Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Denise Tieman, University of Florida, United States

REVIEWED BY Guoxiang Jiang, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China Gurleen Kaur, Syngenta, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Libo Jiang Ibojiang@sdut.edu.cn Dongchao Ji jidongchao@sdut.edu.cn

RECEIVED 29 July 2023 ACCEPTED 31 August 2023 PUBLISHED 14 September 2023

CITATION

Ming Y, Jiang L and Ji D (2023) Epigenetic regulation in tomato fruit ripening. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1269090. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1269090

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ming, Jiang and Ji. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Epigenetic regulation in tomato fruit ripening

Yucheng Ming, Libo Jiang* and Dongchao Ji*

School of Life Sciences and Medicine, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, China

Fruit ripening is a crucial stage in quality development, influenced by a diverse array of internal and external factors. Among these factors, epigenetic regulation holds significant importance and has garnered substantial research attention in recent years. Here, this review aims to discuss the breakthrough in epigenetic regulation of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruit ripening, including DNA methylation, N⁶-Methyladenosine mRNA modification, histone demethylation/ deacetylation, and non-coding RNA. Through this brief review, we seek to enhance our understanding of the regulatory mechanisms governing tomato fruit ripening, while providing fresh insights for the precise modulation of these mechanisms.

KEYWORDS

tomato, fruit ripening, epigenetic regulation, molecular mechanisms, regulatory network

1 Introduction

As a unique organ of angiosperms, fruit provides rich dietary fiber, vitamins and other nutrients for human beings, and is an important component of healthy dietary structure (Giovannoni, 2001; Chen et al., 2020). Fruit ripening is a key period for the formation of fruit edible quality, which is a complex developmental process involves changes in fruit texture, pigment accumulation, formation of aroma and flavor substances, reduction of resistance and other traits, and regulated by many internal and external factors (Giovannoni, 2004; Ji and Wang, 2023). The internal factors mainly include transcription factors and hormones, while the external factors mainly include various biological factors and abiotic factors. According to the different respiratory patterns, fruits can be divided into two types: climacteric and non-climacteric (Mcmurchie et al., 1972). During the ripening process, the respiratory intensity and ethylene release of climacteric fruits appeared the accompanied burst, such as tomato, apple and banana. However, there was no significant change in respiratory intensity and ethylene release in non-climacteric fruits, such as strawberry, grape, citrus (Shinozaki et al., 2018). Two systems of ethylene biosynthesis (System I and System II) play vital roles in the development and ripening of climacteric fruits. Immature fruits and other organs of the plant continuously produce low concentrations of ethylene, that is, the background concentration of ethylene. System I ethylene regulates ethylene synthesis of background concentration in a negative feedback way and participates in fruit development, while system II ethylene is produced in an

autocatalytic manner during fruit ripening (Liu M. et al., 2015). The consensus view asserts that ethylene usually collaborates with transcription factors to collectively orchestrate the regulation of fruit ripening (Li et al., 2022). In tomato, MADS-box transcription factors are recognized as key transcriptional regulators that govern fruit ripening (Smaczniak et al., 2012; Gapper et al., 2013). Among these, the MADS-box transcription factor RIPENING-INHIBITOR (RIN) plays a crucial role in the regulation of tomato fruit ripening and is considered a master regulator (Vrebalov et al., 2002; Li et al., 2019). Researchers have employed Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) techniques to progressively identify a series of target genes regulated by RIN. These target genes are involved in various aspects of fruit ripening, including ethylene biosynthesis and signaling (Ito et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011), fruit softening (Fujisawa et al., 2011), pigment synthesis (Martel et al., 2011), aroma compound synthesis (Qin et al., 2012), protein ubiquitination (Wang et al., 2014), and sucrose metabolism (Qin et al., 2016). TOMATO AGAMOUS-LIKE1 (TAGL1) is another member of the MADS box family. In tomato, silencing of TAGL1 inhibits the fruit ripening process, leading to thinner fruit skin, reduced ethylene release, and an incomplete transition to red coloration (Vrebalov et al., 2009). It was reported that TAGL1 can regulate ethylene biosynthesis by activating the expression of the ACS2 gene (Itkin et al., 2009). FRUITFULL1 (FUL1) and FUL2 are also members of the MADS box family of transcription factors, and both proteins can interact with RIN (Bemer et al., 2012). Simultaneous silencing of both genes led to a reduction in tomato lycopene content (Bemer et al., 2012). FUL1/FUL2 target over 800 genes, with some overlapping with RIN target genes. In vitro experiments have indicated that FUL1, FUL2, RIN, and TAGL1 may form a quaternary complex to collectively regulate fruit ripening (Bemer et al., 2012; Fujisawa et al., 2014). In the context of tomato fruit, RIN and TAGL1 form a complex that triggers the activation of ethylene biosynthesis genes, thereby establishing a positive feedback loop that generates autocatalytic ethylene (Lü et al., 2018; Ji et al., 2020). This MADS-type circuit subsequently stimulates downstream ripening-associated genes.

