2.60)			
PD	MR Egger	11	0.68	HHH (1.04(0.88 to 1.22)	0.04		
	Weighted median	11	0.37	.	1.03(0.97 to 1.09)			
	IVW	11	0.23		1.03(0.98 to 1.09)	0.06	0.98	0.26(raw,0 outliers)
	Weighted mode	11	0.84	HH	1.01(0.92 to 1.11)			
ADHD	MR Egger	25	0.53		1.14(0.76 to 1.70)	0.16		
	Weighted median	25	0.8	H++	1.02(0.89 to 1.17)			
	IVW	25	0.12	He-I	1.09(0.98 to 1.21)	0.19	0.82	0.12(raw,0 outliers)
	Weighted mode	25	0.8		0.97(0.75 to 1.24)			
ASD	MR Egger	32	0.89		1.02(0.79 to 1.31)	0.24		
	Weighted median	32	0.47	H	0.96(0.85 to 1.08)			
	IVW	32	0.4	101	0.96(0.89 to 1.05)	0.26	0.66	0.41(raw,0 outliers)
	Weighted mode	32	0.8		0.97(0.77 to 1.22)			
Neuroticism	MR Egger	110	0.89		1.02(0.79 to 1.31)	<0.01		
	Weighted median	110	0.47	H	0.96(0.85 to 1.08)			
	IVW	110	0.4	101	0.96(0.89 to 1.05)	<0.01	0.36 0	.23(outlier corrected,2 outliers)
	Weighted mode	110	0.8		0.97(0.77 to 1.22)			
BIP	MR Egger	48	0.63		1.12(0.71 to 1.75)	0.19		
	Weighted median	48	0.6	H	0.97(0.87 to 1.09)			
	IVW	48	0.22	101	0.95(0.88 to 1.03)	0.2	0.48	0.23(raw,0 outliers)
	Weighted mode	48	0.2		0.82(0.60 to 1.11)			
SCZ	MR Egger	214	0.08		1.18(0.98 to 1.41)	<0.01		
	Weighted median	214	0.21	1	1.04(0.98 to 1.10)			
	IVW	214	0.07		1.04(1.00 to 1.09)	<0.01	0.17 (0.12(outlier corrected,1 outlier)
	Weighted mode	214	0.93	HHH	0.99(0.84 to 1.17)			
ALZ	MR Egger	56	0.53	HH	1.03(0.94 to 1.13)	0.73		
	Weighted median	56	0.49	H H	1.03(0.95 to 1.11)			
	IVW	56	0.17		1.04(0.98 to 1.09)	0.76	0.88	0.14(raw,0 outliers)
	Weighted mode	56	0.67	HH	1.02(0.93 to 1.12)			
			Ó	1	2	P<0.05	i/8 was consi	dered statistically significant
			protec	tive factor risk fac	→ tor			

The MR analysis and sensitivity analysis results of psychiatric disorders on dentofacial. deformity. IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD, major depressive disorder; PD, panic disorder; ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; BIP, bipolar disorder; SCZ, schizophrenia; ALZ, Alzheimer's disease.

0.018) in the IVW analysis (Figure 5A). Similarly, the stability of the results was verified by sensitivity analysis. The heterogeneity, the horizontal pleiotropy, and the extreme effect of one single SNP were all rejected (Figures 4, 5B). Additionally, the MR-Steiger test ruled out the possibility of reverse causation ($P = 7.480458e^{-39}$). Other than this, no statistical association was found between dentofacial deformity and other psychiatric disorders (Supplementary Figure S1).

3.3 Sensitivity analysis

In Cochran's Q test, heterogeneity was rejected for most of the studies, except for the effects of SCZ and neuroticism on dentofacial deformity and the effects of dentofacial deformity on MDD (Figures 2, 4). The random effects model for IVW analysis was a suitable choice for the studies with mentioned



