Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiong Zhao He, Massey University, New Zealand

REVIEWED BY Kailou Liu, Jiangxi Institute of Red Soil, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Li Wang li.wang@wzu.edu.cn Gary Y. Gan gary.gan@ubc-soil.ca

RECEIVED 28 February 2023 ACCEPTED 06 June 2023 PUBLISHED 07 July 2023

CITATION

Wang L, Hamel C, Lu P, Wang J, Sun D, Wang Y, Lee S-J and Gan GY (2023) Using enzyme activities as an indicator of soil fertility in grassland an academic dilemma. Front. Plant Sci. 14:1175946. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1175946](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1175946)

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Hamel, Lu, Wang, Sun, Wang, Lee and Gan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Using enzyme activities](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1175946/full) [as an indicator of soil](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1175946/full) [fertility in grassland - an](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1175946/full) [academic dilemma](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1175946/full)

Li Wang^{1*}, Chantal Hamel², Peina Lu³, Junying Wang¹, Dandi Sun¹, Yijia Wang¹, Soon-Jae Lee⁴ and Gary Y. Gan^{1,5*}

1 College of Life and Environmental Sciences, State & Local Joint Engineering Research Center for Ecological Treatment Technology of Urban Water Pollution, Zhejiang Provincial Key Lab for Water Environment and Marine Biological Resources Protection, Zhejiang Provincial Collaborative Innovation Center for Tideland Reclamation and Ecological Protection, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, China, 2Soil Microbiology Scientist, Commerciale, Rivière-à-Pierre, QC, Canada, ³State Key Laboratory of Aridland Crop Science, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China, 4Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, 5Agroecosystems, the uBC-Soil Group, Kelowna, BC, Canada

Grasslands play an important role in conserving natural biodiversity and providing ecosystem functions and services for societies. Soil fertility is an important property in grassland, and the monitoring of soil fertility can provide crucial information to optimize ecosystem productivity and sustainability. Testing various soil physiochemical properties related to fertility usually relies on traditional measures, such as destructive sampling, pre-test treatments, laborintensive procedures, and costly laboratory measurements, which are often difficult to perform. However, soil enzyme activity reflecting the intensity of soil biochemical reactions is a reliable indicator of soil properties and thus enzyme assays could be an efficient alternative to evaluate soil fertility. Here, we review the latest research on the features and functions of enzymes catalyzing the biochemical processes that convert organic materials to available plant nutrients, increase soil carbon and nutrient cycling, and enhance microbial activities to improve soil fertility. We focus on the complex relationships among soil enzyme activities and functions, microbial biomass, physiochemical properties, and soil/crop management practices. We highlight the biochemistry of enzymes and the rationale for using enzyme activities to indicate soil fertility. Finally, we discuss the limits and disadvantages of the potential new molecular tool and provide suggestions to improve the reliability and feasibility of the proposed alternative.

KEYWORDS

ecosystem functions and services, ecosystem sustainability and resilience, microbial biomass, soil biochemical property, physiochemical property

1 Introduction

Grasslands are the most widely distributed terrestrial ecosystems in the world, playing a critical role in conserving natural biodiversity ([Klein et al., 2020;](#page-7-0) [Wahdan et al., 2021](#page-8-0)), providing essential ecosystem services for billions of people's livelihoods ([Bengtsson](#page-6-0) [et al., 2019](#page-6-0); [Li M. et al., 2022](#page-7-0)), and helping mitigate the anthropogenically-driven impacts of climate change ([Han et al.,](#page-7-0) [2018](#page-7-0); [Dong et al., 2020\)](#page-6-0). Research in recent decades has concentrated on the development of strategies to rejuvenate degraded grasslands to enhance sustainability, with excellent scientific findings documented on the impacts of environmental factors (such as climate change) [\(Han et al., 2018](#page-7-0); [Nandintsetseg](#page-7-0) [et al., 2021](#page-7-0)) and anthropogenic activities (such as grazing and fertilization) [\(Chen et al., 2019](#page-6-0); [Luo et al., 2019](#page-7-0); [Wu et al., 2021\)](#page-8-0) on grassland ecosystem functions and services. Enzymes – a critical biochemical component in soil, play an important role in catalyzing biochemical processes to convert soil organic matter (SOM) to available nutrients, increase carbon (C) and nutrient cycling, and enhance microbial activities to improve the soil environment ([Chuan](#page-6-0) [et al., 2020](#page-6-0); [Ullah et al., 2021](#page-8-0); [Dong et al., 2022;](#page-6-0) [Yang Y. et al., 2022\)](#page-8-0). These enzyme-induced biochemical activities are closely correlated to other physiochemical properties in grassland soils. Enzyme activity reflects the intensity of biochemical reactions, which plays a critical role in maintaining the health, stability, and resilience of ecosystems.

'Soil fertility' is defined as the ability of soil to provide the conditions required for plant growth where the physical, chemical, and biological processes act together to provide nutrients, water, aeration, and stability to the plant, as well as freedom from any substances that may inhibit growth [\(Stockdale et al., 2002](#page-8-0)). This definition covers the key conceptual attributes of fertility and has been commonly used in agricultural science. Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN defines soil fertility as the ability of soil to sustain plant growth by providing essential plant nutrients and favorable chemical, physical, and biological characteristics as a habitat for plant growth [\(Montanarella, 2015](#page-7-0)). Some other scholars define soil fertility as: (1) the soil ability to supply plant nutrients in the right quantities and qualities over a sustained period, (2) inherent capacity of soil to supply nutrients to plants in adequate amounts and in suitable proportions, (3) as a soil's potential to create favorable chemical, physical, and biological conditions and provide all the essential nutrients to support plant growth [Alfen \(2014\),](#page-6-0) (4) much narrowly the ability of the soil to provide nutrients that are essential for plant growth [\(Anonymous,](#page-6-0) [2023\)](#page-6-0), (5) ability to provide the foundation for nutritious food production and resilient and sustainable livelihoods [\(Stewart et al.,](#page-8-0) [2019\)](#page-8-0), (6) soil fertility can be defined as the capacity of the soil to provide plants with sufficient available nutrients to produce crops ([Abbott and Murphy, 2003](#page-6-0)), (7) capacity of soil to provide physical, chemical and biological needs for the growth of plants for productivity, reproduction and quality [\(Bayu, 2020\)](#page-6-0), or (8) the quality of a soil that enables it to provide nutrients in adequate amounts and in proper balance for the growth of specific plants or crops ([Buresh et al., 1997\)](#page-6-0).

