#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiaopeng Gao, University of Manitoba, Canada

REVIEWED BY Mingyuan Yin, Shenyang University, China Zhang Zhihao, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Niu Li [niuli@caf.ac.cn](mailto:niuli@caf.ac.cn) Wenwen Yuan [yw7198205@163.com](mailto:yw7198205@163.com)

RECEIVED 21 June 2024 ACCEPTED 02 August 2024 PUBLISHED 27 August 2024

#### CITATION

Zhang Y, Jiang P, Guo Y, Wu M, Shao X, Xu H, Wu T, Yuan W and Li N (2024) Nitrogen and phosphorus additions alter soil N transformations in a Metasequoia glyptostroboides plantation. Front. Plant Sci. 15:1448356. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Jiang, Guo, Wu, Shao, Xu, Wu, Yuan and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# [Nitrogen and phosphorus](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356/full) [additions alter soil N](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356/full) [transformations in a Metasequoia](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356/full) [glyptostroboides plantation](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356/full)

Youzheng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Pengcheng Jiang<sup>2</sup>, Yaolin Guo<sup>3</sup>, Ming Wu<sup>2</sup>, Xuexin Shao<sup>2</sup>, Hengtao Xu<sup>1</sup>, Tonggui Wu<sup>2</sup>, Wenwen Yuan<sup>2\*</sup> and Niu Li $^{2*}$ 

1 Key Laboratory of Engineering Oceanography, Key Laboratory of Nearshore Engineering Environment and Ecological Security of Zhejiang Province, Second Institute of Oceanography, Ministry of Natural Resources, Hangzhou, China, 2Wetland Ecosystem Research Station of Hangzhou Bay, Research Institute of Subtropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Hangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Introduction: Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) enrichment due to anthropogenic activities can significantly affect soil N transformations in forest ecosystems. However, the effects of N and P additions on nitrification and denitrification processes in Metasequoia glyptostroboides plantations, and economically important forest type in China, remain poorly understood.

Methods: This study investigated the responses of soil nitrification and denitrification rates, as well as the abundances of nitrifiers and denitrifiers, to different levels of N and P additions in a 6-year nutrient addition experiment in a M. glyptostroboides plantation.

Results: Stepwise multiple regression analysis was used to identify the main predictors of nitrification and denitrification rates. The results showed that moderate N addition (N2 treatment, 2.4 mol $\,$ m<sup>-2</sup>) stimulated nitrification rates and abundances of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and bacteria (AOB), while excessive N and P additions inhibited denitrification rates and reduced the abundance of nirS-type denitrifiers. AOB abundance was the main predictor of nitrification rates under N additions, whereas microbial biomass carbon and nirS gene abundance were the key factors controlling denitrification rates. Under P additions, tree growth parameters (diameter at breast height and crown base height) and AOB abundance were the primary predictors of nitrification and denitrification rates.

**Discussion:** Our study reveals complex interactions among nutrient inputs, plant growth, soil properties, and microbial communities in regulating soil N transformations in plantation forests. This study also offers valuable insights for formulating effective nutrient management strategies to enhance the growth and health of M. glyptostroboides plantations under scenarios of increasing elevated nutrient deposition.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Metasequoia glyptostroboides, nutrient enrichment, nitrification, denitrification, ammonia-oxidizing bacteria

## 1 Introduction

Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) are key limiting nutrients for productivity and health in terrestrial ecosystems. They play crucial roles in plant physiological and biochemical processes, such as photosynthesis, respiration, and protein synthesis, and influence ecosystem species composition, nutrient cycling, and diversity maintenance [\(Luo et al., 2022;](#page-10-0) [Peñuelas and Sardans, 2022](#page-10-0)). However, owing to human activities, particularly increases in fossil fuel combustion and agricultural production, atmospheric N deposition and P pollution have increased substantially, altering the natural balance of N and P ([Goll et al., 2022\)](#page-9-0). Long-term excessive N and P inputs can lead to a series of ecological problems, including soil acidification, nutrient imbalance, and biodiversity loss ([Jamil](#page-10-0) [et al., 2022;](#page-10-0) [Sun et al., 2022](#page-10-0); [Ali et al., 2023\)](#page-9-0).

Soil nitrification and denitrification are key processes regulating N transformation and loss in ecosystems [\(Wang et al., 2022](#page-10-0); [Gineyts](#page-9-0) [and Niboyet, 2023](#page-9-0)). Nitrification encompasses the aerobic transformation of ammonium into nitrite and subsequently nitrate, a sequence primarily orchestrated by chemoautotrophic bacteria and archaea harboring the amoA gene. This gene encodes the essential ammonia monooxygenase enzyme, initiating the pivotal first stage of nitrification ([Shi et al., 2023a;](#page-10-0) [Gineyts and](#page-9-0) [Niboyet, 2023](#page-9-0)). Conversely, denitrification, occurring under anaerobic conditions, involves the reduction of nitrate  $(NO<sub>3</sub>^-)$ into gaseous nitrogen species like nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide  $(NO<sub>2</sub>)$ , and ultimately, dinitrogen gas  $(N<sub>2</sub>)$ . Denitrifying bacteria are paramount to this process. Notably, the reduction of nitrite  $(NO<sub>2</sub>^-)$ to nitric oxide (NO), facilitated by nitrite reductases encoded by the nirK or nirS genes, stands as a cornerstone in denitrification. These genes serve as reliable markers for quantifying and elucidating the abundance and community structure of denitrifiers across diverse ecosystems (Bá[rta et al., 2010](#page-9-0); [Kou et al., 2021\)](#page-10-0). These two processes significantly affect soil N mineralization, nitrate leaching, and  $N_2O$ emissions [\(Lang et al., 2021](#page-10-0); [Proctor et al., 2023](#page-10-0)). However, the effects of N and P additions on soil nitrification and denitrification are inconsistent across studies. [Liu Y. et al. \(2023\)](#page-10-0) found that moderate N addition significantly promoted soil nitrification and denitrification in a subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest. By contrast, [Wang et al. \(2021\)](#page-10-0) reported that long-term high-level N fertilization, despite increasing ammonium and nitrate contents, inhibited nitrification and denitrification processes. Such discrepancies may be related to factors such as soil physicochemical properties, site conditions, and fertilization rates and duration [\(Baer et al., 2023;](#page-9-0) [Lu et al., 2023\)](#page-10-0). Furthermore, most studies focus on the effects of N addition alone, and the effects of N and P imbalance on soil N cycling remain unclear, with limited evidence, especially in plantation ecosystems.

Metasequoia glyptostroboides (M. glyptostroboides) is a rare and economically important tree species endemic to China. It is a deciduous conifer naturally distributed in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River (29°10′–31°37′N, 106°50′–121°48′E) ([Kato-Noguchi et al., 2023\)](#page-10-0). As a living fossil with a history of over 60 million years, M. glyptostroboides plays essential ecological roles in maintaining regional biodiversity, regulating microclimates, conserving water and soil, and providing habitats for various organisms ([Juvik et al., 2015](#page-10-0)). However, due to its limited natural distribution and historical overcutting, M. glyptostroboides is listed as an endangered species on the IUCN Red List. To meet the growing demand for timber and restore M. glyptostroboides populations, large-scale plantations have been established in China, covering an area exceeding 150,000 hectares. However, some young and middle-aged plantations are experiencing declines in productivity, which may be attributed to factors such as insufficient nutrient supply, improper management, pests and diseases, and adverse environmental conditions ([Fu et al., 2023](#page-9-0); [Luo](#page-10-0) [et al., 2023](#page-10-0)). Therefore, to optimize fertilization strategies and maintain plantation health and productivity, it is critical to investigate the response mechanisms of soil–plant systems to exogenous nutrient inputs in M. glyptostroboides plantations. Moreover, compared with natural forests, plantation ecosystems have relatively fragile structures and functions, increasing their susceptibility to environmental stressors [\(Bussotti and Pollastrini,](#page-9-0) [2021](#page-9-0); [Feng et al., 2022](#page-9-0)).

