#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wajid Zaman, Yeungnam University, Republic of Korea

REVIEWED BY Murtaza Khan, Yeungnam University, Republic of Korea Saraj Bahadur, Hainan University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Renhai Wu [renhai.wu@163.com](mailto:renhai.wu@163.com) Chuantao Lu [luchuantao@yeah.net](mailto:luchuantao@yeah.net)

† These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 16 October 2024 ACCEPTED 03 December 2024 PUBLISHED 20 December 2024

#### **CITATION**

Sun L, Zhang C, Xu H, Su W, Xue F, Leng Q, Niu Y, Lu C and Wu R (2024) Efficacy and mechanism of cyprosulfamide in alleviating the phytotoxicity of clomazone residue on maize seedling.

Front. Plant Sci. 15:1512055. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Sun, Zhang, Xu, Su, Xue, Leng, Niu, Lu and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Effi[cacy and mechanism of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055/full) [cyprosulfamide in alleviating the](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055/full) [phytotoxicity of clomazone](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055/full) [residue on maize seedling](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055/full)

Lanlan Sun<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Chen Zhang<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Hongle Xu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Wangcang Su<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Fei Xue<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Qiuli Leng<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yujia Niu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Chuantao Lu<sup>1,2,3\*</sup> and Renhai Wu<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Plant Protection, Henan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Zhengzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Henan Key Laboratory of Agricultural Pest Monitoring and Control, Zhengzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory for Integrated Crop Pests Management on Crops in Southern Region of North China, Zhengzhou, China

Introduction: The residues of clomazone (Clo) can lead to phytotoxic symptoms such as foliar bleaching, reduced plant height, and decreased maize yields. Herbicide safener represent one of the most economically efficient strategies for mitigating herbicide-induced damage.

Methods: In this study, various seed treatments were implemented, including the immersion of maize seeds in water (CK), immersion in Cyprosulfamide (CSA), soil supplemented with clomazone (ClO) and CSA+ClO, evaluated physiological indicators, chlorophyll content, and qRT-PCR analyses of the maize plants were evaluated under the different treatments.

Results and discussion: The objective of this study was to investigate the impact of CSA on mitigating residual damage caused by Clo on maize and elucidate its mechanism. Compared to the CK, treatment with Clo resulted in significant inhibition of maize plant height, fresh weight, chlorophyll content, and carotenoid levels by 19.0%, 29.9%, 92.5%, and 86.3% respectively. On the other hand, under CSA+Clo treatment, milder inhibition was observed with reductions of only 9.4% in plant height and 7.2% in fresh weight, as well as decreases of 35.7% and 21.8% respectively in chlorophyll and carotenoid contents. The findings revealed that the application of CSA effectively mitigated the inhibitory effects of Clo residues on maize plant height, fresh weight, carotenoids and chlorophyll content. Additionally, the combination of CSA and Clo reduced MDA levels by 13.4%, increased SOD activity by 9.7% and GST activity by 26.7%, while elevating GSSG content by 31.3% compared to Clo alone, ultimately mitigating oxidative damage in maize plants. qRT-PCR analysis showed that the expression of five P450 genes (CYP72A5, CYP81A4, CYP81Q32, CYP81A9, CYP81A36), nine GST genes (GST30, GST31, GSTIV, GSTVI, GST21, GST7, GST37, GST25, IN2-1), and two UGT genes (UGT76C2, UGT83A1) significantly high increased by 6.74-, 10.27-, 4.98-, 10.56-, 25.67-, 16.70-, 46.92-,7.53-, 5.10-, 238.82-, 143.50-, 4.58-, 31.51-, 39.3-, 4.20-, 10.47-fold after CSA+Clo treatment compared to that in the Clo treatment.

The pre-treatment of CSA led to the upregulation of five P450 genes, nine GST genes, and two UGT genes, which may be associated with the metabolism of Clo in maize. Overall, this study suggests that CSA could be effectively mitigates Clo residual damage by up-regulating detoxification-related genes, enhancing chlorophyll content and activities of antioxidant enzymes.

KEYWORDS

antioxidation, carotenoid, gene expression, Clomazone residue, phytotoxicity

## 1 Introduction

Clomazone (Clo), an isoxazolane herbicide, was primarily employed for the control of annual grass and broadleaf weeds in crops such as peanuts, soybeans, and oilseed rape through pre- and post-emergence applications ([Chang et al., 2022\)](#page-8-0). So far, there are over 200 clomazone-based products in China, mostly used for soil treatment ([http://www.chinapesticide.org.cn/,](http://www.chinapesticide.org.cn/) accessed 1 March, 2024). The applied clomazone do not completely degrade over time, with a major portion remaining in the soil as residues [\(Li et al., 2024](#page-8-0)). The half-life of clomazone in soil ranges from 15 to 157 days, and its biological activity lasts for over 180 days, making it a persistent environmental contaminant [\(Li et al., 2015](#page-8-0); [Nalini et al., 2016](#page-8-0); [Liu](#page-8-0) [et al., 2023](#page-8-0)). For example, the Mollisols region of China's cropland soils contained 56 detected herbicides, with residues ranging from 0.31 to 1558.13  $\mu$ g/kg. Clomazone exhibited the highest concentrations ([Li et al., 2024](#page-8-0)). The high solubility and low log Kow (2.55) of clomazone make it easily absorbed by subsequent sensitive crops, causing phytotoxic symptoms like foliar bleaching, reduced plant height, and decreased yields ([Ferhatoglu and Barrett,](#page-8-0) [2006;](#page-8-0) [Golden et al., 2017](#page-8-0); [Wang et al., 2021;](#page-9-0) [Arana et al., 2023](#page-8-0); [Cao](#page-8-0) [et al., 2024](#page-8-0); [Liu et al., 2024](#page-8-0)). Therefore, it is essential to assess the mitigation of residual damage caused by Clo in maize for the safe production of maize.

