Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ewa M. Kalemba, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland

REVIEWED BY Raquel Iglesias Fernández, Polytechnic University of Madrid, Spain Carol Baskin, University of Kentucky, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Jake O. Chandler jake.chandler@rhul.ac.uk; j.o.chandler@lancaster.ac.uk Gerhard Leubner-Metzger Gerhard.Leubner@rhul.ac.uk

RECEIVED 19 December 2023 ACCEPTED 19 February 2024 PUBLISHED 08 March 2024

CITATION

Arshad W, Steinbrecher T, Wilhelmsson PKI, Fernandez-Pozo N, Pérez M, Mérai Z, Rensing SA, Chandler JO and Leubner-Metzger G (2024) Aethionema arabicum dimorphic seed trait resetting during transition to seedlings. Front. Plant Sci. 15:1358312. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Arshad, Steinbrecher, Wilhelmsson, Fernandez-Pozo, Pérez, Mérai, Rensing, Chandler and Leubner-Metzger. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Aethionema arabicum](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312/full) dimorphic [seed trait resetting during](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312/full) [transition to seedlings](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312/full)

Waheed Arshad \mathbf{D}^1 , Tina Steinbrecher \mathbf{D}^1 , Per K.I. Wilhelmsson \mathbf{D}^2 , Noe Fernandez-Pozo $\mathbf{D}^{2,3}$, Marta Pérez \mathbf{D}^1 , Zsuzsanna Mérai \mathbf{D}^4 , Stefan A. Rensing $\mathbf{D}^{2,5,6}$, Jake O. Chandler \mathbf{D}^{1*} and Gerhard Leubner-Metzger $\mathbf{D}^{1,7*}$

1 Seed Biology and Technology Group, Department of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, United Kingdom, 2Plant Cell Biology, Faculty of Biology, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany, 3Department Plant Breeding and Physiology, Institute for Mediterranean and Subtropical Horticulture "La Mayora" (IHSM-CSIC-UMA), Málaga, Spain, ⁴Gregor Mendel Institute of Molecular Plant Biology, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna Biocenter (VBC), Vienna, Austria, ⁵Centre for Biological Signalling Studies (BIOSS), University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ⁶Faculty of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, 7Laboratory of Growth Regulators, Faculty of Science, Palacký University and Institute of Experimental Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences, Olomouc, Czechia

The transition from germinating seeds to emerging seedlings is one of the most vulnerable plant life cycle stages. Heteromorphic diaspores (seed and fruit dispersal units) are an adaptive bet-hedging strategy to cope with spatiotemporally variable environments. While the roles and mechanisms of seedling traits have been studied in monomorphic species, which produce one type of diaspore, very little is known about seedlings in heteromorphic species. Using the dimorphic diaspore model Aethionema arabicum (Brassicaceae), we identified contrasting mechanisms in the germination responses to different temperatures of the mucilaginous seeds $(M^+$ seed morphs), the dispersed indehiscent fruits (IND fruit morphs), and the bare non-mucilaginous M[−] seeds obtained from IND fruits by pericarp (fruit coat) removal. What follows the completion of germination is the pre-emergence seedling growth phase, which we investigated by comparative growth assays of early seedlings derived from the M⁺ seeds, bare M[−] seeds, and IND fruits. The dimorphic seedlings derived from M⁺ and M⁻ seeds did not differ in their responses to ambient temperature and water potential. The phenotype of seedlings derived from IND fruits differed in that they had bent hypocotyls and their shoot and root growth was slower, but the biomechanical hypocotyl properties of 15-day-old seedlings did not differ between seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ seeds, M[−] seeds, or IND fruits. Comparison of the transcriptomes of the natural dimorphic diaspores, $M⁺$ seeds and IND fruits, identified 2,682 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) during late germination. During the subsequent 3 days of seedling preemergence growth, the number of DEGs was reduced 10-fold to 277 root DEGs and 16-fold to 164 shoot DEGs. Among the DEGs in early seedlings were hormonal regulators, in particular for auxin, ethylene, and gibberellins. Furthermore, DEGs were identified for water and ion transporters, nitrate transporter and assimilation enzymes, and cell wall remodeling protein genes encoding enzymes targeting xyloglucan and pectin. We conclude that the

transcriptomes of seedlings derived from the dimorphic diaspores, M⁺ seeds and IND fruits, undergo transcriptional resetting during the post-germination preemergence growth transition phase from germinated diaspores to growing seedlings.

KEYWORDS

fruit and seed heteromorphism, bet-hedging strategy, diaspore dimorphism, seed seedling transition, transcriptome resetting, seedling stress resilience, pre-emergence growth, pericarp-imposed dormancy

1 Introduction

The transition from germinating seeds to emerging seedlings is one of the most vulnerable plant life cycle stages, which depends on seed and seedling phenotypic plasticity and complex interactions with environmental cues ([Fenner, 1987](#page-24-0); [Walck et al., 2011;](#page-26-0) [Gardarin](#page-24-0) [et al., 2016\)](#page-24-0). Seed germination and fruit germination depend on basic requirements for water, oxygen, and an appropriate temperature and are generally considered to be completed by radicle protrusion (visible germination). Germination is further spread over time by dormancy mechanisms, which block germination under favorable conditions so that germination occurs when conditions for establishing a new plant generation are likely to be suitable ([Finch-](#page-24-0)[Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006;](#page-24-0) [Baskin and Baskin, 2014](#page-24-0); [Finch-](#page-24-0)[Savage and Footitt, 2017](#page-24-0)). Successful seedling establishment and spreading seedling emergence over time, therefore, depend primarily on germination timing; in addition, post-germination seedling traits are of major importance. What follows the completion of germination is the pre-emergence seedling growth phase, which may be prone to increasing post-germination stress in the soil environment, and consequently, seeds/seedlings are often lost and fail to establish during this stage [\(Moles and Westoby, 2006](#page-25-0); [Finch-Savage and](#page-24-0) [Bassel, 2016](#page-24-0); [Gardarin et al., 2016](#page-24-0)). Pre-emergence seedling growth is heterotrophic growth in which the seeds' storage reserves are used to establish a root and to fuel shoot elongation until the seedling emerges from the soil and switches to greening and self-nourishing autotrophic growth ([Fenner, 1987](#page-24-0); [Finch-Savage and Bassel, 2016;](#page-24-0) [Ha et al., 2017;](#page-24-0) [Smolikova et al., 2022\)](#page-26-0). While the roles and mechanisms of seedling traits have been studied in monomorphic species, which produce one type of diaspore (dispersed seeds or fruits), very little is known about seedlings in heteromorphic species.

Diaspore (seed/fruit) heteromorphism is the production by an individual plant of two (dimorphism) or more distinct kinds of seeds and/or fruits that differ in morphological (e.g., mass, shape, and color), dispersal ability (e.g., dormancy and mode of dispersal), and other diaspore properties ([Imbert, 2002](#page-25-0); [Baskin and Baskin, 2014](#page-24-0); [Baskin](#page-24-0) [et al., 2014\)](#page-24-0). Heteromorphic diaspore traits have been proposed to be an adaptive bet-hedging strategy to cope with spatiotemporally variable environments. Distinct dormancy breaking requirements of the morphs cause differences in germination timing, and consequently,

seedling emergence is spread over time and space ([Maun and Payne,](#page-25-0) [1989;](#page-25-0) [Lu et al., 2017a](#page-25-0); b; [Arshad et al., 2019](#page-23-0); [Lu et al., 2020](#page-25-0)). For example, comparison of seedlings derived from the dimorphic seeds of the cold desert halophyte Suaeda corniculata demonstrated that seedlings from black seeds emerged in July and August, and those from brown seeds emerged in May, and these dimorphic seedlings also differed in size and root/shoot ratio ([Cao et al., 2012;](#page-24-0) [Yang et al., 2015\)](#page-26-0). Seedlings derived from dimorphic seeds of Atriplex centralasiatica and other Suaeda species differed in salinity tolerance [\(Xu et al., 2011;](#page-26-0) [Zhang et al., 2021](#page-26-0); [Cao et al., 2022](#page-24-0); [Song et al., 2023\)](#page-26-0). Whether seedlings derived from dimorphic seeds also differ in responsiveness to other abiotic stress factors such as heat or drought has not been investigated.

Here, we exploit the diaspore dimorphism of Aethionema arabicum [\(Figure 1\)](#page-2-0), an annual member of the earliest diverging sister tribe within the Brassicaceae, in which seed and fruit dimorphism was associated with a switch to an annual life history ([Lenser et al., 2016](#page-25-0); [Mohammadin et al., 2017;](#page-25-0) [Chandler et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0). Ae. arabicum is adapted to arid and semiarid environments, its lifehistory strategy appears to be a blend of bet-hedging and phenotypic plasticity [\(Bhattacharya et al., 2019b](#page-24-0)), and it exhibits true seed and fruit dimorphism with no intermediate morphs ([Lenser et al., 2016\)](#page-25-0). Two distinct fruit types are produced on the same infructescence: dehiscent (DEH) fruits, with four to six mucilaginous (M⁺) seeds, and indehiscent (IND) fruits, each containing a single non-mucilaginous (M⁻) seed. Upon maturity, DEH fruits shatter, releasing the M⁺ seeds, while the dry IND fruits are dispersed in their entirety by abscission. Two very contrasting biophysical and ecophysiological dispersal mechanisms of the Ae. arabicum dimorphic diaspores were revealed ([Arshad et al., 2019](#page-23-0)). Dehiscence of large fruits leads to the release of $M⁺$ seed diaspores, which adhere to substrata via seed coat mucilage, thereby preventing dispersal (anti-telechory). IND fruit diaspores (containing non-mucilaginous seeds) disperse by wind or water currents, promoting dispersal (telechory) over a longer range. The pericarp properties confer enhanced dispersal ability and degree of dormancy to the IND fruit morph to support telechory, while the M+ seed morph supports anti-telechory. The germination of M⁺ seeds of some Ae. arabicum accessions is inhibited by light, while other Ae. arabicum accessions, including the widely used TUR (Turkey) accession, germinate equally well in continuous light and darkness ([Merai et al., 2019](#page-25-0)). Dimorphic fruits and seeds of the Ae. arabicum

FIGURE 1

Annual life cycle of the dimorphic diaspore model Aethionema arabicum. (A) Dimorphic fruit and seed development and dispersal of the M⁺ seed and IND fruit diaspores. (B) Germination of the M+ seed diaspore. (C) Germination of the IND fruit diaspore. (D) Seedling growth of M+ and M[−] seedlings derived from the M⁺ and M[−] seeds, respectively. Resetting of the dimorphism during pre-emergence growth leads to adult plants that are indistinguishable regarding their M+ or IND origin. These plants restart producing dimorphic diaspores during reproduction. Rearranged and redrawn using parts from [Arshad et al. \(2019\)](#page-23-0).

TUR accession differ in their molecular mechanisms throughout their development on the mother plant, in the mature dry state upon dispersal, and in dormancy and germination properties during imbibition ([Lenser et al., 2018;](#page-25-0) [Arshad et al., 2019](#page-23-0); [Wilhelmsson](#page-26-0) [et al., 2019](#page-26-0); [Nichols et al., 2020;](#page-25-0) [Arshad et al., 2021](#page-23-0); [Steinbrecher and](#page-26-0) [Leubner-Metzger, 2022](#page-26-0); [Chandler et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0). We demonstrate here that the seedlings of the Ae. arabicum TUR accession derived from the dimorphic diaspores (M^+ seeds and IND fruits) differ during their preemergence growth and undergo resetting of their transcriptomes during the transition from germinated diaspores to early seedlings.

2 Results

2.1 Resetting of distinct Ae. arabicum dimorphic diaspore responses to abiotic stresses during the seed-to-seedling transition phase

Figure 1 shows the life cycle of the annual Ae. arabicum, which is characterized by the production and dispersal of dimorphic diaspores. The M⁺ seed diaspore is dispersed by dehiscence (pod

shatter), and upon imbibition, it produces a mucilaginous layer during germination (Figure 1B). The IND fruit diaspore is dispersed by abscission and constitutes an indehiscent fruit in which the single M[−] seed is covered by the pericarp (fruit coat), which confers coat dormancy and prevents or delays germination (Figure 1C). Earlier work with Ae. arabicum TUR ([Chandler et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0) revealed the molecular and morphological mechanisms underpinning the distinct dormancy and germination responses of the dimorphic diaspores to different imbibition temperatures. A comparison of the maximal germination percentages (G_{max}) and the germination rates (speed) of germination $(GR_{50}; i.e.,$ the inverse of the time of the diaspore population to reach 50% radicle protrusion) identified 14°C as the optimal temperature for the highest germination speed of the M⁺ seed morphs, the bare M[−] seeds (manually extracted from IND fruits by pericarp removal), and the IND fruit morphs ([Supplementary Figure 1\)](#page-23-0). The IND fruit morph, however, exhibited a degree of pericarp-imposed dormancy across the entire temperature window. Thus, our initial physiological experiments investigating the effects of abiotic stress factors on seedling growth focused on comparing M^+ and $M^$ seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ and bare M[−] seeds, respectively. These experiments, involving the removal of the Arshad et al. [10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312)

pericarp to obtain exposed M[−] seeds, align with dimorphic systems (see the Introduction) characterized by true seeds of distinct colors as diaspores. In such systems, the resulting seedlings exhibit differential responses to salinity, with the unique seedling reactions having already been established during dimorphic seed development [\(Xu et al., 2011;](#page-26-0) [Zhang et al., 2021](#page-26-0); [Cao et al., 2022;](#page-24-0) [Song et al., 2023\)](#page-26-0). In our subsequent comparative biomechanical and transcriptome analyses with Ae. arabicum TUR, we compared the seed–seedling transition phase and early growth of seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ seeds, bare M[−] seeds, and IND fruits. Adult plants grown from M⁺ and M[−] seedlings are indistinguishable from each other and produce dimorphic diaspores ([Figure 1\)](#page-2-0).

To study the effects of thermal stress on M^+ and M^- seedling growth independently from the temperature effects on dimorphic diaspore germination [\(Supplementary Figure 1](#page-23-0)), M^+ and M^- seeds were imbibed at an optimal germination temperature, and seeds that had just completed germination (1-mm radicle protrusion visible) were transferred to agar plates for conducting the seedling growth assay ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)). M^+ and M^- seedlings grown on vertical agar plates at 14°C, 20°C, 24°C, 30°C, and 35°C were compared for their root and shoot lengths at the times indicated [in "hat" (hours after transfer)], and seedling growth rates were calculated. Though the total seedling length differed significantly in several cases between the morphs, the difference was very small, and there was no overall morph-specific physiological response. Similarly, seedling growth rates did not differ between the M⁺ and M⁻ morphs ([Figure 2A](#page-4-0)). The fastest seedling growth rate was observed at 72 hat, and 30°C was identified as the temperature for fastest growth leading to the longest seedlings at 240 hat ([Figure 2B](#page-4-0); [Supplementary Figure 2](#page-23-0)). Fresh and dry weights of separated root and shoot tissue at the end of the experiment (10 days) revealed a strong temperature response ($p < 0.001$), with the highest masses observed at 30°C ([Supplementary Figure 2](#page-23-0)). Together with seedling lengths, growth rates, and fresh weights, the most optimal seedling growth condition considered was thus 30°C, likely corresponding with the maximum climatic temperatures of sites in Turkey from which Ae. arabicum TUR was collected [\(Arshad et al., 2019](#page-23-0)). At 35°C, there were slight indications that M^+ seedlings had greater vigor, with a higher mean shoot fresh and dry weight than M[−] seedlings ([Supplementary Figure 2](#page-23-0)). Temperature, therefore, had a profound effect on M+ and M[−] seedling growth, in particular on post-germination pre-emergence growth until 72 hat [\(Figure 2\)](#page-4-0).

