Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giao Nguyen, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development of Western Australia (DPIRD), Australia

REVIEWED BY

Guodong Liu, University of Florida, United States Kusumiyati Kusumiyati, Padjadjaran University, Indonesia

*CORRESPONDENCE

Zhao Song Songzhao@gdaas.cn Faisal Nadeem fnadeem90@gmail.com; faisal.ss@pu.edu.pk

RECEIVED 18 November 2023 ACCEPTED 12 February 2024 PUBLISHED 01 March 2024

CITATION

Nisar MM, Mahmood R, Tayyab S, Anees M, Nadeem F, Bibi S, Waseem F, Ahmed N, Li J and Song Z (2024) Comparative efficacy of non-electric cooling techniques to reduce nutrient solution temperature for the sustainable cultivation of summer vegetables in open-air hydroponics. *Front. Plant Sci.* 15:1340641. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1340641

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Nisar, Mahmood, Tayyab, Anees, Nadeem, Bibi, Waseem, Ahmed, Li and Song. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Comparative efficacy of nonelectric cooling techniques to reduce nutrient solution temperature for the sustainable cultivation of summer vegetables in open-air hydroponics

Muhammad Mohsin Nisar^{1,2}, Rashid Mahmood³, Salman Tayyab², Moazzam Anees², Faisal Nadeem ^{1,3*}, Sadia Bibi⁴, Faiza Waseem³, Nazir Ahmed⁵, Jing Li¹ and Zhao Song^{1*}

¹Key Laboratory for New Technology Research of Vegetables, Vegetable Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Science, Guangzhou, China, ²Department of Horticulture, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan, ³Department of Soil Science, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan, ⁴Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad, Pakistan, ⁵College of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

The cultivation of summer vegetables in open-air nutrient film technique (NFT) hydroponics is limited due to the elevated nutrient solution temperature (NST). In this regard, non-electric evaporative-cooling techniques were explored to maintain NST in open-air NFT hydroponics. Four cooling setups were employed by wrapping polyvinyl chloride (PVC) grow pipes with one and two layers of either wet or dry jute fabrics and attaching them with coiled aluminum pipe buried inside a) wet sand-filled brick tunnels (Cooling Setup I), b) two inverted and vertically stacked earthen pots (Cooling Setup II), c) two inverted and vertically stacked earthen pots externally wrapped with wet jute fabric (Wrapped Cooling Setup II), and d) an earthen pitcher wrapped with wet jute fabric (Cooling Setup III). Wrapping grow pipes with two layers of wet jute fabric reduced NST by 5°C as compared to exposed (naked) grow pipes. The doublelayer jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes produced 182% more reduction in NST in comparison to single layer-wrapped grow pipes. Additionally, the installation of Wrapped Cooling Setup II and Cooling Setup III outperformed Cooling Setup I and Cooling Setup II through NST reduction of approximately 4°C in comparison to control. Interestingly, Cooling Setup III showed its effectiveness through NST reductions of 193%, 88%, and 23% during 11 a.m.-12 p.m. as compared to Cooling Setup I, Cooling Setup II, and Wrapped Cooling Setup II, respectively. In contrast, Wrapped Cooling Setup II caused NST reductions of 168%, 191%, and

18% during 2–3 p.m. in comparison to Cooling Setup I, Cooling Setup II, and Cooling Setup III, respectively. Thus, the double-layer jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes linked with Wrapped Cooling Setup II can ensure summer vegetable cultivation in open-air NFT hydroponics as indicated by the survival of five out of 12 vegetable plants till harvest by maintaining NST between 26°C and 28°C.

KEYWORDS

hydroponics, nutrient solution temperature, PVC grow pipes, jute fabric, open

air system

1 Introduction

Hydroponic systems include deep water culture, ebb and flow, drip system, aeroponics, wick system, vertical farming system, and nutrient film technique (NFT) (Roberto, 2000). NFT hydroponic cultures have benefits over soil cultures such as efficient utilization of resources (water, nutrients, and space), better control of pests and diseases, faster growth, and higher yields. However, high initial cost, power dependency, system failure risks, limited crop compatibility, dependence on technical expertise, intensive monitoring, and system maintenance are the common limitations of NFT hydroponics (Swain et al., 2021). Given the rate of population increase and subsequent urbanization (Velazquez-Gonzalez et al., 2022), the food demand of the growing world population can only be met through the strengthening of alternate plant growth mediums. NFT hydroponics can ensure higher yield year-round through the accommodation of increased plant population of multiple crops by the effective utilization of its horizontal as well as vertical surface area compared to traditional farming systems. Moreover, the minimal escape of pesticides and fertilizers in NFT hydroponic cultures ensures lesser environmental contamination (van Delden et al., 2021).

