Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Huatao Chen, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences (JAAS), China

REVIEWED BY Dawei Xue, Hangzhou Normal University, China Wenxian Liu, Lanzhou University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Mingjia Chen mjchen@njau.edu.cn

† These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 10 June 2024 ACCEPTED 25 June 2024 PUBLISHED 11 July 2024

CITATION

Liu P, Liu H, Zhao J, Yang T, Guo S, Chang L, Xiao T, Xu A, Liu X, Zhu C, Gan L and Chen M (2024) Genome-wide identification and functional analysis of mRNA m⁶A writers in soybean under abiotic stress. Front. Plant Sci. 15:1446591. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Liu, Liu, Zhao, Yang, Guo, Chang, Xiao, Xu, Liu, Zhu, Gan and Chen. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Genome-wide identi](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full)fication and [functional](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full) [analysis](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full) [of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full) [mRNA](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full) [m](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full)⁶[A](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full) [writers in soybean under](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full) [abiotic stress](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full)

Peng Liu^{1†}, Huijie Liu^{1†}, Jie Zhao^{1†}, Tengfeng Yang¹, Sichao Guo¹, Luo Chang¹, Tianyun Xiao¹, Anjie Xu¹, Xiaoye Liu², Changhua Zhu¹, Lijun Gan¹ and Mingjia Chen^{1*}

¹College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China, ²Department of Criminal Science and Technology, Nanjing Police University, Nanjing, China

N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A), a well-characterized RNA modification, is involved in regulating multiple biological processes; however, genome-wide identification and functional characterization of the m⁶A modification in legume plants, including soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.), remains lacking. In this study, we utilized bioinformatics tools to perform comprehensive analyses of molecular writer candidates associated with the RNA m⁶A modification in soybean, characterizing their conserved domains, motifs, gene structures, promoters, and spatial expression patterns. Thirteen m⁶A writer complex genes in soybean were identified, which were assigned to four families: MT-A70, WTAP, VIR, and HAKAI. It also can be identified that multiple cis elements in the promoters of these genes, which were classified into five distinct groups, including elements responsive to light, phytohormone regulation, environmental stress, development, and others, suggesting that these genes may modulate various cellular and physiological processes in plants. Importantly, the enzymatic activities of two identified m⁶A writers, GmMTA1 and GmMTA2, were confirmed in vitro. Furthermore, we analyzed the expression patterns of the GmMTAs and GmMTBs under different abiotic stresses, revealing their potential involvement in stress tolerance, especially in the response to alkalinity or darkness. Overexpressing GmMTA2 and GmMTB1 in soybean altered the tolerance of the plants to alkalinity and long-term darkness, further confirming their effect on the stress response. Collectively, our findings identified the RNA m⁶A writer candidates in leguminous plants and highlighted the potential roles of GmMTAs and GmMTBs in the response to abiotic stress in soybean.

KEYWORDS

m6A, soybean, RNA methylation, abiotic stress, MTA, MTB

Introduction

Over 150 distinct chemical modifications of eukaryotic RNA molecules have been identified, including methylation, acetylation, and glycosylation ([Delaunay et al., 2023](#page-14-0)). Many exist in noncoding RNAs, particularly transfer RNAs and ribosomal RNAs. Massage RNA (mRNA) can also carry several base modifications, such as N^6 methyladenosine $(m⁶A)$, $N¹$ -methyladenosine, 5-methylcytidine, N^4 -acetylcytidine, N^7 -methylguanosine, and pseudouridine ([Frye](#page-14-0) [et al., 2016](#page-14-0), [2018\)](#page-14-0). These chemical modifications influence gene expression by regulating the structure, splicing, transport, stability, and translation efficiency of the target transcripts ([Roundtree et al.,](#page-14-0) [2017\)](#page-14-0). Of all known modifications, $m⁶A$ is the most abundant in mRNA [\(Jia et al., 2013\)](#page-14-0). It is installed by a "writer" protein complex and dynamically removed by "eraser" proteins in the nucleus [\(Shi](#page-14-0) [et al., 2019](#page-14-0)). Often, "reader" proteins are responsible for decoding the m⁶A signature ([Han et al., 2021](#page-14-0)).

In plants, m⁶A modifications in mRNA are decorated by a methyltransferase complex comprising MRNA ADENOSINE METHYLASE A (MTA; the ortholog of human METHYLTRANSFERASE-LIKE 3 (METTL3), MRNA ADENOSINE METHYLASE B (MTB; the ortholog of human METTL14), FKBP12 INTERACTING PROTEIN 37 kDa (FIP37), VIRILIZER (VIR), and the E3 ubiquitin ligase HAKAI [\(Zhong et al., 2008;](#page-15-0) [Shen et al., 2016\)](#page-14-0). Mutations of MTA, MTB, FIP37, or VIR in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh.) are embryo-lethal, indicating that m⁶A RNA modifications are essential for plant development [\(Vespa et al., 2004;](#page-14-0) [Zhong et al., 2008](#page-15-0); [Shen et al., 2016](#page-14-0); Růžič[ka et al., 2017](#page-14-0)). The absence of HAKAI decreases the abundance of m⁶A modifications but does not result in obvious growth defects (Růžič[ka et al., 2017](#page-14-0)). In cotton (Gossypium hirsutum (L.)), $m⁶A$ modifications enhance the stability of GhMYB44 mRNA, contributing to fiber elongation and secondary cell wall thickening [\(Xing et al., 2023\)](#page-15-0). m⁶A modifications in plants are also involved in the stress response. In Arabidopsis, transcripts involved in the salt and osmotic stress responses showed an increased abundance of m⁶A modifications when the plants were grown under high salinity ([Anderson et al., 2018](#page-14-0)). In apple (Malus domestica (Suckow) Borkh.), MdMTA-mediated m⁶A modifications improved drought tolerance by promoting the mRNA stability and translation efficiency of genes associated with lignin deposition and oxidative stress ([Hou et al., 2022](#page-14-0)). The overexpression of the m⁶A reader Malus hupehensis YTH-domain family protein 2 (MhYTP2) can elevate the mRNA stability of its target M. domestica allantoinase-like gene (MdALN) in apple [\(Guo et al., 2023](#page-14-0)). Together, this evidence highlights the significant roles of m⁶A modifications in developmental regulation and stress tolerance in plants.

Soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) is an economically important leguminous crop, as it contributes a huge proportion of the total global oilseed and biodiesel and provides vital protein and oil sources for human food and animal fodder ([Qi and Lee,](#page-14-0) [2014](#page-14-0); [Kim et al., 2017\)](#page-14-0). Soybean cultivation faces challenges from abiotic stresses, such as high or low temperatures and soil salinity or alkalinity. Over the course of its long evolutionary history, soybean has developed intricate strategies to withstand abiotic stresses, which involve modifications across multiple dimensions, including its metabolism, physiology, and transcriptome [\(Feng](#page-14-0) [et al., 2021](#page-14-0); [Sheikh et al., 2024](#page-14-0)). One such abiotic stress, soil salinity, causes ion toxicity and osmotic stress, and thus induces the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [\(van Zelm et al.,](#page-14-0) [2020\)](#page-14-0). Enzymatic antioxidants, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), and catalase (CAT), can remove ROS to reduce oxidative stress and protect plants from damage ([Wang](#page-15-0) [et al., 2016a;](#page-15-0) [Fu et al., 2017\)](#page-14-0). Additionally, ion channel proteins play a crucial role in the response to salt stress; for instance, Na^+ / H^+ ANTIPORTER 1 (GmNHX1) sequesters Na⁺ into the vacuole, thereby reducing its level in the cytoplasm ([Yang et al., 2017\)](#page-15-0). Similarly, CATION/PROTON EXCHANGER 1 (GmCHX1) facilitates the exclusion of $Na⁺$ from leaf tissues, mitigating the toxic effects associated with excessive salt accumulation ([Qu et al.,](#page-14-0) [2022\)](#page-14-0). Moreover, stress-inducible transcription factors (TFs), including NACs, bZIPs, MYBs, and WRKYs ([Katiyar et al.,](#page-14-0) [2012;](#page-14-0) [Ji et al., 2013](#page-14-0); [Zhu et al., 2014;](#page-15-0) [Phukan et al., 2016;](#page-14-0) [Lim](#page-14-0) [et al., 2022\)](#page-14-0), enhance plant stress tolerance by regulating the expression of target genes during the stress response. Gene expression is also modulated by epigenetic modifications, including m⁶A, which play important roles in plant responses to abiotic stress; however, studies on the involvement of m⁶A in the soybean response to abiotic stress are limited, and the key components involved have yet to be identified.

In this study, we employed genome-wide analyses to identify the m⁶A writer proteins in soybean and analyzed the gene structure and evolutionary aspects of each family member. We particularly focused on elucidating the expression patterns and subcellular localization of the core members of the m⁶A writer complex, the GmMTAs and GmMTBs, which were determined to be involved in abiotic stress responses. Our study provides a foundation for further exploring the function of the m⁶A modification in soybean.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and stress treatments

Soybean (Glycine max, Williams 82) seeds were sterilized using chlorine and then planted on moist vermiculite. The plants were cultivated in a growth chamber under long-day conditions (16 h light of 100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ intensity provided by white LED lamps at 25°C and 8 h dark at 23°C, 70% relative humidity). 15-day-old seedlings were used for the different abiotic stress treatment. For the cold or heat treatments, seedlings were grown at 8°C or 42°C for 24 h, respectively. For the drought stress treatment, soybean seedlings were transferred to Hoagland liquid culture containing 20% polyethylene glycol (PEG) and incubated for 1 day. For the salinity or alkalinity stress treatments, soybean plants were grown in Hoagland liquid culture containing 150 mM NaCl or 100 mM NaHCO₃, respectively, for 1 day. For the darkness treatment, soybean seedlings were grown in Hoagland liquid culture under the dark condition for 3 days. Following these abiotic stress treatments, the leave and roots samples were collected separately and frozen at -80°C for subsequent experiments.

Soybean leaf transient transformation

To generate transgenic soybean lines overexpressing GmMTA2 or GmMTB1, a modified soybean transient transformation was utilized based on a previously study ([Wang et al., 2023a\)](#page-15-0). In brief, the transformed Agrobacterium cells were incubated with infiltration buffer (OD₆₀₀ = 1) containing 10 mM MES (pH 5.6) and 200 μ M acetosyringone (Sigma) and infiltrated into the lower epidermis of the leaves from 7-day-old soybean seedlings by employing a vacuum pump until the leaves were thoroughly wetted. Following a recovery period of one day under the continuous darkness, the transformed soybean seedlings were transferred to the normal growth condition for another five days before being subjected to the stress treatment. For alkalinity treatment, the seedlings were grown in Hoagland liquid culture containing 100 mM NaHCO₃ for 36 hours. Histochemical detection of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and superoxide anion (O^{2.-}) and the enzymatic activity measurement of CAT, POD, and SOD from the infiltrated leaves were performed according to previous studies ([Cheng et al., 2019;](#page-14-0) [Pi et al., 2023\)](#page-14-0). For darkness treatment, the seedlings were grown under the continuous darkness for 10 days. Chlorophyll content of soybean leaves from each sample was measured according to a previous description ([Li et al., 2024\)](#page-14-0).

RNA extraction, cloning, and quantitative RT-PCR analyses

Total RNA was isolated from 15-day-old soybean leaves and cDNA was prepared according to previous studies [\(Anderson et al.,](#page-14-0) [2018;](#page-14-0) [Gao et al., 2023;](#page-14-0) [Wang et al., 2023b\)](#page-15-0). The following primers were used for cloning: for GmMTA1 (Glyma.07G067100), Cp994 and Cp995; for GmMTA2 (Glyma.16G033100), Cp1293 and Cp1294, for GmMTB1 (Glyma.10G232300), Cp988 and Cp989; for GmMTB2 (Glyma.20G161800), Cp1291 and Cp1292 ([Supplementary Table S3\)](#page-13-0). GmMTA1, GmMTA2, GmMTB1, and GmMTB2 were cloned into pBA002-flag-HA-StrepII (VN21) and pXCS-YFP (V36) ([Chen and Witte, 2020](#page-14-0)), respectively, for the protein purification and subcellular localization analysis. The VN21 was generated by introducing a 135-bp fragment encoding the flag-HA-StrepII tag using the primer pair Cp795/Cp796 into pBA002 vector [\(Kost et al., 2008\)](#page-14-0).

To analyze mRNA abundance, reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed with QuantStudio 1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using Hieff qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (Yeasen Biotechnology) according to the previous description ([Wang et al., 2023b](#page-15-0)). Total RNA from each sample was isolated using the RNA isolater Total RNA Extraction Reagent (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd). The quantity and concentration of the resulted RNA were evaluated using a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop™ spectrophotometer. A total of 800 ng of RNA was used to synthesize the first-strand cDNA using an Oligo (dt) primer. Transcript abundance of GmMTA1, GmMTA2, GmMTB1, and GmMTB2 was analyzed by employing the primer pairs Cp633/ Cp634 or Cp631/Cp632, Cp637/Cp638, and Cp635/Cp636,

respectively. GmF-BOX (Glyma.12G051100) was amplified with the primer pairs Cp363/Cp364 as an internal reference gene in mRNA. The calculation was based on the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [\(Livak](#page-14-0) [and Schmittgen, 2001](#page-14-0)). All primer sequences are detailed in [Supplementary Table S3](#page-13-0).

Phylogenetic and characteristics analyses of the components m⁶A writer proteins in soybean

The protein sequences of Arabidopsis m⁶A writers were obtained from the TAIR database ([https://www.arabidopsis.org\)](https://www.arabidopsis.org) and used as reference sequences. Subsequently, the m⁶A writer protein sequences from Glycine max, Glycine soja, Phaseolus vulgaris, Medicago truncatula, and Lotus japonicus were identified by using the BlastP method from Phytozome database ([https://](https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov) [phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov\)](https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov). Multiple sequence alignment was performed with the ClustalW method [\(Larkin et al., 2007\)](#page-14-0). To compare evolutionary relationships, the m⁶A writer protein sequences from A. thaliana, G. max, G. soja, P. vulgaris, M. truncatula, and L. japonicus were used to construct a phylogenetic tree using MEGA11 [\(Tamura et al., 2021](#page-14-0)) with the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method and 1000 bootstrap replications. Thereafter, the phylogenetic tree was visualized using ChiPlot ([https://www.chiplot.online/\)](https://www.chiplot.online/) ([Xie et al., 2023](#page-15-0)).

