



# Safety and Efficacy of High-Dose Vitamin B6 as an Adjunctive Treatment for Antipsychotic-Induced Hyperprolactinemia in Male Patients With Treatment-Resistant Schizophrenia

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Gary T. Hardiman,  
Queen's University Belfast,  
United Kingdom

### Reviewed by:

Hiro Yoshi Takeuchi,  
Keio University, Japan  
Mary V. Seeman,  
University of Toronto, Canada  
Hsien-Yuan Lane,  
China Medical University, Taiwan

### \*Correspondence:

Chuanjun Zhuo  
chuanjunzhuotjmh@163.com;  
chuanjunzhuo@nankai.edu.cn  
Hongjun Tian  
thj-home@163.com  
Chongguang Lin  
lcg25@126.com

†These authors have contributed  
equally to this work

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Psychopharmacology,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 23 April 2021

Accepted: 05 August 2021

Published: 26 August 2021

### Citation:

Zhuo C, Xu Y, Wang H, Fang T,  
Chen J, Zhou C, Li Q, Liu J, Xu S,  
Yao C, Yang W, Yang A, Li B, Chen Y,  
Tian H and Lin C (2021) Safety and  
Efficacy of High-Dose Vitamin B6 as  
an Adjunctive Treatment for  
Antipsychotic-Induced  
Hyperprolactinemia in Male Patients  
With Treatment-Resistant  
Schizophrenia.  
Front. Psychiatry 12:681418.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2021.681418

Chuanjun Zhuo<sup>1,2\*†</sup>, Yong Xu<sup>3,4†</sup>, Haibo Wang<sup>5†</sup>, Tao Fang<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiayue Chen<sup>2,6</sup>,  
Chunhua Zhou<sup>7</sup>, Qianchen Li<sup>7</sup>, Jie Liu<sup>6</sup>, Shuli Xu<sup>6</sup>, Cong Yao<sup>6</sup>, Weiliang Yang<sup>6</sup>,  
Anqu Yang<sup>8</sup>, Bo Li<sup>8</sup>, Yuhui Chen<sup>8</sup>, Hongjun Tian<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Chongguang Lin<sup>9\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Multiple Organ Damages of Major Psychoses (MODMP\_Lab), Tianjin Fourth Center Hospital, The Fourth Central Hospital Affiliated With Nankai University, The Fourth Central Hospital Affiliated to Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Real Time Brain Circuit Tracing in Neurology and Psychiatry (RTBNP\_Lab), Tianjin Fourth Center Hospital, The Fourth Central Hospital Affiliated With Nankai University, The Fourth Central Hospital Affiliated to Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, <sup>3</sup> Department of Psychiatry, First Hospital/First Clinical Medical College of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China, <sup>4</sup> Mental Disorder Therapy Center for Cognitive Impairment and Sleep Disorders, First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China, <sup>5</sup> Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China, <sup>6</sup> Laboratory of Neuro-Imaging and Comorbidity (PNGC\_Lab), Tianjin Anding Hospital Affiliated to Nankai University, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, <sup>7</sup> Department of Pharmacology, The First Hospital Affiliated to Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, China, <sup>8</sup> Department of Treatment Resistant Schizophrenia, Tianjin Kangtai Hospital, Tianjin, China, <sup>9</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Wenzhou Seventh Peoples Hospital, Wenzhou, China

This study aimed to investigate the safety and efficacy of high-dose vitamin B6 (vB6) as an adjunct treatment for antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia (AIHP) in male patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia (TRS). In this randomized double-blinded controlled study, patients were randomized (1:1) into a control group given aripiprazole (ARI; 10 mg/day;  $n = 100$ ) or an intervention group given vB6 (300 mg/12 h for 16 weeks;  $n = 100$ ). Prolactin levels, psychotic symptoms [Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS)], cognitive function [MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB)], liver function, kidney function, growth hormone level, micronutrient levels, blood lipids, and adverse secondary effects (ASEs) [Treatment Emergent Symptom Scale (TESS) and Barnes-Akathisia scale] were monitored. After a 16-week treatment period, the vB6 group showed a 68.1% reduction in serum prolactin levels (from  $95.52 \pm 6.30 \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $30.43 \pm 18.65 \mu\text{g/L}$ ) while the ARI group showed only a 37.4% reduction (from  $89.07 \pm 3.59 \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $55.78 \pm 7.39 \mu\text{g/L}$ ). During weeks 1–4, both treatments reduced prolactin similarly. Subsequently, the ARI effect plateaued, while the vB6 effect remained robust. The vB6 group showed better alleviation of psychotic symptoms and cognitive impairment. No serious ASEs were observed; ASEs were more frequent in the ARI group. AIHP reduction efficacy of vB6 was associated with baseline prolactin and triglyceride levels, total vB6 dosage, and education level. In conclusion, compared with the ARI

group, TRS patients given vB6 showed better attenuation of AIHP, lower ASE scores, and greater improvements in clinical symptoms and cognitive impairments. These results support further consideration of vB6 as a putative treatment for AIHP.

**Trial Registration:** ChiCTR1800014755

**Keywords:** TRS, aripiprazole, drug repurposing, cognitive ability, PANSS

## INTRODUCTION

Antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia (AIHP) is a difficult to manage secondary adverse effect (ASE) of antipsychotic medication use (1, 2). The etiology of AIHP is unclear (3–8). The main proposed mechanisms of the pathogenesis of AIHP are the estrogen protection hypothesis and the stress prolactin-dopamine hypothesis (5–7), both of which involve alterations in dopamine receptor activation (5–7). Each of these hypotheses provides only a partial explanation. Accordingly, the need for more studies examining functional disturbances of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis in patients with psychosis has been emphasized (5–7). The clinical manifestations of hyperprolactinemia, including sexual dysfunction and gynecomastia in male patients, can cause patients to feel distressed and to suffer a reduction of life quality (4–6, 8) and thus result in reduced compliance with antipsychotic treatment adherence and, ultimately, a deteriorated prognosis (9, 10).