To study the effect of osmotic stress on the growth of Ae. arabicum M^+ and M^- seedlings, their growth was analyzed at lowered water potentials using high-molecular-weight polyethylene glycol (PEG). After more than 3 weeks of vertical growth, seedling morphs did not differ in their total length and growth rates under three different concentrations of PEG ([Supplementary Figure 3](#page-23-0)). Taken together, no differences in the physiological responses of M⁺ and M[−] seedlings derived from M⁺ seeds and bare M[−] seeds to temperature and reduced water potential were identified. This suggests that during the seed–seedling transition, the observed dimorphic diaspore trait differences observed in abiotic stress responses [\(Lenser et al., 2016,](#page-25-0) [2018;](#page-25-0) [Arshad et al., 2019](#page-23-0); [Wilhelmsson et al., 2019](#page-26-0); [Bhattacharya et al.,](#page-24-0) [2019a](#page-24-0), [b](#page-24-0); [Arshad et al., 2020](#page-23-0), [2021](#page-23-0); [Fernandez-Pozo et al., 2021;](#page-24-0) [Chandler et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0) may be reset to a large extent. To test this hypothesis, we conducted transcriptome analysis.

2.2 Comparative RNA-seq analysis of late germination and post-germination preemergence seedling growth reveals gradual resetting of transcriptomes

[Figure 3A](#page-5-0) depicts the experimental design for the RNA-seq analysis in which we compared the transcriptomes during the late germination phase with those during early seedling growth. Samples were collected from imbibed Ae. arabicum M⁺ seeds, M[−] seeds, and IND fruits at $T_{1\%}$, i.e., the time for the onset of the completion of germination of a seed population, and at $T_{100\%}$, i.e., the time when the entire population had completed germination by visible radicle protrusion ([Figure 3A\)](#page-5-0). It is known from previous work [\(Wilhelmsson et al., 2019](#page-26-0); [Arshad et al., 2021](#page-23-0); [Chandler et al.,](#page-24-0) [2024](#page-24-0)) that the pericarp of imbibed IND fruits is dead tissue that does not contain any RNA and that dry seed and germination transcriptomes until $T_{1%}$ differ considerably between the dimorphic diaspores. The physiological sampling times for $T_{1%}$ and $T_{100%}$ of M+ seeds, bare M[−] seeds, and IND fruits are indicated in [Figure 3A.](#page-5-0) Diaspores that had just completed germination (1-mm radicle protrusion) were transferred to agar plates at $T_{100\%}$ (0 hat) for conducting the seedling growth assay. Root and shoot tissues were harvested from seedling samples at key physiological stages (72 hat and 240 hat) during early seedling growth ([Figure 3\)](#page-5-0).

The 72-hat pre-emergence growth samples correspond to the maximal growth rate, and 72 hat was associated with the onset of cotyledon greening by chlorophyll accumulation [\(Figure 3B\)](#page-5-0). Between 6 and 10 days, the growth rates of M^+ and M^- seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ seeds and bare M[−] seeds, respectively, remained roughly equal. M⁺ and M[−] seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ seeds and bare M[−] seeds had straight hypocotyls. In contrast to this, seedlings derived from IND fruits often exhibited a bent lower hypocotyl connected with an overall slower shoot and root growth [\(Figure 4A](#page-6-0)). To investigate if morph caused a longlasting effect on the biomechanical properties of the hypocotyls, we conducted comparative hypocotyl tensile tests of 15-day-old seedlings ([Figure 4B\)](#page-6-0). No significant differences in the hypocotyl breaking force were evident between the seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ seeds, bare M[−] seeds, and IND fruits ([Figure 4C\)](#page-6-0). The observed difference in hypocotyl shape (bent versus straight) and the slower shoot and root growth of IND fruit-derived seedlings, therefore, did not affect the hypocotyl biomechanical properties at this stage of seedling growth.

To provide insights into the association between RNA-seq samples, datasets were visualized using principal component analysis (PCA), based on the 500 genes with the highest variance. Replicate RNA-seq samples clustered tightly by diaspore and by organ (root and shoot) type [\(Figure 5A\)](#page-7-0). As expected, three distinct clusters were separated primarily by the derivation of the samples from seed, root, or shoot tissue based on the first two components, explaining 48% and 44% of the variability. In this combined analysis

The effect of a range of constant temperatures on the growth of Aethionema arabicum M⁺ and M⁻ seedlings. (A) M⁺ and M⁻ seedlings were grown on vertical agar plates at constant temperatures as indicated. Seedling growth was scored over time starting at 0 hat (hours after transfer). The mean \pm SEM (N = 3 plates, each with seven seedlings) of seedling growth rates over time and seedling lengths at 72 and 240 hat are presented; for further details, see Supplementary Figure 2. ANOVA of growth rates revealed that morph had no effect overall at 14°C (p = 0.114), 24°C (p = 0.089), 30°C (p = 0.959), or 35°C (p = 0.217), while at 20°C (p = 0.027), M+ seedlings grew at a faster rate than M[−] seedlings. Statistical analysis (unpaired t-test) of day 10 M+ and M[−] seedlings demonstrated that the slightly different lengths between M+ and M[−] seedlings were significant (*) at 14°C (M[−] seedlings slightly longer, p = 0.012), 20°C (M[−] seedlings slightly shorter, p = 0.049), and 24°C (M⁻ seedlings slightly shorter, p = 0.040), while no significant length difference was obtained at 30°C and 35° C. (B) Temperature dependence of seedling growth rate and seedling length at 72 and 240 hat. The optimal seedling growth temperature (30°C) is indicated. ANOVA of 72 and 240-hat seedling growth rates and seedling length across the entire temperature range revealed no significant differences between the morphs (M⁺ versus M¬). (C) M⁺ and M[−] seedlings were grown on vertical agar plates in continuous white light (170 µmol·m^{−2}·s^{−1}). Seedling growth assays were conducted with seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ and M[−] seeds, which were selected for transfer to agar plates containing media based on 1-mm protrusion of the radicle (0 hat).

([Figure 5A\)](#page-7-0), IND samples at $T_{100\%}$ (germinated diaspores) remain distinct from all other tissues and time points. Separation of samples involved in key processes of germination (T_{1%} and T_{100%}), root growth (72 and 240 hat), and shoot growth (72 and 240 hat) revealed comparative transcriptome profiles between the morphs in greater detail [\(Figure 5](#page-7-0)). Clear differences were observed with IND samples, such that both $T_{1%}$ and $T_{100%}$ samples clustered separately from M^{+} and M[−] seed samples.M+ and M[−] seed samples, however, showed tight correlations throughout the course of germination [\(Figure 5B\)](#page-7-0). As

seedlings, differences between morphs appear to be smaller. M⁺ and M[−] samples clustered together during the two time points during root ([Figure 5C](#page-7-0)) and shoot [\(Figure 5D](#page-7-0)) growth. IND root samples remain distinct from M+ and M[−] samples. However, transcriptional profiles of IND shoot tissue suggest that while samples at 72 hat remain as a separate cluster, by 240 hat, there is a tendency toward greater similarity of M^+ and M^- samples.

Cleaned RNA-seq reads mapped to the Ae. arabicum genome were obtained for 23,594 genes [\(Supplementary Dataset 1](#page-23-0)). To

make the transcript abundance data easily and publicly accessible, a gene expression atlas was generated, which was implemented in the Ae. arabicum genome database (DB) ([Fernandez-Pozo et al., 2021\)](#page-24-0) at [https://plantcode.cup.uni-freiburg.de/aetar_db/index.php.](https://plantcode.cup.uni-freiburg.de/aetar_db/index.php) The Ae. arabicum gene expression atlas includes the transcriptome results of this work and work published earlier [\(Merai et al., 2019;](#page-25-0) [Wilhelmsson et al., 2019;](#page-26-0) [Arshad et al., 2021](#page-23-0); [Chandler et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0) and allows adding future transcriptome datasets. The transcript abundance data for the 23,594 Ae. arabicum genes were further investigated, and differentially expressed genes (DEGs; [Supplementary Dataset 1\)](#page-23-0) were detected in a strict consensus (overlap) approach using an adjusted p-value cutoff set to 0.001 ([Wilhelmsson et al., 2019](#page-26-0)). Pairwise comparisons of M^+ seeds vs. bare M[−] seeds (seeds only), M⁺ seeds vs. IND fruits (natural dispersal units), and M[−] vs. IND (pericarp effect) allowed transcriptome exploration of the dimorphic syndrome during seed germination and seedling growth. Comparisons showed that M⁺ and M[−] seed transcriptomes became remarkably similar during the completion of germination ([Figure 6A](#page-8-0); [Supplementary Table 1\)](#page-23-0). A total of 180 and 55 DEGs were detected at $T_{1%}$ and $T_{100\%}$,

respectively, while after 72 hat, the seedling transcriptomes were almost identical in the root (three DEGs) and shoot (two DEGs). By contrast, comparisons between the natural diaspores, M^+ seed vs. IND fruit, showed a much higher number of DEGs during germination. A total of 2,041 DEGs during $T_{1\%}$ increased to 2,682 by $T_{100\%}$, thereafter reducing 10-fold (277 root DEGs) and 16-fold (164 shoot DEGs) by 72 hat. By 240 hat, the time of true leaf emergence for seedlings, differences between M^+ seed vs. IND fruitderived seedlings were only evident from 60 DEGs in root samples and 10 DEGs in shoot samples ([Figure 6B](#page-8-0); [Supplementary Table 1\)](#page-23-0).

Interestingly, a similar but more pronounced pattern of DEGs was observed in the comparison of the pericarp effect (bare M[−] seed vs. IND fruit) on M[−] seed germination and seedling growth ([Figure 6C;](#page-8-0) [Supplementary Table 1\)](#page-23-0). The number of DEGs at $T_{1\%}$ was similar to that detected in the M^+ vs. IND comparison (2,041 vs. 1,938). The greatest differences were observed at $T_{100\%}$ (3,228 DEGs) at the onset of pre-emergence growth. Here, the number of upregulated DEGs in M[−] seeds increased 1.4-fold (to 1,220 DEGs), while the number of downregulated DEGs increased 1.9 fold (to 2,008 DEGs). As seedlings progressed through root and shoot growth, the pericarp imposed a total of 347 root-specific DEGs at 72 hat, while shoot-specific DEGs were lower (64). By 240 hat, differences in shoot samples comprised four up- and four downregulated DEGs, while 62 up- and 69 downregulated DEGs were detected in the root tissues ([Figure 6C;](#page-8-0) [Supplementary](#page-23-0) [Table 1\)](#page-23-0). Taken together, these results suggest the tendency toward transcriptional "resetting" of seedling morphs mainly during the post-germination pre-emergence growth phase.

In the ecologically relevant comparison $(M^+$ seed vs. IND fruit), shoot resetting occurred earlier (by 72 hat), and root resetting occurred later (differences still evident at 240 hat). Comparison of M⁺/IND DEG lists [\(Supplementary Dataset 1](#page-23-0)) between the T_{100%} (germinated diaspores) and the $T_{1%}$ (ungerminated diaspores) time points revealed that about one-third of the DEGs are overlapping and two-thirds are unique to either $T_{100\%}$ or $T_{1\%}$ [\(Figure 7A\)](#page-9-0). Comparison of the M⁺/IND and M⁻/IND DEG lists at T_{100%} revealed that while the majority of the DEGs are overlapping, there is also a considerable number of DEGs unique to either M^{+} / IND or M[−] /IND [\(Figure 7A\)](#page-9-0). For the ecologically relevant comparison (M⁺/IND), the comparison between the T_{100%} seed and the 72-hat seedling state delivered root and shoot DEGs common and unique for pre-emergence seedling growth ([Figure 7B\)](#page-9-0). Comparison of M⁺ /IND DEG lists during preemergence seedling growth revealed that most of the DEGs at 72 hat are unique for root and shoot, a finding that strongly suggests that the two compartments are distinct [\(Figure 7C\)](#page-9-0). To investigate the effect of the pericarp on the pre-emergence seedling growth at M+ /IND and M[−] /IND, DEG lists for root and shoot at 72 hat were compared and delivered DEG lists representing pericarp-dependent and pericarp-independent mechanisms ([Figure 7B](#page-9-0); [Supplementary](#page-23-0) [Dataset 1\)](#page-23-0). These comparative DEG lists (M⁺/IND vs. M⁻/IND) contained for roots a total of 190 up- and 226 downregulated genes and for shoots a total of 43 up- and 138 downregulated genes.

To gain insight into the processes differing during the transition from germinated diaspores to early seedlings derived from M^+ , M^- , and IND, Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis of DEG

replicate seedlings.

Comparative biomechanical analysis of Aethionema arabicum seedlings derived from M⁺ seeds, M⁻ seeds, and IND fruits. (A) Fifteen-day-old seedlings from germinated (0 hat) IND fruits (left panel) and bare M[−] seeds (right panel). * IND pericarps of germinated fruits (at 0 hat) were manually split open to aid seedling growth. Note that seedlings derived from IND fruits often had bent lower hypocotyls and, in general, grew slower compared to seedlings derived from M[−] seeds, which had straight hypocotyls. (B) Hypocotyl tensile test. (C) Hypocotyl breaking forces of 15-day-old seedlings derived from IND fruits, bare M[−] seeds, and M⁺ seeds. Box plots with Tukey's whiskers of hypocotyl breaking forces are presented from force-displacement data obtained using N = 42 (M⁺), N = 38 (M⁻), and N = 15 (IND) seedlings. The hypocotyls show no significant difference in their breaking force.

lists was performed comparing M⁺ seeds versus IND fruits and M[−] seeds versus IND for the $T_{100\%}$ (germinated diaspores) and the 72 hat (root and shoot separately) stages [\(Figure 8](#page-10-0); [Supplementary](#page-23-0) [Figure 4,](#page-23-0) [Supplementary Dataset 2\)](#page-23-0). Broadly, selected identified GO terms were categorized by key processes identified as differing between seedlings originating from the different diaspores ([Figure 8;](#page-10-0) [Supplementary Figure 4\)](#page-23-0). For example, genes up in roots of seedlings 72 hat derived from M⁺ or M[−] seeds compared to IND diaspores were significantly enriched in GO terms related to nitrates (e.g., nitrate assimilation), cell wall (e.g., cellulose catabolic process), and transport (e.g., regulation of ion transport) (clusters 1 and 5). Interestingly, some cell wall and nitrate-related terms (e.g., cell wall pectin metabolic process and nitrate transport) were enriched in genes more highly expressed in 72-hat seedlings derived from IND compared to M⁺ and M[−] (clusters 8 and 5). A pronounced effect of pericarp at the $T_{100\%}$ stage was evident in the enrichment of abiotic stress-related GO terms "response to oxidative stress", "response to salt stress", and "anaerobic respiration". Terms identifying hormone signaling (e.g., ethylene, auxin, gibberellin, and ABA) were also identified ([Figure 8;](#page-10-0) [Supplementary Figure 4](#page-23-0); [Supplementary Dataset 2](#page-23-0)). Overall, it was evident that pericarp presence at the diaspore stage was a driver of differences in gene expression, with similar differences evident when comparing M⁺ or M[−] seeds against IND diaspores. However, some contrasts were more evident when comparing 72 hat seedlings derived from M⁺ to IND (rather than M[−] to IND),

shoot growth at 72 and 240 hat.

suggesting seed morph-specific responses that were not dependent on pericarp presence at imbibition, particularly evident in the enrichment of GO terms "flavonol biosynthetic process" and "anthocyanin-containing compound biosynthetic process". The pre-emergence seedling growth DEGs from these lists of enriched GO categories ([Figure 8;](#page-10-0) [Supplementary Figure 4\)](#page-23-0) and a comparison to early seed germination and dormancy [\(Chandler](#page-24-0) [et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0) are the focus of the following analysis into the resetting of dimorphic expression patterns.