In this study, we conducted a 6-year nutrient addition experiment in a 6-year-old M. glyptostroboides plantation in eastern China. We established five N addition levels (0, 0.8, 2.4, 4.0, and 4.8 mol $\cdot$ m<sup>-2</sup>) and five P addition levels (0, 0.05, 0.2, 0.6, and 1.0 mol·m–<sup>2</sup> ) to investigate changes in soil nitrification and denitrification rates and abundances of related functional microbes under different nutrient addition treatments. The aim of the study was to answer the following questions: (1) How do different levels of N and P additions affect soil nitrification and denitrification rates and abundances of nitrifying and denitrifying microbes in an M. glyptostroboides plantation? (2) What are the relations between changes in plant growth and soil chemical properties induced by N and P additions and soil N transformation processes? We hypothesized that moderate N addition would stimulate nitrification and denitrification rates by increasing soil N availability and promoting the growth of nitrifying and denitrifying microbes. However, excessive N fertilization was hypothesized to lead to soil acidification, nutrient imbalance, and toxic substance accumulation, ultimately inhibiting soil N transformation processes. P addition was also hypothesized to influence soil N transformations by regulating soil chemical properties and plant growth.

# 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Site description

The experimental site is in the Huanghai Forest Farm (32°33′– 32°57′N, 120°07′–120°53′E) in eastern Jiangsu Province, China ([Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). The regional climate is monsoon subtropical moist marine, with a mean annual temperature of 14.5°C, precipitation of 1,055.7 mm. The study site has alkaline sandy soil, which is less fertile than the yellow earth soils in the natural range of M. glyptostroboides. The site was the pioneer area for planting M. glyptostroboides in coastal China ([Wang et al., 2022](#page-10-0)) and the basic situation of M.glyptostroboides plantations plot is shown in [Supplementary Table S1](#page-9-0). The study site had never been fertilized

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

before 2015. The mean rate of N wet deposition is about 13.69 kg N  $ha^{-1}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> ([Yu et al., 2019](#page-11-0)) and the mean rate P wet deposition is 0.21 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> [\(Zhu et al., 2016\)](#page-11-0) in this region.

groundwater and then, applied to the corresponding plots near the soil surface using a backpack sprayer during the growing period for each treatment: 60% at the beginning of April and 40% in mid-June.

#### 2.2 Experimental design

In April 2015, a completely randomized fertilization experiment with three replicate plots (300 m  $\times$  100 m) for N or P fertilizer treatments was established in a 6-year-old M. glyptostroboides plantation. The N fertilizer was applied as urea  $(CO(NH_2)$ <sub>2</sub> (N content 46.67%) in subplots (20 m  $\times$  30 m) with a 10-m buffer zone between adjacent plots to minimize edge effects. The selection of N and P addition levels was based on regional N and P deposition data and potential management practices in M. glyptostroboides plantations ([Wen et al., 2022\)](#page-10-0).

The five N addition treatments were the following: CK (blank control, no N addition), N1 (0.8 mol·m–<sup>2</sup> ), N2 (2.4 mol·m–<sup>2</sup> ), N3 (4.0 mol·m–<sup>2</sup> ), and N4 (4.8 mol·m–<sup>2</sup> ). Except for CK, all N addition treatments received 0.05 mol·m<sup>-2</sup> P fertilizer (Ca(H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) to avoid P limitation on forest growth. The P fertilizer was applied as Ca  $(H_2PO_4)_2$  (P content 26.5%) also in subplots (20 m  $\times$  30 m), with the following five P addition treatments:CK (blank control, no P addition), P1 (0.05 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>), P2 (0.2 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>), P3 (0.6 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>), and P4 (1.0 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>). Except for CK, all P addition treatments received 0.8 mol·m<sup>-</sup>  $2$  N fertilizer to avoid N limitation on forest growth, which is considered sufficient for maintaining normal tree growth in this region. In each of the three main plots, there were 10 subplots, with five for N addition treatments and five for P addition treatments, for a total of 30 samples (5 treatments  $\times$  2 fertilization gradients  $\times$  3 replicate plots). Beginning in 2015, fertilizers was dissolved in 30 L of

#### 2.3 Sampling collection and analysis

Soil samples were collected in October 2021, six years after the initial fertilization experiments. This timing was selected to provide ample duration to assess the long-term impacts of fertilization on N cycles and microbial communities. Additionally, mid-autumn presents a critical juncture characterized by slow plant growth rates coupled with heightened microbial metabolic activity. In each plot, five soil cores (0–10-cm depth) were randomly collected using a 5-cm diameter soil auger and mixed to form a composite sample. Collected samples were stored in an icebox and transported to the laboratory. Samples were sieved (< 2 mm) and divided into three subsamples. One subsample was stored at 4°C for analyses of potential nitrification and denitrification rates. One subsample was air-dried and subsequently analyzed for soil physical-chemical properties. The remaining subsample was immediately stored at −80°C for DNA analysis.

Soil moisture was determined as the mass lost after heating at 70°C for 48 h. Soil pH was measured using a pH meter (PHSJ-3F, Shanghai INESA Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) with soil-to-water ratio of 1:2.5 ([Takamoto et al., 2023\)](#page-10-0). Soil salinity was determined by measuring the electrical conductivity of a soilwater extract (1:5 ratio) using a conductivity meter (DDS-307, Shanghai INESA Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) ([Smith and Doran, 1996](#page-10-0)). Nitrate  $(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  and ammonium  $(NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>)$ were extracted with a 2.0 M KCl solution and measured using a continuous flow analyzer (SAN<sup>++</sup>, Skalar Analytical B.V., Breda, the Netherlands). Total nitrogen (TN) was determined using a CN analyzer (Vario Max, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany) ([Yao et al., 2019\)](#page-11-0). Total phosphorus (TP) was determined using a phosphomolybdate blue method ([Xie et al., 2013](#page-11-0)). Soil organic carbon (SOC) was determined using a potassium dichromate oxidation-colorimetric technique ([Liu X. et al., 2023](#page-10-0)). Microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN) were determined using the chloroform fumigation-extraction method ([Vance et al., 1987](#page-10-0)).

Tree growth was evaluated by measuring the diameter at breast height (DBH) of all trees in each plot [\(Li et al., 2015](#page-10-0)). Crown base height (CBH) was determined by measuring the distance from the ground to the lowest live-branch whorl using a laser rangefinder. Tree height was measured using a clinometer [\(Kim et al., 2009\)](#page-10-0).

#### 2.4 Determinations of soil nitrogen transformation rates

Potential nitrification rates were determined using a shaken soil-slurry method, as described by [Guo et al. \(2017\)](#page-9-0) and [Li et al.](#page-10-0) [\(2020b\)](#page-10-0) with minor modifications. In brief, fresh soil samples, 15 g, were transferred to sterile 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks, followed by the addition of 100 mL of 1.0 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) supplemented with 1.0 mM  $NH_4^+$ . Flasks were incubated on an orbital shaker at 200 rpm and 25°C for 24 h in the dark. During the incubation period, 10-mL aliquots of soil slurry were collected at 2, 4, 12, 22, and 24 h. Aliquots were centrifuged at  $8,000 \times g$  for 5 min, and the supernatants were filtered for determination of nitrite  $(NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  and nitrate  $(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  concentrations. Potential nitrification rate was estimated by performing a linear regression analysis of the combined  $NO_2^-$  and  $NO_3^-$  concentrations as a function of time.

