Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chengzhen Liang, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

REVIEWED BY Ling Yuan, University of Kentucky, United States Liang Chen, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Fan Xu, Southwest University, China Zhi Gang Meng, Biotechnology Research institute of CAAS, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Shenjie Wu si_wu@sxau.edu.cn Chuangyun Wang wcytyd@sxau.edu.cn

RECEIVED 15 July 2024 ACCEPTED 05 September 2024 PUBLISHED 21 October 2024

CITATION

Qin L, He H, Yang L, Zhang H, Li J, Zhu Y, Xu J, Jiao G, Xiang C, Wang C and Wu S (2024) AtZAT10/STZ1 improves drought tolerance and increases fiber yield in cotton. Front. Plant Sci. 15:1464828. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1464828](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1464828)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Qin, He, Yang, Zhang, Li, Zhu, Xu, Jiao, Xiang, Wang and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use,

distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[AtZAT10/STZ1](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1464828/full) improves [drought tolerance and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1464828/full) increases fi[ber yield in cotton](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1464828/full)

Lixia Qin¹, Hehe He¹, Liqun Yang¹, Huanyang Zhang¹, Jing Li¹, Yonghong Zhu¹, Jianguo Xu², Gaili Jiao¹, Chengbin Xiang³, Chuangyun Wang^{1*} and Shenjie Wu^{1*}

1 Shanxi Agricultural University, Taiyuan, China, 2Shanxi Province Rural Industry Integration Development Center, Taiyuan, China, 3School of Life Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

Drought poses a significant challenge to global crop productivity, necessitating innovative approaches to bolster plant resilience. Leveraging transgenic technology to bolster drought tolerance in crops emerges as a promising strategy for addressing the demands of a rapidly growing global populace. AtZAT10/STZ1, a C2H2-type zinc finger protein transcription factor has shown to significantly improve Arabidopsis' tolerance to various abiotic stresses. In this study, we reports that AtSTZ1 confers notable drought resistance in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum), amplifying cotton fiber yield under varying conditions, including irrigated and water-limited environments, in field trials. Notably, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton showcases enhanced drought resilience across critical growth stages, including seed germination, seedling establishment, and reproductive phases. Morphological analysis reveals an expanded root system characterized by an elongated taproot system, increased lateral roots, augmented root biomass, and enlarged cell dimensions from transgenic cotton plants. Additionally, higher contents of proline, chlorophyll, soluble sugars, and enhanced ROS-scavenging enzyme activities are observed in leaves of transgenic plants subjected to drought, underscoring improved physiological adaptations. Furthermore, transgenic lines exhibit heightened photosynthetic rate, increased water use efficiency, and larger stomatal and epidermal cell sizes, coupled with a decline in leaf stomatal conductance and density, as well as diminished transpiration rates compared to the wild type counterparts. Transcriptome profiling unveils 106 differentially expressed genes in transgenic cotton leaves post-drought treatment, including protein kinases, transcription factors, aquaporins, and heat shock proteins, indicative of an orchestrated stress response. Collectively, these findings underscore the capacity of AtSTZ1 to augment the expression of abiotic stressrelated genes in cotton following drought conditions, thus presenting a compelling candidate for genetic manipulation aimed at enhancing crop resilience.

KEYWORDS

AtZAT10/STZ1, drought stress, transgenic cotton, fiber yield, transcriptomic analysis

1 Introduction

Cotton, an important natural fiber cash crop, is extensively cultivated worldwide. However, the yield and quality of cotton are mainly limited by drought, as a primary environmental factor ([Rocha-Munive et al., 2018](#page-15-0); [Anwar et al., 2022](#page-14-0)), necessitating improvements in drought resistance. Traditional breeding methods, while successful in improving the resilience of plants against abiotic stress factors, are costly, time-consuming, and laborintensive [\(Varshney et al., 2011](#page-15-0)). Consequently, the utilization of genetic engineering technology to develop drought-resistant and water-saving cotton varieties has emerged as a new trend in the field of cotton breeding ([Mahmood et al., 2019](#page-15-0)). Plants have evolved intricate regulatory systems for controlling the expression of specialized genes to acclimatize to drought stress [\(Gupta et al.,](#page-14-0) [2020](#page-14-0)). Roots and leaves of plants have independently evolved synchronized defense mechanisms responding to drought stress ([Kim et al., 2020](#page-15-0)). The physiological and morphological features of plant roots and leaves affect crop growth, development, overall yield and quality [\(Wasson et al., 2012;](#page-15-0) [Uga et al., 2013](#page-15-0)). Under drought stress, root diameter, depth and density have a positive correlation with plant vigor [\(Wasson et al., 2012\)](#page-15-0), and stomatal density exhibits a positive association with resilience to drought stress ([Clauw et al.,](#page-14-0) [2016;](#page-14-0) [Qi et al., 2018](#page-15-0)). Therefore, identifying stress-resistant genes for cotton breeding is desirable, and altering the root and leaf structure of cotton through transgenic technology holds significant potential for breeding drought-resistant cotton varieties.

Zinc finger proteins (ZFPs) belong to a class of transcription factor characterized by a highly conserved fingerlike domain. These domains form a stable three-dimensional structure facilitated by zinc ions surrounded by different numbers of histidine (His) and L (+)-cysteine (Cys) residues, including an anti-parallel β -fold and an α -helix [\(Razin et al., 2012](#page-15-0); [Hu et al., 2019\)](#page-14-0). Currently, there are ten types, such as Cys2/His2 (C2H2), C2HC, C6, C8, C2HC5, and C3HC4, as the known structures and classifications of zinc finger proteins. Among these, the C2H2 ZFPs, also called as typical TFIIII ZFPs, constitute a sizable family among eukaryotic transcription factors. In plants, the C2H2 zinc finger domain is the most crucial DNA binding element and primarily participates in protein−RNA, protein−DNA, and protein−protein interactions ([Wolfe et al., 2000;](#page-15-0) [Pabo et al., 2001;](#page-15-0) [Fedotova et al., 2017](#page-14-0)). This domain contains approximately thirty amino acid residues, featuring a consensus motif of CX2-4CX3FX5LX2HX3-5H. Among these amino acids, one Zn^{2+} links two histidine- and two cysteine- residues in a tetrahedral form to sustain protein stability [\(Pabo et al., 2001\)](#page-15-0). In rice and Arabidopsis thaliana, 189 and 176 ZFPs, respectively, have been isolated and identified ([Ciftci-Yilmaz and Mittler, 2008;](#page-14-0) [Agarwal et al., 2007](#page-14-0)).

Previous researches have established that C2H2 ZFPs participated in response to multiple abiotic stresses ([Sun et al.,](#page-15-0) [2019](#page-15-0); [Zhang et al., 2014\)](#page-15-0). In rice, the C2H2 transcription factor ZFP182 plays a role in the antioxidant defense system mediated by ABA ([Zhang et al., 2012](#page-16-0)). Overexpression of OsDRZ1 in rice boosts drought resilience in seedlings, resulting in lower levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and increased free proline content. Conversely, OsDRZ1-silenced rice plants exhibited decreased antioxidant activity and enhanced sensitivity to drought, indicating that OsDRZ1 modulates drought stress by acting as a transcription inhibitor. Notably, the overexpression of OsDRZ1 in rice does not inhibit but promotes plant growth [\(Yuan et al., 2018\)](#page-15-0). Two C2H2 ZFPs from soybean, GmZFP1 and GmZF1, have been confirmed to increase drought and cold tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis ([Yu et al., 2014;](#page-15-0) [Huang et al., 2006\)](#page-14-0). In Arabidopsis, the expression of GmZFP3 improves resilience to PEG and ABA while decreasing the sensitivity to drought ([Zhang et al., 2016\)](#page-15-0). ZFP182 is triggered by ABA, drought and cold, and heterologous expression of ZFP182 gene in rice and tobacco enhances plant resilience to high salinity stress ([Huang et al., 2006\)](#page-14-0). In Arabidopsis, the transcription level of ZAT18 is increased under dehydration stress, and multiple stress response genes, including ERD7, RAS1, LEA6 and COR47, as well as hormone signal-responsive genes, such as PYL5 and JAZ7, have been recognized as target genes of ZAT18. Arabidopsis plants overexpressing ZAT18 exhibit increased tolerance to drought-stress, while ZAT18 mutant lines are more sensitive. Compared to the wild counterparts, ZAT18-overexpressing plants exhibit decreased water loss and higher antioxidant enzymes activity in leaves following drought treatment [\(Yin et al., 2017](#page-15-0)). In Arabidopsis, ZAT12 plays a pivotal role in responding to abiotic stress and ROS, modulating the plant's adaptive mechanisms to strong light and oxidative stress, and enhancing antioxidant activity and adaptability to drought stress ([Lee](#page-15-0) [et al., 2016;](#page-15-0) [Rai et al., 2013](#page-15-0); [Davletova et al., 2005\)](#page-14-0). ZAT12 upregulates the expression of the WRKY25, ZAT7 and APX1 genes related to ROS signal transduction. Under abiotic stress, co-expression of ZAT12 and APX1 regulates ascorbic acid metabolism. ZAT12 also acts as a crucial component of ROS signal transduction and interacts with other genes to mitigate ROS damage [\(Wang et al., 2020\)](#page-15-0).

