



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Miroslav Obornik,
Czech Academy of Sciences, Czechia

*CORRESPONDENCE
Benoît Schoefs
✉ benoit.schoefs@univ-lemans.fr

RECEIVED 04 December 2024
ACCEPTED 30 December 2024
PUBLISHED 23 January 2025

CITATION
Marchand J and Schoefs B (2025) Editorial:
Aquatic photosynthetic organisms under
global change.
Front. Plant Sci. 15:1539716.
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1539716

COPYRIGHT
© 2025 Marchand and Schoefs. This is an
open-access article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication
in this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Aquatic photosynthetic organisms under global change

Justine Marchand and Benoît Schoefs*

Metabolism, Molecular Engineering of Microalgae and Applications, Laboratory Biology of Organisms, Stress, Health and Environment, IUML – FR 3473 CNRS, Le Mans University, Le Mans, France

KEYWORDS

adaptation, algae, carbon metabolism, freshwater, global warming, photosynthesis, plant, ocean

Editorial on the Research Topic

Aquatic photosynthetic organisms under global change

From space, Earth looks like a masterful stone marquetry such as can be admired in Florence, Italy. In this stone painting, large areas and thinner lines of lapis lazuli would represent oceans (97%) and rivers (3%), respectively. Together, they occupy 71% of the Earth's surface. Despite their different chemical composition, oceans and rivers host a large number of living organisms, including phototrophs. Their diversity is also great with anoxygenic and oxygenic bacteria, and unicellular and multicellular eukaryotes, including some flowering plants. For example, the number of identified alga species lies in the range of 40–60,000 (Guiry, 2024), a number that increases annually (e.g., Schoefs et al., 2020; Morin et al., 2025). As primary producers, aquatic photosynthetic organisms fulfill crucial ecosystem services for the planet. This includes approximately half of the global oxygen production (Benoiston et al., 2017) and photosynthetic carbon fixation (Falkowski and Raven, 2013). Aquatic photosynthetic organisms are also involved in many spectacular symbioses with non-photosynthetic organisms such as the symbiosis between the ciliate *Paramecium bursaria* and the green freshwater microalga *Chlorella variabilis* (Kodama and Sumita, 2022), allowing the partners to develop during dedicated phase or accompanying them all along their life like the symbiotic dinoflagellates in corals. In addition to the obvious freshwater, brackish water, and saline water habitats, aquatic photosynthetic organisms are also present in more surprising and/or drastic niches such as solid water (e.g., Procházková et al., 2024), hot springs (Smith et al., 2013), radioactive natural springs (Millan et al., 2020), and loggerhead sea turtles (Majewska et al., 2020). Each of these aquatic organisms develops according to its ecological optimum. When one ecological factor deviates from its optimum, aquatic photosynthetic organisms start to acclimate.

Global warming has direct and indirect impacts on the climate and therefore on the ecological parameters of every ecological niche, including aquatic ones. This Research Topic “Aquatic photosynthetic organisms under global change” focuses on the direct and indirect effects of climate change on the life of microalga, macroalga, and aquatic angiosperms.

The increase in ocean temperature together with the increase of CO₂ dissolution in the oceans leads to a change in the physico-chemical properties of seawater, including a decrease in pH, known as ocean acidification (Feely et al., 2009). Ocean acidification has pleiotropic effects on aquatic photosynthetic organisms because it promotes or decreases microalgal division rate (Gao et al., 2019), reduces the calcification of algae (Jin et al., 2017),

