Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chris Helliwell, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia

REVIEWED BY Guofang Xing, Shanxi Agricultural University, China Wanhong Liu, Chongqing University of Science and Technology, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Yong Liao Viaoyong_1972@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 28 February 2024 ACCEPTED 24 June 2024 PUBLISHED 24 July 2024

CITATION

Guo Y, You Y, Chen F and Liao Y (2024) Identification of the histone acetyltransferase gene family in the *Artemisia annua* genome. *Front. Plant Sci.* 15:1389958. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1389958

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Guo, You, Chen and Liao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Identification of the histone acetyltransferase gene family in the *Artemisia annua* genome

Yang Guo[†], Yan You[†], Furong Chen and Yong Liao^{*}

Department of Pharmacy, Second Clinical Medical College, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, Hubei, China

As the most effective therapeutic drug for malaria, artemisinin can only be extracted from Artemisia annua L., which is sensitive to the surrounding growing habitat. Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) contain acetyl groups, which modulate mRNA transcription and thereby regulate plant environmental adaptation. Comprehensive analyses of HATs have been performed in many plants, but systematic identification of HATs in medicinal plants is lacking. In the present study, we identified 11 AaHATs and characterized these genes into four classes according to their conserved protein structures. According to the phylogenetic analysis results, potential functions of HAT genes from Arabidopsis thaliana, Oryza sativa, and A. annua were found. According to our results, AaHAT has a highly conserved evolutionary history and is rich in highly variable regions; thus, AaHAT has become a comparatively ideal object of medical plant identification and systematic study. Moreover, motifs commonly present in histone acetyltransferases in the A. annua genome may be associated with functional AaHATs. AaHATs appear to be related to gene-specific functions. AaHATs are regulated by cis-elements, and these genes may affect phytohormone responsiveness, adaptability to stress, and developmental growth. We performed expression analyses to determine the potential roles of AaHATs in response to three environmental stresses. Our results revealed a cluster of AaHATs that potentially plays a role in the response of plants to dynamic environments.

KEYWORDS

Artemisia annua, gene family, histone acetyltransferases, epigenetics, medical plant

Introduction

Post-transcriptional modifications of histones mainly occur at N-terminal tails. These modifications extend out of the so-called nucleosomal core and include acetylation, methylation, and ubiquitination (Huang et al., 2014). The dynamics of these histone marks play essential roles in modulating various mRNAs (Gong and Miller, 2013; Lu et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2020, 2021). Histone acetylation is an essential process during epigenetic modulation in biological processes (Shen et al., 2015). Acetyl groups are dynamically

regulated by HATs and removed by HDACs (Chen et al., 2020). At the tails of mainly H3 and H4 of the core histones, histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and Histone Deacetylases (HDACs) are responsible for the dynamics of acetyl-CoA at certain lysine residues. Given that the positive charge on lysine residues is neutralized by acetylated histones, the chromatin structure is loosened. This process weakens the binding of histones, which consequently results in the promotion of transcriptional activity (Eberharter and Becker, 2002). Generally, histone acetylation mediated by HATs is associated with transcription; however, this process has not been fully elucidated in plants (Chen and Tian, 2007), especially in medicinal plants. In detail, HATs have four classes: HACs, which are responsive to the elementbinding protein family; TATA-binding protein-associated factor family (HAFs), which are associated with the TATA-binding protein-associated gene family; and N-terminal acetyltransferase family (HAGs) and MOZ, Ybf2/Sas3, Sas2, and Tip60 family, MYST (HAMs), which contain an acetyltransferases domain (Liu et al., 2016). In addition, HATs have been characterized from several model plants, such as Arabidopsis thaliana (Pandey et al., 2002), Oryza sativa (Liu et al., 2012), Litchi chinensis (Peng et al., 2017), Hordeum vulgare L (Papaefthimiou et al., 2010), Vitis vinifera (Aquea et al., 2010), Solanum lycopersicum (Aiese Cigliano et al., 2013), Triticum aestivum (Gao et al., 2021), Citrus sinensis (citrus) (Shu et al., 2021), Setaria italica (Xing et al., 2022), Capsicum annuum (Cai et al., 2022), and Beta vulgaris L (Yolcu et al., 2024). The regulatory program of HATs is mediated by dynamic histone acetylation, which is likely related to plant adaptive responses and growth (Shen et al., 2015).

AtGCN5 complexes are known to be associated with cell differentiation and other processes (Vlachonasios et al., 2003). Essentially, in the maintenance of the root stem cell niche, AtGCN5 activates the transcription of root stem cell-related Transcriptional Factors (TFs) (Kornet and Scheres, 2009). Similarly, with the recruitment of the OsADA2/OsGCN5 complex, the WUSCHEL-related homeobox gene controls the crown root meristem (Zhou et al., 2017). In AtGCN5 and AtHAF2 mutant plants, light-responsive mRNA transcription was reduced (Bertrand et al., 2003). In AtHAC knockdown plants, flowering phenotypes were repressed (Deng et al., 2007). During the development of males and females, AtHAM1- and AtHAM2silenced plants exhibit severe defects (Engstrom et al., 2011). In the control of flowering time, H4K5 acetylation mediated by AtHAM1 and AtHAM2 modulates the transcription of MADS-box genes (Xiao et al., 2013).