To determine potential denitrification rates, soil slurry experiments were conducted ([Xue et al., 2020\)](#page-11-0). The collected sediment samples were combined with deionized water in a 1:7 ratio and purged with helium for 30 min, with stirring to create homogenized slurries. The resulting slurries were transferred into 12-mL glass vials (Exetainer, Labco, High Wycombe, UK) and sealed with butyl rubber stoppers. The vials were then subjected to a 36-h pre-incubation period in the dark at the corresponding in situ temperature (25°C in October) to deplete any residual  $NO_2^-$ , NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and oxygen ([Hou et al., 2015](#page-10-0)). After the pre-incubation, slurry vials were amended with 100  $\mu$ L of helium-purged  $^{15}$ NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> stock solutions (99%  $15N$ , Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., Andover, MA, USA) to achieve a final  $15N$  concentration of 100  $\mu$ mol  $L^{-1}$  in each vial. The incubated soil slurries were divided into two groups: initial samples and final samples. The initial samples were immediately terminated by adding 200  $\mu$ L of 50% ZnCl<sub>2</sub> solution, while the final samples were incubated for an additional 8 h before being fixed with the same ZnCl<sub>2</sub> solution. The concentrations of nitrogen gases  $(^{29}N_2$  and  $^{30}N_2$ ) in each vial were quantified using membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) (GAM200, IPI, Bremen, Germany). Potential denitrification rates were calculated based on the equation proposed by [Thamdrup and](#page-10-0) [Dalsgaard \(2002\).](#page-10-0)

### 2.5 DNA isolation and quantitative PCR

Soil DNA was extracted from frozen samples using Powersoil™ DNA Isolation Kits (MOBIO Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. The abundances of nitrifier genes (ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) amoA and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) amoA) and denitrifier genes (nirK and nirS) were quantified using real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) on an ABI 7500 Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with the SYBR Green approach. The primer sets employed were Arch-amoAF (5'-STAATGGTCTGG CTTAGACG-3') and Arch-amoAR (5'-GCGGCCATCCATCT GTATGT-3') for AOA amoA [\(Gao et al., 2018\)](#page-9-0); amoA-1F (5'- GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT-3') and amoA-2R (5'-CCCCTCKGSAAAGCCTTCTTC-3') for AOB amoA [\(Rotthauwe](#page-10-0) [et al., 1997](#page-10-0)); F1aCu (5'-ATCATGGTSCTGCCGCG-3') and R3Cu (5'-GCCTCGATCAGRTTGTGGTT-3') for nirK ([Hallin and](#page-10-0) [Lindgren, 1999](#page-10-0)); and cd3aF (5'-GTSAACGTSAAGGARACSGG-3') and R3cd (5'-GASTTCGGRTGSGTCTTGA-3') for nirS ([Throbäck et al., 2004](#page-10-0)). The thermal profiles for each gene were as follow: AOA amoA and AOB amoA: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 56°C (AOA amoA) or 55°C (AOB amoA) for 45 s; an extension at 72°C for 5 min; nirS: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min; 45 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 40 s; an extension at 72°C for 1 min; nirK: 50°C for 2 min, 10 min at 95°C; 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 40 s; an extension at 72°C for 40 s. Negative controls without DNA templates were included in each amplification run to check for contamination. Standard melting curves were generated using 10-fold serial dilutions of a plasmid containing the AOA, AOB, nirK or nirS target gene inserts. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate qPCR reactions to ensure accurate amplification, and the amplification efficiencies were 95.2% for AOA amoA ( $R^2 = 0.998$ ), 96.4% for AOB amoA ( $R^2 = 0.997$ ), 94.7% for *nirK* ( $R^2 = 0.996$ ) and 95.8% for *nirS* ( $R^2 = 0.999$ ). Gene copy numbers were calculated based on the standard curves and normalized to copies per gram of dry soil.

## 2.6 Statistical analyses

To assess the effects of N and P addition treatments on soil characteristics, tree growth indicators, nitrification and denitrification rates, and nitrifier and denitrifier gene abundances, we used a comprehensive statistical approach. For each measured parameter, mean values and standard deviations were computed based on three replicates ( $n = 3$ ). Prior to analysis of variance (ANOVA), data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variance using Levene's test. If the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance were not met, data were log-transformed to satisfy these assumptions. The effects of N and P additions were evaluated using a one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's post hoc tests for pairwise comparisons when significant treatment effects were detected. The significance threshold was set at  $P < 0.05$  for all statistical analyses, which were conducted using the SPSS 22.0 software package (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). To investigate the relations between nitrification and denitrification rates and various environmental factors and abundances of the functional genes of nitrifiers and denitrifiers. Pearson correlation analysis was performed using the ggplot2 package in R (v2023.06.2) ([R Core](#page-10-0) [Team, 2023](#page-10-0); <https://www.R-project.org/>). To determine the most suitable models for explaining nitrification and denitrification rates, we used a stepwise multiple regression approach using R software. In the analysis, soil chemical properties and microbiological parameters were the predictor variables and nitrification or denitrification rate was the dependent variable. The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), which considers both models fit and complexity by penalizing models with a higher number of parameters, was used to select the best-fitting models ([Liao et al., 2018](#page-10-0)).

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on tree growth and soil properties

N and P additions significantly affected the growth of M. glyptostroboides ([Figures 2A-C](#page-5-0)). Compared to CK, N1 to N3 treatments increased CBH by 0.25, 0.22, and 1.08 times, respectively, while the CBH in the N4 treatment decreased but not significantly compared to CK ([Figure 2B\)](#page-5-0). N additions had no effect on tree height ([Figure 2A\)](#page-5-0) or DBH ([Figure 2C\)](#page-5-0). However, P additions significantly affected tree height ( $P < 0.05$ ), CBH ( $P <$ 0.01), and DBH ( $P < 0.05$ ). Compared to CK, P1 and P4 treatments significantly reduced tree height by 18% and 19% ([Figure 2A\)](#page-5-0), respectively, and reduced DBH by 23% and 19% ([Figure 2C\)](#page-5-0), respectively. In contrast, P1 and P2 treatments significantly increased CBH by 47% and 73% [\(Figure 2B](#page-5-0)), respectively, while P3 and P4 treatments had no significant effect on CBH.