increases the exposure of algae to UV radiation, and can negatively impact the symbiotic relationship between corals and microalgae (Fautin and Buddemeier, 2004), including the thermo-tolerant microalga *Symbiochlorum hainanensis* (Gong et al.). In this article Research Topic, Gong et al. reported on the impacts of elevated temperature and acidification alone or in combination on the biology of *S. hainanensis*. Overall, the data reveal that chloroplast adaptation constitutes one of the most important challenges of the adaptation of algae to climate change. Indeed, the contribution to this article Research Topic by Zhang et al. confirms and extends this conclusion to red macroalgae living in the intertidal zone, a very unique and challenging ecosystem because they are exposed, albeit temporarily, to extreme conditions such as fresh air, high light intensity, and UV radiation irradiation. High light exposure reduces photosynthesis and growth rate significantly. Moderate UV-A (315–400 nm) levels are beneficial for carbon fixation, nitrate uptake (Viñeĝla et al., 2006; Xu and Gao, 2010), and/or development of conchospores (Jiang et al., 2007) of some macroalgae but not all, including the red commercial macroalga genus *Pyropia* (Zhang et al., 2020) (formerly known as *Porphyra*; Sutherland et al., 2011). In this Research Topic, Zhang et al. also demonstrated that seawater acidification mitigates UV radiation on *Pyropia yezoensis* photosynthesis by modulating the synergy between photosystems. Mitochondrial metabolism is also important because it is involved in the control of the bloom of the dinoflagellate *Karenia mikimotoi* when nutrient availability and seawater acidity are altered (Liu et al.). Interestingly, it was found that nutrient limitations, especially phosphorous, can alleviate the negative impacts of acidification. Research in this field is particularly timely because these two factors are typical of global change (e.g., Gobler et al., 2017). Conversely, eutrophication can also increase the abundance of toxic microalgae (Anderson et al., 2002). The use of filter-feeding bivalve mollusks and submerged macrophytes can be an alternative to reduce indirectly the abundance of blooms. In this Research Topic, Du et al. presented a characterization of their impacts on phytoplankton bloom development by alleviating the eutrophication. In a nutrient-enriched freshwater mesocosm experiment, combining the filter-feeding bivalve *Cristaria plicata*, the cockscomb pearl mussel, and the macrophyte *Hydrilla verticillata* was highly efficient in decreasing the availability of nutrients, resulting in the suppression of bloom development, particularly by excluding cyanobacteria. While eutrophication can promote the occurrence of taxa, it can also jeopardize the survival of some of them such as the European aquatic plant *Luronium natans* (Alismataceae) (Makuch et al.).

In addition to aquatic photosynthetic organisms, submerged macrophytes occupy an important place in aquatic ecosystems, especially in shallow lakes and rivers (Hao et al., 2017), because they can maintain the physico-chemical properties and transparency of water (Wu et al., 2021). Like phytoplankton, submerged macrophytes also suffer from climate warming and eutrophication due to changes in abiotic variables alone or in combination with biotic variables (Hao et al., 2018; Matsuzaki et al., 2018). In their contribution, Wu et al. used mesocosms to determine the effects of climate warming and eutrophication on the growth of two aquatic

plants, *Potamogeton crispus* and *Elodea canadensis*, at a seasonal scale (Zoppi et al., 2024). The latter taxon is recognized as an invasive species worldwide. The authors suggest that the variables explaining the variation in biomass are different for each season and that a synergetic effect of temperature and nutrients occurred rarely. At the annual scale, the overall results showed a direct positive effect of temperature rather than nutrient concentrations on *E. canadensis* biomass. Surprisingly, nutrient enrichment affected biomass by increasing competition among primary producers. Altogether, the study shows that ongoing climate warming and eutrophication will cause a transition in aquatic plant communities through selection effects.

Obviously, carbon metabolism is at the core of every reaction of photosynthetic organisms, in which the supply of inorganic carbon is of primary importance. This is particularly true for submerged organisms such as algae (e.g., Schoefs et al., 2017) but also for aquatic plants. In this Research Topic, two different cases are reported. The first one is the completely submerged marine land plant *Zostera marina* (eelgrass) and the freshwater land plant *Ottelia ovalifolia* with submerged and floating leaves. These plants differ not only in their autoecology but also in the source of inorganic carbon to which they have access. *Z. marina* only has access to HCO_3^- , whereas *O. ovalifolia* can fix either HCO_3^- or CO_2 , depending on whether the leaves are submerged or emerged. *Z. marina* is a C_3 plant, meaning that its photosynthetic capacity is limited by the activity of photorespiration, the efficiency of which decreases as the inorganic carbon concentration in the environment increases, a condition that drives global change. As explained previously, the CO_2 accumulation in the ocean leads to its acidification, a process that, in turn, can impact photosynthesis. Using outdoor controlled *Z. marina* cultures, Celebi-Ergin et al. studied their responses to different inorganic carbon concentrations ranging from 55 to 2,121 μM . The data reveal a dynamic regulatory mechanism coupling i) energy capture capacity by pigments, ii) dissipation of the excess of absorbed energy through non-radiative energy dissipation mechanisms, typically non-photochemical quenching processes, and iii) photorespiration activity. Altogether, these three components of the photosynthetic machinery allow *Z. marina* to acclimate to the changing availability of inorganic carbon in the ocean. For their part, Liao et al. investigated how the freshwater aquatic plant *O. ovalifolia*, with two types of leaves uses CO_2 -concentrating mechanisms to optimize the uptake of inorganic carbon. At least two levels of adaptation were established. The first one concerns the morphological level with submerged leaves. Actually, submerged leaves are characterized by a larger specific surface area than floating leaves, so submerged leaves can better absorb dissolved inorganic carbon. The second level of adaptation relies on the involved carbon fixation cycle. In floating leaves, inorganic carbon is fixed directly on ribulose biphosphate by ribulose-1,5-biphosphate carboxylase oxygenase in the Calvin–Benson–Bassham cycle (C_3 metabolism), whereas in submerged leaves, inorganic carbon is pre-fixed on phosphoenolpyruvate in the Hatch and Slack cycle (C_4 metabolism). This difference, together with an activation of the external carbonic anhydrases, allows an optimized supply of dissolved inorganic carbon to the submerged leaves.