2.3 Resetting of dimorphic expression patterns of hypoxia and hormonerelated genes

In earlier work, we identified morph-specific expression patterns of hormone-related genes during seed and fruit development ([Arshad et al., 2021\)](#page-23-0) and dormancy and germination of the dimorphic diaspores [\(Chandler et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0). This revealed the importance of ABA metabolism and signaling in dimorphic diaspore development and germination, and key roles for ABA and hypoxia in imposing pericarp-imposed dormancy in IND fruits. [Figure 9A](#page-11-0) shows that when IND fruits were compared to M⁺ and M[−] seeds, the distinct expression patterns of hypoxia-

responsive genes in seeds were reset during the transition from the germination $(T_{100\%})$ to the early seedling (72 hat) phase. This was evident for the hypoxia-regulated transcription factor (TF) genes, such as AearNAC102 and AearERF71/73, and downstream genes, such as the ethanolic fermentation enzyme gene AearADH1a ([Chandler et al., 2024](#page-24-0)). The Ae. arabicum genes presented in [Figure 9A](#page-11-0) were identified as part of the core hypoxia-responsive gene list derived from hypoxia-treated Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings [\(Christianson et al., 2009](#page-24-0); [Gasch et al., 2016](#page-24-0); [Lee and](#page-25-0) [Bailey-Serres, 2019](#page-25-0)), and the resetting of their expression patterns, therefore, also indicates the absence of hypoxia once the M[−] seedlings have emerged from the IND pericarp. Most genes involved in ethylene biosynthesis, 1-aminocyclopropane-1 carboxylic acid (ACC) oxidase (ACO) and ACC synthase (ACS), and many genes encoding ethylene response factor (ERF) TFs also exhibited resetting during pre-emergence seedling growth ([Figure 9A](#page-11-0); [Supplementary Figure 5A\)](#page-23-0). However, for genes encoding the ACC oxidases AearACO2 and AearACO4, the ethylene receptor AearETR2, and several ERF TFs (AearERF2, AearERF11, AearERF113/RAP2.6, and AearRAP2.11), distinct transcript abundances were retained in 72-hat shoots or roots ([Supplementary Figure 5A\)](#page-23-0). The A. thaliana homologs of these ERF TFs are known to be involved in the control of seedling growth by modulating ABA, ethylene, gibberellin, and auxin signaling [\(Kim](#page-25-0)

Number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) identified during the developmental transition from germination to seedling establishment of Aethionema arabicum. Total number of DEGs detected during the developmental transition from germination to seedling establishment. Shown are DEGs upregulated (↑) and downregulated (↓) based on pairwise comparisons of (A) M⁺ seed vs. M⁻ seed (M⁺/M⁻), (B) M⁺ seed vs. IND fruit (M⁺/IND), and (C) M[−] seed vs. IND fruit (M+/IND). In all comparisons, the second treatment type was the baseline to which the first treatment was compared as transcript abundance ratio, i.e., M⁺/M[−], M⁺/IND, and M[−]/IND. The dashed line indicates a hypothetical trajectory of DEGs for the corresponding tissues. DEG detection was performed using the pipeline-adjusted p-value cutoff set to 0.001 as previously described by [Wilhelmsson et al. \(2019\).](#page-26-0) Symbols indicate seed, root, or shoot tissue at 1% germination $(T_{1\%})$, 100% germination $(T_{100\%})$ or 72 or 240 hours after transfer (hat) to seedling growth plates. For further details, see Supplementary Table 1 and [Supplementary Dataset 1](#page-23-0).

[et al., 2012](#page-25-0); [Zhou et al., 2016](#page-26-0); [Lorrai et al., 2018](#page-25-0); [Zhu et al., 2020;](#page-26-0) [Templalexis et al., 2022](#page-26-0)). AtRAP2.11 is, in addition, known as a major regulator of potassium and nitrate transporters in responses to low-nutrient conditions ([Kim et al., 2012](#page-25-0); [Meng et al., 2016;](#page-25-0) [Templalexis et al., 2022\)](#page-26-0).

In contrast to ethylene-related genes, for all ABA-related metabolism and signaling genes, as well as for the PYR/PYL/ RCAR-type ABA receptor genes, resetting of their expression was complete in 72-hat seedlings [\(Figure 9B;](#page-11-0) [Supplementary Figure 5B\)](#page-23-0). An exception was the ABA-responsive element (ABRE)-binding protein/factor (ABF) AearAREB3b for which higher transcript abundances prevailed in 72-hat M[−] seedling shoots, but this difference disappeared in 240-hat seedlings ([Supplementary](#page-23-0) [Figure 5B](#page-23-0)). Morph-specific expression patterns for ABA biosynthesis [e.g., 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (NCED) genes] and ABF-type TFs, and ABA contents were a hallmark during the dimorphic seed/fruit development ([Lenser et al., 2018;](#page-25-0)

[Arshad et al., 2021](#page-23-0)) and in imbibed dimorphic diaspores ([Chandler](#page-24-0) [et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0). Differences in ABA relations were, therefore, most important between dimorphic diaspores but became less important between M⁺ and M[−] seedlings since they were reset during preemergence seedling growth. In contrast to this, distinct expression patterns for gibberellin (GA)-related genes prevailed in 72-hat seedlings ([Figure 9B](#page-11-0); [Supplementary Figure 6A](#page-23-0)). The transcript abundances for the GA 3-oxidase (biosynthesis of bioactive GA) gene AearGA3ox1 and the AearGID1b gene encoding a GA receptor were higher in 72-hat M[−] seedling shoots derived from IND fruits compared to M^+ seedling shoots, suggesting that GA biosynthesis and sensitivity differ between the morphs during early seedling growth. Similarly, the transcript abundances of GASA ("GAstimulated Arabidopsis") genes known to be stimulated by GA and regulated by DELLA repressor proteins ([Zhang and Wang,](#page-26-0) [2017](#page-26-0)) were higher in 72-hat M[−] seedling roots derived from IND fruits compared to M^+ seedling roots, while genes for DELLA

repressor proteins did not differ between the morphs ([Figure 9B;](#page-11-0) [Supplementary Figure 6A\)](#page-23-0). In general, ABA has an inhibitory and GA has a promoting role in the complex hormonal control of seedling shoot and root growth [\(Ahammed et al., 2020](#page-23-0)). We conclude from the Ae. arabicum results that for most genes, resetting occurs during the post-germination pre-emergence growth phase $(T_{100\%}$ seeds to 72-hat seedlings) but also that several ethylene and GA-related genes involved in hormonal interactions are among the DEGs in 72-hat seedlings for which resetting occurs later during seedling growth (Figure 7).

That Ae. arabicum shoot and root development changed during pre-emergence seedling, which was also evident from the chlorophyll accumulation ([Figure 3](#page-5-0)), the DEG list comparison (Figure 7D), and the shoot-specific induction of chlorophyllrelated genes ([Supplementary Figure 7A](#page-23-0)). Interestingly, these chlorophyll-related genes were DEGs in 72-hat shoots with lower expression in M[−] seedlings derived from IND fruits. Ethylene, GA, and ABA interact with auxin to regulate seedling growth differently in shoots and roots ([Belin et al., 2009](#page-24-0); [Hu et al., 2017;](#page-24-0) [Ahammed](#page-23-0) [et al., 2020\)](#page-23-0). Auxin/indole-3-acetic acid (Aux/IAA) proteins (IAAs) repress auxin-inducible genes by inhibiting auxin response TFs (ARFs). In Ae. arabicum, several ARFs and Aux/IAA proteins were DEGs during late germination with lower (ARFs) and higher (IAAs) transcript abundances in germinated IND fruits $(T_{100\%})$ when compared with germinated M⁺ and M[−] seeds ([Figure 10A](#page-12-0) and [Supplementary Figure 6B](#page-23-0)). With the exception of AearARF6, which was more highly expressed in IND fruit-derived 72-hat and 240-hat seedling shoots, these expression differences had disappeared in 72 hat seedlings. The A. thaliana dormancy/auxin-associated protein is known to be involved in the auxin sensitivity of seedlings [\(Johnson](#page-25-0) [et al., 2015](#page-25-0)); its Ae. arabicum homolog was more highly expressed in germinated IND fruits ([Figure 10B\)](#page-12-0). Small auxin-upregulated RNA (SAUR) genes are auxin-responsive genes involved in cell elongation growth and other processes ([Stortenbeker and Bemer,](#page-26-0) [2019\)](#page-26-0). An example in A. thaliana seeds is AtSAUR11, which accumulates eightfold in the endosperm as compared to the embryo ([Dekkers et al., 2013\)](#page-24-0). In general, the differential expression of Ae. arabicum SAUR genes during late germination $(T_{100\%})$ was reset in 72-hat seedlings ([Figure 10B](#page-12-0); [Supplementary](#page-23-0) [Figure 6B\)](#page-23-0). A notable exception was AearSAUR11 for which the transcript abundance was high in germinated IND fruits $(T_{100\%})$ and increased further >10-fold in 72-hat M[−] seedling shoots derived from IND fruit as compared to M^+ seedling shoots [\(Figure 10B\)](#page-12-0).

2.4 Dimorphic expression of transporter genes for water, auxin, nitrate, and flavonoids

Polar auxin transport and auxin homeostasis are key to seedling shoot and root growth and are facilitated by tightly regulated efflux [PIN (PIN-FORMED proteins) and PILS (PIN-LIKES)] and influx (AUX1) carriers, which coordinate cell type-specific asymmetric subcellular auxin localization and local auxin gradients across tissues ([Belin et al., 2009](#page-24-0); [Hu et al., 2017](#page-24-0); [Yi et al., 2021](#page-26-0); [Bogaert et al., 2022;](#page-24-0) [Feraru et al., 2022;](#page-24-0) [Nakabayashi et al., 2022;](#page-25-0) [Waidmann et al., 2023](#page-26-0)). Several auxin carriers including AearAUX1, AearABCG36 ([Figure 10B](#page-12-0)), AearPILS5, and AearPILS6 ([Figure 11A](#page-13-0)) were

Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment in differentially expressed gene (DEG) lists. GO terms were selected and assigned categories, and enrichment scores [log(1/p-value)] were clustered hierarchically by 1-Pearson correlation using Morpheus [\(https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/\)](https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/). GO terms representative of each cluster are shown here, with all selected GO terms shown in [Supplementary Figure 4,](#page-23-0) and full GO term enrichment p-values for all DEG lists can be found in [Supplementary Dataset 2.](#page-23-0) Blue indicates non-significantly enriched values (p > 0.05), with white representing the significant cutoff $(p = 0.05)$ and red indicating where GO terms are significantly enriched in the DEG lists $(p < 0.05)$, saturated at $p = 0.001$. Hierarchical clustering was redone with selected GO terms, and the original cluster was based on dendrogram cut Supplementary Figure 4 as indicated.

identified as DEGs during late germination $(T_{100\%})$, but their expression difference was reset during seedling pre-emergence growth. In contrast to these auxin transporters, AearPILS3, which was also a DEG in germinated diaspores ($T_{100\%}$), remained as a DEG in shoots at 72 hat [\(Figure 11A\)](#page-13-0). AearPILS7 was not expressed during diaspore germination, but it was induced afterward and identified as a DEG in roots during pre-emergence seedling growth. The transcript abundances for AearPILS7 were lower in 72-hat and 240-hat seedling roots derived from germinated IND fruits as compared to seedling roots derived from germinated M+ and M[−] seeds ([Figure 11A](#page-13-0)). In A. thaliana seedlings, AtPILS7 is involved in fine-tuning stress-responsive root auxin signaling in response to phosphate availability and regulation of phosphate transporter gene expression [\(Yi et al., 2021\)](#page-26-0). In phylogenies across the plant kingdom, the PILS protein sequences of embryophytes plus green algae form a clade distinct from the PIN proteins, and the seven A. thaliana and other embryophyte PILS

protein sequences are distributed over two PILS subclades 2 and 3 ([Feraru et al., 2012;](#page-24-0) [Bogaert et al., 2022](#page-24-0)). [Figure 11B](#page-13-0) shows a familywide phylogenetic analysis of Brassicaceae PILS protein sequences with the five identified Ae. arabicum protein sequences distributed across the two subclades (one in subclade 2 and four in subclade 3). In addition to PIN and PILS efflux carriers, which are specific for indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) transport, ABCG36 is known to act as a plasma membrane located exporter for the IAA precursor indole-3-butyric acid ([Geisler et al., 2017;](#page-24-0) [Aryal et al., 2019](#page-24-0); [Nakabayashi et al., 2022](#page-25-0)). Based on the AearABCG36 expression patterns, it is a germination DEG that is reset during pre-emergence growth [\(Figure 10B\)](#page-12-0).

Auxin and other hormones regulate the transition from germination to seedling growth by affecting the expression patterns of water and ion transporters [\(Yi et al., 2021](#page-26-0); [Tan et al., 2022;](#page-26-0) [Templalexis et al., 2022](#page-26-0)). This includes aquaporins, e.g., plasma membrane intrinsic proteins (PIPs) and tonoplast intrinsic proteins

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of selected Aethionema arabicum differentially expressed genes (DEGs). (A) Hypoxia-responsive genes. (B) Abscisic acid (ABA) and gibberellin (GA)-related genes. M⁺ seeds, M⁻ seeds, and IND fruits were imbibed in dH₂O under darkness at 9°C, sampled, and harvested at $T_{12%}$ and $T_{100\%}$. Diaspores that had completed germination (1-mm radicle protrusion) were transferred (at 0 hat, hours after transfer) to vertical plates for the seedling growth assay at 30°C in continuous white light (for details, see [Figure 2\)](#page-4-0). RNA-seq mean + SEM values of three biological replicates are presented, and each replicate consisted of 90 seeds or tissue (root or shoot) from 12 seedlings. The pre-emergence growth phase leading from germinated diaspores (T_{100%}) to seedlings at 72 and 240 hours after transfer (hat) is shaded gray; seed–seedling transition RNA-seq values for roots (left panels) and shoots (right panels) are presented. AearPOC1/2 is the cumulative sum of AearPOC1 plus AearPOC2 transcript abundances. Seed, root, or shoot tissue at 1% germination (T_{1%}), 100% germination (T_{100%}), or 72 or 240 hours after transfer (hat) to seedling growth plates was compared. For gene abbreviations and IDs, see Supplementary Table 2.

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of auxin-related Aethionema arabicum differentially expressed genes (DEGs). (A) Auxin response factor (ARF) and auxin/indole-3-acetic acid (Aux/IAA) genes. (B) Auxin/dormancy-associated and small auxin-upregulated RNA (SAUR) genes. RNA-seq mean \pm SEM values of three biological replicates are presented; for details, see [Figure 9](#page-11-0) and main text. For gene abbreviations and IDs, see [Supplementary Table 2.](#page-23-0)

(TIPs), transporting water, ammonia (NH3), and other solutes [\(Loque](#page-25-0)́ [et al., 2005](#page-25-0); [Footitt et al., 2019;](#page-24-0) [Hoai et al., 2020\)](#page-24-0). [Figure 12A](#page-14-0) shows that the transcript abundances of AearPIP3A/2;7 and AearPIP1E/1;4 were more highly expressed in IND fruits during late germination $(T_{100\%})$ and remained higher in 72-hat seedling roots derived from IND fruits as compared to seedling roots derived from M+ and M[−] seeds. AearTIP1,4, for which the A. thaliana homolog is regulated by ABA in seeds [\(Footitt et al., 2019\)](#page-24-0), and AearTIP1/1,1 were reset during preemergence growth [\(Figure 12A\)](#page-14-0). In contrast to this, expression of the NH3 transporter genes AearTIP2;3a and AearTIP2;3b was higher in IND fruits during late germination ($T_{100\%}$) and remained higher in 72hat seedling roots derived from IND fruits as compared to seedling roots derived from M⁺ and M[−] seeds [\(Figure 12A\)](#page-14-0). In A. thaliana seedlings, root high-affinity nitrate (NO_3^-) transporters such as NRT2 interact with polar auxin transport [\(Wang et al., 2023a\)](#page-26-0). AearNTR2 and AearNTR3.1 were not expressed during Ae. arabicum germination, and

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns and phylogeny of PILS auxin transporter genes. (A) Expression patterns of Aethionema arabicum PILS genes. RNA-seq mean + SEM values of three biological replicates are presented; for details, see [Figure 9.](#page-11-0) (B) Phylogenetic tree of the predicted amino acid sequences of Brassicaceae PILS (PIN-FORMED-LIKES) auxin efflux carrier. Known and putative amino acid PILS sequences were aligned using ClustalW, and Neighbor-Joining trees were built as described in the Materials and Methods. Naming of PILS sequences was as follows: species as fourletter code (Brra, Brassica rapa; Brol, Brassica oleracea; Dist, Diptychocarpus strictus; Isti, Isatis tinctoria; Lesa, Lepidium sativum; Mype, Myagrum perfoliatum), gene identifier in brackets, and naming based on highest sequence similarity with the Arabidopsis thaliana PILS sequences (in blue). For Aethionema arabicum PILS gene (in red) identifier, see Supplementary Table 2. Species selection was based on Brassicaceae phylogeny in which Aethionema is the sister to all Brassicaceae, Arabidopsis and Lepidium represent core Brassicaceae lineage I, the two Brassica species represent the lineage II Brassicaceae, and *Isatis* and *Myagrum* represent lineage II Isatideae [\(Franzke et al., 2011](#page-24-0)).

their root-specific induction in 72-hat seedlings was lower in roots derived from IND fruits ([Figure 12B](#page-14-0)).