N and P additions significantly influenced various soil chemical properties ([Figures 2D-L\)](#page-5-0). N additions had significant effects on soil salinity (P < 0.05),  $NO_3^-$  (P < 0.01), MBN (P < 0.05), MBC (P < 0.05), and TN ( $P < 0.01$ ). Compared to CK, soil  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> concentrations ([Figure 2F](#page-5-0)) increased significantly in all N addition treatments, with the highest values observed in the N4, which were 7 times higher than CK. Similarly, soil  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$ concentrations ([Figure 2G\)](#page-5-0) significantly increased in the N4 treatment, doubling the values of CK. Soil salinity [\(Figure 2E\)](#page-5-0), MBN [\(Figure 2H\)](#page-5-0), and TN [\(Figure 2K\)](#page-5-0) also increased significantly in all N addition treatments compared to CK. However, there were no significant differences in pH [\(Figure 2D\)](#page-5-0), NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ([Figure 2G\)](#page-5-0), TP ([Figure 2J\)](#page-5-0) and SOC [\(Figure 2L\)](#page-5-0) among N addition treatments. P additions significantly affected soil  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  ( $P < 0.001$ ), MBN ( $P <$ 0.001), MBC ( $P < 0.05$ ), TN ( $P < 0.01$ ), and SOC ( $P < 0.05$ ). Compared to CK, soil  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations ([Figure 2F\)](#page-5-0) increased by 2.5 and 3.6 times under P1 and P2 treatments, respectively, but decreased by 5% and 43% under higher P addition levels (P3 and P4). MBN content ([Figure 2H\)](#page-5-0) increased significantly by 0.8 and 1.9 times under P1 and P2 treatments compared to CK, but declined with further P additions, reaching the lowest value in P4. The lowest MBC content [\(Figure 2I\)](#page-5-0) was also observed in the P4 treatment. TN content [\(Figure 2K](#page-5-0)) increased by 1.5 and 2.5 times under P1 and P2 treatments, respectively, compared to CK, but decreased with higher P levels, with the lowest TN content in P4. SOC content ([Figure 2L\)](#page-5-0) increased by 34% under P1 compared to CK, but decreased with further P additions (P2, P3, and P4). There were no significant differences in pH ([Figure 2D\)](#page-5-0), salinity [\(Figure 2E\)](#page-5-0), NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ([Figure 2G](#page-5-0)) and TP [\(Figure 2J\)](#page-5-0) among P addition treatments.

#### 3.2 Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on nitrification and denitrification

N addition significantly affected nitrification ( $P < 0.001$ ) and denitrification rates ( $P < 0.001$ ). The lowest nitrification rate was in N1, but the rate was not significantly different from that in CK or N3. The highest rate was observed in the N2, which was not significantly different from N4 and the both treatments showed rates approximately 2.3 and 2.2 times higher than CK, respectively. Denitrification rates generally decreased with increasing N additions, with the lowest rates observed in the N4.

P addition had no significant effect on nitrification rates ( $P > 0.05$ ) but affected denitrification rates ( $P < 0.01$ ). Compared to CK, the nitrification rates under different levels of P addition did not show significant differences ([Figure 3A\)](#page-6-0). However, the denitrification rates strikingly decreased with increasing P addition levels, with the lowest rate observed in the P2, which was 87% lower than CK [\(Figure 3B](#page-6-0)).

#### 3.3 Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on the abundances of nitrifiers and denitrifiers

N addition significantly impacted the abundance of nitrifiers. Compared to CK, N additions enhanced the abundance of both AOA amoA and AOB amoA genes ([Figures 4A,](#page-6-0) B), with the N2 showing the highest abundances, being 1.6 times and 4 times higher than CK, respectively. In terms of denitrifier abundance, the nirK gene abundance remained relatively stable across all N addition treatments, showing no significant differences compared to CK (P > 0.05). However, nirS gene abundance was significantly affected by N additions ( $P < 0.01$ ), generally decreasing with higher levels of N. The lowest nirS gene abundance was observed in the N3 treatment, which was a 75% reduction compared to CK.

P addition had a significant effect on AOA amoA abundances (P < 0.01) ([Figure 4A](#page-6-0)) but did not affect AOB amoA gene abundances  $(P > 0.05)$  [\(Figure 4B](#page-6-0)). Compared to CK, the P1, P2, and P4 treatments resulted in reduction in AOA amoA gene abundance by 40%, 27%, and 24%, respectively. In contrast, varying levels of P additions did not significantly alter AOB amoA gene abundances when compared to CK [\(Figure 4B](#page-6-0)). Similar to the N treatments, P additions did not significantly impact nirK gene abundances but had a pronounced effect on nirS gene abundances. With increasing levels of P addition, nirS gene abundances decreased, with the P2, P3, and P4 treatments showing reductions of 28%, 55%, and 62%, respectively, compared to CK.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

CK represents the control treatment without N or P addition; N1, N2, N3, and N4 represent treatments with nitrogen additions of 0.8 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, 2.4 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, 4.0 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, and 4.8 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively; P1, P2, P3, and P4 represent treatments with phosphorus additions of 0.05 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, 0.2 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, 0.6 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, and 1.0 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively; Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), n = 3. Different letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) in plant and soil properties between different treatments. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>: nitrate nitrogen; NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>: ammonia nitrogen; MBC: microbial biomass carbon; MBN: microbial biomass nitrogen; TN: total nitrogen; TP: total phosphorus; SOC: soil organic carbon. ns, \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* in each panel represent non-significant, significant at P < 0.05, P < 0.01, and P < 0.001, respectively, for the effects of N and P additions on the variables. (A: Tree height; <mark>B</mark>: Crown base height; **C**: Diameter at breast height; D: pH; E: Salinity; F: NO<sub>3</sub>¯; **G**: NH4<sup>+</sup>; H: MBN; I: MBC; **J**: TP; K: TN; L: SOC).

# 3.4 Key factors controlling nitrification and denitrification

Pearson correlation analysis revealed that with N additions, the main factors influencing nitrification rates were MBN and AOA amoA gene abundance, whereas the principal factors affecting denitrification rates were MBN, TN, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, pH, salinity, and AOB amoA and nirS gene abundances [\(Figure 5\)](#page-7-0). By contrast, with P additions, soil moisture and AOB amoA gene abundance were the primary factors controlling nitrification rates, whereas CBH and AOB amoA gene abundance were the key determinants of denitrification rates ([Figure 5\)](#page-7-0).

To further elucidate the complex interplay of various environmental factors and microbial communities in regulating nitrification and denitrification processes, we used stepwise multiple linear regression analysis to identify which linear combination of independent variables best predicted rates of nitrification and denitrification [\(Table 1\)](#page-7-0). With N additions, AOB amoA gene abundance was the most significant predictor of nitrification rates, whereas for denitrification rates, MBC and abundance of the nirS gene were the primary factors explaining the variation. With P additions, DBH and AOB amoA gene abundance were the main factors predicting nitrification rates, whereas AOB amoA gene abundance and CBH were the key predictors of denitrification rates.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

#### FIGURE 3

Effects of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) additions on potential (A) nitrification rates and (B) denitrification rates in a Metasequoia glyptostroboides plantation. CK represents the control treatment without N or P addition; N1, N2, N3, and N4 represent treatments with nitrogen additions of 0.8<br>mol·m<sup>–2</sup>, 2.4 mol·m<sup>–2</sup>, 4.0 mol·m<sup>–2</sup>, and 4.8 mol·m<sup>–2</sup>, respectively; P1, indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) in potential rates of nitrification or denitrification between different treatments. ns, \*\*, and \*\*\* in each panel represent non-significant, significant at P < 0.01, and P < 0.001, respectively, for the effects of N and P additions on the corresponding variables.



#### FIGURE 4

Effects of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) additions on the abundances of amoA sequences from (A) ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and (B) ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and denitrifier sequences (C) nirK and (D) nirS in soil under a Metasequoia glyptostroboides plantation. CK represents the control treatment without N or P addition; N1, N2, N3, and N4 represent treatments with nitrogen additions of 0.8 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, 2.4 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, 4.0 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, and 4.8 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively; P1, P2, P3, and P4 represent treatments with phosphorus additions of 0.05 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, 0.2 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, 0.6 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, and 1.0 mol·m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), n = 3. Different letters indicate<br>significant differences (P < 0.05) in gene abundances between different t at P < 0.01, and P < 0.05, respectively, for the effects of N and P additions on the corresponding variables.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

# 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on tree growth and soil properties

Our results revealed that N addition did not significantly impact tree height, DBH, and CBH, except for the N3 treatment, which significantly increased CBH compared to CK. In contrast, P addition significantly influenced all these tree growth indicators [\(Figures 2A-C\)](#page-5-0). This suggests that the growth indicators of M. glyptostroboides plantation are more sensitive to P addition than N addition. These findings align with the recent study by [Mo et al. \(2021\)](#page-10-0), which demonstrated differential responses of forest leaf nutrient elements to N and P additions. This indicates that coastal M. glyptostroboides

TABLE 1 Best-fit multiple linear regression models to predict nitrification and denitrification rates using soil and microbial properties and indicators of tree growth under nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) additions in a Metasequoia glyptostroboides plantation, with coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$  and significance (P) values.

