#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Domingo Martinez-Soto, Center for Scientific Research and Higher Education in Ensenada (CICESE), Mexico

REVIEWED BY Houlin Yu, University of Massachusetts Amherst, United States Luis Jesús Castillo Pérez, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosí, Mexico

\*CORRESPONDENCE César Hernández-Rodríguez Chdez38@hotmail.com

RECEIVED 26 June 2023 ACCEPTED 15 September 2023 PUBLISHED 04 October 2023

#### CITATION

De-la-Vega-Camarillo E, Hernández-García JA, Villa-Tanaca L and Hernández-Rodríguez C (2023) Unlocking the hidden potential of Mexican teosinte seeds: revealing plant growth-promoting bacterial and fungal biocontrol agents. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1247814. doi: 10.3389/fols.2023.1247814

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 De-la-Vega-Camarillo, Hernández-García, Villa-Tanaca and Hernández-Rodríguez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Unlocking the hidden potential of Mexican teosinte seeds: revealing plant growthpromoting bacterial and fungal biocontrol agents

## Esaú De-la-Vega-Camarillo, Juan Alfredo Hernández-García, Lourdes Villa-Tanaca and César Hernández-Rodríguez\*

Laboratorio de Biología Molecular de Bacterias y Levaduras, Departamento de Microbiología, Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ciudad de México, Mexico

The bacterial component of plant holobiont maintains valuable interactions that contribute to plants' growth, adaptation, stress tolerance, and antagonism to some phytopathogens. Teosinte is the grass plant recognized as the progenitor of modern maize, domesticated by pre-Hispanic civilizations around 9,000 years ago. Three teosinte species are recognized: Zea diploperennis, Zea perennis, and Zea mays. In this work, the bacterial diversity of three species of Mexican teosinte seeds was explored by massive sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons. Streptomyces, Acinetobacter, Olivibacter, Erwinia, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Cellvibrio, Achromobacter, Devosia, Lysobacter, Sphingopyxis, Stenotrophomonas, Ochrobactrum, Delftia, Lactobacillus, among others, were the bacterial genera mainly represented. The bacterial alpha diversity in the seeds of Z. diploperennis was the highest, while the alpha diversity in Z. mays subsp. mexicana race was the lowest observed among the species and races. The Mexican teosintes analyzed had a core bacteriome of 38 bacterial genera, including several recognized plant growth promoters or fungal biocontrol agents such as Agrobacterium, Burkholderia, Erwinia, Lactobacillus, Ochrobactrum, Paenibacillus, Pseudomonas, Sphingomonas, Streptomyces, among other. Metabolic inference analysis by PICRUSt2 of bacterial genera showed several pathways related to plant growth promotion (PGP), biological control, and environmental adaptation. The implications of these findings are far-reaching, as they highlight the existence of an exceptional bacterial germplasm reservoir teeming with potential plant growth promotion bacteria (PGPB). This reserve holds the key to cultivating innovative bioinoculants and formidable fungal antagonistic strains, thereby paving the way for a more sustainable and eco-friendly approach to agriculture. Embracing these novel NGS-based techniques and understanding the profound impact of the vertical transference of microorganisms from seeds could revolutionize the future of agriculture and develop a new era of symbiotic harmony between plants and microbes.

#### KEYWORDS

teosinte, massive sequencing, next generation sequencing (NGS), maize, bacteriome

# **1** Introduction

The domestication of plants has played a crucial role in the cultural and economic advancement of societies across the globe. Through domestication, humanity has cultivated plants that provide several benefits, including food, beverages, medicine, raw materials for industry, and even elements that have cultural or social significance (Milla et al., 2015; Purugganan, 2019).

The biological origin, diversification, and domestication of maize occurred in Mesoamerica, located in the center of Mexico. This grass of the Poaceae family had a seminal role in the origin, extension of agriculture, and culture of pre-Hispanic civilizations (Smith et al., 1981). One of the species of actual teosintes, *Zea mays* subsp. parviglumis, is the progenitor of all derivative *Zea mays* subsp. mays modern races. The human-driven domestication that started around 9,000 years ago is one of the most critical events in the history of agriculture (Doebley, 2004; Piperno et al., 2009; Sahoo et al., 2021).

Numerous groups of bacteria and fungi establish interactions with plants. It has been discovered that the overall health of plants is closely associated with the specific composition of microorganisms present both in the soil and the plants themselves (Gherbi et al., 2008; Miyambo et al., 2016; van der Heijden and Hartmann, 2016; Dastogeer et al., 2020). Plants maintain associations with microorganisms both outside and within their tissues. Endophytic microorganisms within the root, stem, leaves, flowers, and seeds maintain mutualistic symbiosis with the plant host (Frey-Klett et al., 2011; Mishra et al., 2015; De Mandal et al., 2021). Seed endophyte microorganisms can be transferred vertically to plant offspring, ensuring their permanence in favorable environments (Johnston-Monje & Raizada, 2011).

Few studies of culturable fractions of teosinte bacteria have been performed. Nitrogen-fixing Paraburkholderia tropica (formerly Burkholderia tropica) was isolated from the rhizosphere and stem of teosinte (Caballero-Mellado et al., 2004; Reis et al., 2004). Although this species has not been reported again associated with teosinte, other species and strains isolated from maize and sugarcane express plant growth promotion (PGP) and antifungal phenotypic features (Tenorio-Salgado et al., 2013; Bernabeu et al., 2018; Schlemper et al., 2018; Kuramae et al., 2020; Vio et al., 2022). Also, endophytic Bacillus, Enterobacter, Methylobacterium, and Pantoea, with variable PGP features, were repeatedly isolated from three different teosinte species (Johnston-Monje & Raizada, 2011). Paenibacillus polymyxa and Citrobacter sp. obtained from the same teosinte seeds inhibited fungal growth and mycotoxin production and maintained a potential to combat phytopathogens (Mousa et al., 2015). Currently, an important research topic is to elucidate how much of a plant's phenotype, adaptive capacities, evolution, and productivity are due to its endospheric and rhizospheric microbiome (Santoyo et al., 2017; Kaur et al., 2021).

In that sense, next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies have revolutionized the field of microbiology and have become an essential tool for studying the plant holobiont, which encompasses the plant and all its associated microorganisms. Identifying microbial species or microbiomes present in the plant holobiont is the first step to studying the complexity of the existing symbiosis (Simon et al., 2019; Marco et al., 2022). In this work, the bacteriome of seeds of three teosinte species was explored by NGS of 16S rRNA gene. The alpha and beta diversities of bacterial genera, the core bacteriome of the teosinte species, and metabolic prediction of the main bacteria were documented. Many previously potential PGPB associated with maize were detected in teosintes. This work may lead efforts to isolate the cultivable fraction of these plant species that may be a reservoir of PGPB for use as biofertilizers and for biocontrol.

# 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Biological samples

Seeds of 6 different species, subspecies, and races of Mexican teosintes were used in this work: *Zea perennis, Zea diploperennis, Zea mays* subsp. mexicana race Nobogame, *Zea mays* subsp. mexicana race Chalco and *Zea mays* subsp. parviglumis race Balsas. Teosinte seeds were provided by the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) (Texcoco, Mexico). Information and access numbers for CIMMYT collections are presented in Figure 1; Table 1.

# 2.2 DNA extraction and 16S rRNA metabarcoding sequencing

Teosinte seeds (3 groups of 20 seeds per species) were washed with sterile distilled water for 48 h. The wash water was decanted, and the seeds were soaked in 5% sodium hypochlorite for 10 min and washed five times with sterile distilled water for 1 min. Finally, the seeds were disinfected with 95% ethyl alcohol for 10 min and washed five times with sterile distilled water for 1 min.

Three groups of 20 seeds for each variety were used for DNA extraction; later, these extractions per variety were pulled and sequenced. The extraction of metagenomic DNA was performed using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) technique (Aboul-Maaty and Oraby, 2019). Primers 341F (5-Clamp 1-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3)/806R (5-ATTACCGCGGCTGC TGG-3) were used to amplify the V3-V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene of the pulled metagenomic DNA obtained (Yang et al., 2017). A single 6-nucleotide label was added to the 5' end of the initiators to distinguish PCR products. All PCR amplifications were performed in 30 µL reaction volumes containing 15 µL of 2 Phusion Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), 0.2  $\mu$ M of each forward and reverse primers, and 10 ng of metagenomic DNA. The thermal cycle conditions were: initial denaturation at 98°C for 1 minute followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 10 sec, 50°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

The amplification products were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis (p/v) and purified with a GeneJET Gel extraction kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Purified PCR products were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform at Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China).