polymorphism; MDD, major depressive disorder

MDD MR Egger 19 0.56 101(0.97 to 1.05) 0.01 VW 19 0.46 1.01(0.97 to 1.05) 0.01 0.18 0.59(outlier corrected,3 outliers) Weighted mode 19 0.51 1.01(0.98 to 1.06) 0.02 0.18 0.59(outlier corrected,3 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.68 0.87(0.55 to 1.40) 0.02 0.4 0.85(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.06 0.07(20.51 to 1.01) 0.02 0.4 0.85(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 10 0.03 1.04(0.97 to 1.2) 0.97 0.98 0.97 WWW 16 0.23 1.04(0.97 to 1.2) 0.98 0.9 0.07(raw,0 outliers) WWW 16 0.23 1.04(0.98 to 1.13) 0.98 0.9 0.97(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.18 1.04(0.98 to 1.13) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted modian 17 0.18 1.03(0.98 to 1.19) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted modian 10 0.12 0.74 0.23 0.03(raw,0	Outcome	Method	nSNP	P.value		OR(95%CI)	Q.test.P	Intercept	t.P Global.Test.P
Weighted median 19 0.53 101(0.97 to 1.09) Weighted mode 19 0.51 101(0.98 to 1.06) -0.01 0.18 0.59(outlier corrected,3 outliers) PD MR Egger 20 0.58	MDD	MR Egger	19	0.56	HQ1	0.98(0.92 to 1.04)	<0.01		
IVW 19 0.46 102(0.98 to 1.06) 0.01 0.18 0.59(outlier corrected, 3 outliers) PD MR Egger 20 0.58 0.67(0.55 to 1.40) 0.02 Weighted median 20 0.68 0.72(0.51 to 1.01) 0.02 0.4 0.85(raw,0 outliers) ADHD MR Egger 16 0.51 1.03(0.77 to 1.37) 0.02 0.4 0.85(raw,0 outliers) Weighted median 16 0.30 1.04(0.97 to 1.12) 0.97 0.07(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 10 0.23 1.04(0.97 to 1.12) 0.97 0.07(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 10 0.23 1.04(0.98 to 1.13) 0.99 0.07(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.18 1.04(0.98 to 1.13) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.18 1.03(0.95 to 1.19) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted median 17 0.18 1.03(0.95 to 1.19) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted		Weighted median	19	0.53	÷	1.01(0.97 to 1.05)			
Weighted mode 19 0.51 101(0.88 to 1.05) PD MR Egger 20 0.66 0.72(0.51 to 1.01) IVW 20 0.66 0.72(0.51 to 1.01) 0.02 Weighted mode 0.66 0.72(0.51 to 1.01) 0.02 0.4 0.85(raw,0 outliers) ADHD MR Egger 16 0.51 1.03(0.95 to 1.12) 0.97 Weighted mode 16 0.33 1.03(0.95 to 1.12) 0.97 Weighted mode 16 0.37 1.04(0.97 to 1.12) 0.97 ASD MR Egger 17 0.18 1.05(0.98 to 1.99) 0.9 0.07(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.18 1.05(0.96 to 1.24) 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted modian 17 0.18 1.03(0.96 to 1.24) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted modian 10 0.27 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,		IVW	19	0.46	+	1.02(0.98 to 1.06)	<0.01	0.18	0.59(outlier corrected,3 outliers)
PD MR Egger 20 0.68		Weighted mode	19	0.51		1.01(0.98 to 1.05)			
Weighted median 20 0.66 0.72(0.51 to 1.01) Weighted mode 20 0.07 0.680(.47 to 1.00) 0.92 0.4 0.85(raw,0 outliers) ADHD MR Egger 16 0.51 1.03(0.95 to 1.12) 0.97 Weighted median 16 0.23 1.03(0.95 to 1.12) 0.98 0.9 0.07(raw,0 outliers) Weighted median 17 0.18 1.04(0.97 to 1.13) 0.98 0.9 0.07(raw,0 outliers) Weighted median 17 0.18 1.09(0.96 to 1.24) 0.98 0.9 0.07(raw,0 outliers) Weighted median 17 0.18 1.09(0.96 to 1.24) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.18 1.01(0.97 to 1.25) 0.9 0.9 0.93 0.93 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.18 1.01(0.97 to 1.25) 0.27 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.27 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted median	PD	MR Egger	20	0.58		0.87(0.55 to 1.40)	0.02		
IVW 20 0.85		Weighted median	20	0.06	→	0.72(0.51 to 1.01)			
Weighted mode 20 0.07 0.68(0.47 to 1.00) ADHD MR Egger 16 0.51 1.03(0.95 to 1.12) 0.97 Weighted mode 16 0.30 4		IVW	20	0.85		1.03(0.77 to 1.37)	0.02	0.4	0.85(raw,0 outliers)
ADHD MR Egger 16 0.51 103(0.95 to 1.12) 0.97 IVW 16 0.23 104(0.97 to 1.12) 0.98 0.9 0.07(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 16 0.37 104(0.95 to 1.23) 0.99 0.97 Model and the end of		Weighted mode	20	0.07		0.69(0.47 to 1.00)			
Weighted median 16 0.30 0.31 1.04(0.97 to 1.12) Weighted median 16 0.23 1.03(0.98 to 1.09) 0.98 0.9 0.97 (raw,0 outliers) ASD MR Egger 17 0.62 1.05(0.88 to 1.25) 0.09	ADHD	MR Egger	16	0.51	HPH	1.03(0.95 to 1.12)	0.97		
IVW 16 0.23 1.03(0.98 to 1.09) 0.98 0.9 0.07(raw,0 outliers) ASD MR Egger 17 0.62 1.04(0.98 to 1.13) Image: Construction of the construction		Weighted median	16	0.30	101	1.04(0.97 to 1.12)			
Weighted mode 16 0.37 104(0.96 to 1.13) ASD MR Egger 17 0.62 1.05(0.88 to 1.25) 0.09 Weighted median 17 0.18 1.09(0.96 to 1.24) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted median 17 0.18 1.00(0.97 to 1.25) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Neuroticism MR Egger 20 0.21 1.01(0.97 to 1.25) 0.52 Neuroticism MR Egger 17 0.18 1.00(0.98 to 1.13) 0.52 Weighted median 20 0.21 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) 0.12 0.33 0.36 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.22 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.27 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.17 Weighted mode 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.27 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.27 Weighted median 17 0.43 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.27 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.12 SCZ MR Egger 17 0.41 1.02(0.97		IVW	16	0.23	1 11	1.03(0.98 to 1.09)	0.98	0.9	0.07(raw,0 outliers)
ASD MR Egger 17 0.62 1.05(0.88 to 1.25) 0.09 Weighted median 17 0.18 1.09(0.96 to 1.24) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.18 1.01(0.97 to 1.25) 0.52 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Neuroticism MR Egger 20 0.44 1.03(0.96 to 1.09) 0.52 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted median 20 0.24 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) 0.27 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.24 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) 0.27 0.53 0.36 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.77 1.02(0.92 to 1.31) 0.27 0.27 0.21 (raw,0 outliers) 0.11 SCZ MR Egger 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.40 0.41 0.20(0.93 to 1.10) 0.48 0.41		Weighted mode	16	0.37	HEH	1.04(0.96 to 1.13)			