Nitrate and potassium transporter gene expression in A. thaliana seedlings is mediated by auxin and the ERF TF RAP2.11 in responses to low-nutrient conditions [\(Kim et al., 2012;](#page-25-0) [Meng et al., 2016;](#page-25-0) [Templalexis et al., 2022](#page-26-0)). The expression patterns of AearRAP2.11 [\(Supplementary Figure 5A](#page-23-0)) and the transporters for nitrate AearNTR2 ([Figure 12B](#page-14-0)) and potassium AearKUP3 [\(Supplementary Figure 7A\)](#page-23-0) showed reduced transcript abundances in 72-hat roots derived from

IND fruits, which suggest a role of RAP2.11 in the regulation of potassium and nitrate relations during pre-emergence seedling growth. Key enzymes for nitrate assimilation include nitrate reductase (NR) and nitrite reductase (NRI) for which the expression is regulated by hormones and abiotic stresses ([Tang et al., 2022](#page-26-0)). As for the nitrate transporters, also the genes for nitrate assimilation enzymes AearNR1, AearNR2, and AearNIR1 were not expressed during germination, and their induction in 72-hat seedling roots was lower in roots derived from IND fruits [\(Figure 12B](#page-14-0)). This suggests that nitrate

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of Aethionema arabicum transporter and enzyme differentially expressed genes (DEGs). (A) Water and ion transporter genes. (B) Nitrate transporter and enzyme genes. RNA-seq mean + SEM values of three biological replicates are presented; for details, see [Figure 9](#page-11-0) and main text. For gene abbreviations and IDs, see [Supplementary Table 2.](#page-23-0)

transport and assimilation differ in 72-hat roots of seedlings derived from IND fruits and M^+ seeds and that instead of resetting, a distinct reprogramming occurred.

The dimorphic expression patterns of genes encoding enzymes of the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway and proanthocyanidin (PA) accumulation are examples of root-specific DEGs during Ae. arabicum pre-emergence growth at 72 hat for which the transcript abundances were higher in seedlings derived from M^+ seeds as compared to seedlings derived from M[−] seeds or IND fruits ([Figure 13\)](#page-15-0). In A. thaliana, mutations in many of the flavonoid biosynthetic genes as well as in genes of the MYB-bHLH-WDR (MBW) protein complex

regulating flavonoid biosynthesis lead to "transparent testa (tt)" mutant phenotypes with reduced seed dormancy [\(Lepiniec et al.,](#page-25-0) [2006;](#page-25-0) [Macgregor et al., 2015](#page-25-0); [Xu et al., 2015\)](#page-26-0). A. thaliana TT19 encodes a glutathione-S-transferase (GST)-like protein that functions as a carrier to transport anthocyanin and PA precursors and is involved in the accumulation of PAs in the seed coat [\(Kitamura](#page-25-0) [et al., 2010;](#page-25-0) [Sun et al., 2012](#page-26-0)). The AearGSTF12/TT19 transcript abundances during seed germination were high in IND fruits, while there was no expression during M⁺ and M[−] seed germination ([Figure 13A\)](#page-15-0). This expression pattern changed during seedling preemergence growth associated with the induction of the flavonoid

biosynthesis enzymes and the GSTF12/TT19 transporter gene for proanthocyanidin precursor molecules. RNA-seq mean \pm SEM values of three biological replicates are presented; for details, see [Figure 9](#page-11-0) and main text. (B) Simplified scheme of the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway. (C) Transcriptional control of the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway by MYB-bHLH-WDR complexes. For abbreviations, see main text. For gene abbreviations and IDs, see [Supplementary](#page-23-0) [Table 2.](#page-23-0)

biosynthetic pathway enzymes, which led to higher expression in 72 hat roots of seedlings derived from M^+ seeds.

The induction of the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway (Figure 13B) genes by the MBW complex was associated with enhanced expression of the WDR protein AearTTG1 (Figure 13C). Its expression pattern

during germination supports a role in the enhanced expression of AearGSTF12/TT19 in IND fruits, but it does not explain the higher expression of AearGSTF12/TT19 and the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway enzymes in M^+ seed-derived seedling roots at 72 hat (Figure 13A). No bHLH and no MYB TF with enhanced expression

in M⁺ seed-derived seedling roots at 72 hat were identified. Several Ae. arabicum MYB TFs were identified as DEGs during late seed germination $(T_{100\%})$ with higher expression in IND fruits compared to imbibed M+ and M[−] seeds, but for all of them, resetting occurred during pre-emergence growth [\(Supplementary Figures 8A,](#page-23-0) B). Among

these DEGs is MYB30, which is a key TF in A. thaliana seeds and seedlings integrating ABA, ethylene, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) signaling [\(Mabuchi et al., 2018;](#page-25-0) [Khedia et al., 2019;](#page-25-0) [Maki](#page-25-0) [et al., 2019;](#page-25-0) [Nie et al., 2022](#page-25-0); [Zhang et al., 2023\)](#page-26-0). Other DEGs are presented and discussed in [Supplementary Figure 7B](#page-23-0).

2.5 Resetting of maturation and dormancy genes, TFs, and seed–seedling transition markers

Dormancy and maturation gene expression usually decline during the germination of non-dormant seeds. In A. thaliana and other species, the transcript abundances for the Delay of Germination 1 (DOG1) and Seed Dormancy 4-Like (SDR4L) decline in imbibed non-dormant seeds [\(Graeber et al., 2014;](#page-24-0) [Wu](#page-26-0) [et al., 2022](#page-26-0)), while Dormancy-associated protein Like 1 (DLY1) and Non-Yellowing 1/Stay-Green 1 (NYE1/SGR1) exhibit more complex expression patterns during seed imbibition ([Rae et al., 2014;](#page-25-0) [Wilhelmsson et al., 2019](#page-26-0)). The transcript abundances of AearDOG1, AearSDR4L, Aear DLY1, and Aear NYE1/SGR1 were higher in imbibed IND fruits as compared to imbibed M^+ and $M^$ seeds and declined for AearDOG1 and AearSDR4L ([Figure 14A\)](#page-16-0). The observed expression difference during germination for all four genes disappeared during pre-emergence growth, and this resetting led to roughly equal transcript abundances in 72-hat seedlings.

Germin (GER) and germin-like proteins are expressed in seeds, but their functions are largely unknown [\(Membre et al., 2000](#page-25-0)). In Ae. arabicum, AearGER3 is a DEG during late germination, but not in seedlings, where it is expressed in a shoot-specific manner ([Supplementary Figure 9A](#page-23-0)). Late embryogenesis-abundant (LEA) proteins accumulate late in seed development and play major roles in desiccation tolerance [\(Hundertmark and Hincha, 2008;](#page-24-0) [Zinsmeister](#page-26-0) [et al., 2020;](#page-26-0) [Smolikova et al., 2022\)](#page-26-0). Most genes encoding LEA proteins are known to be ABA-induced, and their transcript abundances decline during seed germination. Consistent with the ABA inducibility and higher ABA contents in IND fruits [\(Chandler et al., 2024](#page-24-0)), the transcript abundances of Ae. arabicum LEA genes were higher in imbibed IND fruits as compared to M^+ and M^- seeds [\(Supplementary Figure 9\)](#page-23-0). Expression patterns of specific LEA and Heat Shock Protein (HSP) genes are presented in detail in [Supplementary Figure 9.](#page-23-0)

For most Ae. arabicum TFs, which were identified as DEGs between IND fruit and M^+ seed diaspores during the germination phase, resetting of the expression pattern was observed during the post-germination pre-emergence phase ([Supplementary Figure 8\)](#page-23-0). Examples where the expression differences during late germination $(T_{100\%})$ persisted or were even intensified into the seedling phase include the auxin and ethylene-related TF genes AearARF6 and AearERF113 presented earlier ([Figure 10A;](#page-12-0) [Supplementary](#page-23-0) [Figure 5A](#page-23-0)). Resetting during the post-germination pre-emergence phase was also observed for NAC (NAM, ATAF, and CUC) TFs involved in hypoxia responses (AearNAC102, [Figure 9A\)](#page-11-0) and for AearNAC40 and AearNAC60 [\(Figure 14A\)](#page-16-0), which, in A. thaliana, are functionally redundant in the inhibition of dormancy ([Song](#page-26-0) [et al., 2022\)](#page-26-0). Homologs of AearNAC3/59 ([Figure 14B](#page-16-0)) and AearNAC87 ([Figure 14B\)](#page-16-0) are known for being involved in the primary root growth of A. thaliana seedlings, for being expressed in the endosperm during germination ([Dekkers et al., 2013\)](#page-24-0), and for controlling programmed cell death during root growth [\(Huysmans](#page-24-0) [et al., 2018\)](#page-24-0). In contrast to these NAC TFs, higher transcript abundances in IND fruit diaspores during germination were maintained for AearNAC2 and AearNAC32, and this difference was further intensified at the 72-hat seedling state ([Figure 14B](#page-16-0)). In A. thaliana, NAC2 has a role in integrating environmental and hormone (auxin and ethylene) stimuli during seedling root growth ([He et al., 2005\)](#page-24-0) and integration of auxin signaling [\(Park et al.,](#page-25-0) [2011](#page-25-0)), and NAC32 has a role in upstream TF in the control of seedling root elongation and ROS signaling [\(Maki et al., 2019\)](#page-25-0).

The WRKY9 and WRKY40 TFs are known as central repressors of ABA signaling during A. thaliana seed germination and seedling growth [\(Wang et al., 2021\)](#page-26-0) and of ROS and stress signaling ([Shin](#page-26-0) [and Schachtman, 2004](#page-26-0); [Van Aken et al., 2013;](#page-26-0) [Arjmand et al., 2023\)](#page-23-0). In Ae. arabicum, AearWRKY9 and AearWRKY40 were identified as DEGs more highly expressed in germinating IND fruits as compared to M^+ seeds, and this expression pattern was retained in 72-hat seedlings ([Figure 14B](#page-16-0), [Supplementary Figure 8C\)](#page-23-0). WRKY51 and WRKY56 belong to a subgroup of WRKY TFs involved in controlling auxin transport during A. thaliana root development ([Templalexis et al., 2022](#page-26-0)). AearWRKY51 and AearWRKY56 are DEGs with higher expression in IND fruits during the germination of the Ae. arabicum dimorphic diaspores ([Figure 14A,](#page-16-0) [Supplementary Figure 8C](#page-23-0)). While resetting of this expression difference during pre-emergence growth was observed for AearWRKY51, it was retained and further intensified for AearWRKY56 in 72-hat seedling roots ([Figure 14B\)](#page-16-0). Expression patterns of other TFs including homeobox TFs controlling seed-toseedling phase transition node regulators and the plant-specific ATrich sequence zinc-binding protein (PLATZ) TFs are presented in detail in [Supplementary Figure 8.](#page-23-0) Taken together, both resetting and intensification of differential expression patterns were observed during the seed-to-seedling transition for TFs from several distinct gene families. For the TFs that were identified as DEGs at the seedling stage expression, the transcript abundances were always higher in seedlings derived from IND fruits and lower in seedlings derived from M⁺ seeds.

2.6 Resetting of cell wall remodeling protein gene expression during seed– seedling transition

Cell expansion growth is driven by water uptake, which is restricted unless cell wall loosening is achieved by the action of cell wall remodeling proteins (CWRPs) or apoplastic ROS ([Finch-](#page-24-0)[Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006](#page-24-0); [Steinbrecher and Leubner-](#page-26-0)[Metzger, 2017;](#page-26-0) [2018](#page-26-0)). Expansins are CWRPs that disrupt noncovalent bonds that tether cell wall matrix polysaccharides to the surface of cellulose microfibrils or each other. Expansins are required for endosperm weakening and embryo elongation during germination and seedling growth [\(Voegele et al., 2011](#page-26-0); [Graeber](#page-24-0) [et al., 2014](#page-24-0); [Boron et al., 2015](#page-24-0); [Cosgrove, 2016;](#page-24-0) [Ilias et al., 2019\)](#page-25-0). In agreement with the slower germination of Ae. arabicum IND fruits as compared to M⁺ seeds, the expression of AearEXPA2 and most other expansins was lower in imbibed IND fruits ([Figure 15A;](#page-18-0) [Supplementary Figure 10A\)](#page-23-0). Resetting during post-germination pre-emergence growth was observed for most expansins, which were DEGs during germination, but other expansins also exhibited differential expression in seedling roots. Xyloglucan remodeling enzymes involved in seed germination include α -xylosidase (α XYL)

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of Aethionema arabicum differentially expressed genes (DEGs) encoding cell wall remodeling proteins. (A) Expansin and xylosidase genes. (B) Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) and β-galactosidase genes. RNAseq mean \pm SEM values of three biological replicates are presented; for details, see [Figure 9](#page-11-0) and main text. For gene abbreviations and IDs, see [Supplementary Table 2.](#page-23-0)

([Shigeyama et al., 2016](#page-26-0)), b-xylosidase (bXYL) [\(Arsovski et al.,](#page-23-0) [2009\)](#page-23-0), and xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) ([Voegele et al., 2011;](#page-26-0) [Endo et al., 2012](#page-24-0); [Graeber et al., 2014;](#page-24-0) [Steinbrecher and Leubner-Metzger, 2017;](#page-26-0) [Holloway et al., 2021\)](#page-24-0). In agreement with roles in imbibed Ae. arabicum dimorphic diaspores, AearoXYL1, Aear β XYL1, and Aear β XYL2 are DEGs during germination with lower expression in IND fruits (Figure 15A). Resetting during post-germination pre-emergence growth leads to roughly similar expression in seedlings derived from germinated IND fruits and M^+ seeds.

Earlier work by [Chandler et al. \(2024\)](#page-24-0) demonstrated that during early germination (until $T_{1%}$), the cumulative expression of all XTHs in imbibed Ae. arabicum dimorphic diaspores is lower in IND fruits compared to M⁺ and M[−] seeds. Interestingly, the transcript abundances of many AearXTH genes increased in IND fruits during the late germination (until $T_{100\%}$), and these were, therefore, identified as germination DEGs ([Figure 15B;](#page-18-0) [Supplementary Figure 10A\)](#page-23-0). In general, resetting during postgermination pre-emergence growth was observed for XTH genes, but AearXTH12, AearXTH23, AearXTH31, and AearXTH26 were DEGs during seedling growth, and their transcript abundances were lower in 72-hat seedling roots derived from germinated IND fruits compared to seedling roots derived from M⁺ or M[−] seeds. In A. thaliana seedlings, AtXTH23 is known to be involved in root development and adaptation to salt stress ([Xu et al., 2020\)](#page-26-0), gene induction is induced by touch and darkness [\(Lee et al., 2005](#page-25-0)), and AtXTH12 and AtXTH26 exhibit only endotransglucosylase activity ([Maris et al., 2010\)](#page-25-0). In contrast to AearXTH2, AearXTH23, AearXTH26, and AearXTH31, the transcript abundances of AearXTH24 were higher in 72-hat seedling roots derived from germinated IND fruits ([Figure 15B;](#page-18-0) [Supplementary Figure 10A](#page-23-0)). In A. thaliana seedlings, overexpression of AtXTH24 is known for its role in promoting hypocotyl growth of etiolated seedlings [\(Dhar](#page-24-0) [et al., 2022\)](#page-24-0). In A. thaliana seedlings, AtXTH6 is known to be regulated by ABA and auxins [\(Overvoorde et al., 2005;](#page-25-0) [Huang et al.,](#page-24-0) [2007\)](#page-24-0). In Ae. arabicum seedlings, AearXTH6 is specifically expressed in seedling shoots and lower in 72-hat and 240-hat seedling shoots derived from germinated IND fruits ([Figure 15B](#page-18-0)).