Teosinte in Mexico. (A) Mature teosinte plant, growing wild in maize fields. (B) Map of the distribution of the different races of teosintes in Mexico from which the samples were obtained.

# 2.3 NGS analyses

FastQC performed the quality control for high throughput sequence data was performed by FastQC Version 0.12.0 (Andrews et al., 2010). The low-quality reads (Phred quality score < 25) and sequences <200 or > 500 bp long, containing ambiguous characters, homopolymers >6 bp, and mismatches in primers > 14 were removed from subsequent analyses (Lawley and Tannock, 2017).

Sequencing data were analyzed using the QIIME2<sup>TM</sup> software package (Bolyen et al., 2019). Sequences were quality-filtered, trimmed, denoised, and merged using DADA2 plugin (Callahan et al., 2016). Chimeric sequences, singletons, and doubletons were detected and removed by the DADA2 workflow. Representative ASVs were aligned with MAFFT and used for phylogenetic reconstruction in FastTree using plugin alignment and phylogeny (Faith and Baker, 2006). A trained Naïve Bayes classifier-based SILVA database (https://www.arb-silva.de/documentation/release-132/) was applied to assign the taxonomy (Agnihortry et al., 2020; Kõljalg et al., 2020). ASVs that could not be taxonomically identified were manually checked by performing BLAST searches in RDP (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/) (Bacci et al., 2015) based on similarity thresholds for family, genus, and species at >90, >95, and >97%, respectively (Rosselló-Móra et al., 2017).

## 2.4 Alpha diversity analysis

The microbial diversity and microbial communities' composition analyses were estimated with a series of scripts from QIIME2, including generating rarefied amplicon sequence variant

TABLE 1 Readings obtained and quality filtration from the massive sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene of teosinte seeds.

Teosinte specie	Top Name	Access Number	Location	Year of collection	Tissue	Total readings	Valid readings	ASV count
<i>Zea mays</i> subsp. <i>mexicana</i> race Nobogame	W.S.T. 92-2	CIMMYTMA 13572	Río Neva, Chihuahua 28.787993, -106.149427	2015	Seed	163,493	107,942	7,845
Zea mays subsp. mexicana race Chalco	MGB- CI 4	CIMMYTMA 29062	Tenango del Aire, México 19.173577, -98.853118	Tenango del Aire, México 2015 Seed 147,015   19.173577, -98.853118 2015 Seed 147,015		147,015	93,799	3,985
Zea mays subsp. mexicana race Mesa Central	W.S.T. 92-4	CIMMYTMA 13574	Cuitzeo, Michoacán 19.982905, -101.171815	)5, 2015 Seed 189,4		189,400	133,559	6,986
Zea mays subsp. parviglumis race Balsas	K 67-5	CIMMYTMA 8755	Mazatlán, Guerrero 17.445471, -99.474217 2015		Seed	173,013	114,559	4,507
Zea perennis	MGB- CI 50	CIMMYTMA 29739	Coquimatlán, Colima 19.218588, -103.936109	2015 Seed 174,531		110,923	8,193	
Zea diploperennis	LAS OYAS	CIMMYTMA 9476	Cuautitlán de García Barragán Jalisco 19.617700, -104.197447	2015	Seed	164,462	123,998	9,913

For later analyses the number of readings were rarefied to 93,799 readings (readings from the sample with the lowest number).

(ASV) tables. To calculate  $\alpha$ -diversity within these communities in all samples, the species richness was estimated using the observed ASV number and Chao1 (Chao, 1984), species diversity with Shannon (Shannon, 1948), and the dominance with Simpson index (Simpson, 1949) in QIIME2. The diversity indices of the samples were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test to evaluate the statistical significance between the samples (P < 0.05). Good's coverage estimator was used to calculate the sequence coverage obtained for the 16S rRNA region datasets (Good, 1953).

### 2.5 Beta diversity analysis

The  $\beta$ -diversity comparison of seed bacteria among teosinte species was performed using UniFrac distances (Lozupone et al., 2011), both unweighted (phylogenetic richness) and weighted (relative abundance and phylogenetic richness) in MEGAN 6.21 software (Bağcı et al., 2019). Also, the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity was estimated using PAST 4.03 software (Hammer et al., 2001). Significant differences among bacterial communities of teosinte species were tested with the Monte Carlo method and Adonis test for UniFrac distances and the Bray-Curtis index, respectively. A Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) to explore multidimensional patterns of diversity variation of bacterial communities among teosinte species was performed using unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances in PAST 4.03 (Hammer et al., 2001).

#### 2.6 Visualization of diversity and abundance of samples and core bacteriome

The visualization, analysis, comparison, and contrast of the information of the ASV tables, heat-map graphs of relative abundance, and taxonomic co-occurrence analysis were made with MEGAN 6.21 (Bağcı et al., 2019) and TBtools v1.108 tools (Chen et al., 2020). The cut-off to define the core bacteriome of ASV in teosinte samples was a strict core of 100% (Bağcı et al., 2019).

# 2.7 Prediction of functional profiling of teosinte seed endophytic bacteria

The predictive functional profile of the endophytic bacterial communities of different teosinte seeds was inferred using the Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States 2 (PICRUSt2) software (Langille et al., 2013; Douglas et al., 2020) through the web application Galaxy7 and employing KEGG database (Afgan et al., 2016). The accuracy of metagenome predictions was determined with the nearest sequence-weighted taxon index (NSTI) that summarizes the extent to which microorganisms in a sample are related to sequence genomes, and they represent the average branch length that separates each ASV in a sample from a reference bacterial genome, weighting their relative abundance in each sample. Low values of this index indicate a closer mean relationship.

# **3 Results**

### 3.1 Data quality analysis

The DNA sequence quality trimming was performed. Table 1 summarizes the sample data and the number of trimmed DNA sequence data, showcasing only the high-quality, validated readings that met the predetermined quality criteria. The valid readings that oscillate between 93,799 and 133,559 are shown. The number of readings was reduced to the lowest value for subsequent analysis.

### 3.2 Bacterial communities' analysis

The analysis of diversity to estimate richness and abundance in individual samples was carried out using multiple methods, as shown in Table 2. The samples of *Z. diploperennis* had the highest number of observed bacterial ASV (1822), while *Z. perennis* y *Z. mays* subsp. *parviglumis* teosinte harbored the greatest bacterial diversity estimated with the Simpson (0.0024) and reciprocal Simpson (3.8025) and Shannon (4.0142) indexes, respectively.

TABLE 2	Comparison	of alpha	diversity	indices	among	teosinte	races.
---------	------------	----------	-----------	---------	-------	----------	--------

Teosinte specie	Chao1	Observed ASVs	Simpson index	Reciprocal Simpson index	Shannon	Goods cov- erage
Zea mays subsp. mexicana race Nobogame	1314.4	1187	0.0028	3.3652	3.0650	0.9978
Zea mays subsp. mexicana race Chalco	1163.7	964	0.0054	5.2839	3.5692	0.9979
Zea mays subsp. mexicana race Mesa Central	1732.9	1487	0.0033	4.9489	4.0020	0.9972
Zea mays subsp. parviglumis race Balsas	1955.2	1812	0.0032	5.8791	4.0142	0.9973
Zea perennis	1764.8	1525	0.0024	3.8025	3.5965	0.9969
Zea diploperennis	2011.2	1822	0.0029	5.3956	3.9023	0.9969

The  $\alpha$ -diversity indices showed that *Z. diploperennis*, *Z. perennis*, and *Z. mays* subsp. *parviglumis* harbored higher diverse bacterial communities than *Z. mays subsp. mexicana* races Chalco, Nobogame, and Mesa Central. Moreover, the utilization of weighted UniFrac in  $\beta$ -diversity analysis unveiled that the estimated species turnover demonstrates the grouping of *Z. diploperennis*, *Z. perennis*, and *Z. mays* subsp. *parviglumis* within a single clade, while the races of *Z. mays* subsp. *mexicana* exhibit distribution in a separate clade.