Arabidopsis ZAT10 (SALT TOLERANCE ZINC FINGER, STZ) possesses two C2H2 domains, and its transcriptional level is increased in response to diversified conditions including ABA, drought, high salinity, and low temperature. The overexpression or inhibition of ZAT10 in Arabidopsis can enhance plant resilience against a range of abiotic stresses, including antioxidation, anti-osmotic stress, antiheating, and anti-salt stress, positioning ZAT10 as a bidirectional regulator in abiotic stresses ([Mittler et al., 2006](#page-15-0)). The ERA domain at the C-terminus of ZAT10 functions as an inhibitor and is regulated by MPK3 and MPK6 phosphorylation [\(Nguyen et al., 2016\)](#page-15-0). [Sakamoto](#page-15-0) [et al. \(2004\)](#page-15-0) reported that the overexpression of the STZ gene could enhance Arabidopsis's drought tolerance. In contrast, [Woo et al.](#page-15-0) [\(2008\)](#page-15-0) exhibited that the drought resistance of transgenic Arabidopsis downregulated expressing STZ via RNA interference was significantly improved. Recent studies have indicated that MhZAT10 is essential for apple plants to cope with cold and drought stress. The heterologous overexpression of MhZAT10 in the cold-sensitive variety 'G935' promotes overwintering sprouting, while the expression inhibition of MhZAT10 in the frost-resistant variety 'SH6' reduces stress tolerance. MhDREB2A directly regulates and activates the expression of MhZAT10 responding to drought, and overexpression of MhDREB2A and MhZAT10 increases the plant's resilience to cold and drought. However, the overexpression of MhDREB2A and the inhibition of MhZAT10 decrease the resistance of plants to drought and cold. Furthermore, MhZAT10 has been identified as an upstream regulator of several downstream target genes, including MhWRKY31, which exhibits drought tolerance, and MhMYB88 and MhMYB124, both demonstrating cold tolerance ([Li et al., 2023](#page-15-0)).

At present, although most studies on C2H2 ZFPs have concentrated on fundamental stresses, comprising high salt, low temperature and drought treatments, the vital function of these proteins in affecting crop quality and yield has rarely been reported. Some C2H2 ZFPs participate in and integrate various stress tolerance signaling pathways, but their specific regulatory mechanisms remain unclear ([Huang et al., 2019](#page-15-0)). Previous studies have revealed that when overexpressed in Arabidopsis, the cotton C2H2 ZFPs GhDi19-1 and GhDi19-2 result in an increased susceptibility of the plants to ABA and high salt stress [\(Li et al.,](#page-15-0) [2010\)](#page-15-0). More lately, [Fan et al. \(2021\)](#page-14-0) cloned the G. hirsutum gene GhZAT67 and found that GhZAT67-silenced plants via virusinduced gene silencing (VIGS) wilted more than wild-type plants following alkaline treatment, indicating GhZAT67 participates in the response to alkaline stress. Additionally, GhCSTZ was expressed in cotton seedlings following salt stress treatment [\(An et al., 2016\)](#page-14-0). The expression of GhZAT10 in roots was higher than that in stems and leaves, and up-regulated after chilling stress in these tissues. The GhZAT10-silenced cotton plants were more sensitive to low temperature but not to drought than wild type, and GhZAT10 plays a positive role to response the chilling stress in upland cotton [\(Yang](#page-15-0) [et al., 2020](#page-15-0)), moreover, GhZAT10 and GhCSTZ did not affect fiber yield.

However, the role of AtZAT10/AtSTZ1 in drought resistance, yield and quality has not been reported in cotton. In the current research, our primary objective was to obtain AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton plants and evaluate their drought resistance in the laboratory conditions, greenhouse environments, and in actual field settings. The results revealed that overexpression of AtSTZ1 in cotton greatly enhanced drought resistance and led to improved fiber yield in the field. Our results suggests that AtSTZ1 holds promising potential as a target gene for crop improvement with drought tolerance.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Vector construction and genetic transformation

Construction of the AtSTZ1 overexpression vector was undertaken following previously established methods [\(Yu et al.,](#page-15-0) [2016](#page-15-0)). Briefly, the complete AtSTZ1 cDNA sequence was inserted into the binary vector pCB3000, containing the CaMV 35S promoter. Subsequently, the recombinant plasmid [\(Supplementary Figure S1A\)](#page-14-0) was introduced into hypocotyl explants of upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) cultivars Zhongmian 44 and R15 ([Qin et al., 2017\)](#page-15-0). Positive transgenic plants from T_0 - T_3 generations were selected and determined according to [Qin et al. \(2017\).](#page-15-0) The primers utilized for vector construction can be found in [Supplementary Table S1.](#page-14-0)

2.2 qRT-PCR analysis

Extraction and purification of total RNA from the transgenic cotton leaves and synthesis of first-strand cDNA are referred to [Li](#page-15-0) [et al. \(2005\)](#page-15-0). As mentioned earlier, quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was utilized to detect the transcriptional level of AtSTZ1 and additional genes that are associated with drought stress. This analysis was conducted in accordance with the methodology described by [Li et al. \(2005\)](#page-15-0). The GhHis3 gene (AF024716) served as the internal reference for gene expression normalization. The specific primers utilized for quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) are detailed in [Supplementary Table S1.](#page-14-0)

2.3 Drought tolerance trials

The seed germination trials involved sowing seeds from homozygous AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic lines (OE-4, -6, generated from R15 as explants, and OE-7 and -9 generated from Zhongmian 44 as explants) and the wild type on 10% PEG6000 saturated filter papers. These seeds were germinated in an artificial light incubator with 16-hour light/8-hour dark cycles at 28°C. The germination rate was determined referring to [Qin et al. \(2014\).](#page-15-0)

Drought tolerance tests were conducted on seedlings from both the wild-type and transgenic cotton in a greenhouse according to [Yu](#page-15-0) [et al. \(2016\).](#page-15-0) The same author's method was used to assess the drought resistance and agronomic performance of transgenic cotton plants in the field. These field experiments were performed in Yuncheng City, Shanxi Province, China and Shihezi City, Xinjiang Province, China. Images were taken when plants exhibited noticeable drought stress phenotypes during seedling development, the reproductive period and the mature stage ([Yu et al., 2016\)](#page-15-0).

The growth status of the seedlings was recorded on day 15, and a lateral root count was calculated. After 25 days of drought stress, the primary root length of early plants was measured. The roots were cut from AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton plants treated with drought for 15 days and 25 days, respectively. Samples of leaves and primary roots were collected from plants that had undergone a 25-day drought treatment. The root and leaf surface imprint methods, referring to [Yu et al. \(2008\),](#page-15-0) were used. The number of stomata and root cells were calculated, and their sizes were measured referring to the methods of [Yu et al. \(2016\).](#page-15-0)

All experiments were independently replicated three times.

2.4 Determination of the water use efficiency, transpiration rate, and photosynthetic rate

The water use efficiency (WUE), transpiration rate, and photosynthetic rate in the leaves from 25-day-old transgenic cotton plants were determinated according to [Yu et al. \(2013\)](#page-15-0). The WUE, transpiration rate and photosynthetic rate were assessed utilizing a photosynthesis measuring instrument (CIRAS-3, Portable photosynthesis System, Lufthansa Scientific Instruments Co., Ltd.).

2.5 Determination of MDA, free proline, soluble sugar, and chlorophyll contents and electrolyte leakage

Leaves from plants subjected to drought for 25 days or from normal control plants were utilized for the analysis of MDA, free proline, soluble sugar, and chlorophyll contents and electrolyte leakage. Proline and chlorophyll levels were quantified following the methodology outlined by [Qin et al. \(2014\),](#page-15-0) while soluble sugars were determinated as per the protocol by [Dubois et al. \(1956\)](#page-14-0). As previously mentioned, the MDA content was detected according to the procedure of the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) test [\(Cao et al., 2009\)](#page-14-0), and electrolyte leakage was assessed referring to [Bajji et al. \(2002\)](#page-14-0).

2.6 Measurement of POD, CAT, and SOD activities

The assays of POD, SOD, and CAT activities were performed following the protocol outlined by [Ruan et al. \(2011\).](#page-15-0) Total protein content was determined by utilizing a Bradford Protein Assay Kit (Solarbio Science &Technology Co., Ltd, Beijing, China).

2.7 Transcriptomic analysis

Fourth true leaf tissues from both transgenic cotton lines and the wild-type controls following drought treatment for 25 days were collected, and extraction and purification of total RNA from the fourth true leaf were conducted, following the methodology outlined by [Qin et al. \(2017\)](#page-15-0). Subsequently, RNA-seq libraries were constructed and sequenced by Nanjing Personal Gene Technology Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, Jiangsu, China, <http://www.personalbio.cn>). Details regarding the sequencing location/facility, read length, and type have been previously described by [Zhou et al. \(2020\).](#page-16-0) Gene function was predicted and the distribution frequency of functional categories was calculated by gene ontology (GO) analysis.