Treatment	Equation	$R^2$	P
N addition	Nitrification = $0.024 + 0.016AOB$ amoA	0.452	< 0.01
	Denitrification = $0.430 + 0.006MRC +$ $0.894$ nir $S$	0.802	< 0.001
P addition	Nitrification = $-0.017 + 0.034DBH +$ $0.02$ AOB amoA	0.649	< 0.01
	Denitrification = $-0.809 - 0.31 AOB$ $amoA + 0.213CBH$	0.451	< 0.001

DBH, diameter at breast height; CBH, crown base height; MBC, microbial biomass carbon.

plantation exhibit distinct adaptive responses in their primary aboveground growth attributing in response to N and P fertilization.

Furthermore, our study demonstrates that N and P additions strikingly affect soil chemical and microbial properties. N additions significantly increased MBN and TN content [\(Figures 2H,](#page-5-0) K), aligning with the findings of [Xiao et al. \(2015\)](#page-10-0) and [Peng et al.](#page-10-0) [\(2017\)](#page-10-0). The increase in soil N availability due to N additions stimulates microbial growth and activity, ultimately leading to an increase in MBN and accelerated soil N cycling ([He et al., 2023\)](#page-10-0). However, SOC did not respond significantly to N additions ([Figure 2L\)](#page-5-0), indicating that limited effects of N inputs on carbon sequestration in the sampled soils. This limited response may be attributed to the soils having reached a state of nitrogen saturation. In such conditions, microbial communities and vegetation are already adapted to existing nitrogen levels, and thus, additional nitrogen inputs do not further enhance carbon sequestration ([Nadelhoffer](#page-10-0) [et al., 1999\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, the relatively short duration of our study may not have been sufficient to observe the long-term effects of nitrogen addition on SOC, which could take longer periods to manifest. In contrast, P additions had positive effects on MBN and SOC at low P levels (P1 and P2) but negative effects at higher P levels (P3 and P4) ([Figures 2H,](#page-5-0) I), suggesting that the impact of P fertilization on soil C and N dynamics depends on the application rate [\(Ali et al., 2023\)](#page-9-0). Low levels of P addition likely promote microbial activity and carbon accumulation, as supported by studies showing enhanced microbial activity and organic matter turnover with optimal P availability ([Turner et al., 2013](#page-10-0); [Li et al., 2020a](#page-10-0)), higher levels of P may cause nutrient imbalances, potentially inhibiting microbial activity and carbon accumulation ([Qin et al., 2024\)](#page-10-0). Overall, our study highlights that coastal plantation forests exhibit distinct adaptive responses in the key soil chemical and microbial properties to N and P additions. Particularly, the effects of P additions are dosedependent, underscoring the importance of considering application rates in fertilization strategies. These findings are crucial for developing effective fertilization practices, and future research should explore the long-term impacts of N and P additions to better understand their potential effects on forest ecosystems.

### 4.2 Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on nitrification and denitrification

Our research underscores the nuanced impact of N and P amendments on the dynamics of nitrification and denitrification within an M. glyptostroboides plantation. Notably, N addition significantly bolstered nitrification rates, whereas P addition failed to elicit a marked effect, as evident in [Figure 3A.](#page-6-0) This observation aligns with prior investigations, such as [Zhang et al. \(2015\),](#page-11-0) who documented a substantial enhancement of soil nitrification in acidic forest soils upon N addition. Conversely, [Xiao et al. \(2023\)](#page-10-0) concurred that P addition did not significantly alter nitrification rates. Regarding denitrification, we observed a decline in rates across all treatments except for the N1 and P1 levels ([Figure 3B\)](#page-6-0). This pattern is corroborated by [Chen et al. \(2023\)](#page-9-0), who suggested that high N levels could reduce denitrification rates by altering soil moisture and pH. However, laboratory-based studies by [Wang et al. \(2018\)](#page-10-0) indicated a potential stimulatory effect of P on denitrification. Thus, further field studies are essential to fully assess the effects of P on denitrification. Furthermore, our findings indicate that moderate N (N2) and P (P2) additions can substantially increase nitrification rates while decreasing denitrification rates, which may help facilitate faster nitrogen cycling in forest ecosystems and enhance the storage of plant-available nitrogen in the soil.

#### 4.3 Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on the abundances of nitrifiers and denitrifiers

Moderate to high levels of N addition (N2, N3, and N4) stimulated the abundances of both AOA and AOB amoA genes ([Figures 4A,](#page-6-0) B), suggesting that N fertilization can promote the growth and activity of ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms in forest soils. The stimulation of nitrifier growth by N additions can be attributed to increases in substrate availability and alleviation of N limitation for microbial growth ([Shi et al., 2023b\)](#page-10-0).

The abundance of denitrifiers, as indicated by nirK and nirS gene abundances, showed different responses to N and P additions. Abundance of the nirK gene remained relatively stable across treatments, whereas that of the nirS gene generally decreased with increasing additions of N and P ([Figures 4C,](#page-6-0) D). This result suggests that denitrifying microorganisms harboring nirS genes are more sensitive to nutrient additions than those harboring nirK genes. The differential responses of nirK and nirS communities to N and P additions may be due to differences in their physiological and ecological characteristics, such as their adaptability to soil environmental conditions (e.g., pH and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) [\(Chen et al., 2022](#page-9-0)).

### 4.4 Key factors controlling nitrification and denitrification

Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis revealed that with N additions, AOB amoA gene abundance was the most significant predictor of nitrification rates, whereas MBC and nirS gene abundance were the primary factors explaining the variation in denitrification rates [\(Table 1\)](#page-7-0). This result suggests that the abundance and activity of AOB amoA genes are critical in regulating nitrification processes in forest soils under N enrichment. Previous studies also report strong correlations between AOB amoA abundance and nitrification rates in N-rich soils ([Song and Niu, 2022](#page-10-0)). The importance of MBC and nirS-type denitrifiers in controlling denitrification rates with N additions can be attributed to the close coupling between carbon availability, denitrifier community composition, and denitrification activity in forest soils ([Sun and](#page-10-0) [Jiang, 2022](#page-10-0); [Yang et al., 2022\)](#page-11-0).

With P additions, DBH and AOB amoA gene abundance were the main factors predicting nitrification rates, whereas CBH and AOB amoA gene abundance were the key predictors of denitrification rates ([Table 1\)](#page-7-0). This result suggests that tree growth and ammoniaoxidizing bacterial communities are important drivers of nitrification and denitrification processes in forest soils under P fertilization. Previous studies report that tree growth and productivity can influence soil N cycling processes by altering soil physicochemical properties, microbial community composition, and root–microbe interactions [\(Rodionov et al., 2020;](#page-10-0) [Wan et al., 2021\)](#page-10-0). The importance of AOB in regulating both nitrification and denitrification rates with P additions highlights the central role of ammonia oxidation in controlling soil N transformations in P-rich soils ([Li et al., 2023;](#page-10-0) [Zhang et al., 2023\)](#page-11-0).