Artemisia annua is popular for its sweet wormwood or Qinghao. A. annua is now used globally as the predominant natural source of the potent antimalarial compound artemisinin (Shen et al., 2018). Artemisinin is an endoperoxide sesquiterpene lactone that is considered an effective antimalarial chemical product. Artemisinin is biosynthesized in the glandular trichomes of A. annua for the treatment of *Plasmodium falciparum* (Duffy and Mutabingwa, 2006). Therefore, it is very important to increase the artemisinin content and stress resistance under complex environmental conditions. HATs functionally modulate histone acetylation, which may influence plant adaptive responses and growth (Shen et al., 2015). The complete genome sequence of A. annua has been released (Shen et al., 2018). This approach has provided an opportunity to study functional genes, especially epigenetic regulators, as little or no research has been conducted on these genes in medicinal plants.

Herein, we examined the whole genome of *A. annua*, identified and characterized the members of the *AaHAT* gene family and analyzed their relationships in a phylogenetic tree as well as their protein structures, patterns at the transcriptional level, *cis*-acting elements of promoters, subcellular localization, and interactions. In total, a group of *AaHAT* genes that have possible functions in medical plants' responses to dynamic environments was identified.

Materials and methods

Identification of the AaHAT sequences

We downloaded data containing sequence IDs, protein sequences, genomic sequences, and conserved domain database (CDD) information from the A. annua genome database (the NCBI accession number: PKPP00000000). The characterized AtHAT and OsHAT sequences were obtained from the Phytozome database (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html V12.1) to identify all HATs in the A. annua genome. Eleven putative AaHATs were characterized via BLASTP searches ($E < 10^{-10}$) against the NCBI database. Next, the hidden Markov model (HMM) profiles of HAG (PF00583), HAC (PF08214), HAF (PF09247), and HAM (PF01853) were downloaded from the Pfam database. We applied the same strategy to search for conserved domains in the 11 AaHATs and ensure that they belonged to the HAT family, and TBtools software was used for visualization. Detailed information on the AaHATs was downloaded in batches from the NCBI. Information on the AaHAT gene family, which contains gene IDs, protein IDs, location, CDS and protein length, protein molecular weight (MW), isoelectric point (pI), and numbers of exon and groups is provided in detail (Supplementary Table S1).

Multiple alignment and phylogenetic analysis

Multiple sequences were aligned to AtHAT, OsHAT, and AaHAT, and the protein sequences were analyzed using MEGA7.1 (https://www.megasoftware.net/) to construct an unrooted phylogenetic tree via the neighbor joining method with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

Analysis of gene and protein structures

The genome annotation of *A. annua* was obtained from the NCBI database. Gene structure analysis was conducted using TBtools-II (https://github.com/CJ-Chen/TBtools/releases) with the input of the *A. annua* GTF file. We used SWISS-MODEL to predict the structures of the AaHATs. The online tool multiple Em for motif elicitation was applied to analyze protein motifs.

Cis-elements in the AaHAT promoter

We defined upstream 2Kb sequences counted from the ATG start codon as the promoter regions with the help of TBtools-II. These promoter sequences were then used to identify *cis*-elements in the predicted promoter in the website of PlantCARE (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/). The *cis*-elements were defined and grouped with their various functions. Cis-elements of abscise acid responsiveness (ABRE), gibberellin-responsive elements (P-box elements), and auxin responsive elements (TGA-element) were identified in the promoter regions of the 11 AaHAT.

Analysis of transcriptional profiles

Data of the transcription pattern of *AaHAT* genes in six tissues of *A.annua* were downloaded from a published NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) (SRA accession number: SRP129502). These tissues included young and old leaves, stem, seeds, roots, and flowers. All RNA-seq library preparation was conducted as described in previous study. Heatmap Illustrator HemI 2.0 (http://hemi.biocuckoo.org/) was used to plot the heatmap of gene transcription.

Plant materials, growth conditions and stress treatments

Plantlets were cultivated in growth chambers under optimal conditions of temperature ($25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C) and light provided by cool white fluorescent tubes emitting a light intensity of 40 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. All plantlets were subjected to a 16-hour photoperiod. Mass propagation of plantlets was achieved through sub-culture in MS medium every 15 days. To investigate the responses of *AaHATs* to various abiotic stresses, 2-week-old plants grown on plates with moist gauze were treated with a solution containing 200mM NaCl and 100 µM ABA. For cold treatments, the plants were exposed to 4°C. Leaves from all samples for RNA extraction were collected at 0 h, 1 h, 2 h, 5 h, and 24 h post-treatments.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR analyses

Total RNA for quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Subsequently, cDNA was generated from $2 \mu g$ of total RNA utilizing the EasyScript One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis Supermix (Transgene Biotech, China). The qRT-PCR analysis was conducted with TranStart qPCR SupMix chip (Transgene Biotech, China) on the ABI StepOnePlus real-time PCR system with a minimum of three biological replicates. Data analysis was performed using the comparative Ct ($\Delta\Delta$ Ct) method in Excel. Statistical significance between treatment and control groups was determined using Student's t-test, with p values below 0.05 or 0.01 considered significant.

Results

Identification of *HAT* genes in *A. annua* genome

We identified and characterized 11 HATs in A. annua with A. thaliana and rice HATs as gueries (Supplementary Table S2). Consistent with their evolutionarily conserved sequences and the identified HATs in A. thaliana, the 11 AaHATs were classified into five groups with short names: HAC, HAG1, HAG3, HAF, and HAM. Every group had individual conserved domains, which reinforced the relevance of the classification (Figure 1). Approximately 60% of the AaHAC CDSs were 800-2000 aa long, while PWA56962.1 (385 aa), PWA64079.1 (561 aa), PWA77834.1 (521 aa), and PWA80289.1 (561 aa) were unique. The protein sequences of each group displayed substantial similarity. The molecular weights of the AaHATs ranged from 45.31 to 207.58 kDa. The isoelectric points varied from 5.188 to 8.443. PWA89578.1 encoded the largest protein with a molecular weight of 207.58 kDa, but PWA56962.1 encoded the smallest protein with a molecular weight of 45.31 kDa (Supplementary Table S1). The protein nature of the AaHATs is highly similar to that of HATs from other plants (Pandey et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2012), which indicates that the functions of these AaHATs are evolutionarily conserved.