Safeners are chemical agents added to herbicide formulations to protect crops from potential harm without reducing the effectiveness of the herbicides against targeted weeds, allowing farmers to apply them more safely and effectively while minimizing crop injury and achieving desired crop protection ([Siddique, 2023](#page-9-0)). The number of safener utilized in commercial herbicide formulations currently stands at approximately 20. Safeners are commonly applied as seed treatments (e.g., Naphthalic anhydride, Cyometrinil, Oxabetrinil, Fluxofenim, Flurazole in maize or sorghum), water surface applications (Dymron, Cumyluron, Dimepiperate in rice), or spray formulations (Benoxacor, Furilazole, AD-67, Fenclorim used for pre-emergence in maize; Cloquintocet-mexyl, Fenchlorazole-ethyl, Mefenpyr-diethyl, Isoxadifen-ethyl used for post-emergence in cereals or maize) ([Rosinger, 2014](#page-9-0)). Cyprosulfamide (CSA) was launched by Bayer CropScience AG in 2009 and is the only

safener that can be used for pre- and post-emergence herbicides in maize [\(Sun et al., 2023\)](#page-9-0). It can effectively mitigate damage to maize caused by isoxaflutole ([Gerhards and Santel, 2020\)](#page-8-0), thiencarbazone-methyl ([Zheng et al., 2015\)](#page-9-0), fenpyrazone ([Lian](#page-8-0) [et al., 2023](#page-8-0)), and nicosulfuron [\(Sun et al., 2023\)](#page-9-0). The majority of studies have focused on the efficacy of CSA in alleviating herbicide phytotoxicity, with limited research conducted on residual herbicide damage.

The previous research has shown that safeners effectively reduce the negative impacts of herbicide residues. N-tosyloxazolidine-3 carboxamide derivatives, compound 9 (N-phenoxyacety-2-methyl-2,4-diethyl-1,3-oxazolidine) showed significant protection against tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron via enhancing the glutathione (GSH) content and glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity ([Ye et al.,](#page-9-0) [2019;](#page-9-0) [Zhang et al., 2021\)](#page-9-0). The tolerance of crops is enhanced by safeners through two primary mechanisms. First, the amount of herbicide reaching the active site may be reduced or its interaction at the target site may be disrupted [\(Riechers et al., 2010\)](#page-9-0). Second, the activation of detoxifying enzymes by safeners plays a crucial role in the metabolism of herbicides [\(Jia et al., 2023\)](#page-8-0). The focus of most studies on detoxifying enzymes was glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), which catalyze the conjugation of herbicides with endogenous glutathione in wheat, maize [\(Sun et al., 2018](#page-9-0)), and rice ([Hu et al., 2020](#page-8-0)). Other classes of detoxifying enzymes, such as cytochrome P450s (CYP450s), UDP-dependent glycosyltransferases (UGTs) ([Zhao et al., 2023\)](#page-9-0), ATP-binding cassette transporters (ABC transporters) [\(Yang et al., 2018\)](#page-9-0) and antioxidant system (SOD, POD, CAT) [\(Baek et al., 2019](#page-8-0); [Hu et al., 2020,](#page-8-0) [2021\)](#page-8-0), are also involved in the process of detoxification. The previous investigation have confirmed that CSA effectively alleviate the damage caused by nicosulfuron on maize plants under adverse environmental conditions, such as high temperatures and dry weather, while simultaneously enhancing herbicidal efficacy against weeds [\(Sun et al., 2016](#page-9-0)). The mitigation of nicosulfuron damage was associated with the enhancement of nicosulfuron metabolism through the up-regulation of genes involved in the detoxification pathway [\(Sun et al., 2023\)](#page-9-0). We propose that these metabolic enzyme genes play a crucial role in the mitigation of Clo toxicity by CSA.

The present study objectives to investigate mitigating effect and the mechanism of the mitigation of Clo residual damage by CSA. The compounds known as CSA and Clo are both biologically active xenobiotic substances. As herbicides can induce peroxidation in crops, the impact of safeners on ROS in maize remains uncertain. The effects of CSA on maize physiology and the expression of metabolic enzyme genes in maize under the influence of CSA and Clo are systematically studied. Here, we aim to (1) investigate the potential of CSA in enhancing chlorophyll and GST activity, (2) explore the antioxidant enzyme activities in maize seedlings under Clo and CSA treatment and (3) identify genes closely related to the mode of action of the CSA and Clo metabolic pathways. This will address the issue of residual damage caused by Clo. It also provides a theoretical framework for investigating the mechanism of CSA. The combined use of these methods not only improves crop protection and yields, but also helps to make agricultural practices more sustainable by reducing environmental risks.

#### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Plant material and growth conditions

The soil for the test was loamy soil from the experimental base of Henan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, and the physical and chemical properties of the soil are shown in Table 1. The maize seed used for the test crop was Zhengdan 958, purchased from Henan Qiule Seeds Technology,Co.,Ltd, Henan Province, China. The herbicide safener CSA with 94% purity was purchased from Hebei Lansheng Biotech Co., LTd, Hebei Province, China. Clomazone (360 g  $L^{-1}$ ) was produced by Yunfa Chemical Factory (Shanghai, China) Co.