Soil fertility is a key factor affecting grassland ecosystem functions and services. However, the measurement of soil fertility is complex. The currently available methods are more or less standardized ([Anonymous, 2020](#page-6-0)), but some procedures are laborintensive (e.g., non-invasive soil water content), and many analytic procedures are costly (e.g., SOC, soil organic carbon). An interesting question is raised in the research community: can we use alternative methods to estimate and assess soil fertility more efficiently than the current methods? One of the alternatives that have been in the debate is whether or not soil enzyme activity can be used as a biological indicator of soil fertility. The notion is based on the understanding that (1) soil enzyme activities are closely correlated with soil fertility-related physiochemical properties, and they are more sensitive to natural and anthropogenic disturbances and have the potential to provide an integrative biological assessment of soil fertility ([Alkorta et al., 2003](#page-6-0)); and (2) the measurement of soil enzyme activity is relatively easier (Piotrowska-Dł[ugosz et al., 2021\)](#page-8-0), faster ([Piotrowska-D](#page-8-0)ługosz [et al., 2021](#page-8-0)), and lower in cost ([Bueis et al., 2018\)](#page-6-0) than that of various soil fertility parameters. However, using soil enzyme activities as an indicator of soil fertility has many limitations. For example, soil enzymatic activities in degraded soil express in a wide range compared to the undegraded control, with a range of 37- 260% for phosphomonoesterase activity, 16-250% for β glucosidase, and 24-250% for urease and dehydrogenase ([Trasar-](#page-8-0)[Cepeda et al., 2000\)](#page-8-0). The wide expression of enzymatic activities makes interpretations difficult. For some enzymes, the associations between enzyme activity and soil physicochemical properties are weak, nonexistent, or unknown ([Lee et al., 2020](#page-7-0)), while for the others, their activities in soil differ substantially with changes in soil environmental factors such as temperature and moisture ([Wolin](#page-8-0)́ska [and Stepniewska, 2012](#page-8-0)).

The above arguments lead to a dilemma, a problem to choose between the direct measurements of the various soil fertility-related parameters as have been and the assessment of enzymatic activities to indicate soil fertility. An in-depth understanding of the features of enzymes and the roles they play in serving the grassland ecosystem is required for assessing the value of using soil enzyme activities as an indicator of soil fertility. In this mini-review, we briefly summarize the basic biochemical characteristics of soil enzymes and their functioning in grassland ecosystems and highlight the relationships among enzyme activities, soil microbial biomass, and physiochemical properties. Finally, we discuss the feasibility and potential of using enzyme activity as an indicator of soil fertility.

2 Biochemistry of enzymes

Enzymes play a significant role in the cycling of carbon and the other nutrients in grassland ecosystems, and therefore, it is important to understand how an enzyme acts and reacts biochemically in response to soil/crop management practices. An enzyme is a molecule produced in small amounts by cells in living organisms to carry out a biochemical reaction ([Anonymous, 2022\)](#page-6-0). A substance that reacts upon the active site of an enzyme is called a substrate. The active site is a place where the catalytic action happens [\(Figure 1A\)](#page-2-0). In a biochemical reaction, the active site of the enzyme and the substrate form an enzyme-substrate complex.

The substrate is then transformed into one or more products that are released from the active site of the complex. For instance, the enzyme carbohydrase breaks down carbohydrates (substrate) and releases sugars (products) that can then be used as an energy source.

Based on the site of the enzyme works, enzymes can be categorized as intracellular and extracellular enzymes. Intracellular enzymes are synthesized by the cells and retained within the cytoplasm, chloroplasts, mitochondria, and nucleus for cellular biochemical reactions, whereas extracellular enzymes are secreted and function outside the cell, such as digestive enzymes (pepsin and salivary amylase). In the grassland ecosystem, there are plenty of types of enzymes ([Hillel, 2005\)](#page-7-0) including the amylase, glucosidase, cellulase, chitinase, dehydrogenase, phosphatase, protease, and urease. Most soil enzymes come from root exudates and microorganisms, and decompose soil litter and other SOM. Other important enzymes located inside soil organisms mineralize organic molecules, releasing nutrients in plant-

available forms. Enzyme activity is measured as the amounts of substrate transformed or product produced per unit of time. Enzyme activity determines the rate of biological processes occurring within living organisms in the case of endoenzymes, or the soil in the case of exoenzymes. Various tools can be used to measure enzymatic activity, including mass spectrometry, electrochemistry, capillary electrophoresis, radiometric methods, colorimetric analysis, and fluorescence methods [\(Erel, 2005](#page-7-0); [Bailey et al., 2011;](#page-6-0) [Niu et al.,](#page-7-0) [2019](#page-7-0)). Also, some specialized methods for measuring enzyme activities in real time have been in use, such as the catalytic activity of TEM1-b-lactamase inside living cells [\(Zotter et al., 2017](#page-8-0)), single-cell assays of enzyme activity [\(Kovarik and Allbritton, 2011](#page-7-0)), ultrasensitive detection of enzymatic activity using single molecule arrays [\(Wang X.](#page-8-0) [et al., 2020\)](#page-8-0), kinase activity in cell lysates [\(Yi et al., 2018\)](#page-8-0), and enzyme activity at in vivo concentrations using silver nanoparticles ([Moore](#page-7-0) [et al., 2004](#page-7-0)). Many methods are still evolving.

FIGURE 1

An illustration of the reduced fit between an enzyme's active site and the substrate (A) and the functioning of enzymes and microbial communities in the biochemical processes of carbon and nutrient cycling in the grassland ecosystem (B).

3 Enzyme activities and functions in the grassland ecosystem

3.1 Enzyme functioning

In grassland ecosystems, the central, most important role enzymes play is the oxidization of organic matter. Organic debris entering the soil undergo enzyme-driven oxidation – a reaction by which complex organic compounds are oxidized into smaller compounds and molecules, and ultimately, into usable elements or waste products. In the process, the energy contained in decomposing organic molecules and some enzyme products are captured to sustain the metabolism of soil organisms, but byproducts including plant nutrients are also released into soil and recycled [\(Figure 1B\)](#page-2-0). In agricultural soils, the mineralization of SOM is mainly driven by fungi, bacteria, and earthworms. Thus, the enzymatic activity of these organisms is important in regulating the flux of energy and nutrients sustaining the grassland ecosystem.

In the soil environment, one enzyme may function for a specific biochemical reaction. For example, the enzymes β -glucosidase, invertase, and hydrolase are mainly responsible for the cycling of C in soils, whereas urease, alkaline phosphatase, and sulfatase are responsible for the cleavage of N, P, and S from organic compounds and consequently, are responsible for replenishing the soil solution with mineral N, P, and S forms that plants can use. In grassland ecosystems, an increase in enzyme activity usually means an increase in the contact area between the enzyme and the substrates, which accelerates the bioprocess of material transformation. A high rate of enzyme activity may be due to the abundance of the substrates, but not always true; for example, phosphatase activity is increased by the scarcity of available P and inhibited by its abundance. There are many individual enzymes in grassland ecosystems, each having different functions. The detailed functions are summarized in Table 1.

3.2 Relationship between enzyme activity and microbial biomass

In grassland ecosystems, soil microbial activity drives the C and N cycles in which enzymes act as the catalyst while microbial biomass serves as the precursor. Thus, soil enzymes and microbial biomass are two important microbiological properties that play a crucial role in the process of converting the active components of SOM to nutrient elements contributing to soil fertility.

