Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xingguo Ye, Institute of Crop Sciences (CAAS), China

REVIEWED BY Qiushi Fu, Insititute of Vegetables and Flowers (CAAS), China Guangwei Zhao, Zhengzhou Fruit Research Institute (CAAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE Yang-Dong Guo 🛛 yaguo@cau.edu.cn Qiusheng Kong 🖾 qskong@mail.hzau.edu.cn

RECEIVED 09 April 2023 ACCEPTED 08 May 2023 PUBLISHED 12 June 2023

CITATION

Zhang X, Ling Y, Yang W, Wei M, Wang Z, Li M, Yang Y, Liu B, Yi H, Guo Y-D and Kong Q (2023) Fine mapping of a novel QTL *DM9.1* conferring downy mildew resistance in melon. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1202775. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1202775

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhang, Ling, Yang, Wei, Wang, Li, Yang, Liu, Yi, Guo and Kong. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Fine mapping of a novel QTL *DM9.1* conferring downy mildew resistance in melon

Xuejun Zhang^{1,2,3}, Yueming Ling², Wenli Yang², Minghua Wei⁴, Zhenzhu Wang⁴, Meihua Li², Yong Yang², Bin Liu^{2,3}, Hongping Yi^{2,3}, Yang-Dong Guo^{1*} and Qiusheng Kong^{2,3,4*}

¹College of Horticulture, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, ²Hami-melon Research Center, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Urumqi, China, ³Hainan Sanya Experimental Center for Crop Breeding, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Sanya, China, ⁴College of Horticulture and Forestry Sciences, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

Downy mildew (DM) is a major foliar disease globally causing great economic loss in melon production. Utilizing disease-resistant cultivars is the most efficient approach for disease control, while discovery of disease-resistant genes is crucial for the success of DM-resistant breeding. To address this problem, two F₂ populations were constructed using the DM-resistant accession PI 442177 in this study, and QTLs conferring DM resistance were mapped using linkage map and QTL-seq analysis, respectively. A high-density genetic map with the length of 1096.7 cM and density of 0.7 cM was generated by using the genotyping-bysequencing data of a F₂ population. A major QTL DM9.1 with the phenotypic variance explained proportion of 24.3-37.7% was consistently detected at the early, middle, and late growth stages using the genetic map. QTL-seq analyses on the two F_2 populations also validated the presence of DM9.1. Kompetitive Allele-Specific PCR (KASP) assay was further carried out to fine map DM9.1 into 1.0 Mb interval. A KASP marker co-segregating with DM9.1 was successfully developed. These results not only provided valuable information for DMresistant gene cloning, but also offered useful markers for melon DM-resistant breeding programs.

KEYWORDS

QTL-seq, QTL, melon, linkage map, downy mildew

Introduction

01

Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) is an economically important horticultural crop and extensively cultivated for its fleshy fruits. According to the statistics of FAOSTAT, the global harvested area of melon was 1,068,238 ha in 2020, and the top five producing nations were China, Türkiye, India, Afghanistan, and Iran (FAOSTAT, 2022). However, in many planting areas, diseases are the major threats for melon production.

10.3389/fpls.2023.1202775

Downy mildew (DM), incited by the obligate oomycete pathogen Pseudoperonospora cubensis, is the most devastating foliar disease not only for melon but also for most of the other species in Cucurbitaceae family (Rahman et al., 2021). Foliar chlorosis and necrosis are the typical symptoms of DM, which can occur at all developmental stages and decrease the yield and fruit quality of melon dramatically. This disease has a wide geographic distribution. DM epidemics have caused great economic losses in melon production around the world, especially in humid production areas. Currently, chemical control of DM is widely practiced in melon production. However, the chemical control probably loses its efficacy due to the prevalence of resistant strains of pathogens. The most efficient approach for DM control is to develop and use resistant cultivars. As a result, understanding the genetic basis of DM resistance and development of resistant cultivars have become a priority for the scientific community in recent years.

Several DM-resistant accessions have been identified for melon. It was reported that melon breeding line MR-1 derived from PI 124111 exhibited resistance not only to DM, but also to powdery mildew and Fusarium wilt (Cohen and Eyal, 1987). After that, 2 highly resistant, 31 resistant, and 49 moderately resistant accessions to DM were identified from 942 melon Plant Introductions (PI) by field evaluations (Thomas and Jourdain, 1992). Moreover, the other evaluation for resistance against DM identified 2 highly resistant and 68 resistant accessions from 1076 melon PIs (Thomas, 1999). These results provided valuable germplasm for melon DM-resistant breeding.

