Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hongbo Li, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), China

REVIEWED BY Xin Wang, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), China Jing Dai, Oil Crops Research Institute (CAAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE Changquan Wang W.changquan@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Functional Plant Ecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 29 January 2023 ACCEPTED 17 February 2023 PUBLISHED 08 March 2023

CITATION

Tang X, Wu Z, Hu S, Chen G, Huang R, Wu Y, Li B, Tao Q, Jin K, Wang C and Wen Z (2023) Crop domestication disrupts intercropping benefits: A case study from barley–faba bean mixture under contrasting P inputs. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1153237. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1153237

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Tang, Wu, Hu, Chen, Huang, Wu, Li, Tao, Jin, Wang and Wen. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Crop domestication disrupts intercropping benefits: A case study from barley– faba bean mixture under contrasting P inputs

Xiaoyan Tang^{1†}, Zhengwu Wu^{1†}, Siliu Hu¹, Guangdeng Chen¹, Rong Huang¹, Yingjie Wu¹, Bing Li¹, Qi Tao¹, Kemo Jin², Changquan Wang^{1*} and Zhihui Wen²

¹College of Resources, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, China, ²College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, National Academy of Agriculture Green Development, Key Laboratory of Plant-Soil Interactions, Ministry of Education, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China

How crop domestication mediates root functional traits and trait plasticity in response to neighboring plants is unclear, but it is important for selecting potential species to be grown together to facilitate P uptake. We grew two barley accessions representing a two-stage domestication process as a sole crop or mixed with faba bean under low and high P inputs. We analyzed six root functional traits associated with P acquisition and plant P uptake in five cropping treatments in two pot experiments. The spatial and temporal patterns of root acid phosphatase activity were characterized in situ with zymography at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after sowing in a rhizobox. Under low P supply, wild barley had higher total root length (TRL), specific root length (SRL), and root branching intensity (RootBr) as well as higher activity of acid phosphatase (APase) in the rhizosphere, but lower root exudation of carboxylates and mycorrhizal colonization (MC), relative to domesticated barley. In response to neighboring faba bean, wild barley exhibited larger plasticity in all root morphological traits (TRL, SRL, and RootBr), while domesticated barley showed greater plasticity in root exudates of carboxylates and colonization by mycorrhiza. Wild barley with greater root morphology-related trait plasticity was a better match with faba bean than domesticated barley, indicated by higher P uptake benefits in wild barley/faba bean than domesticated barley/faba bean mixtures under low P supply. Our findings indicated that the domestication of barley disrupts the intercropping benefits with faba bean through the shifts of root morphological traits and their plasticity in barley. Such findings provide valuable information for barley genotype breeding and the selection of species combinations to enhance P uptake.

KEYWORDS

demestication, root functional traits, root plasticity, phosphorus uptake, species mixtures

1 Introduction

Phosphorus (P) often limits plant growth, due to its low bioavailability and low mobility in most soils (Hinsinger, 2001). Under P deficiency, plants have evolved various strategies to improve P acquisition (Vance et al., 2003; Lambers et al., 2008). Diverse root functional traits underpin these strategies, including a) changes in root morphology and architecture to enhance soil exploration, such as higher specific root length (SRL) and longer and denser fine roots (Lynch, 2011; Richardson et al., 2011); b) increased release of root exudates to mobilize inorganic and organic P fractions (e.g., carboxylate or phosphatase as typical P-mobilizing exudates; Hinsinger et al., 2003; Wen et al., 2020); and c) strengthened symbiosis with mycorrhizal fungi to increase the soil volume accessible to the roots beyond the nutrient-depletion zone (Smith and Read, 2008). All the adjustments in these root functional traits contribute to increase plant P uptake, but they are also associated with significant carbon cost (Westoby and Wright, 2006; Liu et al., 2015). Thus, carbon cost may limit all these root functional traits being folded into one species or genotype because of inherent trade-offs between resource acquisition and resource conservation (Denison, 2012; Wen et al., 2019). Indeed, numerous lines of evidence showed that significant variation in root functional traits exists among and within plant species, resulting in a unique resource acquisition strategy and/or nutrient niche for a given species or genotype.

Combinations of species with different root traits and resource strategies could promote soil nutrient acquisition (e.g., P) and crop over-yielding (Hinsinger et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2021). The dissimilarity in root traits in consideration of the core elements reduces the intra-/interspecific competition through niche complementarity and promotes facilitation on P acquisition in species mixtures (Loreau et al., 2001; Li et al., 2014). It is generally accepted that species with P-mobilizing traits (e.g., root exudates for P mobilization) could facilitate the species without the traits when grown as mixtures, especially at low P condition (Yu et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2022). For example, P uptake benefits are significantly higher in cereal combination with faba bean and chickpea than with soybean based on the results of a metaanalysis (Tang et al., 2021). Overall, these results suggested that selecting the species with a good match in root functional traits for combinations is critical for the improvement of the P uptake benefits at the system level.

