



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Eduardo Fernández-Jiménez,  
University Hospital La Paz, Spain

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Sabina Adhiambo Odero  
✉ sabina.odero@aku.edu  
Amina Abubakar  
✉ amina.abubakar@aku.edu

RECEIVED 06 July 2023  
ACCEPTED 31 July 2023  
PUBLISHED 08 August 2023


## CITATION

Odero SA, Mwangi P, Odhiambo R, Nzioka BM, Shumba C, Ndirangu-Mugo E and Abubakar A (2023) Corrigendum: Psychometric evaluation of PHQ-9 and GAD-7 among community health volunteers and nurses/midwives in Kenya following a nation-wide telephonic survey. *Front. Psychiatry* 14:1253843. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2023.1253843

## COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Odero, Mwangi, Odhiambo, Nzioka, Shumba, Ndirangu-Mugo and Abubakar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Psychometric evaluation of PHQ-9 and GAD-7 among community health volunteers and nurses/midwives in Kenya following a nation-wide telephonic survey

Sabina Adhiambo Odero<sup>1\*</sup>, Paul Mwangi<sup>1</sup>, Rachel Odhiambo<sup>1</sup>, Brenda Mumbua Nzioka<sup>1</sup>, Constance Shumba<sup>2</sup>, Eunice Ndirangu-Mugo <sup>2</sup> and Amina Abubakar<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Human Development, Aga Khan University, Nairobi, Kenya, <sup>2</sup>School of Nursing and Midwifery, Aga Khan University, Nairobi, Kenya

## KEYWORDS

anxiety, depression, community health volunteers, PHQ-9, GAD-7, nurses/midwives, reliability, validity

## A corrigendum on

[Psychometric evaluation of PHQ-9 and GAD-7 among community health volunteers and nurses/midwives in Kenya following a nation-wide telephonic survey](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2023.1123839)

by Odero, S. A., Mwangi, P., Odhiambo, R., Nzioka, B. M., Shumba, C., Ndirangu-Mugo, E., and Abubakar, A. (2023). *Front. Psychiatry* 14:1123839. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2023.1123839

In the published article, the surname of the fourth author was incorrectly written in the article's citation as "Mumbua Nzioka B" and copyright statement as "Mumbua Nzioka". It should read "Nzioka BM" and "Nzioka", respectively.

The author's name was also incorrectly abbreviated in the Author contributions statement as BN, while it should read BMN. This sentence previously stated:

"AA, CS, and EN-M: conceptualization and methodology. SO, BN, RO, AA, CS, and EN-M: investigation. RO, PM, and SO: data management. SO, PM, and AA: formal analysis. SO, BN, AA, CS, and EN-M: project administration and supervision. SO and PM: writing-original draft preparation. SO, PM, BN, RO, CS, EN-M, and AA: writing-review and editing. All authors read, provided feedback, approved, and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

The correct statement appears below:

"AA, CS, and EN-M: conceptualization and methodology. SO, BMN, RO, AA, CS, and EN-M: investigation. RO, PM, and SO: data management. SO, PM, and AA: formal analysis. SO, BMN, AA, CS, and EN-M: project administration and supervision. SO and PM: writing-original draft preparation. SO, PM, BMN, RO, CS, EN-M, and AA: writing-review and editing. All authors read, provided feedback, approved, and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

In the published article, there was an error. The authors stated that PHQ-9 and GAD-7 were significantly positively correlated with resilience and work engagement instead of negatively correlated in the results section of the abstract.

A correction has been made to Abstract, *Results*, Lines 97–99. This sentence previously stated:

“The PHQ-9 and GAD-7 were also significantly positively correlated with resilience and work engagement, supporting divergent validity.”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“The PHQ-9 and GAD-7 were significantly negatively correlated with resilience and work engagement, supporting divergent validity.”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.