Global change is leading to an additional shortage of various natural resources, including water and fertilizers, which have already become scarce due to the growing populations and shrinking arable lands. To slow down this shortage, recycling processes need to be introduced, especially for wastewater, including that from aquaculture, which is enriched with organic nutrients. Recycling wastewater to produce biomass is interesting in the context of a circular economy. The paper presented by Villanova et al. fits into this framework. Three microalgae were tested for their ability to remove nitrogen and phosphate from the recirculating marine aquaculture wastewater to produce high-quality biomass. As expected, wastewater supported high biomass production, and its enrichment with valuable compounds only occurred when the biomass was stressed (e.g., Sayanova et al., 2017). Interestingly, using this two-step process, the biomass of all tested strains was rich in proteins, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), and carotenoids.

The contributions to this thematic Research Topic clearly confirm that global change is already affecting all living organisms, even the smallest ones. Despite the continuous accumulation of data, it is still difficult to determine exactly what will happen to each of them and how communities and ecosystems will change. Many aspects of these transformations remain to be studied, described, and, above all, understood. Multidisciplinary approaches are needed to achieve these goals. This information may also be of interest for the development of new biotechnological approaches and/or the improvement of current processes to make them more environmentally sustainable.

References

- Anderson, D. M., Glibert, P. M., and Burkholder, J. M. (2002). Harmful algal blooms and eutrophication: Nutrient sources, composition, and consequences. *Estuaries* 25, 704–726. doi: 10.1007/BF02804901
- Benoiston, A.-S., Ibarbalz, F. M., Bittner, L., Guidi, L., Jahn, O., Dutkiewicz, S., et al. (2017). The evolution of diatoms and their biogeochemical functions. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 372, 20160397. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0397
- Falkowski, P. G., and Raven, J. A. (2013). *Aquatic photosynthesis* (Princeton, NY, USA: Princeton University Press).
- Fautin, D. G., and Buddemeier, R. W. (2004). Adaptive bleaching: a general phenomenon. *Hydrobiologia* 530, 459–467.
- Feely, R. A., Doney, S. C., and Cooley, S. R. (2009). Ocean acidification: Present conditions and future changes in a high-CO₂ world. *Oceanography* 22, 36–47. doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2009.95
- Gao, K., Beardall, J., Häder, D.-P., Hall-Spencer, J. M., Gao, G., and Hutchins, D. A. (2019). Effects of ocean acidification on marine photosynthetic organisms under the concurrent influences of warming, UV radiation, and deoxygenation. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6, 322. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00322
- Gobler, C. J., Hattenrath-Lehmann, T. K., Doherty, O. M., Griffith, A. W., Kang, Y., Litaker, R. W., et al. (2017). Reply to Dees: Ocean warming promotes species-specific increases in the cellular growth rates of harmful algal blooms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114, E9765–E9766. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1715749114
- Guiry, M. D. (2024). How many species of algae are there? A reprise. Four kingdoms, 14 phyla, 63 classes and still growing. *J. Phycology* 60, 214–228. doi: 10.1111/jpy.13431
- Hao, B., Roejkaer, A. F., Wu, H., Cao, Y., Jeppesen, E., and Li, W. (2018). Responses of primary producers in shallow lakes to elevated temperature: a mesocosm experiment during the growing season of *Potamogeton crispus*. *Aquat. Sci.* 80, 34. doi: 10.1007/s00027-018-0585-0
- Hao, B., Wu, H., Cao, Y., Xing, W., Jeppesen, E., and Li, W. (2017). Comparison of periphyton communities on natural and artificial macrophytes with contrasting morphological structures. *Freshw. Biol.* 62, 1783–1793. doi: 10.1111/fwb.2017.62.issue-10
- Jiang, H., Gao, K., and Helbling, E. W. (2007). Effects of solar UV radiation on germination of conchospores and morphogenesis of sporelings in *Porphyra haitanensis* (Rhodophyta). *Mar. Biol.* 151, 1751–1759. doi: 10.1007/s00227-007-0632-1
