Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sofia I. A. Pereira, Escola Superior de Biotecnologia -Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Portugal

REVIEWED BY Vincenzo Candido, University of Basilicata, Italy Sławomir Kocira, University of Life Sciences of Lublin, Poland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Francesca Luziatelli f.luziatelli@unitus.it Giuseppe Colla giucolla@unitus.it

RECEIVED 12 September 2024 ACCEPTED 29 November 2024 PUBLISHED 17 December 2024

CITATION

Fiore L, Cardarelli M, Ruzzi M, Ficca AG, Rouphael Y, Luziatelli F and Colla G (2024) Do plant biostimulants affect the survival of *Escherichia coli* in lettuce? *Front. Plant Sci.* 15:1495463. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1495463

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Fiore, Cardarelli, Ruzzi, Ficca, Rouphael, Luziatelli and Colla. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Do plant biostimulants affect the survival of *Escherichia coli* in lettuce?

Leonardo Fiore¹, Mariateresa Cardarelli¹, Maurizio Ruzzi², Anna Grazia Ficca², Youssef Rouphael³, Francesca Luziatelli^{2*} and Giuseppe Colla^{1*}

¹Department of Agriculture and Forest Sciences, University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy, ²Department for Innovation in Biological, Agrofood and Forest Systems, University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy, ³Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Portici, Italy

Introduction: Considering that plant biostimulants can be sprayed multiple times on leafy crops even just before harvest, it is relevant to know the impact of biostimulant applications on *Escherichia coli* population dynamics of lettuce leaves to ensure food safety. Two trials were carried out to investigate whether the applications of a seaweed extract and a vegetal-derived protein hydrolysate (PH) could affect the *E. coli* growth in shake flasks (Exp. 1) and plant growth and survival of artificially inoculated *E. coli* on the leaf surface of lettuce grown in a floating system (Exp. 2).

Methods: The non-pathogenic *E. coli* strain K12 was used in both trials. In Exp. 1, biostimulants' inhibitory/stimulatory effect on *E. coli* growth was evaluated in liquid culture after 24 hours of incubation at 37°C. The 31-day agronomic trial (Exp. 2) was conducted in a polyethylene greenhouse on lettuce grown in a floating system.

Results: In Exp. 1, *E. coli* growth was not affected by LB medium amended with biostimulants, whereas both biostimulants stimulated total aerobic bacteria and inhibited *E. coli* population on lettuce leaves with a more pronounced inhibitory effect of PH applications on *E. coli* (Exp. 2). Total plant biomass and its partitioning (on fresh and dry weight basis), and N concentrations (as total N and nitrates) of leaves were not influenced by both biostimulant treatments.

Conclusion: The use of plant biostimulants could be a valuable and sustainable strategy to improve the microbiological quality of leafy greens to produce ready-to-eat foods.

KEYWORDS

Escherichia coli, biostimulant, lettuce, food safety, seaweed extract, protein hydrolysate, floating system, greenhouse

1 Introduction

The minimally processed ready-to-eat leafy vegetables market has rapidly grown in the last decade, driven by increasing consumer demand for convenient and healthy food (Market Research Reports, Industry Trends & Analysis, 2024). The quality-defining parameters of this category of products are lack of defects, consistent organoleptic characteristics, high nutritional value, and hygienic safety (Colelli and Elia, 2009). Hygienic safety is related to inherent antinutritional substances, such as nitrate and oxalate, which accumulate during growth, and microbial contamination, particularly relevant for leafy green vegetables with high water content (Hackl et al., 2017). Microbial contamination of fresh-cut produce may occur from farm to table and pose threats to human health by causing various diseases like diarrhea, abdominal cramps, vomiting as well as death. Studies conducted over the past few years demonstrated that there was a correlation between the increase in outbreaks of foodborne illness due to Escherichia coli O157:H7. Salmonella, and Listeria monocytogenes, and fresh produce consumption (Slayton et al., 2013; Aiyedun et al., 2021). E. coli O157:H7 has survived and grown in a wide range of processed fruits and vegetables, such as lettuce (Abdul-Raouf et al., 1993; Abadias et al., 2012). E. coli reaches the edible product mainly through organic fertilizers (e.g., animal manure), irrigation, and processing water (Jensen et al., 2013; Belias et al., 2020; Nahim-Granados et al., 2021; Summerlin et al., 2021) and contaminated surfaces in processing environments (Ruzi et al., 2022; Sharma et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2023). Moreover, farmers can affect the E. coli population dynamics of the contaminated plant tissues by applying agricultural inputs such as fungicides and herbicides to the crops (Staley et al., 2014). Farmers are spraying crops more and more frequently with plant biostimulants to improve crop resistance to abiotic stresses, nutrient use efficiency, and quality traits (Cardarelli et al., 2024). Seaweed extracts from Ascophyllum nodosum and vegetal-derived protein hydrolysates are the most common plant biostimulants used as foliar spray, especially in vegetable crops. Previous studies demonstrated that foliar applications of a commercial vegetalderived protein hydrolysate can change microbial community structure, stimulating the growth of epiphytic bacteria with plant growth-promoting and biological control activity against plant pathogens (Cappelletti et al., 2016; Luziatelli et al., 2019). However, more information is needed on the influence of seaweed extracts and protein hydrolysates on the population dynamics of the human pathogen E. coli in vegetable crops. Considering that plant biostimulants can be sprayed multiple times on leafy crops even just before harvest, it is relevant to know the impact of biostimulant applications on E. coli population dynamics of lettuce leaves to ensure food safety. Starting from the above considerations, two trials were carried out to investigate whether the applications of two widely used plant biostimulants, such as an A. nodosum seaweed extract and a vegetalderived protein hydrolysate, could affect E. coli growth in shake flasks (Exp. 1), and survival of artificially inoculated E. coli on the leaf surface of lettuce grown in a floating system (Exp. 2). Moreover, the effects of biostimulants on growth and quality traits of lettuce plants were also evaluated in experiment 2.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Bacterial strain and culture conditions