Plant domestication affected root functional traits through recurrent breeding selection to obtain traits that are regarded as favorable by humans (Eshed and Lippman, 2019; Preece and Peñuelas, 2020). Because of the high nutrient input and requirements of crop yields of modern agriculture, the outcome of domestication is crops obtained through resource acquisition strategies (Milla et al., 2014; Isaac et al., 2021). There is increasing evidence that crop domestication has profoundly altered root functional traits, such as SRL and root diameter, contributing to their successful adaption in agricultural conditions (e.g., N; Meyer et al., 2012). Aside from root morphological traits, root exudates between modern genotypes and their wild relatives have been reported (Gioia et al., 2015; Iannucci et al., 2017). Martín-Robles et al. (2018) reported that wild relatives of 27 crops benefited from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) colonization irrespective of P levels, while domesticated species relied on AMF only under P limitation conditions. However, how crop domestication affects the root trait syndromes and the potential trade-offs on root P acquisition strategies is still unclear.

Plant species can adjust their root functional traits (i.e., trait plasticity) to respond not only to the soil abiotic environment (e.g., P availability) but also to the presence of neighboring plants (Hodge, 2004; File et al., 2012). Root trait plasticity in response to neighbor species may also be shaped by the plastic capacity and particular neighbor species or genotypes (Abakumova et al., 2016; Henn et al., 2018). For example, Streit et al. (2019) reported that eight faba bean genotypes employed different root proliferation and distribution patterns in soil profile when wheat was grown as the neighboring plant. Lepik et al. (2012) found that the differential capacity of species in modulating their response to different neighboring genotypes is not uniform. This raises the question of whether and how crop domestication affects the root responses of the target plant (root trait covariation and plasticity) to neighboring plants. Specifically, do wild and domesticated genotypes respond to neighboring plants uniformly or differentially regarding root functional traits?

Barley (Hordeum vulgare) is the fourth most important cereal globally, with the longest course of domestication dating ~10,000 years (Bulgarelli et al., 2015). Growing mixtures of barley with legumes is a promising practice with efficient P uptake, although it depends on species combinations and P management (Darch et al., 2018). The P uptake benefits of barley-legume mixtures stem from the contrasting root exudation and morphological trait responses to P deficiency. Greater plasticity of specific traits could enhance the performance of species in mixtures (Yu et al., 2020). The authors found that species have greater root phenotypic plasticity in response to root exudates released by the P-mobilizing species under low P supply when grown in a mixture. The root traits of barley cultivars and legume species vary in response to different P inputs (Giles et al., 2017), but how the selected plants respond to their neighbors and the specific trade-offs in the expression of such root traits when grown in combinations was overlooked. Thus, we hypothesized that barley genotypes with greater root morphological trait plasticity to neighbors would have enhanced P uptake in barley-legume mixtures.

To address the above issues, we conducted a study with two genotypes of spring barley that represent two stages in the domestication process, namely, modern genotype Baudin (*H. vulgare* ssp. *vulgare*) and its wild relative CN4027 (*H. vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum*). Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) was selected to be grown in mixtures with barley under two contrasting P treatments (low P: severely limiting P without P addition; high P: adequate soil P availability). We aimed to 1) clarify how systemic changes and trade-offs affected root functional traits for P acquisition of the two genotypes representing different domestication stages; 2) evaluate how crop domestication shaped root morphological traits, exudation, and mycorrhizal colonization in response to faba bean grown as neighbors; and 3) assess whether domestication of barley affected root functional trait plasticity in governing P uptake benefits of the two mixtures.

10.3389/fpls.2023.1153237

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Plant materials and soil origin

The two barley genotypes were selected as test plant materials: spring barley modern genotype Baudin (*H. vulgare* ssp. *vulgare*) and its wild relative CN4027 (*H. vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum*). The P deficiency tolerance of wild barley (WB) was stronger than CN4027. The P acquisition efficiency in domesticated barley (DB) was bigger than in WB, while the P utilization efficiency in WB was bigger (Guo, 2017). The cultivar of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) was Qizi No. 7.

The tested soil was collected from the top 10 cm of a long-term P fertilizer field trial located in Beijing (39°59′N, 116°17′E) and sieved to 5 mm. The trial comprised two P regimes: P0 (low P, i.e., no P fertilization) and P80 (high P, i.e., 80 kg P ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). We collected the soil samples 20 years after the start of the field trial. The soil is a calcareous Cambisol (FAO-UNESCO, 1989). The low P soil had a pH (water) of 7.59, 7.6 g kg⁻¹ of soil organic matter, 1.3 mg kg⁻¹ of Olsen-P, 13.5 mg kg⁻¹ of available N, and 70.0 mg kg⁻¹ of NH₄OAc-K. The high P soil properties were as follows: pH (water) 7.43, soil organic matter 13.5 g kg⁻¹, Olsen-P 22.6 mg kg⁻¹, available N 23.7 mg kg⁻¹, and NH₄OAC-K 98.8 mg kg⁻¹.

