Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Leo Marcelis, Wageningen University and Research, Netherlands

CORRESPONDENCE
Ping Chen
Swust_pingchen@qq.com
Lingyang Feng
Linyang.feng@pku-iaas.edu.cn
Feng Yang
f.yang@sicau.edu.cn
Muhammad Ali Raza
razaali0784@gmail.com

RECEIVED 07 August 2023 ACCEPTED 14 August 2023 PUBLISHED 24 August 2023

CITATION

Chen P, Feng L, Yang F and Raza MA (2023) Editorial: Ecological, efficient and low-carbon cereal-legume intercropping systems. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1273675. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1273675

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Chen, Feng, Yang and Raza. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Ecological, efficient and low-carbon cereal-legume intercropping systems

Ping Chen^{1*}, Lingyang Feng^{2*}, Feng Yang^{3*} and Muhammad Ali Raza^{4,5*}

¹School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang, Sichuan, China, ²Institute of Advanced Agricultural Sciences, Peking University, Weifang, Shandong, China, ³College of Agronomy, Sichuan Agricultural University/Sichuan Engineering Research Center for Crop Strip Intercropping System/Key Laboratory of Crop Ecophysiology and Farming System in Southwest, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affair, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, ⁴National Research Center of Intercropping, Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Pakistan, ⁵Gansu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou, Gansu, China

KEYWORDS

intercropping, yield advantage, nutrient, nitrogen use efficiency, greenhouse gas emissions, legume, maize

Editorial on the Research Topic

Ecological, efficient and low-carbon cereal-legume intercropping systems

The global population will reach 9.4–10.1 billion by 2050 (United Nations, 2019). Over the last number of decades, traditional agricultural production has met food demands by increasing resource input. However, excessive chemical fertilizer input results in severe environmental costs, e.g., soil acidification (Guo et al., 2010), global warming (Penuelas and Filella, 2001), water pollution (Yu et al., 2019), and finally cropland degradation, decreasing agricultural products and threatening human health (Han et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2017). Moreover, increasing global food production by expanding cropland is unsustainable for the global ecosystem (Potapov et al., 2022). Expanding cropland also leads to the use of more chemical fertilizers and a high risk of global warming. Global warming increases yield losses to insect pests (Deutsch. et al., 2018), meaning more insecticide demands to guarantee crop production and a high risk of water pollution (Stehle and Schulz, 2015). Therefore, achieving global food security with environmentally friendly and sustainable development approaches is a great challenge in this century.

Intercropping is defined as simultaneously cultivating two or more crops on the same land (Willey, 1979). Intercropping is used worldwide to increase land productivity, to efficiently use resources (Li et al., 2020b; Li et al., 2021), to better control diseases and pests (Zhang et al., 2019; Chi et al., 2021), to suppress weeds (Gu et al., 2021), and to decrease environmental costs (Qin et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2019). Therefore, intercropping provides potential ways to achieve food security and sustainable agricultural development. In this Research Topic, we received recent studies revealing the mechanisms of yield advantages and the efficient use of resources in intercropping.

The complementary use of resources contributes to yield advantages in maize-legume intercropping (Li et al., 2020a). Raza et al. reported that optimizing the crop planting density maximizes the yield advantages of maize-soybean strip intercropping. Maize-

soybean strip intercropping with a maize plant density of eight plants per square meter obtained a higher total leaf area index and total grain yield than other methods. The water equivalent ratios of intercropping are greater than one, suggesting that maize-soybean strip intercropping provides a potential way to achieve sustainable agricultural development. The optimized intercropping spares 20– 50% of water and land. Maize-soybean intercropping with a N input of 250 kg N ha⁻¹ obtained yield advantages (Nasar et al.). The underlying yield advantages include increased N use efficiency, e.g., N uptake efficiency and N agronomic efficiency since the N assimilatory enzymes of intercropped maize, e.g., nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase, and glutamate synthase, are more robust than the monoculture.

However, the underlying mechanisms of yield advantages of component crops in relay intercropping are different. Chen et al. revealed the mechanism for intercropped maize over-yielding in a low radiation area. The net yield of intercropped maize can be increased by 2.1 Mg ha⁻¹ via the use of dense cultivation and high N input with plow tillage compared with normal farming practice. The over-yielding of intercropped maize mainly derives from an improved leaf area index (LAI) and net photosynthetic rate (Pn). Similarly, Zheng et al. showed that straw incorporation increases the aboveground N uptake and nitrogen recovery efficiency of intercropped soybean by 43.7% and 76.8%, respectively, compared with straw removal. In particular, straw incorporation at 30 kg N ha⁻¹ achieved the greatest aboveground N uptake and nitrogen recovery efficiency compared with other N treatments. Although straw incorporation remarkably promotes CO₂ emission, the accumulated CO₂ emission of straw incorporation was lowest at 30 kg N ha^{-1} .