Phylogenetic analysis of the AaHAT proteins

To probe the phylogenetic relationships of plant AaHATs, we generated twelve A. thaliana, eight O. sativa, and eleven A. annua HAT amino acid sequences which were used to construct a neighbor joining tree. There were no assumptions about ancestral representation based only on the relationships of the leaf nodes in unrooted trees. As a consequence, HATs from the three plant species, A. thaliana, rice, and A. annua, were divided into six groups, as expected (Figure 2). The AaHAT proteins exhibited strong similarity with HATs from module plants. These genes were classified into clades with AtHATs and OsHATs, which had better bootstrap values. One AtHAT, one OsHAT, and two AaHATs were grouped into the HAG1 clade, while for the HAG3 group, each species had one HAT (Figure 2). Intriguingly, there was no AaHAT in the HAG2 group (Figure 2). These data indicated that the HAT in the A. annua genome has unique biological evolution compared with that of these module plants. Regardless of the plant species, the HAC group had the largest group, with five AtHATs, three OsHATs, and six AaHATs (Figure 2). Two AtHATs and OsHATs were clustered into HAM and HAF (Figure 2). Overall, we found that HATs in the A. annua genome are highly conserved in terms of evolution and are rich in highly variable regions; thus, HATs have become comparatively ideal objects of medical plant identification and systematic study.



FIGURE 1

Conserved domain analysis of the AaHAT gene family. The 11 AaHAT can be divided into six groups (HAC, HAM, HAF, HAG1, HAG2, and HAG3) based on conserved domain analysis. Individual conserved domains are indicated by different colored boxes.



Phylogenetic tree of HAT proteins from Arabidopsis thaliana, Oryza sativa and Artemisia annua constructed by the neighbor-joining method in MEGA-X. The numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values after 1000 iterations. Each group is indicated by a different color. Circles represent A. thaliana, triangles represents O. sativa, and rhombus represent A. annua.

Predicted structure and conserved motifs analysis of *AaHAT* proteins

To visualize the various protein structures of histone acetylation transferases, we randomly selected HATs from each group of three plant species for analysis (Supplementary Figure S1). HAM proteins have similar structures among diverse plant species (Supplementary Figure S1). In contrast, the HAC, HAF, and HAG protein structures appeared to diverge from each other in this study (Supplementary Figure S1). In addition, we found that the conserved sequence of the HAT protein was complete, but only partial folding regions differed slightly (Supplementary Figure S1). AaHACs had one special gene (PWA64079.1) that evolutionarily lost regional introns. Consequently, the 3D structures of these genes are similar to those of other family groupers of AaHAC (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S2). In total, for the same groups of HATs, we found that these proteins from different plant species possess similar structures, and vice versa. Given that protein structure and character determine the properties and functions of organisms, these data suggest that HATs from these three plant species may have homologous biological functions.

To better understand gene family evolution, we compared the structures of the AaHATs (Figure 3A). Analysis of the genomic DNA sequences revealed that the number of introns varied from 8 to 20 (Figure 3A). AaHATs mostly shared highly similar structures, which were grouped together in three branches of the NJ tree (Figure 3A). The majority of the AaHACs had similar numbers of introns and exons, with the exception of one AaHAC gene (PWA64079.1). AaHAF and AaHAG3 had the greatest number of introns (20) among all the groups. Moreover, to identify putative motifs in the HAT family in A. annua, we predicted the protein sequences of the 11 AaHATs using the MEME website. Consequently, 20 motifs were identified from these proteins (Figure 3B; Supplementary Table S3). The group contained similar motifs, which indicated that the proteins might have some common functions. HAC genes are evolutionarily conserved in other plants and possessed the greatest number of motifs. There was at least one motif in each AaHAT, and they were arranged in the same order in each group except for AaHAM (PWA56962.1), which had no predicted motif. Overall, this analysis supported the presumption that motifs commonly present in histone acetyltransferases in the A. annua genome may be related to the



FIGURE 3

Genetic and motif structures of 11 *AaHAT* genes. (A) Exons, introns, and untranslated regions (UTR) are indicated by yellow frames, gray lines, and green frames on the right, respectively. The number on the gray line represents the number of introns. (B) Schematic representation of twenty conserved motifs in the AaHATs. Different colored frames represents different protein motifs, and each motif has its own number.

conserved functions of *AaHATs*, whereas the *AaHATs* seem to be associated with specific functional rules.

Subcellular localization prediction of *AaHAT*

Given that subcellular location details can provide some clues for the prediction of protein function, we found that all of the AaHATs were predicted to be localized in the nucleus with high reliability (RI > 6), except for HAM (PWA56962.1), which might be localized in the chloroplast, mitochondria, and nucleus (Supplementary Table S4). In particular, HAC (PWA69804.1) was located only in the nucleus with high reliability (RI = 14), and other AaHATs were predicted to be located in at least two subcellular organelles (Supplementary Table S4). Similarly, Uniprot identified possible nuclear signals for AaHATs, except for HAM (PWA56962.1) and HAG3 (PWA79021.1), which were not predicted. The identified AaHAT proteins in the *A. annua* genome exhibited different subcellular distributions and may be involved in medical plant developmental growth.