The nutrient solution, either pumped from a reservoir tank or kept stagnant in aerated containers, remains in direct contact with plant roots in NFT hydroponics. Simple as well as modified NFT hydroponics systems are used for the commercial cultivation of vegetable crops, like lettuce, tomato, cucumber, herbs, and other green vegetables (Stephanie, 2018; Sela Saldinger et al., 2023). Various attributes of nutrient solution, e.g., nutrient concentration, dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, and electrical conductivity, are of critical importance and need to be monitored regularly to ensure healthy crop production (Jones, 2004). Among these attributes, temperature is an important factor determining plant survival through root and shoot development in NFT hydroponics. Any temperature fluctuations, from an optimum range, subject plants to adverse stress through changes in nutrient solubility, uptake, and proportions of dissolved oxygen in the rhizosphere (Jones, 2004; Ylivainio and Peltovuori, 2012; Xia et al., 2021). The reduction of dissolved oxygen under high temperatures can prove drastic for plant growth and development. Vegetable plant species are reported to have differences in their desired optimum temperature. For instance, the

optimum root zone temperature is reported to be 25°C for tomato (Tindall et al., 1990), whereas cucumber produces a higher yield at 22° C (Al-Rawahy et al., 2018). On an overall basis, 26.7°C (80°F) is the nutrient solution temperature where maximum absorption of nutrient elements is reported; however, 25°C (77°F) is considered to be the ideal temperature for maximum root and shoot growth (Jones, 2004). Nonetheless, the seasonal air temperature determines the extent of temperature fluctuations happening in the nutrient solution of the NFT system. In winter, maintaining the temperature at 28°C and 20°C proved optimum for the production of spinach and cucumber, respectively (Nxawe et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2013).

The cultivation of in-season summer vegetable crops in an openair hydroponics can save the cost of house enclosure and air conditioning for temperature maintenance. However, maintaining nutrient solution temperature lower than the external air temperature is a challenge in an open-air hydroponic system. Thus, it becomes critically important to explore cooling systems for nutrient solutions in NFT hydroponics. In the view of high installation costs of NFT hydroponic systems, the effectiveness of non-electric techniques (jute fabric wrapping and aluminum coil buried in brick walls, earthen pots, and pitchers), to keep the nutrient solution temperature at an optimum range, has never been reported. The study aims to explore the non-electric techniques focusing, specifically, on passive evaporation to maintain nutrient solution temperature in NFT hydroponics for the cultivation of summer vegetables. This investigation is motivated by the desire to achieve nutrient solution temperature reductions without the association of expensive (electric energy-driven) methods.

2 Materials and methods

The NFT hydroponic setup was installed in the wire house of the Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. The setup comprised a nutrient solution tank, a submerged solution circulating pump, an aeration pump, connecting tubes, and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipes. Each PVC pipe had 4-m length and 10-cm diameter, with 10 planting holders acting as a grow pipe (Figure 1). The nutrient solution of NFT hydroponic system consisted of 2 mM NH₄NO₃, 0.25 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.75 mM K₂SO₄, 0.1 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 0.65



Schematic diagram of nutrient film technique (NFT) mounted with one of the three solution cooling setups. Various parts of the NFT include nutrient solution tank (A), aeration pump (B), and nutrient circulating pump (C), which pumps nutrients solution through input pipe (D) of a cooling setup to the inner aluminum pipe coil (E) that is buried in wet sand (F) to transfer heat to the external evaporative surface (G) of brick lining (Cooling Setup I), earthen pots (Cooling Setup II), or pitcher (Cooling Setup IIII). After that, the solution moves through output pipe (H) of a cooling setup to the jute-wrapped grow pipes (I) and ultimately back to the solution tank.

mM MgSO₄, 0.2 mM Fe-EDTA, 1×10^{-3} mM MnSO₄, 1×10^{-3} mM ZnSO₄, 1×10^{-4} mM CuSO₄, 5×10^{-6} mM (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄, and 1×10^{-3} mM H₃BO₃ (Nadeem et al., 2018). The NFT hydroponics system was operated in open-air conditions during the summers (May 16 to July 2, 2022) under the daytime air and nutrient solution temperatures as described in Figure 2.