The non-pathogenic *E. coli* strain K12 (EC1; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) was selected as a surrogate for *E. coli* O157: H7 due to its similar growth characteristics. LB Lennox (Difco BD Biosciences; Lennox, 1955) was used to activate lyophilized culture and its routine culturing in LB broth. Cultivation was performed in Erlenmeyer flasks at 37°C and 180 rpm. The strain was stock frozen using LB broth containing glycerol 20% (*vol/vol*).

2.2 Biostimulant characteristics

A commercial vegetal-derived protein hydrolysate and a commercial seaweed extract were tested in the trials. The vegetalderived protein hydrolysate (PH) biostimulant Trainer[®] was provided by Hello Nature, Rivoli Veronese, Italy. The PH biostimulant is obtained through enzymatic hydrolysis of legume seeds, and it contains 310 g/kg of free amino acids and peptides. The product's detailed aminogram and other components were reported in detail by Rouphael et al. (2017) and Paul et al. (2019). The seaweed extract (SWE) biostimulant 'Toggle[®]', produced by Acadian Plant Health, Nova Scotia, Canada, is derived and refined from North Atlantic *Ascophyllum nodosum* sources. SWE contains 20 g/kg of organic carbon and 7 g/kg of mannitol.

2.3 Growth conditions of *E. coli* and experimental treatments in the shake flasks experiment

The inhibitory/stimulatory effect of biostimulants on *E. coli* strain K12 growth was evaluated in a liquid culture on an LB medium amended with 20% (vol/vol) filter sterilized biostimulants 'Trainer[®],' or 'Toggle[®].' The sterilization was carried out using cellulose acetate sterile syringe filters (0.2µm) to remove vegetative cells of microorganisms that could be present in the commercial biostimulants, for which, according to EU 2019/1009, no limits are required for aerobic/anaerobic bacteria rather than *Salmonella*, *E. coli*, and *Enterococcaceae*.

An overnight preculture was prepared by inoculating 50 mL of LB medium with 0.5 mL of glycerol stock and grown at 37°C and 180 rpm. This culture was used to inoculate a 500 mL flask containing 50 mL of LB supplemented with the biostimulants (20% *vol/vol*), with an initial optical density (OD₆₀₀) of 0.1. After 24 hours of growth, the culture was appropriately diluted, and aliquots (0.1 mL) were spread onto LB agar plates. The latter were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C before colony counting.