Several decades of research have not produced complete alleviation of AIHP (2, 11–13), especially in patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia (TRS). In most cases, patients with TRS need antipsychotic dosages two to three times the regular dosage regime and may still suffer from clinically significant residual symptoms (14). The long-term and high-dosage characteristics of their antipsychotic treatment increases the risk of serious ASEs, including difficult to treat hyperprolactinemia (15, 16). In an effort to alleviate AIHP, many physicians will switch patients from high-dosage antipsychotics to low-dosage aripiprazole (ARI) (17, 18), which has been suggested to alleviate the condition in at least some cases (19, 20), albeit not without some controversy (20–24). Recommendations for reducing the risk of or alleviating AIHP, beyond low-dosage ARI, including metformin, traditional Chinese herbs, and low-dosage dopamine agonists (e.g., bromocriptine) (17, 21). However, these approaches are not highly satisfactory, especially with respect to the management of psychotic symptoms.

Some older studies examining the effects of the water-soluble micronutrient vitamin B6 (vB6), may be relevant to the problem of AIHP. In 1977, Delitala et al. found 600-mg vB6 injections reduced prolactin levels in patients using antipsychotics and reported a pharmacodynamic curve of the resultant altered prolactin levels over several hours (21). In a 1978 study of

lactating women, de Waal et al. found that vB6 (300–1,200 mg) did not reduce chlorpromazine-induced hyperprolactinemia, but perhaps this negative conclusion should be re-considered given that the women were actively producing milk, a physiological process that requires elevated prolactin (22). Indeed, in 1979, Rosenberg et al. reported that vB6 reduced chlorpromazine-induced hyperprolactinemia in animal models (25). Following these studies, however, the safety and potential efficacy of vB6 for reducing elevated prolactin levels in patients taking antipsychotics were not established.

Patients have been given vB6 to alleviate nausea and vomiting (due to pregnancy or radiation sickness) (25) and to alleviate premenstrual/menstrual breast pain; it has been suggested that it works for these conditions by promoting dopamine production in the brain, thus activating dopamine receptors that reduce the secretion of pituitary prolactin (26). Furthermore, vB6 has been shown to suppress postpartum lactation at a dosage of 600 mg/day and to reduce homocysteine serum levels at a dosage of 1,200 mg/day without any obvious ASEs after 12 weeks of use (27).

Recent methodological advancements have enabled researchers to discover previously unrecognized effects of old drugs. For example, antipsychotic agents have been shown to have surprising anticancer effects (28); and metformin has been reported to delay cognitive decline in patients with Alzheimer's disease and to alleviate chronic pain (20). Additionally, vB6 supplementation has been shown to have immune function benefits in vB6-deficient people (25), and vB6 is a potent antioxidant that quenches reactive oxygen species and thus supports cellular health (26). Although the mechanism by which vB6 reduces prolactin levels has not been delineated, vB6 therapy appears to have a favorable benefit-risk ratio given that ASEs of vB6, especially neurological ASEs, are rare (27). Based on the aforementioned findings, we were inspired to re-assess the potential efficacy of vB6 for the alleviation of AIHP.

The aim of the present study was to test the effects of high-dosage vB6, given as an adjunct treatment, on AIHP, compared to the effects of ARI, in patients with TRS. We hypothesized that high-dosage vB6 would (1) reduce prolactin levels at least as well as ARI, (2) result in a lower ASE load than ARI, and potentially (3) help to alleviate cognitive impairments in patients with TRS.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Participants and Study Design

A total of 200 patients with TRS were enrolled in this study from Tianjin Kangtai Hospital (a mental health treatment center) from August 1st, 2018 to July 31st, 2020. In the process of

**Abbreviations:** vB6, vitamin B6; AIHP, antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia; TRS, treatment-resistant schizophrenia; ARI, aripiprazole; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; MCCB, MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery; ASEs, adverse secondary effects; TESS, Treatment Emergent Symptom Scale; ORs, odds ratios; CIs, confidence interval.

enrolling these 200 patients, we screened 260 patients, 60 of whom did not meet the inclusion criteria (below) and thus were not enrolled. The protocol for this study, which is a double-blinded randomized control trial, was approved by our hospital's institutional review board (TK-IRB-2017-C-01). All participants and their guardians signed written informed consent forms after receiving a complete explanation of the study. To avoid menstrual cycle and age effects on prolactin (29), we recruited only adult male patients between 20 and 40 years of age.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) male; (2) diagnosis of TRS according to the criteria recommended by Kane [i.e., failure of at least two different  $\geq 6$ -week antipsychotic medication trials at an adequate dose with objective adherence assessments; see (30)]; (3) age, 20–45 years; (4) consistent antipsychotic dosage for at least 1 month prior and during the 16-week study duration; and (5) willingness to participate and sign the informed consent form. Regarding criterion 4, it should be noted that patients were permitted to receive sedatives for the treatment of psychotic symptoms or acute agitation. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) allergy to vB6 or ARI; (2) diagnosis of intellectual disability, substance abuse disorder, or other psychiatric disorder besides schizophrenia; (3) history of epilepsy, head trauma, neurological disease, or systemic disease (e.g., internal organ, blood, endocrine, and metabolic diseases; mild blood glucose deviations were not considered exclusionary); (4) history of suicide attempts or serious suicidal ideation; and (5) inability to follow the study protocol.

The participants were randomized (1:1) into two groups according to a random number table. The randomization sequence was computer-generated by an independent statistician employing a randomization block size of 2. Treatment group allocations were double-blinded (to patients and investigators) and delivered in sealed envelopes in accordance with the allocation concealment method. Both evaluating researchers and those responsible for outcome analyses were blinded to the treatment allocations. One group received vB6 and the other received ARI for 16 weeks.

## Treatments

Patients were given oral vB6 at a dosage of 300 mg/12 h (600 mg/day total) (31) as an experimental therapy or oral ARI at the recommended dosage of 5 mg/12 h (10 mg/day total), which has been shown to reduce AIHP (3). Pills were taken at approximately 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. On the days that blood samples were collected; the morning pill was taken immediately after the blood draw.