It is important to note that, although our results highlight the crucial role of functional microbes in nitrification and denitrification processes, the findings are based on data collected from a single date within one year. Given that nitrification and denitrification rates are episodic and highly influenced by varying environmental conditions [\(Wu et al., 2021;](#page-10-0) [Landi and Lu, 2022\)](#page-10-0), relying on data from a single date may not sufficiently capture the complexities of the system. Therefore, more comprehensive temporal sampling is necessary to provide a more robust understanding of how N and P additions influence soil microbial dynamics and associated biogeochemical processes over time.

# 5 Conclusions

Our study demonstrates that N and P additions have significant and contrasting effects on plant growth, soil properties, and key N cycling processes in an M. glyptostroboides plantation. Moderate levels of N and P additions can enhance the growth of M. glyptostroboides and stimulate soil nitrification and denitrification processes. Conversely, excessive nutrient inputs can have adversely affect these systems, highlighting the importance of precisely tailored fertilization strategies to uphold the productivity and ecological

<span id="page-9-0"></span>sustainability of M. glyptostroboides plantations. Under N additions, the AOB abundance primarily influences nitrification rates, while microbial biomass carbon and the nirS gene abundance mainly control denitrification rates. Similarly, tree growth parameters and AOB abundance are the main predictors of nitrification and denitrification rates under P additions. However, further research is needed to develop specific fertilization recommendations that account for the long-term effects of nutrient additions and the potential interactions between N and P inputs.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Author contributions

YZ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Project administration. PJ: Investigation, Software, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YG: Formal analysis, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. MW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. XS: Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. HX: Conceptualization, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. TW: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing. WY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing. NL: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Cooperation of Zhejiang Province and the Chinese Academy of Forestry (grant no. 2023SY11), the Zhejiang Province Commonwealth Projects (grant nos. LQ23C030003 and ZCLQ24C0301), National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 32301368), the Scientific Research Fund of the Second Institute of Oceanography, SOA (Grant No. JG2216), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY18D010005), the Zhejiang Provincial Science and Technology Program (2023C03120), East China Coastal Shelter Forest Ecosystem National Positioning Observation Research Station (RISFZ-2021-08) and National Key Research and Development Program of China (2023YFE0101700).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1448356/full#supplementary-material)

# References

Ali, S., Kailou, L., Ahmed, W., Hayatu, N., Daba, N. A., Maitlo, A. A., et al. (2023). Gross nitrogen mineralization and nitrification at an optimal phosphorus input level in southern Chinese red soil with long-term fertilization. Soil Tillage Res. 230, 105710. doi: [10.1016/j.still.2023.105710](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2023.105710)

Baer, T., Furrer, G., Zimmermann, S., and Schleppi, P. (2023). Long-term additions of ammonium nitrate to montane forest ecosystems may cause limited soil acidification, even in presence of soil carbonate. Biogeosci. Discuss. 2023, 1–20. doi: [10.5194/bg-2023-38](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2023-38)

Bárta, J., Melichová, T., Vaněk, D., Picek, T., and Šantrůčková, H. (2010). Effect of pH and dissolved organic matter on the abundance of nirK and nirS denitrifiers in spruce forest soil. Biogeochemistry 101, 123–132. doi: [10.1007/s10533-010-9430-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-010-9430-9)

Bussotti, F., and Pollastrini, M. (2021). Revisiting the concept of stress in forest trees at the time of global change and issues for stress monitoring. Plant Stress. 2, 100013. doi: [10.1016/J.STRESS.2021.100013](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.STRESS.2021.100013)

Chen, Q., Yang, F., Chen, J., Long, C., and Cheng, X. (2023). Stronger effects of environmental factors than denitrifying genes on soil denitrification under a<br>subtropical land use change. *Catena* 222, 106876. doi: [10.1016/j.catena.2022.106876](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106876)

Chen, Y., Yin, S., Shao, Y., and Zhang, K. (2022). Soil bacteria are more sensitive than fungi in response to nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment. Front. Microbiol. 13. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2022.999385](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.999385)

Feng, S., Liu, H., Peng, S., Dai, J., Xu, C., Luo, C., et al. (2022). Will drought exacerbate the decline in the sustainability of plantation forests relative to natural forests? Land Degrad. Dev. 34, 1067–1079. doi: [10.1002/ldr.4516](https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.4516)

Fu, F. H., Song, C. H., Wen, C., Yang, L., Guo, Y., Yang, X., et al. (2023). The Metasequoia genome and evolutionary relationship among redwoods. Plant Commun. 2023, 100643. doi: [10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100643](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100643)

Gao, J., Hou, L., Zheng, Y., Liu, M., Yin, G., Yu, C., et al. (2018). Shifts in the community dynamics and activity of ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes along the Yangtze Estuarine salinity gradient. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 123, 3458–3469. doi: [10.1029/2017JG004182](https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JG004182)

Gineyts, R., and Niboyet, A. (2023). Nitrification, denitrification, and related functional genes under elevated CO2: A meta-analysis in terrestrial ecosystems. Glob. Chang. Biol. 29, 1839–1853. doi: [10.1111/gcb.16568](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16568)

Goll, D. S., Bauters, M., Zhang, H., Ciais, P., Balkanski, Y., Wang, R., et al. (2022). Atmospheric phosphorus deposition amplifies carbon sinks in simulations of a tropical forest in Central Africa. New Phytol. 237, 2054–2068. doi: [10.1111/nph.18535](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18535)

Guo, J., Ling, N., Chen, H., Zhu, C., Kong, Y., Wang, M., et al. (2017). Distinct drivers of activity, abundance, diversity and composition of ammonia-oxidizers: evidence from a long-term field experiment. Soil Biol. Biochem. 115, 403–414. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.09.007) [j.soilbio.2017.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.09.007)

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Hallin, S., and Lindgren, P. (1999). PCR detection of genes encoding nitrite reductase in denitrifying bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 1652–1657. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.65.4.1652-1657.1999) [AEM.65.4.1652-1657.1999](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.65.4.1652-1657.1999)

He, M., Zhong, X., Xia, Y., Xu, L., Zeng, Q., Yang, L., et al. (2023). Long-term nitrogen addition exerts minor effects on microbial community but alters sensitive microbial species in a subtropical natural forest. Forests 14, 928. doi: [10.3390/f14050928](https://doi.org/10.3390/f14050928)

Hou, L., Zheng, Y., Liu, M., Li, X., Lin, X., Yin, G., et al. (2015). Anaerobic ammonium oxidation and its contribution to nitrogen removal in China's coastal wetlands. Sci. Rep. 5, 15621. doi: [10.1038/srep15621](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15621)

Jamil, M. A., Hussain, A., Duan, W., Chen, L., Khan, K., Abid, K., et al. (2022). Effect of simulated combined N and P on soil acidity within soil aggregates in natural and planted Korean pine forest in northeast China. Forests 13, 529. doi: [10.3390/f13040529](https://doi.org/10.3390/f13040529)

Juvik, O. J., Nguyen, X. H., Andersen, H. L., and Fossen, T. (2015). Growing with dinosaurs: natural products from the Cretaceous relict Metasequoia glyptostroboides Hu & Cheng—a molecular reservoir from the ancient world with potential in modern medicine. Phytochem. Rev. 15, 161–195. doi: [10.1007/s11101-015-9395-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-015-9395-3)