2.4 Plant growth conditions in the greenhouse experiment

The agronomic trial was conducted in summer 2023 in a tunnel greenhouse of 200 m² (25m *8 m) having a volume/surface ratio of 2.6 and covered with polyethylene film of thickness equal to 0.2 mm at the Experimental Farm of Tuscia University, central Italy (latitude 42° 25' N, longitude 12° 08', altitude 310 m). Plants were grown under natural light conditions. During the growing cycle, the average daily mean, minimum and maximum air temperature was 26, 18, and 34°C respectively. The average daily mean, minimum and maximum air humidity was 62, 48, and 80%, respectively. Lettuce seeds (Lactuca sativa L. var. acephala cv. Green Salad Bowl; SAIS S.p.A., Cesena, Italy) were sown on May 26 in polystyrene trays filled with vermiculite to assure a final plant density of 27 plants per tray. Polystyrene trays were placed in black plastic tanks (0.35x0.25 m; 0.14 m height) filled with 8 L of nutrient solution each. The nutrient solution was obtained by adding the following fertilizers to pure water (mg/L): 722 Ca(NO₃)₂, 136 KH₂PO₄, 182 K₂SO₄, 203 KNO₃, 384 Mg(NO₃)₂, 81 mM NH₄NO₃. Micronutrients were added as 24 mg/L of commercial fertilizer (Mikrom; Cifo S.p.A., Bologna, Italy) containing (g/kg): 5 B, 5 Cu, 40 Fe, 40 Mn, 2 Mo, 10 Zn, 18 Mg, 24 S. The volume of the nutrient solution was kept constant at 8 L, and oxygenation of nutrient solution was conducted by pumping air through emitters placed on the bottom of the tanks (one emitter for tank); the oxygenation of the nutrient solution was managed for assuring a dissolved oxygen concentration above 6 mg/L. During the growing cycle, nutrient solutions' electrical conductivity and pH were 2.0 \pm 0.2 dS m⁻¹ and 6.0 ± 0.3 , respectively.

2.5 Preparation of *E. coli* suspension for plant inoculation

To prepare the *E. coli* strain K12 suspension, 10 mL of an overnight preculture, as described earlier, was used to inoculate the working culture (100 mL LB medium). The culture was then allowed to grow for 18 hours at 37° C and 180 rpm. After this time, the culture was diluted 10^{3} -fold in sterile water to obtain a concentration of approximately 10^{6} viable cells per mL (determined by Most Probable Number technique), which was used for plant inoculation. All experiments were carried out in triplicates and the results were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation.

2.6 Treatments, plant inoculation, and recovery of *E. coli* cells in the greenhouse experiment

The trial included the following treatments: foliar applications of the seaweed extract (SWE) 'Toggle[®], at 2 g/L, foliar applications of the protein hydrolysate (PH) 'Trainer[®], at 3 g/L, and untreated control. Biostimulants were applied twice at 18th and 25th days after sowing (DAS) corresponding to BBCH 13 and BBCH 15,

respectively. An untreated control was foliar sprayed with pure water on the same day as the biostimulant applications. The volume of biostimulant solution/water for each treatment was 15 ml/plot. Treatments were arranged in a randomized block design with four replicates per treatment (1 tank as an experimental unit). On 16th DAS (BBCH 13), E. coli suspension, prepared as previously described, was sprayed at a rate of 20 ml/plot on the leaves to simulate an E. coli contamination of the lettuce crop before biostimulant application. One day before (15th DAS), and one day after (17th DAS), the foliar application of *E. coli* suspension, and two days after each biostimulant treatment (20th and 27th DAS), four lettuce plants per treatment were harvested. The outermost leaves of the lettuce shoots were aseptically removed, and four inner leaves per plant were aseptically cut, carefully bagged, transported to the laboratory, and processed within 24 hours. Leaf samples of each treatment were homogenized in a sterile plastic bag with 20 mL of 1% buffered peptone water (w/vol) using a stomacher (BagMixer 400S; Interscience, France). The resultant supernatant was appropriated, diluted with 1% buffered peptone water, and seeded (0.1 mL) onto LB and McConkey agar plates. LB plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 hours for counting of predominant aerobic bacteria, while McConkey agar plates were incubated at 44°C for 24 hours, and typical pinkish colonies, indicative of lactose fermenting bacteria, were counted for enumeration of E. coli strain K12.

Figure 1 reports a timeline of the treatments, *E. coli* suspension application, measurements, and analysis performed during the greenhouse lettuce trial.

2.7 Plant biomass, nitrogen, and nitrate concentration in lettuce leaves

On the 31st DAS, lettuce plants were harvested to record the fresh weight of shoots and roots. Shoot and root dry weight were determined after oven-drying plant tissues at 65°C until the sample weight remained constant. Dry leaf samples were ground separately in a Wiley mill to pass through a 20-mesh screen, and then 0.5 g of the dried plant tissues were used to determine nitrates and total Kjeldahl nitrogen. For nitrate determination, the 5% salicylic acid method was used (Vendrell and Zupancic, 1990); briefly, nitrates were extracted from plant tissues after mixing and centrifugation at room temperature using distilled water as the extractant and the reading were performed spectrophotometrically at 410 nm. Nitrogen concentration in the leaf tissues was determined after mineralization with sulfuric acid (96%), distillation of the NH₃ produced and its quantification by titration with HCl 0.1 N ('Kjeldahl method' -Anderson and Ingram, 1990).