## Definition of Hyperprolactinemia

In present study, hyperprolactinemia was defined as a prolactin level  $> 25 \mu\text{g/L}$ , in accordance with Raverot's diagnostic criteria.

## Treatment Monitoring and Efficacy Measures

All patients were monitored with the following assessments every 4 weeks. Psychotic symptoms and cognitive functioning were monitored with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale [PANSS (32)] and MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery

[MCCB (33)], respectively. Blood samples were collected for liver and kidney functional enzyme, microelement (iron, calcium, copper, potassium, and sodium), and human growth hormone measurements. AEs were monitored with the Treatment Emergent Symptom Scale [TESS (34)] and Barnes-Akathisia scale (35). Serum vB6 and ARI concentrations were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. The inter-assay precision for all analyses was  $< 10\%$  (36–39).


## Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed in SAS statistical software (version 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The data are expressed in the form of mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (normally distributed data) or median  $\pm$  interquartile range (non-parametric continuous-variable data) or numbers and percentages (categorical variables). Differences between the two groups were detected with Student's *t*-tests, Wilcoxon rank sum tests, and chi-square/Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate. In addition to absolute values at each timepoint, the change of serum prolactin, cognitive, psychotic symptom, and ASE scores from baseline were also compared between ARI group and vB6 group using Student's *t*-tests or Wilcoxon rank sum tests as appropriate. The efficacy analysis followed the intention-to-treat principle. To correct for missing values the last observation carried forward method was used. Mixed-effects models for repeated measures (MMRM) were employed in a sensitivity analysis aimed at evaluating the effects of time and treatment on prolactin levels after adjusting for age, education level, total drug dose, PANSS at baseline, overall MCCB at baseline triglyceride levels, and cholesterol levels. Improvement rate was defined as the proportion of participants achieving a serum prolactin level  $< 40 \text{ ng/ml}$ . Among the participants treated with vB6, univariate and multivariate associations of clinical-demographic characteristics with an improvement of serum prolactin level were evaluated with a logistic regression model and expressed as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence interval (CIs).

## RESULTS

### Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

As described in the study enrollment and retention flow chart shown in **Figure 1**, of 260 patients with TRS who were recruited, 200 were eligible for inclusion in the study and assigned randomly to the ARI group ( $N = 100$ ) or vB6 group ( $N = 100$ ). A total of 6 patients in the ARI group dropped out (dropout rate, 1.5%), including 3 patients due to worsening of psychotic symptoms (they were given increased clozapine dosage and electroconvulsive therapy), two patients due to bacterial infection (they were given anti-inflammation treatment), and one patient who did not want to continue the study but did not provide a reason. No patients dropped out of the vB6 group. The full 200 patients were included in the intention-to-treat analyses, including the 6 patients who dropped out of the ARI group. The two groups were similar with respect to clinical characteristics (**Table 1**).



**TABLE 1** | Demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline, medication use, and biochemical assessment during treatment in patients with TRS by treatment group.

Variable		ARI (N = 100)	vB6 (N = 100)	P
Age, years		33.65 ± 3.03	29.99 ± 4.92	<0.001
Education	≤12 years	84 (84.0)	66 (66.0)	0.003
	>12 years	16 (16.0)	34 (34.0)	
Disease duration before admission, weeks		6.09 ± 1.54	6.06 ± 1.41	0.40
Psychiatric family history	No	74 (74.0)	79 (79.0)	0.89
	Yes	26 (26.0)	21 (21.0)	
Total drug dose in 16 weeks, mg		71,260.00 ± 9,424.43	70,262.00 ± 10,359.23	0.48
PANSS at baseline		91.63 ± 6.98	89.11 ± 3.56	0.002
Overall MCCB at baseline		29.82 ± 8.84	29.69 ± 9.17	0.92
<b>Baseline data test data</b>				
Dose, mg/d		10	600	>0.99
Blood glucose, mmol/L		5.05 ± 0.59	5.02 ± 0.43	0.71
Triglycerides, mmol/L		1.37 ± 0.29	1.57 ± 0.07	<0.001
Cholesterol, mmol/L		4.79 ± 0.85	5.00 ± 0.16	0.02
Alanine aminotransferase, U/L		47.80 ± 12.72	47.95 ± 12.66	0.93
Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L		46.60 ± 11.97	46.04 ± 11.98	0.74
Gamma-glutamyl transferase, U/L		58.20 ± 11.33	58.88 ± 11.91	0.68
Creatinine, U/L		75.06 ± 16.06	74.09 ± 16.71	0.68
Blood urea nitrogen, U/L		3.63 ± 0.53	3.60 ± 0.52	0.77
Prolactin, μg/L		89.07 ± 3.59	95.52 ± 6.30	<0.001

**TABLE 2** | Prolactin levels from baseline through week 16 in men with TRS.

Variable		ARI (N = 100)	vB6 (N = 100)	P
Change in serum prolactin from baseline (μg/L)	Week 4	-33.01 ± 8.05	-35.80 ± 13.71	0.08
	Week 8	-35.70 ± 8.01	-45.97 ± 14.47	<0.001
	Week 12	-33.62 ± 8.08	-54.33 ± 16.41	<0.001
	Week 16	-33.29 ± 7.80	-65.09 ± 19.48	<0.001
Proportion with prolactin < 40 μg/ml	Week 4	1 (1.0)	7 (7.0)	0.06
	Week 8	2 (2.0)	22 (22.0)	<0.001
	Week 12	1 (1.0)	48 (48.0)	<0.001
	Week 16	2 (2.0)	67 (67.0)	<0.001

MMRM showed a significant group × time interaction effect on prolactin level ( $F = 112.63$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

## Treatment Efficacy

From baseline levels to the end of the 16 week study period, the vB6 group showed a 68.1% reduction in prolactin levels from  $95.52 \pm 6.30$  μg/L to  $30.43 \pm 18.65$  μg/L while the ARI group showed a 37.4% reduction from  $89.07 \pm 3.59$  μg/L to  $55.78 \pm 7.39$  μg/L. From baseline to week 4, both groups demonstrate similarly steep reductions in prolactin levels. However, the trends diverged subsequently, especially after week 8 when the efficacy of ARI plateaued. Meanwhile, vB6 continued to further reduce prolactin levels through week 16. MMRM showed a significant group × time interaction effect on prolactin levels ( $F = 112.63$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The statistical results of inter-group comparisons are reported in **Table 2** and **Figure 2**.