Inheritances of DM resistances were extensively investigated in melon. Genetic analysis showed that DM resistance in MR-1 was controlled by two partially dominant loci, namely *Pc-1* and *Pc-2* (Kenigsbuch and Cohen, 1989). Subsequently, *Pc-3*, *Pc-4*, and *Pc-5* were also identified in different melon accessions (Kenigsbuch and Cohen, 1992). These results suggested that different melon germplasm probably harbored different DM-resistant genes.

Genetic basis of DM resistance was dissected in several studies. A unique 45 kDa cytoplasmic soluble protein (P45) was firstly found to co-segregate with the DM resistance in melon (Balass et al., 1992). Using an unsaturated map, a major QTL for DM resistance was identified in a RIL population derived from the DM-resistant PI 124112 (Perchepied et al., 2005). The resistance of PI 124111 to DM was found to be controlled by enhanced expression of the enzymatic resistance genes At1 and At2 (Taler et al., 2004). The low expression of At1 and At2 in the susceptible melons was modulated by transcriptional inhibition (Benjamin et al., 2009). Recently, using MR-1 as the resistant parental line, 9 QTLs for DM resistance were identified and 2 of them were major QTLs locating on chromosome 8 and 10, respectively (Toporek et al., 2021).

Knowledge on the inheritance of DM resistance substantially facilitated the utilization of resistant sources in melon breeding programs. However, most of the researches focused on the resistance harbored by PI 124111. The previous studies showed that several melon accessions exhibited resistances to DM (Thomas and Jourdain, 1992; Thomas, 1999). Thus, mining and utilizing more resistant genes are crucial for melon DM-resistance breeding programs. To address this problem, two genetic populations were constructed using the DMresistant melon accession PI 442177 in this study, and a major QTL for whole-stage DM resistance was identified at the end of chromosome 9 using both QTL-seq and linkage map-based QTL mapping approaches. The results will provide valuable information not only for DM-resistant gene cloning, but also for genetic improvement of melon with DM resistance by pyramiding the multiple resistance genes in breeding programs.

Methods and materials

Plant materials

Melon accession PI 442177 was reported to confer DM resistance (Thomas, 1999). In our previous experiments, it also exhibited high resistance to DM. As a result, it was selected as the DM-resistant resource. Huangtu and Huangdanzi are the landraces with desirable fruit quality but susceptible to DM. Inbred lines of the two landraces were developed through multiple rounds of self-pollination and selection. The two inbred lines were crossed with PI 442177 to produce two F_2 populations, respectively. The F_2 population of PI 442177 and Huangtu had 157 individuals, while, the F_2 population of PI 442177 and Huangdanzi had 600 individuals.

Spray inoculation and phenotyping of DM resistance

Seeds were sown into plastic trays filled with sterilized substrates and grown in a nursery greenhouse. Temperature in the nursery greenhouse ranged from 20 to 35° C. The seedling management followed the commercial production practices. The isolate of DM pathogen *P. cubensis* was recovered from the symptomatic leaf tissue of melon cultivated in Sanya, China (18° 09'34″–18°37'27″ N, 108°56'30″–109°48'28″ E). The concentration of 5×10^3 sporangia/mL was used for inoculation. When the first true leaf fully expanded, the seedlings were inoculated with *P. cubensis* using the spraying method. After inoculation, the seedlings were covered with a plastic tunnel and kept at 100% relative humidity to induce infection for 24 h.

For the F₂ population derived from the parental lines of PI 442177 and Huangtu, the DM reactions were investigated at early, middle, and late growth stages, respectively. At early growth stage, the reaction levels were measured at 10th day post inoculation (DPI). Then, the seedlings were transplanted into a plastic greenhouse with the spacing of 40×60 cm. The growth management followed the commercial production practices. At middle growth stage, DM infection levels were investigated at the 20th day after transplantation. At late growth stage, the incidences of DM were investigated at the 30th day after fruit setting. For the F₂ population derived from the parental lines of PI 442177 and Huangdanzi, the incidences of DM were measured at 10th and 14th DPI, respectively. The DM infection levels were classified into six categories based on the ratio of symptomatic leaf area and entire leaf area: 0, absence of symptom; 1, less than 1/4; 2, 1/4-1/2; 3, 1/2-2/3; 4, 2/3-3/4; 5, larger than 3/4.