2.8 Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the data was performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.1. Before ANOVA, the experimental data were checked for normal distribution and homogeneity of



variance using Levene's test. Tukey's test was carried out at p = 0.05 on each of the significant variables measured.

3 Results

3.1 Effect of biostimulants on *E coli* growth in shaken flasks

In the laboratory experiments, the effect of biostimulants on *E. coli* strain K12 growth was evaluated in a shaken flask trial carried out on an LB medium amended with SWE and PH. The inhibitory/ stimulatory effect was assessed by comparing the viable culturable cells of overnight culture grown with and without the biostimulant applications, measured as Colony Forming Units (CFU) per mL. Results indicated that neither of the two biostimulants statistically affected the *E. coli* growth compared to the untreated control. The mean cell density values of *E. coli* K12 ± standard errors were as follows (CFU/ml): $3.2 \pm 0.5 \times 10^8$ for untreated control; $3.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^8$ for SWE; $2.9 \pm 0.6 \times 10^8$ for PH.

3.2 Effects of biostimulants on plant biomass, nitrogen, and nitrate concentration in lettuce leaves

No significant differences were observed among treatments for shoot, root, and total biomass of lettuce plants on fresh and dry weight basis (Table 1). Similarly, no significant differences were recorded between nitrate treatments and total nitrogen concentration in lettuce leaves (Table 1).

3.3 Effect of biostimulants on *E coli* growth on lettuce leaves

Leaves from treated and untreated plants were collected at different times to study the effects of biostimulant treatments on the abundance of the total aerobic cultivable bacterial and E. coli population (Figure 1). One day before E. coli strain K12 inoculation (15 DAS), the average total population of aerobic cultivable bacteria in lettuce leaves across treatments was 1.58*10² CFU/g biomass, while E. coli was not detected in the lettuce leaves from all plots. After biostimulant applications, the data reported in Table 2 indicated that the abundance of aerobic bacteria did not significantly change between the 17th DAS and 27th DAS in untreated plants. In both biostimulant-treated plants, a significant increase in the total aerobic population was observed two days after the first treatment (20th DAS). This effect was more pronounced with SWE than PH: 6*10³-fold and 3.9-fold compared to untreated plants (Table 2). On the 20th and 27th DAS, biostimulant-treated plants' total aerobic bacterial population was significantly higher than untreated plants, especially in SWE-treated leaves. The leaf inoculation with a suspension containing E. coli strain K12 effectively raised the leaf E. coli population one day after leaf inoculation (17 DAS, Table 2). On the following sampling dates (20 and 27 DAS), a decrease in the E. coli population was recorded in all treatments (Table 2). This effect was more pronounced in PHtreated plants where the abundance of E. coli was already below the detection limit after the first biostimulant treatment (20 DAS). A similar effect was achieved with SWE only after the second biostimulant treatment (27 DAS). In untreated plants inoculated with the E. coli K12 strain, the presence of E. coli was detected until the end of the experiment (Table 2).

Treatments	Fresh biomass (kg/m ²)			Dry biomass (g/m²)			Nitrates	Kjeldahl
	Shoots	Roots	Total	Shoot	Root	Total	(mg NO ₃ /kg i.wl.)	(g/kg d. wt.)
Control	4.05 ± 0.24	0.63 ± 0.07	4.69 ± 1.71	237.8 ± 16.3	31.6 ± 1.3	269.4 ± 103.1	2991.4 ± 151.1	43.8 ± 0.5
SWE	4.03 ± 0.27	0.70 ± 0.04	4.73 ± 1.67	233.2 ± 25.1	31.6 ± 0.8	264.9 ± 100.8	3223.5 ± 230.9	43.4 ± 0.5
РН	4.34 ± 0.41	0.63 ± 0.05	4.98 ± 1.85	242.8 ± 18.0	31.2 ± 0.5	274.0 ± 105.8	3262.0 ± 84.5	44.7 ± 0.8
Significance	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

TABLE 1 Effects of biostimulant treatments on lettuce biomass and nitrate and total nitrogen concentration in lettuce leaves.

SWE, seaweed extract 'Toggle®'; PH, protein hydrolysate 'Trainer®'. ns, not significant according to Tukey's test (p > 0.05).