Weighted median 17 0.18 1.09(0.96 to 1.24) IVW 17 0.20 1.07(0.96 to 1.24) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Neuroticism MR Egger 20 0.44 1.03(0.96 to 1.99) 0.52 Image: Construction of the construction of t	ASD	MR Egger	17	0.62		1.05(0.88 to 1.25)	0.09		
IVW 17 0.20 Image: Meighted mode 1.07(0.96 to 1.19) 0.12 0.74 0.23(raw,0 outliers) Neuroticism MR Egger 20 0.44 1.03(0.96 to 1.19) 0.52		Weighted median	17	0.18	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1.09(0.96 to 1.24)			
Weighted mode 17 0.18 1.10(0.97 to 1.25) Neuroticism MR Egger 20 0.44 1.03(0.96 to 1.09) 0.52 Weighted median 20 0.21 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) 0.53 0.36 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.24 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) 0.27 Weighted mode 17 0.77 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.27 Weighted mode 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.9 0.53 0.90 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.13) 0.27 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.99 0.53 0.99 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.13) 0.48 0.99 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.86 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.22		IVW	17	0.20	10-1	1.07(0.96 to 1.19)	0.12	0.74	0.23(raw,0 outliers)
Neuroticism MR Egger 20 0.44 1.03(0.96 to 1.09) 0.52 Weighted median 20 0.21 1.04(0.88 to 1.11) 0.53 0.36 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.24 1.04(0.88 to 1.11) 0.53 0.36 0.03(raw,0 outliers) BIP MR Egger 17 0.77 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.27 Weighted modian 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.13) 0.48 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.13) 0.48 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.65 0.98(0.92 to 1.07) 0.16 0.98(0.92 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted mode 20 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Weighted mode</td><td>17</td><td>0.18</td><td></td><td>1.10(0.97 to 1.25)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Weighted mode	17	0.18		1.10(0.97 to 1.25)			
Weighted median 20 0.21 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) IVW 20 0.02 1.05(1.01 to 1.09) 0.53 0.36 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.24 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) 0.77 0.77 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.27 Weighted median 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.99 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.13) 0.27 Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.13) 0.34 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.13) 0.48 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) SCZ MR Egger 17 0.33 1.04(0.96 to 1.13) 0.48 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.16 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted mode 20 0.65	Neuroticism	MR Egger	20	0.44	Here is a second se	1.03(0.96 to 1.09)	0.52		
IVW 20 0.02 1.05(1.01 to 1.09) 0.53 0.36 0.03(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.24 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) 0.27 0.27 BIP MR Egger 17 0.77 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.27 Weighted median 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.24 0.9 IVW 17 0.49 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.34 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.48 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) SCZ MR Egger 17 0.33 0.49 0.92 to 1.07) 0.48 Weighted median 17 0.65 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.16 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 10 0.55 0.24(raw,0 outliers) 0.98(0.92 to 1.07) 0.16 0.98(0.92 to 1.07) VW 20 0.65 0.24 0.99(0.92 to		Weighted median	20	0.21	6 1	1.04(0.98 to 1.11)			
Weighted mode 20 0.24 1.04(0.98 to 1.11) BIP MR Egger 17 0.77 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.27 Weighted median 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.77 IVW 17 0.49 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.48 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) SCZ MR Egger 17 0.33 1.04(0.96 to 1.09) 0.34 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.33 1.04(0.96 to 1.13) 0.48 0.9 Weighted mode 17 0.66 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.16 P MR Egger 20 0.22 0.98(0.91 to 1.07) 0.16 P Weighted mode 20 0.22 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20		IVW	20	0.02	1	1.05(1.01 to 1.09)	0.53	0.36	0.03(raw,0 outliers)
BIP MR Egger 17 0.77 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.27 Weighted median 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.27 IVW 17 0.49 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.34 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) 0.49 0.25 0.34 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) SCZ MR Egger 17 0.33 1.04(0.96 to 1.13) 0.48 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) VW 17 0.41 1.02(0.93 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted modian 20 0.65 0.98(0.92 to 1.07) 0.16 0.98(0.92 to 1.06) 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.45 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.65 0.98(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.6		Weighted mode	20	0.24	101	1.04(0.98 to 1.11)			
Weighted median 17 0.77 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) IVW 17 0.49 1.02(0.93 to 1.10) 0.34 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.10) 0.34 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) SCZ MR Egger 17 0.33 1.04(0.96 to 1.13) 0.48 0.9 Weighted median 17 0.86 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 1.02(0.93 to 1.07) 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.16 1.02(0.93 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted modian 20 0.65 0.98(0.92 to 1.06) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.22 0.97(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 9.90(0.92 to 1.07) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.	BIP	MR Egger	17	0.77	Here a	1.02(0.92 to 1.13)	0.27		