DNA isolation

Leaves from the parental plants and F2 individuals were sampled and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Genomic DNA was isolated for each sample using a Plant DNA Extraction Kit (Tiangen, China) according to its instruction. Integrity and purity of each DNA sample were measured using the 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer. The high-quality DNA samples were used for the subsequent sequencing.

Genotyping-by-sequencing and variant calling

GBS was performed for Huangtu, PI 442177 and their F2 individuals, respectively. HaeIII and RsaI were used as the enzyme combination to construct the sequencing library according to the protocol (Elshire et al., 2011). The GBS libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, Inc.). FastQC was used to check the quality and quantity of the clean reads (https:// www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Then, the lowquality and adaptor sequences were removed from the reads using fastp (Chen et al., 2018). An improved assembly of melon genome (v3.6.1) was used as the reference (Ruggieri et al., 2018). The clean reads were mapped to the reference genome using the BWA-MEM algorithm (Li and Durbin, 2009). The variants, including SNPs and InDels, were called and genotyped using the HaplotypeCaller of GATK4 (https:// github.com/broadinstitute/gatk). The variants exhibiting homologous genotype in each parental line and polymorphism between the parental lines were selected for the downstream analysis. The effects of variants were predicted using snpEff (https://pcingola.github.io/SnpEff/).

Genetic map construction and QTL mapping

Lep-MAP3 was used to construct the genetic map (Rastas, 2017). Briefly, the parental genotypes were firstly called using the *ParentCall2* module. Then, the loci with segregation distortion (p < 0.01) and missing genotype ratio larger than 0.3 were removed using the *ParentCall2* module. By setting the LOD scores varying from 20 to 40, the remaining SNPs were separated into linkage groups (LGs) using the *SeparateChromosomes2* module. At last, the orders of SNPs and their genetic distances were determined using the *OrderMarkers2* module. The Kosambi mapping function was used to calculate the genetic distance. The SNPs in a region without recombination were collapsed into a bin.

R/qtl2 was used for QTL analysis (Broman et al., 2019). The *scan1* function was used to perform genome scan to detect the potential QTLs using the Haley-Knott regression. One thousand permutations were performed to determine the genome-wide significance threshold for LOD (p < 0.05). QTL with the LOD exceeding the threshold value was considered significant. QTL effect and the proportion of phenotypic variance explained (PVE) by the QTL were estimated at the highest QTL peak using *fit1*. For each detected QTL, the confidence

interval was defined using a 95% Bayesian credible interval. Naming of QTL followed the nomenclature recommendations in cucumber (Wang et al., 2020).

Whole-genome re-sequencing and QTLseq analysis

QTL-seq analysis was also used to map the DM resistance genes. In the F₂ population derived from the parental lines of PI 442177 and Huangtu, 30 individuals showing consistent resistance to DM and 30 individuals exhibiting consistent susceptibility to DM at three growth stages were selected and their DNA samples were equally bulked to generate the resistant and susceptible pools, respectively. Similarly, in the F2 population of PI 442177 and Huangdanzi, 30 individuals showing consistent DM resistance and 30 individuals exhibiting consistent DM susceptibility at the two time points were selected to construct the resistant and susceptible pools, respectively. Whole-genome re-sequencing was carried out for the parents and pools on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, Inc.). Quality control and variant calling followed the pipeline of GBS data analysis. The PCR duplicates were removed before variant calling. SNP-index and delta SNPindex were calculated according to the formula described in QTLseq analysis (Takagi et al., 2013). Distribution of delta SNP-index was plotted using the sliding window of 2 Mb and the step of 100 kb.

Kompetitive allele-specific PCR assay

The KASP assay and the F₂ population derived from the parental lines of Huangdanzi and PI 442177 were used to narrow down the mapping interval. The polymorphic SNP loci in the mapping interval were selected for KASP assay. Two allele-specific forward primers and one common reverse primer for the KASP assay were designed using Primer3Plus (https://www.primer3plus.com/). The PCR product size was set in the range of 60-100 bp. The GeneMatrixTM system (HC Scientific, Chengdu, China) was used for genotyping. The 2 µL PCR reaction mixture included 1 µL of 2 × KASP reaction mix, 50 ng of DNA template, 0.15 µL Hex forward primer, 0.15 µL FAM forward primer, and 0.04 µM common reverse primer. The touchdown PCR reaction was performed on a Matrix Cycler with a 10 min host-start activation step at 94°C, followed by 10 touchdown cycles of 94°C for 20 s and 61°C for 45 s (decreasing 0.3°C per cycle), then 35 cycles of 94°C for 20 s and 55°C for 20 s (decreasing 0.3°C per cycle). Fluorescence levels were measured using a Matrix Scanner. Genotypes were viewed using a Matrix Master.