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), an important economic crop, is cherished for its delicious and nutritious fruit. With a relatively small genome size (~900 Mb), well-defined genetic background, abundant mutant resources, and ease of genetic transformation, the tomato has emerged as a model plant for studying the biology of climacteric fruit development (Giovannoni et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2022). Additionally, the distinct stages of fruit ripening, coupled with its evident attributes, make it an ideal candidate for investigating the biology of climacteric fresh fruit ripening. A large number of studies have focused on transcription and ethylene regulation of tomato fruit ripening. Due to the rapid development of new sequencing technologies, the study of epigenetics has greatly promoted the molecular mechanism of tomato fruit ripening (Giovannoni et al., 2017). An increasing body of evidence suggests that epigenetic mechanisms play a role in modulating the process of tomato fruit ripening, and the regulatory mechanisms of some important proteins and genes have been analyzed (Zhong et al., 2013; Giovannoni et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2022). Epigenetics refers to heritable changes in gene expression without altering the original gene sequence (Eichten

et al., 2014; Ji and Wang, 2023). Epigenetic regulation encompasses diverse mechanisms, including methylation modification of DNA or RNA, histone modification, non-coding RNA. Here, this review provides an overview of the breakthrough and future prospects for studies of epigenetic regulation in tomato fruit ripening.

2 Epigenetic regulation of tomato fruit ripening

2.1 DNA/RNA methylation

DNA methylation referred a conserved process involving the transfer of a -CH3 group to the fifth carbon of cytosine residues, resulting in the formation of 5-methylcytosine (5mC), exerting a significant impact on chromatin function, usually down-regulating gene expression (Zhang et al., 2018; He et al., 2022). A classic example of methylation-mediated regulation in plant fruit ripening arises from investigations of the tomato cnr (Colorless non-ripening) mutants. CNR was located on tomato chromosome 2, belonged to the SBP (squamosa promoter binding protein) family of transcription factors. Compared with the wild type, ethylene synthesis, fruit softening and carotenoid synthesis of cnr mutant fruits were inhibited (Eriksson et al., 2004). At the same time, the fruit texture of the mutant was loose, the intercellular adhesion was decreased, and the fruit was easy to crack. It was found that CNR DNA sequence was not changed in cnr mutants, while the promoter region showed hypermethylation, and CNR transcription was inhibited (Manning et al., 2006). Nonetheless, recent studies have indicated that following the application of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology to knock out CNR, there was no substantial alteration observed in the fruit ripening phenotype in comparison to the wild type. Furthermore, the fruit phenotype associated with the cnr mutant could not be replicated (Gao et al., 2019). Therefore, the molecular regulation mechanism of this transcription factor still needs further study.

To determine the intrinsic link between DNA methylation and fruit ripening, researchers treated tomato fruits with methyltransferase inhibitor 5-azacytidine and found that the ripening process was accelerated, while hypermethylation was observed in the cnr and rin (ripening inhibitor) mutants fruits (Zhong et al., 2013). Further genome-wide methylation sequencing found that DNA methylation levels decreased continuously as the fruit matured (Zhong et al., 2013). The results indicated that DNA methylation was a dynamic process during different fruit ripening stages. Functional analysis of four DNA DEMETER-like DNA demethylases (DMLs) in tomato showed that SlDML2 was highly expressed in fruit and showed ripening related expression patterns (Liu R. et al., 2015). After this gene was silenced or knocked out, tomato fruit ripening process was delayed, indicating that DNA demethylase played an important role in the regulation of fruit ripening (Liu R. et al., 2015; Lang et al., 2017). A recent study found that SIDML2 not only regulated RIN expression, but also regulated RIN binding in tomato fruit ripening process (Niu et al., 2022). In addition, studies have shown that RNA demethylase Solanum lycopersicum AlkB homolog 2 (SIALKBH2) can bind the mRNA