Spatial and temporal expression of *AaHATs* in various developmental tissues of *A. annua*

To determine the transcriptional levels of the AaHAT genes in different organs, we generated a heatmap with the RNA-seq data from the A. annua database (Supplementary Table S4). We detected complex, specific, and overlapping AaHATs in different tissues. The tissues/organs were divided into six types: flowers, young leaves, old leaves, seeds, stems, and roots. According to the results, 11 AaHAT genes were distinctly expressed at different developmental stages in the tissues. The transcriptional level of the genes was dynamic among these tissues and organs. For example, PWA92522.1 (HAC) was barely detectable in whole plants; in contrast, transcriptional signals of the PWA53508.1 (HAC) gene were highly detected in the overall plantlet (Figure 4). Additionally, the transcript levels varied within the same organs. In the six A. annua tissues, the expression of the members of the AaHAC gene family was relatively low (Figure 4). Some genes were exclusively transcribed in single tissues or organs; for example, PWA69804.1 (HAC) had a high transcriptional level in old leaves. The transcriptional levels of the AaHATs varied among tissues and were involved in the development of A. annua.

Prediction of *cis*-acting elements in the promoter regions of the *AaHAT* genes

To better understand the potential roles of *AaHATs*, we used a plant promoter database to identify the region 2 kb upstream of the transcription initiation site of *AaHATs*. Overall, we identified 619 *cis*-acting elements within the promoter regions of the *AaHATs*. The associated elements included stress-related, hormone-

responsive, light-responsive, developmental, promoter and enhancer, site-binding-related, and other elements (Figure 5A). Compared with those of other elements, the abundance of lightresponsive and promoter and enhancer elements increased (Figure 5A). In addition, cis-elements in the promoter regions of the AaHATs were found to be relevant to plant hormone classifications (Figure 5B). As a consequence, all 11 AaHATs contained abscisic acid responsive-elements (ABREs), while gibberellin-responsive (P-box elements) and auxin responsive (TGA-element) elements were identified in practically all A. annua HAT promoters (Figure 5B). Interestingly, obvious ciselements between the paired genes, including gibberellin and MeJA response elements, were identified in the promoter of AaHAG1 (PWA77834.1) (Figure 5B). Notably, no cytokineresponsive elements were found in these promoters (Figure 5B), which was consistent with previous results (Xing et al., 2022). These results showed that AaHATs may affect phytohormone responsiveness, adaptability to stress, and developmental growth.

Regulatory network mediated by *A. annua* HAT genes

It is important to study gene function to construct gene family interaction networks. Here, we constructed a regulatory network controlled by *A. annua* HAT genes with STRING. Among the 11 AaHATs, 9 were identified to interact with proteins with high confidence (score > 0.8). AaHAC, which has four groups, namely PWA88299.1, PWA53508.1, PWA88299.1, and PWA64079.1; AaHAG1, which includes PWA80289.1 and PWA77834.1; PWA89578.1 (HAF); PWA56962.1 (HAM); and PWA79021.1 (HAG3) constitute an independent regulatory network with 34 proteins involved in crosstalk (Supplementary Table S6).

In detail, we determined the PCC values corresponding to the A. annua transcriptome of dynamic organs in the network. In our analysis, the red rectangles represent AaHATs, and the red edges represent the PCC values of AaHATs and interaction scores > 0.5 (Supplementary Figure S3). Among the nine AaHATs, nine expressed transcripts had PCCs > 0.5 for PWA88299.1 (AaHAC), which was highly expressed in young flowers and young leaves; eight genes had PCC values greater than 0.5 for PWA53508.1 (AaHAC), which was most highly expressed in young/old leaves (Supplementary Figure S3). Five genes had PCC values > 0.5 for PWA64079.1 (AaHAC), which was preferentially expressed in young leaves, and 2 genes had PCCs > 0.5 for PWA88299.1 (AaHAC), which also exhibited high transcription in young leaves (Supplementary Figure S3). Four genes had PCC values greater than 0.5 for PWA77834.1 (AaHAG1), and these genes were highly expressed in young leaves (Supplementary Figure S2). The 3 genes had PCCs >0.5 for PWA80289.1 (AaHAG1), which was most highly expressed in old leaves, stems, and roots, and 2 genes had PCC values > 0.5 for PWA79021.1 (HAG3), which was preferentially expressed in seeds (Supplementary Figure S3). Four genes had PCC values > 0.5 for PWA89578.1 (AaHAF) and were highly expressed in the seeds (Supplementary Figure S3). The four genes had PCCs > 0.5 for PWA56962.1 (AaHAM) and were highly expressed in young leaves



and roots (Supplementary Figure S3). Interestingly, *PWA94397.1*, which is similar to the Arabidopsis and rice *ARBE* (abscisic acid responsive element) binding factors, was one of the genes expressed with *AaHAG1* (*PWA80289.1*), with a PCC greater than 0.5 (Supplementary Figure S3; Supplementary Table S6). Furthermore, the entire promoter of the predicted AaHATs contained ABRE *cis*-elements (Figure 5). Thus, we speculate that AaHAG1 may interact with other binding factors of the AaHAT gene, which indicates that HATs in *A. annua* may have self-regulating systems.