IVW 17 0.49 1.02(0.96 to 1.09) 0.34 0.9 0.51(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.11)		Weighted median	17	0.77	HH .	1.02(0.93 to 1.11)			
Weighted mode 17 0.72 1.02(0.93 to 1.11) SCZ MR Egger 17 0.33 1.04(0.96 to 1.13) 0.48 Weighted median 17 0.86 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.67 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Metighted mode 17 0.65 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.16 0.41 MR Egger 20 0.70 0.98(0.91 to 1.07) 0.16 0.42(raw,0 outliers) Weighted modian 20 0.65 0.97(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) P<0.05 was considered statistically significant protective factor risk factor P<0.05 was considered statistically significant <		IVW	17	0.49	101	1.02(0.96 to 1.09)	0.34	0.9	0.51(raw,0 outliers)
SCZ MR Egger 17 0.33 1.04(0.96 to 1.13) 0.48 Weighted median 17 0.86 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) IVW 17 0.41 1.02(0.97 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.41 1.02(0.97 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) ALZ MR Egger 20 0.70 0.98(0.92 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted modian 20 0.65 0.98(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.22 0.97(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 12 P<<0.05 was considered statistically significant		Weighted mode	17	0.72	HH	1.02(0.93 to 1.11)			
Weighted median 17 0.86 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.41 1.02(0.97 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) ALZ MR Egger 20 0.70 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted median 20 0.65 0.98(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.22 0.97(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Veighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Veighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) P<0.05 was considered statistically significant	SCZ	MR Egger	17	0.33	HEH .	1.04(0.96 to 1.13)	0.48		
IVW 17 0.41 1.02(0.97 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.52 0.52 0.41(raw,0 outliers) ALZ MR Egger 20 0.70 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.16 0.98(0.91 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted median 20 0.65 0.98(0.92 to 1.06) 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.22 0.97(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) P<0.05 was considered statistically significant		Weighted median	17	0.86	Here in the second s	0.99(0.92 to 1.07)			
Weighted mode 17 0.57 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) ALZ MR Egger 20 0.70 0.98(0.91 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted median 20 0.65 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.16 Wwighted mode 20 0.65 0.97(0.89 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted mode 20 0.62 0.97(0.82 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 m 0.99(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 m 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) P<0.05 was considered statistically significant protective factor risk factor P<0.05 was considered statistically significant		IVW	17	0.41	8 0	1.02(0.97 to 1.07)	0.52	0.52	0.41(raw,0 outliers)
ALZ MR Egger 20 0.70 4 0.98(0.91 to 1.07) 0.16 Weighted median 20 0.65 4 0.98(0.92 to 1.06) 0.98(0.92 to 1.02) 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.97(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) P<0.05 was considered statistically significant		Weighted mode	17	0.57	HH	0.97(0.89 to 1.07)			
Weighted median 20 0.65 0.98(0.92 to 1.06) IVW 20 0.22 0.97(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) P<0.05 was considered statistically significant nalysis and sensitivity analysis results of dentofacial deformity on psychiatric. disorders. IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD, Point disorders DD point at a disorders disorders. IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD,	ALZ	MR Egger	20	0.70	Here and the second sec	0.98(0.91 to 1.07)	0.16		
IVW 20 0.22 0.97(0.92 to 1.02) 0.19 0.65 0.24(raw,0 outliers) Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) P<0.05 was considered statistically significant		Weighted median	20	0.65	Here and the second sec	0.98(0.92 to 1.06)			
Weighted mode 20 0.80 0.99(0.92 to 1.07) 0 1 2 P<0.05 was considered statistically significant protective factor risk factor		IVW	20	0.22	10	0.97(0.92 to 1.02)	0.19	0.65	0.24(raw,0 outliers)
nalysis and sensitivity analysis results of dentofacial deformity on psychiatric. disorders. IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD,		Weighted mode	20	0.80	HH	0.99(0.92 to 1.07)			
nalysis and sensitivity analysis results of dentofacial deformity on psychiatric, disorders, IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD,				0	1	2	P<0.05	was consid	ered statistically significant
nalysis and sensitivity analysis results of dentofacial deformity on psychiatric, disorders, IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD,				protec	tive factor risk fac	tor			
nalysis and sensitivity analysis results of dentofacial deformity on psychiatric. disorders. IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD,									
nalysis and sensitivity analysis results of dentofacial deformity on psychiatric. disorders. IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD,									
narysis and sensitivity anarysis results of denotation definition provide the sources. Inwy, inverse validation weighted, MDD,	analysis and sonsi	tivity analysis ros	ults of d	entofacia	l deformity on	nevchiatric disc	ordere IV/M	l inverso	ariance weighted: MDD
						i psychiatric. uisc		, 11100150	lisenden DID kinsten die
	ua: ALZ Alzhe	imer's disease.							