2.2 Experimental setup

2.2.1 Experiment 1

A pot experiment with five cropping treatments under two contrasted P levels was conducted to investigate how the root traits of the wild and domesticated barley respond to faba bean growing as a neighbor plant (Supplementary Figures 1A, C). The cropping treatments included WB, DB, and faba bean (FB) grown alone and two barley genotypes mixed with faba bean (WB/FB and DB/FB) in three replicates (30 pots in total). Each pot was filled with 2.5 kg of pre-prepared soil. When grown alone, barley was sown at four seeds per pot and faba bean was sown at two seeds per pot. To maintain the principle of substitution, the density of each species in the mixture was only half that of the sole crops. All the pots were arranged in a completely randomized design, with weekly randomization during the experiment.

2.2.2 Experiment 2

A rhizobox experiment was conducted to investigate rhizosphere acid phosphatase of barley in different genotypes grown alone and with faba bean. The design of the 30-cm \times 30cm \times 1.5-cm Perspex boxes with a removable side (Supplementary Figure 1B) allowed access to the roots to observe the spatial and temporal patterns of acid phosphatase with zymography (methods modified from Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2013). The soil properties and weight, choices of barley and faba bean, and cropping pattern in Exp. 2 were the same as in Exp. 1 (Supplementary Figure 1A), with five replicates (50 rhizoboxes in total). Each rhizobox was inclined at a 45° angle to encourage root growth over the soil surface. The water content in the rhizoboxes was maintained at 60% of field water-holding capacity throughout the experiment by weighing the rhizoboxes weekly.

To ensure that the soil nutrients can meet the needs of plant growth, the basic elements were added as a nutrient solution (mg kg⁻¹) for both experiments: N-CO(NH₂)₂ 200, K-K₂SO₄ 100, Ca-CaCl₂·2H₂O 200, Mg-MgSO₄·7H₂O 50, Fe-EDTA-FeNa 5, Mn-MnSO₄·4H₂O 5, Zn-ZnSO₄·7H₂O 5, Cu-CuSO₄·5H₂O 5, B-H₃BO₃ 0.68, and Mo-Na₂MoO₄·5H₂O 0.12. No additional sources of phosphorus were applied. Both experiments were conducted simultaneously from 15 January to 16 February 2022 in a glasshouse at Sichuan Agricultural University (30°57′N, 104°06′ E). The temperature range was 25°C–30°C during the day and 18° C–22°C at night, and relative humidity was 60%–80%.

2.3 Harvest and measurements

Plants were harvested 30 days after sowing in Exp. 1. We collected all visible roots in each pot and carefully distinguished them for different species in the plant mixtures. For soil samples, we collected both the rhizosphere and bulk soil according to the definition and methods of Hinsinger (2001).

We measured seven root functional traits (Supplementary Table 1) associated with P acquisition and P mobilization. Root morphological traits included total root length (TRL), root branching intensity (RootBr), specific root length (SRL), and average root diameter (RootDiam). Root functional traits for P mobilization included the amounts of carboxylates and acid phosphatase activity in the rhizosphere (APase). Root colonization by AMF (MC) was also determined.

2.3.1 Root morphological traits

The root samples were washed with deionized water and kept at 4°C for analysis. TRL and average RootDiam were analyzed with the software WinRHIZO 2009 (Regent Instruments Inc., Quebec, QC, Canada), based on the scanned images of the whole root system of each plant using a root scanner (ScanMaker i800 Plus, Microtek, USA). More than 10 intact root samples composed of first and second order roots were chosen randomly for RootBr measurements (Freschet and Roumet, 2017). The details of measuring and calculation of the root branching intensity are described by Wen et al. (2019) and Kong et al. (2014). SRL was calculated as the ratio of root length of the whole root system and its dry mass.

2.3.2 Root exudation traits

Roots with rhizosphere were then transferred to a vial containing 50 ml of 0.2 mM CaCl₂ and gently shaken to determine carboxylate and acid phosphatase exuation (Pearse et al., 2007). The root exuates of carboxylates and APase activity were analyzed following the methods of Neumann (2006) and Shen et al. (2003).

2.3.3 Root colonization by AMF

Thirty root segments (1-cm-long) were randomly selected and cleared with 10% (m/v) KOH solution in a 90°C water bath for 50 min, acidified with 2% (v/v) HCl for 5 min at room temperature, and then stained with Trypan blue reagent in a 90°C water bath for 30 min (Philips & Hayman, 1970). Then, the root segments were observed under a light microscope (Vierheilig et al., 1998). The colonization of AMF (%) was calculated according to the method of Trouvelot et al. (1985).

2.3.4 Plant biomass and nutrient analysis

The shoots and roots were oven-dried at 105°C for 30 min and then at 65°C to a constant weight and weighed for mass determination. The dried plant samples were crushed and sieved, digested by H_2SO_4 - H_2O_2 , and the P content was determined by vanadium-molybdenum yellow colorimetry (Johnson and Ulrich, 1959).

2.3.5 Soil P availability analyses

Both the rhizosphere and bulk soil subsamples were air-dried and ground to <2 mm. Soil available P was extracted with NaHCO₃ (0.5 M, pH = 8.5) and then acidified with 37% (v/v) HCl to precipitate organic matter. The phosphorus content in the extract was determined by the malachite green method at 630 nm wavelength (Ohno and Zibilske, 1991).