Maize seeds were soaked in distilled water for 2 h, followed by germination at a temperature of 28°C in the dark for 48h. when the radicle length of maize reached approximately 0.5cm, the maize were subjected to immersion in water (CK) or 120 mg/L CSA solutions for 2 h. Afterward, the seeds were washed three times with distilled water. Subsequently, they were planted in  $7 \times 8$  cm (diameter  $\times$  height) square nutrient pots, with five seeds per pot. The pots contained soil supplemented with Clo at a concentration of 4 mg/kg (the concentration selection process is given in the [Supplementary Data Sheet](#page-8-0)). Seeds were sown to a depth of 3 cm. All pots were incubated in an artificial greenhouse (20 to 25°C, L//D = 12 h//12 h, and 80% relative humidity). Five biological replicates were performed for each treatment. Plant height and fresh weight were measured 7 days after seedling emergence. The shoots of maize under different treatment were collected 7 days after emergence for chlorophyll, malondialdehyde (MDA), GST, reduced glutathione (GSH), oxidized glutathione (GSSG), antioxidant activity analyze and qRT-PCR determination.

#### 2.2 Physiological indicators and chlorophyll content determination

Total chlorophyll (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoid and total chlorophyll) was extracted by 80% acetone and the content assays was detected using spectrophotometric methods ([Qi et al.,](#page-9-0) [2023](#page-9-0)). GST, GSH and GSSG were extracted and quantified using determined by a kit (Grace, Suzhou, China) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Protein content was determined by Bradford's koji method [\(Bradford, 1976\)](#page-8-0). The crude enzyme solution for determined SOD and CAT activity was extracted in accordance with the methodology proposed by [Nayeri et al. \(2023\).](#page-9-0) SOD enzyme activity was determined by the nitroblue tetrazole (NBT) method [\(Zhang et al., 2022\)](#page-9-0); CAT activity was determined by UV absorption (Nafi [U et al., 2022](#page-8-0)). The MDA content was determined by thiobarbituric acid colorimetric method [\(Yu](#page-9-0) [et al., 2022](#page-9-0)).

#### 2.3 RNA extraction and real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR assay

The transcriptom data published by [Sun et al. \(2023\)](#page-9-0) was utilized to identify a total of twenty-eight ([Supplementary Table](#page-8-0) [S1](#page-8-0)) maize detoxification genes that were up-regulated in response to CSA treatment. Based on the respective gene sequences, candidate gene-specific primers were designed using Primer Premier 5.0 and synthesized by Sangon Biotech CO., Ltd. (primers are shown in [Supplementary Table S2\)](#page-8-0). Leaf tissue samples stored at -80°C were ground in liquid nitrogen and total RNA was extracted using Vazyme reagent (catalog No. RC411-01; Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative real-time quantitative polymerase Chain reaction amplification templates were 1  $\mu$ L (3000 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) of cDNA, 0.4  $\mu$ L (10  $\mu$ M) of each primer, 5  $\mu$  of TB Green premix Ex TaqII (Takara, Beijing, China), and 3.2 µL of distilled water. According to our previous methods,  $EFI\alpha$  was used as a reference gene ([Sun et al.,](#page-9-0) [2018](#page-9-0)). CK was used as the control tissue, and the relative quantification of the gene in each tissue was determined by the comparative  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method.

#### 2.4 Statistical analysis of data

The data are presented as mean ± standard deviations. The significance of the treatment differences was assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the least significant difference (LSD) at a significance level of  $P < 0.05$  level,

TABLE 1 Physical and chemical properties of soil.

Soil		Ph   Organic matter	Available nitrogen/	Available phosphorus/	Available potassium/	Total nitrogen/
type		(g/kg)	(mq/kg)	(mq/kg)	(mq/kg)	(g/kg)
Soil	8.38	5.75	110.53	17.84	213.44	0.50

<span id="page-3-0"></span>employing the SPSS software 26.0. The graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism 9.

# 3 Results

#### 3.1 The protective effect of CSA on maize against Clo residue injury

The economic crop maize, often rotated with soybeans, frequently suffers from clomazone residue-induced injury [\(Li et al., 2014\)](#page-8-0). The toxicity of Clo in maize was investigated under both CSA-treated and non-treated conditions. After 7d of treatment, the visible symptoms of Clo include leaf chlorosis, wilting, and inhibited plant growth (Figure 1A). Maize plants showed a 19% inhibition in plant height and a 29.9% reduction in fresh weight (Figures 1B, C). However, the CSA-pretreatment significantly protected maize from Clo exposure and suppressed its growth inhibition. The bleached maize leaves started to regain their green color (Figure 1A). The inhibition of plant height and fresh weight of maize was reduced by 9.4% and 7.2% (Figures 1B, C). The CSA-pretreatment alone did not significantly affect maize fresh

weight. The results confirmed that enhancing Clo detoxification in maize plants correlated with a protective effect, which depended on soaking CSA 2 hours before Clo treatment.

#### 3.2 Enhanced chlorophyll content in maize leaves after pre-treatment with CSA

Clo inhibits 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate (DXP) synthase in the first committed step of the non-mevalonate isoprenoid pathway in plastids, causing impaired chloroplast development and loss of pigments including carotenoids in susceptible plants ([Ferhatoglu and](#page-8-0) [Barrett, 2006\)](#page-8-0). To assess the impact of CSA on maize leaf chlorophyll content, the chlorophyll and carotenoid content in maize leaves was determined following CSA treatment. The content of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid of maizes in CSAtreated maize leaves show no significant effect compared to those in the control treatment ([Figures 2A](#page-4-0)–D). The content of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid in maize plants decreased by 92.9%, 91.2%, 92.5% and 87.0% respectively under Clo treatment compared to the control ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)). This may be



Effect of clomazone (Clo) and Cyprosulfamide (CSA) on the growth level of maize. Morphological responses of maize plants under control (CK), Clo (the residual concentration of Clo in the soil was 4 mg/kg), CSA (the maize were soaked in 120 mg/L CSA solutions for 2 h) and CSA+Clo treatments and grown for 7 days after seedling emergence (A). Effect of Clo and CSA on the plant height (B). Effect of Clo and CSA on the fresh weight (C). The bars represent the averages + standard deviations of five replicates. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences within each treatment in maize plant height and fresh weight, respectively ( $p < 0.05$ ), determined by Duncan test.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

related to the mechanism of Clo. The treatment with soaking CSA significantly mitigated the impact of Clo on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid, resulting in an increase in content by 8.09, 6.05, 7.62 and 4.69 times, respectively. These results suggest that the pre-treatment with CSA may be increased the rate of Clo metabolism in maize plants.