of *SlDML2* and regulate the mRNA stability of *SlDML2* through N⁶-Methyladenosine (m⁶A) demethylation (Zhou et al., 2019). After *SlALKBH2* was knocked out by CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology, the fruit ripening process was delayed. These results indicate that there is an intrinsic relationship between RNA methylation and DNA methylation. There is also evidence indicating the participation of DNA methyltransferases in the regulation of tomato fruit ripening. When knocked out *Solanum lycopersicum* methyltransferase1 (*SlMET1*), most ripening-related RIN target genes were up-regulated, indicating that SlMET1 may repress these genes (Yang et al., 2019). These findings suggest that DNA methylation plays an important role, not in isolation, but in synergy with other signals to regulate tomato ripening (Figure 1). Currently, our understanding of the various signaling molecules involved in DNA methylation is not comprehensive enough. Future studies are needed to further enrich this molecular regulatory network.

2.2 Histone modification

Histone modification refers to the methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, and other modifications of the amino terminus of histones (Patel and Wang, 2013). These modifications can alter the state of chromatin and regulate gene expression. Up to now, 52 histone methyltransferases (HMTs), 26 histone demethylases



FIGURE 1

The regulation network of DNA methylation, RNA methylation, histone modification and non-coding RNA in tomato fruit ripening. *SIALKBH2* regulates the stability of *SIDML2* RNA by RNA m⁶A demethylation, enhancing its stability. Conversely, *SIDML2* promotes the expression of *SIALKBH2* through DNA demethylation, ultimately affecting fruit ripening. The histone demethylase SIJMJ6 eliminates H3K27me marks from *SIDML2*, *RIN* and ripening-associated genes, consequently promoting fruit ripening. On the other hand, histone demethylase SIJMJ7 erases H3K4me modifications from these genes, thus contributing to the inhibition of fruit ripening. Tomato methyltransferase SIMET1, Histone deacetylases SIHDA1, SIHDA3 and SIHDT1 may negatively regulate ripening-associated genes to control fruit ripening, while SIHDT3 exhibits opposing regulatory effects. PRC1 protein SILHP1b could bind H3K27me mark in regions of ripening-associated chromatin, targeting ripening-related genes, repressing fruit ripening. Meanwhile, SILHP1b interacted with PRC2 protein SIMS11, negatively regulating tomato fruit ripening. The microRNA gene *SIMIR164A* was involved in negative regulation of tomato fruit ripening.

(HDMs), 32 histone acetyltransferases (HATs), and 15 histone deacetylases (HDACs) have been identified in tomato genome (Aiese Cigliano et al., 2013). Relevant investigations have demonstrated the significant contribution of histone methylation to fruit ripening. Plant development is profoundly shaped by the pivotal functions executed by Polycomb group (PcG) proteins, which epigenetically suppress the transcription of target genes. Overexpression of SlMSI1 (Solanum lycopersicum MULTICOPY SUPPRESSOR OF IRA1), a component of polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), in the histone H3K27 methylase protein complex in tomato inhibited the expression of ripening related genes and hindered the fruit ripening process (Liu et al., 2016). Similar results were found in PRC1 protein SlLHP1b (Solanum lycopersicum Like Heterochromatin Protein 1b). SlLHP1b could bind H3K27me mark in regions of ripening-associated chromatin, targeting ripening-related ethylene biosynthesis, carotenoid biosynthesis and RIN targeted genes, repressing fruit ripening (Liang et al., 2020). Meanwhile, SlLHP1b interacted with SIMSI1, suggesting a potential collaborative role of these two proteins in regulating tomato fruit ripening (Liang et al., 2020). Enhancer of Zeste (EZ) Polycomb group protein SIEZ2 was a member of PRC2, reduction of this gene expression resulted in alteration in fruit development and ripening (Boureau et al., 2016). Moreover, genome-wide analysis of H3K27e3 revealed that this epigenetic modification was negatively associated with ripeningrelated genes expression (Lü et al., 2018). SlJMJ6 (Solanum lycopersicum Jumonji C domain-containing protein 6) encodes a histone lysine H3K27 demethylase, and the overexpression of SlJMJ6 accelerated the process of fruit ripening (Li et al., 2020). Subsequent investigations revealed that SIJMJ6 could directly target 32 genes, including RIN, SlDML2, thereby modulating the transcription of these genes. Another study showed that H3K4 demethylase SIJMJ7 modulated tomato fruit ripening by regulating ethylene biosynthesis genes, ripening related transcription factor and DNA demethylation genes expression through H3K4me3 demethylation (Ding et al., 2022). This finding revealed a crosstalk between histone methylation and DNA methylation.