## Factors Associated With Prolactin Reduction


Multivariate logistic regression model analysis revealed four factors as being significantly related to prolactin level reduction

to <40 μg/L at week 16: education level; total drug dose at the conclusion of week 16; baseline triglyceride level; and baseline prolactin level. The resultant ORs, CIs, and  $p$ -values are reported in **Table 3** and **Supplementary Table 1**.

## Psychometric Measures

Psychometric scores obtained for the two groups are shown in **Table 4**. The vB6 group had significantly better (lower) PANSS scores than the ARI group at baseline, week 4, and week 16. The vB6 group exhibited a 17.8% reduction in PANSS scores from baseline to week 16, while the ARI group exhibited only an 11.96% reduction ( $p < 0.001$ ). MCCB total scores were similar between the two groups at baseline, but higher in the vB6 group than in the ARI group by week 16.

With respect to cognitive performance, the vB6 group showed an average increase in MCCB total score of 9.94% during the study period, while the ARI group showed a decrease of 5.43% ( $p < 0.001$ ). The only MCCB sub-score that differed significantly



**TABLE 3 |** Factors associated with improvement of serum prolactin level to  $<40 \mu\text{g/ml}$  at week 16 among participants treated with vB6 as indicated by multivariate logistic regression modeling.

Factor	OR (95% CI)	P
Education level ( $>12$ years vs. $\leq 12$ )	2.79 (1.34–5.80)	<b>0.006</b>
Total drug dose in 16 weeks	0.10 (0.01–0.85)	<b>0.04</b>
Triglycerides at baseline	23.47 (3.02–182.43)	<b>0.003</b>
Prolactin at baseline	1.11 (1.04–1.17)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

between the groups was the working memory score. None of the patients in the vB6 group experienced a clinically significant deterioration in psychotic symptoms (PANSS score increase of  $\geq 15\%$ ). Meanwhile, 4 patients in the vB6 group experienced a clinically significant improvement in psychotic symptoms ( $\geq 50\%$  reduction in PANSS score). In the patients in the ARI group, 4 patients experienced a clinically significant deterioration in psychotic symptoms (including 3 who dropped out) and 2 patients showed a clinically significant improvement.

### Safety and Tolerance

According to Barnes-Akathisia scale and TESS scores (Table 4), the vB6 group experienced lesser ASEs than the ARI group. The most frequently observed ASEs overall were drowsiness (73.5%,

147/200), akathisia (64.5%, 129/200), dizziness (56.5%, 113/200), constipation (58.50%, 117/200), hand tremor (50.5%, 101/200), salivation (47.0%, 94/200), transient arrhythmia (31.5%, 53/200), and orthostatic hypotension (23.5%, 47/200). The incidences of these ASEs did not differ significantly between the two groups (data not shown). Thus, the aforementioned significant differences in scale scores can thus be attributed in large part to the greater severity of ASEs in the ARI group, consistent with our general clinical observations. More importantly, blood sugar, liver function, and kidney function did not differ significantly between two groups (Supplementary Table 2). However, levels of triglycerides and cholesterol did differ significantly between the groups, with lower levels of both being found in the vB6 group (Supplementary Table 2).

**TABLE 4** | Cognitive, psychotic symptom and ASE scores at baseline and after 16 weeks in patients with TRS.

Psychometric measure		ARI (N = 100)	vB6 (N = 100)	P	
<b>Cognitive (sub)scale</b> Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia-Symbol Coding	Baseline	31.81 ± 9.68	31.74 ± 9.25	0.96	
	Week 16	31.28 ± 9.04	30.23 ± 9.29	0.42	
	Change	-0.53 ± 11.62	-1.51 ± 2.00	0.41	
Fluency	Baseline	30.26 ± 7.54	29.16 ± 9.47	0.36	
	Week 16	30.26 ± 7.34	30.39 ± 8.87	0.91	
	Change	0.00 ± 3.56	1.23 ± 5.43	0.06	
Trail-making test, part A	Baseline	29.68 ± 7.06	29.58 ± 9.02	0.93	
	Week 16	29.33 ± 8.32	31.44 ± 8.19	0.07	
	Change	-0.35 ± 5.82	1.86 ± 5.00	0.004	
Attention/vigilance	Baseline	29.19 ± 8.22	28.09 ± 9.03	0.37	
	Week 16	30.40 ± 8.23	30.61 ± 8.54	0.86	
	Change	1.21 ± 4.89	2.52 ± 5.87	0.09	
Wechsler Memory Scale-III, Symbol Span	Baseline	29.91 ± 8.23	28.58 ± 8.60	0.27	
	Week 16	30.11 ± 8.16	31.46 ± 8.27	0.25	
	Change	0.20 ± 5.23	2.88 ± 6.74	0.002	
Letter number sequencing	Baseline	31.03 ± 8.45	30.99 ± 8.61	0.97	
	Week 16	29.11 ± 8.58	29.98 ± 8.52	0.47	
	Change	-1.92 ± 2.55	-1.01 ± 1.79	0.004	
Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised	Baseline	27.86 ± 8.44	30.65 ± 9.39	0.03	
	Week 16	29.91 ± 8.39	29.66 ± 8.73	0.84	
	Change	2.05 ± 3.94	-0.99 ± 1.77	<0.001	
Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised	Baseline	28.73 ± 8.43	30.58 ± 9.10	0.14	
	Week 16	30.59 ± 8.55	30.58 ± 9.10	0.99	
	Change	1.86 ± 3.98	0.00 ± 0.00	<0.001	
Neuropsychological assessment battery mazes	Baseline	29.86 ± 8.39	29.88 ± 8.91	0.99	
	Week 16	30.39 ± 9.31	28.88 ± 8.90	0.24	
	Change	0.54 ± 3.30	-1.00 ± 1.20	<0.001	
Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test, Managing Emotions	Baseline	30.34 ± 9.22	30.53 ± 9.58	0.89	
	Week 16	27.97 ± 9.94	29.56 ± 9.46	0.25	
	Change	-2.37 ± 4.35	-0.97 ± 1.35	<0.001	
MCCB total score	Baseline	29.82 ± 8.84	29.69 ± 9.17	0.92	
	Week 16	28.20 ± 8.90	32.64 ± 9.65	<0.001	
	Change	-1.62 ± 2.01	2.95 ± 7.29	<0.001	
<b>Psychotic symptoms</b> PANSS	Baseline	91.63 ± 6.98	89.11 ± 3.56	0.002	
	Week 4	85.06 ± 10.57	88.66 ± 3.71	0.002	
	Week 8	82.4 ± 12.49	82.30 ± 8.64	0.95	
	Week 12	80.2 ± 14.53	78.27 ± 11.03	0.29	
	Week 16	80.67 ± 14.59	73.27 ± 17.19	0.001	
	Change of PANSS from baseline	Week 4	-6.57 ± 10.31	-0.45 ± 2.78	<0.001
		Week 8	-9.23 ± 10.95	-6.81 ± 9.53	0.10
		Week 12	-11.43 ± 12.34	-10.84 ± 11.72	0.73
Week 16		-10.96 ± 14.11	-15.84 ± 17.88	0.03	
<b>ASEs</b> Barnes-Akathisia	Baseline	2.83 ± 4.15	1.93 ± 3.96	0.12	
	Week 4	4.02 ± 5.10	2.51 ± 4.40	0.03	
	Week 8	4.42 ± 5.71	2.44 ± 4.77	0.008	
	Week 12	4.66 ± 5.96	2.47 ± 4.76	0.005	
	Week 16	4.45 ± 5.84	2.32 ± 4.65	0.005	
	Change of Barnes-Akathisia from baseline	Week 4	1.19 ± 2.44	0.58 ± 1.74	0.04
		Week 8	1.59 ± 2.81	0.51 ± 1.98	0.002