2.3.6 Soil zymography

Zymography, as an in-situ non-destructive technique, was performed to test the spatial and temporal patterns of acid phosphatase (ACP) as affected by genotypes and plant interactions at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after plant sowing in the rhizobox experiment. The measurement of soil enzyme activity was at a consistent location throughout the study, as we labeled the tested root zone between plants. The fluorescently labeled substrate 4-methylumbelliferyl-phosphate (MUF) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2013) was dissolved in MES buffer (C₆H₁₃NO₄SNa_{0.5}) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) to a concentration of 10 mM (Koch et al., 2007). The membrane (0.45 µm pore size, 20 cm diameter) was completely saturated with the substrate solution and then dried in a shade. The rhizoboxes were opened, and the soil surface was covered with the membrane in the root observation area for 1 h (Razavi et al., 2016). Afterward, the membrane was placed in a dark box, the UV lamp was turned on, and fluorescent photographs were taken at 8 s exposure; all filming conditions were consistent during the experiment. Rootassociated area analysis (as described by Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2013) was used to measure the root-associated enzyme activity. The details of image analysis were described by Schofield et al. (2019).

A calibration curve was established to relate the enzyme activity to the fluorescence intensity of the images. The calibration curves were prepared by soaking the membranes $(2 \times 2 \text{ cm})$ in the increased MUF concentrations (0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 3, and 6mM), using the same procedure as in the experiment. The amount of MUF absorbed on the membrane was calculated based on the concentration and volume of the solution (Liu et al., 2017).

2.4 Statistical analysis

For Exp. 1, we first tested whether P levels, cropping system (sole *vs.* mixture), and barley genotype (wild *vs.* domesticated) affected root functional traits using linear models. Then, we tested whether root functional traits were influenced by barley genotypes within the P level with Student's *t*-test ($p \le 0.05$). To characterize the plasticity of seven root functional traits of the two genotypes of barley (wild *vs.* domesticated) in response to faba bean grown as a neighboring plant, we calculated the response ratio (RR) of each root trait (Valladares et al., 2006; Li et al., 2019). The RR was defined as the extent of root response grown as a sole crop and mixtures, using the following equation:

$$RR = \sum \frac{(R_{i'j'} - R_{ij})}{(R_{i'j'} + R_{ij})} / n$$

Where $R_{i'j}$ and R_{ij} are the root traits of species grown as a mixture and sole crop, respectively. The *i*' and *i* represent the given species grown as a mixture and sole crop. The *j*' and *j* are two randomly selected individuals from three replicates of the same genotype in the mixture and sole crop, respectively. The *n* is the number of $R_{i'j}$ - R_{ij} values. The difference ($p \le 0.05$) in RR values between the two genotypes of barely grown with faba bean was tested with Student's *t*-test.

In Exp. 2, differences in the hotspot of APase activity between two P level treatments and between wild and domesticated barley grown as sole or mixture with faba bean were tested with the analysis of variance (ANOVA).

To test whether domestication of barley influenced plant growth and P uptake benefits in the barley and faba bean mixture, we calculated land equivalent ratio (LER) and net effect (NE) in plant biomass (LER_B and NE_B) and P uptake (NE_B and NE_P) and tested the differences between the two mixtures using Student's *t*-test. The equations and the definition of LER and NE calculation are shown in the Supplementary Information (S1-Eq. 1, 2).

To clarify how domestication of barley determined the effectiveness of root traits in plant growth and P uptake, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to determine the multivariate ordination of seven plant traits of two barley genotypes in two mixtures and under two P conditions. Pearson's correlation analysis was conducted to test the relationships among root functional traits. The analyses were performed with Origin (OriginPro, Version 2023. OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Plant growth and P uptake benefits in the species mixture

At the harvest, WB had significantly higher biomass than DB grown either as a sole crop or in mixture under low P (Figure 1A). In contrast, DB had better plant performance than WB both in sole

crop and mixture at high P condition (Figure 1A). Faba bean grown with WB had 1.23-fold higher biomass than grown with DB under low P, while faba bean with DB had higher biomass than grown with WB under high P (Figure 1B). As for P uptake, DB had significantly higher uptake than WB when grown as sole, while WB had 1.15-fold higher P uptake than DB in the mixtures under low P (Figure 1C). We observed higher P uptake for faba bean grown with WB than with DB under low P (Figure 1D).

In the low P treatment, we found benefits in the plant biomass (LER_B, NE_B) and P uptake (LER_P, NE_P) for both WB/ faba bean and DB/faba bean, whereby LER_B and LER_P were higher than 1 and NE_B and NE_P were higher than 0 (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). The WB/faba bean had better performance in biomass and P uptake than DB/faba bean (1.1fold for each LER_B and LER_P and 44.9 and 0.21 mg pot⁻¹ for NE_B and NE_P, respectively). Under P sufficiency, no plant biomass and P uptake benefits were observed for the two species mixtures (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

3.2 Root functional traits in response to neighbors under two P levels

The genotypes of barley (wild *vs.* domesticated) and phosphorus (P) levels significantly affected the root functional traits of barley (p< 0.01; Table 2 and Figures 2, 3). Under P deficiency, WB had significantly higher TRL, SRL, and RootBr than DB (Figures 2A, C, E). The rhizosphere exudation of APase was 1.45-fold higher in WB than DB at low P (Figure 2C). When P supply was sufficient, faba bean had significantly higher SRL (Figure 2E) and rhizosphere APase (Figure 3C), but lower rhizosphere carboxylates than DB (Figure 3A). The sufficient soil P availability significantly increased the amount of rhizosphere carboxylates in barley (Figure 3A) but decreased the remaining five root functional traits of barley and faba bean grown either as a sole crop or as mixtures (Supplementary Table 3 and Figures 2, 3).