Apart from histone methylation modifications, histone acetylation modifications also participate in the regulation of tomato fruit ripening. It has been discovered that distinct histone deacetylases play varying roles in the regulation of fruit ripening. Silencing of SlHDA1 (Solanum lycopersicum histone deacetylase1), SlHDA3 and SlHDT1 (Solanum lycopersicum HD-tuins1) accelerated fruit ripening, while the silencing of SlHDT3 slowed fruit ripening (Guo et al., 2017a; Guo et al., 2017b; Guo et al., 2018; Guo, 2022). In addition, gene knockout experiment revealed that the histone variant Sl_H2A.Z regulated the expression of carotenoids biosynthesis related genes, including SlPSY1 (Solanum lycopersicum PHYTOENE SYNTHASE 1), SIPDS (Solanum lycopersicum PHYTOENE DESATURASE 1), and SlVDE (Solanum lycopersicum VIOLAXANTHIN DE-EPOXIDASE) in tomato ripening (Yang et al., 2021). These findings indicate that histone modifications may play a significant regulatory role in tomato fruit ripening. Future research will focus on further identifying the histone modification molecules involved in the regulation of ripening.

2.3 Non-coding RNA

In addition to DNA/RNA methylation and histone modification, non-coding RNA also participates in the regulation of tomato fruit ripening. Non-coding RNAs are a class of RNAs that do not code for proteins (Ma et al., 2020). In studies on regulation of fruit ripening, researchers mainly focus on microRNA (miRNA), long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) and circular RNA (circRNA). Researchers performed high-throughput sequencing on tomato fruits and identified a collection of non-coding RNAs that could potentially participate in fruit ripening (Gao et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2017). It was found that the expression of 19 conserved microRNAs in fruits of rin mutants was significantly different from that of wild types (Gao et al., 2015). Another study analyzed lncRNAs in rin mutants and wild-type fruits, and identified 677 differentially expressed lncRNAs (Zhu et al., 2015). Some identified lncRNAs were silenced instantaneously using virusinduced gene silencing technology, leading to a delay in the fruit ripening process. A total of 1018 circRNAs were identified in tomato fruits through deep sequencing of RNA samples, with rRNA and RNase R digestion removed. Notably, some of these circRNAs showed a close correlation with pigment synthesis (Tan et al., 2017). Furthermore, a recent review has synthesized the current findings regarding non-coding RNAs associated with fruit ripening. This review delineated that out of these non-coding RNAs, 40 were linked to the ethylene pathway, 8 to color, 14 to flavor, and 32 to texture in tomato fruit ripening (Ma et al., 2020). In terms of the specific roles of individual miRNA genes, it was reported that upon the knockout of SlMIR164A using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology, the fruit ripening process accelerated, and there were alterations in sugar and organic acid contents (Lin et al., 2022). It is evident that non-coding RNAs may have crucial functions in the process of tomato fruit ripening. With further functional verification of non-coding RNAs, researchers will gain a deeper understanding and be able to analyze the mechanisms by which these non-coding RNAs regulate fruit ripening.