The PCoA was performed using unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances and explained 78.6% (PCoA- 45.0%; PCoB-20.0%; PCoC- 13.6%) (Figure 2A) and 95.7% (PCoA: 73.6%; PCoB-18.5%; PCoC-3.6%) (Figure 2C) of the total bacterial genus-level variation, respectively. The unweighted PCoA showed that the bacterial diversity was different (P<0.05) among communities of teosinte races. However, in a weighted PCoA analysis, a rearrangement arose in the relationship among the different teosinte races according to bacterial communities' diversity and abundance. *Z. mays* subsp. mexicana races Nobogame and Mesa Central were the most similar between them, followed by *Z. perennis* and *Z. diploperennis* pair, with his analysis does not show a clear grouping between the races of the species *Zea mays* (Figures 2B–D).

Bacterial communities in the seeds of three teosinte species were remarkably diverse and consisted of 39 phyla and about 342 families with at least 1% abundance in samples (Figure 3A). The teosinte seed endophytes exhibited a dominant presence of Proteobacteria



#### FIGURE 2

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of  $\beta$ -bacterial diversity across all samples using unweighted (**A**, **B**) and weighted (**C**, **D**) UniFrac distances. Unweighted PCoA and UniFrac were performed to compare taxonomic groups assigned from massive sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene of seeds of different teosinte species and races.



Endophytic bacterial diversity in Mexican teosinte seeds. (A) the relative abundance of families in teosinte seeds is expressed as the  $log_{10}$  of the total assigned readings, and (B) the relative abundance of bacterial genera is shown as the  $log_{10}$  of the total readings assigned. The bar color gradient represents high (red) and low (blue) readings.

(8-40%) across all samples, highlighting its prominence as the most abundant phylum. Notably, the relative abundance analysis revealed several prominent bacterial families, including Enterobacteriaceae (0.6-3.9%), Vibrionaceae (0.2-1.7%), Xanthomonadaceae (3.2-8.5%), Aeromonadaceae (0.1-2.2%), Comamonadaceae (0.1-1.7%), Moraxellaceae (0.2-28.7%), Pseudomonadaceae (0.5-10.1%), Cyclobacteriaceae (0.4-2.4%), Cytophagaceae (0.2-3.9%), Sphingobacteriaceae (0.6-19.6%), Hyphomicrobiaceae (0.1-5.1%), Rhizobiaceae (0.1-3.6%), Rhosdospirilaceae (0.3-1.4%), Alcaligeneaceae (0.2-15.2%), Bacillaceae (0.1-3.8%), Lactobacillaceae (0.1-3.6%), Clostridiaceae (0.3-5.1%), Heliobacteriaceae (0.1-5.7%), Rhodobiaceae (0.3-6.1%), Rhodobacteriaceae (0.2-3.7%), and Ruminococcaceae (0.1-1.2%). A total of 572 genera were assigned, and the most abundant were Streptomyces, Acinetobacter, Olivibacter, Erwinia, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Cellvibrio, Achromobacter, Devosia, Lysobacter, Agrobacterium, Sphingopyxis, Stenotrophomonas, Ochrobactrum, Delftia, and Lactobacillus. Streptomyces was the most abundant genera associated with Z. mays subsp. mexicana Mesa Central (17.7%) and Z. diploperennis (21.8%), for Z. mays subsp. mexicana Nobogame (19.5%) and Z. mays subsp. parviglumis (40.3%) was Erwinia, for Z. perennis was Olivibacter (17.9%), and for Z. mays subsp. mexicana Chalco was Acinetobacter (64.2%) (Figure 3B).

In the initial analysis, the distribution patterns of bacterial genera were examined within each teosinte race. The results indicated that varying numbers of bacterial genera exclusively associated with each race. Z. mays subsp. mexicana Chalco and Z. mays subsp. mexicana Nobogame exhibited three exclusive bacterial genera, while Z. perennis, Z. mays subsp. mexicana parviglumis, Z. mays subsp. mexicana Mesa Central, and Z. diploperennis showed seven, nine, thirteen, and fourteen exclusive bacterial genera, respectively (Figure 4). Although these findings could suggest the presence of bacterial genus-specific relationships within each teosinte race, the experimental design does not allow reaching that conclusion. Further investigations, such as metagenomic sequencing or functional profiling of the associated bacterial

communities, and an extensive sampling would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the specific bacteriome and its potential implications for teosinte races.

The analysis of the strict core bacteriome in three teosinte species and six races revealed a total of 38 genera that were present at 100% of presence with a high relative abundance (0.010% of detection) across all samples. These genera include Acinetobacter, Aeromonas, Agrobacterium, Arenimonas, Bacteroides, Blautia, Burkholderia, Cellvibrio, Chryseobacterium, Clostridium, Delftia, Devosia, Erwinia, Fibrobacteria, Glycomyces, Hydrogenophaga, Lactobacillus, Lentzea, Limnobacter, Luteibacter, Lysobacter, Methylobacterium, Ochrobactrum, Olivibacter, Oscillospira, Paenibacillus, Parabacteroides, Phenylobacterium, Phytoplasma, Prevotella, Pseudomonas, Pseudoxanthomonas, Ruminococcus, Salmonella, Sphingomonas, Sphingopyxis, Stenotrophomonas, and Streptomyces. In this same analysis, we noticed that within the negative interrelationships, at least six subgroups of between 5-15 genera are formed that share more than 80% co-occurrence, which could suggest that these genera probably also play a significant role in the specificity of each genotype in particular (Figure 5). Some of these genera have been found and studied in different maize samples under different techniques, which suggests the close relationship of these bacteria with maize and teosinte plants (Table 3).

# 3.3 Metabolic inference

The metabolic function profiles of microbial communities in teosinte seeds samples were analyzed using PICRUSt2 software and the TBtools-II v1.108 viewer. Notably, these findings are inferred through metabolic inference analysis; however, they provide valuable insights into the potential functional attributes of the microbial communities associated with different teosinte races focused on PGP and biocontrol traits. NSTI values are among 0.0011-0.0080, where *Z. mays* subsp. parviglumis (0.0076) and *Z. perennis* (0.0080) show a higher relative abundance of specific taxonomic groups than the other samples. The results show that *Z. diploperennis* harbors bacterial communities with a large number



#### Frontiers in Plant Science



positive correlation of bacterial genera in all analyzed samples, and red lines represent a negative correlation. The size of the circular area indicates the relative abundance of the genera. The core is shown as an oval of green lines, demonstrating that these organisms are present in all samples and can occur among them.

of genes related to plant growth promotion, including the carbohydrate phosphotransferase system, amino acids, sugar, and nitrogen metabolism, biosynthesis of plant hormones, proteins for enhancing seed germination and photosynthesis.

Additionally, genes responsible for the biosynthesis of biocontrol molecules, such as biosynthesis of antibiotics, antifungals, and siderophores, and genes related to adaptations to the host environment, such as chemotaxis, motility, protein export, transporters, peroxisomes, protein kinases, and degradation of recalcitrant compounds. In contrast, the *Z. mays* subsp. mexicana Chalco landrace exhibits the lowest number of genes associated with these traits, as shown in Figure 6.

# 4 Discussion

The diversity of bacterial communities associated with the seed of three teosinte species: Z. diploperennis, Z. perennis, and Z. mays subsp. mexicana races Nobogame, Balsas, Mesa Central, and Chalco were explored in this work with culture-independent methods of NGS. Only some works have addressed the issue of bacterial diversity in the teosinte endosphere from the perspective of cultureindependent methods. In this sense, previous efforts focused on using bacterial DNA fingerprinting (16S rDNA TRFLP) detected a core bacteriome composed of Clostridium, Paenibacillus, and two other unidentified genera in seeds and stems of three teosinte species (Johnston-Monje & Raizada, 2011). Additionally, 18 bacteria genera were isolated and cultured from the same samples, expanding the core bacteriome of teosinte with members of the Enterobacter, Methylobacterium, Pantoea, and Pseudomonas genres. In contrast, in this work, the core bacteriome detected with NGS includes 38 bacterial genera only in seeds, confirming the presence of all previously detected but adding 36 bacteria genera for the first time. However, although the investigation of bacterial diversity is just the beginning and the comparisons between works carried out with different experimental strategies should be taken carefully, previous research using TRFLP has raised crucial questions regarding maize domestication, evolution, ethnography, geographic migration, and ecology (Johnston-Monje & Raizada, 2011; Johnston-Monje et al., 2014), all legitimate questions that can now be reconsidered with the use of NGS.