Roles of *AaHAT* genes in the response of *A. annua* to stress

HATs may be involved in plant responses to environmental stresses. In this study, we investigated the expression levels of *AaHATs* under three treatments, cold, NaCl, and ABA, via qRT-

PCR. We found that the expression of the AaHAT genes increased at most cold treatment time points. In addition, AaHACs were induced quickly with NaCl treatment and decreased after 5 hours of NaCl exposure in comparison with those in the control group (Figure 6). Interestingly, the expression of orthologs of these genes in rice increased after NaCl treatment, which implies the evolutionary conservation of HAT genes in the plant kingdom. Interestingly, the expression profiles of the AaHAC proteins exhibited obvious positive correlations with all PCCs > 0.6 in both our tested organs and stress treatments, suggesting functional redundancy of the AaHAC proteins in A. annua (Supplementary Figure S3). In addition, we also found that some associated genes in the artemisinin biosynthetic pathway transcriptionally activate under stress. In detail, in terms of cold stress, the expression levels of the four artemisinin biosynthetic genes were also significantly increased, such as AaADS, AaCYP71AV1, and AaDBR2 (Supplementary Figure S4A). As for NaCl treatment, the expression of AaADS and AaDBR2



were induced (Supplementary Figure S4B). After ABA application, we found that only AaCYP71AV1 was active (Supplementary Figure S4C). The results reinforced the assumption that the HAC proteins in monocot plants have shared ancestral genes, which may activate artemisinin biosynthesis related genes by ectopic acetylation under cold and NaCl treatment. However, it was not obvious that *AaHATs* were induced under ABA treatment, including the downstream genes (Figure 6). In summary, it was indicated various *AaHATs* had special roles under various environment stimuli, which may activate artemisinin biosynthesis associated genes to promote the plant-derived output.

Discussion

The artemisinin component from *A. annua*, an important traditional Chinese medicine, is the most effective drug against malaria (Rolta et al., 2021). The *HAT* family generally consists of complex groups and plays a very important regulatory role in numerous cellular processes (Kikuchi and Nakayama, 2008; Mai et al., 2009). Consequently, the activities of HAT proteins are associated with plant developmental growth and stress responses (Wang et al., 2014). Thus, the identification and characterization of *HAT* gene families have provided an opportunity to study functional epigenetic regulators responsive to environmental dynamics in medical plants. Herein, we characterized 11 *HATs* in the *A. annua* genome and investigated their phylogenetic relationships, protein structures, transcriptional patterns, *cis*-acting elements of promoters, subcellular localization prediction, and interactions. Furthermore, we identified the *AaHAGs* as *HAG1*

and *HAG3* according to the dynamics of their conserved domains, which evolutionarily lost the *HAG2* group (Figure 1). The protein properties of the AaHATs are highly similar to those of HATs from other plants (Pandey et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2012), which indicates that the functions of these AaHATs are evolutionarily conserved. Together, we found that HAT in *A. annua* genome has high conservatism of evolution and at the same time is rich in highly variable regions, and thus has become a comparatively ideal object of medical plants identification and systematics study.

Next, we constructed neighbor-joining trees to display the fulllength protein sequences of HATs in A. annua, A. thaliana, and O. sativa. As expected, the neighbor joining tree was divided into six clades (Figure 2). Regardless of the plant species, the HAC group possessed the largest group, with 5 AtHATs, 3 OsHATs, and 6 AaHATs (Figure 2). Moreover, since the structure of proteins impacts the function of genes, our analyzed data indicated that HATs from three plant species may perform homologous biological functions (Supplementary Figure S1). In Arabidopsis, three AtHAC genes play vital roles in the control of flower development by repressing the transcription of FLC (Deng et al., 2007). Thus, the results indicated that AaHAC, which is homologous to AtHACs, may therefore be involved in A. annua flowering (Figure 2). According to phylogenetic trees of their protein sequences, AaHAFs shared similar functional rules with AtHAF1 (Figure 2). AtHAF1 knockdown plants were able to adapt to plant transformation mediated by Agrobacterium (Crane and Gelvin, 2007). AtHAF2 modulates the transcription of several coldresponsive genes (Pavangadkar et al., 2010). The AaHAM proteins shared high similarity with HAM proteins from module plants. Given that AtHAM participates in the development of males



and females (Nelissen et al., 2005), it was hypothesized that the closest AaHAM orthologous genes may possess similar functions. Intriguingly, no AaHATs existed in the HAG2 group (Figure 2), which indicated that HAT in the A. annua genome has unique biological evolution compared with that of these module plants. In addition to HAG2, AaHAG1 and HAG3 share similarities with AtHAG1 and AtHAG3 (Figure 2). AtHAG1 plays vital roles in the developmental stages of cell differentiation. AaHAG1 (PWA77834.1) is highly expressed in flowers (Figure 4) and may have similar functional patterns to AtHAG1 (Figure 2), which indicates that AaHAG1 (PWA77834.1) may play a role in flower development. Additionally, most of the AaHATs were predicted to be localized in the cell nucleus with high reliability (Supplementary Table S4), which indicated that these AaHATs may modulate epigenetic marks in the cell nucleus and result in dynamic transcriptional activities. Similarly, AtHAG3 can also modulate the plant response to ABA (Chen et al., 2006), which is consistent with the finding of abscisic acid responsiveness (TCA-element) in the promoter of AaHAG3 (Figure 5). Overall, we found that HATs in the A. annua genome, which are highly conserved throughout evolution, have become comparatively ideal objects of medical plant identification and systematic study.