#### 3.3 Pre-treatment with CSA decreased MDA content and enhanced SOD and CAT activity

The activity of antioxidant enzymes has been shown to be associated with herbicide tolerance in various plants [\(Hu et al.,](#page-8-0) [2020\)](#page-8-0). To assess cellular damage induced by Clo and CSA, MDA content in maize plants was quantified. As shown in Figure 3C, The MDA content of maize increased significantly by 5.3 times with Clo treatment alone compared to the control. However, CSA+Clo treatment reduced the MDA content by 13.44% compared to Clo treatment alone. The result suggests that CSA may potentially mitigate the accumulation of MDA induced by Clo.

The Clo and CSA treatments resulted in alterations to in superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) activities in maize (Figures 3A, B). The activity of SOD and CAT decreased by 46.80% and 31.04%, respectively, under Clo treatment compared to the control. However, when CSA+Clo treatment was applied, SOD and CAT activities increased by 9.7% and 11.4%, respectively, compared to Clo treatment alone (Figure 3). The capacity of the addition of CSA to reduce the level of MDA may be attributed to its potential to enhance the activities of the enzymes SOD and CAT.

#### 3.4 Pre-treatment with CSA increased GSSG content and enhanced GST activity

The enzyme GST plays a crucial role in helping crops detoxify herbicides by facilitating the binding of GSH with various types of herbicides ([Rosinger, 2014\)](#page-9-0). The Clo residue treatment significantly inhibited GST activity and decreased GSH and GSSG content in maize seedlings. Specifically, there was a reduction of 50.80% in GST activity, 27.27% in GSH content, and 77.13% in GSSG content compared to the control group. However, this inhibition was alleviated after CSA+Clo treatement, with GST activity and GSSG content increasing by 26.7% and 31.3% compared to Clo treatment alone ([Figure 4](#page-5-0)). GSH content was no significant effect between the Clo and Clo+CSA treatment. The results indicate that CSA



The effects of different treatments on the activities of SOD (A)、CAT (B) and contents of MDA (C). The bars represent the averages + standard deviations of five replicates. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences within each treatment in maize plants, respectively (p < 0.05), determined by Duncan test.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

enhances detoxification and metabolism of Clo in maize seedlings, improving their tolerance to Clo.

#### 3.5 Metabolizing enzyme genes expression

The expression of specific genes encoding detoxification enzymes in crops can be induced by safeners [\(Riechers et al.,](#page-9-0) [2010](#page-9-0)). We previously identified several detoxification enzymes genes in maize induced by CSA through transcriptome ([Sun](#page-9-0) [et al., 2023](#page-9-0)). These CSA-upregulated genes are predicted to play a crucial role in mitigating the residual injury of Clo. Suitable primers were designed for 24 out of the 28 CSA-induced metabolizing enzyme genes, but CYP72A14 and UGT88A1 showed downregulation in the CSA treatment, which contradicted the transcriptome data (refer to [Supplementary File](#page-8-0) for details), leading to their exclusion. Finally, 22 candidate genes were screened, namely, CYP72A5, CYP81A4, CYP81Q32, CYP81A9, CYP81A36, UGT83A1, UGT88A1, UGT76C2, ABC, GSTIV, GST30, GST19, GSTZ5, GST31, GST4, GST25, GST39, GST21, GST37, In2-1, GSTVI, GST7 and GSTU6. The expression levels of all 22 candidate genes were significantly up-regulated after CSA treatment alone, ranging from 1.05 to 42.52 in relative expression (Figures 5, [6](#page-6-0)). The result aligns with the findings reported by [Sun](#page-9-0)

[et al. \(2023\)](#page-9-0). However, the responses of these 22 candidate genes varied under Clo and CSA+Clo treatments.

As shown in Figures 5B, C, E, the expression levels of three P450 genes (CYP81A9, CYP81Q32, and CYP72A5) remained unchanged under Clo treatment. However, when treated with CSA+Clo, the expression of these three genes significantly increased by 10.56-, 4.98-, 6.74-fold compared to that in the Clo treatment. On the other hand, the relative expression level of CYP81A36 and CYP81A4 were 0.22 and 0.17, respectively, under the Clo treatment (Figures 5A, D). Their expression levels increased remarkably by 25.67- and 10.27 fold respectively under Clo+CSA treatment in comparison to Clo treatment.

As shown in [Figure 6](#page-6-0), GST30, GST31, GSTU6, GSTIV, GSTVI, GST21, GST7, GST37, ABC and UGT83A1 showed no significant change in response to Clo treatment [\(Figures 6D,](#page-6-0) E, H–K, M–P). However, the expression of these ten genes significantly high increased by 16.70-, 46.92-, 0.81-, 7.53-, 5.10-, 238.82-, 143.50-, 4.58-, 5.67-, 10.47-fold after CSA+Clo treatment compared to that in the Clo treatment. The expression of the GST4 gene was significantly increased by 1.68 times under Clo treatment, while it was increased by 3.97 times under CSA+Clo treatment [\(Figure 6A\)](#page-6-0). Conversely, the expression of the GST19, GST25, GST39, GSTZ5, In2-1 and UGT76C2 genes showed a significant decrease after Clo treatment, with reductions of 75.32%, 97.09%, 39.17%, 71.26%,



maize. The bars represent the averages  $\pm$  SD of five replicates. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences within each treatment in maize plants ( $p < 0.05$ ), determined by Duncan test.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

GST31 relative expression level; (F) GST39 relative expression level; (G) GSTZ5 relative expression level; (H) GSTU6 relative expression level; (I) GSTIV relative expression level; (J) GSTVI relative expression level; (K) GST21 relative expression level; (L) In2-1 relative expression level; (M) GST7 relative expression level (N) GST37 relative expression level; (O) ABC transporter relative expression level; (P) UGT83A1 relative expression level; (Q) UGT76C2 relative expression level. qRT-PCR validation was performed to confirm nine GST genes, one ABC gene and two UGT genes induced by Clo and CSA in maize. The bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD from five biological replicates. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences within each treatment in maize plants ( $p < 0.05$ ), determined by Duncan test.