The response pattern of root functional traits to neighbors could be assessed by RR (Figure 4). Both WB and DB showed a



FIGURE 1

Biomass (A, B) and P uptake (C, D) for barley and faba bean grown as sole crops and mixtures under contrasting P conditions. The open and closed bars represent plants grown as soles and mixtures, respectively. Values are the means of three replicates. The error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) of the mean. Within the P treatment, asterisks denote a significant difference between the wild and domesticated barley or faba bean grown with them (significance: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ns, not significant). FB(WB), faba bean in FB/WB; FB(DB), faba bean in FB/DB; Sole, WB, DB, and FB grown alone; Mix, faba bean grown with WB and faba bean grown with DB.

Phosphorus level	Cropping system	LER _B	LER _P	NE_{B} (mg pot ⁻¹)	NE_{P} (mg pot ⁻¹)
LP	WB/FB	1.43 a	1.29 a	323.8 a	0.96 a
	DB/FB	1.30 b	1.17 b	278.9 b	0.75 b
HP	WB/FB	0.92 A	0.96 A	-245.4 A	-0.53 A
	DB/FB	0.89 A	0.97 A	-198.7 B	-0.97 B

TABLE 1 Land equivalent ratio (LER), net effect (NE) based on plant biomass and P uptake, and competitive balance index (CBI) of barley (wild barley or domesticated barley)/faba bean intercropping under two contrasting P inputs.

Values represent the means of three replicates. For a given P fertilizer level, different letters indicate a significant difference between WB/FB and DB/FB (p< 0.05). LER_B, LER based on plant biomass; LER_P, LER based on P uptake; NE_B, NE based on biomass; NE_P, NE based on P uptake; LP, low P; HP, high P; WB, wild barley; DB, domesticated barley; FB,

faba bean.

positive response to faba bean grown as a neighboring plant in root morphology, root exudation, and mycorrhizal colonization under low P treatment (Figure 4A). The values of RR for DB were close to zero, except for carboxylates (Figure 4A). The domestication of barley significantly affected the magnitude of RR to faba bean: WB had a significantly higher increase in RTL, RootBr, and SRL than DB (1.3-, 2.6-, and 2.0-fold, respectively), whereas DB had significantly increased higher exudation (1.45-fold) and mycorrhizal colonization (2.75-fold) than WB. The response patterns indicated that WB had a high plasticity of root morphological traits, whereas DB had a high plasticity in root exudation and mycorrhizal colonization in response to faba bean. For faba bean, the only negative RR value was for TRL, and the RR values of RootBr, SRL, and MC approached zero (Figure 4B). We assumed that faba bean had low plasticity in root morphological traits and mycorrhizal colonization. When faba bean was mixed with WB, it had a positive RR value of carboxylate exudation (significantly higher than that in the faba bean/DB mixture) (Figure 4B). The RR values of six functional traits (except mycorrhizal colonization) for barley and the SRL for faba bean were positive (but close to zero), indicating low plasticity of root traits under sufficient P supply (Figures 4C, D).

The hotspots of APase activity were the highest and nearest to the root axis, as indicated by the brightest area (Supplementary Figures 3, 4). At high P, the APase activity pattern remained constant, with only a slight increase at 21 days across all the treatments (Figures 5B, D; Supplementary Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 3). There was no significant difference in APase activity between the different genotypes of barley and faba bean grown as a sole crop or as mixtures under sufficient P (Figures 5B, D). By contrast, the hotspots of APase were more dispersed and increased with time both around the root axis and away from the root at low P (Figures 5A, C; Supplementary Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 2). For barley, the hotspots of APase were significantly higher for WB than DB at 14, 21, and 28 days (1.38-, 1.48-, and 2.0-fold, respectively) (Figure 5A). However, this difference disappeared in the mixtures with faba bean at 14 days (Figure 4A). The APase activity pattern of faba bean was similar regardless of whether it was grown with WB or DB from 7 to 21 days. On day 28, faba bean grown with DB had slightly but significantly increased APase activity relative to faba bean grown with WB (*p*< 0.05) (Figure 5C).