Increasing evidence for the dynamics among multiple-copy genes could be associated with transcriptional trends for multiple AaHAT gene sets. *PWA92522.1 (HAC)* was barely expressed in the

whole plant; however, the transcriptional level of PWA53508.1 (HAC) was high in the overall plantlet (Figure 4). According to the results, 11 AaHAT genes were distinctly expressed at different developmental stages in the tissues. In addition, a possible function was clearly observed in the transcriptional patterns. For instance, PWA69804.1 (HAC) was highly expressed in old leaves; in contrast, the mRNA of PWA92522.1 (HAC) was highly expressed in seeds (Figure 4), which agreed with previous results (Wang et al., 2014). Additionally, AtHAG1/GCN5 is a well-known histone acetyltransferase that modulates the development of the leaf and floral meristem (Servet et al., 2010). Among these genes, PWA77834.1 (HAG1) exhibited a similar pattern of high expression in flowers and leaves (Figure 4). In rice, the ADA2-GCN5 complex recruits WOX11, which controls the crown root meristem (Zhou et al., 2017). Similarly, PWA80289.1 (HAG1) was highly transcribed in roots and may play vital roles in root development (Figure 4).

The *cis*-acting elements are associated with a dynamic environment (Li et al., 2019). We found that 619 *cis*-elements were located in the promoters of 11 *AaHATs*. The elements were associated with stress-related, hormone-responsive, lightresponsive, developmental, promoter, site-binding-related, and other elements (Figure 5A). All 11 *AaHATs* contained ABREs, while P-box elements and TGA elements were identified in practically all *A. annua* HAT promoters (Figure 5). Notably, no

10.3389/fpls.2024.1389958

cytokinin-responsive elements were identified in these promoter regions (Figure 5), which was consistent with previous results (Xing et al., 2022). We also investigated the expression levels of these AaHATs under cold, NaCl, and ABA treatments (Figure 6). These results showed that AaHATs may affect phytohormone responsiveness, adaptability to environmental stress, and developmental growth. Interestingly, the transcriptional levels of four AaHACs (Supplementary Figure S3) had obvious positive correlations in the tissues, which indicated the functions of the four AaHACs in *A. annua*. In accordance with our assumption, the AaHACs in monocots were assumed to have the same ancestral genes.

Notably, these adversity stresses could enhance the production of artemisinin to different extents (Paul and Shakya, 2013; Ji et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017). Research indicated that artemisinin biosynthesis could be positively promoted by various environmental factors and was tightly regulated by spatial and temporal transcripts in the biosynthetic pathway. This regulation was genetically controlled by different types of transcriptional factors, such as AabHLH and AaERF (Paul and Shakya, 2013; Ji et al., 2014). In addition to transcriptional factors, epigenetic regulation was also considered an important molecular mechanism for natural plant-derived products under environmental stresses (Abdulraheem et al., 2024). Furthermore, our results indicated those AaHAT genes and some key genes in the artemisinin biosynthetic pathway were active under cold and NaCl stress (Figure 6; Supplementary Figure S4). It was hypothesized that histone acetyltransferases may interact with transcription factor proteins to regulate downstream genes. For example, the transcriptional coactivator AtADA2b, which is the homolog of the yeast ADA2 protein, has been demonstrated to physically interact with AtGCN5 and the cold-induced transcription factor AtCBF1, a member of the C-repeat/DRE-binding factors (Mao et al., 2006). Therefore, how epiregulatory factors and transcription factors promote the production of medicinal ingredients when medicinal plants respond to environmental stress is a project worthy of in-depth study.

Conclusion

A. annua, which is considered to be the only source of artemisinin, the most effective therapeutic drug for malaria, is sensitive to the surrounding growing habitat. HAT activity controls chromatin modification and mRNA transcription, resulting in the regulation of plant adaptation to dynamic environments. Thus, a systematic study of *AaHATs* during *A. annua* development provides insight into the relationship between HATs and environmental adaptation in medicinal plants. Here, we examined the whole genome of *A. annua*, identified and characterized the members of the *AaHAT* gene family, and constructed phylogenetic trees of the members of the AaHAT gene

family in comparison with those of model plants. We analyzed the resulting gene structures, expression profiles, *cis*-acting elements of promoters, subcellular localization predictions, and interactions. Overall, a set of AaHAT genes that have potential roles in the response to environmental changes was identified in this study.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

YG: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft. YY: Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. FC: Formal analysis, Software, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. YL: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the Medical and Health Research Project of Yichang city, China (Grant No. A22–2-057).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1389958/ full#supplementary-material Abdulraheem, M. I., Xiong, Y., Moshood, A. Y., Cadenas-Pliego, G., Zhang, H., and Hu, J. (2024). Mechanisms of plant epigenetic regulation in response to plant stress: recent discoveries and implications. *Plants* 13, 2. doi: 10.3390/plants13020163

Aiese Cigliano, R., Sanseverino, W., Cremona, G., Ercolano, M. R., Conicella, C., and Consiglio, F. M. (2013). Genome-wide analysis of histone modifiers in tomato: gaining an insight into their developmental roles. *BMC Genomics* 14, 57. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-57

Aquea, F., Timmermann, T., and Arce-Johnson, P. (2010). Analysis of histone acetyltransferase and deacetylase families of Vitis vinifera. *Plant Physiol. Biochem* 48, 194–199. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2009.12.009

Bertrand, C., Bergounioux, C., Domenichini, S., Delarue, M., and Zhou, D.-X. (2003). Arabidopsis histone acetyltransferase AtGCN5 regulates the floral meristem activity through the WUSCHEL/AGAMOUS pathway. *J. Biol. Chem* 278, 28246–2851. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M302787200