heterogeneity. As for horizontal pleiotropy, it was rejected in all the studies in MR-Egger intercept test. For the studies in which outliers were found, the analysis was repeated after excluding the outliers in the MR-PRESSO test (Figures 2, 4). Leave-one-out analysis confirmed that no extreme SNP affected the results in any study (Supplementary Figure S2).

4 Discussion

The MR analysis can be used to study the causal relationship between two complex diseases by selecting SNPs, which are highly correlated with the exposure diseases, as IVs to observe their effects on the outcome diseases. It may be seen as a kind of randomized clinical



Dentofacial deformity increases the risk of neuroticism. (A) Scatter plots for the effect of dentofacial deformity on risk of neuroticism, (B) Forest plot of the results of the leave-one-out sensitivity analysis on the effect of dentofacial deformity on neuroticism. SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

trial (RCT) since the SNPs are randomly assigned between parents and offspring, effectively lowering the influence of confounding variables and avoiding reverse causation bias compared to other observational line research methods (35). Here, a bidirectional twosample MR analysis was carried out to study the casual relationship between psychiatric disorders and dentofacial deformities. Specifically, MDD elevated the risk of dentofacial deformities, while dentofacial deformity conditions also increased the incidence of neuroticism.

A growing body of evidence indicates that MDD may be the risk factors for dentofacial deformities by affecting systemic bone metabolism. Patients with depression typically exhibit dysfunction of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, elevated concentrations of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and cortisol, increased production of inflammatory factors, and upregulation of osteoclast activity, ultimately resulting in aberrant bone metabolism (36). Consequently, impaired skeletal development, including anomalies in dentofacial growth, is commonly noted in adolescents with depression (37, 38), reflecting disturbances in bone metabolism during critical growth periods. Additionally, depressive disorder impacts embryonic dentofacial development. Evidence suggests depression during pregnancy has a detrimental effect on fetal growth and development (39), and increase the risk of dentofacial deformities in offspring (40).

In addition to MDD itself, ongoing antidepressant therapy is also a risk factor for dentofacial deformities. The most widely used antidepressants for depression, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), have been shown to impair bone metabolism (36), since elevated serotonin levels caused by SSRIs may prevent osteoblastic cells from proliferating, differentiating, and mineralizing (41). Variations in serotonin levels are associated with anatomical and physiological abnormalities in utero, resulting in craniofacial development anomalies such as cleft palate, craniosynostosis, and dental deformities (42). Additionally, low postnatal weight, short length, small head circumference, and developmental growth retardation were observed in SSRI-exposed intrauterine fetuses or breastfed babies as a result of maternal drug use (39, 43). Hence, MDD and the intake of antidepressants can similarly obstruct dentofacial development especially in adolescence and embryonic stage, thus elevating the risk of dentofacial deformities.

Previous studies have also indicated that psychiatric disorders other than MDD may have effect on the dentofacial deformities, but this remains controversial. Like children with ASD or ADHD may exhibit significantly increased abnormal behavioral patterns, such as finger-sucking and lip-biting, which can contribute to the occurrence and progression of dentofacial deformities (13, 14). Nevertheless, a recently published systematic review suggested insufficient evidence to associate the prevalence of dentofacial deformities with ASD or ADHD (19). Additionally, olanzapine, a commonly used drug for SCZ, has been shown to inhibit osteoblast function and obstruct skeletal development by down-regulating the Wnt/ß-catenin pathway (44). However, other studies have shown that olanzapine usage does not result in bone metabolism abnormalities (45). Similarly, there was no effect of other psychiatric disorders except MDD on dentofacial deformities found in this MR study.

According to popular belief, patients with dentofacial deformities are thought to be more vulnerable to psychological

distress due to a lack of confidence in facial features, which may result in depression or anxiety (46). Though a few studies provided support for this viewpoint (12), the majority of research found no evidence to support the perspective that dentofacial deformities could increase the risk of psychiatric disorders (18, 47). Rather than depression or anxiety, this MR study indicated that dentofacial deformity was a risk factor for neuroticism. Considering that patients who require orthognathic surgical intervention due to severe dentofacial deformities are comparatively more susceptible to psychological distress (47), that combining dentofacial deformities of varying severity for analysis may get unclear results. Further analysis of dentofacial deformity subgroups that vary in severity may provide a comprehensive understanding of its association with psychiatric disorders.

Among the eight psychiatric disorders involved in this study, ALZ is more widely recognized as being associated with oral diseases, especially periodontitis (48). By elevating the degree of systemic inflammation, periodontitis was demonstrated to contribute to the development of Alzheimer's cognitive impairment (49, 50). Since malocclusion is considered a susceptibility factor for periodontitis by challenging oral cleaning (51), the potential impact of dentofacial deformities on ALZ was evaluated. At first, a positive relationship was observed when a small sample GWAS database from PGC (52) was selected for analysis (data not shown). However, no effect of dentofacial deformity on ALZ was shown when another more extensive database was applied. Although a clear association between dentofacial deformities and ALZ cannot yet be established, further investigations may provide new insight into the possibility that these two conditions are linked through periodontitis.