4 Discussion

E. coli is not harmful to plants, but some *E. coli* strains are wellknown as human pathogens. Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) is a foodborne pathogen and is responsible for several global outbreaks related to the consumption of contaminated fresh-cut products (Bottichio et al., 2020; Coulombe et al., 2020; Irvin et al., 2021). In the shake flask experiment (Exp. 1), the *E. coli* K12 strain population was not significantly changed from applying both biostimulants, indicating the lack of direct effects of biostimulant components on *E. coli* K12 strain growth. The above findings may be explained by the presence of sufficient amounts of nutritional compounds in the LB medium for supporting optimal growth of the *E. coli* K12 strain. Moreover, it is interesting to note that both biostimulants did not contain inhibitory compounds for the growth of the *E. coli* K12 strain.

In many experimental studies, plant biostimulants have been reported to increase yield, nutrient use efficiency, and quality of greenhouse leafy vegetables such as lettuce, spinach, and basil (Rouphael et al., 2018; Carillo et al., 2019; Ciriello et al., 2022). The biostimulant effects of PH have been attributed to bioactive compounds like peptides and amino acids exerting auxin and gibberellin-like activity (Colla et al., 2014), while polysaccharides, phenolic compounds, and osmolytes (proline, betaine, and mannitol) have been reported to be the most active compounds in SWE (Sharma et al., 2014). Contrary to the above research findings, no significant effects of both biostimulant applications on lettuce biomass and its partitioning were found in the current experiment (Exp. 2). As reported in several studies (Vernieri et al., 2006; Carillo et al., 2019) the biostimulant effects of PH and SWE are affected by the fertilization regime of leafy vegetables. For instance, Carillo et al. (2019) reported that the yield increase of greenhouse spinach resulting from foliar PH applications was significant only at lower nitrogen fertilization rates (0 and 15 kg N/ha), while there were no significant differences in shoot yield under high N supply (30 and 45 kg N/ha). Similarly, Vernieri et al. (2006) observed that the biostimulant-mediated yield increase of hydroponically grown rocket at different nutrient solution concentrations (standard Hoagland solution concentration; 4-fold diluted solution; 10-fold diluted solution) was significant only under the lowest nutrient solution concentration. The above findings may explain the lack of significant effects of PH and SWE on lettuce biomass in our experimental conditions where nutrients were supplied to lettuce crops at optimal concentrations. The optimal nutritional status of lettuce crop was also confirmed by the high levels of total nitrogen recorded in leaf tissues from all treatments at the end of the experiment; in the current trial, the average N concentration in lettuce leaves was 44 g N/kg, which was within the optimal N range (33- 48 g N/kg) reported by Hartz et al. (2007) for lettuce crop. Timing and method (root or foliar application) of biostimulant application may also have affected the crop growth response under a floating system, as reported in several studies (Cristofano et al., 2021). Moreover, nitrate assimilation was not improved in biostimulant-treated leaves, as demonstrated by the lack of significant differences among treatments for total nitrogen and nitrate concentrations in lettuce leaves (Table 1). The average nitrate concentration (3159 mg NO₃/ kg FW) was below the maximum level (4000 mg NO₃/kg FW) set by EC Regulation 1258/2011 for the marketability of fresh lettuce in the European Union. In agreement with our findings, Rouphael et al. (2018) reported that leaf nitrate concentration of greenhouse spinach was not increased by foliar applications of PH 'Trainer®, in comparison with untreated control; however, in the same greenhouse spinach trial, foliar applications of two other

TABLE 2 Effect of biostimulant treatments on the total epiphytic aerobic bacterial and E. coli population of lettuce leaves.

Treatments	Total aerob	ic bacteria (CFU/	g biomass ¹)	<i>E. coli</i> (CFU/g biomass ¹)			
	17 DAS	20 DAS	27 DAS	17 DAS	20 DAS	27 DAS	
Control	$1.4 \pm 0.6^{*}10^{2}$ a	$1.4 \pm 0.3^* 10^2 \text{ c}$	$1.3 \pm 0.4^* 10^2 \text{ c}$	$4.0 \pm 0.3^{*}10^{4}$ a	63.1 ± 2.3 a	22.8 ± 6.7 a	
SWE	$1.4 \pm 0.6^{*}10^{2}$ a	$9.0 \pm 0.5^{*}10^{5}$ a	$4.6 \pm 0.8^{*}10^{2}$ a	$4.0 \pm 0.3^{*}10^{4}$ a	9.2 ± 0.1 b	0.0 b	
РН	$1.4 \pm 0.6^{*}10^{2}$ a	$5.5 \pm 0.2^{*}10^{2} \text{ b}$	$3.1 \pm 0.5^{*}10^{2} \text{ b}$	$4.0 \pm 0.3^{*}10^{4}$ a	0.0 c	0.0 b	
Significance	ns	**	*	ns	**	**	