Analyses of gene structure, conserved motifs, collinearity relationship, and ciselements analyses of the m⁶A writer genes

Gene structures were visualized using the TBtools software with GFF files provided as input. Conserved motifs within the m⁶A writer protein sequences were analyzed using the MEME online tool (<https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme>). The parameters were set as following: the site distribution was designated as 'any number of repetitions' (anr), the number of motifs was specified as 10, and all other optional parameters were kept at their default settings. Results of the conserved domains were visualized by using TBtools. To investigate the collinearity relationships among m⁶A writer genes in G. max, the One Step MCScanX-Super Fast program integrated into TBtools was employed. For cis-elements analysis, 2000-bp region upstream of the start codons of m⁶A writer genes were obtained from the Phytozome database. Subsequently, the promoter sequences were submitted to the PlantCARE database ([https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/\)](https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/). Prediction from the PlantCARE database were visualized using TBtools ([Chen et al., 2020\)](#page-14-0).

Tissue-specific expression of the m^6 A writer proteins in soybean

To analyze the tissue-specific expression of m⁶A writer proteins, transcriptome sequencing data were obtained from the SoyOmics database [\(Liu et al., 2023](#page-14-0)) for various soybean tissues, including cotyledon, stem, leaf bud, leaf, flower, seed, shoot, and root. These data were graphically represented and visualized using the ChiPlot.

Subcellular localization

GmMTAs-eYFP or GmMTBs-eYFP was transiently coexpressed with the nucleus marker protein RFP-H2B (RFP fused to histone 2B) ([Martin et al., 2009](#page-14-0)) in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves for 5 days. The samples were analyzed using a ZEISS LSM 980 with Airyscan2 microscope equipped with an HC PLAPO CS2 40×1.0 water immersion objective (ZEISS Microsystems) according to the previous description ([Wang et al., 2023b](#page-15-0)).

Protein purification and enzymatic activity measurement

Recombinant soybean GmMTA1 and GmMTA2 were affinity purified after transient expression in N. benthamiana as described before ([Chen et al., 2016](#page-14-0); [Baccolini and Witte, 2019](#page-14-0)). Purified protein was quantified by employing the Bradford reagent from Tiangen with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the standard.

To assess the enzymatic activity, 0.15 nmol of recombinant GmMTA1 or GmMTA2 was incubated with a 50 µl substrate solution, containing 0.8 mM S-adenosylmethionine (SAM; Sigma), 0.15 nmol RNA probe (UACACUCGAUCUGGACUAAAGC UGCUC, synthesized by Genscript), 80 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, $0.2 \text{ U } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$ RNasin, 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 4% glycerol and 15 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), at 28°C for 1 h. Then the reaction was terminated by incubating at 95°C for 15min. The resulting RNA product (800 ng) were fully digested into single nucleosides in a 50µl reaction buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mg mL−¹ BSA, 0.4 units benzonase (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.004 units phosphodiesterase I (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.04 units shrimp alkaline phosphatase (NEB) according to previous description with minor revision [\(Chen et al., 2018;](#page-14-0) [Chen and Witte, 2020;](#page-14-0) [Gao et al., 2023;](#page-14-0) [Wang et al., 2023b\)](#page-15-0). After incubation at 37°C for 10 h, enzyme reaction was terminated and the sample was filtered by an ultrafiltration tube (3 kDa cutoff; Pall). 2µl aliquots were analyzed by an Agilent 1290 HPLC system coupled with a Sciex 6500 Qtrap mass spectrometer. The following mass transitions were monitored: m/z 268.1 to 136 (A, adenosine); m/z 282.12 to 150 (m⁶A, N6methyladenosine). Standard solutions of A: 1, 5, 25 50, 100, 200, 400, 2000 and 10 000 ng/ml; m⁶A: 0.1, 0.5, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40, 200, and 1000 ng/ml were used for quantification. The ratio of m⁶A to A were calculated based on the calibrated concentrations.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed by GraphPad Prism 9.5.1 software. Statistical methods and sample sizes are shown in the figure legends. All replicates are biological replicates or experimental replicates.

Results

Genome-wide identification and evolutionary analysis of mRNA m⁶A writer genes in legume plants

To identify the m⁶A writer candidate genes in legume plants, we used the sequences of the known writer proteins MTA, MTB, MTC, FIP37, VIR, and HAKAI from the model plant Arabidopsis as queries in BLASTp searches against the genomes of soybean (G. max), wild soybean (G. soja Siebold & Zucc.), common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.), Medicago truncatula Gaertn., and Lotus japonicus (Regel) K. Larsen in the Phytozome V13 database ([https://](https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/blast-search) [phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/blast-search\)](https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/blast-search). We identified thirteen candidate m⁶A writer homologs in G. max, thirteen in G. soja, six in P. vulgaris, eight in M. truncatula, and six in L. japonicus ([Figure 1;](#page-4-0) [Supplementary Table S1\)](#page-13-0). All identified proteins were divided into four families (MT-A70, WTAP, VIR, and HAKAI) according to their topological structure. At least one homolog for each family was identified in each of the plant species, with the MT-A70 family representing the most identified (22 candidates in total) and the least identified for the VIR family (eight in total). Among the five analyzed legumes, the G. max and G. soja genomes contained the highest number of m⁶A writer candidate genes ([Supplementary Table S1\)](#page-13-0). In G. max, five candidate genes were identified in the MT-A70 family, including Glyma.14G077000, Glyma.10G232300, Glyma.20G161800, Glyma.07G067100, and Glyma.16G033100. Four candidate genes were identified for the WTAP family, and two each were revealed for the VIR or HAKAI families [\(Figure 1;](#page-4-0) [Table 1\)](#page-5-0). The members of each writer protein family were named sequentially according to their order on the chromosomes ([Table 1\)](#page-5-0).

We identified similar characteristics in the candidate proteins from each family in G. max. The lengths of the MT-A70 family candidate proteins ranged from 428 to 1102 amino acids. The predicted molecular weights were 48.88 to 121.73 kDa, with theoretical isoelectric points (pIs) in the range of 5.95 to 7.14. The two VIR family candidates had the longest sequences, which were 2230 and 2174 aa with molecular weights of 246.01 and 239.33 kDa and pIs of 5.36 and 5.35. The HAKAI and WTAP candidates were shorter, ranging from 439 to 440 aa and 343 to 354 aa, respectively. Their molecular weights ranged from 47.89 to 47.94 kDa (HAKAI family) and 38.34 to 40.34 kDa (WTAP family), and their pIs were 6.15 to 6.2 and 5.04 to 5.75, respectively.