Actually, in addition to the above-discussed bidirectional causative relationship, psychiatric disorders, and dentofacial skeletal development may have a considerably stronger correlation than is currently recognized. More recently, the novel role of osteogenic proteins associated with dentofacial development, such as bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) (53) and neural EGFLlike 1 (Nell-1) (54), in the central nervous system has been revealed. BMP increased in the hippocampus of mice under psychological stress (55), and BMP signaling inhibition showed antidepressant properties (56). Nell-1-haploinsufficient (Nell-1^{+/6R}) mice display core abnormalities similar to autism spectrum disorder (57). A closer connection between psychiatric disorders and dentofacial deformities comes to light due to the identification of these multifunctional proteins affecting both bone development and nerve function, which also broadens the scope of future studies on their relationship.

This study reinforces the connection between psychiatric disorders and dentofacial deformities, advances our understanding of the etiology of dentofacial deformities, and highlights the necessity of continuous psychological condition monitoring during orthodontic treatment. However, the study still has some limitations, as reflected in the following. First, the limited sample size of dentofacial deformity GWAS data restricted the selection of genetic variables. Second, only the population of European origin was analyzed because of sample source limitations. Third, there was no subgroup analysis of the different types or severity of dentofacial deformities. Together with our findings, these currently intractable limitations offer additional opportunities and targets for further in-depth study, especially subgroup analyses on sizable samples.

5 Conclusion

MDD works as a risk factor for dentofacial deformity. Dentofacial deformity contributes to the chance of neuroticism.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

JN: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. QX: Conceptualization, Data curation, Software, Writing – original draft. MH: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. HQ: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study

References

1. Malhi GS, Mann JJ. Depression. Lancet. (2018) 392:2299-312. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(18)31948-2

Tao H, Fan S, Zhu T, You L, Zheng D, Yan L, et al. Psychiatric disorders and Type
2 diabetes mellitus: A bidirectional Mendelian randomization. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2023)
53:e13893. doi: 10.1111/eci.13893

3. Goldfarb M, De Hert M, Detraux J, Di Palo K, Munir H, Music S, et al. Severe mental illness and cardiovascular disease: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2022) 80:918–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.06.017

4. Zhang F, Rao S, Baranova A. Shared genetic liability between major depressive disorder and osteoarthritis. *Bone Joint Res.* (2022) 11:12–22. doi: 10.1302/2046-3758.111.BJR-2021-0277.R1

 Aghasizadeh Sherbaf R, Kaposvári GM, Nagy K, Álmos ZP, Baráth Z, Matusovits D. Oral health status and factors related to oral health in patients with schizophrenia: A matched case-control observational study. J Clin Med. (2024) 13:1584. doi: 10.3390/ jcm13061584

6. Kalaigian A, Chaffee BW. Mental health and oral health in a nationally representative cohort. *J Dent Res.* (2023) 102:1007-14. doi: 10.1177/00220345231171108

7. Pi Y, Jiao Z, Wang L, Zhao Y, Hu W, Chen F, et al. Genetic evidence strengthens the bidirectional connection between oral health status and psychiatric disorders: A two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *J Affect Disord.* (2024) 351:661–70. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2024.01.232

was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(82100956) and the Jilin Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (YDZJ202301ZYTS432).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the studies and consortiums that provided available GWASs and this study's participants.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1442679/ full#supplementary-material

8. Zou J, Meng M, Law CS, Rao Y, Zhou X. Common dental diseases in children and malocclusion. Int J Oral Sci. (2018) 10:7. doi: 10.1038/s41368-018-0012-3

9. Lombardo G, Vena F, Negri P, Pagano S, Barilotti C, Paglia L, et al. Worldwide prevalence of malocclusion in the different stages of dentition: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Paediatr Dent*. (2020) 21:115–22. doi: 10.23804/ejpd.2020.21.02.05

10. Koskela A, Neittaanmäki A, Rönnberg K, Palotie A, Ripatti S, Palotie T. The relation of severe malocclusion to patients' mental and behavioral disorders, growth, and speech problems. *Eur J Orthod*. (2020) 43:159–64. doi: 10.1093/ejo/cjaa028

11. Sicari F, Merlo EM, Gentile G, Nucera R, Portelli M, Settineri S, et al. Body image and psychological impact of dental appearance in adolescents with malocclusion: A preliminary exploratory study. *Children (Basel)*. (2023) 10:1691. doi: 10.3390/children10101691

12. Kovalenko A, Slabkovskaya A, Drobysheva N, Persin L, Drobyshev A, Maddalone M. The association between the psychological status and the severity of facial deformity in orthognathic patients. *Angle Orthod.* (2012) 82:396–402. doi: 10.2319/060211-363.1