SWE, seaweed extract 'Toggle[®]; PH, protein hydrolysate 'Trainer[®]'. DAS, days after sowing. ^{ns,*},** not significant or significant according to Tukey's test for p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively. ¹Viable cell density measured by plating appropriate dilutions of leaf microbiome on LB (Total aerobic bacteria) and McConkey agar (*E. coli* K12) plates.

biostimulants (a seaweed extract from *Echlonia maxima* and a vegetal biostimulant) significantly increased the leaf nitrate concentrations in comparison with untreated control highlighting the importance of a careful evaluation of biostimulant effects on nitrogen metabolism for assuring high quality of leafy vegetables.

Foliar applications of the two biostimulants affected the bacterial microbiome and significantly increased the total aerobic bacterial population (Table 2). This effect was powerful in SWEtreated plants (20 DAS), indicating that different biostimulant products affect differently the plant holobiome. These findings are in agreement with Luziatelli et al. (2019), who demonstrated that using the vegetal-derived protein hydrolyzate Trainer® enhances microbiome diversity in lettuce plants and favors the growth of specific taxa. In the current study, a decrease in the total aerobic bacterial population at 27 DAS (two days after the second biostimulant application) was recorded in lettuce leaves of both biostimulant treatments. In contrast, the total aerobic bacterial population in the untreated control did not significantly change during the trial (Table 2). The above findings may be related to the positive effects of organic compounds (e.g., amino acids, sugars) provided by the biostimulant applications in supporting microbial growth. Interestingly, viable E. coli cells in untreated leaves were detectable for the entire period of the trial, although their concentration decreased to 22.8 CFU/g at the end of the experiment. The latter value is five-fold lower than the lower E. coli guideline limits for fresh vegetables reported in EC 2073/2025 (100-1000 CFU/g). In the biostimulants-treated leaves, the decrease in the E. coli population was faster than in untreated leaves (Table 2). At 20 DAS, PH treatment caused the total inhibition of the E. coli population in lettuce leaves, while SWE treatment induced a reduction of the E. coli population, which was 7-fold lower than untreated leaves (Table 2). At 27 DAS, the E. coli population was not detected in the leaves of both biostimulant treatments. These findings indicate that under in vivo conditions, both biostimulants harm the viability of E. coli cells. Moreover, the lack of direct effects of biostimulants on E. coli in the shake flask experiment indicates that the significant differences in the E. coli population recorded in the lettuce trial were probably due to indirect effects of both biostimulants on E. coli (e.g., stimulation of epiphytic microorganisms which are antagonists to E. coli).

5 Conclusions

This is the first report in scientific literature demonstrating that plant biostimulants, while stimulating the growth of plantassociated aerobic bacteria, negatively affect *E. coli* viability in lettuce leaves. The use of plant biostimulants as plant growthpromoting agents could be a valuable and sustainable strategy to improve the microbiological quality of leafy greens to produce ready-to-eat foods. However, additional studies are necessary to evaluate the impact of different biostimulant doses and concentrations, and other types of plant biostimulants (e.g., seaweed extracts and protein hydrolysates from different sources, humic-derived biostimulants) on *E. coli* and understand the mechanisms behind the biostimulant effects on *E. coli* population in plant tissues.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

LF: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. MC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MR: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AF: Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YR: Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. FL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GC: Software, Supervision, Validation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received forthe research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work is part of the Ph.D. thesis of Leonardo Fiore at Tuscia University and it was partially funded by PNRR DM 352/2022, Parco Scientifico e Tecnologico del Lazio.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher. Abadias, M., Alegre, I., Oliveira, M., Altisent, R., and Viñas, I. (2012). Growth potential of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 on fresh-cut fruits (melon and pineapple) and vegetables (carrot and escarole) stored under different conditions. *Food Control* 27, 37–44. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.02.032

Abdul-Raouf, U. M., Beuchat, L. R., and Ammar, M. S. (1993). Survival and growth of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on salad vegetables. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 59, 1999–2006. doi: 10.1128/aem.59.7.1999-2006.1993

Aiyedun, S. O., Onarinde, B. A., Swainson, M., and Dixon, R. A. (2021). Foodborne outbreaks of microbial infection from fresh produce in Europe and North America: a systematic review of data from this millennium. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 56, 2215–2223. doi: 10.1111/ijfs.14884