78.56% and 68.90%, respectively (Figures 6B, C, F, G, L, Q). However, when treated with CSA+Clo, there was a notable increase in gene expression compared to Clo treatment: a fold increase of 1.71 for GST19, 31.51 for GST25, 0.87 for GST39, 1.95 for GSTZ5, 39.30 for In2-1 and 4.20-fold increase for UGT76C2. The gene expression analysis revealed an up-regulation of genes related to Clo detoxification pathways in maize pre-treatment with CSA.

#### 4 Discussion

The findings of our study showed that CSA effectively mitigated the adverse effects of Clo on maize seedlings, as evidenced by improvements in plant height and fresh weight ([Figure 1](#page-3-0)). Additionally, it alleviated the decrease in chlorophyll and carotenoid content ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)). This finding is consistent with the results of previous studies which demonstrated that CSA was able to alleviate the residual damage caused by nicosulfuron ([Zheng et al.,](#page-9-0) [2015\)](#page-9-0). The carotenoid and chlorophyll contents in maize plants are increased when pre-treatment with CSA, even when the MEP (methylerythritol 4-phosphate) pathway is inhibited by Clo. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are associated with herbicide toxicity, leading to membrane damage through lipid peroxidation ([Gill and Tuteja, 2010](#page-8-0)). Previous studies indicated that when herbicides are absorbed by crops, the activity of SOD in leaves increases, maintaining the levels of reactive oxygen free radicals at a relatively low level. When it is not sufficient to clear the superoxide anions caused by herbicide toxicity, the SOD activity decreases and the accumulation of oxidative substances increases, which may cause damage to the cell membrane system [\(Xu et al., 2018](#page-9-0)). This study showed that Clo significantly elevated MDA levels while reducing SOD and CAT activities, as it can cause serious harm to maize seedlings. On the contrary, pre-treatment with CSA enhanced Clo-induced oxidative stress and reduced MDA accumulation in maize seedlings. However, CSA alone did not elevate SOD and CAT levels. This suggested that the defensive antioxidative properties are also one manner in which CSA protects maize from injury caused by Clo residue. In a previous study examining the effects of chloroacetamide herbicides and safeners (mefenpyr and dichlormid) on human blood cells, it was found that while the safeners alone did not cause any changes in oxidative stress, they did reduce the lipid peroxidation induced by the herbicides [\(Bernasinska et al., 2013](#page-8-0)).

The induction of glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) by safener plays a crucial role in the phase II detoxification system [\(Riechers](#page-9-0) [et al., 2010](#page-9-0); [Baek et al., 2019](#page-8-0)). [Chronopoulou et al. \(2017\)](#page-8-0) observed a strong correlation between the efficacy of safeners and their capacity to induce GST activity. The present study demonstrated that Clo alone or co-application with CSA was reduces GST activity GSH and GSSG content compared to CK. Pre-treatment with CSA for two hours, the GST activity and GSSG content significantly increased, this indicate that the GST enzyme facilitates the binding of GSH with the herbicide Clo, resulting in the formation of the CLO-GSH conjugate and an increase in the oxidation state of GSSG. Similarly, the safener isoxadifen-ethyl has been shown to improve maize tolerance to the toxic effects of nicosulfuron by increasing the activity of this enzyme in maize ([Ye et al., 2019](#page-9-0)). Similar findings have been reported in other species. The study by [Hu et al. \(2020\)](#page-8-0) showed that fenclorim enhances rice crop protection against pretilachlor herbicide by increasing GST enzyme activity. The results of this study, along with previous findings, suggest that CSA can enhance GST activity and mitigate herbicide-induced damage.

The molecular mechanism of safener may involve complex interactions among multiple pathways that protect plants from herbicides and other compounds ([Riechers et al., 2010](#page-9-0)). Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis was employed to examine the gene expression of detoxification enzymes, including P450, GST and UGT, in response to CSA alone or in combination with Clo treatment [\(Figures 5,](#page-5-0) [6\)](#page-6-0). Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase plays a role in the metabolism of herbicides. In this study, the expression of five genes, namely CYP81A9, CYP81A4, CYP81A36, CYP72A5, and CYP81Q32, was no effect or significantly down-regulated by Clo residue treatment, but their expression were significantly enhanced by the pre-treatment with CSA [\(Figure 5\)](#page-5-0). These five P450 genes may be related to metabolism of Clo. Among them, CYP81A9 plays a crucial role in the hydroxylation process of CSA [\(Giannakopoulos et al., 2020](#page-8-0)) and detoxification of nicosulfuron in maize [\(Choe and Williams, 2020\)](#page-8-0). CYP81A4 has the potential to limit the activity of bentazon thiadiazine [\(Brazier-Hicks et al., 2022](#page-8-0)). This suggested CYP81A9 and CYP81A4 may be involved in the metabolism of Clo, but CSA itself can also be metabolized by P450.