Edger software was used for the analysis of differential gene expression derived from transcriptome sequencing data. The determination of differential gene expression was founded on fold change (FC \geq 2 or FC \leq -2) and P value (P \leq 0.01). The Cutadapt (v2.7) software and parameters utilized for GO and heat map analyses refer to [Zhang et al. \(2021\)](#page-16-0). The statistical analysis incorporated a twofold alteration in the average of biological replicates, coupled with a stringent false discovery rate threshold of below 0.05 ($P < 0.05$), to ensure rigor and accuracy.

3 Results

3.1 AtSTZ1 increases fiber yield and improves agronomic traits in the field

To explore the role of AtSTZ1 in cotton yield, we obtained 21 transgenic cotton lines overexpressing AtSTZ1 in the R15 and Zhongmian 44 wild-type backgrounds and verified them via kanamycin resistance and PCR analyses ([Supplementary Figure S1](#page-14-0)). To investigate the function of AtSTZ1 in response to drought, we selected four overexpressing homozygous lines with higher AtSTZ1 expression levels ([Supplementary Figure S1B,](#page-14-0) OE-4 and -6, generated from R15 as explants; OE-7 and -9, generated from Zhongmian 44 as explants) for subsequent analyses.

To evaluate the transgenic lines' performance in the field, considering both irrigated and natural drought conditions, we constructed field trials at two different field locations (Shihezi, Xinjiang and Yuncheng, Shanxi). A major field experiment was performed in Shihezi, Xinjiang Province, China, from April to September, a period characterized by scarce rainfall throughout the growing season. As illustrated in [Figures 1A,](#page-4-0) B, D, E, compared to the wild type, noticeably better growth and improved drought resilience is observed in four transgenic lines (-4, -6, 7, and -9), and their agronomic trait data were collected along with those of the wild-type controls.

A comparison of fiber yield and agronomic traits between AtSTZ1 transgenic lines and the wild- type counterparts was conducted under normal irrigation and natural non-irrigation conditions in the field. As indicated in [Table 1](#page-5-0), under adequate water conditions, relative to that of the wild-type controls (R15 and Zhongmian 44), the fruit branch number ($P \le 0.02$), boll number ($P \le$ 0.03), plant height ($P \le 0.03$), and boll fresh weight ($P \le 0.03$) of the transgenic lines 4, 6, 7 and 9 significantly increased, and the yield of seed cotton per plant rose by 18.20%, 11.22%, 18.25%, and 14.24%, respectively ($P \le 0.03$), with a significant decrease in boll shedding (P ≤ 0.04). Notably, under natural drought stress, the AtSTZ1 transgenic plants displayed better agronomic traits than did the wild-type plants ([Table 1](#page-5-0)). The yield of cotton seed fiber from transgenic lines 4, 6, 7 and 9 increased markedly, by 21.88%, 20.82%, 25.81% and 23.04%, respectively ($P \le 0.02$), relative to that of the wild type controls. Besides, the fruit branch number ($P \le 0.02$), boll number ($P < 0.01$), boll fresh weight ($P \le 0.02$), and plant height ($P < 0.01$) have an obvious increase, while boll shedding per plant ($P \le 0.03$) decreased in the four transgenic lines in contrast to the wild type controls ([Table 1\)](#page-5-0). Importantly, the relative cotton fiber yield of the transgenic lines demonstrated a more significantly increase under natural arid environment than under normal, well-watered conditions ([Table 1\)](#page-5-0). Under natural drought condition, the field performance (including cotton fiber yield, boll fresh weight, boll number, fruit branch number, and plant height) of AtSTZ1 transgenic cotton lines was positively correlated with the expression level of AtSTZ1 [\(Table 1\)](#page-5-0). Our findings conclusively demonstrated that the overexpression of AtSTZ1 in cotton not only enhanced drought resistance and simultaneously improved fiber yield in the field.

3.2 AtSTZ1 enhances drought tolerance in cotton

To evaluate whether AtSTZ1-overexpressing cotton plants exhibit enhanced drought tolerance, we conducted drought resistance tests during seed germination, seedling development, and the reproductive phase, respectively. Seeds from wild-type and AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic lines 4, 6, 7 and 9 were sown on 10% PEG6000-saturated filter

FIGURE 1

Significantly enhanced drought resistance of AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton plants in the field. (A, B, D, E) Phenotypes of AtSTZ1overexpressing transgenic cotton lines in Shihezi, Xinjiang, China. The plants were then photographed under natural drought conditions for 80 days. (C, F) Growth of AtSTZ1 transgenic cotton lines during the mature growth stage in Yuncheng, Shanxi, China. OE-4, -6, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 4 and 6 generated using R15 as explants; OE-7, -9: AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 7 and 9 generated using Zhongmian 44 as explants. Bars=8 cm.

papers [\(Figure 2A\)](#page-6-0). [Figure 2B](#page-6-0) shows the germination rates of the wildtype and AtSTZ1 overexpression seeds in the condition containing 10% PEG6000. No significant variation was observed in the germination of AtSTZ1-overexpressing lines and wild type counterparts on sterilized water-saturated filter paper, with nearly complete germination in all seeds after 6 days ($P \ge 0.36$, [Figures 2A,](#page-6-0) B). Whereas, seeds from AtSTZ1-overexpressing plants germinated earlier and more rapidly than did from the wild-type controls [\(Figures 2A,](#page-6-0) B) under PEG6000 treatment. After 6 days, approximately 50-60% of the transgenic seeds had germinated, compared to only about 20% of the wild-type seeds ($P \le$ 8.11E-10, [Figure 2B\)](#page-6-0). The above findings suggest that the AtSTZ1 overexpressing transgenic cotton significantly enhanced drought tolerance during seed germination.

To assess the effect of AtSTZ1 overexpression on drought tolerance, transgenic cotton plants with an overexpression of AtSTZ1 were cultivated in a greenhouse under drought conditions. For evaluating drought resistance during the early seedling stage, seeds from four homozygous T_3 generation lines (-4, -6, -7 and -9) of AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton were planted in nutrition pots, and watering ceased when the cotton plants developed two

TABLE 1 Agronomic characteristics and cotton fiber yields between transgenic lines and wild-types in the field under the conditions of natural drought and water irrigation.

 $n= 20$ plants per line. Values are means \pm SEs. \ast , P< 0.05; $\ast\ast$, P< 0.01.

R15, Z44: Wild type receptor control R15 and Zhongmian 44; OE-4, -6, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 4 and 6 generated using R15 as explants; OE-7, -9: AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 7 and 9 generated using Zhongmian 44 as explants.

cotyledons. As depicted in [Figure 3A,](#page-7-0) no discernible difference was observed between the transgenic cotton lines and the wild-type plants during seedling development on the 15th day without watering. However, as drought stress persisted on days 25 to 28, cotyledons of the wild-type control were entirely shed, whereas AtSTZ1 transgenic plants exhibited a significant delay in leaf-wilting phenotypes and reduced wilting percentages in contrast to those of wild type plants. Specifically, over 90% of the transgenic plants remained healthy ([Figures 3A,](#page-7-0) B), and the wilting rate of the transgenic lines was only 7-8% ($P \le 9.15E-5$) after 25 days of water deprivation, while the wilting rate of the wild type controls was 20%. Following drought treatment for 28 days, the wilting rate (30-39%, $P \leq 8.74E$ -7) of the transgenic plants was notably lower than the wild-type (over 90%). After 28 days of drought stress and a subsequent two-day recovery period, the leaves of most of the transgenic plants returned to normal and still grew healthily, whereas almost all of the wild type plants fully wilted and even died [\(Figures 3A,](#page-7-0) B). In addition, we observed a significant increase (0.56-0.68 fold) in the dry biomass of the AtSTZ1 overexpressing transgenic lines under drought stress in comparison to the wild-type counterparts ($P \le 3.83E-12$, [Figure 3C\)](#page-7-0).

To assess drought resistance during the reproductive phase, thirty-five days old greenhouse-grown plants with well-watering went through a 40-day period without watering. As shown in [Figure 3D](#page-7-0), the wild-type control exhibited a pronounced droughtstress phenotype, with leaves almost completely wilted. In contrast, all four transgenic lines maintained a relatively normal state of growth. These findings indicate that AtSTZ1 can dramatically

improve the drought tolerance of cotton plants in different growth and development periods. On the whole, our results indicate that overexpression of AtSTZ1 improves drought resistance in cotton.

3.3 AtSTZ1 improves enlarged root system in cotton

We observed that four AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines (-4, -6, 7, and -9) exhibited stronger growth than the wild-type controls in the field in Yuncheng, Shanxi Province, China ([Figures 1C,](#page-4-0) F; [Supplementary Figure S2\)](#page-14-0). The AtSTZ1 overexpressing transgenic cotton plants not only produced significantly larger bolls ([Figures 1C,](#page-4-0) F; [Supplementary Figure S2\)](#page-14-0), but also developed an expanded root system [\(Figures 1C,](#page-4-0) F; [Figures 4A,](#page-8-0) B, the roots were cut from AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton plants treated with drought for 15 days and 25 days, respectively) characterized by increased length of primary roots ([Figures 4B,](#page-8-0) E; $P \le 5.81E-8$) and increased numbers of lateral roots [\(Figure 4C,](#page-8-0) $P \le 2.8E-10$), significantly augment of root dry biomass ([Figure 4F,](#page-8-0) $P \le 1.61E$ -7), and an increase of roots cell size in length [\(Figures 4D,](#page-8-0) H; $P \le 4.92E-51$). Therefore, the average number of root cells per apparent area in transgenic lines 4 and 6 was obviously less than the wild type control [\(Figure 4G,](#page-8-0) $P \le 2.25E-11$). This enhanced root system could potentially benefit cotton plant growth and drought tolerance.