Anderson, J. M., and Ingram, J. S. I. (1990). Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility: A Handbook of Methods. (Wallingford, UK: C.A.B. International). doi: 10.2307/2261129

Belias, A. M., Sbodio, A., TruChado, P., Weller, D., Pinzon, J., Skots, M., et al. (2020). Effect of Weather on the Die-Off of *Escherichia coli* and Attenuated Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium on Preharvest Leafy Greens following Irrigation with Contaminated Water. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 86, e00899–20. doi: 10.1128/ AEM.00899-20

Bottichio, L., Keaton, A., Thomas, D., Fulton, T., Tiffany, A., Frick, A., et al. (2020). Shiga toxin-producing *escherichia coli* infections associated with romaine lettuce-United States 2018. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 71, E323–E330. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz1182

Cappelletti, M., Perazzolli, M., Antonielli, L., Nesler, A., Torboli, E., Bianchedi, P. L., et al. (2016). Leaf treatments with a protein-based resistance inducer partially modify phyllosphere microbial communities of grapevine. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2016.01053

Cardarelli, M., Ceccarelli, A. V., El Nakhel, C., Rouphael, Y., Salehi, H., Ganugi, P., et al. (2024). Foliar applications of a Malvaceae-derived protein hydrolysate and its fractions differentially modulate yield and functional traits of tomato under optimal and suboptimal nitrogen application. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2024, 7603–7616. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.13596

Carillo, P., Colla, G., Fusco, G. M., Dell'Aversana, E., El-Nakhel, C., Giordano, M., et al. (2019). Morphological and physiological responses induced by protein hydrolysate-based biostimulant and nitrogen rates in greenhouse spinach. *Agronomy* 9, 1–22. doi: 10.3390/agronomy9080450

Ciriello, M., Formisano, L., Kyriacou, M. C., Colla, G., Graziani, G., Ritieni, A., et al. (2022). Biostimulatory action of vegetal protein hydrolysate compensates for reduced strength nutrient supply in a floating raft system by enhancing performance and qualitative features of "Genovese Basil. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, e906686. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.906686

Colelli, G., and Elia, A. (2009). I prodotti ortofrutticoli di IV gamma : aspetti fisiologici e tecnologici. *Italus Hortus* 16, 55–78. (accessed June 12, 2024).

Colla, G., Rouphael, Y., Canaguier, R., Svecova, E., and Cardarelli, M. (2014). Biostimulant action of a plant-derived protein hydrolysate produced through enzymatic hydrolysis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00448

Coulombe, G., Catford, A., Martinez-Perez, A., and Buenaventura, E. (2020). Outbreaks of escherichia coli O157:H7 infections linked to Romaine Lettuce in Canada from 2008 to 2018: An analysis of food safety context. *J. Food Prot.* 83, 1444–1462. doi: 10.4315/JFP-20-029

Cristofano, F., El-Nakhel, C., Pannico, A., Giordano, M., Colla, G., and Rouphael, Y. (2021). Foliar and root applications of vegetal-derived protein hydrolysates differentially enhance the yield and qualitative attributes of two lettuce cultivars grown in floating system. *Agronomy* 11, e1194. doi: 10.3390/agronomy11061194

Hackl, E., Hölzl, C., Konlechner, C., and Sessitsch, A. (2017). Food of plant origin: production methods and microbiological hazards linked to food-borne disease. Reference: CFT/EFSA/BIOHAZ/2012/01 Lot 1 (Food of plant origin with high water content such as fruits, vegetables, juices and herbs). *EFSA Support. Publ.* 10. doi: 10.2903/sp.efsa.2013.en-402

Hartz, T. K., Johnstone, P. R., Williams, E., and Smith, R. F. (2007). Establishing lettuce leaf nutrient optimum ranges through DRIS analysis. *HortSci* 42, 143–146. doi: 10.21273/HORTSCI.42.1.143

Irvin, K., Viazis, S., Fields, A., Seelman, S., Blickenstaff, K., Gee, E., et al. (2021). An overview of traceback investigations and three case studies of recent outbreaks of

escherichia coli o157:h7 infections linked to romaine lettuce. J. Food Prot. 84, 1340-1356. doi: 10.4315/JFP-21-112

Jensen, A. N., Storm, C., Forslund, A., L. Baggesen, D., and Dalsgaard, A. (2013). *Escherichia coli* contamination of lettuce grown in soils amended with animal slurry. *J. Food Prot.* 76, 1137–1144. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-13-011

