



Corrigendum: Thinking Preferences and Conspiracy Belief: Intuitive Thinking and the Jumping to Conclusions-Bias as a Basis for the Belief in Conspiracy Theories

Nico Pytlik¹, Daniel Soll¹ and Stephanie Mehl^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy & Center for Mind, Brain and Behavior (CMBB), Philipps-University, Marburg, Germany, ² Department of Health and Social Work, Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Approved by:

Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*Correspondence:

Stephanie Mehl
stephanie.mehl@staff.uni-marburg.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Schizophrenia,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 06 February 2021

Accepted: 08 February 2021

Published: 08 March 2021

Citation:

Pytlik N, Soll D and Mehl S (2021)
Corrigendum: Thinking Preferences
and Conspiracy Belief: Intuitive
Thinking and the Jumping to
Conclusions-Bias as a Basis for the
Belief in Conspiracy Theories.
Front. Psychiatry 12:664972.
doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2021.664972

Keywords: conspiracy theories, paranoia, jumping to conclusions, delusions, intuitive thinking, analytical thinking

A Corrigendum on

Thinking Preferences and Conspiracy Belief: Intuitive Thinking and the Jumping to Conclusions-Bias as a Basis for the Belief in Conspiracy Theories

by Pytlik, N., Soll, D., and Mehl, S. (2020). *Front. Psychiatry* 11:568942. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2020.568942

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Table 1** and **Table 2** as published. In the first row of **Table 1** (Conspiracy belief), results were switched for the **JTC yes** column and **JTC no** column. The correct data for Conspiracy belief under the **JTC yes** column is " $M = 2.99$ ($SD = .81$)" and under the **JTC no** column the correct data is " $M = 2.58$ ($SD = .74$)". The corrected **Table 1** appears below.

Additionally, in the third row of **Table 2** (Need for Cognition Score), the algebraic sign of the data of column 4, **JTC draws to decision**, is wrong. The correct data for the Need for Cognition Score under the **JTC draws to decision** column is " $r = 0.146$ ". The corrected **Table 2** appears below.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2021 Pytlik, Soll and Mehl. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 1 | Comparison of Participants Regarding Cognitive Measures (CB, Thinking Styles) and JTC Measures.

	Total sample (N = 488)	JTC yes (n = 69)	JTC no (n = 419)	
	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)	Statistics
Conspiracy Belief	2.66 (.73)	2.99 (.81)	2.58 (.74)	$t(482) = 4.20, p < .001$
<i>Cognitive measures</i>				
Faith in Intuition Scale	62.00 (11.75)	66.41 (12.48)	60.67 (11.97)	$t(486) = 3.67, p < .001$
Need for Cognition Scale	69.83 (12.70)	64.78 (16.27)	71.59 (12.43)	$t(81.58)^* = 3.32, p < .001$
<i>JTC measures</i>				
Draws to decision	4.65 (2.32)	1.45 (.50)	5.24 (2.03)	$t(379.63)^* = 29.32, p < .001$

JTC, jumping to conclusions.

*As Levene's Test indicated unequal variances, degrees of freedom were adjusted accordingly.

TABLE 2 | Associations between Conspiracy Beliefs, Thinking Styles and the JTC-bias.

		M	SD	2	3	4
1	Conspiracy Belief	2.64	0.77	0.363***	-0.190***	-0.160**
2	Faith in Intuition Score	61.44	12.17		-0.359***	-0.200***
3	Need for Cognition Score	70.70	13.13			0.146*
4	JTC Draws to Decision	4.56	2.35			

JTC, Jumping to conclusions.

Significant correlations are written in bold.

* $p = 0.004$.

** $p = 0.002$.

*** $p < 0.001$.