FIGURE 2

Assay of seed germination of independent T_3 AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines under PEG6000 treatment. (A) Growth status of wildtype and transgenic plants germinated in 10% PEG6000 for 2, 4, and 6 days. (B) Germination rate after 6 days of germination. The mean values and SEs (bars) of three independent experiments (n > 50 seeds per line) are shown. Independent t-tests revealed a very significant (**, P < 0.01) difference in the germination rate between the transgenic lines and the wild type under PEG6000 treatment. R15 and Z44, wild-type cotton (R15 and Zhongmian 44). OE-4, -6, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 4 and 6 generated using R15 as explants; OE-7, -9: AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 7 and 9 generated using Zhongmian 44 as explants.

3.4 AtSTZ1-overexpressing cotton exhibits decreased stomatal density and transpiration rate with increased photosynthetic rate and water-use efficiency in leaves

We measured stomatal size and number in the leaves of AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic plants and the wild type controls. Both the length ($P \le 1.66E-35$) and width ($P \le 3.26E-3.2E$ 26) of the stomatal guard cells were larger in two transgenic cotton lines than the wild-type control [\(Figures 5A,](#page-9-0) B). The average stomatal densities of the transgenic lines 4, 6, 7 and 9 were respectively 26.04%, 22.26%, 28.45% and 27.13% less than in the wild type counterparts, respectively ([Figures 5A,](#page-9-0) C; $P \le 1.91E-16$). The observation that epidermal cells in the transgenic lines overexpressing AtSTZ1 was larger compared to wild type ($P \leq$ 2.49E-9), which resulted in a notable decrease in stomatal density of transgenic lines ([Figure 5D](#page-9-0)). Consequently, the AtSTZ1 overexpressing plants exhibited greater water-use efficiency (WUE, [Figure 5E](#page-9-0), $P \le 4.72E-6$), greater photosynthetic rates ([Figure 5F,](#page-9-0) $P \le 4.17E-9$), lower stomatal conductance [\(Figure 5G,](#page-9-0) $P \le 2.36E-5$, and lower transpiration rates [\(Figure 5H](#page-9-0), $P \le 3.98E-5$) than did the wild-type controls. Accordingly, those data revealed that the increase in WUE of the transgenic cotton lines was attributable to a decrease in stomatal density and conductance.

3.5 AtSTZ1 transgenic plants show improved stress tolerance parameters

We compared several physiological parameters between AtSTZ1 transgenic lines and the wild type plants following drought treatment. Under normal well-watered conditions, malondialdehyde (MDA), proline, chlorophyll, and soluble sugar contents; electrolyte leakage;

generated using R15 as explants; OE-7, -9: AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 7 and 9 generated using Zhongmian 44 as explants. The values are the means \pm SEs of three replicates (**, P < 0.01).

and peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities were similar in both the transgenic lines and the wildtype control [\(Figure 6\)](#page-10-0). However, following drought stress, the MDA content [\(Figure 6A,](#page-10-0) $P \le 1.83E-7$) and electrolyte leakage ([Figure 6B](#page-10-0), $P \le$ 1.97E-5) in the wild-type cotton plants were greater than those in the transgenic lines, suggesting that there was less oxidative damage in the transgenic cotton plants than in the wild-type control plants.

Moreover, the contents of soluble sugars [\(Figure 6C,](#page-10-0) $P \le 0.0002$), proline [\(Figure 6D,](#page-10-0) $P \le 0.0001$) and chlorophyll [\(Figure 6E,](#page-10-0) $P \le 2.68E$ -6) in the AtSTZ1-overexpressing cotton lines increased significantly relative to the wild-type control after drought treatment. Notably, the activities of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-scavenging enzymes, such as SOD ([Figure 6F,](#page-10-0) $P \le 1.51E-5$), POD ([Figure 6G,](#page-10-0) $P \le 2.08E-5$) and CAT ([Figure 6H](#page-10-0), $P \le 4.26E-6$), were markedly greater in the transgenic lines

Decreased stomatal density, stomatal conductance, and transpiration rate; increased stomatal and epidermal cell size; and increased water use efficiency (WUE) and photosynthetic rate in AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton. (A) Micrograph of the adaxial epidermal cells of wild-type and AtSTZ1 transgenic cotton plants. Bar = 50 μ m. (B) Stomatal size of wild-type and AtSTZ1 transgenic plants. Stomata were counted and measured by microscopy. The values are the means \pm SEs of 50 stomata. (C, D) Stomatal density and epidermal cell number of wild-type and transgenic plants (n = 50 images per line). (E, H) WUE (E) and stomatal conductance (H) of wild-type and transgenic plants. (F-G) Photosynthetic rate (Pn, F) and transpiration rate (Tr, G) of wild-type and transgenic plants (n=10 fully expanded leaves per line). R15 and Z44, wild-type cotton (R15 and Zhongmian 44). OE-4, -6, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 4 and 6 generated using R15 as explants; OE-7, -9: AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 7 and 9 generated using Zhongmian 44 as explants. The mean value was \pm SEs (**, P < 0.01)

compared to those of in the wild type plants following drought treatment. Hence, our data display that the transgenic cotton lines overexpressing AtSTZ1 exhibited an enhanced drought resistance in comparison to the wild-type plants, likely because it better protects against oxidative damage during drought stress.

3.6 AtSTZ1 influences the expressions of multiple transcription factors and droughtresponsive genes

In order to deeper explore the regulatory function of AtSTZ1 in cotton in responding to drought stress, we performed transcriptomic analysis to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) under both irrigated and drought conditions. A Venn diagram [\(Figure 7A](#page-11-0)) displays the DEGs in transgenic lines 4, 7 and 9 and in the wild-type plants (R15 and Zhongmian 44) (control vs drought). A total of 5860 DEGs (including 2906 downregulated and 2954 upregulated genes) and 5805 DEGs (comprising 2824 downregulated and 2981 upregulated genes) were identified in the wild-type controls (Zhongmian 44 and R15) following drought treatment, respectively [\(Supplementary Table](#page-14-0) [S2](#page-14-0)). In AtSTZ1-overexpressing lines 4, 7 and 9, 392 DEGs, including 188 downregulated genes and 204 upregulated genes, were identified under drought stress [\(Supplementary Table S2;](#page-14-0) [Supplementary](#page-14-0) [Appendix S1;](#page-14-0) [Figure 7A](#page-11-0)). 94 DEGs (comprising 42 downregulated and 52 upregulated genes) were identified in three AtSTZ1 overexpressing lines and the wild type plants following non-stressful growth environments. In contrast to those in the wild type controls,

Determination and analysis of physiological indices of AtSTZ1 transgenic cotton after drought treatment. The malondialdehyde (MDA) content (A), electrolyte leakage (B), soluble sugar (C), proline content (D), chlorophyll content (E), and SOD (F), POD (G) and CAT (H) activities of the transgenic cotton plants and wild-type plants were measured. The values are the means ± SEs of three replicates (**, P < 0.01). Plants (approximately 20 plants per line) were treated for 25 days with or without irrigation. Mean values and SEs (bars) from three independent experiments (n = 20 fully expanded leaves per line). Independent t-tests for comparison of mean values showed significant differences between wild-type and transgenic plants (**, P < 0.01). R15, Z44: wild-type receptor control R15 and Zhongmian 44; OE-4, -6, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 4 and 6 generated using R15 as explants; OE-7, -9: AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 7 and 9 generated using Zhongmian 44 as explants.