The activity of soil enzymes and microbial biomass is associated with soil physiochemical properties in a complex manner. The ratio of the extracellular enzyme [\(Yang et al., 2020\)](#page-8-0) and their activities ([Dong et al., 2021\)](#page-6-0) are related to the demand for C, N, and P resources by microorganisms. For example, the elemental composition of soil microbial biomass is closely associated with specific enzyme stoichiometry in C digestion ([Yuan et al., 2019\)](#page-8-0). However, the relationship between biomass composition and enzyme activity varies with time and space. In a moderately

 $al., 2023$

[\(Yang et al., 2016](#page-8-0); [Liao et al., 2020;](#page-7-0) [Wahdan et al.,](#page-8-0)

[\(Iqbal et al., 2021](#page-7-0); [Liu et al., 2022;](#page-7-0) [Wang et al.,](#page-8-0)

[\(Bazghaleh et al., 2016](#page-6-0); [Khan et al., 2019;](#page-7-0) [Sousa](#page-8-0) [et al., 2019](#page-8-0); [Kim et al., 2022;](#page-7-0) [Muñoz et al., 2022](#page-7-0))

[et al., 2022](#page-7-0))

[2022](#page-8-0))

[2021](#page-8-0); [Xie et al., 2021\)](#page-8-0)

bonds Phosphate ion Provides plant available P

Mono- and oligosaccharides

Low molecular weights multimers

Compounds

Main functions in grast

Urease Hydrolyses urea

linkages

fungal cell wall

Hydrolyses phosphor-ester

Hydrolyses 1,4-beta-glucosidic

Hydrolyzes the chitin polymers of arthropods' exoskeleton and

Phosphatase

Cellulases

Chitinase

carbon dioxide Provides plant available N

Sulfatase Hydrolyses sulfate-ester bonds Sulfate ion Provides plant available S [\(Tscherko et al., 2004;](#page-8-0) [dos Santos et al., 2022](#page-6-0))

Sustains soil life through the provision of C and energy sources

Triggers plant defense and first step in the production of mineral

N and C from chitin

degraded grassland, soil microbial biomass C content was positively correlated with invertase activity, while soil microbial biomass N content was negatively correlated with soil invertase activity. In desert areas, soil microbial biomass C and N did not correlate with soil urease or invertase, but in a degraded alpine grassland, soil microbial biomass C and N were correlated with soil invertase and urease activities. In a semi-natural grassland, elevating ozone changed the enzyme activity, but not the function of the soil microbial community ([Wang J. et al., 2019](#page-8-0)).

The above research observations show that there is a complex relationship between soil microorganisms and enzyme activity. An increase in soil microbial biomass can increase soil enzyme activities, but not always, because the relationship can be influenced by many other factors. For example, microbial community composition, and enzyme activity are directly and indirectly related to plant biotype ([Cao et al., 2019](#page-6-0)), plant species composition ([Chuan et al., 2020\)](#page-6-0), the primary and net productivities of grasslands ([Liu et al., 2020](#page-7-0)), microbial community structure [\(Li Q. et al., 2021\)](#page-7-0), and SOC concentration ([Li Y. et al., 2019](#page-7-0)). Often enzyme activities and microbial biomass composition are more sensitive to environmental conditions (e.g., soil temperature, moisture, pH) and human/animal activities (e.g., grazing and trampling, and land use change) ([Armbruster et al.,](#page-6-0) [2021;](#page-6-0) [dos Santos et al., 2022](#page-6-0)), than to the physicochemical properties such as nutrient concentration.

3.3 Enzyme activity and grassland management practices

Grassland management practices such as grazing, N fertilization, mowing, and the conversion to cropland influence soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities as follows.

1) Grazing

Grazing influences the productivity of grassland ecosystems by modifying aboveground and belowground plant biomass, soil microbial biomass, and nutrient dynamics. [Yang X. et al. \(2022\)](#page-8-0) conduct a meta-analysis using 934 paired observations from 69 studies to assess the effect of grazing intensity (low, medium, heavy grazing) on soil microbial biomass and community composition in steppe ecosystems. They find that heavy grazing reduces the numbers of bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes by 30-92% as compared to grazing exclusion, which translates in to a 14-36% reduction in microbial biomass. In contrast, grazing exclusion for a short period (4 years) rapidly increases soil microbial biomass through the production of more substrates for microbial activities, and increases the activities of soil enzymes [\(Du et al., 2020](#page-7-0)). In wellmanaged grazing systems, the activities of β -glucosidase in the soil are more sensitive to changes in practices than microbial biomass carbon and respiration [\(dos Santos et al., 2022](#page-6-0)). However, the impacts of grazing on soil microbial biomass and enzyme activity are inconsistent from one study to another. In a semiarid grassland with different grazing intensities, [Rong et al. \(2022\)](#page-8-0) reveal that the microbial biomass remains structurally stable for five growing seasons even under persistent stress imposed by grazing. In studying herbivore-plant-soil feedback in Mediterranean mountain grasslands, [Castillo-Garcia et al. \(2022\)](#page-6-0) find that increasing grazing intensity increased soil available N, SOC, microbial biomass C, and beta-glucosidase activity.

2) Fertilizer N input

Increased quantity of soil N in grassland ecosystems often suppresses soil microbial biomass and modifies soil microbial functioning [\(Bai et al., 2020\)](#page-6-0), due to the N-induced reduction in soil pH [\(Chen et al., 2019\)](#page-6-0) altering biogeochemical cycling ([Ning](#page-7-0) [et al., 2021](#page-7-0)). The effect of N inputs depends on the rate and frequency of applications to the grassland. A higher N rate applied at a lower frequency decreases soil microbial biomass significantly, as the greater rate of N input causes C:N imbalance, decreasing the fungi-to-bacteria ratio. Also, the functions of soil microbial biomass may be influenced by soil N availability ([Li W. T.](#page-7-0) [et al., 2022\)](#page-7-0). This influence of N input is modified by soil moisture level as water is needed to dissolve NH⁺₄- and NO₃N [\(Li W. T. et al.,](#page-7-0) [2022](#page-7-0)). Moisture availability influences several steps of N cycling in soil, including ammonia volatilization, nitrification, denitrification, and N leaching. Furthermore, the response of soil microbial biomass to N input is a result of complex interactions among climate, soil properties, and enzyme activity [\(Li Z. et al., 2019\)](#page-7-0). In a low-N sandy grassland ecosystem, N input increases the amount of soil litter, which raised soil β -1,4-glucosidase activity and microbial biomass ([Yayi et al., 2021\)](#page-8-0).

3) Mowing

Mowing is a common grassland management practice, as the action enhances plant biodiversity ([Ning et al., 2022\)](#page-7-0) by reducing plant species richness ([Yang et al., 2019](#page-8-0)), and increasing the availability of carbon for soil microorganisms ([Wang Z. R. et al.,](#page-8-0) [2019](#page-8-0)). However, the effect of mowing involves other factors. In a long-term study conducted in Western France, [Gilmullina et al.](#page-7-0) [\(2020\)](#page-7-0) report that mowing lowers SOC and decreases microbial biomass more than grazing. Removing plant biomass via mowing reduces C input to soil, limiting soil microbial biomass and soil enzyme production ([Wang R. et al., 2020\)](#page-8-0), which leads to decreased activity ([Luo et al., 2019](#page-7-0)). However, inconsistent effects of mowing on plant-soil-microbe linkages are reported. For example, mowing increases the exposure of soil surface which increases soil temperature [\(Han et al., 2011\)](#page-7-0) and decreases soil moisture [\(Shao](#page-8-0) [et al., 2012](#page-8-0)), leading to decreased microbial biomass and enzyme activities ([Mencel et al., 2022](#page-7-0); [Hopkins et al., 2023\)](#page-7-0).