3 Discussion

The functional analysis of the key components of DNA methylation, RNA methylation, histone modification and noncoding RNA in tomato fruit ripening regulation revealed a complex regulation network (Figure 1). Previous study showed that the RNA demethylase SIALKBH2 could regulate the mRNA stability of the DNA demethylase SIDML2 through m⁶A demethylation (Zhou et al., 2019), elucidating the interaction between RNA methylation and DNA methylation in tomato fruit ripening regulation. Meanwhile, the H3K4 demethylase SIJMJ7 could repress the DNA demethylation gene *SIDML2* expression by H3K4me3 demethylation, thus establishing a crosstalk between histone and DNA demethylation in tomato fruit ripening regulation (Ding et al., 2022). An integrated assessment of alterations in genome methylation, long non-coding RNAs, circular RNAs, micro RNAs, and fruit metabolites unveiled numerous

differentially expressed genes (DEGs). These DEGs encompass differentially methylated regions that encode transcription factors and pivotal enzymes linked to ethylene or carotenoid pathways, which could potentially be targeted by differentially expressed noncoding RNAs (Zuo et al., 2020). Existing research indicates that tomato fruit ripening regulatory factors do not act in isolation but rather function in coordination. Currently, our understanding of these cooperative mechanisms is not sufficiently deep. Future research can focus on exploring the interactions among DNA or RNA methylation modifications, histone modification, and noncoding RNA, which will give a more comprehensive and intriguing regulatory network for tomato fruit ripening. At present, research efforts are predominantly focused on DNA demethylases, RNA demethylases, histone demethylases, and histone deacetylases. There is relatively less research conducted on DNA methyltransferases, RNA methyltransferases, histone methyltransferases, and histone acetyltransferases. In the future, there should be a strengthening of research in these areas to gain a more comprehensive understanding.

Currently, the online Tomato Epigenome Database (http:// ted.bti.cornell.edu/epigenome/) offers a valuable resource for searching DNA methylation patterns and accessing information on cytosine methylation, gene expression, small RNA, and RINbinding profiles through the Genome Browser. Further development of similar online tools and standalone software is essential to facilitate rapid and convenient investigations into the role of epigenetics in tomato fruit ripening. Additionally, advancements in sequencing and experimental technologies, such as 3D genome analysis, single-cell sequencing, and spatial-temporal omics, will enable a more extensive and in-depth exploration of the epigenetic regulation within the tomato fruit ripening regulatory network in the future.

Author contributions

YM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DJ: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Shandong University of Technology Doctoral Research Startup Fund [grant number 4041/421073], and Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China [grant number ZR2022QC256].

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Aiese Cigliano, R., Sanseverino, W., Cremona, G., Ercolano, M. R., Conicella, C., and Consiglio, F. M. (2013). Genome-wide analysis of histone modifiers in tomato: gaining an insight into their developmental roles. *BMC Genomics* 14, 57. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-57

Bemer, M., Karlova, R., Ballester, A. R., Tikunov, Y. M., Bovy, A. G., Wolters-Arts, M., et al. (2012). The tomato fruitfull homologs TDR4/FUL1 and MBP7/FUL2 regulate ethylene-independent aspects of fruit ripening. *Plant Cell* 24, 4437–4451. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.103283

Boureau, L., How-Kit, A., Teyssier, E., Drevensek, S., Rainieri, M., Joubès, J., et al. (2016). A CURLY LEAF homologue controls both vegetative and reproductive development of tomato plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 90, 485–501. doi: 10.1007/s11103-016-0436-0

Chen, T., Qin, G., and Tian, S. (2020). Regulatory network of fruit ripening: current understanding and future challenges. *New Phytol.* 228, 1219–1226. doi: 10.1111/ nph.16822

Ding, X., Liu, X., Jiang, G., Li, Z., Song, Y., Zhang, D., et al. (2022). SIJMJ7 orchestrates tomato fruit ripening via crosstalk between H3K4me3 and DML2-mediated DNA demethylation. *New Phytol.* 233, 1202–1219. doi: 10.1111/nph.17838

Eichten, S. R., Schmitz, R. J., and Springer, N. M. (2014). Epigenetics: beyond chromatin modifications and complex genetic regulation. *Plant Physiol.* 165, 933–947. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.234211

Eriksson, E. M., Bovy, A., Manning, K., Harrison, L., Andrews, J., De Silva, J., et al. (2004). Effect of the *Colorless non-ripening* mutation on cell wall biochemistry and gene expression during tomato fruit development and ripening. *Plant Physiol.* 136, 4184–4197. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.045765

Fujisawa, M., Nakano, T., and Ito, Y. (2011). Identification of potential target genes for the tomato fruit-ripening regulator RIN by chromatin immunoprecipitation. *BMC Plant Biol.* 11, 26. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-11-26