Results

DM resistance variations in the two F₂ populations

The resistant level to DM infection for each plant in the two F₂ populations was determined according to the six grades of disease

infection. For the F2 population of PI 442177 and Huangtu, the DMresistant level of each plant was measured at three growth stages. Considerable phenotypic variations in response to DM infection were observed for the F₂ individuals. The results are shown in an alluvial diagram (Figure 1). The resistant levels exhibited a continuous distribution at each growth stage, demonstrating that the DM resistance is a quantitative trait. Meanwhile, dynamic changes of the resistant phenotypes were observed for the same plant at different stages. Dramatic changes of the resistant phenotypes were observed between the early and middle stages for several F2 individuals. However, the resistant phenotypes for the same plant exhibited more stable pattern between the middle and late stages. These results indicated that DM resistance was a stage-dependent phenotype in melon, and measurement of resistant response through whole growth stage was necessary for accurately determining DM resistance of melon germplasm. Similarly, DMresistant levels in the F2 population of PI 442177PI 442177 and Huangdanzi also exhibited continuous variations.

High-density genetic map construction

GBS was performed for PI 442177, Huangtu, and their F2 population to construct a genetic map. A total of 57.4 Gb sequencing data were generated. The number of reads for each sample varied from 1.98 M to 6.16 M, with a mean of 3.38 M. The genome coverage ranged from 0.54 to 1.65× with an average of 0.91×. The clean reads were mapped to melon reference genome. The mapping ratios were in the range of 85.36% and 98.88% with a mean of 97.78%. GATK4 was used to identify the polymorphic loci, resulting in a total of 1,254,775 SNPs and 200,702 InDels. Only the SNP loci exhibiting homozygous genotype in each parental line and polymorphism between the parents were kept. Moreover, the loci with missing ratio larger than 0.3 were removed, resulting in 69,770 high-quality SNPs with the Ti/Tv ratio of 2.08.

Lep-MAP3 was used to construct the genetic map. The SNPs were further filtered using the modules of ParentCall2 and Filtering2 in Lep-MAP3, resulting in 347,703 SNPs. Then, the LOD scores ranging from 20 to 40 were used to separate the SNPs into LGs. At the LOD score of 28, the SNPs were grouped into the desired 12 LGs and the number of singleton was the smallest. As a result, LOD score of 28 was used to classify the SNPs into LGs. The markers were further ordered and their genetic distances were calculated in each LG. According to the physical locations of SNPs, the LGs were assigned to the corresponding chromosomes. The makers in a region without recombination event were collapsed into a bin. The first SNP in each bin was kept to represent the bin. Finally, a chromosome-level bin-map with 1,603 bins and in length of 1,096.7 cM was constructed (Figure S1). Summary statistics on the genetic map are listed in Table 1. The



FIGURE 1

The alluvial diagram on the dynamic changes of downy mildew resistance at early, stage, and late growth stages for the F2 individuals derived from the cross of PI 442177 and Huangtu

number of bins in each LG ranged from 96 (LG10) to 198 (LG4). The LG lengths varied from 65.6 cM (LG10) to 121.4 cM (LG4). The maximum spacing between bins varied from 3.2 cM (LG2 and LG12) to 6.4 cM (LG7). The average spacing between bins varied from 0.6 cM to 0.8 cM, with a mean of 0.7 cM. Relationships between the marker locations on the LGs and reference genome were plotted and compared. A good synteny between the genetic map and the reference genome was observed except a significant transversion event was observed at end of chromosome 6 (Figure 2). These results demonstrated that a high-density and chromosome-level genetic map was constructed for the F_2 population derived from the parental lines of PI 442177 and Huangtu.