Legumes' performance in strip and relay intercropping differs (Zhang et al., 2023). In relay intercropping, the recovery growth of legumes benefits their yield advantage (Wu et al., 2021). In maizepeanut strip intercropping, the crop planted later, e.g., peanut, suffers from the shade of maize (Chen et al., 2020). Lu et al. pointed out that optimizing crop configurations increases light use and obtains yield advantages in maize-peanut strip intercropping. Although intercropped peanut suffers from the shade of maize, which decreases the leaf functional traits, intercropped peanut in eight rows allows higher light energy utilization than intercropped peanut in four or two rows. Previous studies reported that intercropped maize with legumes increases the usage efficiency of resources by optimizing crop root distribution and strengthening nutrient acquisition (Chen et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2022). Surigaoge et al. pointed out that cereal-legume intercropping improves soil nutrient cycling. Plant litter is decomposed more quickly in maize-peanut intercropping than in maize-soybean intercropping. Although N addition promotes plant litter decomposition, maize-peanut intercropping achieved a higher decomposition rate than maizesoybean intercropping. Moreover, a trade-off in yield advantage is observed in maize-wheat relay strip intercropping under rainfed conditions(Hussain et al.). N input contributes to a more robust yield advantage by strengthening the yield advantage of intercropped wheat in the border rows. Specifically, the yield advantage of intercropped wheat in the border rows is mainly attributed to a higher number of ears in the unit area. In contrast, yield disadvantage is obtained in intercropped maize due to the lower kernel number and thousand-grain weight of maize in the border rows compared with maize alone.

The practice of intercropping is not limited to staple crops; intercropping of vegetables or forage grass is also valuable (Stoltz and Nadeau, 2014).Pereira et al. pointed out that vegetable intercropping can mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Collard greens-spinach and collard greens-chicory intercropping decreased GHG emissions by 31% compared with the corresponding monoculture. Tahir et al. reported that a full mixture of legume-grass increases farmland productivity. The mixture is beneficial in improving the soil enzyme activity and in increasing the soil nutrient content. In return, the improved growth of forage leads to higher levels of crude protein than the monoculture, and the crude protein content of the mixture increases with increasing N input.

This Research Topic confirms the potential of intercropping to achieve food security using environmentally friendly approaches. Advisors and farmers can refer to this knowledge to optimize their decision-making in crop management and to improve food security and quality.

Author contributions

PC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LF: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FY: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MR: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgments

PC thanks LF, FY, and MR for their help in life and with experiments.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Chen, P., Du, Q., Liu, X., Zhou, L., Hussain, S., Lei, L., et al. (2017). Effects of reduced nitrogen inputs on crop yield and nitrogen use efficiency in a long-term maize-soybean relay strip intercropping system. *PloS One* 12, e0184503. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0184503

Chen, P., Song, C., Liu, X., Zhou, L., Yang, H., Zhang, X., et al. (2019). Yield advantage and nitrogen fate in an additive maize-soybean relay intercropping system. *Sci. Total Environ.* 657, 987–999. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.376

Chen, T., Zhang, H., Zeng, R., Wang, X., Huang, L., Wang, L., et al. (2020). Shade effects on peanut yield associate with physiological and expressional regulation on photosynthesis and sucrose Metabolism. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 5284. doi: 10.3390/ ijms21155284

Chi, B., Zhang, D., and Dong, H. (2021). Control of cotton pests and diseases by intercropping: A review. J. Integr. Agric. 20, 3089–3100. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(20) 63318-4

Deutsch., C. A., Tewksbury, J. J., Tigchelaar., M., Battisti, D. S., Merrill, S. C., Huey., R. B., et al. (2018). Increase in crop losses to insect pests in a warming climate. *Science* 361, 916–919. doi: 10.1126/science.aat3466

Gu, C., Bastiaans, L., Anten, N. P. R., Makowski, D., and van der Werf, W. (2021). Annual intercropping suppresses weeds: A meta-analysis. *Agriculture Ecosyst. Environ.* 322, 107658. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2021.107658