2.1 Experiment 1: jute fabric wrapping of grow pipes to reduce nutrient solution temperature

In this experiment, the investigations were focused on the utilization of jute fabric for wrapping PVC grow pipes as a tool to reduce nutrient solution temperature (NST). The experiment followed a completely randomized design (CRD) and considered three factors: the number of jute fabric layers used in wrapping (single-layer wrapping and double-layer wrapping), the condition of wrapped jute fabric (wet or dry), and the time of NST measurement (7 a.m.-10 a.m. and 11 a.m.-5 p.m.). The jute fabric in single-layer wrapping and double-layer wrapping was kept either dry or saturated with water once in 24 hours. The saturation proved to be effective in ensuring a continuous water supply within the fabric supporting a 24-hour evaporation cycle. The temperature variations in the nutrient solution were recorded at least twice during both designated time intervals (7 a.m.-10 a.m. and 11 a.m.-5 p.m.). These time intervals were chosen based on the



elimination of overnight cooling of the nutrient solution by approximately 10 a.m. The experiment was conducted in three replications (a 4-m-long PVC grow pipe with 10 plant holders as one replicate). Each replicate pipe was connected to a separate solution tank containing a solution circulating pump and an aeration nozzle. Cucumber seedlings at four leaf stages were transplanted to grow pipes, and the setup was run for 15 days (May 17, 2022, to May 31, 2022). During the experimental period, the wilted plants were replaced on the same day they were wilted.

Nutrient solution temperature, air temperature, and relative humidity were recorded four to five times during the day using a hygrometer (HTC-2; Walmart Canada, Mississauga, ON, Canada) equipped with an internal and cable-mounted external temperature sensor. The decrease in nutrient solution temperature in a jute fabricwrapped grow pipe was recorded in comparison to that in a naked pipe (no wrapping) in two different time intervals (before 10 a.m. and from 11 a.m. to 5 p.m.), and the averages were calculated for each set.

2.2 Experiment 2: designing evaporativecooling setups for the reduction of nutrient solution temperature

Four non-electric evaporative-cooling setups were developed (Figure 1) and installed in the circuit of nutrient solution individually. The details of these cooling setups are as follows.

2.2.1 Cooling Setup I (treatment 1)

This setup was built on the floor by constructing a brick tunnel of 15-cm external height, 25-cm width, 75-cm length, and 4,875 cm² of total external surface area for evaporation. The brick tunnel consisted of six sides in total: five sides $(15 \times 25 = 375 \text{ cm}^2, 15 \times 75 = 1,125 \text{ cm}^2, 15 \times 75 = 1,125 \text{ cm}^2, 15 \times 75 = 1,125 \text{ cm}^2, and 25 \times 75 = 1,875 \text{ cm}^2)$ exposed to air whereas the sixth side facing the ground and not contributing toward evaporative cooling. The total external surface area (4,875 cm²) was calculated by summing up the areas of all five air-exposed rectangular sides. An aluminum pipe of

0.79-cm diameter and 457-cm length was shaped into a coil with 6cm diameter and 60-cm length and attached to the nutrient solution circuit such that the nutrient solution coming from the reservoir passed through the coil before entering the grow pipes. The coil was buried in the wet sand placed inside the brick tunnel structure. The roof of the tunnel was also covered with bricks (Figure 1). The whole structure and sand were placed in a shady area and saturated with water once in 24 hours.

2.2.2 Cooling Setup II (treatment 2)

In this setup, the aluminum coil (similar to that used in Cooling Setup I) was buried in sand and placed inside two conical frustumshaped earthen pots each of height (h) 35.0 cm, slant height (s) 37.5 cm, bottom radius (r) 4.0 cm, and top radius (R) 17.5 cm. These earthen pots were positioned such that one was inverted over the other, making the lateral surfaces of both the pots and bottom of the upper inverted pot available for evaporation (Figure 1). The evaporative surface areas of the lateral surface (LA) and bottom circular surface (BA) of the inverted pots were calculated by the following formulae (Weisstein, 2005);

$$LA = \pi \times (R + r) \times s$$
$$BA = \pi r^2$$

In this way, the total evaporative surface area (TA) of the setup was calculated to be $5,117.6 \text{ cm}^2$ by using the following formula:

$$TA = 2LA + BA$$

2.2.3 Wrapped Cooling Setup II (treatment 3)

Cooling Setup II was modified by wrapping the external surface of earthen pots with wet jute fabric to facilitate evaporation.

2.2.4 Cooling Setup III (treatment 4)

In this setup, the aluminum coil (similar to that used in Cooling Setup I) was immersed in the sand within a spherical earthen

pitcher having a radius of 19.2 cm and a total surface area of 4,630.1 cm². The evaporative surface area was calculated as 4,581.4 cm² by subtracting the area of the circular mouth opening (28.3 cm²) and that of the ground touching the circular base (20.4 cm²) from the total surface area. The mouth opening of the pitcher was used for the entry and exit of the nutrient solution pipes. Therefore, the small amount of evaporation from this area was ignored.