106 DEGs (including 56 downregulated and 60 upregulated genes) were identified in those three AtSTZ1-overexpressing lines under drought treatment ([Supplementary Appendixes S1-2;](#page-14-0) [Supplementary](#page-14-0) [Table S2;](#page-14-0) [Figure 7A\)](#page-11-0). The differentially regulated transcripts of these

106 DEGs are engaged in a variety of biological processes, cellular compartments, and molecular functions according to the functional classification via GO enrichment [\(Figure 7B](#page-11-0)). The expression levels of these 106 DEGs in AtSTZ1-overexpressing lines 4, 7 and 9 and the

FIGURE 7

Major classes of functional genes differentially upregulated in AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton under drought stress. (A) Venn diagram of unigenes identified as differentially expressed between the wild-type and transgenic lines (control vs drought). (B) Gene Ontology (GO) functional classification of 106 differentially expressed genes (DEGs). BP, biological process; MF, molecular function; CC, cellular component. (C) Heatmaps showing the transcript levels of 106 DEGs. The columns and rows in the heatmaps represent the samples and DEGs, respectively. Red indicates genes with high expression levels, and green indicates genes with low expression levels. (D) Major classes of the upregulated functional genes in the transgenic lines according to the RNA-seq data. (E) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the 20 selected major genes. GhLRK10 (PR5-like receptor kinase, GH_A01G0439), GhTMK1-L (receptor protein kinase TMK1-like, GH_A01G2189), GhRGI3, GhBAK1 and GhLRR60 (LRR receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase, GH_A04G0041, GH_A12G2843 and GH_A02G1960), GhPERK2 (proline-rich receptor protein kinase, GH_D02G0727), GhTIP2-1, GhTIP1-3 (aquaporin, GH_D03G0641 and GH_D13G2562), GhCML1 (calmodulin-like protein 1, GH_D12G2948), GhCML29 (calcium-binding protein CML29, GH_A05G2319), HSP18.1 (18.1 kDa class I heat shock protein, GH_A09G1779), GhPOD5 (peroxidase 5, GH_D12G2674), GhLIN1 (E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase, GH_D09G2516), GhDREB3L (dehydration-responsive element-binding protein 3-like, GH_D06G1018). The values are the means + SDs of three replicates. Z44 and R15, wild-type receptor controls Zhongmian 44 and R15; OE-4 (L4), AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 4 generated using R15 as explants; OE-7 (L7), and OE-9 (L9), AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines 7 and 9 generated using Zhongmian 44 as explants. M, mock, under normal growth conditions; D, under drought treatment. Student's t-tests revealed significant differences (**, P < 0.01) among the transcript levels.

wild-type controls (Zhongmian 44 and R15) following non-irrigated conditions are depicted in heatmaps ([Figure 7C\)](#page-11-0).

Among the 106 DEGs, 60 were upregulated ([Figure 7D;](#page-11-0) [Supplementary Table S3](#page-14-0)), encompassing major functional gene classes. These included an E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase (GH_D09G2516, associated with stomatal conductance) [\(Tong et al., 2021\)](#page-15-0), a calmodulin protein (GH_D12G2948), a calcium-binding protein (GH_A05G2319), and a peroxidase (GH_D12G2674, associated with root antioxidation) [\(Luo et al., 2016\)](#page-15-0). Two aquaporins (GH_D03G0641 and GH_D13G2562) related to the regulation of stomatal response and root growth have been recognized [\(Kapilan et al., 2018;](#page-15-0) [Guo et al., 2022\)](#page-14-0). The expression of six kinases, including a PR5-like receptor kinase (GH_A01G0439, associated with stomatal conductance) [\(Baek et al., 2019\)](#page-14-0), a proline-rich protein kinase (GH_D02G0727), a receptor protein kinase (GH_A01G2189, linked to regulating root hair growth) [\(Wei and Li, 2018](#page-15-0)), and three Ser/Thr protein kinases (GH_A04G0041, GH_A12G2843 and GH_A02G1960), were also upregulated. Seven transcription factors, comprising an AP2/ERF dehydration-responsive element-binding protein (GH_D06G1018, associated with root development) ([Lee et al., 2016](#page-15-0); [El-Esawi and](#page-14-0) Alayafi[, 2019](#page-14-0)), a zinc finger protein (GH_A07G1346, linked to regulating the function of stomata) [\(Han et al., 2021](#page-14-0)), a BLH/BELL transcription factor (GH_D13G0313, regulating secondary cell wall biosynthesis) [\(Ma et al., 2019\)](#page-15-0), a bHLH (GH_D03G1091, associated with stomatal development) [\(Yang et al., 2023](#page-15-0)), a NAC (GH_A01G0644, linked to root development) [\(Liu et al., 2014](#page-15-0)), and two MYBs (GH_D08G0405 and GH_D12G2894, controlling stomatal size and opening) [\(Butt et al., 2017](#page-14-0); [Chen et al., 2015\)](#page-14-0) were identified. Additionally, 10 heat shock proteins (GH_A09G1779, GH_A02G0345, GH_A07G0271, GH_A09G2360, GH_D08G1971, etc.) linked to ROS scavenging ([Hou et al., 2018;](#page-14-0) [Zhang and Huang, 2020\)](#page-16-0), were dramatically upregulated in AtSTZ1-overexpressing lines following drought conditions. Our RNA-seq results are in alignment with the observed phenotypic traits exhibited by the transgenic lines.

To verify the accuracy of drought-response relative gene expression patterns of the DEGs, the expression levels of 20 representative upregulated DEGs from the AtSTZ1-overexpressing plants were determinated by qRT-PCR [\(Figure 7E](#page-11-0)). The qRT-PCR validation of the transcriptional levels of the drought-related genes screened exhibited congruence with the RNA-seq transcript abundance data, demonstrating the accuracy and reliability of the transcriptomic data.

4 Discussion

The major objective of crop breeding is to increase quality and yield. However, drought significantly impedes crop growth, development and total yield. Thus, the cultivation of crop varieties possessing enhanced drought resilience is essential for boosting overall crop productivity. Numerous genes have been isolated and identified as potential candidates for genetic modification to combat drought stress. These genes include genes encoding metabolites or penetrant protectants, genes related to ion homeostasis, hormone genes associated with signal transduction

and genes encoding transcription factors ([Yang et al., 2010;](#page-15-0) Umezawa et al., 2006; [Liu et al., 2014](#page-15-0)). However, the overwhelming majority of genetic improvements have been detected in Arabidopsis thaliana, with only minor genes transformed into crops [\(Peleg et al., 2011](#page-15-0)). Genetic engineering technology has emerged as an efficient and fast approach in crop breeding, nevertheless, the ectopic expression of most stressresponsive genes usually leads to aberrant growth, development and yield losses ([Priyanka et al., 2009;](#page-15-0) [Nakashima et al., 2007\)](#page-15-0). Encouragingly, AtHDG11 overexpressing transgenic cotton has demonstrated significant feasibility in increasing agricultural yield in the case of drought or water scarcity ([Yu et al., 2016\)](#page-15-0). The function of AtSTZ1/ZAT10 involving in regulating drought stress response in Arabidopsis has produced diametrically opposite results. [Sakamoto et al. \(2004\)](#page-15-0) demonstrated that the overexpression of AtSTZ1 can notably improve drought resilience of Arabidopsis plants. Conversely, [Woo et al. \(2008\)](#page-15-0) reported that the drought tolerance of transgenic Arabidopsis expressing AtSTZ1 was obviously enhanced via RNA interference. In our study, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton plants yielded similar results to those in which AtHDG11 was overexpressed in cotton, with no transparent abnormal or negative growth or development observed. In contrast, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton plants exhibited an enlarged root system ([Figures 1F,](#page-4-0) [4\)](#page-8-0), increased stomata and epidermal cells in leaves, and decreased stomatal density ([Figure 5](#page-9-0)). Importantly, in field trials, AtSTZ1 overexpression increased fiber yield, enhanced agronomic properties, and improved drought tolerance ([Figure 1,](#page-4-0) [Table 1\)](#page-5-0), both in conditions of sufficient water and natural drought. In contrast to the wild type plants, transgenic cotton lines overexpressing AtSTZ1 in the field displayed a clear growth advantage, as indicated by significantly greater total cotton yield, boll number, fruit branch number, and plant height following sufficient water and natural drought stress ([Table 1](#page-5-0)). Fiber yields from AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic lines 4, 6, 7 and 9 increased by 21.88%, 20.82%, 25.81% and 23.04% after drought stress and by 18.20%, 11.22%, 18.25% and 14.24% under normal irrigation conditions, respectively [\(Table 1](#page-5-0)). The transgenic lines exhibited a more pronounced increase in relative cotton fiber yield during drought stress compared to normal well-watered conditions ([Table 1\)](#page-5-0). Consequently, our data pointed towards the greater benefits of AtSTZ1 overexpression in cotton for improved plant growth following drought condition, and our research identified a hopeful candidate gene, AtSTZ1, for overcoming the extreme environment faced by cotton production. As a potential candidate gene, AtSTZ1 can be served to enhance the drought resilience of crops in certain regions where the output of agriculture is constrained by the supply of water, and the excellent agronomic performance of AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton plants contributes to some crops in the context of water scarcity.

Prior studies have attributed the increase in drought resistance associated with AtHDG11 to the development of the stomatal density and root system, increased WUE and photosynthesis, and improved tolerance to oxidative stress [\(Yu et al., 2016](#page-15-0)). Likewise, our research shows that the enhanced drought resistance of AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton plants is due to morphological and physiological changes. Firstly, the expanded root system of transgenic plants maximizes nutrient and water absorption in drought-stressed conditions. One potential explanation for the root changes in transgenic plants is phosphorylation regulation of the receptor protein kinase TMK1-like (TMK1-L) (GH_A01G2189) ([Figure 7\)](#page-11-0), a critical regulatory factor of root development in Arabidopsis thaliana [\(Wei and Li, 2018](#page-15-0)). Furthermore, the reductions in transpiration rate, stomatal density and conductivity [\(Figure 5\)](#page-9-0) in the transgenic cotton plants likely contributed to an enhanced WUE ([Figure 5E\)](#page-9-0) and improved water retention capacity. Additionally, the decreased electrolyte leakage and MDA content of AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines, coupled with increased soluble sugar, free proline and chlorophyll contents; and CAT, POD and SOD activities, better protected the plants from oxidation and osmotic damage ([Figure 6](#page-10-0)).