- Jin, P., Ding, J., Xing, T., Riebesell, U., and Gao, K. (2017). High levels of solar radiation offset impacts of ocean acidification on *Emiliania huxleyi*, with special reference to calcifying and non-calcifying strains. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 568, 47–58. doi: 10.3354/meps12042
- Kodama, Y., and Sumita, H. (2022). The ciliate *Paramecium bursaria* allows budding of symbiotic *Chlorella variabilis* cells singly from the digestive vacuole membrane into the cytoplasm during algal reinfection. *Protoplasma* 259, 117–125. doi: 10.1007/s00709-021-01645-x
- Majewska, R., Robert, K., Van De Vijver, B., and Nel, R. (2020). A new species of *Lucanicum* (Cyclophorales, Bacillariophyta) associated with loggerhead sea turtles from South Africa. *Bot. Lett.* 167, 7–14. doi: 10.1080/23818107.2019.1691648
- Matsuzaki, S. S., Suzuki, K., Kadoya, T., Nakagawa, M., and Takamura, N. (2018). Bottom-up linkages between primary production, zooplankton, and fish in a shallow, hypereutrophic lake. *Ecology* 99, 2025–2036. doi: 10.1002/ecy.2018.99.issue-9
- Millan, F., Izere, C., Breton, V., Voltaire, O., Biron, D. G., Wetzel, C. E., et al. (2020). The effect of natural radioactivity on diatom communities in mineral springs. *Bot. Lett.* 167, 95–113. doi: 10.1080/23818107.2019.1691051
- Morin, S., Peeters, V., and Schoefs, B. (2025). From traditional taxonomy to the inclusion of web applications to improve diatom-based ecosystem's health assessment. *Bot. Letters*. 172. doi: 10.1080/23818107.2024.2446810
- Procházková, L., Remias, D., Suzuki, H., Kociánová, M., and Nedbalová, L. (2024). *Chloromonas rubrosalmonea* sp. nov. (Chlorophyta) causes blooms of salmon-red snow due to high astaxanthin and low chlorophyll content. *Bot. Lett.* 172, 1–19. doi: 10.1080/23818107.2023.2301608
- Sayanova, O., Mimouni, V., Ulmann, L., Morant-Manceau, A., Pasquet, V., Schoefs, B., et al. (2017). Modulation of lipid biosynthesis by stress in diatoms. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 372, 20160407. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0407
- Schoefs, B., Hu, H., and Kroth, P. G. (2017). The peculiar carbon metabolism in diatoms. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 372, 20160405. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0405
- Schoefs, B., Van De Vijver, B., Wetzel, C., and Ector, L. (2020). From diatom species identification to ecological and biotechnological applications. *Bot. Lett.* 167, 2–6. doi: 10.1080/23818107.2020.1719883
- Smith, T., Manoylov, K., and Packard, A. (2013). Algal extremophile community persistence from Hot Springs National Park (Arkansas, U.S.A.). *Int. J. Algae* 13, 65–76. doi: 10.1615/InterJAlgae.v15.i1.50

Author contributions

JM: Writing – review & editing. BS: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

- Sutherland, J. E., Lindstrom, S. C., Nelson, W. A., Brodie, J., Lynch, M. D. J., Hwang, M. S., et al. (2011). A new look at an ancient order: Generic revision of the Bangiales (Rhodophyta). *J. Phycology* 47, 1131–1151. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2011.01052.x
- Viñepla, B., Segovia, M., and Figueroa, F. L. (2006). Effect of artificial UV radiation on carbon and nitrogen metabolism in the macroalgae *Fucus spiralis* L. and *Ulva olivascens* Dangeard. *Hydrobiologia* 560, 31–42. doi: 10.1007/s10750-005-1097-1
- Wu, H., Hao, B., Cai, Y., Liu, G., and Xing, W. (2021). Effects of submerged vegetation on sediment nitrogen-cycling bacterial communities in Honghu Lake (China). *Sci. Total Environ.* 755, 142541. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142541
- Xu, J., and Gao, K. (2010). UV-A enhanced growth and UV-B induced positive effects in the recovery of photochemical yield in *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* (Rhodophyta). *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B-Biology* 100, 117–122. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2010.05.010
- Zhang, D., Xu, J., Bao, M., Yan, D., Beer, S., Beardall, J., et al. (2020). Elevated CO₂ concentration alleviates UVR-induced inhibition of photosynthetic light reactions and growth in an intertidal red macroalga. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol.* 213, 112074. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2020.112074
- Zoppi, M., Falasco, E., Schoefs, B., and Bona, F. (2024). “Turning waste into resources: A comprehensive review on the valorisation of *Elodea nuttallii* biomass.” *J. Environ. Manag.* 369, 122258.