All four cooling setups, including sand, were placed in a shaded area and saturated with water once in 24 hours. Through initial investigations, it was found that the periodic saturation effectively maintains sufficient water for evaporation throughout the structure until the subsequent saturation cycle. The NFT setup having none of the aforementioned cooling setups was taken as control. Each of the four cooling setups was attached with three grow pipes containing cucumber plants in holders and ran for 15 days from June 3, 2022, to June 18, 2022, in triplicate. Nutrient solution temperature, external air temperature, and relative humidity were recorded daily at four different time intervals, i.e., 8–9 a.m., 11 a.m.–12 p.m., 2–3 p.m., and 4–5 p.m., using a hygrometer as discussed in the case of experiment 1.

The experiment was conducted following the CRD with the type of cooling setups (Cooling Setup I, Cooling Setup II, Wrapped Cooling Setup II, and Cooling Setup III) and time intervals of nutrient solution temperature recording (8–9 a.m., 11 a.m.–12 p.m., 2–3 p.m., and 4–5 p.m.) as experimental factors. At a particular interval, the decrease in nutrient solution temperature due to a cooling setup was recorded in comparison to the control. The average values of 15 days were used in the statistical analysis.

2.3 Testing of the selected cooling techniques for the cultivation of summer vegetables

Keeping in view the results of experiment 1 and experiment 2, Wrapped Cooling Setup II was connected to double-layer wet jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes in the NFT system and tested for the cultivation of brinjal (Solanum melongena), okra (Abelmoschus esculentus), spinach (Spinacia oleracea), bitter gourd (Momordica charantia), coriander (Coriandrum sativum), sponge gourd (Luffa aegyptiaca), bottle gourd (Lagenaria siceraria), summer squash (Cucurbita pepo), pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata), cucumber (Cucumis sativus), and snake gourd (Trichosanthes cucumerina). An NFT system with naked grow pipes but none of the cooling setups in the circuit was considered as control. Three seedlings of each vegetable (Table 1), at four leaf stages, were shifted to grow pipes, and their survival duration was recorded for up to 2 months. The survival duration of the seedlings was recorded as the time taken by the seedlings from transplanting to permanent wilting. The confirmation of permanent wilting was performed by observing the plants in the grow holders for the subsequent 3 days. Two months after transplanting, the survived vegetable seedlings were carefully removed from grow holders and assessed for their growth in terms of root length, shoot length, number of leaves, shoot fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root fresh weight, and root dry weight. The number of leaves was counted, and the root and shoot lengths were TABLE 1 Survival time of various vegetable seedlings cultivated in naked grow pipes and grow pipes wrapped with two layers of wet jute fabric and attached with wrapped Cooling Setup II.

Vegetables cultivated	Plant survival time		
Common name	Naked grow pipes	Wrapped grow pipes and wrapped Cooling Setup II in circuit	
Brinjal (Solanum melongena)	7 days	Survived till harvest	
Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus)	6 days	26 days	
Spinach (<i>Spinacia oleracea</i>)	3 days	3 days	
Bitter gourd (Momordica charantia)	7 days	Survived till harvest	
Coriander (Coriandrum sativum)	2 days	2 days	
Sponge gourd (<i>Luffa aegyptiaca</i>)	6 days	Survived till harvest	
Bottle gourd (Lagenaria siceraria)	7 days	Survived till harvest	
Summer squash (<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>)	3 days	4 days	
Pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata)	4 days	6 days	
Cucumber (<i>Cucumis sativus</i>)	6 days	Survived till harvest	
Snake gourd (<i>Trichosanthes</i> <i>cucumerina</i>)	5 days	15 days	

Survival time is an average of at least three plants of a species.

measured using a meter rod. The shoot was separated from the root using a scissor and weighed through digital balance for shoot fresh weight. Any nutrient solution, adhering to the roots, was gently blotted with tissue paper for the measurement of root fresh weight. The dry weight was determined by keeping the shoot and root samples in an oven at 60°C till constant weight. For all the recorded growth parameters, the mean of the three seedlings of each vegetable was calculated and reported in Table 2. During the first week of the experiment, the temperature variations in the nutrient solutions were monitored at approximately 3 p.m. daily.

