



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Birgit Angela Völlm,
University of Rostock, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE
Fritjof von Franqué
✉ f.von-franque@uke.de

RECEIVED 28 March 2024
ACCEPTED 09 April 2024
PUBLISHED 26 April 2024

CITATION
von Franqué F, Bergner-Koether R, Schmidt S,
Pellowski JS, Peters JH, Hajak G and Briken P
(2024) Corrigendum: Individuals under
voluntary treatment with sexual interest in
minors: what risk do they pose?
Front. Psychiatry 15:1408862.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1408862

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 von Franqué, Bergner-Koether,
Schmidt, Pellowski, Peters, Hajak and Briken.
This is an open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or reproduction
is permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Corrigendum: Individuals under voluntary treatment with sexual interest in minors: what risk do they pose?

Fritjof von Franqué^{1*}, Ralf Bergner-Koether^{2,3},
Stefanie Schmidt¹, Jan S. Pellowski¹, Jan H. Peters⁴,
Göran Hajak² and Peer Briken¹

¹Institute for Sex Research, Sexual Medicine and Forensic Psychiatry, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ²Department for Sexual Medicine, Sozialstiftung Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany, ³Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany, ⁴Department of Educational Psychology, University of Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany

KEYWORDS

child sexual abuse, child pornography, Dunkelfeld, *do not offend*, not become an offender, kein Täter werden, CPORT

A Corrigendum on

Individuals under voluntary treatment with sexual interest in minors: what risk do they pose?

von Franqué F, Bergner-Koether R, Schmidt S, Pellowski JS, Peters JH, Hajak G and Briken P (2023) *Front. Psychiatry*. 14:1277225. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1277225

In the published article, there was an error in **1 Introduction**, Paragraph 3. We cited an author who felt misinterpreted by our presentation. The sentence previously stated:

“In addition, it was argued that a great deal of money was being invested in a group of people who might not pose any substantial risk for reoffending (12).”

The corrected text appears below:

“In addition, König (2015, p.119, translated from the original German work) (9) argued: ‘Instead of expanding primary preventive services, the effectiveness of which has yet to be proven in terms of child and youth protection, it would make sense from a forensic perspective to expand the outpatient psychotherapeutic care situation for men who have been convicted of sexual offenses against minors and have received court orders for therapy, for example. This is a group of offenders who have already proven their dangerousness and, in accordance with the risk-needs-responsivity principle, have a particular need for help.’”

In the published article there was an error in **4 Discussion**, Paragraph 2. We erroneously cited a personal communication and three references. The sentence previously stated:

“However, critics might argue that resources for treatment should be based on the potential for re-offending and not solely on past offending behaviors, implying that many

individuals in the prevention project would not offend a second time [H.-L. Kröber, personal communication, January 9th, 2015; (9, 10, 12)].”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“However, critics might argue that resources for treatment should be based on the potential for re-offending and not solely on past offending behaviors, implying that many individuals in the prevention project would not offend a second time.”

In the published article there was a rounding error in a [Table 2](#). In the row *Total* (n = 165), 9 out of 165 people are incorrectly reported as 6%. The correct relative frequency is 5%. The corrected [Table 2](#) appears below:

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 2 Frequencies (percent within group) of child sexual abuse (CSA) during time at risk, differentiated by detection of CSA offending in history.

| | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------|
| CSA in history | No offence (n = 107) | 1 (1%) |
| | Undetected CSA (n = 46) | 8 (17%) |
| | Detected CSA (n = 12) | 0 (0%) |
| Total (n=165) | | 9 (5%) |