(Continued)

TABLE 4 | Continued

Psychometric measure		ARI (N = 100)	vB6 (N = 100)	P
TESS	Week 12	1.83 ± 3.08	0.54 ± 1.95	<0.001
	Week 16	1.62 ± 3.37	0.39 ± 2.12	0.002
	Baseline	1.88 ± 0.54	1.77 ± 0.47	0.12
	Week 4	1.89 ± 0.55	1.75 ± 0.50	0.06
	Week 8	1.89 ± 0.55	1.64 ± 0.54	0.001
	Week 12	1.88 ± 0.54	1.60 ± 0.53	<0.001
Change of TESS from baseline	Week 16	1.89 ± 0.55	1.63 ± 0.56	0.001
	Week 4	0.01 ± 0.10	-0.02 ± 0.20	0.18
	Week 8	0.01 ± 0.10	-0.13 ± 0.37	<0.001
	Week 12	0.00 ± 0.00	-0.17 ± 0.38	<0.001
AEs	Week 16	0.01 ± 0.36	-0.14 ± 0.43	0.008
	No	89 (89.0)	94 (94.0)	0.20
	Yes	11 (11.0)	6 (6.0)	
	Urinary incontinence	4 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	
	Epilepsy	2 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	
	Numbness of limbs	0 (0.0)	2 (2.0)	
	Instability of gait	0 (0.0)	2 (2.0)	
	Headache	2 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	
	Other	2 (2.0)	2 (2.0)	

## DISCUSSION

In the present study, our results demonstrate, for the first time to our knowledge, that administration of high-dosage vB6 as an adjunct treatment reduces elevated prolactin in patients with TRS as effectively as ARI in the first 4 weeks of treatment and more effectively than ARI thereafter. Our data also suggest that vB6 had a beneficial effect on cognition. Our data also suggest that vB6 had a beneficial effect on cognition. No serious AEs were observed in this study in either group. Overall, we observed an encouraging safety and efficacy profile for vB6 in TRS patients needing AIHP treatment.

The mechanism underlying improved PANSS scores in the vB6 group are unknown. It is possible that these benefits are related to the neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effects of vB6. Concurrent improvements in PANSS scores in the ARI group could reflect an augmentation effect of ARI relative to the previous antipsychotic agent that the patient had been using. Similar AEs emerged in the two groups, including drowsiness, constipation, dry mouth, akathisia, hydro-stomia, transient arrhythmia, and orthostatic hypotension. Thus, treating physicians should be vigilant in looking for signs of AEs. Notably, it is important to treat constipation, which can lead to hyperammonemia (40, 41).

According to the estrogen protection hypothesis of AIHP, increases in estrogen levels, which can modulate psychotic symptoms in schizophrenia (40, 42–45), lead to competitive antagonism of inhibitory type 2 dopamine (D2) receptors in the pituitary. Normally, dopamine binding to D2 receptors inhibits prolactin secretion from prolactin secreting cells. Thus, antagonism of D2 receptors results in increased secretion of prolactin (7, 46–51). The stress-prolactin-dopamine hypothesis

is informed by observations indicating that prolactin itself can act as a stress hormone, being released in response to stressful stimulation. This stress-induced prolactin release may then trigger a positive feedback mechanism in which increased dopamine release triggers further prolactin elevation, while also potentially worsening psychotic symptoms (7, 47, 50, 52). Elevations in prolactin may then suppress gonadal estrogen production as a negative feedback adaptation. Interestingly, schizophrenic patients with hyperprolactinemia have been reported to have increased pituitary volumes, consistent with the stress-prolactin-dopamine hypothesis (52–56). These two hypotheses converge in suggesting that D2 receptor activation in prolactin cells in the pituitary plays a pivotal role in AIHP.

Supplemental vB6 can enhance hypothalamic/pituitary dopaminergic neuron activity, increase dopamine levels, and thus reduce competitive antipsychotic drug occupation of D2 receptors while enabling dopamine to inhibit secretion from prolactin cells (57, 58). In another study, vB6 was reported to inhibit prolactin secretion partially via a dopamine-independent mechanism (59–61). ARI is a partial D2 receptor agonist with effects that are often sufficient to maintain prolactin within physiological levels (62). Hence, based on the available evidence, it appears that vB6 influences prolactin levels via a mechanism or mechanisms different from that of ARI, such as enabling dopamine to inhibit prolactin hypersecretion and perhaps additionally via a dopamine-independent mechanism.