Some of the bacterial genera found in this work have been previously described as culturable endophytes in maize and teosinte plants with relevant phenotypic traits for plant-microorganism interaction, plant growth promotion, biological control, and adaptation to the environment (Chowdhury et al., 2019; Mehta et al., 2021; Wallace, 2023). However, many non-cultured bacteria genera no previously associated with teosinte and maize endophytes were also detected in the seed endosphere of teosinte, such as *Nitrospira, Scalindua*, and *Phytoplasma*, among others. These bacteria expand the potential of the teosinte microbiome for developing PGPB and biocontrol agents. The work results may be the basis for renewing efforts for isolating bacterial genera and species in specific culture media and ambiental conditions designed for those bacteria that have yet to be isolated in pure cultures.

The dynamic symbiotic relationship of endophytes with the host has essential implications for adaptation, stress tolerance, evolution, and plant domestication (Hardoim et al., 2015). Most of 38 genera of central bacteriome (core) have been recognized as PGPB, and some are also among the most abundant microorganisms found in native landrace maize samples, such as the case of *Burkholderia*, *Methylobacterium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Paenibacillus*, *Clostridium*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Streptomyces*, and *Luteibacter* (Johnston-Monje & Raizada, 2011). As has been suggested in previous works performed with the seeds of other plants, teosinte seeds are also a vast reservoir of microorganisms of evolutionary and biotechnological interest that remain in their host despite geographic and genetic differences (Chen et al., 2018; Hamonts et al., 2018; Koskella and Bergelson, 2020; Kuźniar et al., 2020; Noble et al., 2020; Rodríguez et al., 2020). The

Bacteria detected in this work	Main sources of isolate in other works	Method of obtaining	Relevant phenotypic traits	References
Achromobacter	Maize root	NGS and culture	Production of siderophores	Pereira et al., 2011
Agrobacterium	Maize seed	NGS	Production of auxins, ACC deaminase	Walters et al., 2018
Azospirillum	Maize leaf/shoot	NGS and culture	Production of auxines	Cassán et al., 2009; Camilios-Neto et al., 2014
Bacillus	Maize rhizoplane/shoot	Culture	BFN, solubilization phosphate, production of auxins, ACC deaminase, biocontrol agent	Bacon and Hinton, 2011; Santhanam et al., 2015
Burkholderia	Maize shoot	Culture	BNF, production of siderophores, production of auxins, ACC deaminase, biocontrol agent	Naveed et al., 2014a
Chitinophaga	Maize leaf	NGS and culture	Phosphate solubilization, production of auxins, biocontrol agent	Correa-Galeote et al., 2018
Chryseobacterium	Maize shoot/leaf	Culture	Biocontrol agent	Lin et al., 2017
Clostridium	Maize/teosinte seed	NGS and culture	Solubilization phosphates	Johnston-Monje and Raizada, 2011
Enterobacter	Maize/teosinte seed	Culture	Biocontrol agent	Naveed et al., 2014b
Geobacillus	Maize rhizoplane	Culture	Biocontrol agent	Abdelkader and Esawy, 2011
Klebsiella	Maize shoot	Culture	BNF, phosphate solubilization	Mowafy et al., 2021
Methylobacterium	Maize seed	NGS	Production of auxines	Matsumura et al., 2015
Ochrobactrum	Maize root	NGS	Production of siderophores	Verma et al., 2022
Pantoea	Maize/teosinte seed/shoot	Culture	Osmotic stress tolerance	Gond et al., 2015
Paenibacillus	Maize seed	Culture	Biocontrol agent	Liu et al., 2016
Pseudomonas	Maize root	NGS and culture	Production of siderophores, production of auxins, ACC deaminase, biocontrol agent	Sandhya et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2019
Rhizobium	Maize rhizoplane	Culture	BFN, production of auxins, production of siderophores	Celador-Lera et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2017
Sphingobium	Maize shoot	Culture	Phosphate solubilization, production of auxins, production of siderophores	Pereira and Castro, 2014;
Staphylococcus	Maize shoot	NGS and culture	Phosphate and zinc solubilization	Marag and Suman, 2018
Stenotrophomonas	Maize seed/shoot	NGS and culture	Phosphate and potassium solubilization; biocontrol agent	Liu et al., 2012
Streptomyces	Maize rhizoplane/leaf	NGS and culture	Production of auxins, biocontrol agent	Ayswaria et al., 2020

#### TABLE 3 Bacterial genera associated to teosinte and maize detected in this work and other previous papers.

accessory bacteriome of teosintes is possibly related to the specialization of the bacteria with their particular host. Depending on the plant host's sampling moment, geography, or ecology, it could also be a transitory event.

The UniFrac in  $\beta$ -diversity analysis unveiled two clades, one that included *Z. mays* subsp. *mexicana* and another to the rest of the species and races. The association between these bacteriomes, phylogenetic proximity, and geographical distribution highlight the significance of plant genotype in influencing microbiome selection and alterations, emphasizing the role of host genetics in shaping the microbial communities associated with these plant species (Yadav et al., 2023).

The phylogeny of Mexican annual teosintes performed with microsatellite analysis recognizes two clusters Zea mays subsp. mexicana and Z. mays subsp. parviglumis on one side;

meanwhile, *Z. diploperennis*, and *Z. perennis* on the other share an earlier common ancestor (Matsuoka et al., 2002; Fukunaga et al., 2005). This phylogenetic scenario could be related to the greater bacterial diversity associated with earlier teosinte species since a longer available evolutionary time to establish a symbiosis and coevolve with free-living bacteria than the other races. However, other geographic, ecological, or evolutive scenarios cannot be discarded.

The taxonomic microbial interaction network, constructed using representative bacterial genera from the core bacteriome in teosinte samples, exhibited a complex and extensive structure. These findings indicate that the seeds of teosinte act as a "Noah's Ark," which possibly facilitates the vertical transmission of essential symbiotic bacteria for the survival and growth of the subsequent plant generation in new and challenging environments. When considering the assumption that the teosinte seeds originate from



diverse conditions, it becomes evident that the microbial interaction network within these seeds is crucial for the plants' adaptation and resilience. This intricate network of interactions among microbial taxa suggests a cooperative and interdependent relationship between bacteria and their plant host (Li et al., 2019; Verma & White, 2019; Bomfim et al., 2020).

By implementing caution and considering the current state of knowledge, identifying bacteria at the genus level can provide valuable insights into their phenotypic characteristics and their ability to establish symbiotic relationships with plants (Fitzpatrick et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Morella et al., 2020; Trivedi et al., 2020). The outstanding similarity in the diversity and relative abundance of bacterial genera among teosintes indicates the presence of a functional and stable microbiome despite variations in recognized bacterial taxonomy. By conducting a thorough analysis of bacterial diversity and their phenotypic traits, we can better understand the role and symbiotic interactions of these bacterial communities throughout the plants' life cycle (Berg et al., 2010; Reinhold-Hurek and Hurek, 2011; Sessitsch et al., 2012; Belimov et al., 2015; Khatabi et al., 2019).

The prediction of the functional profiles of teosinte endophytes focuses on three critical components in the plant-microorganism symbiosis: adaptation to the host environment, specific symbiotic activities, and biological control of plant pathogens. The endophyte seed bacteria of teosinte participate in the potential establishment and development of the plant holobiont through the secretion of enzymes that break down complex organic matter, allowing adequate access to nutrients and bacterial motility to enable and facilitate colonization and establishing beneficial interactions and chemical communication systems such as quorum sensing to synchronize bacteria-bacteria interaction and production of phytohormones that lead plant-bacteria communication (Vandana et al., 2021). Also, bacterial genera with a potential capacity for degradation of xenobiotic compounds commonly present in contaminated soils, such as atrazine, xylene, chloroalkanes, and polycyclic aromatic compounds, were detected. These bacteria detoxify the soil, recirculate carbon from generally recalcitrant compounds, and offer the plant an adaptative advantage during colonization and initial growth of plants (Li et al., 2012; Pandey et al., 2013; Thelusmond et al., 2016; Regar et al., 2019; Huang X. et al., 2022).

Besides, the bacterial digestion of starch, sucrose metabolism, biosynthesis of amino acids, phytohormones, and intermediate compounds of vital biochemical cycles are metabolic activities that promote the development of plants from germination to advanced phenological stages (Hunting et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2019; Rehman et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2021; Mishra et al., 2022). Finally, the biosynthesis of antibiotic compounds such as streptomycin, cephalosporin, tetracycline, polyketides, and nonribosomal peptides such as siderophores can function as antifungals and protect the seed before and during germination and early growth (Abbas et al., 2022; Huang B. et al., 2022; Yadav et al., 2022), although they could also interfere with the establishment of mutualistic mycorrhizae (Schrey et al., 2012).