QTL mapping of DM resistance based on the genetic map

The single QTL method provided by R/qtl2 was used to map the QTLs for DM resistance at each growth stage. The significant LOD threshold at p < 0.05 for the inferred QTLs was estimated by 1000 permutation tests. The significant LOD thresholds for the early, middle and late growth stages were 3.86, 3.96 and 3.93, respectively. The significant QTLs were identified for each growth stage (Table 2), and their locations are shown in Figure 3. At the early growth stage, two QTLs locating on chromosomes of 9 and 11 were detected, which were named as eDM9.1 and eDM11.1, respectively (Figure 3A). PVEs of eDM9.1 and eDM11.1 were 28.0% and 4.2%, respectively. At the middle growth stage, two QTLs locating on chromosomes of 9 and 12 were identified for DM resistance, which were named as mDM9.1 and mDM12.1 (Figure 3B). Of which, mDM9.1 with the PVE of 37.7% exhibited a major effect, while mDM12.1 with the PVE of 5.2% exhibited a minor effect. Four QTLs, locating at chromosomes of 9, 10, and 12, were detected for DM resistance at the late growth stage and named as IDM9.1,

TABLE 1 Summary statistics on the genetic map.

lDM10.1, *lDM12.1*, and *lDM12.2*, respectively (Figure 3C). Of which, *lDM9.1* with the PVE of 24.3% had a major effect, while the other three QTLs with small PVEs exhibited minor effects on DM resistance. No co-locations were observed for the minor-effect QTLs with the PVE less than 10% at different growth stages. However, the major-effect QTLs overlapped at the end of chromosome 9 for all the three growth stages, indicating that it is a QTL conferring whole-stage resistance to DM.

Genetic mapping of DM resistance based on QTL-seq approach

QTL-seq approach was also used to map the QTLs conferring DM resistance. Whole-genome re-sequencing approach was performed for PI 442177, Huangtu, and the two bulks, generating a total of 30.28 G sequencing data. The sequencing depths for the parents and bulks were about $10 \times$ and $25 \times$, respectively. A total of 3,112,726 SNPs and 734,564 InDels were identified by GATK4. The variants were further filtered according to the parental genotypes. The filtered SNPs were used to calculate the SNP-index for each bulk and the delta SNP-index between the two bulks. The results are shown in Figure 3D. At the significant level of p < 0.05, one QTL was detected at the interval of 22.4-25.2 Mb on chromosome 9 and four QTLs were detected on chromosome 10 (Table S1). At the significant level of p < 0.01, only the QTL locating at the interval of 23.1-25.2 Mb on chromosome 9 was detected (Table S1).

Whole-genome re-sequencing produced a total of 45.29 G data for Huangdanzi, PI 442177, and the two pools. The sequencing depths were over 7 × and 25 × for the two parents and two pools, respectively. A total of 3,594,752 SNPs and 659,052 InDels were identified. QTL-seq analysis was performed using the filtered variants. At the significant level of p < 0.05, one QTL was detected at the interval of 23.0-25.2 Mb on chromosome 9 and

LG/Chromosome	Number of bins	Length of LGs (cM)	Average spacing of bins (cM)	Maximum spacing of bins (cM)	
1	101	74.3	0.7	5.4	
2	142	93.0	0.7	3.2	
3	138	94.3	0.7	6.1	
4	198	121.4	0.6	4.1	
5	127	90.5	0.7	3.8	
6	149	92.4	0.6	4.8	
7	122	94.0	0.8	6.4	
8	145	105.5	0.7	4.2	
9	119	93.4	0.8	4.5	
10	96	65.6	0.7	4.1	
11	133	88.6	0.7	5.1	
12	133	83.8	0.6	3.2	
Overall	1603	1096.7	0.7	6.4	



TABLE 2 Summary statistics on the detected QTLs.

Growth stage	QTL	Chromosome	Peak LOD	Peak Marker position (cM)	95% Bayes credible interval (bp)	Gene number	PVE* (%)
Early stage	eDM9.1	9	14.6	87.6	23628642-24168798	77	28.0
	eDM11.1	11	4.0	61.8	29889003-33998274	537	4.2
Middle stage	mDM9.1	9	21.8	87.3	24000068-24083436	9	37.7
	mDM12.1	12	5.4	64.0	10949874-25466928	1096	5.2
Late stage	lDM9.1	9	17.9	87.3	23628642-24474111	111	24.3
	lDM10.1	10	4.0	49.1	3775282-21837102	1037	5.6
	lDM12.1	12	5.8	28.0	7238618-15939013	462	2.5
	lDM12.2	12	5.8	65.0	22771741-25278793	346	4.3

PVE, Phenotypic variance explained.

The symbol "*" represents the annotation of PVE.



two QTLs were detected on chromosome 12 (Figure 3E; Table S1). At the significant level of p < 0.01, only the QTL locating at the interval of 23.3-25.2 Mb was detected on chromosome 9 (Table S1).