## Limitations

There are a number of notable limitations in the present study. First, the average baseline prolactin level was higher and the average age was younger in the vB6 group than in the ARI group. Because patients were assigned to groups randomly and this was



a double-blinded study, we could not match subjects for prolactin levels or age across the two groups. Thus, future research with a different study design should address these factors. Second, we only monitored whether microelements were within a normal range or not. More detailed blood concentration analyses were beyond the scope of our protocol. However, because blood microelement concentrations may influence efficacy or ASE risk, more detailed micronutrient analyses should be performed in this patient population. Third, because our focus was on AIHP in patients with TRS, rather than in schizophrenic patients whose psychotic symptoms are well-controlled, some patients dropped out due to symptom worsening. Fourth, because the patients had TRS, they were taking relatively high doses of antipsychotics compared to the average schizophrenic patient and thus had quite high prolactin levels, relative to other studies in the literature. The efficacy of vB6 in treatment-responsive schizophrenic patients remains to be determined. Fifth, although we did not find that microelement or growth hormone levels were significantly altered in the vB6 group, we only monitored patients for 16 weeks. Longer-term monitoring data are thus needed. Sixth, we did not divide the patients into subgroups according to the particular antipsychotic agent they were using. Seventh, we did not control for stress or stress hormone levels in our analysis. Eighth, because this was a single-center study, it is not known how well our findings would generalize to other clinical contexts. Finally, although we adopted a previously used TRS criterion, TRS itself is a descriptive category that does not reflect underlying genetic or environmental causes of treatment resistance.

## CONCLUSION

Compared to ARI, vB6 was more effective for reducing prolactin levels and was associated with lesser ASEs in TRS patients with AIHP over 16 weeks. Additionally, vB6 may have cognitive benefits in this patient population. We conclude that vB6 is a promising candidate adjunct AIHP treatment, though longer-term monitoring of effects on microelement and growth hormone levels are needed.

## REFERENCES

- González-Rodríguez A, Labad J, Seeman MV. Antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia in aging populations: prevalence, implications, prevention and management. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. (2020) 101:109941. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.109941
- Labad J, Montalvo I, González-Rodríguez A, García-Rizo C, Crespo-Facorro B, Monreal JA, et al. Pharmacological treatment strategies for lowering prolactin in people with a psychotic disorder and hyperprolactinaemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res*. (2020) 222:88–96. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2020.04.031
- Naber D, Lambert M. Aripiprazole: a new atypical antipsychotic with a different pharmacological mechanism. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. (2004) 28:1213–19. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2004.06.020
- Chua WL, de Izquierdo SA, Kulkarni J, Mortimer A. Estrogen for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. (2005) 4:CD004719. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004719.pub2
- Kulkarni J, de Castella A, Fitzgerald PB, Gurvich CT, Bailey M, Bartholomeusz C, et al. Estrogen in severe mental illness: a potential new treatment approach. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. (2008) 65:955–60. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.65.8.955
- Kulkarni J, Gavrilidis E, Wang W, Worsley R, Fitzgerald PB, Gurvich C, et al. Estradiol for treatment-resistant schizophrenia: a large-scale randomized-controlled trial in women of child-bearing age. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2015) 20:695–702. doi: 10.1038/mp.2014.33
- Riecher-Rössler A. Oestrogens, prolactin, hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, schizophrenic psychoses. *Lancet Psychiatry*. (2017) 4:63–72. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30379-0
- Carney RSE. Concurrent Medial Prefrontal Cortex and Dorsal Hippocampal Activity Is Required for Estradiol-Mediated Effects on Object Memory and Spatial Memory Consolidation. *eNeuro*. (2019) 6:ENEURO.0271-19.2019. doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0271-19.2019
- Ghafari E, Fararouie M, Shirazi HG, Farhangfar A, Ghaderi F, Mohammadi A. Combination of estrogen and antipsychotics in the treatment of

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Tianjin Kangtai Hospital's institutional review board. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CZhu had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. CZhu, CL, and YX: concept and design. CZhu, HT, JC, JL, TF, CY, WY, QL, AY, SX, BL, and YC: acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data. HT, CZhu, and HW: drafting of the manuscript. CZhu, HW, YX, and CZ: critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. HW and CZhu: statistical analysis. CZhu: obtained funding. AY, HT, BL, and YC: administrative, technical, or material support. HW and CZhu: supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81871052 to CZhu, 81801679 and 81571319 to YX), the Key Projects of the Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin, China (17JCZDJC35700 to CZhu), the Tianjin Health Bureau Foundation (2014KR02 to CZhu), the Talent Fund of Tianjin Anding 300,000 (Yuan RMB) to CZhu.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsy.2021.681418/full#supplementary-material>