The microbiome of other plants highlights the importance of diversity, structure, composition, and core bacteriomes for the production of essential metabolites for ecology and plantmicroorganism interaction, as is the example of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* (Chen et al., 2018), *Hordeum vulgare* L. (Rahman et al., 2018), *Brassica napus* (Rybakova et al., 2017), among others. These efforts help lay the foundations for understanding the specific interactions between plants and microorganisms from an evolutionary and ecological point of view, complementing these studies with more precise tools such as holo-omics sciences (Xu et al., 2021).

The knowledge of the bacterial diversity in the progenitor plants of modern maize can allow us to propose lines of research that will explore the domestication, evolution, ecology, and biogeography of the different races of the plant to the symbiosis-plant microorganism that will allow us to recognize the bacteria that harbor a potential to improve agricultural productivity under more environmentally friendly conditions.

## **5** Conclusion

The endophytic bacterial diversity of seed teosintes, encompassing Z. diploperennis, Z. perennis, and Z. mays, displays a rich array of dozens of bacterial genera, forming a strict core. In contrast, many others reside in accessory bacteriomes specific to each plant species. Numerous PGB bacterial genera have been identified, alongside several previously unassociated with maize or teosinte. However, it is essential to acknowledge that further experiments are needed to demonstrate the reproducibility of these findings. The results also suggest that teosinte seeds are a reservoir of many important culturable and non-culturable bacteria, potentially microorganisms with exciting properties in plantmicroorganism interaction as plant growth promoters or biocontrol agents. These results lay the groundwork for future research on the functional role of members of the core bacteriome in symbiosis and their possible biotechnological applications in the intelligent design of bioinoculants. This work is the first step toward defining holobiont, holohabitat, and holoniche as previously defined (Malard and Guisan, 2023).

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The accession number of the Bioproject in NCBI is PRJNA952205.

# References

# Author contributions

ED-L-V-C and JH-G performed the DNA extraction, data analysis, and bioinformatics performances; ED-L-V-C, JH-G, LV-T, and CH-R designed and coordinated the study; ED-L-V-C wrote the first draft manuscript; ED-L-V-C, JH-G, LV-T, and CH-R contributed to the manuscript editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

This work was supported by the SIP-IPN (SIP-20211032 and SIP-20220795).

## Acknowledgments

All authors would like to thank the review service for the English version. Special thanks to Bram Govaerts, Denise Costich, and Christian Zavala Espinosa from the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMyT) for providing the teosinte seeds. ED-L-V-C thanks the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT) and BEIFI-IPN for students' fellowships. JH-G, LV-T, and CH-R are fellows of EDI-IPN, COFFA-IPN, and SNI-CONACyT.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Afgan, E., Baker, D., van den Beek, M., Blankenberg, D., Bouvier, D., Čech, M., et al. (2016). The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W3–W10. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw343

Abbas, A., Duan, J., Abdoulaye, A. H., Fu, Y., Lin, Y., Xie, J., et al. (2022). Deciphering bacterial community of the fallow and paddy soil focusing on possible biocontrol agents. *Agronomy* 12, 431. doi: 10.3390/agronomy12020431

Abdelkader, A. F., and Esawy, M. A. (2011). Case study of a biological control: *Geobacillus caldoxylosilyticus* (IRD) contributes to alleviate salt stress in maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 33, 2289–2299. doi: 10.1007/s11738-011-0769-x

Aboul-Maaty, N., and Oraby, H. (2019). Extraction of high-quality genomic DNA from different plant orders applying a modified CTAB-based method. *Bull. Natl. Res. Cent.* 43, 25. doi: 10.1186/s42269-019-0066-1

Agnihotry, S., Sarangi, A., and Aggarwal, R. (2020). Construction and assessment of a unified curated reference database for improving the taxonomic classification of bacteria using 16S rRNA sequence data. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 151, 93. doi: 10.4103/ ijmr.IJMR\_220\_18

Andrews, S., Krueger, F., Segonds-Pichon, A., Biggins, L., Krueger, C., and Wingett, S. (2010). A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data (Cambridge: Babraham Institute). 370, FastQC.

Ayswaria, R., Vasu, V., and Krishna, R. (2020). Diverse endophytic *Streptomyces* species with dynamic metabolites and their meritorious applications: a critical review. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 46, 750–758. doi: 10.1080/1040841X.2020.1828816

Bacci, G., Bani, A., Bazzicalupo, M., Ceccherini, M. T., Galardini, M., Nannipieri, P., et al. (2015). Evaluation of the performances of Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) Classifier for taxonomic assignment of 16S rRNA metabarcoding sequences generated from Illumina-Solexa NGS. J. Genomics 3, 36–39. doi: 10.7150/jgen.9204

Bacon, C. W., and Hinton, D. M. (2011). "Bacillus mojavensis: Its Endophytic Nature, the Surfactins, and Their Role in the Plant Response to Infection by Fusarium verticillioides," in Bacteria in Agrobiology: Plant Growth Responses. Ed. D. K. Maheshwari (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg), 21–39. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-20332-9\_2

Bağcı, C., Beier, S., Górska, A., and Huson, D. H. (2019). "Introduction to the analysis of environmental sequences: Metagenomics with MEGAN," in *Evolutionary Genomics Methods in Molecular Biology*. Ed. M. Anisimova (New York, NY: Springer New York), 591–604. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-9074-0\_19

Belimov, A. A., Puhalsky, I. V., Safronova, V. I., Shaposhnikov, A. I., Vishnyakova, M. A., Semenova, E. V., et al. (2015). Role of plant genotype and soil conditions in symbiotic plant-microbe interactions for adaptation of plants to cadmium-polluted soils. *Water Air Soil pollut.* 226, 264. doi: 10.1007/s11270-015-2537-9

Berg, G., Egamberdieva, D., Lugtenberg, B., and Hagemann, M. (2010). "Symbiotic plant-microbe interactions: stress protection, plant growth promotion, and biocontrol by stenotrophomonas," in *Symbioses and Stress Cellular Origin, Life in Extreme Habitats and Astrobiology.* Eds. J. Seckbach and M. Grube (Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands), 445–460. doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-9449-0\_22

Bernabeu, P. R., García, S. S., López, A. C., Vio, S. A., Carrasco, N., Boiardi, J. L., et al. (2018). Assessment of bacterial inoculant formulated with *Paraburkholderia tropica* to enhance wheat productivity. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 34, 81. doi: 10.1007/s11274-018-2461-4

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., et al. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

Bomfim, C. S. G., da Silva, V. B., Cursino, L. H. S., Mattos, W., da, S., Santos, J. C. S., et al. (2020). Endophytic bacteria naturally inhabiting commercial maize seeds occupy different niches and are efficient plant growth-promoting agents. *Symbiosis* 81, 255–269. doi: 10.1007/s13199-020-00701-z

Caballero-Mellado, J., Martínez-Aguilar, L., Paredes-Valdez, G., and Santos, P.E.l. (2004). Burkholderia unamae sp. nov., an N<sub>2</sub>-fixing rhizospheric and endophytic species. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54, 1165–1172. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02951-0

Callahan, B. J., Sankaran, K., Fukuyama, J. A., McMurdie, P. J., and Holmes, S. P. (2016). Bioconductor workflow for microbiome data analysis: from raw reads to community analyses. *F1000Res* 5, 1492. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.8986.2

Camilios-Neto, D., Bonato, P., Wassem, R., Tadra-Sfeir, M. Z., Brusamarello-Santos, L. C., Valdameri, G., et al. (2014). Dual RNA-seq transcriptional analysis of wheat roots colonized by *Azospirillum brasilense* reveals up-regulation of nutrient acquisition and cell cycle genes. *BMC Genomics* 15, 378. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-378

Cassán, F., Maiale, S., Masciarelli, O., Vidal, A., Luna, V., and Ruiz, O. (2009). Cadaverine production by *Azospirillum brasilense* and its possible role in plant growth promotion and osmotic stress mitigation. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 45, 12–19. doi: 10.1016/ j.ejsobi.2008.08.003