 β -Galactosidases have β -1,4-galactose in xyloglucan side chains as targets ([Steinbrecher and Leubner-Metzger, 2017](#page-26-0); [Moneo-](#page-25-0)[Sanchez et al., 2019](#page-25-0); [Steinbrecher and Leubner-Metzger, 2022\)](#page-26-0). In Ae. arabicum, resetting during post-germination pre-emergence growth was not observed for $Aear\beta GAL4$, and the expression remained higher in 72-hat seedlings derived from IND fruits ([Figure 15B](#page-18-0)). In A. thaliana seedlings, β GAL4's involvement in cell wall changes is associated with the cessation of cell elongation and increased rigidity (Moneo-Sá[nchez et al., 2016\)](#page-25-0). β-Galactosidases also have β -1,4-galactan in cell wall pectin (rhamnogalacturonan) as a target. Pectins are complex cell wall matrix polysaccharides characterized by α -1,4-linked galacturonic acid and a dynamic degree of methylesterification, and bgalactosidase and various other cell wall remodeling enzymes target pectin [\(Cao, 2012;](#page-24-0) [Daher and Braybrook, 2015](#page-24-0); [Scheler](#page-26-0) [et al., 2015\)](#page-26-0). Their expression patterns in Ae. arabicum are presented in detail in [Supplementary Figure 10](#page-23-0). Taken together, CWRP gene expression in the dimorphic diaspore model Ae. arabicum revealed either resetting or distinct expression patterns (as DEG in seedlings) during the seed–seedling transition.

3 Discussion

3.1 The dimorphic diaspore syndrome and distinct seedling responses to abiotic stresses

The hormonal interactions during seed germination/dormancy (GA-ABA antagonism dominant) and early seedling growth (auxinethylene interactions dominant) differ fundamentally ([Finch-](#page-24-0)[Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006;](#page-24-0) [Linkies and Leubner-Metzger,](#page-25-0) [2012;](#page-25-0) [Hu et al., 2017](#page-24-0); [Ahammed et al., 2020](#page-23-0); [Smolikova et al., 2022;](#page-26-0)

[Wang et al., 2023b](#page-26-0)). Seedlings during pre-emergence growth may encounter increasing post-germination stress in the soil environment, and consequently, seeds/seedlings often fail to establish during this stage ([Moles and Westoby, 2006](#page-25-0); [Finch-](#page-24-0)[Savage and Bassel, 2016](#page-24-0); [Gardarin et al., 2016](#page-24-0)). It is clear from many dimorphic diaspore systems that the germination/dormancy traits of the two distinct morphs differ in their responses to environmental cues including abiotic stresses and that the underpinning molecular (hormonal, transcriptomic, and epigenetic) mechanisms differ ([Xu et al., 2011](#page-26-0); [Zhou et al., 2015;](#page-26-0) [Lu et al., 2015a,](#page-25-0) [b;](#page-25-0) [Lenser et al., 2016;](#page-25-0) [Wilhelmsson et al., 2019;](#page-26-0) [Arshad et al., 2021;](#page-23-0) [Gianella et al., 2021;](#page-24-0) [Zhang et al., 2021](#page-26-0); [Cao](#page-24-0) [et al., 2022](#page-24-0); [Loades et al., 2023](#page-25-0); [Song et al., 2023](#page-26-0); [Chandler et al.,](#page-24-0) [2024](#page-24-0)). Whether or not seedlings derived from dimorphic diaspores differ in their molecular responses has been far less investigated. Very little is known about if and when these differences disappear by resetting during seedling growth and whether or not these seedling differences were already induced during the dimorphic seed development on the mother plant and then retained during imbibition.

Comparative analysis of seedlings derived from Atriplex and Suaeda dimorphic seeds that were distinct in seed color revealed that seedlings were also distinct in responses to salinity and certain molecular features [\(Xu et al., 2011;](#page-26-0) [Zhang et al., 2021](#page-26-0); [Cao et al.,](#page-24-0) [2022](#page-24-0); [Song et al., 2023\)](#page-26-0). Among the enriched functional gene categories that differed in the seedling transcriptomes were genes encoding inorganic ion transport, hormone metabolism, transport and signaling, TFs and signal transduction components, CWRPs, lipid metabolism, redox, and osmotic homeostasis. In these systems, dimorphic seeds differing in color, size, and dormancy were dispersed by pod shattering from dehiscent fruits. A conclusion from these publications is that the observed differences in salinity tolerance of the dimorphic seedlings were already initiated during seed development on the mother plant. Compared to this, the situation in the Ae. arabicum dimorphic diaspore system is different in that seedlings derived from either M⁺ or bare M[−] seeds did not show any obvious differences in a range of constant temperature or osmotic stress ([Figure 2](#page-4-0); [Supplementary Figures 3,](#page-23-0) [4](#page-23-0)). What is different in the Ae. arabicum M^+ seed and the IND fruit morph system is the presence of the pericarp, which is known to impose coat dormancy and delay the germination of imbibed IND fruits ([Lenser et al., 2016;](#page-25-0) [Chandler et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0).

Pericarp removal experiments with monocarpic species demonstrated that beyond seed traits, the pericarp can also affect seedling establishment and performance ([Hu et al., 2009](#page-24-0); [Mamut](#page-25-0) [et al., 2014;](#page-25-0) [Zhou et al., 2015;](#page-26-0) [Lu et al., 2015b](#page-25-0); [Lu et al., 2017b](#page-25-0); a; [Ignatz et al., 2019](#page-25-0)). Interestingly, in Ae. arabicum, the pericarp restraint led to different growth of hypocotyls derived from imbibed IND fruits as compared to M⁺ and M[−] seedlings derived from M⁺ and bare M[−] seeds [\(Figure 4](#page-6-0)). This pericarp effect on pre-emergence seedling growth delivered a 5–20-fold higher number of DEGs from the M⁺ /IND and M[−] /IND comparisons ([Figures 7,](#page-9-0) [8\)](#page-10-0) as compared to the 23 DEGs obtained in the M⁺/M[−] seedling comparison. The pericarp, therefore, plays an important role in the phenotypic plasticity of the Ae. arabicum dimorphic diaspores and has a significant downstream effect on the root and shoot transcriptomes of M[−] seedlings derived from IND fruits. Details about the identified Ae. arabicum DEGs were already described in the Results section. The following discussion, therefore, focuses on general aspects and selected major functional DEG categories of the transcriptome resetting process.

3.2 Transcriptome resetting during preemergence growth and DEG persistence in seedlings

For the majority of the 1,900-2,000 M⁺/IND and M⁻/IND DEGs at the completion of germination $(T_{100\%})$, resetting occurred during pre-emergence seedling growth and is completed in 72-hat seedlings ([Figures 7,](#page-9-0) [8](#page-10-0)). Examples of this include all hypoxia-responsive and many hormone-related genes (see Section 2.3), as well as dormancy, maturation, LEA, and HSP genes (see Section 2.5). However, a considerable number of ethylene, GA, and auxin-related genes either persisted as a DEG in 72-hat seedling or developed into a DEG during pre-emergence seedling growth $(T_{100\%}$ to 72-hat seedling roots or shoots). This includes enhanced transcript expression for the ethylene-forming enzyme gene AearACO2 in 72-hat seedlings derived from IND fruits ([Supplementary Figure 5](#page-23-0)), GA-related genes including encoding the bioactive GA4-forming enzyme AearGA3ox1, the GA receptor AearGID1b ([Figure 9B\)](#page-11-0), and several auxin-related genes including AearSAUR11 [\(Figure 10B](#page-12-0)). Ethylene, GA, and ABA interact with auxin to regulate seedling growth differently in shoots and roots ([Belin et al., 2009](#page-24-0); [Hu et al., 2017](#page-24-0); [Ahammed et al., 2020;](#page-23-0) [Wang](#page-26-0) [et al., 2023b\)](#page-26-0). ACO2 is known for its role in counteracting ABA effects in seeds ([Linkies et al., 2009;](#page-25-0) [Linkies and Leubner-Metzger,](#page-25-0) [2012\)](#page-25-0) and in promoting apical hook formation in seedling shoots ([Wang et al., 2023b\)](#page-26-0), and ethylene mediates the ABA inhibition on seedling root growth ([Ahammed et al., 2020](#page-23-0)). Flavonoid biosynthesis pathway genes ([Figure 13](#page-15-0)) exhibited a distinct expression pattern from most other DEGs with a root-specific upregulation in 72-hat M^+ seedlings derived from germinated M^+ seeds. The role of flavonoids in M⁺ seedlings as compared to M[−] seedlings and the distinct regulation by MBW protein complexes remain to be elucidated by future research.

ERF and ARF TFs are involved in the control of seedling growth by modulating ABA, ethylene, gibberellin, and auxin signaling [\(Kim](#page-25-0) [et al., 2012](#page-25-0); [Zhou et al., 2016](#page-26-0); [Lorrai et al., 2018](#page-25-0); [Zhu et al., 2020;](#page-26-0) [Templalexis et al., 2022](#page-26-0)). In agreement with the role of distinct hormonal signaling in M^+ and M^- Ae. arabicum seedlings, transcripts for AearARF6 and several ERF TFs are DEGs in 72 hat seedling roots or shoots [\(Figure 9](#page-11-0); [Supplementary Figure 5\)](#page-23-0). ARF and ERF TFs were also identified as DEGs in seedlings derived from the dimorphic (black versus brown) seeds of Suaeda aralocaspica [\(Cao et al., 2022\)](#page-24-0). Resetting for the majority of the Ae. arabicum TF DEGs during pre-emergence seedling growth was completed at 72 hat [\(Figure 14;](#page-16-0) [Supplementary Figure 8](#page-23-0)). Notable exceptions were two NAC (AearNAC2 and AearNAC32) and two WRKY (AearWRKY9 and AearWRKY40) TF genes for which higher transcript abundances in IND fruit diaspores during

germination persisted at the 72-hat seedling state ([Figure 14B](#page-16-0)). In A. thaliana seedling growth, these TFs are involved in integrating environmental and hormonal stimuli (see Section 2.5). Taken together, transcriptomes of M^+ and M^- Ae. arabicum seedlings derived from germinated M^+ seeds and IND fruits differ in the expression of genes involved in ethylene and GA metabolism, hormone signaling, and for various TFs with roles in integrating environmental and hormonal stimuli.

3.3 Dimorphic expression patterns of auxin/ion transporter and CWRP genes in seedlings

Precise auxin distribution patterns and polar transport are required for the control of root and hypocotyl growth and development [\(Teale et al., 2006](#page-26-0); [Hu et al., 2017;](#page-24-0) [Wang et al.,](#page-26-0) [2023b\)](#page-26-0). The PILS auxin carriers are known to be involved in intracellular auxin homeostasis [\(Feraru et al., 2012](#page-24-0), [2019](#page-24-0); [Bogaert](#page-24-0) [et al., 2022;](#page-24-0) [Feraru et al., 2022\)](#page-24-0). In Ae. arabicum, several auxin carriers were DEGs during late germination $(T_{100\%})$, but their expression difference was reset during seedling pre-emergence growth ([Figures 9,](#page-11-0) [10\)](#page-12-0). AearPILS3, in addition, remained a DEG at 72 hat where it was more highly expressed only in seedling shoots derived from IND fruits. AearPILS7 became a root-specific DEG at 72 and 240 hat, where it was more highly expressed only in seedling roots derived from IND fruits. Seedlings derived from IND fruits differed from M⁺ and M[−] seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ seeds and bare M[−] seeds, respectively, in that their lower hypocotyls were often bent and not straight and that their hypocotyl and root growth was slower ([Figure 4\)](#page-6-0). We speculate that differences in auxin biosynthesis, signaling, and transport play a major role in this altered seedling growth phenotype. This phenotype was, however, not connected with a difference in hypocotyl mechanics (fracture force) of 15-day-old Ae. arabicum seedlings ([Figure 4](#page-6-0)). Microtensile measurements of A. thaliana seedlings [\(Saxe et al., 2016\)](#page-25-0) demonstrated that hypocotyls differed mechanically between early (day 4) and later (day 5–7) seedlings, but there were no differences among the later seedlings ([Saxe et al., 2016\)](#page-25-0). It seems, therefore, that despite the slower growth and the bent hypocotyls of IND fruitderived Ae. arabicum seedlings, possible mechanical differences between pre-emergence (72 hat) have already disappeared in later (>240 hat) seedlings.

Downstream of the hormonal regulation are distinct expression patterns in seedlings derived from IND fruits for water and ion transporters ([Figure 12\)](#page-14-0) potentially affecting the turgor pressure and for CWRP genes [\(Figure 15\)](#page-18-0) potentially affecting the cell wall extensibility. The rapid and uniform seedling growth depends on cell expansion, which requires cell wall loosening by the action of CWRPs or apoplastic ROS ([Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger,](#page-24-0) [2006](#page-24-0); [Steinbrecher and Leubner-Metzger, 2017](#page-26-0); [2018](#page-26-0)). Among the CWRP DEGs in Ae. arabicum seedlings were genes encoding enzymes that target xyloglucan (β GAL4 and XTHs) and pectin ([Figure 15](#page-18-0), [Supplementary Figure 10\)](#page-23-0). AearXTH12, AearXTH23, and AearXTH6 were less expressed in 72-hat and 240-hat seedlings derived from IND fruits as compared to M⁺ and M[−] seedlings derived from germinated M⁺ and M[−] seeds. XTHs are known to affect seedling vigor [\(Ducatti et al., 2022\)](#page-24-0) and hypocotyl growth ([Miedes et al., 2013;](#page-25-0) [Dhar et al., 2022](#page-24-0)), and AtXTH6 is known to be regulated by ABA and auxins in seedlings [\(Overvoorde et al., 2005;](#page-25-0) [Huang et al., 2007](#page-24-0)). Morph-specific differential expression of other xyloglucan remodeling enzymes was observed during Ae. arabicum fruit and seed development ([Steinbrecher and Leubner-Metzger,](#page-26-0) [2022\)](#page-26-0), dimorphic diaspore germination [\(Chandler et al., 2024\)](#page-24-0), and dimorphic seedling growth (this work). CWRP gene expression in the dimorphic diaspore model Ae. arabicum, therefore, revealed either resetting or distinct expression patterns (as DEG in seedlings) during seed–seedling transition. Taken together, we conclude that the transcriptomes of seedlings derived from the dimorphic diaspores, M⁺ seeds and IND fruits, undergo transcriptional resetting during the post-germination pre-emergence growth transition phase from germinated diaspores to growing seedlings.

4 Materials and methods

4.1 Plant material and germination assays

Plants of Ae. arabicum (L.) Andrz. ex DC. were grown from accessions TUR ES1020 (from Turkey) as described by [Chandler](#page-24-0) [et al. \(2024\)](#page-24-0). Mature M^+ seeds and IND fruits were harvested, further dried over silica gel for 1 week, and stored for a few months at −20°C in air-tight containers. For germination assays, dry mature seeds (M⁺ or M[−]) or IND fruits were placed in 3-cm Petri dishes containing two layers of filter paper, 3 mL deionized water (dH₂O), and 0.1% Plant Preservative Mixture (Plant Cell Technology, Washington, DC, USA). Temperature response profiles ([Supplementary Figure 1\)](#page-23-0) were obtained by incubating plates on a GRD1-LH temperature gradient plate device (Grant Instruments Ltd., Cambridge, UK). Subsequent germination assays were conducted by incubating plates in MLR-350 Versatile Environmental Test Chambers (Sanyo-Panasonic, Bracknell, UK) at the indicated imbibition temperature as described by [Chandler](#page-24-0) [et al. \(2024\)](#page-24-0). Seed germination, scored as radicle emergence, of three biological replicates of 30 seeds or fruits was analyzed.