The second phase of herbicide metabolism is primarily catalyzed by two crucial enzyme families, GSTs and UGTS [\(Brazier-Hicks et al.,](#page-8-0) [2018](#page-8-0)). Nine GST genes (GST30, GST31, GSTIV, GSTVI, GST21, GST7, GST37, GST25, IN2-1), and two UGT genes (UGT76C2, UGT83A1) significantly high increased by 6.74-, 10.27-, 4.98-, 10.56-, 25.67-, 16.70- , 46.92-,7.53-, 5.10-, 238.82-, 143.50-, 4.58-, 31.51-, 39.3-, 4.20-, 10.47 fold after CSA+Clo treatment compared to that in the Clo treatment. Clo down-regulated the expression of these nine GST genes and two UGT genes. These results indicated that CSA and Clo induce different kinds of metabolic enzyme-encoding genes. Similar results have been reported in previous studies. [Sun et al. \(2018\)](#page-9-0) reported that the expression levels of ZmGSTIV, ZmGST6, and ZmGST31 can be induced by isoxadifen-ethyl alone or in combination with nicosulfuron, but are down-regulated by nicosulfuron. The study by [Zhao et al. \(2023\)](#page-9-0) showed that the safener isoxadifen-ethyl hydrolysate induced GSTU6 and DIMBOA UGT BX8 gene expression in rice. The metabolism of Clo appears to be influenced by five P450 genes, nine GST genes, and two UGT genes. Further investigation is required to fully understand the role of these genes in metabolizing Clo.

# 5 Conclusions

The findings of this study confirm that CSA can reduce the residual damage caused by Clo on maize seedlings. The soaking treatment with CSA significantly increased in chlorophyll, carotenoid and GSSG contents, reduced MDA content, and enhanced SOD and GST enzyme activities in maize seedlings compared to the Clo treatment alone. Moreover, the expression of five P450 genes (CYP72A5, CYP81A4, CYP81Q32, CYP81A9, CYP81A36), nine GST genes (GST30, GST31, GSTIV, GSTVI, GST21, GST7, GST37, GST25, IN2-1), and two UGT genes (UGT76C2, UGT83A1) significantly high increased after CSA+Clo treatment compared to that in the Clo treatment. The pre-treatment of CSA increased the expression of 5 P450 genes, 9 GST genes, and 2 UGT genes, potentially involved in Clo metabolism in maize. The aforementioned findings provide new insights into how CSA can help reduce residual damage caused by Clo through the chlorophyll, glutathione cycle, and antioxidant enzyme activities. The expression of key genes in the glutathione metabolic pathway significantly increased under soaking with CSA. These discoveries are expected to significantly contribute to understanding the mechanism of CSA. Nevertheless, the study is subject to several constraints arising from the experimental conditions. For example, the GST enzyme activities were detected, but the potential GST-Clo metabolites could not be determined. Additionally, our study solely focused on the physiological effects of CSA on the maize shoot, without examining its impact on the root. Therefore, the findings are subject to certain limitations. Furthermore, the candidate genes identified for Clo metabolism will by functionally validated in our future work. The intention is to establish future collaborations with researchers in order to effectively address the aforementioned limitations.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article[/Supplementary Material](#page-8-0). Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

#### Ethics statement

The author states that the maize involved in this study do not involve ethical relations. Experimental research on plants, including the collection of plant material, complies with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines and legislation.

## Author contributions

LS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – original draft. CZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft. HX: Methodology, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. WS: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. FX: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. QL: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. YN: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. CL: Funding acquisition, Resources, <span id="page-8-0"></span>Supervision,Writing – review & editing. RW: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Henan Province key Research and development program (No. 221111112300); the Special Project on Research and Development of Key Institutions in Henan Province (No. 2024TD12); Independent topic selection project of Henan Academy of Agricultural Sciences (No.2024ZC04260).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## References

Arana, J., Meyers, S. L., Cooper, E., Medina Castro, L. F., Cerritos, J., and López, C. A. (2023). Plasticulture banana pepper response to clomazone applied pretransplanting. Weed Technol. 37, 588–592. doi: [10.1017/wet.2023.78](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2023.78)

Baek, Y. S., Goodrich, L. V., Brown, P. J., James, B. T., Moose, S. P., Lambert, K. N., et al. (2019). Transcriptome profiling and genome-wide association studies reveal GSTs and other defense genes involved in multiple signaling pathways induced by herbicide safener in grain sorghum. Front. Plant Sci. 10. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2019.00192](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00192)

Bernasinska, J., Duchnowicz, P., Koter-Michalak, M., and Koceva-Chyla, A. (2013). Effect of safeners on damage of human erythrocytes treated with chloroacetamide herbicides. Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol 36, 368–377. doi: [10.1016/j.etap.2013.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2013.04.010)

Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72, 248–254. doi: [10.1006/abio.1976.9999](https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1976.9999)

Brazier-Hicks, M., Franco-Ortega, S., Watson, P., Rougemont, B., Cohn, J., Dale, R., et al. (2022). Characterization of cytochrome P450s with key roles in determining herbicide selectivity in maize. ACS Omega 7, 17416–17431. doi: [10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01705) [acsomega.2c01705](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01705)

Brazier-Hicks, M., Gershater, M., Dixon, D., and Edwards, R. (2018). Substrate specificity and safener inducibility of the plant UDP-glucose-dependent family 1 glycosyltransferase super-family. Plant Biotechnol. J. 16, 337-348. doi: [10.1111/pbi.12775](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12775)

Cao, D., Peng, W., Xu, H., Fu, X., Gong, X., Yu, S., et al. (2024). Bioavailability and phytotoxicity of clomazone to corn depend on soil characteristics and can be estimated by in situ pore water. Pest Manage. Sci. doi: [10.1002/ps.8531](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.8531)