Cai, Y., Xu, M., Liu, J., Zeng, H., Song, J., Sun, B., et al. (2022). Genome-wide analysis of histone acetyltransferase and histone deacetylase families and their expression in fruit development and ripening stage of pepper (Capsicum annuum). *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 971230. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.971230

Chen, X., Ding, A. B., and Zhong, X. (2020). Functions and mechanisms of plant histone deacetylases. Sci. China Life Sci 63, 206-216. doi: 10.1007/s11427-019-1587-x

Chen, Z. J., and Tian, L. (2007). Roles of dynamic and reversible histone acetylation in plant development and polyploidy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1769, 295–307. doi: 10.1016/j.bbaexp.2007.04.007

Chen, Z., Zhang, H., Jablonowski, D., Zhou, X., Ren, X., Hong, X., et al. (2006). Mutations in ABO1/ELO2, a subunit of holo-Elongator, increase abscisic acid sensitivity and drought tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 26, 6902– 6912. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00433-06

Crane, Y. M., and Gelvin, S. B. (2007). RNAi-mediated gene silencing reveals involvement of Arabidopsis chromatin-related genes in Agrobacterium-mediated root transformation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 15156–15161. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706986104

Deng, W., Liu, C., Pei, Y., Deng, X., Niu, L., and Cao, X. (2007). Involvement of the histone acetyltransferase AtHAC1 in the regulation of flowering time via repression of FLOWERING LOCUS C in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 143, 1660–1668. doi: 10.1104/ pp.107.095521

Duffy, P. E., and Mutabingwa, T. K. (2006). Artemisinin combination therapies. *Lancet* 367, 2037–2039. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68900-9

Eberharter, A., and Becker, P. B. (2002). Histone acetylation: a switch between repressive and permissive chromatin. Second in review series on chromatin dynamics. *EMBO Rep.* 3, 224–229. doi: 10.1093/embo-reports/kvf053

Engstrom, E. M., Andersen, C. M., Gumulak-Smith, J., Hu, J., Orlova, E., Sozzani, R., et al. (2011). Arabidopsis homologs of the petunia hairy meristem gene are required for maintenance of shoot and root indeterminacy. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 735–750. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.168757

Gao, S., Li, L., Han, X., Liu, T., Jin, P., Cai, L., et al. (2021). Genome-wide identification of the histone acetyltransferase gene family in Triticum aestivum. *BMC Genomics* 22, 49. doi: 10.1186/s12864-020-07348-6

Gong, F., and Miller, K. M. (2013). Mammalian DNA repair: HATs and HDACs make their mark through histone acetylation. *Mutat. Research/Fundamental. Mol. Mech. Mutagene.* 750, 23–30. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2013.07.002

Huang, H., Sabari, B. R., Garcia, B. A., Allis, C. D., and Zhao, Y. (2014). SnapShot: histone modifications. *Cell* 159, 458-458.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.037

Ji, Y., Xiao, J., Shen, Y., Ma, D., Li, Z., Pu, G., et al. (2014). Cloning and Characterization of AabHLH1, a bHLH Transcription Factor that Positively Regulates Artemisinin Biosynthesis in Artemisia annua. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 55, 1592– 1604. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcu090

Kikuchi, H., and Nakayama, T. (2008). GCN5 and BCR signalling collaborate to induce pre-mature B cell apoptosis through depletion of ICAD and IAP2 and activation of caspase activities. *Gene* 419, 48–55. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2008.04.014

Kornet, N., and Scheres, B. (2009). Members of the GCN5 histone acetyltransferase complex regulate PLETHORA-mediated root stem cell niche maintenance and transit amplifying cell proliferation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.* 21, 1070–1079. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.065300

Li, Z., Li, G., Cai, M., Priyadarshani, S. V. G. N., Aslam, M., Zhou, Q., et al. (2019). AcYABBY4Genome-wide analysis of the YABBY transcription factor family in pineapple and functional identification of involvement in salt stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 5863. doi: 10.3390/ijms20235863

Liu, X., Luo, M., Zhang, W., Zhao, J., Zhang, J., Wu, K., et al. (2012). Histone acetyltransferases in rice (Oryza sativaL.): phylogenetic analysis, subcellular localization and expression. *BMC Plant Biol.* 12, 145. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-12-145

Liu, W., Wang, H., Chen, Y., Zhu, S., Chen, M., Lan, X., et al. (2017). Cold stress improves the production of artemisinin depending on the increase in endogenous jasmonate. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* 64, 305–314. doi: 10.1002/bab.1493

Liu, X., Yang, S., Yu, C. W., Chen, C. Y., and Wu, K. (2016). Histone acetylation and plant development. *Enzymes.* 40, 173–199. doi: 10.1016/bs.enz.2016.08.001

Lu, L., Chen, X., Sanders, D., Qian, S., and Zhong, X. (2015). High-resolution mapping of H4K16 and H3K23 acetylation reveals conserved and unique distribution patterns in Arabidopsis and rice. *Epigenetics.* 10, 1044–1053. doi: 10.1080/15592294.2015.1104446

Mai, A., Rotili, D., Tarantino, D., Nebbioso, A., Castellano, S., Sbardella, G., et al. (2009). Identification of 4-hydroxyquinolines inhibitors of p300/CBP histone acetyltransferases. *Bioorganic. Med. Chem. Lett.* 19, 1132–1135. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.12.097