4) Grassland to cropland conversion

In the agro-pastoral transitional zone of northern China, the conversion of grassland to cropland leads to the loss of soil microbial biomass ([Pan et al., 2023\)](#page-7-0) and a shift in microbial community assembly, which is attributed to a decrease in SOM ([Tang et al., 2022](#page-8-0)). The conversion from open grazing to enclosed grassland changes the input of nutrients affecting the size of soil microbial biomass [\(Pan et al., 2023](#page-7-0)). Grasslands are a complex plant-soil-microbe interactive ecosystem in which both enzyme activity and microbial biomass can be influenced by environmental conditions, including precipitation ([Zhang and Xi,](#page-8-0) [2021\)](#page-8-0), climate extremes such as severe drought [\(Holguin et al.,](#page-7-0) [2022\)](#page-7-0), and seasonal asynchrony between plant productivity and microbial biomass [\(Yin et al., 2022\)](#page-8-0). Furthermore, conversion of grassland to cropland can lead to significant changes in soil mineralization ([Li N. et al., 2022](#page-7-0)), nutrient cycling [\(Wang J. et al.,](#page-8-0) [2020\)](#page-8-0), SOC ([Li J. et al., 2022\)](#page-7-0), soil microbial biomass and community composition, and extracellular enzyme activity ([Dong](#page-6-0) [et al., 2021\)](#page-6-0).

4 Apprehension and drawbacks

Many scholars foresee potential problems with the use of enzyme activities to assess soil fertility. The reliability of soil enzyme activities in predicting the degree of grassland ecosystem fertility is questioned. We summarize the following concerns and drawbacks:

- 1. Some scholars believe that soil enzyme activity changes rapidly and easily with a change in soil management practice. The status of enzyme activities in the soil is unstable, and hardly reflects the biological status of the soil fully, thereby, it is questionable about the accuracy of using enzyme activity to indicate soil fertility.
- 2. In some cases, the correlations between enzyme activity and soil fertility traits are quite weak or even not exist. For example, [Pan et al. \(2013\)](#page-7-0) investigate the changes in soil physicochemical properties and enzyme activities and find that the activities of b-glucosidase and alkaline phosphatase are positively correlated with SOC, total N, and available N concentrations, but urease has a limited correlation with soil fertility. Increased soil fertility via N addition can promote the activity of C-cycling enzymes substantially while decreasing the activities of the other enzymes such as urease and alkaline phosphatase [\(Li Y. et al., 2021](#page-7-0)). Fertilizer-induced changes in microbial community characteristics (abundance, composition, diversity) can have far-reaching impacts on nutrient cycling and soil fertility.
- 3. The relation between soil fertility and enzyme activities may be confounded by soil management practices. For example, phosphate fertilizers depress phosphatase activity in soil; similarly, N fertilizers depress the enzymes involved in the N cycle (e.g., urease and amidase). Soil fertilized with N and P mineral fertilizers will show a much greater level of soil fertility than before being fertilized, but the relevant enzyme activities can be quite lower than before the fertilization. In addition can have a time-lag effect on enzyme activities, and increasing soil fertility through inorganic fertilization and residue input may have significantly positive impacts on some enzyme activities

([Zhang et al., 2020](#page-8-0)). However, in some cases, the increased soil fertility can have no or negative impact on crop productivity by altering the abundance of dominant bacterial genera ([Lan et al., 2023](#page-7-0)), or selectively enrich and inhibit the growth of certain bacterial taxa [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-8-0) [2019\)](#page-8-0). In these cases, using enzyme activity to indicate soil fertility may be an issue to interpret.

- 4. Some inconsistent or even opposite results on the relationships between enzyme activities and soil physiochemical properties have been reported. Claims are that the phenomenon may be related to environmental factors. Soil enzyme activities are shown to be much more sensitive to environmental factors, such as vegetation types and plant community composition, and drought stress, than soil physiochemical properties. 'Sensitiveness' is a good attribute for a soil fertility indicator, but enzyme activities may be too sensitive to temporal change in environmental conditions to be a reliable soil fertility indicator for the span of a cropping season, and too much influenced by environmental conditions for a global method to be developed.
- 5. In grassland ecosystem, the reaction of a specific enzyme is often influenced by the activities of other enzymes. The activity of specific enzymes in terms of the amount of substrate transformed or product produced is difficult to measure. Accordingly, the overall activity of enzymes may reflect the level of soil fertility only to a certain extent.

5 Suggestions to improve

To move forwards to the development of an alternative method to assess soil fertility, some scientists have made the following suggestions.

- 1. When the assay conditions change (e.g., temperature, moisture, pH, ionic strength), the enzyme activities will differ substantially. To make a meaningful assessment of soil fertility to compare different ecosystems, the protocol for measuring each enzyme assay needs to be standardized, including sampling procedures, sample pretreatment, assay procedures, and units of measurement of enzyme activities.
- 2. Some systematic studies across soil types, ecosystems, or long-term soil management sites are needed to identify the most appropriate enzyme assays to characterize specific soil parameters to reflect the level of soil fertility.
- 3. Standardized data sets need to be established, which can calibrate the enzyme assays and other soil properties, and interpretatesoil fertility indexes.
- 4. Other alternatives will be considered. For example, a soil fertility index can be established using a 'minimum data set' by measuring a bucket full of soil fertility-related parameters in a certain condition. Such an index can be used to reflect the level of soil fertility.

6 Conclusion and perspective

Enzyme activity can be considered an indicator of the status of soil biochemical properties. The enzyme assays are cost-efficient and operationally easier as compared to traditional soil fertility tests. Soil enzymes respond more rapidly to changes imposed on the ecosystem than the other soil fertility parameters, and thus assessing enzyme activities can provide timely information for decisionmaking on ecosystem management. However, enzyme activities in grassland ecosystem are affected by many factors, including climate change-induced stress and anthropogenic activities. The rapid response of enzymes to those factors may lead to inaccurate assessments of soil fertility which is an accumulative effect over a longer period. So far, methods of using enzyme activity to indicate soil fertility are still not ready. More solid, comprehensive evidence from multi-sites and multi-ecosystems is needed to validate the potential of the proposed indicator. Modelling needs to be done based on multi-site and multi-ecosystem data to validate the protocol of measuring the biological indicator of soil fertility.

Author contributions

LW and GG conceived and designed the project. JW, DS, and YW conducted systematic reviews, and collected relevant data. PL and S-JL were involved in the draft manuscript. GG and LW wrote the manuscript, CH revised and edited the manuscript, and All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported, in kind, by Wenzhou University in China and the uBC-Soil Group in Canada.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Abbott, L. K., and Murphy, D. V. (2003). Soil biological fertility: a key to sustainable land use in agriculture. (Springer Science & Business Media).

Alfen, N. K. V. (2014). Encyclopedia of agriculture and food systems. (Academic Press).

Alkorta, I., Aizpurua, A., Riga, P., Albizu, I., Amezaga, I., and Garbisu, C. (2003). Soil enzyme activities as biological indicators of soil health. Rev. Environ. Health 18, 65–73. doi: [10.1515/REVEH.2003.18.1.65](https://doi.org/10.1515/REVEH.2003.18.1.65)

Anonymous (2020). Global soil doctors programme. Soil Testing Methods, 100. [www.fao.org/3/ca2796en/CA2796EN.pdf.](http://www.fao.org/3/ca2796en/CA2796EN.pdf)

Anonymous (2022). Microbiology. Libretexts.

Anonymous (2023). International encyclopedia of the social sciences. MacMillan Reference Library.