3.3 Multivariate coordination

As for WB/faba bean at low P, PCA based on nine plant traits explained 94.6% of the variation. The first principal component (PC1) was primarily determined by AMF colonization, two Pmobilizing exudation traits, and shoot P content, accounting for 78.2% of the total variation. The second principal component (PC2) accounted for 16.4% of the total variation and was determined mainly by shoot biomass, TRL, root branching intensity, and SRL (Figure 6A). WB and faba bean grown alone or as a mixture were clustered and distinctly separated, indicating dissimilar patterns in root functional traits in response to low P among species and cropping patterns. By contrast, we only observed DB and faba bean distinctly separated into two groups at low P (Figure 6B). Figure 6B shows that TRL, RootBr, and SRL scored high on the PC1 (73.2%) and the root exudate-related parameters and shoot P content accounted for the PC2 (10.5%; Figure 6B). Barley was in the direction of TRL, RootBr, and SRL, whereas faba bean was clustered and scattered in the direction of the root exudation trait for the two combinations under low P conditions.

In WB/faba bean at high P, PC1 dominantly comprised the root morphological trait parameters, accounting for 63.7% of the variability; PC2 represented 31.8% of the variance and mainly included AMF symbiosis, two P-mobilizing exudation traits, and shoot P content (Figure 6C). In DB/faba bean at high P, PC1 and PC2 represented 78.5% and 10.5%, respectively. The mycorrhizal colonization and root morphological traits were mainly on the first axis, whereas carboxylate exudation, RootDiam, shoot P content, and biomass scored high on the second axis (Figure 6D).

4 Discussion

4.1 Root trait variation between wild and domesticated genotypes

The shifts in root functional traits with domestication were illustrated when we compared the root traits between wild and domesticated barley (Figures 2–4). Specifically, wild barley was characterized by higher TRL, SRL, RootBr, and APase activity under low P conditions (Figures 1, 2). The contrasting patterns in root functional traits represent different P acquisition strategies

Species	Barley								
Cropping pattern	Sole barley, mixture								
P levels	LP, HP								
Parameter	TRL	RootBr	SRL	Carb	APase	MC			
Barley genotype (G)	0.297	0.199	0.305	0.552	0.007	0.417			
Cropping pattern (CP)	0.038	0.053	0.314	0.505	0.593	0.662			
P levels (P)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
$G \times CP$	0.118	0.102	0.537	0.857	0.057	0.822			
$G \times P$	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
$CP \times P$	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001			
$G \times CP \times P$	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
Species	Faba bean								
Cropping pattern	Sole faba bean, mixture								
P levels	LP, HP								
Parameter	TRL	RootBr	SRL	Carb	APase	МС			
Barley genotype (G)	0.189	0.169	0.855	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
P levels (P)	0.085	0.019	0.932	0.517	0.736	0.853			
$G \times P$	0.043	0.041	0.033	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001			
Cropping pattern	Intercrop								
Species	Barley, faba bean								
P levels	LP, HP								
Parameter	TRL	RootBr	SRL	Carb	APase	MC			
Barley genotype (G)	0.539	0.595	0.593	0.950	0.587	0.909			
P levels (P)	0.074	0.231	0.041	0.131	<0.001	<0.001			
Species (S)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	0.004	0.038			
$G \times P$	0.258	0.631	0.2145	0.513	0.003	<0.001			
$P \times S$	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001			
$G \times P \times S$	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001			

TABLE 2 The *p*-values of the linear model analyzing six root functional traits.

The subsets were created for the cropping pattern (sole barley, mixture, sole faba bean), phosphorus levels (LP and HP for low and high, respectively), and species (barley, faba bean). Given are the p-values for the factors of barley genotype, cropping pattern, P levels, species, and their interactions. Bold p-values indicate the significant factors and interactions at $p \le 0.05$. Root functional traits: TRL, total root length; RootBr, root branching intensity; SRL, specific root length; Carb, the total amounts of carboxylates in the rhizosphere; APase, acid phosphatase activity in the rhizosphere; MC, colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi.

between WB and DB, which may result from their distinct environment of habitat/growth. Wild relatives usually grow under conditions with nutrient (including P) constraints and/or with high heterogeneous nutrient distribution (Lambers et al., 2008), while the modern genotypes were commonly selected in soils rich in available nutrients (Martín-Robles et al., 2019; Isaac et al., 2021). Thus, wild relatives with higher SRL and root branching intensity (i.e., competitive root morphological traits) may enable their survival in the wild conditions by a fast resource foraging strategy (Kembel et al., 2008). In addition, differing from intensive agricultural landscapes with high chemical fertilizer inputs, soil nutrients in natural habitats of wild relatives were rich in organic forms (e.g., P), and sparingly soluble organic P cannot be absorbed by plants without hydrolyzing to soluble inorganic phosphate (Richardson et al., 2011); thus, increased root exudation of acid phosphatases may contribute to enhance the mobilization of soil organic P for wild barley.