Previous reports have demonstrated that AtZAT12 can upregulate the expression of APX1, ZAT7 and WRKY25 [\(Wang et al., 2020\)](#page-15-0). ZAT10/AtSTZ1 is controlled by MPK3 and MPK6 phosphorylation and participates in multiple abiotic stress responses [\(Sakamoto et al.,](#page-15-0) [2004](#page-15-0); [Nguyen et al., 2016](#page-15-0)). [Tian et al. \(2024\)](#page-15-0) reported that the zinc finger protein DHHC09 modulates kinase STRK1 through S-acylation, regulating H_2O_2 levels and improving salt resistance in rice. In the present study, transcriptome data unveiled that the transcriptional levels of several kinases in AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines increased following drought treatment. Consequently, we hypothesize that AtSTZ1 is regulated at the post-translational level. Besides, the upregulated expression of the stress-related genes GhCALM1, GhCML29, GhPOD5, and GhHSPs in AtSTZ1 overexpressing transgenic cotton lines suggested that under drought stress, AtSTZ1 functions as a positive modulator of genes responding to abiotic stress. [Li et al. \(2023\)](#page-15-0) confirmed that the expression of MhZAT10 was directly regulated and activated by MhDREB2A responding to drought stress. Furthermore, the overexpression of MhDREB2A and MhZAT10 improved the resistance of plants to cold and drought. Subsequently, MhMYB124 and MhMYB88 were identified as downstream target genes regulated by MhZAT10. In this study, our transcriptome-seq data and qRT-PCR assays revealed the upregulation of diverse transcription factor (comprising GhDREB3, GhBLH6, GhZFN56L, GhNAC72L, GhMYB3, GhMYB62 and BHLH72) in the transgenic cotton plants, though the precise role and positioning of AtSTZ1 in the transcriptional regulatory network is still unclear. Therefore, we speculate that the expression of AtSTZ1 could potentially be directly controlled and activated by GhDREB3 responding to drought stress. Moreover, GhMYB3 and GhMYB62 may be downstream regulatory target genes of AtSTZ1 that involving in drought signal response. Additionally, the transcription level SNAC1 gene from rice significantly increased in guard cells under drought stress, and the overexpression of SNAC1 in cotton improves drought resistance via promoting root growth and decreasing the transpiration rate ([Liu et al., 2014\)](#page-15-0). Similarly, AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines exhibited improved drought resistance, and had an expanding root system, and a reduced transpiration rate in comparison to the wild-type counterparts. Thus, we speculate that upregulated expression of AtSTZ1, controlled by GhNAC72L, improves the development of root system and decreases the transpiration rate, thereby enhancing the drought resistance of cotton.

In conclusion, our researches demonstrated that the overexpression of AtSTZ1 in cotton strengthened its drought resistance. AtSTZ1 transgenic cotton lines exhibit several traits linked to drought resistance, including a better-developed root system; enlarged stomatal size; enhancive photosynthetic rate and WUE; elevated CAT, POD and SOD activities; increased proline and chlorophyll contents; and reduced MDA levels, transpiration rate and stomatal density. Transgenic cotton overexpressing AtSTZ1 exhibited enhanced drought tolerance, better agronomy characteristics, and increased fiber production in the field environment. Additionally, underlying transcription factors and drought-responsive genes related to AtSTZ1 were identified. Regardless of the mechanism through which AtSTZ1 responds to drought, the satisfying agronomic traits obtained in AtSTZ1 overexpressing transgenic cotton lines will benefit crops under water-limited conditions. Moreover, the overexpression of AtSTZ1 in Arabidopsis, tobacco and cotton significantly improved plant drought resistance [\(Mittler et al., 2006](#page-15-0); [Sakamoto et al., 2004\)](#page-15-0). Overexpression of MhZAT10 in cold-sensitive cultivar 'G935' promoted overwintering sprouting, while the overexpression of MhZAT10 and MhDREB2A enhanced plant resistance to cold and drought [\(Li et al., 2023](#page-15-0)). To sum up, these studies suggest that the drought tolerance and other phenotypes conferred by the dicot ZAT10/STZ1 are likely conserved in other crop species. This finding implies that altering the expression pattern of AtSTZ1 may be a natural evolutionary strategy for drought tolerance, and this gene holds great potential for use in crop genetic improvement.

5 Conclusion

Overexpression of AtSTZ1 in cotton produced increased agronomic performance and fiber yield in the field, as well as improved drought resistance. The transgenic cotton plants displayed a variety of traits associated with drought tolerance, comprising a larger and more extensive root system, enlarged stomatal aperture, decreased stomatal density, increased WUE, heightened photosynthetic rate, and increased CAT, POD and SOD activities, along with increased chlorophyll, soluble sugar, and proline contents. Additionally, AtSTZ1 upregulated the expression of abiotic stress-related genes in cotton responding to drought.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: NCBI(SAR)- PRJNA1171793; PRJNA1171421; PRJNA1171940. [https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27088918) fi[gshare.27088918](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27088918).

Author contributions

LQ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HH: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. LY: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. HZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. JL: Investigation, Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. JX: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. GJ: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. CX: Validation, Writing – review & editing. CW: Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. SW: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31601350,32130075), by the Project of Transgenic Research from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2016ZX08005-004- 007), the Fundamental Research Program of Shanxi Province (20210302123381), the "Outstanding and Excellent youth Cultivation Project" of Shanxi Agricultural University (2022YQPYGC04).

References

Agarwal, P., Arora, R., Ray, S., Singh, A. K., Singh, V. P., Takatsuji, H., et al. (2007). Genome-wide identification of C2H2 zinc-finger gene family in rice and their phylogeny and expression analysis. Plant Mol. Biol. 65, 467–485. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-007-9199-y) $\frac{1}{11103}$ -007-9199-y

An, Y. M., Song, L. L., Liu, Y. R., Shu, Y. J., and Guo, C. H. (2016). De novo transcriptional analysis of Alfalfa in response to Saline-Alkaline stress. Front. Plant Sci. 7. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2016.00931](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00931)

Anwar, M., Saleem, M. A., Dan, M., Malik, W., Ul-Allah, S., Ahmad, M. Q., et al. (2022). Morphological, physiological and molecular assessment of cotton for drought tolerance under field conditions. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 29, 444–452. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.09.009) [j.sjbs.2021.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.09.009)

Baek, D., Kim, M. C., Kumar, D., Park, B., Cheong, M. S., Choi, W., et al. (2019). AtPR5K2, a PR5-Like receptor kinase, modulates plant responses to drought stress by phosphorylating protein phosphatase 2Cs. Front. Plant Sci. 10. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01146) [fpls.2019.01146](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01146)

Bajji, M., Kinet, J. M., and Lutts, S. (2002). The use of the electrolyte leakage method for assessing cell membrane stability as a water stress tolerance test in durum wheat. Plant Growth Regul. 36, 61–70. doi: [10.1023/a:1014732714549](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1014732714549)

Butt, H. I., Yang, Z., Gong, Q., Chen, E., Wang, X., Zhao, G., et al. (2017). GaMYB85, an R2R3 MYB gene, in transgenic Arabidopsis plays an important role in drought tolerance. BMC Plant Biol. 17, 142. doi: [10.1186/s12870-017-1078-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-017-1078-3)

Cao, Y. J., Wei, Q., Liao, Y., Song, H. L., Li, X., Xiang, C. B., et al. (2009). Ectopic overexpression of AtHDG11 in tall fescue resulted in enhanced tolerance to drought and salt stress. Plant Cell Rep. 28, 579–588. doi: [10.1007/s00299-008-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-008-0659-x) $0659-x$

Chen, T., Li, W., Hu, X., Guo, J., Liu, A., and Zhang, B. (2015). A cotton MYB transcription factor, GbMYB5, is positively involved in plant adaptive response to drought stress. Plant Cell Physiol. 56, 917–929. doi: [10.1093/pcp/pcv019](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcv019)

Ciftci-Yilmaz, S., and Mittler, R. (2008). The zinc finger network of plants. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 65, 1150–1160. doi: [10.1007/s00018-007-7473-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-007-7473-4)

Clauw, P., Coppens, F., Korte, A., Herman, D., Slabbinck, B., Dhondt, S., et al. (2016). Leaf growth response to mild drought: natural variation in Arabidopsis sheds light on trait architecture. Plant Cell. 28, 2417–2434. doi: [10.1105/tpc.16.00483](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00483)

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1464828/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1464828/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1464828/full#supplementary-material)

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX S1

Differentially expressed genes identified in AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic lines (Control-vs-Drought).

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX S2

106 differentially expressed genes of AtSTZ1-overexpressing transgenic cotton lines in comparison with the wild type following drought stress.