2.4 Statistical analysis

For experiment 1, involving nutrient solution temperature reduction through wrapping of PVC grow pipes, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using Statistix (v. 8.1) to separate the main effects of three factors (number of jute layers

Parameter	Brinjal	Bitter gourd	Sponge gourd	Bottle gourd	Cucumber
Root length (cm)	15.2 ± 1.4	10.2 ± 1.4	133.1 ± 12.05	7.2 ± 1.1	5.8 ± 0.6
Shoot length (cm)	36.6 ± 2.2	259.9 ± 13.5	995.7 ± 41.3	155.4 ± 2.9	75 ± 2.7
No. of leaves	8.4 ± 2.3	40.1 ± 4.2	56.7 ± 45.1	28.6 ± 13.5	25.6 ± 9.3
Shoot fresh weight (g)	4.9 ± 0.3	17.6 ± 3.9	138.7 ± 15.6	12.6 ± 3.3	13.3 ± 8.5
Root fresh weight (g)	0.5 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.3	32.7 ± 3.7	1.6 ± 3.7	2.2 ± 0.7
Shoot dry weight (g)	2.1 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.2	52.0 ± 2.7	3.1 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.1
Root dry weight (g)	0.1 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.0	3 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.03

TABLE 2 Growth of vegetable seedlings survived till harvest in grow pipes wrapped with two layers of wet jute fabric and attached with wrapped Cooling Setup II.

Values are mean ± SE.

wrapped, dry versus wet jute, and time of observation) as well as their interactions (Table 3). In addition, ANOVA was performed to find the effect of rates within each factor. The mean comparison of nutrient solution temperature reductions in wrapped grow pipes with respect to naked grow pipes was performed using Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test. For the comparison of four cooling systems in experiment 2, ANOVA was performed using Statistix (v. 8.1) to separate the main effects of factors (cooling system types and time of observation) as well as their interactions. In addition, ANOVA was also performed to find the effect of cooling systems on the nutrient solution temperature measured at different times of the day. The mean comparison of nutrient solution temperature reductions in grow pipes attached cooling setup with respect to control pipes (no cooling setup attachment) was made using Tukey's HSD test.

3 Results

Initially, the high nutrient solution temperature (up to 40° C) caused the death of all summer vegetable seedlings (Table 1) within 1 week (May 2022) of their transplantation in the NFT system.

TABLE 3 Analysis of variance for the impact of wrapping of nutrient solution carrying polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipes with jute cloth on the solution temperature in nutrient film technique (NFT).

Source	F-ratio	p-Value
No. of jute layers wrapped (NJL)	11.59	0.001*
Dry vs. wet jute (DWJ)	75.30	0.000*
Time of observation (t)	16.13	0.000*
NJL × DWJ	0.47	0.497
DWJ × t	0.10	0.752
NJL × t	0.02	0.881
$JW \times t \times NJL$	0.48	0.489

*Significant at $p \le 0.001$. ns, non-significant at p < 0.05.

Various non-electric cooling techniques were implied and compared for their efficiency in reducing the nutrient solution temperature. During the study period, the external air temperature fluctuated from 30°C to 46°C (Figure 2). It caused the nutrient solution temperature to vary from 32°C to 37°C in naked grow pipes from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. This range of nutrient solution temperature was 4.6°C, 3.3°C, 3.2°C, and 1.2°C lesser than the external air temperature recorded before 9 a.m., from 9 p.m. to 12 p.m., from 12 p.m. to 3 p.m., and 3 p.m. to 6 p.m., respectively. The nutrient solution temperature was minimal before 9 a.m. which increased by 3°C and 4.7°C up to 12 p.m. and 3 p.m., respectively, in naked grow pipes. However, the change in nutrient solution temperature from 3 p.m. to 6 p.m. was negligible (Figure 2). The relative humidity varied from 25% to 80% during the study period. It remained at approximately 30% to 40% from the start of May to the middle of June but fluctuated between 60% and 80% after June 15 due to pre-monsoon rains. However, the average daily relative humidity level during the study period was 35% to 44% (Figure 2).

3.1 Impact of jute fabric wrapping of grow pipes on nutrient solution temperature

The results of ANOVA highlighted the significant influence of grow pipe wrapping techniques to reduce nutrient solution temperature at different times of observations (Table 3). However, the interaction effects of the number of jute fabric layers wrapped, dry or wet jute fabric layer, and time of observation were nonsignificant at p<0.05 (Table 3). The nutrient solution temperature in jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes decreased by up to 5°C in comparison to naked grow pipes. Furthermore, the double-layer jute fabric wrapping proved approximately 20% more effective in reducing the solution temperature than the single-layer wrapping (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 1). Consistently, the wet jute fabric wrapping proved to decrease nutrient solution temperature by 182% more than dry jute fabric wrapping (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table 1). On average, the decrease in nutrient solution temperature under double-layer jute fabric wrapping was 74% more than single-layer wrapping at all times of observation (Supplementary Table 1); however, the decrease was 39% more prominent during 11 a.m.-5 p.m. than 7 a.m.-10 a.m. (Figure 3C).