Conserved motifs and gene exon–intron structures of the mRNA m⁶A writer genes

We constructed a phylogenetic tree using the Neighbor-Joining method to reconstruct the evolutionary relationships among all writer candidates from G. max [\(Figure 2](#page-6-0)). The result was consistent with that of the phylogenetic analysis constructed using the proteins from all five legume plants and Arabidopsis [\(Figure 1](#page-4-0)). We employed a motif analysis using the MEME program to identify the conserved

motifs present within the m⁶A writer candidates in *G. max*. In total, 10 distinct and highly conserved motifs were predicted [\(Figure 2A;](#page-6-0) [Supplementary Table S2](#page-13-0)). Each candidate protein contained 2–6 motifs except GmMTC [\(Figure 2A](#page-6-0)), hinting that most are likely m⁶A writer proteins. Motif 4, motif 7, and motif 10 were common to many members, suggesting that they may be important for methyltransferase activity. Additionally, motif 1, motif 2, and motif 3 were unique to the WTAP/FIP37 subfamily, while motif 5 and motif 8 exclusively existed in the MT-A70 subfamily. The homologous proteins of each family share identical conserved motifs, suggesting they may be functionally redundant.

We analyzed the exon–intron distribution to investigate the genetic structural diversity. Notably, m⁶A writer candidates from each subfamily had a similar exon–intron pattern, although across all writer candidates the exon number varied substantially, from 3 to 28. The two GmVIRs had the most exons (27 and 28 exons), while two GmHAKAIs had three exons each. Seven and six exons, respectively, were identified in the core methyltransferase genes, GmMTAs and GmMTBs. Generally, closely related candidate

writers tended to have similar conserved motifs ([Figure 2A\)](#page-6-0) and exon–intron structure patterns [\(Figure 2B](#page-6-0)), suggesting their relative conservation during the evolutionary process and thereby substantiating the accuracy of the clustering analysis presented in Figure 1.

Chromosomal distribution of mRNA m⁶A writer genes

We investigated the chromosomal distribution of the m⁶A writer genes in soybean and identified associated gene duplication events. In total, 13 genes were randomly distributed on 11 of the 20 chromosomes of G. max ([Figure 3](#page-7-0)). Chromosomes 7 and 10 each possess two m⁶A writer genes, whereas chromosomes 2, 4, 5, 6, 14, 16, 17, 18, and 20 each contain one such gene. Different gene replication events, such as tandem duplication and fragment duplication, occur in plant genomes, resulting in the expansion of gene families [\(Cannon et al., 2004\)](#page-14-0). The collinearity analysis

Family	Gene name	Gene ID (Phytozome)	Amino acid length	Isoelectric point	Molecular weight (kDa)	Subcellular localization prediction	Orthologous gene ID in A. thaliana
$MT- A70$	GmMTA1	Glyma.07G067100	762	6.22	84.61	nucleus	AT4G10760
	GmMTA ₂	Glyma.16G033100	761	5.95	84.26	nucleus	
	GmMTB1	Glyma.10G232300	1102	6.65	121.73	nucleus	AT4G09980
	GmMTB2	Glyma.20G161800	1098	6.76	121.47	nucleus	
	GmMTC	Glyma.14G077000	428	7.14	48.88	nucleus	AT1G19340
WTAP	GmFIP37a	Glyma.04G186400	354	5.75	40.34	nucleus	AT3G54170
	GmFIP37b	Glyma.05G040200	339	5.12	38.34	nucleus	
	GmFIP37c	Glyma.06G179400	343	5.59	39.17	nucleus	
	GmFIP37d	Glyma.17G086600	343	5.04	38.75	nucleus	
VIR	GmVIR1	Glyma.02G195600	2230	5.36	246.01	nucleus	AT3G05680
	GmVIR2	Glyma.10G082100	2174	5.35	239.33	nucleus	
HAKAI	GmHAKAI1	Glyma.07G144300	439	6.2	47.89	nucleus	AT5G01160
	GmHAKAI2	Glyma.18G195500	440	6.15	47.94	nucleus	

TABLE 1 Characteristics of predicted m⁶A writer candidate genes in Glycine max.

revealed no tandem duplication between the m⁶A writer genes; however, nine pairs arising from fragment duplications (GmMTA1/ GmMTA2, GmMTB1/GmMTB2, GmMTC/Glyma.17G248801, GmVIR1/GmVIR2, GmHAKAI1/GmHAKAI2, GmFIP37a/ GmFIP37b, GmFIP37b/GmFIP37c, GmFIP37c/GmFIP37d, and GmFIP37a/GmFIP37d) were observed, showing that members from each m⁶A writer family originated from gene duplication in soybean. A duplication partner from one such pair, Glyma.17G248801, is an uncharacterized gene without an MT-A70 domain, suggesting that it possesses an unknown function other than m⁶A methyltransferase activity.

Spatial expression patterns and subcellular localization of the mRNA m⁶A writer genes and proteins

Employing the publicly available data from the SoyOmics website (<https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/soyomics/index>), we examined the expression profiles of all m⁶A writer genes across various tissues from G. max, including the cotyledon, stem, leaf bud, leaf, flower, pod_seed (pod plus seed), pod, seed, shoot meristem, and root. Our analyses revealed distinct expression patterns among these genes across different tissue types (Supplementary Figure S1). Notably, we observed a pronounced upregulation of the expression of m⁶A writer genes in the leaf bud, flower, and shoot, indicating their potential involvement in orchestrating floral development. By contrast, we were surprised to discover relatively low expression levels of these genes in the leaves.

Furthermore, we used WoLF PSORT [\(https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/\)](https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/) to predict the subcellular localization of all members, which were all predicted to be localized in the nucleus (Table 1). To test this prediction, we fused the full-length amino acid sequences of the four core members, GmMTA1, GmMTA2, GmMTB1, and GmMTB2, with a C-terminal yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) tag each and then independently transiently co-expressed them with the nucleus marker (H2B) fused with mCherry (RFP) in N. benthamiana leaves. The results showed that all four proteins were indeed localized to the nucleus [\(Figure 4\)](#page-7-0), which is consistent with the prediction.

Methyltransferase activity analysis of the GmMTAs

In mammals, an MTase complex comprising METTL3 and METTL14 efficiently catalyzes the transfer of a methyl group to m⁶A on RNA. METTL3 primarily functions as the catalytic core, while METTL14 acts as an RNA-binding platform ([Wang](#page-15-0) [et al., 2016b](#page-15-0)). To investigate the enzymatic activities of GmMTAs, which are the homologs of mammalian METTL3 in soybean, we independently expressed GmMTA1 and GmMTA2 recombinantly in N. benthamiana and performed methylation assays with the purified proteins using an unmodified RNA probe and SAM as the methyl donor ([Figure 5A](#page-8-0)). Subsequently, the RNA product was digested into nucleosides and the abundance of m⁶A was analyzed using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Remarkably, our findings revealed a significant increase in m6 A levels upon adding GmMTA1 or GmMTA2 proteins compared with the control. These experimental results strongly support our hypothesis that GmMTA1 and GmMTA2 possess similar methyltransferase activities, indicating their ability to catalyze mRNA m⁶A modifications ([Figure 5](#page-8-0)).

organization and distribution of the conserved motifs in the m⁶A writer genes. (B) Phylogenetic analysis of m⁶A writer candidates from G max and the exon–intron structures of the m⁶A writer genes. Untranslated regions (UTRs) are represented by green boxes, coding sequences (CDSs) are represented by yellow boxes, and introns are represented by gray lines.