Kato-Noguchi, H., Matsumoto, K., Sakamoto, C., Tojo, S., and Teruya, T. (2023). Allelopathy and allelopathic substances in the leaves of Metasequoia glyptostroboides from pruned branches for weed management. Agronomy 13, 1017. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13041017) [agronomy13041017](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13041017)

Kim, S., McGaughey, R. J., Andersen, H., and Schreuder, G. F. (2009). Tree species differentiation using intensity data derived from leaf-on and leaf-off airborne laser scanner data. Remote Sens. Environ. 113, 1575–1586. doi: [10.1016/j.rse.2009.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.03.002)

Kou, Y., Liu, Y., Li, J., Li, C., Tu, B., Yao, M., et al. (2021). Patterns and drivers of nirK-type and nirS-type denitrifier community assembly along an elevation gradient.<br>mSystems 6, e0066721. doi: [10.1128/mSystems.00667-21](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00667-21)

Landi, A. I., and Lu, J. (2022). Effects of aeration rates and patterns on shortcut nitrification and denitrification. J. Environ. Prot. Ecol. 13, 640–656. Available at: [https://](https://www.scirp.org/journal/jep) [www.scirp.org/journal/jep.](https://www.scirp.org/journal/jep)

Lang, M., Li, P., and Wei, W. (2021). Gross nitrogen transformations and  $N_2O$ emission sources in sandy loam and silt loam soils. J. Arid. Land. 13, 487–499. doi: [10.1007/S40333-021-0098-X](https://doi.org/10.1007/S40333-021-0098-X)

Li, H., Bi, Q., Yang, K., Lasson, S. B., Zheng, B., Cui, L., et al. (2020a). High starter phosphorus fertilization facilitates soil phosphorus turnover by promoting microbial functional interaction in an arable soil. J. Environ. Sci. (China) 94, 179-185. doi: [10.1016/j.jes.2020.03.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.03.040)

Li, Y., Deng, X. W., Huang, Z., Xiang, W., Yan, W., Lei, P., et al. (2015). Development and evaluation of models for the relationship between tree height and diameter at breast height for Chinese-fir plantations in subtropical China. PloS One 10, e0125118. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0125118](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125118)

Li, N., Nie, M., Li, B., Wu, J., and Zhao, J. (2020b). Contrasting effects of the aboveground litter of native Phragmites australis and invasive Spartina alterniflora on nitrification and denitrification. Sci. Total Environ. 764, 144283. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144283) [j.scitotenv.2020.144283](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144283)

Li, H., Zhang, J., Tian, D., Liu, Y., and Dong, J. (2023). Nitrogen significantly affected N cycling functional gene abundances compared with phosphorus and drought in an alpine meadow. Agronomy 13, 1041. doi: [10.3390/agronomy13041041](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13041041)

Liao, J., Cavanaugh, J. E., and McMurry, T. L. (2018). Extending AIC to best subset regression. Comput. Statist. 33, 787–806. doi: [10.1007/s00180-018-0797-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-018-0797-8)

Liu, Y., Liu, Z., Jin, S., Deng, H., Shen, J., and He, J. (2023). Response of gene abundance of ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms and denitrifying microorganisms to nitrogen and phosphorus addition in subtropical forest. J. Appl. Ecol. 34, 639–646. doi: [10.13287/j.1001-9332.202303.005](https://doi.org/10.13287/j.1001-9332.202303.005)

Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Fang, X., Deng, W., and Liu, Y. (2023). Aggregateassociated soil organic carbon fractions in subtropical soil undergoing vegetative restoration. Land Degrad. Dev. 34, 4296–4306. doi: [10.1002/ldr.4777](https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.4777)

Lu, X., Li, B., and Chen, G. (2023). Responses of soil  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission and tree productivity to nitrogen and phosphorus additions in a nitrogen-rich subtropical Chinese fir plantation. Sustainability 15, 9466. doi: [10.3390/su15129466](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15129466)

Luo, M., Moorhead, D. L., Ochoa-Hueso, R., Mueller, C. W., Ying, S. C., and Chen, J. (2022). Nitrogen loading enhances phosphorus limitation in terrestrial ecosystems with implications for soil carbon cycling. Funct. Ecol. 36, 2845–2858. doi: [10.1111/1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14178) [2435.14178](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14178)

Luo, Y., Zhang, Y., Le, J., Li, Q., Mou, J., Deng, S. M., et al. (2023). Full-length transcriptome sequencing reveals the molecular mechanism of Metasequoia glyptostroboides seed responding to aging. Antioxidants 12, 1353. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12071353) [antiox12071353](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12071353)

Mo, Q., Wang, W., Lambers, H., Chen, Y., Yu, S., Wu, C., et al. (2021). Response of foliar mineral nutrients to long-term nitrogen and phosphorus addition in a tropical forest. Funct. Ecol. 35, 2329–2341. doi: [10.1111/1365-2435.13896](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13896)

Nadelhoffer, K. J., Emmett, B. A., Gundersen, P., Kjønaas, O. J., Koopmans, C. J., Schleppi, P., et al. (1999). Nitrogen deposition makes a minor contribution to carbon sequestration in temperate forests. Nature 398, 145–148. doi: [10.1038/18205](https://doi.org/10.1038/18205)

Peng, Y., Chen, G. T., Chen, G., Li, S., Peng, T., Qiu, X. R., et al. (2017). Soil biochemical responses to nitrogen addition in a secondary evergreen broad-leaved forest ecosystem. Sci. Rep. 7, 2783. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-03044-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03044-w)

Peñuelas, J., and Sardans, J. (2022). The global nitrogen-phosphorus imbalance. Science 375, 266–267. doi: [10.1126/science.abl4827](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl4827)

Proctor, C. O., Coupel, P., Casciotti, K. L., Tremblay, J. E., Zakem, E. J., Arrigo, K. R., et al. (2023). Light, ammonium, pH, and phytoplankton competition as environmental factors controlling nitrification. Limnol. Oceanogr. 68, 1490–1503. doi: [10.1002/lno.12359](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12359)

Qin, W., Feng, J., Zhang, Q., Yuan, X., Zhou, H., and Zhu, B. (2024). Nitrogen and phosphorus addition mediate soil priming effects via affecting microbial stoichiometric balance in an alpine meadow. Sci. Total Environ. 908, 168350. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168350) [j.scitotenv.2023.168350](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168350)

R Core Team (2023). R: A language and environment for statisticalcomputing. Available at:<https://www.R-project.org/>

Rodionov, A., Bauke, S. L., von Sperber, C., Hoeschen, C., Kandeler, E., Kruse, J., et al. (2020). Biogeochemical cycling of phosphorus in subsoils of temperate forest ecosystems. Biogeochemistry 150, 313–328. doi: [10.1007/S10533-020-00700-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10533-020-00700-8)

Rotthauwe, J., Witzel, K., and Liesack, W. (1997). The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene amoA as a functional marker: molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63, 4704–4712. doi: [10.1128/aem.63.12.4704-4712.1997](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.63.12.4704-4712.1997)

Shi, X., Sun, J., Wang, J., Lucas-Borja, M. E., Pandey, A., Wang, T., et al. (2023a). Tree species richness and functional composition drive soil nitrification through ammoniaoxidizing archaea in subtropical forests. Soil Biol. Biochem. 187, 109211. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2023.109211) [j.soilbio.2023.109211](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2023.109211)

Shi, C., Urbina-Malo, C., Tian, Y., Heinzle, J., Kwatcho Kengdo, S., Inselsbacher, E., et al. (2023b). Does long-term soil warming affect microbial element limitation? A test<br>by short-term assays of microbial growth responses to labile C, N and P additions. Glob. Change Biol. 29, 2188–2202. doi: [10.1111/gcb.16591](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16591)

Smith, J. L., and Doran, J. W. (1996). "Measurement and use of pH and electrical conductivity for soil quality analysis," in Methods for Assessing Soil Quality. SSSA Special Publication 49. Eds. J. W. Doran and A. J. Jones (SSSA, Madison, WI, USA), 169–185.