Fine mapping of DM9.1 using KASP assay

The QTL locating at the end of chromosome 9 not only exhibited a major effect to DM resistance but also was consistently detected in the two F_2 populations by both linkage map and QTL-seq analysis. As a result, it was selected for further analysis. To avoid missing the candidate genes, the significant intervals detected by both the linkage map and QTL-seq analysis were merged into one QTL. The merged QTL was named *DM9.1* and delimited at 22.0-25.2 Mb on chromosome 9.

To fine map DM9.1 into a smaller interval, KASP assay was carried out on the F_2 population derived from the parental lines of PI 442177 and Huangdanzi, which comprised of 600 individuals. A

total of 66 high-quality SNPs in the mapping interval were selected and converted to KASP markers (Table S2). KASP assay showed that 33 markers were successfully amplified and exhibited polymorphism between the parental lines of PI 442177 and Huangdanzi. A total of 34 DM-resistant individuals and 51 DMsusceptible individuals in the F_2 population were selected for fine mapping. The recombinants were identified based on the phenotypes and genotyping results. Finally, *DM9.1* was narrowed down to a 1.0 Mb region flanking by KASP_23798623 and KASP_24832572 (Figure 3F).

According to the annotation of reference genome, there were 133 genes in the fine mapping interval. Effects of the variants on the annotated genes were assessed using snpEff. A total of 115 genes were affected by the variants. The IDs and functions of these genes are listed in Table S3. Four genes were highly impacted by the variants, including *UDP-glucose 4-epimerase family protein*, *WD repeat-containing protein* 44 and two unknown proteins (*MELO3C005854.2*, *MELO3C034011.2*). While, moderate and low

impacts were observed on more than 30 genes (Table S3). The large number of genes in the mapping interval made it difficult to determine the candidate genes for *DM9.1*.

To provide high-quality markers for marker-assisted selection of DM resistance, KASP markers were further developed in the fine mapping interval. Genotyping results of KASP_24060858 showed that all the 34 DM-resistant individuals exhibited homologous genotypes, while, the 51 DM-susceptible individuals exhibited homologous or heterozygous genotypes at this locus (Figure 4). This result demonstrated that KASP_24060858 was a marker cosegregating with the recessive DM resistance.

Discussion

DM is a major disease frequently causing great economic loss in melon production. Breeding DM-resistant cultivars is the most efficient approach for disease control. Although several DMresistant melon accessions had been identified, only a small number of QTLs or genes had been mapped or cloned, which lag far behind the requirements of DM-resistant breeding. To address this problem, the DM-resistant accession PI 442177 was used in this study to map the DM-resistant genes with the aims of providing useful molecular markers and gene resources for melon DMresistant breeding.

Only one segregating population was used to map the targeted genes in most studies. However, it was reported that the effects of QTLs were prone to be affected by the genetic backgrounds, which means that some QTLs only can be detected under specific background (Paccapelo et al., 2022). In this study, to eliminate



Genotyping pattern of the selected F_2 individuals derived from the cross of PI 442177 and Huangdanzi using the KASP_24060858 marker. The red cycles represent the homologous resistant genotypes. The yellow cycles represent the homologous susceptible genotypes. The green cycles represent the heterozygous susceptible genotypes. The triangles represent the positive controls of resistant and susceptible genotypes. The gray rectangle represents the negative control (without DNA templates).

the influence of genetic background on QTL mapping, two F_2 populations were constructed using a DM-resistant parental line and two different DM-susceptible parental lines. This experimental design can significantly improve the accuracy of QTL mapping for DM resistance.

For bi-parental genetic populations, linkage map and QTL-seq are the most frequently used methods to map the targeted genes. Linkage map-based QTL analysis can locate even the minor-effect QTLs and estimate their PVEs, but it is time-consuming (Han et al., 2022). QTL-seq is currently a more popular method to locate the targeted genes because of its high efficiency (Takagi et al., 2013). However, it only can map the major-effect QTLs in most cases. In the previous studies, either linkage map or QTL-seq was used to map targeted genes in melon (Toporek et al., 2021). To get a more comprehensive understanding on the locations of DM-resistant QTLs, both the two methods were used in this study. Overlap of the intervals delimiting by the two methods also validated the accuracy of the mapping results.