Celador-Lera, L., Menéndez, E., Peix, A., Igual, J. M., Velázquez, E., and Rivas, R. (2017). *Rhizobium zeae* sp. nov., isolated from maize (*Zea mays L.*) roots. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 2306–2311. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.001944

Chao, A. (1984). Nonparametric estimation of the number of classes in a population. *Scand. J. Stat.* 11, 265–270. doi: 10.4236/ojdm.2016.62006

Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., et al. (2020). TBtools: An integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Mol. Plant* 13, 1194–1202. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009

Chen, H., Wu, H., Yan, B., Zhao, H., Liu, F., Zhang, H., et al. (2018). Core microbiome of medicinal plant *Salvia miltiorrhiza* seed: A rich reservoir of beneficial microbes for secondary metabolism? *IJMS* 19, 672. doi: 10.3390/ijms19030672

Chowdhury, S., Lata, R., Kharwar, R. N., and Gond, S. K. (2019). "Microbial Endophytes of maize seeds and their application in crop improvements," in *Seed Endophytes*. Eds. S. K. Verma and J. F. White (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 449–463. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-10504-4\_21

Correa-Galeote, D., Bedmar, E. J., and Arone, G. J. (2018). Maize endophytic bacterial diversity as affected by soil cultivation history. *Front. Microbiol.* 9. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00484

Cui, E., Fan, X., Li, Z., Liu, Y., Neal, A. L., Hu, C., et al. (2019). Variations in soil and plant-microbiome composition with different quality irrigation waters and biochar supplementation. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 142, 99–109. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.04.026

Dastogeer, K. M. G., Tumpa, F. H., Sultana, A., Akter, M. A., and Chakraborty, A. (2020). Plant microbiome-an account of the factors that shape community composition and diversity. *Curr. Plant Biol.* 23, 100161. doi: 10.1016/j.cpb.2020.100161

De Mandal, S., Sonali,, Singh, S., Hussain, K., and Hussain, T. (2021). "Plant-microbe association for mutual benefits for plant growth and soil health," in *Current Trends in Microbial Biotechnology for Sustainable Agriculture*. Eds. A. N. Yadav, J. Singh, C. Singh and N. Yadav (Singapore: Springer Singapore), 95–121. Book Series: Environmental and Microbial Biotechnology. doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-6949-4\_5

Doebley, J. (2004). The genetics of maize evolution. Annu. Rev. Genet. 38, 37–59. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genet.38.072902.092425

Douglas, G. M., Maffei, V. J., Zaneveld, J. R., Yurgel, S. N., Brown, J. R., Taylor, C. M., et al. (2020). PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38, 685–688. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0548-6

Faith, D. P., and Baker, A. M. (2006). Phylogenetic diversity (PD) and biodiversity conservation: some bioinformatics challenges. *Evol. Bioinform. Online* 2, 121–128. doi: 10.1177/117693430600200007

Fitzpatrick, C. R., Salas-González, I., Conway, J. M., Finkel, O. M., Gilbert, S., Russ, D., et al. (2020). The plant microbiome: From ecology to reductionism and beyond. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 74, 81–100. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-022620-014327

Frey-Klett, P., Burlinson, P., Deveau, A., Barret, M., Tarkka, M., and Sarniguet, A. (2011). Bacterial-fungal interactions: Hyphens between agricultural, clinical, environmental, and food microbiologists. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 75, 583–609. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00020-11

Fukunaga, K., Hill, J., Vigouroux, Y., Matsuoka, Y., Sanchez, G. J., Liu, K., et al. (2005). Genetic diversity and population structure of teosinte. *Genetics* 169, 2241–2254. doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.031393

Gao, J., Sun, P., Wang, X., Lv, F., Mao, X., and Sun, J. (2017). *Rhizobium wenxiniae* sp. nov., an endophytic bacterium isolated from maize root. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 2798–2803. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002025

Gherbi, H., Markmann, K., Svistoonoff, S., Estevan, J., Autran, D., Giczey, G., et al. (2008). SymRK defines a common genetic basis for plant root endosymbioses with arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi, rhizobia, and *Frankia* bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 105, 4928–4932. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0710618105

Gond, S. K., Torres, M. S., Bergen, M. S., Helsel, Z., and White, J. F. (2015). Induction of salt tolerance and up-regulation of aquaporin genes in tropical corn by rhizobacterium *Pantoea agglomerans*. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 60, 392–399. doi: 10.1111/lam.12385

Good, I. J. (1953). The population frequencies of species and the estimation of population parameters. *Biometrika* 40, 237–264. doi: 10.1093/biomet/40.3-4.237

Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A., and Paul, D. R. (2001). Past: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol. Electron.* 4, 4.

Hamonts, K., Trivedi, P., Garg, A., Janitz, C., Grinyer, J., Holford, P., et al. (2018). Field study reveals core plant microbiota and relative importance of their drivers. *Environ. Microbiol.* 20, 124–140. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14031

Hardoim, P. R., van Overbeek, L. S., Berg, G., Pirttilä, A. M., Compant, S., Campisano, A., et al. (2015). The hidden world within plants: Ecological and evolutionary considerations for defining functioning of microbial endophytes. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 79, 293–320. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00050-14

Huang, B., Wang, J., Han, X., Gou, J., Pei, Z., Lu, G., et al. (2022). The relationship between material transformation, microbial community and amino acids and alkaloid metabolites in the mushroom residue-prickly ash seed oil meal composting with biocontrol agent addition. *Bioresour. Technol.* 350, 126913. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2022.126913

Huang, X., Yang, X., Lin, J., Franks, A. E., Cheng, J., Zhu, Y., et al. (2022). Biochar alleviated the toxicity of atrazine to soybeans, as revealed by soil microbial community and the assembly process. *Sci. Total Environ.* 834, 155261. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155261

Hunting, E. R., Vijver, M. G., van der Geest, H. G., Mulder, C., Kraak, M. H. S., Breure, A. M., et al. (2015). Resource niche overlap promotes stability of bacterial community metabolism in experimental microcosms. *Front. Microbiol.* 6. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2015.00105

Johnston-Monje, D., Mousa, W. K., Lazarovits, G., and Raizada, M. N. (2014). Impact of swapping soils on the endophytic bacterial communities of pre-domesticated, ancient and modern maize. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14, 233. doi: 10.1186/s12870-014-0233-3

Johnston-Monje, D., and Raizada, M. N. (2011). Conservation and diversity of seed associated endophytes in Zea across boundaries of evolution, ethnography and ecology. *PloS One* 6, e20396. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020396

Kaur, T., Devi, R., Kour, D., Yadav, A., Yadav, A. N., Dikilitas, M., et al. (2021). Plant growth promoting soil microbiomes and their potential implications for agricultural and environmental sustainability. *Biologia* 76, 2687–2709. doi: 10.1007/s11756-021-00806-w

Khatabi, B., Gharechahi, J., Ghaffari, M. R., Liu, D., Haynes, P. A., McKay, M. J., et al. (2019). Plant-microbe symbiosis: what has proteomics taught us? *Proteomics* 19, 1800105. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201800105

Kõljalg, U., Nilsson, H. R., Schigel, D., Tedersoo, L., Larsson, K.-H., May, T. W., et al. (2020). The taxon hypothesis paradigm—on the unambiguous detection and communication of taxa. *Microorganisms* 8, 1910. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8121910

Koskella, B., and Bergelson, J. (2020). The study of host-microbiome (co)evolution across levels of selection. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc B* 375, 20190604. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2019.0604

Kuramae, E. E., Derksen, S., Schlemper, T. R., Dimitrov, M. R., Costa, O. Y. A., and Silveira, A. P. D. D. (2020). Sorghum growth promotion by *Paraburkholderia tropica* and *Herbaspirillum frisingense*: Putative mechanisms revealed by genomics and metagenomics. *Microorganisms* 8, 725. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8050725

Kuźniar, A., Włodarczyk, K., Grządziel, J., Goraj, W., Gałązka, A., and Wolińska, A. (2020). Culture-independent analysis of an endophytic core microbiome in two species

of wheat: *Triticum aestivum* L. (cv. 'Hondia') and the first report of microbiota in *Triticum spelta* L. (cv. 'Rokosz'). *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 43, 126025. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2019.126025

Langille, M. G. I., Zaneveld, J., Caporaso, J. G., McDonald, D., Knights, D., Reyes, J. A., et al. (2013). Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 814–821. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2676