Chang, L., Jiang, Y., Ding, B., Feng, M. S., Wang, R., and Xie, J. X. (2022). Effect of clomazone on weed control and growth and development of peanut in fields. J. Weed Sci. 40, 54–62. doi: [10.19588/j.issn.1003-935X.2022.03.0008](https://doi.org/10.19588/j.issn.1003-935X.2022.03.0008)

Choe, E., and Williams, M. N. (2020). Expression and comparison of sweet corn CYP81A9s in relation to nicosulfuron sensitivity. Pest Manage. Sci. 76, 3012–3019. doi: [10.1002/ps.5848](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5848)

Chronopoulou, E., Georgakis, N., Nianiou-Obeidat, I., Madesis, P., Perperopoulou, F., Pouliou, F., et al. (2017). Plant glutathione transferases in abiotic stress response and herbicide resistance Vol. 215-233 (Switzerland: Springer International Publishing AG). doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-66682-2\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66682-2_10)

Ferhatoglu, Y., and Barrett, M. (2006). Studies of clomazone mode of action. Pest. Biochem. Physiol. 85, 7–14. doi: [10.1016/j.pestbp.2005.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2005.10.002)

Gerhards, R., and Santel, H. (2020). Can seed treatment of the safener cyprosulfamid increase maize tolerance to the herbicide isoxaflutole, improve weed control efficacy and reduce application rate of cyprosulfamid? Gesunde Pflanzen 72, 371–381. doi: [10.1007/s10343-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-020-00519-1) [020-00519-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-020-00519-1)

Giannakopoulos, G., Dittgen, J., Schulte, W., Zoellner, P., Helmke, H., Lagojda, A., et al. (2020). Safening activity and metabolism of the safener cyprosulfamide in maize and wheat. Pest Manage. Sci. 76, 3413–3422. doi: [10.1002/ps.5801](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5801)

#### Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1512055/full#supplementary-material)

Gill, S. S., and Tuteja, N. (2010). Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 48, 909–930. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.08.016) [j.plaphy.2010.08.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.08.016)

Golden, B. R., Lawrence, B. H., Bond, J. A., Edwards, H. M., and Walker, T. W. (2017). Clomazone and starter nitrogen fertilizer effects on growth and yield of hybrid and inbred rice cultivars. Weed Technol. 31, 207–216. doi: [10.1017/wet.2016.33](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2016.33)

Hu, L., Huang, Y., Ding, B., Cai, R., and Bai, L. (2021). Selective action mechanism of fenclorim on rice and *Echinochloa Crusgalli* is associated with the inducibility of detoxifying enzyme activities and antioxidative defense. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 69, 5830– 5839. doi: [10.1021/acs.jafc.1c00550](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.1c00550)

Hu, L., Yao, Y., Cai, R., Pan, L., Liu, K., and Bai, L. (2020). Effects of fenclorim on rice physiology, gene transcription and pretilachlor detoxification ability. BMC Plant Biol. 20, 100. doi: [10.1186/s12870-020-2304-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-2304-y)

Jia, L., Fu, Y., and Ye, F. (2023). Advances in research and application of herbicide safeners. World Pestic. 45, 13–28. doi: [10.16201/j.cnki.cn10-1660/tq.2023.01.02](https://doi.org/10.16201/j.cnki.cn10-1660/tq.2023.01.02)

Li, R., Hu, W., Liu, H., Huang, B., Jia, Z., Liu, F., et al. (2024). Occurrence, distribution and ecological risk assessment of herbicide residues in cropland soils from the mollisols region of northeast China. J. Hazard. Mater. 465, 133054. doi: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.133054](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.133054)

Li, Y. M., Song, B. Q., Liu, Z. Y., Wang, G. L., Wei, D., Jin, L., et al. (2015). Effects of bio-char on sugar beet growth in clomazone residual soil. J. Agric. Resour. Environ. 32, 269–274. doi: [10.13254/j.jare.2014.0366](https://doi.org/10.13254/j.jare.2014.0366)

Li, Y. M., Wang, G. L., Liu, Z. Y., Liu, Z. T., Wei, D., and Yan, X. F. (2014). Impact of biochar amount on reducing biological hazardous of clomazone residual to corn in soil. Chin. J. Soil Sci. 45, 169–173. doi: [10.19336/j.cnki.trtb.2014.01.028](https://doi.org/10.19336/j.cnki.trtb.2014.01.028)

Lian, L., Wang, H., Liu, W., Zhang, Y., Jin, Y., Feng, K., et al. (2023). The detoxification effect of safeners of cyclopropanesulfonamide and isoxadifen-ethyl against fenpyrazone in corn. Chin. Agric. Sci. Bulletin. 39, 88–93. doi: [10.11924/](https://doi.org/10.11924/j.issn.1000-6850.casb2023-0221) [j.issn.1000-6850.casb2023-0221](https://doi.org/10.11924/j.issn.1000-6850.casb2023-0221)

Liu, H., Li, R., Hu, W., Jian, L., Huang, B., Fan, Y., et al. (2024). Multi-medium residues and ecological risk of herbicides in a typical agricultural watershed of the mollisols region, Northeast China. Sci. Total Environ. 937, 173507. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173507) [j.scitotenv.2024.173507](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173507)

Liu, Z., Wang, G., and Shi, Y. (2023). Effects of isooxadiazon residue on, soil microbial diversity and enzyme activities. Heilongjiang Agric. Sci. 2023, 1-7. doi: [10.11942/j.issn1002-](https://doi.org/10.11942/j.issn1002-2767.2023.01.0001) [2767.2023.01.0001](https://doi.org/10.11942/j.issn1002-2767.2023.01.0001)

Nafi U, S. A., Suleiman, K., Ahmad, M. K., and Zakariyya, M. (2022). Effect of paraquat herbicide on oxidative stress biomaker enzyme activities in C.Gariepinus. Dutse J. Pure Appl. Sci. 7, 48–59. doi: [10.4314/dujopas.v7i3b.6](https://doi.org/10.4314/dujopas.v7i3b.6)