Lennox, S. (1955). Transduction of linked genetic characters of the host by bacteriophage P1. *Virology* 1, 190–206. doi: 10.1016/0042-6822(55)90016-7

Liu, Q., Li, R., Qu, W., Tian, X., Zhang, Y., and Wang, W. (2023). Influence of surface properties on the adhesion of bacteria onto different casings. *Food Res. Int.* 164, 112463. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112463

Luziatelli, F., Ficca, A. G., Colla, G., Baldassarre Švecová, E., and Ruzzi, M. (2019). Foliar application of vegetal-derived bioactive compounds stimulates the growth of beneficial bacteria and enhances microbiome biodiversity in lettuce. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00060

Market Research Reports, Industry Trends & Analysis (2024). *Global ready-to-eat foods market growth 2023-2028*. Available online at: https://www.reliablebusinessinsights.com/global-ready-to-eat-foods-market-r1177403 (Accessed September 9. 2024).

Nahim-Granados, S., Martínez-Piernas, A. B., Rivas-Ibáñez, G., Plaza-Bolaños, P., Oller, I., Malato, S., et al. (2021). Solar processes and ozonation for fresh-cut wastewater reclamation and reuse: Assessment of chemical, microbiological and chlorosis risks of raw-eaten crops. *Water Res.* 203. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2021.117532

Paul, K., Sorrentino, M., Lucini, L., Rouphael, Y., Cardarelli, M., Bonini, P., et al. (2019). A combined phenotypic and metabolomic approach for elucidating the biostimulant action of a plant-derived protein hydrolysate on tomato grown under limited water availability. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00493

Rouphael, Y., Colla, G., Giordano, M., El-Nakhel, C., and Kyriacou, M. C. (2017). Foliar applications of a legume-derived protein hydrolysate elicit dose-dependent increases of growth, leaf mineral composition, yield and fruit quality in two greenhouse tomato cultivars. *Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam).* 226, 353–360. doi: 10.1016/ j.scienta.2017.09.007

Rouphael, Y., Giordano, M., Cardarelli, M., Cozzolino, E., Mori, M., Kyriacou, M. C., et al. (2018). Plant-and seaweed-based extracts increase yield but differentially modulate nutritional quality of greenhouse spinach through biostimulant action. *Agronomy* 8, 1–15. doi: 10.3390/agronomy8070126

Ruzi, M., Celik, N., and Onses, M. S. (2022). Superhydrophobic coatings for food packaging applications: A review. *Food Packag. Shelf Life* 32, 100823. doi: 10.1016/j.fpsl.2022.100823

Sharma, H. S. S., Fleming, C., Selby, C., Rao, J. R., and Martin, T. (2014). Plant biostimulants: A review on the processing of macroalgae and use of extracts for crop management to reduce abiotic and biotic stresses. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 26, 465–490. doi: 10.1007/s10811-013-0101-9

Sharma, S., Jaiswal, S., Duffy, B., and Jaiswal, A. K. (2022). Advances in emerging technologies for the decontamination of the food contact surfaces. *Food Res. Int.* 151, 110865. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110865

Slayton, R. B., Turabelidze, G., Bennett, S. D., Schwensohn, C. A., Yaffee, A. Q., Khan, F., et al. (2013). Outbreak of shiga toxin-producing escherichia coli (STEC) O157:H7 associated with romaine lettuce consumption 2011. *PloS One* 8, 6–11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055300

Staley, Z. R., Rohr, J. R., Senkbeil, J. K., and Harwood, V. J. (2014). Agrochemicals indirectly increase survival of E. coli O157:H7 and indicator bacteria by reducing ecosystem services. *Ecol. Appl.* 24, 1945–1953. doi: 10.1890/13-1242.1

Summerlin, H. N., Pola, C. C., McLamore, E. S., Gentry, T., Karthikeyan, R., and Gomes, C. L. (2021). Prevalence of escherichia coli and antibiotic-resistant bacteria during fresh produce production (Romaine lettuce) using municipal wastewater effluents. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.660047

Vendrell, P. F., and Zupancic, J. (1990). Determination of soil nitrate by transnitration of salicylic acid. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 21, 1705–1713. doi: 10.1080/00103629009368334

Vernieri, P., Borghesi, E., Tognoni, F., Serra, G., Ferrante, A., and Piaggesi, A. (2006). Use of biostimulants for reducing nutrient solution concentration in floating system. *Acta Hortic.* 718, 477–484. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2006.718.55