Both WB and DB in this study benefited from AMF colonization grown as a sole crop under low P, which is in line with the study of Martín-Robles et al. (2018) who found that wild progenitors relied on AMF regardless of deficient or sufficient P in comparison with 27 crop species. However, the domestication of barley is more mycorrhizalresponsive than wild genotypes, which were characterized by a fine root system. The higher mycorrhizal colonization and root carboxylate exudation under low P conditions in DB indicated that the lack of



and mixtures under contrasting P conditions. The open and closed bars represent plants grown as soles and mixtures, respectively. Values are the means of three replicates. The error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) of the mean. Within the P treatment, asterisks denote a significant difference between the wild and domesticated barley or faba bean grown with them (significance: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ns, not significant). FB(WB), faba bean in FB/WB; FB(DB), faba bean in FB/DB; Sole, WB, DB, and FB grown alone; Mix, faba bean grown with WB and faba bean grown with DB.

functional traits (i.e., rapid root growth and APase exudation) caused shifts to other traits (carboxylate exudation and mycorrhizal colonization in this study) with complementary functions under low P conditions. This supported the finding that domestication might have led to the evolution toward resource-acquisitive strategies under higher resource input (Martín-Robles et al., 2018). The strength and direction of the response of mycorrhizal colonization to soil P availability were similar for the two barley genotypes. These traits may, however, have high carbon cost, and their development may be downregulated if the respective function is not required (Raven et al., 2018). Under adequate soil P availability, the importance of mycorrhizal colonization was reduced in domesticated barley. Our result showed that both the wild and domesticated barley, based on inherent trade-offs of root functional traits, develop a complementary capacity to acquire P present at different availabilities. However, the effect of domestication on root traits shifts and the trade-off is diverse.



The amount of rhizosphere carboxylates per dry weight (A, B), activity of acid phosphatases (C, D), and mycorrhizal colonization (MC; E, F) for barley and faba bean as sole crops and mixtures under contrasting P conditions. The open and closed bars represent plants grown as sole crops and mixtures. Values are the means of three replicates. The error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) of the mean. Within the P treatment, asterisks denote a significant difference between the wild and domesticated barley or faba bean grown with them (significant level: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ns, not significant). FB(WB), faba bean in FB/WB; FB(DB), faba bean in FB/DB; Sole, WB, DB, and FB grown alone; Mix, FB grown with WB and FB grown with DB.

4.2 Domestication of barley mediated root trait plasticity in response to neighbors

Our hypothesis that domestication shapes the plasticity of root traits has been proven, indicated by barley variation in terms of plasticity of root traits in response to faba bean grown as a neighboring plant under low P conditions (Figure 3). WB exhibited greater root plasticity in root morphological traits, while DB had a greater increase in root exudation of carboxylates and mycorrhizal colonization (Figure 3A). Except for APase activity, the remaining five root functional traits of barley, namely, TRL, RootBr, SRL, carboxylates, and MC, displayed appreciable positive responses to neighboring faba bean (Figure 3A). These changes in root morphological traits in WB might improve the ability of the roots to forage for bioavailable P in the soil and reduce energy use in construction consumption, which help wild barley to adapt to soil containing a low concentration of readily exchangeable inorganic phosphate (Lynch, 2019). In our study, we found that WB had higher P uptake than DB with neighboring faba bean, which increased P availability *via* root exudation (Figure 1B and



Supplementary Figure 1). We assumed that the variation in root traits in response to the neighbor was due to inherent plasticity, and this study proved that it weakened in the course of domestication and affected P uptake. Understanding root plasticity is important for plant nutrient acquisition either grown as a sole crop or in mixtures at the species or genotypic level, due to the soil nutrient heterogeneity (Wang et al., 2020). Therefore, more studies are required to reveal the potential genetic basis of the plasticity of barley root during domestication for breeding to enhance nutrient use efficiency in the modern agricultural ecosystem.

Faba bean exhibited larger plasticity in P-mobilizing exudation than in root morphology when grown in mixtures (Figure 3B). This is in line with previous studies on 20 chickpea genotypes (Wen et al., 2020). Wild barley, as a neighboring plant (Callaway et al., 2003; Abakumova et al., 2016; Garlick et al., 2021), induced greater carboxylate exudation of faba bean than DB. However, when the P supply was sufficient, root trait plasticity in response to neighboring plants can be ignored for both WB and DB, with RR values near zero (Figures 3C, D). This indicated that nutrient availability mediated the magnitude of root trait plasticity in response to neighboring plants.

Our hypothesis that WB and DB grown with neighboring faba bean would have different spatial and temporal patterns of APase activity was not supported in the present study. We found the hotspots of APase activity increased steadily with time and in a similar pattern across all species (Figure 4). Hence, it seemed that plant–plant interaction did not change the temporal and spatial dynamics of APase activity. Schofield et al. (2019) also found that the temporal dynamics of soil enzyme activity was influenced only by the intracultivar plant–plant competition. Thus, we surmised that the inherent stability of the species was greater than the influence from neighboring species.



FIGURE 5

Enzymatic hotspot areas of acid phosphatase activities in soil under low (A, C) and high P (B, D) inputs. Significant differences between two barley genotypes or faba bean grown with them are indicated by asterisks (significance: * p< 0.05, ** p< 0.01, *** p< 0.001). Significant differences between sampling times are shown in Supplementary Table 2. FB(WB), faba bean in FB/WB; FB(DB), faba bean in FB/DB; Sole, WB, DB, and FB grown alone; Mix, FB grown with WB and FB grown with DB.