Armbruster, M., Goodall, T., Hirsch, P. R., Ostle, N., Puissant, J., Fagan, K. C., et al. (2021). Bacterial and archaeal taxa are reliable indicators of soil restoration across distributed calcareous grasslands. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 72 (6), 2430–2444. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12977) [ejss.12977](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12977)

Bai, T., Wang, P., Hall, S. J., Wang, F., Ye, C., Li, Z., et al. (2020). Interactive global change factors mitigate soil aggregation and carbon change in a semi-arid grassland. Global Change Biol. 26 (9), 5320–5332. doi: [10.1111/gcb.15220](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15220)

Bailey, V. L., Fansler, S. J., Smith, J. L., and Bolton, H. (2011). Reconciling apparent variability in effects of biochar amendment on soil enzyme activities by assay optimization. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43 (2), 296–301. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.10.014)

Bayu, T. (2020). Review on contribution of integrated soil fertility management for climate change mitigation and agricultural sustainability. Cogent Environ. Sci. 6 (1), 1823631. doi: [10.1080/23311843.2020.1823631](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311843.2020.1823631)

Bazghaleh, N., Hamel, C., Gan, Y., Knight, J. D., Vujanovic, V., Cruz, A. F., et al. (2016). Phytochemicals induced in chickpea roots selectively and non-selectively stimulate and suppress fungal endophytes and pathogens. Plant Soil 409 (1-2), 479– 493. doi: [10.1007/s11104-016-2977-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2977-z)

Bengtsson, J., Bullock, J. M., Egoh, B., Everson, C., Everson, T., O'Connor, T., et al. (2019). Grasslands-more important for ecosystem services than you might think. Ecosphere 10 (2), e02582. doi: [10.1002/ecs2.2582](https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2582)

Bueis, T., Turrión, M. B., Bravo, F., Pando, V., and Muscolo, A. (2018). Factors determining enzyme activities in soils under pinus halepensis and pinus sylvestris plantations in Spain: a basis for establishing sustainable forest management strategies. Ann. For. Sci. 75 (1), 34. doi: [10.1007/s13595-018-0720-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-018-0720-z)

Buresh, R. J., Sánchez, P. A., and Calhoun, F. (1997). Replenishing soil fertility in Africa. (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd).

Cao, Y., Xu, L., Zhang, Z., Chen, Z., and He, N. (2019). Soil microbial metabolic quotient in inner mongolian grasslands: patterns and influence factors. Chin. Geographical Sci. 29 (6), 1001–1010. doi: [10.1007/s11769-019-1084-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11769-019-1084-5)

Castillo-Garcia, M., Alados, C. L., Ramos, J., Moret, D., Barrantes, O., and Pueyo, Y. (2022). Understanding herbivore-plant-soil feedbacks to improve grazing management on Mediterranean mountain grasslands. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 327, 1078333. doi: [10.1016/j.agee.2021.107833](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107833)

Chen, X., Hao, B., Jing, X., He, J.-S., Ma, W., and Zhu, B. (2019). Minor responses of soil microbial biomass, community structure and enzyme activities to nitrogen and phosphorus addition in three grassland ecosystems. Plant Soil 444 (1), 21–37. doi: [10.1007/s11104-019-04250-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04250-3)

Chuan, X., Carlyle, C. N., Bork, E. W., Chang, S. X., and Hewins, D. B. (2020). Extracellular enzyme activity in grass litter varies with grazing history, environment and plant species in temperate grasslands. Sci. Total Environ. 702, 134562. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134562) [j.scitotenv.2019.134562](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134562)

Dong, L., Berg, B., Gu, W., Wang, Z., and Sun, T. (2022). Effects of different forms of nitrogen addition on microbial extracellular enzyme activity in temperate grassland soil. Ecol. Processes 11 (1), 36. doi: [10.1186/s13717-022-00380-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-022-00380-2)

Dong, S., Shang, Z., Gao, J., and Boone, R. B. (2020). Enhancing sustainability of grassland ecosystems through ecological restoration and grazing management in an era of climate change on qinghai-Tibetan plateau. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 287, 106684. doi: [10.1016/j.agee.2019.106684](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106684)

Dong, C., Wang, W., Liu, H., Xu, X., Chen, X., and Zeng, H. (2021). Comparison of song, et, wang, with and strigtch, and have a serigen and the solid microbial responses to nitrogen addition between ex-arable grassland and natural grassland. J. Soils Sediments 21 (3), 1371–1384. doi: [10.1007/s11368-021-02892-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-021-02892-4)

dos Santos, J. V., Raimundo Bento, L., Dias Bresolin, J., Corso Mitsuyuki, M., Perondi Anchão Oliveira, P., Macedo Pezzopane, J. R., et al. (2022). The long-term effects of intensive grazing and silvopastoral systems on soil physicochemical properties, enzymatic activity, and microbial biomass. Catena 219, 106619. doi: [10.1016/j.catena.2022.106619](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106619)

Du, C., Jing, J., Shen, Y., Liu, H., and Gao, Y. (2020). Short-term grazing exclusion improved topsoil conditions and plant characteristics in degraded alpine grasslands. Ecol. Indic. 108, 105680. doi: [10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105680](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105680)

Ekwunife, K. C., Madramootoo, C. A., and Abbasi, N. A. (2022). Assessing the impacts of tillage, cover crops, nitrification, and urease inhibitors on nitrous oxide emissions over winter and early spring. Biol. Fertil. Soils 58 (3), 195–206. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01605-w) [s00374-021-01605-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01605-w)

Erel, O. (2005). A new automated colorimetric method for measuring total oxidant status. Clin. Biochem. 38 (12), 1103–1111. doi: [10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2005.08.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2005.08.008)

Gilmullina, A., Rumpel, C., Blagodatskaya, E., and Chabbi, A. (2020). Management of grasslands by mowing versus grazing – impacts on soil organic matter quality and microbial functioning. Appl. Soil Ecol. 156, 103701. doi: [10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103701](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103701)

Han, Z., Song, W., Deng, X., and Xu, X. (2018). Grassland ecosystem responses to climate change and human activities within the three-river headwaters region of China. Sci. Rep. 8 (1), 9079. doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-27150-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27150-5)

Han, Y., Zhang, Z., Wang, C., Jiang, F., and Xia, J. (2011). Effects of mowing and nitrogen addition on soil respiration in three patches in an oldfield grassland in inner Mongolia. J. Plant Ecol. 5 (2), 219–228. doi: [10.1093/jpe/rtr015](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtr015)

Hillel, D. (2005). Encyclopedia of soils in the environment. (Academic Press).

Holguin, J., Collins, S. L., and McLaren, J. R. (2022). Belowground responses to altered precipitation regimes in two semi-arid grasslands. Soil Biol. Biochem. 171, 108725. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108725](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108725)

Hopkins, J. R., Semenova-Nelsen, T. A., and Sikes, B. A. (2023). Land management drives dynamic changes to microbial function through edaphic factors and soil biota. Pedobiologia 96, 150859. doi: [10.1016/j.pedobi.2022.150859](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2022.150859)

Hu, J., Zhou, Q., Cao, Q., and Hu, J. (2022). Effects of ecological restoration measures on vegetation and soil properties in semi-humid sandy land on the southeast qinghai-Tibetan plateau, China. Global Ecol. Conserv. 33, e02000. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02000) [j.gecco.2022.e02000](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02000)

Iqbal, A., Khan, A., Green, S. J., Ali, I., He, L., Zeeshan, M., et al. (2021). Long-term straw mulching in a no-till field improves soil functionality and rice yield by increasing soil enzymatic activity and chemical properties in paddy soils. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 184 (6), 622–634. doi: [10.1002/jpln.202100089](https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.202100089)

Kaur, J. (2021). Dehydrogenase activity as a biological indicator of soil health. Chem. Sci. Rev. Lett. 10, cs205205338. doi: [10.37273/chesci.cs205205338](https://doi.org/10.37273/chesci.cs205205338)