Cis-element analyses of mRNA m⁶A writer genes

To gain deeper insights into the transcriptional regulatory activity of RNA m⁶A writer genes, we predicted the cis elements within the 2000-bp promoter regions of all candidates using the PlantCARE web server ([http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/](http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/) [webtools/](http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/)). The identified cis-acting elements were involved in 25 functional categories, which could be classified into five groups: light-responsive elements, phytohormone-responsive elements, environmental stress-related elements, development-responsive elements, and other elements ([Figures 6A,](#page-9-0) B). Remarkably, lightresponsive elements could be identified in all promoters. Among the phytohormone-responsive elements, those involved in the abscisic acid (ABA) response and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) response were the most abundant. The most frequent environmental stress-related elements were anaerobic induction, followed by the low temperature-responsive ones. Furthermore, cis elements related to the developmental response were also identified ([Figure 6B\)](#page-9-0). The detection of light-responsive elements in all promoters [\(Figure 6C\)](#page-9-0) suggests that the expression levels of m⁶A writer genes are probably influenced by light, which may affect the development and environmental stimuli responses of soybean.

Expression patterns of GmMTAs and GmMTBs in response to abiotic stress

The results of the cis-element analysis suggest that m⁶A RNA modifications in soybean play crucial roles in the response to different abiotic stresses. We therefore analyzed the expression pattern of the m⁶A writer components GmMTA1, GmMTA2,

GmMTB1, and GmMTB2 in the leaf and root of 15-day-old soybean plants grown under normal conditions or different stresses, including cold, heat, drought, salinity, alkalinity, or darkness, over 24 h. Generally, the abiotic stress treatments significantly altered the expression patterns of the GmMTAs and GmMTBs compared with the control ([Figure 7](#page-10-0); Supplementary Figure S2). Notably, each gene exhibited distinct responses to different stress conditions in the leaf; for instance, GmMTA1 was initially suppressed after 2 h of cold treatment but induced after 6 h, whereas the expression level of GmMTA2 remained unchanged until 12 h. By contrast, the

 $(m⁶A)$ RNA modification performed by GmMTAs in soybean. (B) In vitro methylation assay of GmMTA1 and GmMTA2. Error bars represent SD (n = 3). Different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05.

expression levels of GmMTB1 and GmMTB2 were both enhanced during the cold treatment [\(Figure 7B](#page-10-0)).

In addition, we also observed that GmMTAs and GmMTBs exhibit different response times to various stresses in the leaf. The expression of all four genes was increased after 12 to 24 h of alkalinity stress (NaHCO₃) treatment [\(Figure 7E](#page-10-0)), while darkness induced these changes after 6 to 12 h [\(Figure 7F](#page-10-0)). These data provide evidence that GmMTA- and GmMTB-mediated m⁶A modifications generally participate in the abiotic stress response in soybean. Moreover, our findings also suggest that GmMTA2 and GmMTB1 are the dominant genes involved in the cellular response to these environmental stressors.

Overexpression of GmMTA2 and GmMTB1 in soybean enhances plant tolerance to alkalinity and darkness

To further verify the roles of GmMTAs and GmMTBs in the response to abiotic stress, we overexpressed GmMTA2 and GmMTB1 in the leaves of 7-day-old wild-type soybean plants, respectively, which were then subjected to alkalinity or darkness

treatment. Reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and immunoblot analyses revealed that pBA002-GmMTA2-HA (GmMTA2-OE) and pBA002-GmMTB1-HA (GmMTB1-OE) were all overexpressed in the transformed soybean [\(Figure 8\)](#page-11-0). Compared with the control expressing the empty pBA002 vector (EV), the leaves overexpressing GmMTB1 but not GmMTA2 exhibited significantly increased tolerance to the alkalinity treatment ([Figure 9A](#page-12-0)). In addition, we detected the H_2O_2 content by Diaminobenzidine staining ([Figure 9B](#page-12-0)) and measured the catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities [\(Figures 9C-E](#page-12-0)) in the leaves from each genotype after the NaHCO₃ treatment. These results confirmed that the leaves harboring GmMTB1 overexpression were indeed more resistant to the alkalinity. During the darkness treatment, the leaves overexpressing GmMTA2 showed the highest tolerance, while leaves overexpressing GmMTB1 also performed better than the control EV leaves ([Figure 10A](#page-13-0)). We confirmed these findings by measuring the chlorophyll contents [\(Figure 10B](#page-13-0)). These results, together with RT-qPCR analyses [\(Figure 7](#page-10-0)), demonstrated that GmMTB1 played a role in the tolerance to alkalinity treatment while both GmMTA2 and GmMTB1 were involved in dark stress.

Discussion

Here, we identified and characterized the gene family members of the m⁶A writer complex in legume plants. They were assigned to four families: MT-A70, WTAP, VIR, and HAKAI. In soybean, the MT-A70 family comprised five members, the WTAP subfamily had four members, and both the HAKAI and VIR families were represented by only two members each ([Figure 1\)](#page-4-0). Among these m⁶A writer

candidates, the enzymatic activities of GmMTA1 and GmMTA2 were confirmed in an in vitro assay ([Figure 5\)](#page-8-0). In addition, the gene structures of all candidates in G. max were analyzed, with similar structures and conserved motifs present among the members of each family. The gene structures varied between members of the different families; however, the VIR members exhibited more exons per gene (average of 27), while members of the HAKAI family had the fewest (only three exons per gene, on average; [Figure 2](#page-6-0)). Upon analyzing the

promoter regions of the m⁶A writer complex genes, several cis elements were identified. These cis elements were subsequently categorized into five functional clusters: responsive to light, phytohormone signaling (such as MeJA and ABA), environmental stress (such as low temperature or drought), development and other regulation [\(Figure 6\)](#page-9-0). Simultaneously, we performed a subcellular localization analysis of all members of the MT-A70 family from G.

max, revealing them all to be located within the nucleus, in line with our prediction [\(Table 1\)](#page-5-0).