Nalini, R. R. P., Janaki, P., Balusamy, M., and Chinnusamy, C. (2016). Persistence and residue of clomazone in soil and soybean by hplc-dad. Asian J. Chem. 28, 51–54. doi: [10.14233/ajchem.2016.19222](https://doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2016.19222)

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Nayeri, S., Dolatyari, M., Mouladoost, N., Nayeri, S., Zarghami, A., Mirtagioglu, H., et al. (2023). Ag/zno core–shell nps boost photosynthesis and growth rate in wheat seedlings under simulated full sun spectrum. Sci. Rep. 13, 14385. doi: [10.1038/s41598-023-41575-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-41575-7)

Qi, X., Wan, C., Zhang, X., Sun, W., Liu, R., Wang, Z., et al. (2023). Effects of histone methylation modification on low temperature seed germination and growth of maize. Sci. Rep. 13, 5196. doi: [10.1038/s41598-023-32451-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-32451-5)

Riechers, D. E., Kreuz, K., and Zhang, Q. (2010). Detoxification without intoxication: herbicide safeners activate plant defense gene expression. Plant Physiol. 153, 3–13. doi: [10.1104/pp.110.153601](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.153601)

Rosinger, C. (2014). Herbicide safeners: an overview. Julius-Kühn-Archiv, 516. doi: [10.5073/jka.2014.443.066](https://doi.org/10.5073/jka.2014.443.066)

Siddique, I. M. (2023). Herbicides and safeners: enhancing agricultural productivity and environmental safety. Eur. J. Adv. Eng. Technol. 10, 40–46. doi: [10.5281/zenodo.12804511](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12804511)

Sun, L., Wu, R., Su, W., Gao, Z., and Lu, C. (2016). Herbicide safeners increase waxy maize tolerance to nicosulfuron and affect weed control. J. Agric. Sci. Technol. A 6, 386– 393. doi: [10.17265/2161-6256/2016.06.003](https://doi.org/10.17265/2161-6256/2016.06.003)

Sun, L., Xu, H., Su, W., Xue, F., An, S., Lu, C., et al. (2018). The expression of detoxification genes in two maize cultivars by interaction of isoxadifen-ethyl and nicosulfuron. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 129, 101–108. doi: [10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.05.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.05.025)

Sun, L., Yang, M., Su, W., Xu, H., Xue, F., Lu, C., et al. (2023). Transcriptomic analysis of maize uncovers putative genes involved in metabolic detoxification under four safeners treatment. Pest. Biochem. Physiol. 194, 105465. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2023.105465) [j.pestbp.2023.105465](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2023.105465)

Wang, F., Li, X., Yu, S., He, S., Cao, D., Li, C. Y., et al. (2021). Chemical factors affecting uptake and translocation of six pesticides in soil by maize (Zea Mays L.).  $I$ . Hazard. Mater. 405, 124269. doi: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124269](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124269)

Xu, T., Lv, T. F., Zhao, J. R., Wang, R. H., Chen, C. Y., Liu, Y., et al. (2018). Effects of herbicides on growth, development and yield of different maize varieties. Chin. J. Eco-Agric. 26, 1159–1169. doi: [10.13930/j.cnki.cjea.180033](https://doi.org/10.13930/j.cnki.cjea.180033)

Yang, Q., Li, J., Shen, J., Xu, Y., Liu, H., Deng, W., et al. (2018). Metabolic resistance to acetolactate synthase inhibiting herbicide tribenuron-methyl in Descurainia Sophia L. Mediated by cytochrome p450 enzymes. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 66, 4319–4327. doi: [10.1021/acs.jafc.7b05825](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b05825)

Ye, F., Zhai, Y., Guo, K. L., Liu, Y. X., Li, N., Gao, S., et al. (2019). Safeners improve maize tolerance under herbicide toxicity stress by increasing the activity of enzymes in Vivo. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 67, 11568–11576. doi: [10.1021/acs.jafc.9b03587](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b03587)

Yu, Y., Deng, L., Zhou, L., Chen, G., and Wang, Y. (2022). Exogenous melatonin activates antioxidant systems to increase the ability of rice seeds to germinate under high temperature conditions. Plants 11, 886. doi: [10.3390/plants11070886](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11070886)

Zhang, C., Shi, Y. N., Yang, N. N., Qin, L. L., Tang, J. W., and Dong, C. (2022). Study on the physiological, biochemical, and molecular mechanism of browning of fresh-cut lotus roots with different taste. Food Ferment. Ind. 48, 165–171. doi: [10.13995/j.cnki.11-1802/](https://doi.org/10.13995/j.cnki.11-1802/ts.029194) [ts.029194](https://doi.org/10.13995/j.cnki.11-1802/ts.029194)

Zhang, Y. Y., Gao, S., Hoang, M. T., Wang, Z. W., Ma, X., Zhai, Y., et al. (2021). Protective efficacy of phenoxyacetyl oxazolidine derivatives as safeners against nicosulfuron toxicity in maize. Pest Manage. Sci. 77, 177–183. doi: [10.1002/ps.6005](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6005)

Zhao, Y., Li, W., Sun, L., Wu, R., Xu, H., Su, W., et al. (2023). Candidate genes involved in tolerance to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl in rice induced by isoxadifen-ethyl hydrolysate. Agronomy 13, 225. doi: [10.3390/agronomy13010225](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13010225)

Zheng, Y., Liu, B., Gou, Z. P., Li, Y., Zhang, X., Wang, Y. Q., et al. (2015). Design of novel CSA analogues as potential safeners and fungicides. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 25, 791–794. doi: [10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.12.085](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.12.085)