Khan, A., Tabassum, B., Aaliya, K., Tariq, M., Nasir, I. A., Hassan, S., et al. (2019). The effectiveness of recombinant chitinase obtained from barley (Hordeum vulgare l.) against potato pathogens. Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res. 17 (2), 4147–4157. doi: [10.15666/](https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1702_41474157) [aeer/1702_41474157](https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1702_41474157)

Kim, K., Gil, J., Ostrom, N. E., Gandhi, H., Oerther, M. S., Kuzyakov, Y., et al. (2022). Soil pore architecture and rhizosphere legacy define N_2O production in root detritusphere. Soil Biol. Biochem. 166, 108565. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108565](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108565)

Klein, N., Theux, C., Arlettaz, R., Jacot, A., and Pradervand, J.-N. (2020). Modeling the effects of grassland management intensity on biodiversity. Ecol. Evol. 10 (23), 13518–13529. doi: [10.1002/ece3.6957](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6957)

Kovarik, M. L., and Allbritton, N. L. (2011). Measuring enzyme activity in single cells. Trends Biotechnol. 29 (5), 222–230. doi: [10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.01.003)

Krishnan, A., Alias, Z., Convey, P., Gonzalez-Aravena, M., Smykla, J., Rizman-Idid, M., et al. (2022). Temperature and pH profiling of extracellular amylase from antarctic and arctic soil microfungi. Fermentation-Basel 8 (11), 601. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8110601) [fermentation8110601](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8110601)

Lan, Y., Wang, S., Zhang, H., He, Y., Jiang, C., and Ye, S. (2023). Intercropping and nitrogen enhance eucalyptus productivity through the positive interaction between soil fertility factors and bacterial communities along with the maintenance of soil enzyme activities. Land Degrad. Dev. 34, 2403–2417. doi: [10.1002/ldr.4616](https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.4616)

Lee, S.-H., Kim, M.-S., Kim, J.-G., and Kim, S.-O. (2020). Use of soil enzymes as indicators for contaminated soil monitoring and sustainable management. Sustainability 12 (19), 8209. doi: [10.3390/su12198209](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12198209)

Li, N., Chang, R., Jiang, H., Tariq, A., Sardans, J., Peñuelas, J., et al. (2022). Combined livestock grazing-exclusion and global warming decreases nitrogen mineralization by changing soil microbial community in a Tibetan alpine meadow. Catena 219, 106589. doi: [10.1016/j.catena.2022.106589](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106589)

Li, Y., Chen, J., Dong, Q. G., Feng, H., and Siddique, K. H. M. (2022a). Plastic mulching significantly improves soil enzyme and microbial activities without mitigating gaseous n emissions in winter wheat-summer maize rotations. Field Crops Res. 286, 108630. doi: [10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108630](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108630)

Li, Y., Feng, H., Chen, J., Lu, J., Wu, W., Liu, X., et al. (2022b). Biochar incorporation increases winter wheat (Triticum aestivum l.) production with significantly improving soil enzyme activities at jointing stage. Catena 211, 105979. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105979) [j.catena.2021.105979](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105979)

Li, Y., Nie, C., Liu, Y., Du, W., and He, P. (2019). Soil microbial community composition closely associates with specific enzyme activities and soil carbon chemistry in a long-term nitrogen fertilized grassland. Sci. Total Environ. 654, 264–274. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.031)

Li, J., Shangguan, Z., and Deng, L. (2022). Free particulate organic carbon plays critical roles in carbon accumulations during grassland succession since grazing exclusion. Soil Tillage Res. 220, 105380. doi: [10.1016/j.still.2022.105380](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2022.105380)

Li, Z., Tian, D., Wang, B., Wang, J., Wang, S., Chen, H. Y. H., et al. (2019). Microbes drive global soil nitrogen mineralization and availability. Global Change Biol. 25 (3), 1078–1088. doi: [10.1111/gcb.14557](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14557)

Li, M., Wang, X., and Chen, J. (2022). Assessment of grassland ecosystem services and analysis on its driving factors: a case study in hulunbuir grassland. Front. Ecol. Evol. 10, 103287. doi: [10.3389/fevo.2022.841943](https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.841943)

Li, Y., Wang, C., Gao, S., Wang, P., Qiu, J., and Shang, S. (2021). Impacts of simulated nitrogen deposition on soil enzyme activity in a northern temperate forest ecosystem depend on the form and level of added nitrogen. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 103. doi: [10.1016/j.ejsobi.2021.103287](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2021.103287)

Li, Q., Xiang, X., Du, Y., Li, Y., Lin, L., Zhang, F., et al. (2021). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community structure following different grazing intensities in an alpine grassland. Soil Sci. Soc. America J. 85 (5), 1620–1633. doi: [10.1002/saj2.20218](https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20218)

Li, W. T., Xie, L. L., Zhao, C. Z., Hu, X. F., and Yin, C. Y. (2022). Nitrogen fertilization increases soil microbial biomass and alters microbial composition especially under low soil water availability. Microb. Ecol. doi: [10.1007/s00248-022-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-022-02103-8) [02103-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-022-02103-8). Early access (in press).

Liao, J. J., Dou, Y. X., and An, S. S. (2023). Plant community productivity is associated with multiple ecological stoichiometry in restoration grasslands. Ecol. Eng. 187:106845. doi: [10.1016/j.ecoleng.2022.106845](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2022.106845)

Liao, P., Ros, M. B. H., Van Gestel, N., Sun, Y.-N., Zhang, J., Huang, S., et al. (2020). Liming reduces soil phosphorus availability but promotes yield and p uptake in a double rice cropping system. J. Integr. Agric. 19 (11), 2807–2814. doi: [10.1016/S2095-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(20)63222-1) [3119\(20\)63222-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(20)63222-1)

Liu, Y. J., Fang, L. L., and Yang, C. X. (2022). Significant changes in arbuscular mycorrhizal community and soil physicochemical properties during the saline-alkali grassland vegetation succession. Biocell 46 (11), 2475–2488. doi: [10.32604/](https://doi.org/10.32604/biocell.2022.021477) [biocell.2022.021477](https://doi.org/10.32604/biocell.2022.021477)

Liu, Y., Wang, C., Xu, L., and He, N. (2020). Effect of grazing exclusion on the temperature sensitivity of soil net nitrogen mineralization in the inner Mongolian grasslands. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 97, 103171. doi: [10.1016/j.ejsobi.2020.103171](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2020.103171)

Liu, Z., Wang, M., Zhou, J., Chen, Z., Xu, X., and Zhu, Y. (2023). Soil aggregation is more important than mulching and nitrogen application in regulating soil organic carbon and total nitrogen in a semiarid calcareous soil. Sci. Total Environ. 854, 158790. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158790](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158790)

Luo, Y., Wang, C., Shen, Y., Sun, W., and Dong, K. (2019). The interactive effects of mowing and n addition did not weaken soil net n mineralization rates in semi-arid grassland of northern China. Sci. Rep. 9 (1), 13457. doi: [10.1038/s41598-019-49787-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49787-6)

Marcos, M. S., Carrera, A. L., Bertiller, M. B., and Olivera, N. L. (2020). Grazing enhanced spatial heterogeneity of soil dehydrogenase activity in arid shrublands of Patagonia, Argentina. J. Soils Sediments 20 (2), 883–888. doi: [10.1007/s11368-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02440-1) [02440-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02440-1)