FIGURE 3

Main effects of number of jute layers wrapped over nutrient film technique (NFT) solution pipes (A), dryness or wetness of the wrapped jute (B), and time of the day (C) on the decrease in solution temperature in comparison to that in the naked pipe. Bars are means + standard errors, and means sharing common letters do not differ significantly at p < 0.05

3.2 Impact of installation of various nonelectric cooling setups on nutrient solution temperature

The evaluation of the impact of cooling setups on nutrient solution temperature (p< 0.05) indicated decreases in nutrient solution temperature under all four cooling setups (Figure 4). The results demonstrated statistically similar decreases in nutrient solution temperature at all times of observations under Cooling Setup I and Cooling Setup II installments. A maximum of 1.8°C decrease in nutrient solution temperature was observed under Cooling Setup I and Cooling Setup II installments in comparison to control (no cooling setup installation). Wrapped Cooling Setup II and Cooling Setup III resulted in statistically similar reductions in solution temperature as compared to Cooling Setup I and Cooling Setup II from 8 a.m. to 9 a.m. (Figure 4). However, the temperature decreases under Wrapped Cooling Setup II were 168% and 191% higher than Cooling Setup I and Cooling Setup II, respectively, from 2 p.m. to 3 p.m. (Supplementary Table 2). To be precise, the temperature decreases due to Wrapped Cooling Setup II ranged from 3.6°C to 4.5°C and recorded as maximum from 2 p.m to 3 p.m. Cooling Setup III worked in a sustainable way from 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. and decreased nutrient solution temperature by approximately 3.5°C in comparison to control (Figure 4). Overall, the performances of Wrapped Cooling Setup II and Cooling Setup III to decrease the nutrient solution temperature were, statistically, alike (Figure 4).

3.3 Survival of summer vegetables in NFT hydroponic system having double-layer wet jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes attached with Wrapped Cooling Setup II

The double-layer wet jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes attached with Wrapped Cooling Setup II reduced nutrient solution temperature by 3°C-8°C in comparison to control, i.e., naked grow pipes without any cooling setup installation (Figure 5). The temperature fluctuations in the nutrient solution of control remained between 30°C and 37°C, which resulted in vegetable



Impact of various non-electric evaporation techniques on decrease in nutrient solution temperature in nutrient film technique. Bars are means ± standard errors, and means sharing common letters do not differ significantly at p< 0.05.



seedling death within a week of the study period (Table 1). However, five out of 11 vegetable plants, viz., brinjal (*S. melongena*), bitter gourd (*M. charantia*), sponge gourd (*L. aegyptiaca*), bottle gourd (*L. siceraria*), and cucumber (*C. sativus*), survived in the double-layer wet jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes attached to Wrapped Cooling Setup II (Tables 2, 3). Nonetheless, the wilting of the other seven vegetable plants took 2–26 days, a greater survival time in comparison to that noted in naked grow pipes (Table 1).

4 Discussion

An evaluation of very unique non-electric cooling techniques was carried out for their effectiveness in reducing the nutrient solution temperature of an NFT hydroponics system for the cultivation of summer vegetables. The nutrient solution temperature maintenance enables plant roots to uptake nutrients and water to ensure optimum growth and development (Solfjeld and Johnsen, 2006; Yan et al., 2012). In this study, the initial death of vegetable seedlings in naked grow pipes was the result of increased temperature (40°C) in nutrient solution. Summer vegetable canopies are supposed to withstand high temperatures, but their roots need to reside at below 30°C (86°F), which is maintained in the soil system (Suzuki, 1966). Thus, a temperature value higher than the optimum level would have seized the physicochemical processes in roots, leading to the impairment of photosynthetic activity owing to a lack of sufficient water and nutrient supply (Xia et al., 2021). The minimum exposure to the external environment, through jute fabric wrapping of grow pipes, reduced the nutrient solution temperature and improved the photosynthetic framework of leaves. Given the differences in heat intensities of the external environment (Hooks et al., 2022), the decrease in nutrient solution temperature proved more effective during 11 a.m.-5 p.m. than that before 10 a.m. In addition to the reduced exposure to the external environment, these fluctuations in external temperature intensities were better regulated through wet

jute fabric wrapping than dry jute fabric wrapping of grow pipes through the evaporative-cooling effect caused by the escape of high energy liquid molecules (Wang et al., 2017).