Lawley, B., and Tannock, G. W. (2017). Analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences using the QIIME software package. *Oral. Biol. Methods Mol. Biol.*, 153–163. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-6685-1\_9

Li, H., Parmar, S., Sharma, V. K., and White, J. F. (2019). "Seed endophytes and their potential applications," in *Seed Endophytes*. Eds. S. K. Verma and J. F. White (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 35–54. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-10504-4\_3

Li, H. Y., Wei, D. Q., Shen, M., and Zhou, Z. P. (2012). Endophytes and their role in phytoremediation. *Fungal Divers*. 54, 11–18. doi: 10.1007/s13225-012-0165-x

Lin, S. Y., Hameed, A., Liu, Y. C., Hsu, Y. H., Hsieh, Y. T., Lai, W. A., et al. (2017). *Chryseobacterium endophyticum* sp. nov., isolated from a maize leaf. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 570–575. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.001656

Liu, H., Brettell, L. E., and Singh, B. (2020). Linking the phyllosphere microbiome to plant health. *Trends Plant Sci.* 25, 841–844. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2020.06.003

Liu, Y., Wang, R., Cao, Y., Chen, C., Bai, F., Xu, T., et al. (2016). Identification and antagonistic activity of endophytic bacterial strain *Paenibacillus* sp. 5 L8 isolated from the seeds of maize (*Zea mays* L., Jingke 968). *Ann. Microbiol.* 66, 653–660. doi: 10.1007/s13213-015-1150-x

Liu, Y., Zuo, S., Xu, L., Zou, Y., and Song, W. (2012). Study on diversity of endophytic bacterial communities in seeds of hybrid maize and their parental lines. *Arch. Microbiol.* 194, 1001–1012. doi: 10.1007/s00203-012-0836-8

Lozupone, C., Lladser, M. E., Knights, D., Stombaugh, J., and Knight, R. (2011). UniFrac: an effective distance metric for microbial community comparison. *ISME J.* 5, 169–172. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.133

Malard, L. A., and Guisan, A. (2023). Into the microbial niche. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 38, 936–945. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2023.04.015

Marag, P. S., and Suman, A. (2018). Growth stage and tissue specific colonization of endophytic bacteria having plant growth promoting traits in hybrid and composite maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Microbiol. Res.* 214, 101–113. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2018.05.016

Marco, S., Loredana, M., Riccardo, V., Raffaella, B., Walter, C., and Luca, N. (2022). Microbe-assisted crop improvement: a sustainable weapon to restore holobiont functionality and resilience. *Hortic. Res.* 9, 160. doi: 10.1093/hr/uhac160

Matsumura, E. E., Secco, V. A., Moreira, R. S., dos Santos, O. J. A. P., Hungria, M., and de Oliveira, A. L. M. (2015). Composition and activity of endophytic bacterial communities in field-grown maize plants inoculated with *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Ann. Microbiol.* 65, 2187–2200. doi: 10.1007/s13213-015-1059-4

Matsuoka, Y., Vigouroux, Y., Goodman, M. M., Sanchez G., J., Buckler, E., and Doebley, J. (2002). A single domestication for maize shown by multilocus microsatellite genotyping. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 6080–6084. doi: 10.1073/pnas.052125199

Mehta, S., Singh, B., Patra, A., Tripathi, A., Easwaran, M., Choudhary, J. R., et al. (2021). "Maize microbiome: current insights for the sustainable agriculture," in *Microbiomes and Plant Health* (Elsevier), 267–297. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819715-8.00009-4. Available at: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780128197158000094.

Milla, R., Osborne, C. P., Turcotte, M. M., and Violle, C. (2015). Plant domestication through an ecological lens. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 30, 463–469. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2015.06.006

Mishra, S., Singh, A., Keswani, C., Saxena, A., Sarma, B. K., and Singh, H. B. (2015). "Harnessing plant-microbe interactions for enhanced protection against phytopathogens," in *Plant Microbes Symbiosis: Applied Facets*. Ed. N. K. Arora (New Delhi: Springer India), 111–125. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-2068-8\_5

Mishra, A. K., Sudalaimuthuasari, N., Hazzouri, K. M., Saeed, E. E., Shah, I., and Amiri, K. M. A. (2022). Tapping into plant-microbiome interactions through the lens of multi-omics techniques. *Cells* 11, 3254. doi: 10.3390/cells11203254

Miyambo, T., Makhalanyane, T. P., Cowan, D. A., and Valverde, A. (2016). Plants of the fynbos biome harbour host species-specific bacterial communities. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 363, 22. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnw122

Morella, N. M., Weng, F. C. H., Joubert, P. M., Metcalf, C. J. E., Lindow, S., and Koskella, B. (2020). Successive passaging of a plant-associated microbiome reveals robust habitat and host genotype-dependent selection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 117, 1148–1159. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1908600116

Mousa, W. K., Shearer, C. R., Limay-Rios, V., Zhou, T., and Raizada, M. N. (2015). Bacterial endophytes from wild maize suppress *Fusarium graminearum* in modern maize and inhibit mycotoxin accumulation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2015.00805

Mowafy, A. M., Fawzy, M. M., Gebreil, A., and Elsayed, A. (2021). Endophytic *Bacillus, Enterobacter*, and *Klebsiella* enhance the growth and yield of maize. *Acta Agric. Scand. B Soil Plant Sci.* 71, 237–246. doi: 10.1080/09064710.2021.1880621

Naveed, M., Mitter, B., Reichenauer, T. G., Wieczorek, K., and Sessitsch, A. (2014a). Increased drought stress resilience of maize through endophytic colonization by *Burkholderia phytofirmans* PsJN and *Enterobacter* sp. FD17. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 97, 30–39. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2013.09.014 Naveed, M., Mitter, B., Yousaf, S., Pastar, M., Afzal, M., and Sessitsch, A. (2014b). The endophyte *Enterobacter* sp. FD17: a maize growth enhancer selected based on rigorous testing of plant beneficial traits and colonization characteristics. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 50, 249–262. doi: 10.1007/s00374-013-0854-y

Noble, A. S., Noe, S., Clearwater, M. J., and Lee, C. K. (2020). A core phyllosphere microbiome exists across distant populations of a tree species indigenous to New Zealand. *PloS One* 15, e0237079. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0237079

Pandey, S., Ghosh, P. K., Ghosh, S., De, T. K., and Maiti, T. K. (2013). Role of heavy metal resistant *Ochrobactrum* sp. and *Bacillus* spp. strains in bioremediation of a rice cultivar and their PGPR like activities. *J. Microbiol.* 51, 11–17. doi: 10.1007/s12275-013-2330-7

Pereira, S. I. A., and Castro, P. M. L. (2014). Diversity and characterization of culturable bacterial endophytes from Zea mays and their potential as plant growth-promoting agents in metal-degraded soils. *Environ. Sci. pollut. Res.* 21, 14110–14123. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3309-6

Pereira, P., Ibáñez, F., Rosenblueth, M., Etcheverry, M., and Martínez-Romero, E. (2011). Analysis of the Bacterial Diversity Associated with the Roots of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) through Culture-Dependent and Culture-Independent Methods. *ISRN Ecol.* 2011, 1–10. doi: 10.5402/2011/938546

Piperno, D. R., Ranere, A. J., Holst, I., Iriarte, J., and Dickau, R. (2009). Starch grain and phytolith evidence for early ninth millennium B.P. maize from the Central Balsas River Valley, Mexico. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 5019–5024. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0812525106

Purugganan, M. D. (2019). Evolutionary insights into the nature of plant domestication. Curr. Biol. 29, R705-R714. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2019.05.053

Rahman, M. M., Flory, E., Koyro, H.-W., Abideen, Z., Schikora, A., Suarez, C., et al. (2018). Consistent associations with beneficial bacteria in the seed endosphere of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 41, 386–398. doi: 10.1016/ j.syapm.2018.02.003

Regar, R. K., Gaur, V. K., Bajaj, A., Tambat, S., and Manickam, N. (2019). Comparative microbiome analysis of two different long-term pesticide contaminated soils revealed the anthropogenic influence on functional potential of microbial communities. *Sci. Total Environ.* 681, 413–423. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.090

Rehman, A., Ijaz, M., Mazhar, K., Ul-Allah, S., and Ali, Q. (2019). "Metagenomic approach in relation to microbe-microbe and plant-microbiome interactions," in *Microbiome in Plant Health and Disease*. Eds. V. Kumar, R. Prasad, M. Kumar and D. K. Choudhary (Singapore: Springer Singapore), 507–534. doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-8495-0\_22