13. Mota-Veloso I, Ramos-Jorge J, Freitas LRP, Ferreira FO, Ramos-Jorge ML, Paiva SM, et al. The prevalence of malocclusion is higher in schoolchildren with signs of hyperactivity. *Am J Orthodontics Dentofacial Orthopedics*. (2021) 159:653–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2019.11.027

14. da Motta TP, Owens J, Abreu LG, Debossan SAT, Vargas-Ferreira F, Vettore MV. Malocclusion characteristics amongst individuals with autism spectrum disorder:

a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Oral Health. (2022) 22:341. doi: 10.1186/s12903-022-02366-0

15. Torales J, Barrios I, González I. Oral and dental health issues in people with mental disorders. *Medwave.* (2017) 17:e7045. doi: 10.5867/medwave.2017.08.7045

16. Nascimento GG, Gastal MT, Leite FRM, Quevedo LA, Peres KG, Peres MA, et al. Is there an association between depression and periodontitis? A birth cohort study. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2019) 46:31–9. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13039

17. Kisely S, Sawyer E, Siskind D, Lalloo R. The oral health of people with anxiety and depressive disorders - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord*. (2016) 200:119–32. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.04.040

18. Frejman MW, Vargas IA, Rösing CK, Closs LQ. Dentofacial deformities are associated with lower degrees of self-esteem and higher impact on oral health-related quality of life: results from an observational study involving adults. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* (2013) 71:763–7. doi: 10.1016/j.joms.2012.08.011

19. Barros A, Mascarenhas P, Botelho J, MaChado V, Balixa G, Bandeira Lopes L. Autism spectrum disorders and malocclusions: systematic review and meta-analyses. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11:2727. doi: 10.3390/jcm11102727

20. Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke T-K, Hafferty JD, Gibson J, Shirali M, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nat Neurosci.* (2019) 22:343–52. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0326-7

21. Forstner AJ, Awasthi S, Wolf C, Maron E, Erhardt A, Czamara D, et al. Genomewide association study of panic disorder reveals genetic overlap with neuroticism and depression. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2021) 26:4179–90. doi: 10.1038/s41380-019-0590-2

22. Demontis D, Walters GB, Athanasiadis G, Walters R, Therrien K, Nielsen TT, et al. Genome-wide analyses of ADHD identify 27 risk loci, refine the genetic architecture and implicate several cognitive domains. *Nat Genet.* (2023) 55:198–208. doi: 10.1038/s41588-022-01285-8

23. Grove J, Ripke S, Als TD, Mattheisen M, Walters RK, Won H, et al. Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. *Nat Genet.* (2019) 51:431–44. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0344-8

24. Loh P-R, Kichaev G, Gazal S, Schoech AP, Price AL. Mixed-model association for biobank-scale datasets. Nat Genet. (2018) 50:906–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0144-6

25. Mullins N, Forstner AJ, O'Connell KS, Coombes B, Coleman JRI, Qiao Z, et al. Genome-wide association study of more than 40,000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. *Nat Genet.* (2021) 53:817–29. doi: 10.1038/ s41588-021-00857-4

26. Trubetskoy V, Pardiñas AF, Qi T, Panagiotaropoulou G, Awasthi S, Bigdeli TB, et al. Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *Nature*. (2022) 604:502–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04434-5

27. Bellenguez C, Küçükali F, Jansen IE, Kleineidam L, Moreno-Grau S, Amin N, et al. New insights into the genetic etiology of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias. *Nat Genet*. (2022) 54:412–36. doi: 10.1038/s41588-022-01024-z

28. Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, Sipilä TP, Kristiansson K, Donner KM, et al. FinnGen provides genetic insights from a well-phenotyped isolated population. *Nature*. (2023) 613:508–18. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05473-8

29. Burgess S, Small DS, Thompson SG. A review of instrumental variable estimators for Mendelian randomization. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2017) 26:2333–55. doi: 10.1177/0962280215597579

30. Xiang Y, Song J, Liang Y, Sun J, Zheng Z. Causal relationship between psychiatric traits and temporomandibular disorders: a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Clin Oral Invest.* (2023) 27:7513-21. doi: 10.1007/s00784-023-05339-x

31. Palmer TM, Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sheehan NA, Tobias JH, Timpson NJ, et al. Using multiple genetic variants as instrumental variables for modifiable risk factors. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2012) 21:223–42. doi: 10.1177/0962280210394459

32. Ni J, Zhou W, Cen H, Chen G, Huang J, Yin K, et al. Evidence for causal effects of sleep disturbances on risk for osteoarthritis: a univariable and multivariable Mendelian randomization study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. (2022) 30:443–50. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2021.11.021

33. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080

34. Verbanck M, Chen C-Y, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7

35. Sleiman PMA, Grant SFA. Mendelian randomization in the era of genomewide association studies. *Clin Chem.* (2010) 56:723–8. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2009.141564

36. Williams LJ, Pasco JA, Jacka FN, Henry MJ, Dodd S, Berk M. Depression and bone metabolism: A review. *Psychother Psychosomatics*. (2008) 78:16–25. doi: 10.1159/000162297