- women with chronic schizophrenia: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses*. (2013) 6:172–6. doi: 10.3371/CSRP.GHEA.01062013
10. Dumontaud M, Korchia T, Khouani J, Lancon C, Auquier P, Boyer L, et al. Sexual dysfunctions in schizophrenia: beyond antipsychotics. A systematic review. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. (2020) 98:109804. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2019.109804
  11. Johnsen E, Kroken RA, Løberg EM, Rettenbacher M, Joa I, Larsen TK, et al. Amisulpride, aripiprazole, and olanzapine in patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders (BeSt InTro): a pragmatic, rater-blind, semi-randomised trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*. (2020) 7:945–54. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30341-2
  12. Puljic K, Herceg M, Tudor L, Pivac N. The association between prolactin concentration and aggression in female patients with schizophrenia. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. (2021) 22:301–9. doi: 10.1080/15622975.2020.1795254
  13. Campana M, Falkai P, Siskind D, Hasan A, Wagner E. Characteristics and definitions of ultra-treatment-resistant schizophrenia - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res*. (2021) 228:218–26. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2020.12.002
  14. Huhn M, Nikolakopoulou A, Schneider-Thoma J, Krause M, Samara M, Peter N, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 32 oral antipsychotics for the acute treatment of adults with multi-episode schizophrenia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet*. (2019) 394:939–51. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31135-3
  15. Shim JC, Shin JG, Kelly DL, Jung DU, Seo SY, Liu KH, et al. Adjunctive treatment with a dopamine partial agonist, aripiprazole, for antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia: a placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Psychiatry*. (2007) 164:1404–10. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.06071075
  16. Leucht S, Cipriani A, Spineli D, Mavridis D, Orey D, Richter F, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 15 antipsychotic drugs in schizophrenia: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. *Lancet*. (2013) 382:951–62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60733-3
  17. Zhang XY, Zhou DF, Yuan CL, Zhang PY, Wu GY, Shen YC. Risperidone-induced increase in serum prolactin is correlated with positive symptom improvement in chronic schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res*. (2002) 109:297–302. doi: 10.1016/S0165-1781(02)00022-7
  18. Bhattacherjee J, El-Sayeh HG. Aripiprazole versus typical antipsychotic drugs for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. (2008) 2008:CD006617. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006617.pub2
  19. Retief M, Chiliza B, Phahladira L, Emsley R, Asmal L. Prolactin, flupenthixol decanoate and first episode schizophrenia - clinical and laboratory correlates. *Metab Brain Dis*. (2019) 34:1679–87. doi: 10.1007/s11011-019-00474-5
  20. Baeza-Flores GDC, Guzmán-Priego CG, Parra-Flores LI, Murbartían J, Torres-López JE, Granados-Soto V. Metformin: a prospective alternative for the treatment of chronic pain. *Front Pharmacol*. (2020) 11:558474. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.558474
  21. Delitala G, Masala A, Alagna S. Suppression of pimozide-induced prolactin secretion by pyridoxine (vitamin B6). *Biomedicine*. (1977) 27:191–2.
  22. de Waal JM, Steyn AF, Harms JH, Slabber CF, Pannall PR. Failure of pyridoxine to suppress raised serum prolactin levels. *S Afr Med J*. (1978) 53:293–4.
  23. Koller D, Abad-Santos F. The pharmacogenetics of aripiprazole-induced hyperprolactinemia: what do we know? *Pharmacogenomics*. (2020) 21:571–4. doi: 10.2217/pgs-2020-0024
  24. Labad J, Montalvo I, González-Rodríguez A, García-Rizo C, Crespo-Facorro B, Monreal JA, et al. Data of a meta-analysis on pharmacological treatment strategies for lowering prolactin in people with a psychotic disorder and hyperprolactinaemia. *Data Brief*. (2020) 31:105904. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2020.105904
  25. Ueland PM, McCann A, Midttun Ø, Ulvik A. Inflammation, vitamin B6 and related pathways. *Mol Aspects Med*. (2017) 53:10–27. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2016.08.001
  26. Mooney S, Leuendorf JE, Hendrickson C, Hellmann H. Vitamin B6: a long known compound of surprising complexity. *Molecules*. (2009) 14:329–51. doi: 10.3390/molecules14010329
  27. Calderon-Ospina CA, Nava-Mesa MO, Paez-Hurtado AM. Update on safety profiles of vitamins B1, B6, and B12: a narrative review. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. (2020) 16:1275–88. doi: 10.2147/TCRM.S274122
  28. Zhuo C, Xun Z, Hou W, Ji F, Lin X, Tian H, et al. Surprising anticancer activities of psychiatric medications: old drugs offer new hope for patients with brain cancer. *Front Pharmacol*. (2019) 10:1262. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.01262
  29. Boyle CP, Raji CA, Erickson KI, Lopez OL, Becker JT, Gach HM, et al. Estrogen, brain structure, and cognition in postmenopausal women. *Hum Brain Mapp*. (2021) 42:24–35. doi: 10.1002/hbm.25200
  30. Kane JM, Agid O, Baldwin ML, Howes O, Lindenmayer JP, Marder S, et al. Clinical guidance on the identification and management of treatment-resistant schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry*. (2019) 80:18com12123. doi: 10.4088/JCP.18com12123
  31. Tara F, Bahrami-Taghanaki H, Amini Ghalandarabad M, Zand-Kargar Z, Azizi H, Esmaily H, et al. The effect of acupressure on the severity of nausea, vomiting, and retching in pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. *Complement Med Res*. (2020) 27:252–9. doi: 10.1159/000505637
  32. Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. (1987) 13:261–76. doi: 10.1093/schbul/13.2.261
  33. Keefe RSE, Fox KH, Harvey PD, Cucchiari J, Siu C, Loebel A. Characteristics of the MATRICS consensus cognitive battery in a 29-site antipsychotic schizophrenia clinical trial. *Schizophr Res*. (2011) 125:161–8. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2010.09.015
  34. [NIMH] National Institute of Mental Health. TESS (Treatment Emergent Symptom Scale-Write-in). *Psychopharmacol Bull*. (1985) 21:1069–72.
  35. Barnes TR. A rating scale for drug-induced akathisia. *Br J Psychiatry*. (1989) 154:672–6. doi: 10.1192/bjp.154.5.672
  36. Gateley CA, Mansel RE. Management of cyclical breast pain. *Br J Hosp Med*. (1990) 43:330–2.
  37. Kelly DL, Conley RR. A randomized double-blind 12-week study of quetiapine, risperidone or fluphenazine on sexual functioning in people with schizophrenia. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2006) 31:340–6. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2005.08.010
  38. Miodownik C, Lerner V, Vishne T, Sela BA, Levine J. High-dose vitamin B6 decreases homocysteine serum levels in patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorders: a preliminary study. *Clin Neuropharmacol*. (2007) 30:13–17. doi: 10.1097/01.WNF.0000236770.38903.AF
  39. Sauberan JB. High-dose vitamins. *Breastfeed Med*. (2019) 14:287–9. doi: 10.1089/bfm.2019.0077
  40. Kubo M, Mizooku Y, Hirao Y, Osumi T. Development and validation of an LC-MS/MS method for the quantitative determination of aripiprazole and its main metabolite, OPC-14857, in human plasma. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci*. (2005) 822:294–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2005.06.023
  41. Heres S, Don L, Herceg M, Bidzan L, Blanc M, Siracusano A, et al. Treatment of acute schizophrenia with paliperidone ER: predictors for treatment response and benzodiazepine use. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. (2014) 48:207–12. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2013.09.015
  42. Berek JS. *Novak's Gynecology*, 13th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins (2002).
  43. Hempenius J, Steenvoorden RJ, Lagerwerf FM, Wieling J, Jonkman JH. 'High throughput' solid-phase extraction technology and turbo ionspray LC-MS-MS applied to the determination of haloperidol in human plasma. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*. (1999) 20:889–98. doi: 10.1016/S0731-7085(99)00107-7
  44. Riecher-Rössler A, Rybakowski JK, Pflueger MO, Beyrau R, Kahn RS, Malik P, et al. Hyperprolactinemia in antipsychotic-naïve patients with first-episode psychosis. *Psychol Med*. (2013) 43:2571–82. doi: 10.1017/S0033291713000226
  45. Wu YF. Recurrent hyperammonemia associated with olanzapine. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. (2017) 37:366–7. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0000000000000694
  46. Halbreich U, Kinon BJ, Gilmore JA, Kahn LS. Elevated prolactin levels in patients with schizophrenia: mechanisms and related adverse effects. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2003) 28(Suppl. 1):53–67. doi: 10.1016/S0306-4530(02)00112-9
  47. Anderson GM, Kieser DC, Steyn FJ, Grattan DR. Hypothalamic prolactin receptor messenger ribonucleic acid levels, prolactin signaling, and hyperprolactinemic inhibition of pulsatile luteinizing hormone secretion are dependent on estradiol. *Endocrinology*. (2008) 149:1562–70. doi: 10.1210/en.2007-0867
  48. Aston J, Rechsteiner E, Bull N, Borgwardt S, Gschwandtner U, Riecher-Rössler A. Hyperprolactinaemia in early psychosis-not only due to