Abiotic stress reduces crop yields and can even kill the plant; therefore, it is critical to understand how soybean responds to environmental stresses, such as saline–alkali stress, cold stress, drought stress, and darkness [\(Hu et al., 2021](#page-14-0); [Zheng et al., 2021](#page-15-0)). There is mounting evidence that m⁶A modifications play crucial roles

in regulating plant responses to these stresses. In Arabidopsis, salt stress was reported to significantly affect the m⁶A methylation levels on mRNA [\(Hu et al., 2021\)](#page-14-0). Additionally, VIR-mediated m⁶A methylation modulates ROS homeostasis by negatively regulating the mRNA stability of several negative regulators of the salt stress response, such as Arabidopsis NAC transcription factor (AtATAF1), GIGANTEA (AtGI), and glutathione S-transferaset U17 (AtGSTU17) [\(Zheng et al.,](#page-15-0) [2021\)](#page-15-0). In apple, MdMTA enhances lignin deposition and ROS scavenging under drought conditions. In poplar (Populus trichocarpa), plants overexpressing PtrMTA had a higher trichome density and a more developed root system [\(Lu et al., 2020](#page-14-0)). Moreover, they exhibited better drought tolerance ([Lu et al., 2020\)](#page-14-0). These findings show that the m⁶A modification plays a pivotal role in the abiotic stress responses across diverse plant species, but our understanding of these mechanisms in soybean remains very limited. Here, we identified key m⁶A component genes in soybean and analyzed their roles in different abiotic stress conditions, including cold, heat, drought, salinity, alkalinity, and darkness. Our data suggest that GmMTAs and GmMTBs, as core components of the m⁶A writer complex, are altered in response to various stressors, especially induced upon drought, alkalinity, and darkness treatment; however, their expression patterns and response times differ in accordance with the specific stress conditions ([Figure 7](#page-10-0)).

Leaf senescence has a crucial effect on crop quality and yield. It is an age-dependent process that can be regulated by several factors, including leaf age, phytohormones, temperature, and light. Upon entering the senescence stage, a leaf's cells undergo a sequential disorganization of cellular organelles, accompanied by systematic changes in metabolism and gene expression ([Woo et al., 2019;](#page-15-0) [Guo](#page-14-0) [et al., 2021\)](#page-14-0). Under darkness, the key transcription factors PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 4/5 (PIF4/5) in the light signaling pathway are activated and regulate the expression of

chlorophyll-catabolic genes through the ABA or ethylene pathways, accelerating chlorophyll degradation and promoting leaf yellowing and senescence [\(Sakuraba et al., 2014;](#page-14-0) [Song et al., 2014\)](#page-14-0). Long-term darkness has commonly been used as a tool to investigate the process of leaf senescence ([Guo et al., 2021\)](#page-14-0). In addition to transcriptional regulation, epigenetic modification also plays an important role in the regulation of leaf senescence. In Arabidopsis, the mutation of MTA resulted in a more pronounced aging phenotype than was observed in the wild type ([Sheikh et al., 2024\)](#page-14-0). The m⁶A levels in the mRNA were shown to increase during a darkness treatment, preventing premature aging by destabilizing transcripts of age-related genes [\(Sheikh et al.,](#page-14-0) [2024](#page-14-0)). We assessed the expression levels of the GmMTAs and GmMTBs under a darkness treatment and observed a significant upregulation after 6-12 h ([Figure 7](#page-10-0)). In particular, GmMTA2 showed continuous induction and maintained high expression levels after 72 h of treatment [\(Figure 7\)](#page-10-0). Furthermore, we overexpressed GmMTA2 and GmMTB1 in soybean leaves, which resulted in a more prominent anti-aging phenotype and higher chlorophyll content [\(Figure 10\)](#page-13-0). These findings suggest that soybean GmMTA2 and GmMTB1 play essential roles in dark-induced leaf senescence, providing additional evidence for m⁶A involvement in crop or fruit ripening [\(Zhou et al.,](#page-15-0) 2022). In animal studies related to m⁶A, METTL3 (the human homolog of MTA) is also vital for slowing the aging of human mesenchymal stem cells [\(Wu et al., 2020\)](#page-15-0); hence, further research into the role of m⁶A in aging regulation is warranted.

Conclusion

In this study, a total of thirteen $m⁶A$ writer genes in G. max, thirteen in G. soja, six in P. vulgaris, eight in M. truncatula, and six in L. japonicus were identified. The phylogenetic analysis divided

these genes into four families based on their topological structure. In soybean, the collinearity analysis revealed members from each m6 A writer family originated from gene duplication. WoLF PSORT prediction coupled with subcellular localization analysis suggested that these m⁶A writer genes all localized in nucleus. Furthermore, enzymatic analysis showed that both GmMTA1 and GmMTA2 possessed the methyltransferase activities toward adenosine on

RNA. The cis-acting elements of 2000 bp promoter regions of all m⁶A writer genes were investigated and the expression pattern of four MT-A70 family members upon abiotic stress treatment were determined. The results suggested that all members, especially GmMTA2 and GmMTB1, were involved in the cellular response to various abiotic stress. Notably, soybean leaves overexpressing GmMTB1 exhibited more resistant to the alkalinity, while

overexpressing GmMTA2 or GmMTB1 both showed the highest tolerance to darkness treatment. These results will provide a basis for further exploring the biological functions of the m⁶A writer genes from legume plants in growth regulation and stress responses.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Author contributions

PL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. HL: Writing – original draft, Data curation, Formal analysis. JZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. TY: Data curation, Writing – original draft. SG: Data curation, Writing – original draft. LC: Data curation, Writing – original draft. TX: Data curation, Writing – original draft. AX: Data curation, Writing – original draft. XL: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. CZ: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. LG: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. MC: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 32371365), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China (grant number BK20230101), the Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, and the start-up fund for advanced talents from Nanjing Agricultural University to MC.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1446591/full#supplementary-material)

References

Anderson, S. J., Kramer, M. C., Gosai, S. J., Yu, X., Vandivier, L. E., Nelson, A. D. L., et al. (2018). N6-methyladenosine inhibits local ribonucleolytic cleavage to stabilize mRNAs in Arabidopsis. Cell Rep. 25, 1146–1157. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2018.10.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.10.020)

Baccolini, C., and Witte, C.-P. (2019). AMP and GMP catabolism in Arabidopsis converge on xanthosine, which is degraded by a nucleoside hydrolase heterocomplex. Plant Cell 31, 734–751. doi: [10.1105/tpc.18.00899](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00899)

Cannon, S. B., Mitra, A., Baumgarten, A., Young, N. D., and May, G. (2004). The roles of segmental and tandem gene duplication in the evolution of large gene families in Arabidopsis thaliana. BMC Plant Biol. 4. doi: [10.1186/1471-2229-4-10](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-4-10)

Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., et al. (2020). TBtools: an integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. Mol. Plant 13, 1194–1202. doi: [10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009)

Chen, M., Herde, M., and Witte, C.-P. (2016). Of the nine cytidine deaminase like genes in Arabidopsis thaliana eight are pseudogenes and only one is required to maintain pyrimidine homeostasis in vivo. Plant Physiol. 171, 799–809. doi: [10.1104/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.02031) [pp.15.02031](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.02031)

Chen, M., Urs, M. J., Sánchez-González, I., Olayioye, M. A., Herde, M., and Witte, C.- P. (2018). m⁶A RNA degradation products are catabolized by an evolutionarily conserved N⁶-Methyl-AMP deaminase in plant and mammalian cells. *Plant Cell* 30, 1511–1522. doi: [10.1105/tpc.18.00236](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00236)

Chen, M., and Witte, C.-P. (2020). A kinase and a glycosylase catabolize pseudouridine in the peroxisome to prevent toxic pseudouridine monophosphate accumulation. Plant Cell 32, 722–739. doi: [10.1105/tpc.19.00639](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00639)