Mencel, J., Mocek-Płóciniak, A., and Kryszak, A. (2022). Soil microbial community and enzymatic activity of grasslands under different use practices: a review. Agronomy 12 (5), 1136. doi: [10.3390/agronomy12051136](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12051136)

Montanarella, L. (2015). "The global soil partnership"," in IOP conference series: earth and environmental science (IOP Publishing), 012001. doi: [10.1088/1755-1315/25/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/25/1/012001) [1/012001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/25/1/012001)

Moore, B. D., Stevenson, L., Watt, A., Flitsch, S., Turner, N. J., Cassidy, C., et al. (2004). Rapid and ultra-sensitive determination of enzyme activities using surfaceenhanced resonance raman scattering. Nat. Biotechnol. 22 (9), 1133–1138. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1003) [nbt1003](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1003)

Muñoz, K., Thiele-Bruhn, S., Kenngott, K. G. J., Meyer, M., Diehl, D., Steinmetz, Z., et al. (2022). Effects of plastic versus straw mulching systems on soil microbial community structure and enzymes in strawberry cultivation. Soil Syst. 6 (1), 21. doi: [10.3390/soilsystems6010021](https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems6010021)

Nandintsetseg, B., Boldgiv, B., Chang, J. F., Ciais, P., Davaanyam, E., Batbold, A., et al. (2021). Risk and vulnerability of Mongolian grasslands under climate change. Environ. Res. Lett. 16 (3), 034035. doi: [10.1088/1748-9326/abdb5b](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abdb5b)

Ning, Q., Hättenschwiler, S., Lü, X., Kardol, P., Zhang, Y., Wei, C., et al. (2021). Carbon limitation overrides acidification in mediating soil microbial activity to nitrogen enrichment in a temperate grassland. Global Change Biol. 27 (22), 5976-5988. doi: [10.1111/gcb.15819](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15819)

Ning, Q., Jiang, L., Niu, G., Yu, Q., Liu, J., Wang, R., et al. (2022). Mowing increased plant diversity but not soil microbial biomass under n-enriched environment in a temperate grassland. Plant Soil. doi: [10.1007/s11104-022-05332-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-022-05332-5)

Niu, X., Ye, K., Wang, L., Lin, Y., and Du, D. (2019). A review on emerging principles and strategies for colorimetric and fluorescent detection of alkaline phosphatase activity. Anal. Chimica Acta 1086, 29–45. doi: [10.1016/j.aca.2019.07.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2019.07.068)

Ozcan, B. D., Dikkaya, E., Baylan, M., and Mazi, G. (2022). Isolation and partial characterization of alkaline tolerant alpha-amylase from bacillus pacificus FEB5. Fresenius Environ. Bull. 31 (6), 5750–5757.

Pan, C. C., Liu, C. A., Zhao, H. L., and Wang, Y. (2013). Changes of soil physicochemical properties and enzyme activities in relation to grassland salinization. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 55, 13–19. doi: [10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.09.009)

Pan, Y. L., Tang, H. P., Fang, F., Ma, Y. G., and Chen, Z. N. (2023). Is elemental stoichiometry (C, n, p) of soil and soil microbial biomass influenced by management modes and soil depth in agro-pastoral transitional zone of northern China? J. Soils Sediments 23 (1), 32–48. doi: [10.1007/s11368-022-03300-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-022-03300-1)

Piotrowska-Długosz, A., Kobierski, M., and Długosz, J. (2021). Enzymatic activity and physicochemical properties of soil profiles of luvisols. Mater. (Basel) 14 (21), 6364. doi: [10.3390/ma14216364](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14216364)

Rong, Y. P., Monaco, T. A., Liu, Z. K., Zhao, M. L., and Han, G. D. (2022). Soil microbial community structure is unaltered by grazing intensity and plant species richness in a temperate grassland steppe in northern China. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 110, 103404. doi: [10.1016/j.ejsobi.2022.103404](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2022.103404)

Saha, M., Das, M., and Sarkar, A. (2021). Distinct nature of soil organic carbon pools and indices under nineteen years of rice based crop diversification switched over from uncultivated land in eastern plateau region of India. Soil Tillage Res. 207, 104856. doi: [10.1016/j.still.2020.104856](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104856)

Shao, C., Chen, J., Li, L., and Zhang, L. (2012). Ecosystem responses to mowing manipulations in an arid inner Mongolia steppe: an energy perspective. J. Arid Environ. 82, 1–10. doi: [10.1016/j.jaridenv.2012.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2012.02.019)

Sousa, A. J. S., Silva, C. F. B., Sousa, J. S., Monteiro Junior, J. E., Freire, J. E. C., Sousa, B. L., et al. (2019). A thermostable chitinase from the antagonistic chromobacterium violaceum that inhibits the development of phytopathogenic fungi. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 126, 50–61. doi: [10.1016/j.enzmictec.2019.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2019.03.009)

Stewart, Z. P., Pierzynski, G. M., Middendorf, B. J., and Prasad, P. V. V. (2019). Approaches to improve soil fertility in sub-Saharan Africa. J. Exp. Bot. 71 (2), 632–641. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erz446](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz446)

Stockdale, E. A., Shepherd, M. A., Fortune, S., and Cuttle, S. P. (2002). Soil fertility in organic farming systems - fundamentally different? Soil Use Manage. 18 (s1), 301–308. doi: [10.1111/j.1475-2743.2002.tb00272.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2002.tb00272.x)

Tang, S. M., Li, S. C., Wang, Z., Zhang, Y. J., and Wang, K. (2022). Effects of grassland converted to cropland on soil microbial biomass and community from agropastoral ecotone in northern China. Grassland Sci. 68 (1), 36–43. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/grs.12331) [grs.12331](https://doi.org/10.1111/grs.12331)

Trasar-Cepeda, C., Leirós, M. C., Labandeira, S., and Gil-Sotres, F. (2000). Limitation of soil enzymes as indicators of soil pollution. Soil Biol. Biochem. 32, 1867–1875. doi: [10.1016/S0038-0717\(00\)00160-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00160-7)

Tscherko, D., Hammesfahr, U., Marx, M. C., and Kandeler, E. (2004). Shifts in rhizosphere microbial communities and enzyme activity of poa alpina across an alpine chronosequence. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36 (10), 1685–1698. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.07.004) [j.soilbio.2004.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.07.004)

Ullah, M. R., Carrillo, Y., and Dijkstra, F. A. (2021). Drought-induced and seasonal variation in carbon use efficiency is associated with fungi: bacteria ratio and enzyme production in a grassland ecosystem. Soil Biol. Biochem. 155, 108159. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108159) [j.soilbio.2021.108159](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108159)

Veriato Coura, F. T., Fries, D. D., Quoos, R. D., Teixeira, F. A., Viera Pires, A. J., and de Figueiredo, A. J. (2020). Activity invertase and amylase in marandu grass under shading and nitrogen fertilization. Acta Scientiarum-Agronomy 42, e42469. doi: [10.4025/actasciagron.v42i1.42496](https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v42i1.42496)

Wahdan, S. F. M., Heintz-Buschart, A., Sansupa, C., Tanunchai, B., Wu, Y. T., Schadler, M., et al. (2021). Targeting the active rhizosphere microbiome of trifolium pratense in grassland evidences a stronger-than-expected belowground biodiversityecosystem functioning link. Front. Microbiol. 12. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2021.629169](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.629169)