- antipsychotics. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. (2010) 34:1342–4. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpb.2010.02.019
49. González-Blanco L, Greenhalgh AMD, Garcia-Rizo C, Fernandez-Egea E, Miller BJ, Kirkpatrick B. Prolactin concentrations in antipsychotic-naïve patients with schizophrenia and related disorders: a meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res*. (2016) 174:156–60. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2016.03.018
  50. Stagkourakis S, Smiley KO, Williams P, Kakadellis S, Ziegler K, Bakker J, et al. A neuro-hormonal circuit for paternal behavior controlled by a hypothalamic network oscillation. *Cell*. (2020) 182:960–75.e915. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.07.007
  51. Rahman T, Patrick C, Ma C, Nicol GE, Reynolds, III CF, et al. Prolactin and estrogen levels in postmenopausal women receiving aripiprazole augmentation treatment for depression. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. (2021) 41:31–5. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0000000000001335
  52. Thörn Pérez C, Ferraris J, van Lunteren JA, Hellysaz A, Iglesias MJ, Broberger C. Adaptive resetting of Tuberoinfundibular Dopamine (TIDA) network activity during lactation in mice. *J Neurosci*. (2020) 40:3203–16. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1553-18.2020
  53. Fitzgerald P, Dinan TG. Prolactin and dopamine: what is the connection? A review article. *J Psychopharmacol*. (2008) 22:12–19. doi: 10.1177/0269216307087148
  54. Low MJ. Neuroendocrinology. In: Kronenberg HM, Melmed S, Polonsky KS, Larsen PR, editors. *Williams Textbook of Endocrinology*, 11th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders Elsevier Co (2008). p. 85–154.
  55. Egli M, Leeners B, Kruger TH. Prolactin secretion patterns: basic mechanisms and clinical implications for reproduction. *Reproduction*. (2010) 140:643–54. doi: 10.1530/REP-10-0033
  56. Veselinović T, Schorn H, Vernaleken IB, Schiffel K, Klomp M, Gründer G. Impact of different antidopaminergic mechanisms on the dopaminergic control of prolactin secretion. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. (2011) 31:214–20. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e31820e4832
  57. Ivanova SA, Osmanova DZ, Freidin MB, Fedorenko OY, Boiko AS, Pozhidaev IV, et al. Identification of 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor gene polymorphisms modulating hyperprolactinaemia in antipsychotic drug-treated patients with schizophrenia. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. (2017) 18:239–46. doi: 10.1080/15622975.2016.1224926
  58. Lv C, Mo C, Liu H, Wu C, Li Z, Li J, et al. Dopamine D2-like receptors (DRD2 and DRD4) in chickens: tissue distribution, functional analysis, and their involvement in dopamine inhibition of pituitary prolactin expression. *Gene*. (2018) 651:33–43. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2018.01.087
  59. Rose DP. The interactions between vitamin B6 and hormones. *Vitam Horm*. (1978) 36:53–99. doi: 10.1016/S0083-6729(08)60982-6
  60. Schuff KG, Hentges ST, Kelly MA, Binart N, Kelly PA, Iuvone PM, et al. Lack of prolactin receptor signaling in mice results in lactotroph proliferation and prolactinomas by dopamine-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *J Clin Invest*. (2002) 110:973–81. doi: 10.1172/JCI0215912
  61. De Hert M, Peuskens J, Sabbe T, Mitchell AJ, Stubbs B, Neven P, et al. Relationship between prolactin, breast cancer risk, and antipsychotics in patients with schizophrenia: a critical review. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. (2016) 133:5–22. doi: 10.1111/acps.12459
  62. Lincoln GA, Clarke IJ. Evidence that melatonin acts in the pituitary gland through a dopamine-independent mechanism to mediate effects of daylength on the secretion of prolactin in the ram. *J Neuroendocrinol*. (1995) 7:637–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2826.1995.tb00802.x

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Zhuo, Xu, Wang, Fang, Chen, Zhou, Li, Liu, Xu, Yao, Yang, Yang, Li, Chen, Tian and Lin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.