4.2 Seedling growth assays

Seedling growth assays were conducted using just-germinated seeds (1-mm radicle protrusion visible; obtained from surfacesterilized seeds germinated in darkness at 9°C), which were selected for transfer to 12 cm \times 12 cm plates containing media based on 1-mm protrusion of the radicle. As medium autoclaved 1% (w/v) agar in 1/10 Murashige and Skoog (MS) basal medium (M5519, Sigma, Darmstadt, Germany) was used. For the seedling growth assays, plates were incubated vertically in constant white light (170 µmol·m−² ·s−¹) in MLR-350 Versatile Environmental Test Chambers (Sanyo-Panasonic, Bracknell, UK) at a constant temperature, as indicated ([Figure 2;](#page-4-0) [Supplementary Figure 2](#page-23-0)). For seedling growth assays during osmotic stress ([Supplementary](#page-23-0) [Figure 3](#page-23-0)), water potentials were lowered using high-molecularweight polyethylene glycol (PEG6000; 26603.293, VWR, Radnor, PA, USA) using an overlay method ([Van Der Weele et al., 2000;](#page-26-0) [Verslues and Bray, 2004\)](#page-26-0). Seedling growth assay constant temperatures were 14°C for osmotic stress, and temperatures for the thermal stress experiments were between 14°C and 35°C.

4.3 Biochemical and biomechanical analyses

The chlorophyll content of seedlings grown horizontally at 30°C was determined after extracting pigments from leaf tissues homogenized in methanol at room temperature for 15 min while shaking at 1,000 rpm on a thermomixer (S8012-0000, Starlab, Milton Keynes, UK). The obtained extracts were centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 g. The absorbance of the supernatants was determined at 750 nm, 665 nm, and 652 nm using a microplate reader (Spark[®] 10M, Tecan, Zürich, Switzerland) and subsequently used to calculate chlorophyll contents ([Porra et al., 1989](#page-25-0)). For the biomechanical analyses [\(Steinbrecher and Leubner-Metzger, 2017\)](#page-26-0), just-germinated Ae. arabicum seeds (M⁺ and M[−]) and IND fruits were transferred to agar plates as described above and grown for 15 days under constant white light at 30°C. IND fruits were manually split open just after the completion of germination (radicle protruding the pericarp) at 0 hat. To conduct biomechanical analyses of hypocotyls, seedlings were clamped, leaving a 7-mm gap between the jaws of a single-column tensile testing machine (Zwick Roell ZwickiLine Z0.5, Ulm, Germany). A constant speed for separation was set at 5 mm/min. Force-displacement data were obtained, and hypocotyl breaking forces were calculated [\(Figure 4\)](#page-6-0).

4.4 RNA extraction for RNA-seq transcriptome analysis

A sampling of imbibed M+ seeds, M[−] seeds, and IND fruits for molecular analyses was described by [Chandler et al. \(2024\)](#page-24-0). Three biological replicates of samples each corresponding to 20-mg dry weight of seed material were pulverized in liquid N_2 using mortar and pestle. Extraction of total RNA was performed as described by [Graeber et al. \(2011\)](#page-24-0). Sampling and RNA extraction were performed of root and shoot tissue from seedlings grown at 30°C (grown from pre-germinated seeds at 9°C in darkness). Tissue was homogenized at 6,500 rpm using a Precellys 24 (Bertin Instruments, CNIM Group, Paris, France). Seed and shoot total RNA was isolated using a protocol modified by [Chang et al. \(1993\).](#page-24-0) After the addition of RNA extraction buffer [2% (w/v) hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), 2% (w/v) polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVP), 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 25 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 2 M NaCl, and 2% (v/v) β -mercaptoethanol], samples were incubated at 65°C for 10 min with intermittent vortexing. Chloroform:isoamylalcohol (24:1) extractions were repeated three times. After the addition of 10 M LiCl to a final concentration of 2 M, RNA was precipitated overnight at 4°C and then dissolved in NaCl-Tris-EDTA (STE)

buffer [1 M NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, and 1 mM EDTA]. Three further chloroform: isoamylalcohol (24:1) extractions were then performed before precipitation in 100% (v/v) ethanol overnight at −80°C. Samples were then centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C. After the removal of the aqueous phase, the RNA pellets were washed with 70% (v/v) ethanol. Samples were centrifuged for 20 min, the ethanol was carefully removed, and the RNA was subsequently dissolved in RNase-free water. Genomic DNA was removed by DNase-I (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) digestion in solution, followed by additional purification using columns (QIAGEN RNeasy Kit). Shoot tissue RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN) and manufacturer's instructions. RNA quantity and purity were determined using a NanoDrop[™] spectrophotometer (ND-1000, ThermoScientific™, Wilmington, DE, USA) and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using the 2100 Expert Software to calculate RNA Integrity Number (RIN) values.

4.5 Analysis of RNA-seq transcriptome data

Transcriptome assembly, data trimming, filtering, read mapping, feature counting, and DEG detection were performed using the pipeline previously described by [Wilhelmsson et al.](#page-26-0) [\(2019\)](#page-26-0). PCA was performed using R [\(R Core Team, 2021](#page-25-0)) and the Bioconductor package DESeq2 ([Love et al., 2014\)](#page-25-0) and plotPCA on $log(x + 1)$ -transformed RPKM values with non-zero values in at least one sample. GO term enrichment in DEG lists was calculated with R package topGO using the elim method with Fisher's exact test ([Alexa and Rahnenfuhrer, 2021](#page-23-0)). Gene identifiers and symbols are according to earlier publications of the Ae. arabicum genome (version 2.5) and transcriptome [\(Wilhelmsson et al., 2019;](#page-26-0) [Arshad](#page-23-0) [et al., 2021;](#page-23-0) [Chandler et al., 2024](#page-24-0)), and the Ae. arabicum web portal (https://plantcode.cup.uni-freiburg.de/aetar_db/index.php) links this to the current ([Fernandez-Pozo et al., 2021\)](#page-24-0) and future genome DB and gene expression atlas.

4.6 Phylogenetic analysis

To identify Brassicaceae PILS genes [\(Figure 11\)](#page-13-0), the A. thaliana sequences ([Yi et al., 2021](#page-26-0); [Bogaert et al., 2022](#page-24-0); [Waidmann et al.,](#page-26-0) [2023](#page-26-0)) were used, and BLAST analyses were conducted via Phytozome [\(Goodstein et al., 2012](#page-24-0)). The combined information of the BLAST analyses was used to conduct the phylogenetic analysis with known and putative PILS amino acid sequences aligned using ClustalW (BLOSUM cost matrix, Gap open cost 10, Gap extend cost 0.1), and Neighbor-Joining trees [\(Saitou and Nei,](#page-25-0) [1987\)](#page-25-0) were built using Geneious 8.1.9 Tree Builder (Geneious, San Diego, CA, USA) using Jukes-Cantor distance. Consensus support (minimum 20%) was determined using bootstrap (1,000).

4.7 Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean ± 1 SEM. Statistical analysis of experiments was performed using the GraphPad Prism software (v.7.0a; San Diego, CA, USA) for the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and unpaired t-test procedures. For studies examining abiotic stress effects, data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA, with seedling morph and seedling age (time) as between-group factors. Multiple comparisons were performed using Sidak's post-hoc correction in GraphPad Prism. Results were considered statistically significant if the p-value was less than 0.05.

Data availability statement

The RNAseq data are deposited at the NCBI Sequencing Read Archive (SRA), BioProject PRJNA639399, [https://www.ncbi.nlm.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA639399) [nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA639399;](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA639399) metadata about the RNAseq samples are also available in Supplementary Dataset 1. Original germination and biomechanics datasets are available at figshare <https://doi.org/10.17637/rh.24856305.v2>. All other data presented or analyzed in this published article, including accession number(s), are available online through the [Supplementary Material](#page-23-0).

Author contributions

WA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. TS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing PW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. NF: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. MP: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. ZM: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SR: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. JC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work is part of the ERA-CAPS "SeedAdapt" consortium project which was led by GL-M, and funded by grants from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) to GL-M (BB/M00192X/1), from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) through a Doctoral Training Grant to WA (NE/L002485/1), from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) SR (RE 1697/8-1), from Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033) and the European Social Fund to NFP (RYC2020-030219-I and PID2021- 125805OA-I00), from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) to ZM (FWF I3979-B25). We also acknowledge the support of DataPLANT to SAR (NFDI 7/1 -42077441) as part of the German National Research Data Infrastructure.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support of Lincy Fernandez, Katja Sperber, Teresa Lenser, Samik Bhattacharya, Sara Mayland-Quellhorst, Setareh Mohammadin, Safina Khan, Christopher Grosche, Giles Grainge, Thomas Holloway, Kazumi Nakabayashi, and Michael Ignatz in discussions, harvesting of diaspores, and other tasks. The authors are grateful for the excellent support of the Next Generation Sequencing Unit of the Vienna BioCenter Core Facilities (VBCF).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1358312/full#supplementary-material)

References

Ahammed, G. J., Gantait, S., Mitra, M., Yang, Y. X., and Li, X. (2020). Role of ethylene crosstalk in seed germination and early seedling development: A review. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 151, 124–131. doi: [10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.03.016)

Alexa, A., and Rahnenfuhrer, J. (2021). topGO: Enrichment analysis for Gene Ontology. R package version 2.44.0.

Arjmand, M. P., Lahiji, H. S., Golfazani, M. M., and Biglouei, M. H. (2023). New insights on the regulatory network of drought-responsive key genes in. Genetica 151, 29–45. doi: [10.1007/s10709-022-00177-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-022-00177-3)

Arshad, W., Lenser, T., Wilhelmsson, P. K. I., Chandler, J. O., Steinbrecher, T., Marone, F., et al. (2021). A tale of two morphs: developmental patterns and mechanisms of seed coat differentiation in the dimorphic diaspore model Aethionema arabicum (Brassicaceae). Plant J. 107, 166–181. doi: [10.1111/tpj.15283](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15283)

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA SHEET 1

RNA-seq RPKM values, gene annotations, DEGs, raw counts, and SRA accessions.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA SHEET 2

GO term enrichment analysis.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

Dimorphic diaspore responses of Aethionema arabicum to temperature.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S2

Effect of a range of constant temperatures on the growth of Aethionema arabicum M+ and M- seedlings.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S3

Effect of water potential (osmotica) on the growth of Aethionema arabicum M + and M- seedlings.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S4

Enrichment scores for selected GO terms significantly enriched in at least one selected DEG list.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S5

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of selected Aethionema arabicum ethylene and ABA-related DEGs.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S6

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of selected Aethionema arabicum GA and auxin-related DEGs.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S7

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of Aethionema arabicum chlorophyll-related and transporter DEGs.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S8

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of Aethionema arabicum transcription factor (TF) DEGs.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S9

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of Aethionema arabicum germin, LEA and HSP DEGs.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S10

Comparative spatiotemporal analysis of transcript abundance patterns of Aethionema arabicum cell wall remodelling protein (CWRP) DEGs.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S1

Number of Aethionema arabicum DEGs identified.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S2 Aethionema arabicum gene names and IDs.

Arshad, W., Marone, F., Collinson, M. E., Leubner-Metzger, G., and Steinbrecher, T. (2020). Fracture of the dimorphic fruits of Aethionema arabicum (Brassicaceae). Botany 98, 65–75. doi: [10.1139/cjb-2019-0014](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2019-0014)

Arshad, W., Sperber, K., Steinbrecher, T., Nichols, B., Jansen, V., Leubner-Metzger, G., et al. (2019). Dispersal biophysics and adaptive significance of dimorphic diaspores in the annual Aethionema arabicum (Brassicaceae). New Phytol. 221, 1434–1446. doi: [10.1111/nph.15490](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15490)

Arsovski, A. A., Popma, T. M., Haughn, G. W., Carpita, N. C., Mccann, M. C., and Western, T. L. (2009). AtBXL1 encodes a bifunctional beta-D-xylosidase/ alpha-L-arabinofuranosidase required for pectic arabinan modification in Arabidopsis mucilage secretory cells. Plant Physiol. 150, 1219–1234. doi: [10.1104/pp.109.138388](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.138388)

Aryal, B., Huynh, J., Schneuwly, J., Siffert, A., Liu, J., Alejandro, S., et al. (2019). ABCG36/ PEN3/PDR8 is an exporter of the auxin precursor, indole-3-butyric acid, and involved in auxin-controlled development. Front. Plant Sci. 10, 899. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2019.00899](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00899)

Baskin, C. C., and Baskin, J. M. (2014). Seeds - Ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and germination (San Diego, London: Academic Press).

Baskin, J. M., Lu, J. J., Baskin, C. C., Tan, D. Y., and Wang, L. (2014). Diaspore dispersal ability and degree of dormancy in heteromorphic species of cold deserts of northwest China: A review. Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. System. 16, 93–99. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2014.02.004) [j.ppees.2014.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2014.02.004)

Belin, C., Megies, C., Hauserova, E., and Lopez-Molina, L. (2009). Abscisic acid represses growth of the Arabidopsis embryonic axis after germination by enhancing auxin signaling. Plant Cell 21, 2253–2268. doi: [10.1105/tpc.109.067702](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.067702)

Bhattacharya, S., Mayland-Quellhorst, S., Muller, C., and Mummenhoff, K. (2019a). Two-tier morpho-chemical defence tactic in Aethionema via fruit morph plasticity and glucosinolates allocation in diaspores. Plant Cell Environ. 42, 1381–1392. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13462) [pce.13462](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13462)

Bhattacharya, S., Sperber, K., Ozudogru, B., Leubner-Metzger, G., and Mummenhoff, K. (2019b). Naturally-primed life strategy plasticity of dimorphic Aethionema arabicum facilitates optimal habitat colonization. Sci. Rep. 9, 16108. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52520-y) [s41598-019-52520-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52520-y)

Bogaert, K. A., Blomme, J., Beeckman, T., and De Clerck, O. (2022). Auxin's origin: do PILS hold the key? Trends Plant Sci. 27, 227–236. doi: [10.1016/j.tplants.2021.09.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.09.008)

Boron, A. K., Van Loock, B., Suslov, D., Markakis, M. N., Verbelen, J. P., and Vissenberg, K. (2015). Over-expression of AtEXLA2 alters etiolated arabidopsis hypocotyl growth. Ann. Bot. 115, 67–80. doi: [10.1093/aob/mcu221](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu221)

Cao, D., Baskin, C. C., Baskin, J. M., Yang, F., and Huang, Z. (2012). Comparison of germination and seed bank dynamics of dimorphic seeds of the cold desert halophyte Suaeda corniculata subsp. mongolica. Ann. Bot. 110, 1545–1558. doi: [10.1093/aob/](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcs205) [mcs205](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcs205)

Cao, J. (2012). The pectin lyases in Arabidopsis thaliana: Evolution, selection and expression profiles. PloS One 7, e46944. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0046944](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046944)

Cao, J., Li, X. R., Chen, L., He, M. X., and Lan, H. Y. (2022). The developmental delay of seedlings with cotyledons only confers stress tolerance to Suaeda aralocaspica (Chenopodiaceae) by unique performance on morphology, physiology, and gene expression. Front. Plant Sci. 13, 844430. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2022.844430](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.844430)

Chandler, J. O., Wilhelmsson, P. K. I., Fernandez-Pozo, N., Graeber, K., Arshad, W., Pérez, M., et al. (2024). The dimorphic diaspore model Aethionema arabicum (Brassicaceae): Distinct molecular and morphological control of responses to parental and germination temperatures. Plant Cell 36, in press. doi: [10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.14.571707) [2023.12.14.571707](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.14.571707)

Chang, S., Puryear, J., and Cairney, J. (1993). A simple and efficient method for isolating RNA from pine trees. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 11, 113–116. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02670468) [BF02670468](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02670468)

Christianson, J. A., Wilson, I. W., Llewellyn, D. J., and Dennis, E. S. (2009). The lowoxygen induced NAC domain transcription factor ANAC102 affects viability of Arabidopsis thaliana seeds following low-oxygen treatment. Plant Physiol. 149, 1724–1738. doi: [10.1104/pp.108.131912](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.131912)

Cosgrove, D. J. (2016). Plant cell wall extensibility: connecting plant cell growth with cell wall structure, mechanics, and the action of wall-modifying enzymes. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 463–476. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erv511](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv511)

Daher, F. B., and Braybrook, S. A. (2015). How to let go: pectin and plant cell adhesion. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 523. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2015.00523](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00523)

Dekkers, B. J. W., Pearce, S., Van Bolderen-Veldkamp, R. P. M., Marshall, A., Wider, P., Gilbert, J., et al. (2013). Transcriptional dynamics of two seed compartments with opposing roles in Arabidopsis seed germination. Plant Physiol. 163, 205–215. doi: [10.1104/pp.113.223511](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.223511)