Fujisawa, M., Shima, Y., Nakagawa, H., Kitagawa, M., Kimbara, J., Nakano, T., et al. (2014). Transcriptional regulation of fruit ripening by tomato FRUITFULL homologs and associated MADS box proteins. *Plant Cell* 26, 89–101. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.119453

Gao, C., Ju, Z., Cao, D., Zhai, B., Qin, G., Zhu, H., et al. (2015). MicroRNA profiling analysis throughout tomato fruit development and ripening reveals potential regulatory role of RIN on microRNAs accumulation. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 13, 370–382. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12297

Gao, Y., Zhu, N., Zhu, X., Wu, M., Jiang, C.-Z., Grierson, D., et al. (2019). Diversity and redundancy of the ripening regulatory networks revealed by the fruitENCODE and the new CRISPR/Cas9 CNR and NOR mutants. *Hortic. Res.* 6, 39. doi: 10.1038/s41438-019-0122-x

Gapper, N. E., McQuinn, R. P., and Giovannoni, J. J. (2013). Molecular and genetic regulation of fruit ripening. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 82, 575–591. doi: 10.1007/s11103-013-0050-3

Giovannoni, J. (2001). Molecular regulation of fruit ripening. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 52, 725–749. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.52.1.725

Giovannoni, J. J. (2004). Genetic regulation of fruit development and ripening. *Plant Cell* 16, 170–181. doi: 10.1105/tpc.019158

Giovannoni, J., Nguyen, C., Ampofo, B., Zhong, S., and Fei, Z. (2017). The epigenome and transcriptional dynamics of fruit ripening. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 68, 61–84. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-040906

Guo, J.-E. (2022). Histone deacetylase gene *SlHDT1* regulates tomato fruit ripening by affecting carotenoid accumulation and ethylene biosynthesis. *Plant Sci.* 318, 111235. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2022.111235

Guo, J.-E., Hu, Z., Li, F., Zhang, L., Yu, X., Tang, B., et al. (2017a). Silencing of histone deacetylase *SlHDT3* delays fruit ripening and suppresses carotenoid accumulation in tomato. *Plant Sci.* 265, 29–38. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2017.09.013

Guo, J.-E., Hu, Z., Yu, X., Li, A., Li, F., Wang, Y., et al. (2018). A histone deacetylase gene, *SlHDA3*, acts as a negative regulator of fruit ripening and carotenoid accumulation. *Plant Cell Rep.* 37, 125–135. doi: 10.1007/s00299-017-2211-3

Guo, J.-E., Hu, Z., Zhu, M., Li, F., Zhu, Z., Lu, Y., et al. (2017b). The tomato histone deacetylase SIHDA1 contributes to the repression of fruit ripening and carotenoid accumulation. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 7930. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-08512-x

He, L., Huang, H., Bradai, M., Zhao, C., You, Y., Ma, J., et al. (2022). DNA methylation-free *Arabidopsis* reveals crucial roles of DNA methylation in regulating gene expression and development. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 1335. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-28940-2

Itkin, M., Seybold, H., Breitel, D., Rogachev, I., Meir, S., and Aharoni, A. (2009). TOMATO AGAMOUS-LIKE1 is a component of the fruit ripening regulatory network. *Plant J.* 60, 1081–1095. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.04064.x

Ito, Y., Kitagawa, M., Ihashi, N., Yabe, K., Kimbara, J., Yasuda, J., et al. (2008). DNAbinding specificity, transcriptional activation potential, and the *rin* mutation effect for the tomato fruit-ripening regulator RIN. *Plant J.* 55, 212–223. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03491.x

Ji, D., Cui, X., Qin, G., Chen, T., and Tian, S. (2020). SIFERL interacts with Sadenosylmethionine synthetase to regulate fruit ripening. *Plant Physiol.* 184, 2168– 2181. doi: 10.1104/pp.20.01203

Ji, Y., and Wang, A. (2023). Recent advances in epigenetic triggering of climacteric fruit ripening. *Plant Physiol.* 192, 1711–1717. doi: 10.1093/plphys/kiad206

Lang, Z., Wang, Y., Tang, K., Tang, D., Datsenka, T., Cheng, J., et al. (2017). Critical roles of DNA demethylation in the activation of ripening-induced genes and inhibition of ripening-repressed genes in tomato fruit. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, E4511– E4519. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1705233114