At different growth stages, responses of plant to stresses may be determined by different mechanisms and genes, which is called stage-specific tolerance (Dinglasan et al., 2021). For example, different QTLs for salt tolerance in rice were detected at seedling and reproductive stages, respectively (Singh et al., 2021). DM can infect melon at whole growth stage. In this study, to test whether different DM-resistant genes play roles in melon different growth stages, the DM infection levels were measured at early, middle, and late growth stages, respectively. Different minor effect QTLs were detected at different stages. However, the major-effect QTL *DM9.1* was consistently detected at the three stages, demonstrating that *DM9.1* is not only a major-effect QTL but also has function at melon whole growth stage. The major-effect and whole-stage QTL has great value in melon DM-resistant breeding.

Recently, using DM-resistant accession MR-1 and a genetically characterized isolate of P. cubensis (clade1, mating type A2), two major-effect QTLs for DM resistance were identified in melon, which located on chromosome 8 and 10, respectively, and Mildew resistance locus o (mlo) was speculated as the candidate gene for the QTL on chromosome 10 (Toporek et al., 2021). However, in our study, using PI 442177 as the DM-resistant parent line, only a major QTL locating on chromosome 9 was detected. Although a minor QTL was also detected on chromosome 9 (0.9-19.5 Mb for Greenhouse 2, 0.7-1.7 Mb for across all) in MR-1 (Toporek et al., 2021), which was not overlapped with the DM9.1. Moreover, the previously reported DM-resistant genes At1 and At2 were found to locate on chromosome 2 and 5, respectively (Taler et al., 2004; Toporek et al., 2021). As a result, DM9.1 is a novel QTL totally different from the previous reports. These results demonstrated that MR-1 and PI 442177 harbored different DM-resistant genes, which can be pyramided in breeding program to further improve DM resistance.

KASP is a high-throughput genotyping assay, which was used to narrow *DM9.1* down into a 1.0 Mb interval on chromosome 9 in this study. The fine mapping interval of *DM9.1* still comprised of 133 genes, which made it difficult to determine the candidate genes using the current genetic populations. However, the mapping interval provided valuable information for further fine map and clone the causal gene of *DM9.1* using genetic populations with larger size in the future. Moreover, the marker KASP_24060858 co-segregating with the recessive DM resistance was developed in this study, which is a powerful genetic tool for maker-assisted selection. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first molecular marker that successfully developed for selection of DM resistance in melon.

Taken together, a stable and major-effect QTL conferring DM resistance was identified in this study by using two F_2 populations based on linkage map and QTL-seq approaches, and a cosegregating KASP marker was successfully developed. These results will not only provide valuable information for DM-resistant gene cloning, but also offer powerful markers for melon breeding programs with the aim of improving DM resistance.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: Genome Sequence Archive (Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics 2021) in National Genomics Data Center (Nucleic Acids Res 2022), China National Center for Bioinformation/Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences [https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa/], CRA009352.

Author contributions

XZ, HY and QK conceived and designed research. XZ, YL, WY, ML, YY, BL and HY conducted experiments. QK, MW, Y-DG and ZW analyzed data. QK, XZ and Y-DG wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

Balass, M., Cohen, Y., and Barjoseph, M. (1992). Identification of a constitutive 45 kDa soluble protein associated with resistance to downy mildew in muskmelon (*Cucumis melo l.*), line PI 124111 f. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 41, 387–396. doi: 10.1016/0885-5765/92)90051-V

Benjamin, I., Kenigsbuch, D., Galperin, M., Abrameto, J. A., and Cohen, Y. (2009). Cisgenic melons over expressing glyoxylate-aminotransferase are resistant to downy mildew. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 125, 355–365. doi: 10.1007/s10658-009-9485-4

Broman, K. W., Gatti, D. M., Simecek, P., Furlotte, N. A., Prins, P., Sen, S., et al. (2019). R/qtl2: software for mapping quantitative trait loci with high-dimensional data and multiparent populations. *Genetics* 211, 495–502. doi: 10.1534/genetics.118.301595

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34, 884–890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Cohen, Y., and Eyal, H. (1987). Downy mildew-resistant, powdery mildew-resistant and fusarium wilt-resistant muskmelon breeding line PI-121111F. *Phytoparasitica* 15, 187–195. doi: 10.1007/BF02979582

Dinglasan, E. G., Peressini, T., Marathamuthu, K. A., See, P. T., Snyman, L., Platz, G., et al. (2021). Genetic characterization of adult-plant resistance to tan spot (syn, yellow spot) in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 134, 2823–2839. doi: 10.1007/s00122-021-03861-8

Elshire, R. J., Glaubitz, J. C., Sun, Q., Poland, J. A., Kawamoto, K., Buckler, E. S., et al. (2011). A robust, simple genotyping-by-Sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. *PloS One* 6 (5), e19379. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019379

FAOSTAT, (2022). Food and Agriculture Organization, https://www.fao.org/faostat/ en/#home, 2022

Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics, (2021): Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics, 2021.