Mao, Y., Pavangadkar, K. A., Thomashow, M. F., and Triezenberg, S. J. (2006). Physical and functional interactions of Arabidopsis ADA2 transcriptional coactivator proteins with the acetyltransferase GCN5 and with the cold-induced transcription factor CBF1. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA).* - *Gene Structure. Expression* 1759, 69–79. doi: 10.1016/j.bbaexp.2006.02.006

Nelissen, H., Fleury, D., Bruno, L., Robles, P., De Veylder, L., Traas, J., et al. (2005). The elongata mutants identify a functional Elongator complex in plants with a role in cell proliferation during organ growth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 7754–7759. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0502600102

Pandey, R., Müller, A., Napoli, C. A., Selinger, D. A., Pikaard, C. S., Richards, E. J., et al. (2002). Analysis of histone acetyltransferase and histone deacetylase families of Arabidopsis thaliana suggests functional diversification of chromatin modification among multicellular eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 5036–5055. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkf660

Papaefthimiou, D., Likotrafiti, E., Kapazoglou, A., Bladenopoulos, K., and Tsaftaris, A. (2010). Epigenetic chromatin modifiers in barley: III. Isolation and characterization of the barley GNAT-MYST family of histone acetyltransferases and responses to exogenous ABA. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 98-107. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.01.002

Paul, S., and Shakya, K. (2013). Arsenic, chromium and NaCl induced artemisinin biosynthesis in Artemisia annua L.: A valuable antimalarial plant. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 98, 59–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.09.025

Pavangadkar, K., Thomashow, M. F., and Triezenberg, S. J. (2010). Histone dynamics and roles of histone acetyltransferases during cold-induced gene regulation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 74, 183-200. doi: 10.1007/s11103-010-9665-9

Peng, M., Ying, P., Liu, X., Li, C., Xia, R., Li, J., et al. (2017). Genome-wide identification of histone modifiers and their expression patterns during fruit abscission in litchi. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 639. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00639

Rolta, R., Sharma, A., Sourirajan, A., Mallikarjunan, P. K., and Dev, K. (2021). Combination between antibacterial and antifungal antibiotics with phytocompounds of Artemisia annua L: A strategy to control drug resistance pathogens. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 266, 113420. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2020.113420

Servet, C., Conde e Silva, N., and Zhou, D.-X. (2010). Histone acetyltransferase AtGCN5/HAG1 is a versatile regulator of developmental and inducible gene expression in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant.* 3, 670–677. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssq018

Shen, Y., Wei, W., and Zhou, D.-X. (2015). Histone acetylation enzymes coordinate metabolism and gene expression. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 614-621. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2015.07.005

Shen, Q., Zhang, L., Liao, Z., Wang, S., Yan, T., Shi, P., et al. (2018). The Genome of Artemisia annua Provides Insight into the Evolution of Asteraceae Family and Artemisinin Biosynthesis. *Mol. Plant.* 11, 776–788. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2018.03.015

Shu, B., Xie, Y., Zhang, F., Zhang, D., Liu, C., Wu, Q., et al. (2021). Genome-wide identification of citrus histone acetyltransferase and deacetylase families and their expression in response to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and drought. *J. Plant Interact.* 16, 367–376. doi: 10.1080/17429145.2021.1934131

Vlachonasios, K. E., Thomashow, M. F., and Triezenberg, S. J. (2003). Disruption mutations of ADA2b and GCN5 transcriptional adaptor genes dramatically affect Arabidopsis growth, development, and gene expression. *Plant Cell.* 15, 626–638. doi: 10.1105/tpc.007922

Wang, Z., Cao, H., Chen, F., and Liu, Y. (2014). The roles of histone acetylation in seed performance and plant development. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 84, 125–133. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.09.010

Xiao, J., Zhang, H., Xing, L., Xu, S., Liu, H., Chong, K., et al. (2013). Requirement of histone acetyltransferases HAM1 and HAM2 for epigenetic modification of FLC in regulating flowering in Arabidopsis. *J. Plant Physiol.* 170, 444–451. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2012.11.007

Xing, G., Jin, M., Qu, R., Zhang, J., Han, Y., Han, Y., et al. (2022). Genome-wide investigation of histone acetyltransferase gene family and its responses to biotic and abiotic stress in foxtail millet (Setaria italica [L.] P. Beauv). *BMC Plant Biol.* 22, 292. doi: 10.1186/s12870-022-03676-9

Yolcu, S., Skorupa, M., Uras, M. E., Mazur, J., and Ozyiğit, I. I. (2024). Genome-wide identification, phylogenetic classification of histone acetyltransferase genes, and their expression analysis in sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) under salt stress. *Planta* 259, 85. doi: 10.1007/s00425-024-04361-x

Zhou, S., Jiang, W., Long, F., Cheng, S., Yang, W., Zhao, Y., et al. (2017). Rice homeodomain protein WOX11 recruits a histone acetyltransferase complex to establish programs of cell proliferation of crown root meristem. *Plant Cell.* 29, 1088-1104. doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00908

Zhou, C., Yuan, Z., Ma, X., Yang, H., Wang, P., Zheng, L., et al. (2020). Accessible chromatin regions and their functional interrelations with gene transcription and epigenetic modifications in sorghum genome. *Plant Commun.* 2, 100140–100140. doi: 10.1016/j.xplc.2020.100140

Zhou, C., Zhou, H., Ma, X., Yang, H., Wang, P., Wang, G., et al. (2021). Genomewide identification and characterization of main histone modifications in sorghum decipher regulatory mechanisms involved by mRNA and long noncoding RNA genes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 69, 2337–2347. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.0c07035