Guo, J. H., Liu, X. J., Zhang, Y., Shen, J. L., Han, W. X., Zhang, W. F., et al. (2010). Significant acidification in major Chinese croplands. *Science* 327, 1008–1010. doi: 10.1126/science.1182570

Han, D., Currell, M. J., and Cao, G. (2016). Deep challenges for China's war on water pollution. *Environ. pollut.* 218, 1222–1233. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.08.078

Li, C., Hoffland, E., Kuyper, T. W., Yu, Y., Li, H., Zhang, C., et al. (2020a). Yield gain, complementarity and competitive dominance in intercropping in China: a metaanalysis of drivers of yield gain using additive partitioning. *Eur. J. Agron.* 113, 125987. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2019.125987

Li, C., Hoffland, E., Kuyper, T. W., Yu, Y., Zhang, C., Li, H., et al. (2020b). Syndromes of production in intercropping impact yield gains. *Nat. Plants* 6, 653–660. doi: 10.1038/ s41477-020-0680-9

Li, X. F., Wang, Z. G., Bao, X. G., Sun, J. H., Yang, S. C., Wang, P., et al. (2021). Longterm increased grain yield and soil fertility from intercropping. *Nat. Sustainability* 4, 943–950. doi: 10.1038/s41893-021-00767-7

Penuelas, J., and Filella, I. (2001). Responses to a warming world. Science 294, 793-795. doi: 10.1126/science.1066860

Potapov, P., Turubanova, S., Hansen, M. C., Tyukavina, A., Zalles, V., Khan, A., et al. (2022). Global maps of cropland extent and change show accelerated cropland

expansion in the twenty-first century. Nat. Food 3, 19–28. doi: 10.1038/s43016-021-00429-z

Qin, A. Z., Huang, G. B., Chai, Q., Yu, A. Z., and Huang, P. (2013). Grain yield and soil respiratory response to intercropping systems on arid land. *Field Crops Res.* 144, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2012.12.005

Stehle, S., and Schulz, R. (2015). Agricultural insecticides threaten surface waters at the global scale. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 5750–5755. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1500232112

Stoltz, E., and Nadeau, E. (2014). Effects of intercropping on yield, weed incidence, forage quality and soil residual N in organically grown forage maize (Zea mays L.) and faba bean (Vicia faba L.). *Field Crops Res.* 169, 21–29. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.09.004

United Nations (2019) *How certain are the United Nations global population projections*? (United Nations Population Division). Available at: https://www.un.org/ development/desa/pd/content/how-certain-are-united-nations-global-population-projections (Accessed 06, 2019).

Willey, R. W. (1979). Intercropping: its importance and research needs. part I. competition and yield advantages. *Field Crop Abstract* 32, 2–10.

Wu, Y., Gong, W., Yang, F., Wang, X., Yong, T., Liu, J., et al. (2021). Dynamic of recovery growth of intercropped soybean after maize harvest in maize-soybean relay strip intercropping system. *Food Energy Security* 11, e350. doi: 10.1002/fes3.350

Yu, C., Huang, X., Chen, H., Godfray, H. C. J., Wright, J. S., Hall, J. W., et al. (2019). Managing nitrogen to restore water quality in China. *Nature* 567, 516–520. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1001-1

Zhang, C., Dong, Y., Tang, L., Zheng, Y., Makowski, D., Yu, Y., et al. (2019). Intercropping cereals with faba bean reduces plant disease incidence regardless of fertilizer input; a meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 154, 931–942. doi: 10.1007/s10658-019-01711-4

Zhang, W., Li, Z., Gao, S., Yang, H., Xu, H., Yang, X., et al. (2023). Resistance vs. surrender: different responses of functional traits of soybean and peanut to intercropping with maize. *Field Crops Res.* 291, 108779. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108779

Zhao, C., Liu, B., Piao, S., Wang, X., Lobell, D. B., Huang, Y., et al. (2017). Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, 9326–9331. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1701762114

Zheng, B., Chen, P., Du, Q., Yang, H., Luo, K., Wang, X., et al. (2022). Straw incorporation and nitrogen reduction effect on the uptake and use efficiency of nitrogen as well as soil CO_2 emission of relay strip intercropped soybean. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1036170

Zheng, B., Zhang, X., Chen, P., Du, Q., Zhou, Y., Yang, H., et al. (2021). Improving maize's N uptake and N use efficiency by strengthening roots' absorption capacity when intercropped with legumes. *PeerJ* 9, e11658. doi: 10.7717/peerj.11658