Song, L., and Niu, S. (2022). Increased soil microbial AOB amoA and narG abundances sustain long-term positive responses of nitrification and denitrification to N deposition. Soil Biol. Biochem. 166, 108539. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108539](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108539)

Sun, H., and Jiang, S. (2022). A review on nirS-type and nirK-type denitrifiers via a scientometric approach coupled with case studies. Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts. 24, 221–232. doi: [10.1039/d1em00518a](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1em00518a)

Sun, L., Yu, Y., Petropoulos, E., Cui, X., and Wang, S. (2022). Long-term manure amendment sustains black soil biodiversity by mitigating acidification induced by chemical N fertilization. Microorganisms 11, 64. doi: [10.3390/microorganisms11010064](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11010064)

Takamoto, A., Takahashi, T., and Togami, K. (2023). Estimation models from soil pH with a solid-to-liquid ratio of 1: 2.5 to pH measured by other methods using soils in Japan. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 69, 190–198. doi: [10.1080/00380768.2023.2190749](https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2023.2190749)

Thamdrup, B., and Dalsgaard, T. (2002). Production of  $N<sub>2</sub>$  through anaerobic ammonium oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction in marine sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 1312–1318. doi: [10.1128/AEM.68.3.1312-1318.2002](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.3.1312-1318.2002)

Throbäck, I. N., Enwall, K., Jarvis, Å., and Hallin, S. (2004). Reassessing PCR primers targeting nirS, nirK and nosZ genes for community surveys of denitrifying bacteria with DGGE. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 49, 401–417. doi: [10.1016/j.femsec.2004.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.04.011)

Turner, R. D., Will, G. D., Dawes, L. A., Gardner, E. A., and Lyons, D. J. (2013). Phosphorus as a limiting factor on sustainable greywater irrigation. Sci. Total Environ. 456-457, 287–298. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.061](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.061)

Vance, E. D., Brookes, P. C., and Jenkinson, D. S. (1987). An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C. Soil Biol. Biochem. 19, 703–707. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90052-6) [0038-0717\(87\)90052-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90052-6)

Wan, X., Chen, X., Huang, Z., and Chen, H. Y. (2021). Contribution of root traits to variations in soil microbial biomass and community composition. Plant Soil. 460, 483– 495. doi: [10.1007/S11104-020-04788-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11104-020-04788-7)

Wang, F., Liang, X., Ding, F., Ren, L., Liang, M., An, T., et al. (2022). The active functional microbes contribute differently to soil nitrification and denitrification rates under long-term fertilizer regimes in North-East China. Front. Microbiol. 13. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2022.1021080](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1021080)

Wang, L., Wen, Y., Tong, R., Zhang, H., Chen, H., Hu, T., et al. (2021). Understanding responses of soil microbiome to the nitrogen and phosphorus addition in metasequoia glyptostroboides plantations of different ages. Microb. Ecol. 84, 565–579. doi: [10.1007/s00248-021-01863-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-021-01863-2)

Wang, P. Q., Zhou, W. L., He, S. B., and Huang, J. C. (2018). Effects of phosphorus on the activity and bacterial community in mixotrophic denitrification sludge. Environ. Sci. 39, 1350–1356. doi: [10.13227/j.hjkx.201706265](https://doi.org/10.13227/j.hjkx.201706265)

Wen, Y. X., Feng, K. Q., Tong, R., Wu, T. G., and Wu, G. F. (2022). Response of C, N, P stoichiometry of fine and coarse roots of Metasequoia glyptostroboides plantation to nitrogen addition. For. Res. 35, 161–168. doi: [10.13275/j.cnki.lykxyj.2022.000000](https://doi.org/10.13275/j.cnki.lykxyj.2022.000000)

Wu, J., Hong, Y., Liu, X., and Hu, Y. (2021). Variations in nitrogen removal rates and microbial communities over sediment depth in Daya Bay, China. Environ. pollut. 286, 117267. doi: [10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117267](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117267)

Xiao, H., Liu, Y. L., Liu, Z. K., Li, P. Z., and Rong, Y. P. (2023). Responses of soil nitrogen and phosphorus transformation functional genes abundances to nitrogen and/or phosphorus additions in a meadow steppe. Acta Ecol. Sin. 43, 313–326. doi: [10.5846/stxb202112093495](https://doi.org/10.5846/stxb202112093495)

Xiao, Y., Tu, L., Chen, G., Peng, Y., Hu, H., Hu, T., et al. (2015). Soil-nitrogen net mineralization increased after nearly six years of continuous nitrogen additions in a subtropical bamboo ecosystem. J. Forestry Res. 26, 949–956. doi: [10.1007/S11676-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11676-015-0124-Y) [0124-Y](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11676-015-0124-Y)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Xie, C., Xu, J., Tang, J., Baig, S. A., and Xu, X. (2013). Comparison of phosphorus determination methods by ion chromatography and molybdenum blue methods. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 44, 2535–2545. doi: [10.1080/00103624.2013.811518](https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2013.811518)

Xue, D., Yu, H., Fang, Y., Shan, J., Xi, D., Wang, Y., et al. (2020).  $^{15}{\rm N\text{-}tracer}$  approach to assess nitrogen cycling processes: Nitrate reduction, anammox and denitrification in different pH cropland soils. Catena 193, 104611. doi: [10.1016/j.catena.2020.104611](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104611)

Yang, X., Tang, S., Ni, K., Shi, Y., Yi, X., Ma, Q., et al. (2022). Long-term nitrogen addition increases denitrification rates and functional gene abundance and changes denitrifying communities in acidic tea plantation soil. Environ. Res. 216, 114679. doi: [10.1016/j.envres.2022.114679](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.114679)

Yao, X., Yu, K., Wang, G., Deng, Y., Lai, Z., Chen, Y., et al. (2019). Effects of soil erosion and reforestation on soil respiration, organic carbonand nitrogen stocksin an eroded area of Southern China. Sci. Total Environ. 683, 98–108. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.221](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.221)

Yu, G., Jia, Y., He, N., Zhu, J., Chen, Z., Wang, Q., et al. (2019). Stabilization of atmospheric nitrogen deposition in China over the past decade. Nat. Geosci. 12, 424– 429. doi: [10.1038/s41561-019-0352-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0352-4)

Zhang, J., Liu, Y., and Dong, J. (2023). Responses of alpine soil nitrification and denitrification rates to nitrogen addition gradient-The role of functional genes. Agriculture 13, 245. doi: [10.3390/agriculture13020245](https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13020245)

Zhang, J., Wang, J., Zhong, W., and Cai, Z. C. (2015). Organic nitrogen stimulates the heterotrophic nitrification rate in an acidic forest soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 80, 293–295. doi: [10.1016/.soilbio.2014.10.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/.soilbio.2014.10.024)

Zhu, J., Wang, Q., He, N., Smith, M. D., Elser, J. J., Du, J., et al. (2016). Imbalanced atmospheric nitrogen and phosphorus depositions in China: Implications for nutrient limitation. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeo. 121, 1605-1616. doi: [10.1002/2016JG003393](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JG003393)