Dhar, S., Kim, J., Yoon, E. K., Jang, S., Ko, K., and Lim, J. (2022). SHORT-ROOT controls cell elongation in the etiolated Arabidopsis hypocotyl. Mol. Cells 45, 243–256. doi: [10.14348/molcells.2021.5008](https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2021.5008)

Ducatti, K. R., Batista, T. B., Hirai, W. Y., Luccas, D. A., Moreno, L. D., Guimaraes, C. C., et al. (2022). Transcripts expressed during germination are associated with vigor in soybean seeds. Plants-Basel 11, 1310. doi: [10.3390/plants11101310](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11101310)

Endo, A., Tatematsu, K., Hanada, K., Duermeyer, L., Okamoto, M., Yonekura-Sakakibara, K., et al. (2012). Tissue-specific transcriptome analysis reveals cell wall metabolism, flavonol biosynthesis and defense responses are activated in the endosperm of germinating Arabidopsis thaliana seeds. Plant Cell Physiol. 53, 16–27. doi: [10.1093/pcp/pcr171](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcr171)

Fenner, M. (1987). Seedlings. New Phytol. 106, 35–47. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1987.tb04681.x) [8137.1987.tb04681.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1987.tb04681.x)

Feraru, E., Feraru, M. I., Barbez, E., Waidmann, S., Sun, L., Gaidora, A., et al. (2019). PILS6 is a temperature-sensitive regulator of nuclear auxin input and organ growth in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States America 116, 3893–3898. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1814015116](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814015116)

Feraru, E., Feraru, M. I., Moulinier-Anzola, J., Schwihla, M., Santos, J. F. D., Sun, L., et al. (2022). PILS proteins provide a homeostatic feedback on auxin signaling output. Development 149, dev200929. doi: [10.1242/dev.200929](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.200929)

Feraru, E., Vosolsobe, S., Feraru, M. I., Petrasek, J., and Kleine-Vehn, J. (2012). Evolution and structural diversification of PILS putative auxin carriers in plants. Front. Plant Sci. 3, 227. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2012.00227](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00227)

Fernandez-Pozo, N., Metz, T., Chandler, J. O., Gramzow, L., Merai, Z., Maumus, F., et al. (2021). Aethionema arabicum genome annotation using PacBio full-length transcripts provides a valuable resource for seed dormancy and Brassicaceae evolution research. Plant J. 106, 275–293. doi: [10.1111/tpj.15161](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15161)

Finch-Savage, W. E., and Bassel, G. W. (2016). Seed vigour and crop establishment: extending performance beyond adaptation. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 567-591. doi: [10.1093/jxb/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv490) [erv490](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv490)

Finch-Savage, W. E., and Footitt, S. (2017). Seed dormancy cycling and the regulation of dormancy mechanisms to time germination in variable field environments. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 843–856. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erw477](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw477)

Finch-Savage, W. E., and Leubner-Metzger, G. (2006). Seed dormancy and the control of germination. New Phytol. 171, 501–523. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01787.x) [8137.2006.01787.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01787.x)

Footitt, S., Clewes, R., Feeney, M., Finch-Savage, W. E., and Frigerio, L. (2019). Aquaporins influence seed dormancy and germination in response to stress. Plant Cell Environ. 42, 2325–2339. doi: [10.1111/pce.13561](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13561)

Franzke, A., Lysak, M. A., Al-Shehbaz, I. A., Koch, M. A., and Mummenhoff, K. (2011). Cabbage family affairs: the evolutionary history of Brassicaceae. Trends Plant Sci. 16, 108–116. doi: [10.1016/j.tplants.2010.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.11.005)

Gardarin, A., Coste, F., Wagner, M. H., and Durr, C. (2016). How do seed and seedling traits influence germination and emergence parameters in crop species? A comparative analysis. Seed Sci. Res. 26, 317–331. doi: [10.1017/S0960258516000210](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960258516000210)

Gasch, P., Fundinger, M., Muller, J. T., Lee, T., Bailey-Serres, J., and Mustroph, A. (2016). Redundant ERF-VII transcription factors bind to an evolutionarily conserved cis-motif to regulate hypoxia-responsive gene expression in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 28, 160–180. doi: [10.1105/tpc.15.00866](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00866)

Geisler, M., Aryal, B., Di Donato, M., and Hao, P. C. (2017). A critical view on ABC transporters and their interacting partners in auxin transport. Plant Cell Physiol. 58,
1601–1614. doi: [10.1093/pcp/pcx104](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcx104)

Gianella, M., Bradford, K. J., and Guzzon, F. (2021). Ecological, (epi)genetic and physiological aspects of bet-hedging in angiosperms. Plant Reprod. 34, 21–36. doi: [10.1007/s00497-020-00402-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00497-020-00402-z)

Goodstein, D. M., Shu, S. Q., Howson, R., Neupane, R., Hayes, R. D., Fazo, J., et al. (2012). Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D1178–D1186. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkr944](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr944)

Graeber, K., Linkies, A., Steinbrecher, T., Mummenhoff, K., Tarkowská, D., Turečková, V., et al. (2014). DELAY OF GERMINATION 1 mediates a conserved coat dormancy mechanism for the temperature- and gibberellin-dependent control of seed germination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States America 111, E3571-E3580. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1403851111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1403851111)

Graeber, K., Linkies, A., Wood, A. T., and Leubner-Metzger, G. (2011). A guideline to family-wide comparative state-of-the-art quantitative RT-PCR analysis exemplified with a Brassicaceae cross-species seed germination case study. Plant Cell 23, 2045–2063. doi: [10.1105/tpc.111.084103](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.084103)

Ha, J. H., Han, S. H., Lee, H. J., and Park, C. M. (2017). Environmental adaptation of the heterotrophic-to-autotrophic transition: The developmental plasticity of seedling establishment. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 36, 128–137. doi: [10.1080/07352689.2017.1355661](https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2017.1355661)

He, X. J., Mu, R. L., Cao, W. H., Zhang, Z. G., Zhang, J. S., and Chen, S. Y. (2005). AtNAC2, a transcription factor downstream of ethylene and auxin signaling pathways, is involved in salt stress response and lateral root development. *Plant J.* 44, 903–916.
doi: [10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02575.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02575.x)

Hoai, P. T. T., Tyerman, S. D., Schnell, N., Tucker, M., Mcgaughey, S. A., Qiu, J. E., et al. (2020). Deciphering aquaporin regulation and roles in seed biology. J. Exp. Bot. 71, 1763–1773. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erz555](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz555)

Holloway, T., Steinbrecher, T., Pérez, M., Seville, A., Stock, D., Nakabayashi, K., et al. (2021). Coleorhiza-enforced seed dormancy: a novel mechanism to control germination in grasses. New Phytol. 229, 2179–2191. doi: [10.1111/nph.16948](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16948)

Hu, Y. M., Vandenbussche, F., and van der Straeten, D. (2017). Regulation of seedling growth by ethylene and the ethylene-auxin crosstalk. Planta 245, 467–489. doi: [10.1007/s00425-017-2651-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-017-2651-6)

Hu, X. W., Wang, Y. R., and Wu, Y. P. (2009). Effects of the pericarp on imbibition, seed germination, and seedling establishment in seeds of Hedysarum scoparium Fisch. et Mey. Ecol. Res. 24, 559–564. doi: [10.1007/s11284-008-0524-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-008-0524-y)

Huang, D. Q., Jaradat, M. R., Wu, W. R., Ambrose, S. J., Ross, A. R., Abrams, S. R., et al. (2007). Structural analogs of ABA reveal novel features of ABA perception and signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 50, 414–428. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03056.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03056.x)

Hundertmark, M., and Hincha, D. K. (2008). LEA (late embryogenesis abundant) proteins and their encoding genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. BMC Genomics 9, 118. doi: [10.1186/1471-2164-9-118](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-118)

Huysmans, M., Buono, R. A., Skorzinski, N., Radio, M. C., De Winter, F., Parizot, B., et al. (2018). NAC transcription factors ANAC087 and ANAC046 control distinct aspects of programmed cell death in the Arabidopsis columella and lateral root cap. Plant Cell 30, 2197–2213. doi: [10.1105/tpc.18.00293](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00293)

Ignatz, M., Hourston, J. E., Tureckova, V., Strnad, M., Meinhard, J., Fischer, U., et al. (2019). The biochemistry underpinning industrial seed technology and mechanical processing of sugar beet. Planta 250, 1717–1729. doi: [10.1007/s00425-019-03257-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03257-5)

Ilias, I. A., Negishi, K., Yasue, K., Jomura, N., Morohashi, K., Baharum, S. N., et al. (2019). Transcriptome-wide effects of expansin gene manipulation in etiolated Arabidopsis seedling. J. Plant Res. 132, 159–172. doi: [10.1007/s10265-018-1067-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-018-1067-0)

Imbert, E. (2002). Ecological consequences and ontogeny of seed heteromorphism. Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. System. 5, 13–36. doi: [10.1078/1433-8319-00021](https://doi.org/10.1078/1433-8319-00021)

Johnson, K. L., Ramm, S., Kappel, C., Ward, S., Leyser, O., Sakamoto, T., et al. (2015). The Tinkerbell (Tink) mutation identifies the dual-specificity MAPK phosphatase INDOLE-3-BUTYRIC ACID-RESPONSE5 (IBR5) as a novel regulator of organ size in Arabidopsis. PloS One 10, e0131103. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0131103](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131103)

Khedia, J., Agarwal, P., and Agarwal, P. K. (2019). Deciphering hydrogen peroxide-induced signalling towards stress tolerance in plants. 3 Biotech. 9, 395. doi: [10.1007/s13205-019-1924-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-019-1924-0)

Kim, M. J., Ruzicka, D., Shin, R., and Schachtman, D. P. (2012). The AP2/ERF transcription factor RAP2.11 modulates plant response to low-potassium conditions. Mol. Plant 5, 1042–1057. doi: [10.1093/mp/sss003](https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/sss003)

Kitamura, S., Matsuda, F., Tohge, T., Yonekura-Sakakibara, K., Yamazaki, M., Saito, K., et al. (2010). Metabolic profiling and cytological analysis of proanthocyanidins in immature seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana flavonoid accumulation mutants. Plant J. 62, 549–559. doi: [10.1111/tpj.2010.62.issue-4](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.2010.62.issue-4)

Lee, T. A., and Bailey-Serres, J. (2019). Integrative analysis from the epigenome to translatome uncovers patterns of dominant nuclear regulation during transient stress. Plant Cell 31, 2573–2595. doi: [10.1105/tpc.19.00463](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00463)

Lee, D., Polisensky, D. H., and Braam, J. (2005). Genome-wide identification of touch- and darkness-regulated Arabidopsis genes: a focus on calmodulin-like and XTH genes. New Phytol. 165, 429-444. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01238.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01238.x)

Lenser, T., Graeber, K., Cevik, O. S., Adiguzel, N., Donmez, A. A., Grosche, C., et al. (2016). Developmental control and plasticity of fruit and seed dimorphism in Aethionema arabicum. Plant Physiol. 172, 1691–1707. doi: [10.1104/pp.16.00838](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00838)

Lenser, T., Tarkowska, D., Novak, O., Wilhelmsson, P. K. I., Bennett, T., Rensing, S. A., et al. (2018). When the BRANCHED network bears fruit: How carpic dominance causes fruit dimorphism in Aethionema. Plant J. 94, 352–371. doi: [10.1111/tpj.13861](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13861)

Lepiniec, L., Debeaujon, I., Routaboul, J. M., Baudry, A., Pourcel, L., Nesi, N., et al. (2006). Genetics and biochemistry of seed flavonoids. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 57, 405– 430. doi: [10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105252](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105252)

Linkies, A., and Leubner-Metzger, G. (2012). Beyond gibberellins and abscisic acid: how ethylene and jasmonates control seed germination. Plant Cell Rep. 31, 253–270. doi: [10.1007/s00299-011-1180-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-011-1180-1)

Linkies, A., Müller, K., Morris, K., Turečková, V., Cadman, C. S. C., Corbineau, F., et al. (2009). Ethylene interacts with abscisic acid to regulate endosperm rupture during germination: A comparative approach using Lepidium sativum and Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 21, 3803–3822. doi: [10.1105/tpc.109.070201](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.070201)

Loades, E., Perez, M., Tureckova, V., Tarkowska, D., Strnad, M., Seville, A., et al. (2023). Distinct hormonal and morphological control of dormancy and germination in Chenopodium album dimorphic seeds. Front. Plant Sci. 14, 1156794. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2023.1156794](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1156794)

Loqué, D., Ludewig, U., Yuan, L. X., and Von Wirén, N. (2005). Tonoplast intrinsic proteins AtTIP2;1 and AtTIP2;3 facilitate NH₃ transport into the vacuole. Plant Physiol. 137, 671–680. doi: [10.1104/pp.104.051268](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.051268)

Lorrai, R., Gandolfi, F., Boccaccini, A., Ruta, V., Possenti, M., Tramontano, A., et al. (2018). Genome-wide RNA-seq analysis indicates that the DAG1 transcription factor promotes hypocotyl elongation acting on ABA, ethylene and auxin signaling. Sci. Rep. 8, 15895. doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-34256-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34256-3)

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8) [s13059-014-0550-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8)

Lu, J. J., Dong, W. J., Tan, D. Y., Baskin, C. C., and Baskin, J. M. (2020). Dormancy and germination of the trimorphic achenes of a cold desert annual: spreading the risk over time. AoB Plants 12, plaa056. doi: [10.1093/aobpla/plaa056](https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plaa056)

Lu, J. J., Tan, D. Y., Baskin, J. M., and Baskin, C. C. (2015a). Post-release fates of seeds in dehiscent and indehiscent siliques of the diaspore heteromorphic species Diptychocarpus strictus (Brassicaceae). Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. System. 17, 255– 262. doi: [10.1016/j.ppees.2015.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2015.04.001)

Lu, J. J., Tan, D. Y., Baskin, C. C., and Baskin, J. M. (2017a). Delayed dehiscence of the pericarp: role in germination and retention of viability of seeds of two cold desert annual Brassicaceae species. Plant Biol. 19, 14–22. doi: [10.1111/plb.12457](https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12457)

Lu, J. J., Tan, D. Y., Baskin, C. C., and Baskin, J. M. (2017b). Role of indehiscent pericarp in formation of soil seed bank in five cold desert Brassicaceae species. Plant Ecol. 218, 1187–1200. doi: [10.1007/s11258-017-0760-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-017-0760-8)

Lu, J. J., Zhou, Y. M., Tan, D. Y., Baskin, C. C., and Baskin, J. M. (2015b). Seed dormancy in six cold desert Brassicaceae species with indehiscent fruits. Seed Sci. Res. 25, 276–285. doi: [10.1017/S0960258515000215](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960258515000215)

Mabuchi,K.,Maki, H., Itaya, T., Suzuki, T., Nomoto,M., Sakaoka, S., et al. (2018).MYB30 links ROS signaling, root cell elongation, and plant immune responses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States America 115, E4710–E4719. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1804233115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804233115)

Macgregor, D. R., Kendall, S. L., Florance, H., Fedi, F., Moore, K., Paszkiewicz, K., et al. (2015). Seed production temperature regulation of primary dormancy occurs through control of seed coat phenylpropanoid metabolism. New Phytol. 205, 642–652. doi: [10.1111/nph.13090](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13090)

Maki, H., Sakaoka, S., Itaya, T., Suzuki, T., Mabuchi, K., Amabe, T., et al. (2019). ANAC032 regulates root growth through the MYB30 gene regulatory network. Sci. Rep. 9, 11358. doi: [10.1038/s41598-019-47822-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47822-0)

Mamut, J., Tan, D.-Y., Baskin, C. C., and Baskin, J. M. (2014). Role of trichomes and pericarp in the seed biology of the desert annual Lachnoloma lehmannii (Brassicaceae). Ecol. Res. 29, 33–44. doi: [10.1007/s11284-013-1098-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-013-1098-x)

Maris, A., Kaewthai, N., Eklof, J. M., Miller, J. G., Brumer, H., Fry, S. C., et al. (2010). Differences in enzymic properties of five recombinant xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) proteins of Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp. Bot. 62, 261–271. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erq263](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq263)