Funding

This work was supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (32060689), the Natural Science Foundation of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (2020D01A64), the earmarked fund for CARS (CARS-25), the specific research fund of The Innovation Platform for Academicians of Hainan Province (YSPTZX202141), and the Key R&D project of Hubei Province (2021BBA101).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1202775/ full#supplementary-material

Han, X., Min, Z., Wei, M., Li, Y., Wang, D., Zhang, Z., et al. (2022). QTL mapping for pumpkin fruit traits using a GBS-based high-density genetic map. *Euphytica* 218, 106. doi: 10.1007/s10681-022-03057-1

Kenigsbuch, D., and Cohen, Y. (1989). Inheritance of resistance to downy mildew in a gynecious muskmelon. *Plant Dis.* 73, 994–996. doi: 10.1094/PD-73-0994

Kenigsbuch, D., and Cohen, Y. (1992). Inheritance of resistance to downy mildew in *Cucumis melo* PI-124112 and commonality for resistance genes with PI-124111F. *Plant Dis.* 76, 615–617. doi: 10.1094/PD-76-0615

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with burrowswheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Nucleic Acids Res, (2022): Nucleic Acids Research, 2022.

Paccapelo, M. V., Kelly, A. M., Christopher, J. T., and Verbyla, A. P. (2022). WGNAM: whole-genome nested association mapping. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 135, 2213–2232. doi: 10.1007/s00122-022-04107-x

Perchepied, L., Bardin, M., Dogimont, C., and Pitrat, A. (2005). Relationship between loci conferring downy mildew and powdery mildew resistance in melon assessed by quantitative trait loci mapping. *Phytopathology* 95, 556–565. doi: 10.1094/ PHYTO-95-0556

Rahman, A., Standish, J. R., D'Arcangelo, K. N., and Quesada-Ocampo, L. M. (2021). Clade-specific biosurveillance of pseudoperonospora cubensis using spore traps for precision disease management of cucurbit downy mildew. *Phytopathology* 111, 312– 320. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-06-20-0231-R

Rastas, P. (2017). Lep-MAP3: robust linkage mapping even for low-coverage whole genome sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 33, 3726–3732. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx494

Ruggieri, V., Alexiou, K. G., Morata, J., Argyris, J., Pujol, M., Yano, R., et al. (2018). An improved assembly and annotation of the melon (*Cucumis melo* l.) reference genome. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 8088. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-26416-2

Singh, R. K., Kota, S., and Flowers, T. J. (2021). Salt tolerance in rice: seedling and reproductive stage QTL mapping come of age. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 134, 3495–3533. doi: 10.1007/s00122-021-03890-3

Takagi, H., Abe, A., Yoshida, K., Kosugi, S., Natsume, S., Mitsuoka, C., et al. (2013). QTL-seq: rapid mapping of quantitative trait loci in rice by whole genome resequencing of DNA from two bulked populations. *Plant J.* 74, 174–183. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12105

Taler, D., Galperin, M., Benjamin, I., Cohen, Y., and Kenigsbuch, D. (2004). Plant eR genes that encode photorespiratory enzymes confer resistance against disease. *Plant Cell* 16, 172–184. doi: 10.1105/tpc.016352

Thomas, C. E. (1999). Additional evaluations of *Cucumis melo* l. germplasm for resistance to downy mildew. *Hortscience* 34, 920–921. doi: 10.21273/HORTSCI.34.5.920

Thomas, C. E., and Jourdain, E. L. (1992). Evaluation of melon germplasm for resistance to downy mildew. *Hortscience* 27, 434–436. doi: 10.21273/HORTSCI.27.5.434

Toporek, S. M., Branham, S. E., Katawczik, M. L., Keinath, A. P., and Patrick Wechter, W. (2021). QTL mapping of resistance to pseudoperonospora cubensis clade 1, mating type A2, in *Cucumis melo. Theor. Appl. Genet.* 134, 2577–2586. doi: 10.1007/s00122-021-03843-w

Wang, Y., Bo, K., Gu, X., Pan, J., Li, Y., Chen, J., et al. (2020). Molecularly tagged genes and quantitative trait loci in cucumber with recommendations for QTL nomenclature. *Horticult. Res.* 7, 3–3. doi: 10.1038/s41438-019-0226-3