Davletova, S., Schlauch, K., Coutu, J., and Mittler, R. (2005). The zinc-finger protein ZAT12 plays a central role in reactive oxygen and abiotic stress signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 139, 847–856. doi: [10.1104/pp.105.068254](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.068254)

Dubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A., and Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem. 28, 350–356. doi: [10.1021/ac60111a017](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60111a017)

El-Esawi, M. A., and Alayafi, A. A. (2019). Overexpression of StDREB2 Transcription Factor Enhances Drought Stress Tolerance in Cotton (Gossypium barbadense L.). Genes (Basel). 10, 142. doi: [10.3390/genes10020142](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10020142)

Fan, Y., Zhang, Y., Rui, C., Xu, N., Zhang, H., Wang, J., et al. (2021). Zinc finger transcription factor ZAT family genes confer multi-tolerances in Gossypium hirsutum L. J. Cotton Res. 4, 24. doi: [10.1186/s42397-021-00098-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42397-021-00098-0)

Fedotova, A. A., Bonchuk, A. N., Mogila, V. A., and Georgiev, P. G. (2017). C2H2 zinc finger proteins: the largest but poorly explored family of higher eukaryotic transcription factors. Acta Naturae. 9, 47–58. doi: [10.32607/20758251-2017-9-2-47-58](https://doi.org/10.32607/20758251-2017-9-2-47-58)

Guo, A., Hao, J., Su, Y., Li, B., Zhao, N., Zhu, M., et al. (2022). Two aquaporin genes, GhPIP2;7 and GhTIP2;1, positively regulate the tolerance of upland cotton to salt and osmotic stresses. Front. Plant Sci. 12. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2021.780486](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.780486)

Gupta, A., Rico-Medina, A., and Caño-Delgado, A. I. (2020). The physiology of plant responses to drought. Science. 368, 266–269. doi: [10.1126/science.aaz7614](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz7614)

Han, G., Qiao, Z., Li, Y., Wang, C., and Wang, B. (2021). The roles of CCCH zincfinger proteins in plant abiotic stress tolerance. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 8327. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22158327) [ijms22158327](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22158327)

Hou, S., Zhu, G., Li, Y., Li, W., Fu, J., Niu, E., et al. (2018). Genome-Wide association studies reveal genetic variation and candidate genes of drought stress related traits in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Front. Plant Sci. 9. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2018.01276](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01276)

Hu, X., Zhu, L., Zhang, Y., Xu, L., Li, N., Zhang, X., et al. (2019). Genome-wide identification of C2H2 zinc-finger genes and their expression patterns under heat stress in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Peer J. 7, e7929. doi: [10.7717/peerj.7929](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7929)

Huang, F., Chi, Y., Meng, Q., Gai, J., and Yu, D. (2006). GmZFP1 encoding a single zinc finger protein is expressed with enhancement in reproductive organs and late seed development in soybean (Glycine max). Mol. Biol. Rep. 33, 279–285. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-006-9012-z) [s11033-006-9012-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-006-9012-z)

Huang, L., Jiang, Q., Wu, J., An, L., Zhou, Z., Wong, C., et al. (2019). Zinc finger protein 5 (ZFP5) associates with ethylene signaling to regulate the phosphate and potassium deficiency-induced root hair development in Arabidopsis. Plant Mol. Biol. 102, 143–158. doi: [10.1007/s11103-019-00937-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-019-00937-4)

Kapilan, R., Vaziri, M., and Zwiazek, J. J. (2018). Regulation of aquaporins in plants under stress. Biol. Res. 51, 4. doi: [10.1186/s40659-018-0152-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-018-0152-0)

Kim, Y., Chung, Y. S., Lee, E., Tripathi, P., Heo, S., and Kim, K. H. (2020). Root response to drought stress in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 1513. doi: [10.3390/ijms21041513](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21041513)

Lee, D. K., Jung, H., Jang, G., Jeong, J. S., Kim, Y. S., Ha, S. H., et al. (2016). Overexpression of the OsERF71 transcription factor alters rice root structure and drought resistance. Plant Physiol. 172, 575–588. doi: [10.1104/pp.16.00379](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00379)

Li, G., Tai, F. J., Zheng, Y., Luo, J., Gong, S. Y., Zhang, Z. T., et al. (2010). Two cotton Cys2/His2-type zinc-finger proteins, GhDi19-1 and GhDi19-2, are involved in plant response to salt/drought stress and abscisic acid signaling. Plant Mol. Biol. 74, 437–452. doi: [10.1007/s11103-010-9684-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-010-9684-6)

Li, X. B., Fan, X. P., Wang, X. L., Cai, L., and Yang, W. C. (2005). The cotton ACTIN1 gene is functionally expressed in fibers and participates in fiber elongation. Plant Cell. 17, 859–875. doi: [10.1105/tpc.104.029629](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.104.029629)

Li, X. L., Meng, D., Li, M. J., Zhou, J., Yang, Y. Z., Zhou, B. B., et al. (2023). Transcription factors MhDREB2A/MhZAT10 play a role in drought and cold stress response crosstalk in apple. Plant Physiol. 192, 2203–2220. doi: [10.1093/plphys/kiad147](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiad147)

Liu, G., Li, X., Jin, S., Liu, X., Zhu, L., Nie, Y., et al. (2014). Overexpression of Rice NAC gene SNAC1 improves drought and salt tolerance by enhancing root development and reducing transpiration rate in transgenic cotton. PloS One 9, e86895. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0086895](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086895)

Luo, N., Yu, X., Nie, G., Liu, J., and Jiang, Y. (2016). Specific peroxidases differentiate Brachypodium distachyon accessions and are associated with drought tolerance traits. Ann. Bot. 118, 259–270. doi: [10.1093/aob/mcw104](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw104)

Ma, Q., Wang, N., Hao, P., Sun, H., Wang, C., Ma, L., et al. (2019). Genome-wide identification and characterization of TALE superfamily genes in cotton reveals their functions in regulating secondary cell wall biosynthesis. BMC Plant Biol. 19, 432. doi: [10.1186/s12870-019-2026-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-2026-1)

Mahmood, T., Khalid, S., Abdullah, M., Ahmed, Z., Shah, M. K. N., Ghafoor, A., et al. (2019). Insights into drought stress signaling in plants and the molecular genetic basis of cotton drought tolerance. Cells 9, 105. doi: [10.3390/cells9010105](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9010105)

Mittler, R., Kim, Y., Song, L., Coutu, J., Coutu, A., Ciftci-Yilmaz,, et al. (2006). Gainand loss-of-function mutations in Zat10 enhance the tolerance of plants to abiotic stress. FEBS Lett. 580, 6537–6542. doi: [10.1016/j.febslet.2006.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2006.11.002)

Nakashima, K., Tran, L. S. P., Van Nguyen, D., Fujita, M., Maruyama, K., Todaka, D., et al. (2007). Functional analysis of a NAC-type transcription factor OsNAC6 involved in abiotic and biotic stress-responsive gene expression in rice. Plant J. 51, 617–630. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-313x.2007.03168.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2007.03168.x)

Nguyen, X. C., Kim, S. H., Hussain, S., An, J., Yoo, Y., Han, H. J., et al. (2016). A positive transcription factor in osmotic stress tolerance, ZAT10, is regulated by MAP kinases in Arabidopsis. J. Plant Biol. 59, 55–61. doi: [10.1007/s12374-016-0442-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12374-016-0442-4)

Pabo, C. O., Peisach, E., and Grant, R. A. (2001). Design and selection of novel Cys2His2 zinc finger proteins. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 70, 313–340. doi: [10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.70.1.313) [annurev.biochem.70.1.313](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.70.1.313)

Peleg, Z., Apse, M. P., and Blumwald, E. (2011). "Engineering salinity and water-stress tolerance in crop plants: getting closer to the field," in Advances in Botanical
Research (Academic Press), 405-443. doi: [10.1016/B978-0-12-387692-8.00012-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-387692-8.00012-6)

Priyanka, B., Sekhar, K., Reddy, V. D., and Rao, K. V. (2009). Expression of pigeonpea hybrid-proline-rich protein encoding gene (CcHyPRP) in yeast and Arabidopsis affords multiple abiotic stress tolerance. Plant Biotechnol. J. 8, 76–87. doi: [10.1111/j.1467-7652.2009.00467.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2009.00467.x)

Qi, J., Song, C. P., Wang, B., Zhou, J., Kangasjärvi, J., Zhu, J. K., et al. (2018). Reactive oxygen species signaling and stomatal movement in plant responses to drought stress and pathogen attack. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 60, 805–826. doi: [10.1111/jipb.12654](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12654)

Qin, L. X., Chen, Y., Zeng, W., Li, Y., Gao, L., Li, D. D., et al. (2017). The cotton bgalactosyltransferase 1 (GalT1) that galactosylates arabinogalactan proteins participates in controlling fiber development. Plant J. 89, 957–971. doi: [10.1111/tpj.13434](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13434)

Qin, L. X., Li, Y., Li, D. D., Xu, W. L., Zheng, Y., and Li, X. L. (2014). Arabidopsis drought-induced protein Di19-3 participates in plant response to drought and high salinity stresses. Plant Mol. Biol. 86, 609–625. doi: [10.1007/s11103-014-0251-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-014-0251-4)

Rai, A. C., Singh, M., and Shah, K. (2013). Engineering drought tolerant tomato plants over-expressing BcZAT12 gene encoding a C2H2 zinc finger transcription factor. Phytochemistry 85, 44–50. doi: [10.1016/j.phytochem.2012.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2012.09.007)