Maun, M. A., and Payne, A. M. (1989). Fruit and seed polymorphism and its relation to seedling growth in the genus Cakile. Can. J. Bot. 67, 2743–2750. doi: [10.1139/b89-353](https://doi.org/10.1139/b89-353)

Membre, N., Bernier, F., Staiger, D., and Berna, A. (2000). Arabidopsis thaliana germin-like proteins: common and specific features point to a variety of functions. Planta 211, 345–354. doi: [10.1007/s004250000277](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004250000277)

Meng, S., Peng, J. S., He, Y. N., Zhang, G. B., Yi, H. Y., Fu, Y. L., et al. (2016). NRT1.5 mediates the suppression of nitrate starvation-induced leaf senescence by modulating foliar potassium level. Mol. Plant 9, 461–470. doi: [10.1016/j.molp.2015.12.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2015.12.015)

Merai, Z., Graeber, K., Wilhelmsson, P., Ullrich, K. K., Arshad, W., Grosche, C., et al. (2019). Aethionema arabicum: a novel model plant to study the light control of seed germination. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 3313–3328. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erz146](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz146)

Miedes, E., Suslov, D., Vandenbussche, F., Kenobi, K., Ivakov, A., van der Straeten, D., et al. (2013). Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) overexpression affects growth and cell wall mechanics in etiolated Arabidopsis hypocotyls. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 2481–2497. doi: [10.1093/jxb/ert107](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert107)

Mohammadin, S., Peterse, K., Van De Kerke, S. J., Chatrou, L. W., Donmez, A. A., Mummenhoff, K., et al. (2017). Anatolian origins and diversification of Aethionema, the sister lineage of the core Brassicaceae. Am. J. Bot. 104, 1042–1054. doi: [10.3732/](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1700091) [ajb.1700091](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1700091)

Moles, A. T., and Westoby, M. (2006). Seed size and plant strategy across the whole life cycle. Oikos 113, 91-105. doi: [10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.14194.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.14194.x)

Moneo-Sanchez, M., Alonso-Chico, A., Knox, J. P., Dopico, B., Labrador, E., and Martin, I. (2019). beta-(1,4)-Galactan remodelling in Arabidopsis cell walls affects the xyloglucan structure during elongation. Planta 249, 351–362. doi: [10.1007/s00425-018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-018-3008-5) [3008-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-018-3008-5)

Moneo-Sánchez, M., Izquierdo, L., Martín, I., Labrador, E., and Dopico, B. (2016). Subcellular location of subfamily a1 β -galactosidases and developmental regulation of transcript levels of their coding genes. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 109, 137–145. doi: [10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.09.016)

Nakabayashi, K., Walker, M., Irwin, D., Cohn, J., Gurda, S., Garcia, L., et al. (2022). The phytotoxin myrigalone A triggers a phased detoxification programme and inhibits Lepidium sativum seed germination via multiple mechanisms including interference with auxin homeostasis. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 23, 4618. doi: [10.3390/ijms23094618](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23094618)

Nichols, B. S., Leubner-Metzger, G., and Jansen, V. (2020). Between a rock and a hard place: adaptive sensing and site-specific dispersal. Ecol. Lett. 23, 1370–1379. doi: [10.1111/ele.13564](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13564)

Nie, K. L., Zhao, H. Y., Wang, X. P., Niu, Y. L., Zhou, H. P., and Zheng, Y. (2022). The MIEL1-ABI5/MYB30 regulatory module fine tunes abscisic acid signaling during seed germination. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 64, 930–941. doi: [10.1111/jipb.13234](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13234)

Overvoorde, P. J., Okushima, Y., Alonso, J. M., Chan, A., Chang, C., Ecker, J. R., et al. (2005). Functional genomic analysis of the AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID gene family members in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 17, 3282–3300. doi: [10.1105/](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.036723) [tpc.105.036723](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.036723)

Park, J., Kim, Y. S., Kim, S. G., Jung, J. H., Woo, J. C., and Park, C. M. (2011). Integration of auxin and salt signals by the NAC transcription factor NTM2 during seed germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 156, 537-549. doi: [10.1104/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.177071) [pp.111.177071](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.177071)

Porra, R. J., Thompson, W. A., and Kriedemann, P. E. (1989). Determination of accurate extinction coefficients and simultaneous-equations for assaying chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b extracted with 4 different solvents - Verification of the concentration of chlorophyll ctandards by atomic-absorption spectroscopy. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 975, 384–394. doi: [10.1016/S0005-2728\(89\)80347-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2728(89)80347-0)

Rae, G. M., Uversky, V. N., David, K., and Wood, M. (2014). DRM1 and DRM2 expression regulation: potential role of splice variants in response to stress and environmental factors in Arabidopsis. Mol. Genet. Genomics 289, 317–332. doi: [10.1007/s00438-013-0804-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-013-0804-2)

R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: [https://www.R](https://www.R-project.org/)[project.org/](https://www.R-project.org/).

Saitou, N., and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method - a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406-425. doi: [: 10.1093/](https://doi.org/: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454) [oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454](https://doi.org/: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454)

Saxe, F., Weichold, S., Reinecke, A., Lisec, J., Döring, A., Neumetzler, L., et al. (2016). Age effects on hypocotyl mechanics. PloS One 11, 0167808. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167808) [journal.pone.0167808](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167808)

Scheler, C., Weitbrecht, K., Pearce, S. P., Hampstead, A., Buettner-Mainik, A., Lee, K., et al. (2015). Promotion of testa rupture during garden cress germination involves seed compartment-specific expression and activity of pectin methylesterases. Plant Physiol. 167, 200–215. doi: [10.1104/pp.114.247429](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.247429)

Shigeyama, T., Watanabe, A., Tokuchi, K., Toh, S., Sakurai, N., Shibuya, N., et al. (2016). alpha-Xylosidase plays essential roles in xyloglucan remodelling, maintenance
of cell wall integrity, and seed germination in *Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 5615–5629. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erw321](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw321)

Shin, R., and Schachtman, D. P. (2004). Hydrogen peroxide mediates plant root cell response to nutrient deprivation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States America 101, 8827–8832. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0401707101](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401707101)

Smolikova, G., Strygina, K., Krylova, E., Vikhorev, A., Bilova, T., Frolov, A., et al. (2022). Seed-to-seedling transition in Pisum sativum L.: a transcriptomic approach. Plants (Basel) 11, 1686. doi: [10.3390/plants11131686](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11131686)

Song, J. Q., Wang, H. F., Chu, R. W., Zhao, L. T., Li, X. X., An, S., et al. (2023). Differences in physiological characteristics, seed germination, and seedling establishment in response to salt stress between dimorphic seeds in the halophyte Suaeda liaotungensis. Plants 12, 1408. doi: [10.3390/plants12061408](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12061408)

Song, S., Willems, L., Jiao, A., Zhao, T., Schranz, M. E., and Bentsink, L. (2022). The membrane associated NAC transcription factors ANAC060 and ANAC040 are functionally redundant in the inhibition of seed dormancy in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp. Bot. 73, 5514–5528. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erac232](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac232)

Steinbrecher, T., and Leubner-Metzger, G. (2017). The biomechanics of seed germination. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 765–783. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erw428](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw428)

Steinbrecher, T., and Leubner-Metzger, G. (2018). Tissue and cellular mechanics of seeds. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 51, 1–10. doi: [10.1016/j.gde.2018.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2018.03.001)

Steinbrecher, T., and Leubner-Metzger, G. (2022). Xyloglucan remodelling enzymes and the mechanics of plant seed and fruit biology. J. Exp. Bot. 73, 1253–1257. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erac020](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac020)

Stortenbeker, N., and Bemer, M. (2019). The SAUR gene family: the plant's toolbox for adaptation of growth and development. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 17–27. doi: [10.1093/jxb/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery332) [ery332](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery332)

Sun, Y., Li, H., and Huang, J. R. (2012). Arabidopsis TT19 functions as a carrier to transport anthocyanin from the cytosol to tonoplasts. Mol. Plant 5, 387–400. doi: [10.1093/mp/ssr110](https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssr110)

Tan, P. P., Du, X. H., Shang, Y. J., Zhu, K. K., Joshi, S., Kaur, K., et al. (2022). Ion transporters and their exploration for conferring abiotic stress tolerance in plants. Plant Growth Regul. 96, 1–23. doi: [10.1007/s10725-021-00762-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-021-00762-0)

Tang, X. L., Peng, Y., Li, Z., Guo, H. W., Xia, X. L., Li, B. S., et al. (2022). The regulation of nitrate reductases in response to abiotic stress in Arabidopsis. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 23, 1202. doi: [10.3390/ijms23031202](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031202)

Teale, W. D., Paponov, I. A., and Palme, K. (2006). Auxin in action: signalling, transport and the control of plant growth and development. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7, 847–859. doi: [10.1038/nrm2020](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2020)

Templalexis, D., Tsitsekian, D., Liu, C., Daras, G., Simura, J., Moschou, P., et al. (2022). Potassium transporter TRH1/KUP4 contributes to distinct auxin-mediated root system architecture responses. Plant Physiol. 188, 1043–1060. doi: [10.1093/plphys/kiab472](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab472)

Van Aken, O., Zhang, B. T., Law, S., Narsai, R., and Whelan, J. (2013). AtWRKY40 and AtWRKY63 modulate the expression of stress-responsive nuclear genes encoding mitochondrial and chloroplast proteins. Plant Physiol. 162, 254–271. doi: [10.1104/pp.113.215996](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.215996)

Van Der Weele, C. M., Spollen, W. G., Sharp, R. E., and Baskin, T. I. (2000). Growth of Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings under water deficit studied by control of water potential in nutrient-agar media. J. Exp. Bot. 51, 1555–1562. doi: [10.1093/jexbot/51.350.1555](https://doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/51.350.1555)

Verslues, P. E., and Bray, E. A. (2004). LWR1 and LWR2 are required for osmoregulation and osmotic adjustment in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 136, 2831– 2842. doi: [10.1104/pp.104.045856](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.045856)

Voegele, A., Linkies, A., Müller, K., and Leubner-Metzger, G. (2011). Members of the gibberellin receptor gene family GID1 (GIBBERELLIN INSENSITIVE DWARF1) play distinct roles during Lepidium sativum and Arabidopsis thaliana seed germination. J. Exp. Bot. 62, 5131–5147. doi: [10.1093/jxb/err214](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err214)

Waidmann, S., Beziat, C., Ferreira Da Silva Santos, J., Feraru, E., Feraru, M. I., Sun, L., et al. (2023). Endoplasmic reticulum stress controls PIN-LIKES abundance and thereby growth adaptation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States America 120, e2218865120. doi: [10.1073/pnas.2218865120](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2218865120)

Walck, J. L., Hidayati, S. N., Dixon, K. W., Thompson, K., and Poschlod, P. (2011). Climate change and plant regeneration from seed. Global Change Biol. 17, 2145–2161. doi: [10.1111/gcb.2011.17.issue-6](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.2011.17.issue-6)

Wang, T. J., Huang, S. Z., Zhang, A. I., Guo, P., Liu, Y. T., Xu, C. M., et al. (2021). JMJ17-WRKY40 and HY5-ABI5 modules regulate the expression of ABA-responsive genes in Arabidopsis. New Phytol. 230, 567–584. doi: [10.1111/nph.17177](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17177)

Wang, Y. C., Peng, Y., and Guo, H. W. (2023b). To curve for survival: Apical hook development. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 65, 324–342. doi: [10.1111/jipb.13441](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13441)

Wang, Y., Yuan, Z., Wang, J., Xiao, H., Wan, L., Li, L., et al. (2023a). The nitrate transporter NRT2.1 directly antagonizes PIN7-mediated auxin transport for root growth adaptation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States America 120, e2221313120. doi: [10.1073/pnas.2221313120](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2221313120)

Wilhelmsson, P. K. I., Chandler, J. O., Fernandez-Pozo, N., Graeber, K., Ullrich, K. K., Arshad, W., et al. (2019). Usability of reference-free transcriptome assemblies for detection of differential expression: a case study on Aethionema arabicum dimorphic seeds. BMC Genomics 20, 95. doi: [10.1186/s12864-019-5452-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5452-4)

Wu, T., Alizadeh, M., Lu, B. L., Cheng, J. K., Hoy, R., Bu, M. Y., et al. (2022). The transcriptional co-repressor SEED DORMANCY 4-LIKE (AtSDR4L) promotes the embryonic-to-vegetative transition in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 64, 2075–2096. doi: [10.1111/jipb.13360](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13360)

Xu, W. J., Dubos, C., and Lepiniec, L. (2015). Transcriptional control of flavonoid biosynthesis by MYB-bHLH-WDR complexes. Trends Plant Sci. 20, 176–185. doi: [10.1016/j.tplants.2014.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.12.001)

Xu, P. P., Fang, S., Chen, H. Y., and Cai, W. M. (2020). The brassinosteroidresponsive xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 19 (XTH19) and XTH23 genes are involved in lateral root development under salt stress in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 104, 59–75. doi: [10.1111/tpj.14905](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14905)

Xu, J., Yin, H. X., Yang, L. L., Xie, Z. X., and Liu, X. J. (2011). Differential salt tolerance in seedlings derived from dimorphic seeds of *Atriplex centralasiatica*: from
physiology to molecular analysis. *Planta* 233, 859–871. doi: [10.1007/s00425-010-1347-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-010-1347-y)

Yang, F., Baskin, J. M., Baskin, C. C., Yang, X., Cao, D., and Huang, Z. (2015). Effects of germination time on seed morph ratio in a seed-dimorphic species and possible ecological significance. Ann. Bot. 115, 137–145. doi: [10.1093/aob/mcu210](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu210)

Yi, C. Y., Wang, X. C., Chen, Q., Callahan, D. L., Fournier-Level, A., Whelan, J., et al. (2021). Diverse phosphate and auxin transport loci distinguish phosphate tolerant from sensitive Arabidopsis accessions. Plant Physiol. 187, 2656–2673. doi: [10.1093/plphys/](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab441) [kiab441](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab441)

Zhang, H., Hu, M. F., Ma, H. Y., Jiang, L., Zhao, Z. Y., Ma, J. B., et al. (2021). Differential responses of dimorphic seeds and seedlings to abiotic stresses in the halophyte Suaeda salsa. Front. Plant Sci. 12, 630338. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2021.630338](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.630338)

Zhang, S. C., and Wang, X. J. (2017). One new kind of phytohormonal signaling integrator: Up-and-coming GASA family genes. Plant Signaling Behav. 12, e1226453. doi: [10.1080/15592324.2016.1226453](https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2016.1226453)

Zhang, Y. Y., Xie, Y. F., Shi, H. F., Zhuang, Y. F., Zheng, Y., Lin, H. H., et al. (2023). MYB30 regulates submergence tolerance by repressing ethylene biosynthesis via ACS7 in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol. 64, 814–825. doi: [10.1093/pcp/pcad041](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcad041)

Zhou, Y. M., Lu, J. J., Tan, D. Y., Baskin, C. C., and Baskin, J. M. (2015). Seed germination ecology of the cold desert annual Isatis violascens (Brassicaceae): two levels of physiological dormancy and role of the pericarp. PloS One 10, e0140983. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0140983](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140983)

Zhou, X., Zhang, Z. L., Park, J., Tyler, L., Yusuke, J., Qiu, K., et al. (2016). The ERF11 transcription factor promotes internode elongation by activating gibberellin biosynthesis and signaling. Plant Physiol. 171, 2760–2770. doi: [10.1104/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00154) [pp.16.00154](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00154)

Zhu, Y. F., Huang, P. C., Guo, P. C., Chong, L., Yu, G. B., Sun, X. L., et al. (2020). CDK8 is associated with RAP2.6 and SnRK2.6 and positively modulates abscisic acid signaling and drought response in. New Phytol. 228, 1573-1590. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16787) [nph.16787](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16787)

Zinsmeister, J., Leprince, O., and Buitink, J. (2020). Molecular and environmental factors regulating seed longevity. Biochem. J. 477, 305–323. doi: [10.1042/BCJ20190165](https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20190165)