Reinhold-Hurek, B., and Hurek, T. (2011). Living inside plants: Bacterial endophytes. *Curr. Op. Plant Biol.* 14, 435–443. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2011.04.004

Reis, V. M., Santos, P.E.I., Tenorio-Salgado, S., Vogel, J., Stoffels, M., Guyon, S., et al. (2004). Burkholderia tropica sp. nov., a novel nitrogen-fixing, plant-associated bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54, 2155–2162. doi: 10.1099/ijs0.02879-0

Rodríguez, C. E., Antonielli, L., Mitter, B., Trognitz, F., and Sessitsch, A. (2020). Heritability and functional importance of the *Setaria viridis* bacterial seed microbiome. *Phytobiomes J.* 4, 40–52. doi: 10.1094/PBIOMES-04-19-0023-R

Rosselló-Móra, R., Trujillo, M. E., and Sutcliffe, I. C. (2017). Introducing a digital protologue: A timely move towards a database-driven systematics of Archaea and bacteria. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 40, 121–122. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2017.02.001

Rybakova, D., Mancinelli, R., Wikström, M., Birch-Jensen, A.-S., Postma, J., Ehlers, R.-U., et al. (2017). The structure of the *Brassica napus* seed microbiome is cultivardependent and affects the interactions of symbionts and pathogens. *Microbiome* 5, 104. doi: 10.1186/s40168-017-0310-6

Sahoo, S., Adhikari, S., Joshi, A., and Singh, N. K. (2021). Use of wild progenitor teosinte in Maize (*Zea mays* subsp. mays) improvement: Present status and future prospects. *Trop. Plant Biol.* 14, 156–179. doi: 10.1007/s12042-021-09288-1

Sandhya, V., Shrivastava, M., Ali, S., and Sai Shiva Krishna Prasad, V. (2017). Endophytes from maize with plant growth promotion and biocontrol activity under drought stress. *Russ. Agricult. Sci.* 43, 22–34. doi: 10.3103/S1068367417010165

Santhanam, R., Luu, V. T., Weinhold, A., Goldberg, J., Oh, Y., and Baldwin, I. T. (2015). Native root-associated bacteria rescue a plant from a sudden-wilt disease that emerged during continuous cropping. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, E5013–E5020. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1505765112

Santoyo, G., Hernández-Pacheco, C., Hernández-Salmerón, J., and Hernández-León, R. (2017). The role of abiotic factors modulating the plant-microbe-soil interactions: toward sustainable agriculture. A review. *Span. J. Agric. Res.* 15, e03R01. doi: 10.5424/sjar/2017151-9990

Schlemper, T. R., Dimitrov, M. R., Silva Gutierrez, F. A. O., van Veen, J. A., Silveira, A. P. D., and Kuramae, E. E. (2018). Effect of *Burkholderia tropica* and *Herbaspirillum frisingense* strains on sorghum growth is plant genotype dependent. *Peer J* 6, e5346. doi: 10.7717/peerj.5346

Schrey, S. D., Erkenbrack, E., Früh, E., Fengler, S., Hommel, K., Horlacher, N., et al. (2012). Production of fungal and bacterial growth modulating secondary metabolites is widespread among mycorrhiza-associated streptomycetes. *BMC Microbiol.* 12, 164. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-164

Sessitsch, A., Hardoim, P., Döring, J., Weilharter, A., Krause, A., Woyke, T., et al. (2012). Functional characteristics of an endophyte community colonizing rice roots as revealed by metagenomic analysis. *IS-MPMI* 25, 28–36. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-08-11-0204 Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell Syst. Techn. J.* 27, 379–423. doi: 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x

Simon, J. C., Marchesi, J. R., Mougel, C., and Selosse, M. A. (2019). Host-microbiota interactions: from holobiont theory to analysis. *Microbiome* 7, 5. doi: 10.1186/s40168-019-0619-4

Simpson, E. H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nature* 163, 688–688. doi: 10.1038/ 163688a0

Singh, S., Singh, U. B., Trivedi, M., Sahu, P. K., Paul, S., Paul, D., et al. (2019). Seed Biopriming with Salt-Tolerant Endophytic *Pseudomonas geniculata*-Modulated Biochemical Responses Provide Ecological Fitness in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Grown in Saline Sodic Soil. *IJERPH* 17, 253. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17010253

Smith, J. S. C., Goodman, M. M., and Lester, R. N. (1981). Variation within teosinte. I. Numerical analysis of morphological data. *Econ. Bot.* 35, 187–203. doi: 10.1007/ BF02858686

Tenorio-Salgado, S., Tinoco, R., Vazquez-Duhalt, R., Caballero-Mellado, J., and Perez-Rueda, E. (2013). Identification of volatile compounds produced by the bacterium *Burkholderia tropica* that inhibit the growth of fungal pathogens. *Bioengineered* 4, 236–243. doi: 10.4161/bioe.23808

Thelusmond, J. R., Strathmann, T. J., and Cupples, A. M. (2016). The identification of carbamazepine biodegrading phylotypes and phylotypes sensitive to carbamazepine exposure in two soil microbial communities. *Sci. Total Environ.* 571, 1241–1252. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.154

Trivedi, P., Leach, J. E., Tringe, S. G., Sa, T., and Singh, B. K. (2020). Plantmicrobiome interactions: from community assembly to plant health. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 18, 607–621. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-0412-1

Vandana, U. K., Rajkumari, J., Singha, L. P., Satish, L., Alavilli, H., Sudheer, P. D. V. N., et al. (2021). The endophytic microbiome as a hotspot of synergistic interactions, with prospects of plant growth promotion. *Biology* 10, 101. doi: 10.3390/biology10020101

van der Heijden, M. G. A., and Hartmann, M. (2016). Networking in the plant microbiome. *PloS Biol.* 14. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002378

Verma, S., Kumar, M., Kumar, A., Das, S., Chakdar, H., Varma, A., et al. (2022). Diversity of bacterial endophytes of maize (*Zea mays*) and their functional potential for micronutrient biofortification. *Curr. Microbiol.* 79, 6. doi: 10.1007/s00284-021-02702-7

Verma, S. K., and White, J. F. Jr (2019). Seed Endophytes: Biology and Biotechnology (Cham: Springer International Publishing). doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-10504-4

Vio, S. A., Bernabeu, P. R., García, S. S., Galar, M. L., and Luna, M. F. (2022). Tracking and plant growth-promoting effect of *Paraburkholderia tropica* MTo-293 applied to *Solanum lycopersicum*. J. Basic Microbiol. 62, 875–886. doi: 10.1002/jobm.202100628

Wallace, J. G. (2023). Maize seed endophytes. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 24, 801–810. doi: 10.1111/mpp.13278

Walters, W. A., Jin, Z., Youngblut, N., Wallace, J. G., Sutter, J., Zhang, W., et al. (2018). Large-scale replicated field study of maize rhizosphere identifies heritable microbes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115, 7368–7373. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1800918115

Xu, L., Pierroz, G., Wipf, H. M.-L., Gao, C., Taylor, J. W., Lemaux, P. G., et al. (2021). Holo-omics for deciphering plant-microbiome interactions. *Microbiome* 9, 69. doi: 10.1186/s40168-021-01014-z

Yadav, U., Bano, N., Bag, S., Srivastava, S., and Singh, P. C. (2022). An insight into the endophytic bacterial community of tomato after spray application of propiconazole and *Bacillus subtilis* strain NBRI-W9. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10, e01186–e01122. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.01186-22

Yadav, P., Quattrone, A., Yang, Y., Owens, J., Kiat, R., Kuppusamy, T., et al. (2023). *Zea mays* genotype influences microbial and viral rhizobiome community structure. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2023.06.09.544353

Yang, Y., Wang, N., Guo, X., Zhang, Y., and Ye, B. (2017). Comparative analysis of bacterial community structure in the rhizosphere of maize by high-throughput pyrosequencing. *PloS One* 12, e0178425. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0178425

Yuan, Z. S., Liu, F., Liu, Z. Y., Huang, Q. L., Zhang, G. F., and Pan, H. (2021). Structural variability and differentiation of niches in the rhizosphere and endosphere bacterial microbiome of moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). *Sci. Rep.* 11, 1574. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-80971-9