37. Calarge CA, Butcher BD, Burns TL, Coryell WH, Schlechte JA, Zemel BS. Major depressive disorder and bone mass in adolescents and young adults. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2014) 29:2230–7. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2249

38. Fazeli PK, Mendes N, Russell M, Herzog DB, Klibanski A, Misra M. Bone density characteristics and major depressive disorder in adolescents. *Psychosomatic Med.* (2013) 75:117. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e3182821e91

39. Lewis AJ, Galbally M, Opie G, Buist A. Neonatal growth outcomes at birth and one month postpartum following in *utero* exposure to antidepressant medication. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. (2010) 44:482–7. doi: 10.3109/00048670903559593

40. Pearlstein T. Depression during pregnancy. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. (2015) 29:754–64. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2015.04.004

41. Warden SJ, Bliziotes MM, Wiren KM, Eshleman AJ, Turner CH. Neural regulation of bone and the skeletal effects of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine). *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* (2005) 242:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2005.06.005

42. Sánchez N, Juárez-Balarezo J, Olhaberry M, González-Oneto H, Muzard A, Mardonez MJ, et al. Depression and antidepressants during pregnancy: craniofacial defects due to stem/progenitor cell deregulation mediated by serotonin. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2021) 9:632766. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.632766

43. Fricke HP, Krajco CJ, Perry MJ, Desorcy-Scherer KM, Wake LA, Charles JF, et al. Developmental fluoxetine exposure affects adolescent and adult bone depending on the dose and period of exposure in mice. *Physiol Rep.* (2023) 11:e15881. doi: 10.14814/phy2.15881

44. Li P, Wang Y, Liu X, Zhou Z, Wang J, Zhou H, et al. Atypical antipsychotics induce human osteoblasts apoptosis via Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. *BMC Pharmacol Toxicol.* (2019) 20:10. doi: 10.1186/s40360-019-0287-9

45. Liang M, Zhang B, Deng L, Xu R, Wu H, Chen J. Effects of olanzapine on bone mineral density, glucose, and lipid metabolism in schizophrenia patients. *Int J Endocrinol.* (2019) 2019:1312804. doi: 10.1155/2019/1312804

46. Silva M, Francisco I, Sanz D, Palmeira L, Vale F. Negative social comparisons and social discomfort in dentofacial deformity: a cross-sectional study. *Minerva Dent Oral Sci.* (2021) 70:88–94. doi: 10.23736/S2724-6329.20.04412-X

47. Basso IB, Gonçalves FM, Martins AA, Schroder AGD, Taveira KVM, Stechman-Neto J, et al. Psychosocial changes in patients submitted to orthodontic surgery treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2022) 26:2237– 51. doi: 10.1007/s00784-021-04304-w

48. Qiu C, Zhou W, Shen H, Wang J, Tang R, Wang T, et al. Profiles of subgingival microbiomes and gingival crevicular metabolic signatures in patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* (2024) 16:41. doi: 10.1186/s13195-024-01402-1

49. Lane CA, Hardy J, Schott JM. Alzheimer's disease. *Eur J Neurol.* (2018) 25:59–70. doi: 10.1111/ene.13439

50. Ide M, Harris M, Stevens A, Sussams R, Hopkins V, Culliford D, et al. Periodontitis and cognitive decline in alzheimer's disease. *PloS One.* (2016) 11: e0151081. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0151081

51. Passanezi E, Sant'Ana ACP. Role of occlusion in periodontal disease. *Periodontology 2000.* (2019) 79:129–50. doi: 10.1111/prd.12251

52. Jansen IE, Savage JE, Watanabe K, Bryois J, Williams DM, Steinberg S, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies new loci and functional pathways influencing Alzheimer's disease risk. *Nat Genet.* (2019) 51:404–13. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0311-9

53. Lowery JW, Rosen V. The BMP pathway and its inhibitors in the skeleton. *Physiol Rev.* (2018) 98:2431–52. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00028.2017

54. Li C, Zhang X, Zheng Z, Nguyen A, Ting K, Soo C. Nell-1 is a key functional modulator in osteochondrogenesis and beyond. *J Dent Res.* (2019) 98:1458–68. doi: 10.1177/0022034519882000

55. Tunc-Ozcan E, Brooker SM, Bonds JA, Tsai Y-H, Rawat R, McGuire TL, et al. Hippocampal BMP signaling as a common pathway for antidepressant action. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2021) 79:31. doi: 10.1007/s00018-021-04026-y

56. Mori M, Murata Y, Tsuchihashi M, Hanakita N, Terasaki F, Harada H, et al. Continuous psychosocial stress stimulates BMP signaling in dorsal hippocampus concomitant with anxiety-like behavior associated with differential modulation of cell proliferation and neurogenesis. *Behav Brain Res.* (2020) 392:112711. doi: 10.1016/jbbr.2020.112711

57. Li C, Zheng Z, Ha P, Jiang W, Soo C, Ting K. Neural EGFL-like 1, a craniosynostosisrelated osteochondrogenic molecule, strikingly associates with neurodevelopmental pathologies. *Cell Biosci.* (2023) 13:227. doi: 10.1186/s13578-023-01174-5