Wang, J., Hayes, F., Turner, R., Chadwick, D. R., Mills, G., and Jones, D. L. (2019). Effects of four years of elevated ozone on microbial biomass and extracellular enzyme activities in a semi-natural grassland. Sci. Total Environ. 660, 260–268. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.040) [j.scitotenv.2019.01.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.040)

Wang, C., Lv, J., Coulter, J. A., Xie, J. M., Yu, J. H., Li, J., et al. (2020). Slow-release fertilizer improves the growth, quality, and nutrient utilization of wintering Chinese chives (Allium tuberosum rottler ex spreng.). Agronomy-Basel 10 (3), 381. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10030381) [agronomy10030381](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10030381)

Wang, Z. W., Ma, S. Q., Hu, Y., Chen, Y. C., Jiang, H. M., Duan, B. L., et al. (2022). Links between chemical composition of soil organic matter and soil enzyme activity in alpine grassland ecosystems of the Tibetan plateau. Catena 218, 106565. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106565) [j.catena.2022.106565](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106565)

Wang, H., Ma, S., Shao, G., and Dittert, K. (2021). Use of urease and nitrification inhibitors to decrease yield-scaled N_2O emissions from winter wheat and oilseed rape fields: a two-year field experiment. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 319, 107552. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107552) [j.agee.2021.107552](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107552)

Wang, X., Ogata, A. F., and Walt, D. R. (2020). Ultrasensitive detection of enzymatic activity using single molecule arrays. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 142 (35), 15098–15106. doi: [10.1021/jacs.0c06599](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c06599)

Wang, J., Wang, X., Liu, G., Wang, G., Wu, Y., and Zhang, C. (2020). Fencing as an effective approach for restoration of alpine meadows: evidence from nutrient limitation of soil microbes. Geoderma 363, 114148. doi: [10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114148](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114148)

Wang, H. Y., Wu, J. Q., Li, G., and Yan, L. J. (2020). Changes in soil carbon fractions and enzyme activities under different vegetation types of the northern loess plateau. Ecol. Evol. 10 (21), 12211–12223. doi: [10.1002/ece3.6852](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6852)

Wang, R., Wu, H., Sardans, J., Li, T., Liu, H., Peñuelas, J., et al. (2020). Carbon storage and plant-soil linkages among soil aggregates as affected by nitrogen enrichment and mowing management in a meadow grassland. Plant Soil 457 (1), 407–420. doi: [10.1007/s11104-020-04749-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04749-0)

Wang, Z. R., Yang, S., Ma, R. A., Wang, R. Z., Feng, X., Li, H., et al. (2019). Responses of soil physicochemical properties and microbial characteristics to mowing and nitrogen addition in a meadow steppe in inner Mongolia, China. J. Appl. Ecol. 30 (9), 3010–3018. doi: [10.13287/j.1001-9332.201909.034](https://doi.org/10.13287/j.1001-9332.201909.034)

Wolińska, A., and Stepniewska, Z. (2012). "Dehydrogenase activity in the soil environment," in Dehydrogenases Ed. R. A. Canuto, 183–210. doi: [10.5772/48294](https://doi.org/10.5772/48294)

Wu, J. S., Li, M., Zhang, X. Z., Fiedler, S., Gao, Q. Z., Zhou, Y. T., et al. (2021). Disentangling climatic and anthropogenic contributions to nonlinear dynamics of alpine grassland productivity on the qinghai-Tibetan plateau. J. Environ. Manage. 281, 111875. doi: [10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111875](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111875)

Xie, X., Pu, L., Zhu, M., Meadows, M., Sun, L., Wu, T., et al. (2021). Differential effects of various reclamation treatments on soil characteristics: an experimental study
of newly reclaimed tidal mudflats on the east China coast. Sci. Total Environ. 768, 144996. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.144996](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.144996)

Yang, Y., Fang, H., Cheng, S., Xu, L., Lu, M., Guo, Y., et al. (2022). Soil enzyme activity regulates the response of soil c fluxes to n fertilization in a temperate cultivated grassland. Atmosphere 13 (5), 777. doi: [10.3390/atmos13050777](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13050777)

Yang, X., Li, Z., and Cheng, C. (2016). Effect of conservation tillage practices on soil phosphorus nutrition in an apple orchard. Hortic. Plant J. 2 (6), 331–337. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2016.11.005) [j.hpj.2016.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2016.11.005)

Yang, Y., Liang, C., Wang, Y., Cheng, H., An, S., and Chang, S. X. (2020). Soil extracellular enzyme stoichiometry reflects the shift from p- to n-limitation of microorganisms with grassland restoration. Soil Biol. Biochem. 149, 107928. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107928](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107928)

Yang, G.-J., Lü, X.-T., Stevens, C. J., Zhang, G.-M., Wang, H.-Y., Wang, Z.-W., et al. (2019). Mowing mitigates the negative impacts of n addition on plant species diversity. Oecologia 189 (3), 769–779. doi: [10.1007/s00442-019-04353-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-019-04353-9)

Yang, X., Zang, J. Y., Feng, J. L., and Shen, Y. (2022). High grazing intensity suppress soil microorganisms in grasslands in China: a meta-analysis. Appl. Soil Ecol. 177, 104502. doi: [10.1016/j.apsoil.2022.104502](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2022.104502)

Yayi, N., Yulong, D., Yuqiang, L., Xuyang, W., Yun, C., and Lilong, W. (2021). Soil microbial community responses to short-term nitrogen addition in china's horqin sandy land. PloS One 16 (5), e0242643. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0242643](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242643)

Yi, F., Huang, X., and Ren, J. (2018). Simple and sensitive method for determination of protein kinase activity based on surface charge change of peptide-modified gold nanoparticles as substrates. Anal. Chem. 90 (6), 3871–3877. doi: [10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04569) [acs.analchem.7b04569](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04569)

Yin, S., Liang, G. P., Wang, C. K., and Zhou, Z. H. (2022). Asynchronous seasonal patterns of soil microorganisms and plants across biomes: a global synthesis. Soil Biol. Biochem. 175, 108859. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108859](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108859)

Yuan, X., Niu, D., Gherardi, L. A., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Elser, J. J., et al. (2019). Linkages of stoichiometric imbalances to soil microbial respiration with increasing nitrogen addition: evidence from a long-term grassland experiment. Soil Biol. Biochem. 138, 107580. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107580](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107580)

Zhang, L., Chen, X., Xu, Y., Jin, M., Ye, X., Gao, H., et al. (2020). Soil labile organic carbon fractions and soil enzyme activities after 10 years of continuous fertilization and wheat residue incorporation. Sci. Rep. 10 (1), 11318. doi: [10.1038/s41598-020-68163-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68163-3)

Zhang, C. H., and Xi, N. X. (2021). Precipitation changes regulate plant and soil microbial biomass via plasticity in plant biomass allocation in grasslands: a metaanalysis. Front. Plant Sci. 12. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2021.614968](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.614968)

Zhu, J., Peng, H., Ji, X., Li, C., and Li, S. (2019). Effects of reduced inorganic fertilization and rice straw recovery on soil enzyme activities and bacterial community in double-rice paddy soils. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 94, 103116. doi: [10.1016/j.ejsobi.2019.103116](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2019.103116)

Zotter, A., Bäuerle, F., Dey, D., Kiss, V., and Schreiber, G. (2017). Quantifying enzyme activity in living cells. J. Biol. Chem. 292 (38), 15838–15848. doi: [10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.792119) [jbc.M117.792119](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.792119)