Li, S., Chen, K., and Grierson, D. (2019). A critical evaluation of the role of ethylene and MADS transcription factors in the network controlling fleshy fruit ripening. *New Phytol.* 221, 1724–1741. doi: 10.1111/nph.15545

Li, Z., Jiang, G., Liu, X., Ding, X., Zhang, D., Wang, X., et al. (2020). Histone demethylase SIJMJ6 promotes fruit ripening by removing H3K27 methylation of ripening-related genes in tomato. *New Phytol.* 227, 1138–1156. doi: 10.1111/nph.16590

Li, X., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, A., and You, C.-X. (2022). Regulation of fleshy fruit ripening: from transcription factors to epigenetic modifications. *Hortic. Res.* 9, uhac013. doi: 10.1093/hr/uhac013

Li, L., Zhu, B., Yang, P., Fu, D., Zhu, Y., and Luo, Y. (2011). The regulation mode of RIN transcription factor involved in ethylene biosynthesis in tomato fruit. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 91, 1822–1828. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.4390

Liang, Q., Deng, H., Li, Y., Liu, Z., Shu, P., Fu, R., et al. (2020). Like Heterochromatin Protein 1b represses fruit ripening via regulating the H3K27me3 levels in ripeningrelated genes in tomato. *New Phytol.* 227, 485–497. doi: 10.1111/nph.16550

Lin, D., Zhu, X., Qi, B., Gao, Z., Tian, P., Li, Z., et al. (2022). *SlMIR164A* regulates fruit ripening and quality by controlling *SlNAM2* and *SlNAM3* in tomato. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 20, 1456–1469. doi: 10.1111/pbi.13824

Liu, R., How-Kit, A., Stammitti, L., Teyssier, E., Rolin, D., Mortain-Bertrand, A., et al. (2015). A DEMETER-like DNA demethylase governs tomato fruit ripening. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 10804–10809. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1503362112

Liu, M., Pirrello, J., Chervin, C., Roustan, J. P., and Bouzayen, M. (2015). Ethylene control of fruit ripening: revisiting the complex network of transcriptional regulation. *Plant Physiol.* 169, 2380–2390. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01361

Liu, Z., Wu, X., Liu, H., Zhang, M., and Liao, W. (2022). DNA methylation in tomato fruit ripening. *Physiol. Plantarum* 174, e13627. doi: 10.1111/ppl.13627

Liu, D.-D., Zhou, L.-J., Fang, M.-J., Dong, Q.-L., An, X.-H., You, C.-X., et al. (2016). Polycomb-group protein SlMSI1 represses the expression of fruit-ripening genes to prolong shelf life in tomato. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 31806. doi: 10.1038/srep31806

Lü, P., Yu, S., Zhu, N., Chen, Y. R., Zhou, B., Pan, Y., et al. (2018). Genome encode analyses reveal the basis of convergent evolution of fleshy fruit ripening. *Nat. Plants* 4, 784–791. doi: 10.1038/s41477-018-0249-z

Ma, L., Mu, J., Grierson, D., Wang, Y., Gao, L., Zhao, X., et al. (2020). Noncoding RNAs: functional regulatory factors in tomato fruit ripening. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 133, 1753–1762. doi: 10.1007/s00122-020-03582-4

Manning, K., Tör, M., Poole, M., Hong, Y., Thompson, A. J., King, G. J., et al. (2006). A naturally occurring epigenetic mutation in a gene encoding an SBP-box transcription factor inhibits tomato fruit ripening. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 948–952. doi: 10.1038/ng1841

Martel, C., Vrebalov, J., Tafelmeyer, P., and Giovannoni, J. J. (2011). The tomato MADS-box transcription factor RIPENING INHIBITOR interacts with promoters involved in numerous ripening processes in a COLORLESS NONRIPENING-dependent manner. *Plant Physiol.* 157, 1568–1579. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.181107

Mcmurchie, E. J., Mcglasson, W. B., and Eaks, I. L. (1972). Treatment of fruit with propylene gives information about the biogenesis of ethylene. *Nature* 237, 235–236. doi: 10.1038/237235a0

Niu, Q., Xu, Y., Huang, H., Li, L., Tang, D., Wu, S., et al. (2022) *Two MADS-box transcription factors mediate epigenetic control of tomato fruit ripening* (Research Square). Available at: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-2003863/v1 (Accessed 22 June, 2023).