Given the principle of the "evaporative-cooling effect", the installation of cooling setups with jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes proved successful in decreasing nutrient solution temperature. The various components of cooling setups, viz., porous walls of the bricks, earthen pot, and pitcher, allowed high-energy water molecules to escape the wet sand and evaporate. The attachment of double-layer wet jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes with wrapped Cooling Setup II and Cooling Setup III increased the effectiveness of the cooling systems in reducing nutrient solution temperature. We argued that the evaporative cooling of sand lowered the temperature of nutrient solution circulating in sand-buried aluminum coil in addition to the temperature decrease resulting from the evaporative cooling through double-layer wet jute fabric (Yang et al., 2019). Moreover, the wet jute fabric kept the evaporative surfaces wet and helped in establishing a continuity of evaporative streams, which, otherwise, might have broken due to the dryness of grow pipes, pitcher, or earthen pot surfaces. Additionally, more than evaporative cooling, the sunlight-exposed naked grow pipes experienced radiation energy-based direct heating (Guo et al., 2020). The absence of evaporative cooling-based temperature reductions made Cooling Setup I and Cooling Setup II less effective than Wrapped Cooling Setup II and Cooling Setup III.

The double-layer wet jute fabric-wrapped grow pipes attached to Wrapped Cooling Setup II maintained the nutrient solution temperature at 26°C–28°C, which was comparable to the recommended temperature of 26°C for hydroponics (González-García et al., 2023). This maintenance of nutrient solution temperature allowed five vegetable species (brinjal, bitter gourd, sponge gourd, bottle gourd, and cucumber) to survive till harvest. The other six vegetable species showed variable survival duration. This could be described as the plant-specific response to oxygen levels in the root zone. Plant species vary in their tolerance to suffocation under submergence or semi-submergence (Pradhan and Mohanty, 2013). Furthermore, the same nutrient solution recipe

10.3389/fpls.2024.1340641

used for all vegetable species might have caused differential growth regulations in survived as well as wilted vegetable species. It was evident from the results of the experiment that the cooling setups never reduced nutrient solution temperature below air temperature during the morning time of respective days. Furthermore, a setupinduced decrease in temperature was less or negligible in the morning than that at noon or afternoon. These are the limitations of non-electric cooling setups studied, which provide future direction to explore the underlying reasons.

5 Conclusion

High temperature in nutrient solution is the major constraint for the survival of summer vegetable seedlings in an open-air NFT hydroponics system. The experimental results suggested that wrapping grow pipes with two layers of wet jute fabric can effectively reduce nutrient solution temperature through the evaporative-cooling effect. This effect can be further enhanced by introducing a non-electric cooling setup (Wrapped Cooling Setup II), which can keep the nutrient solution temperature within the plant tolerable range (26°C-28°C). The increased survival time of summer vegetables particularly brinjal (S. melongena), bitter gourd (M. charantia), sponge gourd (L. aegyptiaca), bottle gourd (L. siceraria), and cucumber (C. sativus) indicated that this method can be used to overcome the major constraint of high temperature in nutrient solution for the survival of summer vegetable seedlings in an open-air NFT hydroponics system. This method can be useful for farmers who want to grow summer vegetables in hot and dry climates.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

MM: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. RM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. ST: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. MA: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – review & editing. FN: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SB: Writing – review & editing. FW: Writing – review & editing. NA: Writing – review & editing. JL: Writing – review & editing. ZS: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The research was supported by the Guangzhou Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation, Grant/Award Number: 202201010706; Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation, Grant/Award Numbers: 2023A1515012694; Office of Research Innovation and Commercialization (ORIC), University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan.

Acknowledgments

The technical staff of the Soil Science Laboratory, Department of Soil Science, University of the Punjab, Lahore, is acknowledged for their support and facilitation of experiments.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1340641/ full#supplementary-material

References

Al-Rawahy, M. S., Al-Rawahy, S. A., Al-Mulla, Y. A., and Nadaf, S. K. (2018). Effect of cooling root-zone temperature on growth, yield and nutrient uptake in cucumber grown in hydroponic system during summer season in cooled greenhouse. *J. Agric. Sci.* 11, 47. doi: 10.5539/jas.v11n1p47

González-García, M. P., Conesa, C. M., Lozano-Enguita, A., Baca-González, V., Simancas, B., Navarro-Neila, S., et al. (2023). Temperature changes in the root ecosystem affect plant functionality. *Plant Commun.* 4, 100514. doi: 10.1016/j.xplc.2022.100514

Guo, Y., Zhao, X., Zhao, F., Jiao, Z., Zhou, X., and Yu, G. (2020). Tailoring surface wetting states for ultrafast solar-driven water evaporation. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 13, 2087–2095. doi: 10.1039/D0EE00399A

Hooks, T., Sun, L., Kong, Y., Masabni, J., and Niu, G. (2022). Effect of nutrient solution cooling in summer and heating in winter on the performance of baby leafy vegetables in deep-water hydroponic systems. *Horticulturae* 8, 749. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae8080749

Jones, J. B. (2004). Hydroponics A Practical Guide for the Soilless Grower Second Edition, 2nd ed (Boca Raton: CRC Press). doi: 10.1201/9781420037708

Nadeem, F., Ahmad, Z., Wang, R., Han, J., Shen, Q., Chang, F., et al. (2018). Foxtail millet [setaria italica (L.) beauv.] grown under low nitrogen shows a smaller root system, enhanced biomass accumulation, and nitrate transporter expression. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00205

Nxawe, S., Laubscher, C. P., and Ndakidemi, P. A. (2009) *Effect of regulated irrigation* water temperature on hydroponics production of Spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.). Available online at: http://www.academicjournals.org/AJAR.