Cheng, C., Liu, Y., Liu, X., An, J., Jiang, L., and Yu, B. (2019). Recretohalophyte Tamarix TrSOS1 confers higher salt tolerance to transgenic plants and yeast than glycophyte soybean GmSOS1. Environ. Exp. Bot. 165, 196–207. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.06.006) [j.envexpbot.2019.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.06.006)

Delaunay, S., Helm, M., and Frye, M. (2023). RNA modifications in physiology and disease: towards clinical applications. Nat. Rev. Genet. 25, 104–122. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-023-00645-2) [s41576-023-00645-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-023-00645-2)

Feng, N., Yu, M., Li, Y., Jin, D., and Zheng, D. (2021). Prohexadione-calcium alleviates saline-alkali stress in soybean seedlings by improving the photosynthesis and up-regulating antioxidant defense. ECOTOX Environ. SAFE 220, 112369. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112369) [j.ecoenv.2021.112369](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112369)

Frye, M., Harada, B. ,. T., Behm, M., and He, C. (2018). RNA Modifications modulate gene expression during development. Science 361, 1346–1349. doi: [10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau1646) [science.aau1646](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau1646)

Frye, M., Jaffrey, S. R., Pan, T., Rechavi, G., and Suzuki, T. (2016). RNA modifications: what have we learned and where are we headed? Nat. Rev. Genet. 17, 365–372. doi: [10.1038/nrg.2016.47](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2016.47)

Fu, Y., Guo, C., Wu, H., and Chen, C. (2017). Arginine decarboxylase ADC2 enhances salt tolerance through increasing ROS scavenging enzyme activity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Growth Regul. 83, 253–263. doi: [10.1007/s10725-017-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-017-0293-0) [0293-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-017-0293-0)

Gao, S., Sun, Y., Chen, X., Zhu, C., Liu, X., Wang, W., et al. (2023). Pyrimidine catabolism is required to prevent the accumulation of 5-methyluridine in RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 51, 7451–7464. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkad529](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad529)

Guo, Y., Ren, G., Zhang, K., Li, Z., Miao, Y., and Guo, H. (2021). Leaf senescence: progression, regulation, and application. Mol. Hortic. 1, 5. doi: [10.1186/s43897-021-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s43897-021-00006-9) [00006-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s43897-021-00006-9)

Guo, T., Yang, Z., Bao, R., Fu, X., Wang, N., Liu, C., et al. (2023). The m⁶A reader MhYTP2 regulates the stability of its target mRNAs contributing to low nitrogen tolerance in apple (Malus domestica). Hortic 10, uhad094. doi: [10.1093/hr/uhad094](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhad094)

Han, B., Wei, S., Li, F., Zhang, J., Li, Z., and Gao, X. (2021). Decoding m⁶A mRNA methylation by reader proteins in cancer. Cancer Lett. 518, 256–265. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2021.07.047) [j.canlet.2021.07.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2021.07.047)

Hou, N., Li, C., He, J., Liu, Y., Yu, S., Malnoy, M., et al. (2022). MdMTA-mediated m6 A modification enhances drought tolerance by promoting mRNA stability and translation efficiency of genes involved in lignin deposition and oxidative stress. New Phytol. 234, 1294–1314. doi: [10.1111/nph.18069](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18069)

Hu, J., Cai, J., Park, S. J., Lee, K., Li, Y., Chen, Y., et al. (2021). N6-Methyladenosine mRNA methylation is important for salt stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 106, 1759–1775. doi: [10.1111/tpj.15270](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15270)

Ji, X., Liu, G., Liu, Y., Zheng, L., Nie, X., and Wang, Y. (2013). The bZIP protein from Tamarix hispida, ThbZIP1, is ACGT elements binding factor that enhances abiotic stress signaling in transgenic Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biol. 13, 151. doi: [10.1186/1471-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-13-151) [2229-13-151](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-13-151)

Jia, G., Fu, Y., and He, C. (2013). Reversible RNA adenosine methylation in biological regulation. Trends Genet. 29, 108–115. doi: [10.1016/j.tig.2012.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2012.11.003)

Katiyar, A., Smita, S., Lenka, S. K., Rajwanshi, R., Chinnusamy, V., and Bansal, K. C. (2012). Genome-wide classification and expression analysis of MYB transcription factor families in rice and Arabidopsis. BMC Genom 13, 544. doi: [10.1186/1471-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-544) [2164-13-544](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-544)

Kim, E., Hwang, S., and Lee, I. (2017). SoyNet: a database of co-functional networks for soybean Glycine max. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D1082–D1089. doi: [10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw704) [gkw704](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw704)

Kost, B., Spielhofer, P., and Chua, N. H. (2008). A GFP-mouse talin fusion protein labels plant actin filaments in vivo and visualizes the actin cytoskeleton in growing pollen tubes. Plant J. 16, 393–401. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00304.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00304.x)

Larkin, M. A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N. P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P. A., McWilliam, H., et al. (2007). Clustal W and clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23, 2947–2948. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404)

Li, Z., Lyu, X., Li, H., Tu, Q., Zhao, T., Liu, J., et al. (2024). The mechanism of low blue light-induced leaf senescence mediated by GmCRY1s in soybean. Nat. Commun. 15, 798. doi: [10.1038/s41467-024-45086-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45086-5)

Lim, C., Kang, K., Shim, Y., Yoo, S. C., and Paek, N. C. (2022). Inactivating transcription factor OsWRKY5 enhances drought tolerance through abscisic acid signaling pathways. Plant Physiol. 188, 1900–1916. doi: [10.1093/plphys/kiab492](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab492)

Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Shen, Y., Tian, D., Yang, X., et al. (2023). SoyOmics: A deeply integrated database on soybean multi-omics. Mol. Plant 16, 794–797. doi: [10.1016/j.molp.2023.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2023.03.011)

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: [10.1006/meth.2001.1262](https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262)

Lu, L., Zhang, Y., He, Q., Qi, Z., Zhang, G., Xu, W., et al. (2020). MTA, an RNA m⁶A Methyltransferase, enhances drought tolerance by regulating the development of trichomes and roots in Poplar. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 2462. doi: [10.3390/ijms21072462](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21072462)

Martin, K., Kopperud, K., Chakrabarty, R., Banerjee, R., Brooks, R., and Goodin, M. M. (2009). Transient expression in Nicotiana benthamiana fluorescent marker lines provides enhanced definition of protein localization, movement and interactions in planta. Plant J. 59, 150-162. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03850.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03850.x)

Phukan, U. L. Jeena, G. S., and Shukla, R. K. (2016). WRKY transcription factors: molecular regulation and stress responses in plants. Front. Plant Sci. 7. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00760) [fpls.2016.00760](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00760)

Pi, B., Liu, X., Huang, Q., Zhang, T., and Yu, B. (2023). Comparative transcriptomic analysis of Glycine soja and G. max and functional identification of GsCNGC20-d interacted with GsCDPK29 under salt stress. Environ. Exp. Bot. 206, 105185. doi: [10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.105185](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.105185)