Patel, D. J., and Wang, Z. (2013). Readout of epigenetic modifications. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 82, 81-118. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-072711-165700

Qin, G., Wang, Y., Cao, B., Wang, W., and Tian, S. (2012). Unraveling the regulatory network of the MADS box transcription factor RIN in fruit ripening. *Plant J.* 70, 243–255. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04861.x

Qin, G., Zhu, Z., Wang, W., Cai, J., Chen, Y., Li, L., et al. (2016). A tomato vacuolar invertase inhibitor mediates sucrose metabolism and influences fruit ripening. *Plant Physiol.* 172, 1596–1611. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.01269

Shinozaki, Y., Nicolas, P., Fernandez-Pozo, N., Ma, Q., Evanich, D. J., Shi, Y., et al. (2018). High-resolution spatiotemporal transcriptome mapping of tomato fruit development and ripening. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 364. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02782-9

Smaczniak, C., Immink, R. G. H., Angenent, G. C., and Kaufmann, K. (2012). Developmental and evolutionary diversity of plant MADS-domain factors: insights from recent studies. *Development* 139, 3081–3098. doi: 10.1242/dev.074674

Tan, J., Zhou, Z., Niu, Y., Sun, X., and Deng, Z. (2017). Identification and functional characterization of tomato circRNAs derived from genes involved in fruit pigment accumulation. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 8594. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-08806-0

Vrebalov, J., Pan, I. L., Arroyo, A. J. M., McQuinn, R., Chung, M., Poole, M., et al. (2009). Fleshy fruit expansion and ripening are regulated by the tomato SHATTERPROOF gene *TAGL1*. *Plant Cell* 21, 3041–3062. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.066936

Vrebalov, J., Ruezinsky, D., Padmanabhan, V., White, R., Medrano, D., Drake, R., et al. (2002). A MADS-box gene necessary for fruit ripening at the tomato ripening-inhibitor (*rin*) locus. *Science* 296, 343–346. doi: 10.1126/science.1068181

Wang, Y., Wang, W., Cai, J., Zhang, Y., Qin, G., and Tian, S. (2014). Tomato nuclear proteome reveals the involvement of specific E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes in fruit ripening. *Genome Biol.* 15, 548. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0548-2

Yang, Y., Tang, K., Datsenka, T. U., Liu, W., Lv, S., Lang, Z., et al. (2019). Critical function of DNA methyltransferase 1 in tomato development and regulation of the DNA methylome and transcriptome. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 61, 1224–1242. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12778

Yang, X., Zhang, X., Yang, Y., Zhang, H., Zhu, W., and Nie, W.-F. (2021). The histone variant Sl_H2A.Z regulates carotenoid biosynthesis and gene expression during tomato fruit ripening. *Hortic. Res.* 8, 85. doi: 10.1038/s41438-021-00520-3

Zhang, H., Lang, Z., and Zhu, J.-K. (2018). Dynamics and function of DNA methylation in plants. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 19, 489–506. doi: 10.1038/s41580-018-0016-z

Zhong, S., Fei, Z., Chen, Y. R., Zheng, Y., Huang, M., Vrebalov, J., et al. (2013). Single-base resolution methylomes of tomato fruit development reveal epigenome modifications associated with ripening. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 154–159. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2462

Zhou, L., Tian, S., and Qin, G. (2019). RNA methylomes reveal the m⁶A-mediated regulation of DNA demethylase gene *SlDML2* in tomato fruit ripening. *Genome Biol.* 20, 156. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1771-7

Zhu, B., Yang, Y., Li, R., Fu, D., Wen, L., Luo, Y., et al. (2015). RNA sequencing and functional analysis implicate the regulatory role of long non-coding RNAs in tomato fruit ripening. *EXBOTJ* 66, 4483–4495. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv203

Zuo, J., Grierson, D., Courtney, L. T., Wang, Y., Gao, L., Zhao, X., et al. (2020). Relationships between genome methylation, levels of non-coding RNAs, mRNAs and metabolites in ripening tomato fruit. *Plant J.* 103, 980–994. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14778