Pradhan, C., and Mohanty, M. (2013). "Submergence stress: Responses and adaptations in crop plants," in *Molecular Stress Physiology of Plants* (Springer, India), 331-357. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-0807-5_14

Roberto, K. (2000). *How-to hydroponics : a how-to guide to soilfree gardening. 3rd ed* (New York: FutureGarden, Inc).

Sela Saldinger, S., Rodov, V., Kenigsbuch, D., and Bar-Tal, A. (2023). Hydroponic agriculture and microbial safety of vegetables: promises, challenges, and solutions. *Horticulturae* 9 (1), 51. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae9010051

Solfjeld, I., and Johnsen, Ø. (2006). The influence of root-zone temperature on growth of Betula pendula Roth. *Trees - Structure Funct.* 20, 320–328. doi: 10.1007/s00468-005-0043-1

Stephanie, M. (2018). "Introduction to nutrient film technique," in *Tomorrow's Agriculture* "NFT Hydroponics"-Grow within Your Budget (Switzerland: Springer Cham), 7–12. Available at: http://www.springer.com/series/10080.

Suzuki, M. (1966). Studies on thermoperiodicity of crops. II. The effects of soil temperature on fructification of peanuts. *Chiba Univ. Tech. Bull.* 13, 95–101.

Swain, A., Chatterjee, S., Viswanath, M., Roy, A., and Biswas, A. (2021). Hydroponics in vegetable crops: A review. *Pharma Innovation J.* 10, 629–634.

Tindall, J. A., Mills, H. A., and Radcliffe, D. E. (1990). The effect of root zone temperature on nutrient uptake of tomato. J. Plant Nutr. 13, 939–956. doi: 10.1080/01904169009364127

van Delden, S. H., SharathKumar, M., Butturini, M., Graamans, L. J. A., Heuvelink, E., Kacira, M., et al. (2021). Current status and future challenges in implementing and upscaling vertical farming systems. *Nat. Food* 2, 944–956. doi: 10.1038/s43016-021-00402-w

Velazquez-Gonzalez, R. S., Garcia-Garcia, A. L., Ventura-Zapata, E., Barceinas-Sanchez, J. D. O., and Sosa-Savedra, J. C. (2022). A review on hydroponics and the technologies associated for medium-and small-scale operations. *Agric. (Switzerland)* 12 (5), 646. doi: 10.3390/agriculture12050646

Wang, L., Kisi, O., Hu, B., Bilal, M., Zounemat-Kermani, M., and Li, H. (2017). Evaporation modelling using different machine learning techniques. *Int. J. Climatology* 37, 1076–1092. doi: 10.1002/joc.5064

Weisstein, E. W. (2005) "Conical Frustum." From MathWorld-A Wolfram Web Resource. Available online at: https://mathworld.wolfram.com/ConicalFrustum.html.

Xia, Z., Zhang, S., Wang, Q., Zhang, G., Fu, Y., and Lu, H. (2021). Effects of root zone warming on maize seedling growth and photosynthetic characteristics under different phosphorus levels. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.746152

Yan, Q., Duan, Z., Mao, J., Li, X., and Dong, F. (2012). Effects of root-zone temperature and N, P, and K supplies on nutrient uptake of cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) seedlings in hydroponics. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 58, 707–717. doi: 10.1080/00380768.2012.733925

Yan, Q. y., Duan, Z. q., Mao, J. d., Li, X., and Dong, F. (2013). Low root zone temperature limits nutrient effects on cucumber seedling growth and induces adversity physiological response. *J. Integr. Agric.* 12, 1450–1460. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(13)60549-3

Yang, Y., Cui, G., and Lan, C. Q. (2019). Developments in evaporative cooling and enhanced evaporative cooling - A review. *Renewable Sustain. Energy Rev.* 113, 109230. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2019.06.037

Ylivainio, K., and Peltovuori, T. (2012). Phosphorus acquisition by barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) at suboptimal soil temperature. *Agric. Food Sci.* 21, 453–461. doi: 10.23986/afsci.6389