Qi, D. H., and Lee, C. F. (2014). Influence of soybean biodiesel content on basic properties of biodiesel-diesel blends. J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng. 45, 504–507. doi: [10.1016/j.jtice.2013.06.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2013.06.021)

Qu, Y., Guan, R., Yu, L., Berkowitz, O., David, R., Whelan, J., et al. (2022). Enhanced reactive oxygen detoxification occurs in salt-stressed soybean roots expressing GmSALT3. Physiol. Plant 174, e13709. doi: [10.1111/ppl.13709](https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13709)

Roundtree, I. A., Evans, M. E., Pan, T., and He, C. (2017). Dynamic RNA modifications in gene expression regulation. Cell 169, 1187–1200. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.045) [j.cell.2017.05.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.045)

Růžička, K., Zhang, M., Campilho, A., Bodi, Z., Kashif, M., Saleh, M., et al. (2017).
Identification of factors required for m⁶A mRNA methylation in Arabidopsis reveals a role for the conserved E3 ubiquitin ligase HAKAI. New Phytol. 215, 157–172. doi: [10.1111/nph.14586](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14586)

Sakuraba, Y., Jeong, J., Kang, M.-Y., Kim, J., Paek, N.-C., and Choi, G. (2014). Phytochrome-interacting transcription factors PIF4 and PIF5 induce leaf senescence in Arabidopsis. Nat. Commun. 5, 4636. doi: [10.1038/ncomms5636](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5636)

Sheikh, A. H., Tabassum, N., Rawat, A., Almeida, T. M., Nawaz, K., and Hirt, H. (2024). m⁶A RNA methylation counteracts dark-induced leaf senescence in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 194, 2663–2678. doi: [10.1093/plphys/kiad660](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiad660)

Shen, L., Liang, Z., Gu, X., Chen, Y., Teo, Z. W. N., Hou, X., et al. (2016). N6 methyladenosine RNA modification regulates shoot stem cell fate in Arabidopsis. Dev. Cell 38, 186–200. doi: [10.1016/j.devcel.2016.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2016.06.008)

Shi, H., Wei, J., and He, C. (2019). Where, When, and How: context-dependent functions of RNA methylation writers, readers, and erasers. Mol. Cell 74, 640–650. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2019.04.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.04.025)

Song, Y., Yang, C., Gao, S., Zhang, W., Li, L., and Kuai, B. (2014). Age-triggered and dark-induced leaf senescence require the bHLH transcription factors PIF3, 4, and 5. Mol. Plant 7, 1776–1787. doi: [10.1093/mp/ssu109](https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssu109)

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Kumar, S., and Battistuzzi, F. U. (2021). MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. Mol. Biol. Evol. 38, 3022–3027. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120) [molbev/msab120](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120)

van Zelm, E., Zhang, Y., and Testerink, C. (2020). Salt tolerance mechanisms of plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 71, 403–433. doi: [10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100005) [100005](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100005)

Vespa, L., Vachon, G., Berger, F., Perazza, D., Faure, J.-D., and Herzog, M. (2004). The immunophilin-interacting protein AtFIP37 from Arabidopsis is essential for plant development and is involved in trichome endoreduplication. Plant Physiol. 134, 1283-1292. doi: [10.1104/pp.103.028050](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.028050)

Wang, X., Feng, J., Xue, Y., Guan, Z., Zhang, D., Liu, Z., et al. (2016b). Structural basis of N6-adenosine methylation by the METTL3–METTL14 complex. Nature 534, 575– 578. doi: [10.1038/nature18298](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18298)

Wang, T., Guo, J., Peng, Y., Lyu, X., Liu, B., Sun, S., et al. (2023a). Light-induced mobile factors from shoots regulaterhizobium-triggered soybean root nodulation. Science 374, 65–71. doi: [10.1126/science.abh2890](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abh2890)

Wang, W., Liu, H., Wang, F., Liu, X., Sun, Y., Zhao, J., et al. (2023b). N4-acetylation of cytidine in mRNA plays essential roles in plants. Plant Cell 35, 3739–3756. doi: [10.1093/plcell/koad189](https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koad189)

Wang, F., Liu, J., Zhou, L., Pan, G., Li, Z., Zaidi, S.-H.-R., et al. (2016a). Senescencespecific change in ROS scavenging enzyme activities and regulation of various SOD isozymes to ROS levels in psf mutant rice leaves. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 109, 248–261. doi: [10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.10.005)

Woo, H. R., Kim, H. J., Lim, P. O., and Nam, H. G. (2019). Leaf senescence: systems and dynamics aspects. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 70, 347–376. doi: [10.1146/annurev](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-095859)[arplant-050718-095859](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-095859)

Wu, Z., Shi, Y., Lu, M., Song, M., Yu, Z., Wang, J., et al. (2020). METTL3 counteracts premature aging via m⁶A-dependent stabilization of MIS12 mRNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 48, 11083–11096. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkaa816](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa816)

Xie, J., Chen, Y., Cai, G., Cai, R., Hu, Z., and Wang, H. (2023). Tree Visualization By One Table (tvBOT): a web application for visualizing, modifying and annotating phylogenetic trees. Nucleic Acids Res. 51, W587–W592. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkad359](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad359)

Xing, K., Liu, Z., Liu, L., Zhang, J., Qanmber, G., Wang, Y., et al. (2023). N6 methyladenosine mRNA modification regulates transcripts stability associated with cotton fiber elongation. Plant J. 115, 967–985. doi: [10.1111/tpj.16274](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.16274)

Yang, L., Han, Y., Wu, D., Yong, W., Liu, M., Wang, S., et al. (2017). Salt and cadmium stress tolerance caused by overexpression of the Glycine Max Na+/H+ Antiporter (GmNHX1) gene in duckweed (Lemna turionifera 5511). Aquat. Toxicol. 192, 127–135. doi: [10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.08.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.08.010)

Zheng, H., Sun, X., Li, J., Song, Y., Song, J., Wang, F., et al. (2021). Analysis of N6-methyladenosine reveals a new important mechanism regulating the salt tolerance of sweet sorghum. Plant Sci. 304, 110801. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110801) [j.plantsci.2020.110801](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110801)

Zhong, S., Li, H., Bodi, Z., Button, J., Vespa, L., Herzog, M., et al. (2008). MTA is an Arabidopsis messenger RNA adenosine methylase and interacts with a homolog of a sex-specific splicing factor. Plant Cell 20, 1278-1288. doi: [10.1105/](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.058883) [tpc.108.058883](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.058883)

Zhou, L., Gao, G., Tang, R., Wang, W., Wang, Y., Tian, S., et al. (2022). m⁶A-mediated regulation of crop development and stress responses. Plant Biotechnol. 20, 1447–1455. doi: [10.1111/pbi.13792](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13792)

Zhu, M., Hu, Z., Zhou, S., Wang, L., Dong, T., Pan, Y., et al. (2014). Molecular characterization of six tissue-specific or stress-inducible genes of NAC transcription factor family in Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum). J. Plant Growth Regul. 33, 730–744. doi: [10.1007/s00344-014-9420-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-014-9420-6)