Razin, S. V., Borunova, V. V., Maksimenko, O. G., and Kantidze, O. L. (2012). Cys2His2 zinc finger protein family: Classification, functions, and major members. Biochemistry 77, 217–226. doi: [10.1134/s0006297912030017](https://doi.org/10.1134/s0006297912030017)

Rocha-Munive, M. G., Soberón, M., Castañeda, S., Niaves, E., Scheinvar, E., Eguiarte, L. E., et al. (2018). Evaluation of the impact of genetically modified cotton after 20 years of cultivation in Mexico. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 6. doi: [10.3389/fbioe.2018.00082](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2018.00082)

Ruan, L., Chen, L., Chen, Y., He, J., Zhang, W., and Gao, Z. (2011). Expression of Arabidopsis HOMEODOMAIN GLABROUS 11 enhances tolerance to drought stress in transgenic sweet potato plants. J. Plant Biol. 55, 151–158. doi: [10.1007/s12374-011-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12374-011-9198-z) [9198-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12374-011-9198-z)

Sakamoto, H., Maruyama, K., Sakuma, Y., Meshi, T., Iwabuchi, M., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2004). Arabidopsis Cys2/His2-Type zinc-finger proteins function as transcription repressors under drought, cold, and high-Salinity stress conditions. Plant Physiol. 136, 2734–2746. doi: [10.1104/pp.104.046599](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.046599)

Sun, Z., Liu, R., Guo, B., Huang, K., Wang, L., and Han, Y. (2019). Ectopic expression of GmZAT4, a putative C2H2-type zinc finger protein, enhances PEG and NaCl stress tolerances in Arabidopsis thaliana. 3 Biotech. 9, 166. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-019-1673-0) [s13205-019-1673-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-019-1673-0)

Tian, Y., Zeng, H., Wu, J. C., Dai, G. X., Zheng, H. P., and Liu, C. (2024). The zinc finger protein DHHC09 S-acylates the kinase STRK1 to regulate H2O2 homeostasis and promote salt tolerance in rice. Plant Cell. 36, 919–940. doi: [10.1093/plcell/koae001](https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koae001)

Tong, S., Chen, N., Wang, D., Ai, F., Liu, B., and Ren, L. (2021). The U-box E3 ubiquitin ligase PalPUB79 positively regulates ABA-dependent drought tolerance via ubiquitination of PalWRKY77 in Populus. Plant Biotechnol. J. 19, 2561–2575. doi: [10.1111/pbi.1368](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.1368)

Uga, Y., Sugimoto, K., Ogawa, S., Rane, J., Ishitani, M., Hara, N., et al. (2013). Control of root system architecture by DEEPER ROOTING 1 increases rice yield under drought conditions. Nat. Genet. 45, 1097–1102. doi: [10.1038/ng.2725](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2725)

Varshney, R. K., Bansal, K. C., Aggarwal, P. K., Datta, S. K., Craufurd, P. Q., et al. (2011). Agricultural biotechnology for crop improvement in a variable climate: hope or hype? Trends Plant Sci. 16, 363–371. doi: [10.1016/j.tplants.2011.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.03.004)

Wang, M., Yuan, J., Qin, L., Shi, W., Xia, G., and Liu, S. (2020). TaCYP81D5, one member in a wheat cytochrome P450 gene cluster, confers salinity tolerance via reactive oxygen species scavenging. Plant Biotechnol. J. 18, 791–804.

Wasson, A. P., Richards, R. A., Chatrath, R., Misra, S. C., Prasad, S. V. S., Rebetzke, G. J., et al. (2012). Traits and selection strategies to improve root systems and water uptake in water-limited wheat crops. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 3485–3498. doi: [10.1093/jxb/ers111](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ers111)

Wei, Z., and Li, J. (2018). Receptor-like protein kinases: key regulators controlling root hair development in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 60, 841–850. doi: [10.1111/jipb.12663](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12663)

Wolfe, S. A., Nekludova, L., and Pabo, C. O. (2000). DNA recognition by Cys2His2 zinc finger proteins. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 29, 183–212. doi: [10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.29.1.183) [annurev.biophys.29.1.183](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.29.1.183)

Woo, N. S., Badger, M. R., and Pogson, B. J. (2008). A rapid, non-invasive procedure for quantitative assessment of drought survival using chlorophyll fluorescence. Plant Methods. 4, 27. doi: [10.1186/1746-4811-4-27](https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4811-4-27)

Yang, C., Zhu, T., Zhou, N., Huang, S., Zeng, Y., Jiang, W., et al. (2023). PIF7 mediated epigenetic reprogramming promotes the transcriptional response to shade in Arabidopsis. EMBO J. 42, e111472. doi: [10.15252/embj.2022111472](https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2022111472)

Yang, S., Vanderbeld, B., Wan, J., and Huang, Y. (2010). Narrowing down the targets: towards successful genetic engineering of drought-tolerant crops. Mol. Plant 3, 469– 490. doi: [10.1093/mp/ssq016](https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssq016)

Yang, Y. F., Ge, C. W., Shen, Q., Zhang, S. P., Liu, S. D., Ma, H. J., et al. (2020). Cloning and functional analysis of low temperature response gene, GhZAT10, in upland cotton at seedling stage. Cotton Sci. 32, 305–315. doi: [10.11963/1002-7807.yyfpcy.20200703](https://doi.org/10.11963/1002-7807.yyfpcy.20200703)

Yin, M., Wang, Y., Zhang, L., Li, J., Quan, W., Yang, L., et al. (2017). The Arabidopsis Cys2/His2 zinc finger transcription factor ZAT18 is a positive regulator of plant tolerance to drought stress. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 2991–3005. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erx157](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx157)

Yu, G. H., Jiang, L. L., Ma, X. F., Xu, Z. S., Liu, M. M., Shan, S. G., et al. (2014). A soybean C2H2-type zinc finger gene GmZF1 enhanced cold tolerance in transgenic
Arabidopsis. PloS One 9, e109399. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0109399](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109399)

Yu, H., Chen, X., Hong, Y. Y., Wang, Y., Xu, P., Ke, S. D., et al. (2008). Activated expression of an Arabidopsis HD-START protein confers drought tolerance with improved root system and reduced stomatal density. Plant Cell 20, 1134-1151. doi: [10.1105/tpc.108.058263](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.058263)

Yu, L., Chen, X., Wang, Z., Wang, S., Wang, Y., Zhu, Q., et al. (2013). Arabidopsis enhanced drought tolerance1/HOMEODOMAIN GLABROUS11 confers drought tolerance in transgenic rice without yield penalty. Plant Physiol. 162, 1378–1391. doi: [10.1104/pp.113.217596](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.217596)

Yu, L. H., Wu, S. J., Peng, Y. S., Liu, R. N., Chen, X., Zhao, P., et al. (2016). Arabidopsis EDT1/HDG11 improves drought and salt tolerance in cotton and poplar and increases cotton yield in the field. Plant Biotechnol. J. 14, 72–84. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12358) [pbi.12358](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12358)

Yuan, X., Huang, P., Wang, R., Li, H., Lv, X., Duan, M., et al. (2018). A zinc finger transcriptional repressor confers pleiotropic effects on rice growth and drought tolerance by down-regulating stress-responsive genes. Plant Cell Physiol. 59, 2129–2142. doi: [10.1093/pcp/pcy133](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcy133)

Zhang, D., Tong, J., Xu, Z., Wei, P., Xu, L., Wan, Q., et al. (2016). Soybean C2H2-type zinc finger protein GmZFP3 with conserved QALGGH motif negatively regulates drought responses in transgenic Arabidopsis. Front. Plant Sci. 7. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00325) [fpls.2016.00325](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00325)

Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Wen, F., Yao, D., Wang, L., Guo, J., et al. (2014). A novel rice C2H2-type zinc finger protein, ZFP36, is a key player involved in abscisic acid-induced antioxidant defence and oxidative stress tolerance in rice. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 5795–5809. doi: [10.1093/jxb/eru313](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru313)

Zhang, H., Ni, L., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, A., Tan, M., et al. (2012). The C2H2-type zinc finger protein ZFP182 is involved in abscisic acid-induced antioxidant defense in rice. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 54, 500–510. doi: [10.1111/j.1744-7909.2012.01135.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2012.01135.x)

Zhang, X., and Huang, B. (2020). "Drought priming-induced heat tolerance:
Metabolic pathways and molecular mechanisms," in *Priming-mediated stress and* cross-stress tolerance in crop plants (Academic Press), 149–160. doi: [10.1016/B978-0-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817892-8.00009-X) [12-817892-8.00009-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817892-8.00009-X)

Zhang, Y. M., Li, F. S., Chen, C., Li, Y., Xie, W. Q., Huang, D., et al. (2021). RAGEmediated T cell metabolic reprogramming shapes T cell inflammatory response after stroke. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 42, 952–965. doi: [10.1177/0271678x211067133](https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678x211067133)

Zhou, W., Jia, M., Zhang, G., Sun, J., Li, Q., Wang, X., et al. (2020). Up-regulation of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis system in peach species by peach aphids produces
anthocyanins that protect the aphids against UVB and UVC radiation. Tree Physiol. 41, 428–443. doi: [10.1093/treephys/tpaa132](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpaa132)