4.3 Phosphorus uptake benefits in two mixtures as influenced by the domestication of barley

We hypothesized that barley/faba bean intercrop only has plant growth and P uptake benefits at low P conditions. Substantial enhancement of resource use efficiency of the barley/faba bean intercropping system regardless of the genotype of barley was indicated by the land equivalent ratio for biomass (LER_B = $1.43 \pm$ 0.05; 1.30 ± 0.01) and the net effect for biomass (NE_B = 323.8 ± 18.2 ; 278.9 ± 3.2) for WB/faba bean and DB/faba bean, respectively, at low P (Table 1). This is consistent with previous studies, where barley/legume intercropping showed advantages in P uptake, but only under P limitation (Darch et al., 2018; Strydhorst et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2021). This indicated that P fertilizer input levels played crucial roles in P uptake benefits by mixtures through positive rhizosphere interactions.

Based on the mechanisms of enhanced P uptake in cereal/ legume intercrop (Li et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2022), our hypothesis was that faba bean, as a species with stronger P mobilization activity, grown with greater root morphological plasticity barely could exhibit higher P uptake benefits. The hypothesis was supported by WB/faba bean exhibiting significantly higher benefits in P uptake and plant biomass than DB/faba bean (Table 1). The relatively higher P uptake benefits of WB/faba bean can be attributed to two reasons. Firstly, greater positive root responses in TRL, RootBr, and SRL of wild barley enabled its higher P uptake, indicated by a positive correlation between shoot P content and root morphological traits in the WB/faba bean combination (Figure 6A). Secondly, higher P availability in the rhizosphere of the WB/faba bean mixture amplified such benefits (Supplementary Figure 2A), which was attributed to more exudation of carboxylates by faba bean grown with wild barley than with domesticated barley (Figure 6B; Hinsinger et al., 2011). Such plastic response of root



under low P (A, B) and high P (C, D) treatments.

traits in the WB/faba bean mixture is complementary to accessing more P under P deficiency. This is in line with previous studies in maize/faba bean (Zhang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020) and steppe species combinations (Yu et al., 2020). The authors found that maize proliferated roots in the proximity of faba bean roots that had greater P availability in the rhizosphere (Callaway and Li, 2019; Zhang et al., 2020), resulting in higher P uptake by the maize/faba bean mixture. As for the DB/faba bean combination, shoot P content and biomass relied predominantly on the root exudation traits of faba bean rather than that of barley (Figure 6B). This indicated that greater root plasticity in exudates of carboxylates was functionally redundant for the mixture when the neighboring faba bean could release greater carboxylates than barley. In addition, the greater root plasticity in carboxylate and mycorrhizal colonization was associated with higher carbon consumption compared with the root morphological changes (Wagg et al., 2015). Thus, non-Pmobilizing species with greater plasticity in nutrient-foraging traits are of great importance when grown with P-mobilizing species to enhance P uptake. Therefore, aside from the speciesspecific P acquisition strategies, it is also important to consider root functional plasticity, especially for non-P-mobilizing species, to achieve P facilitation in the species mixtures under low P supply.

Furthermore, domesticated barley and wild relative showed contrasting mixture effects with neighboring plants (i.e., faba bean), suggesting that crop domestication disrupts intercropping benefits. The general conclusion on how domestication affects yield and P uptake benefits in intercrops requires testing using a wider range of crop species and genotypes. Recent studies have proposed a "back to the roots" or "a return to the wild" framework to explore the microbiome assembly traits or root exudate traits of wild relatives of crop species which have been undermined during plant domestication (Pérez-Jaramillo et al., 2016; Preece and Peñuelas, 2020). The intercropping system, as a promising option to enhance resource use efficiency in intensive agriculture (Tilman, 2020), root morphological functional traits, and plasticity, should not be neglected in the framework of barley breeding for intercropping with legumes to enhance P uptake.

10.3389/fpls.2023.1153237

5 Conclusion

We focused on how the domestication of barley affects the root functional traits in response to contrasting soil P availability and neighboring faba bean. WB was characterized by root morphological traits with low carbon cost, including higher TRL, SRL, and RootBr to get access to P economically and efficiently. Furthermore, in response to neighboring faba bean, WB exhibited a large plasticity in root morphological traits (including TRL, SRL, and RootBr), whereas DB showed greater plasticity in root exudates of carboxylates and colonization by AMF. Finally, faba bean facilitated more P uptake when intercropped with wild barley, due to its larger root morphological plasticity than domesticated barley under low P supply. Overall, our study presented here can be a valuable addition to the understanding of domestication effects on root trait inherent trade-offs and its plasticity response to neighbors when selecting species combinations for enhanced P acquisition.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

XYT, ZWW and GDC designed the study. ZWW, SLH, RH, YJW and BL performed the experiment and collected the data. XYT and ZWW analyzed the data. QT, CQW, ZHW and JMK discussed the data and led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31972505, 31971944) to XT and GC and

References

Abakumova, M., Zobel, K., Lepik, A., and Semchenko, M. (2016). Plasticity in plant functional traits is shaped by variability in neighbourhood species composition. *New Phytol.* 211, 455–463. doi: 10.1111/nph.13935

Bulgarelli, D., Garrido-Oter, R., Münch, P. C., Weiman, A., Dröge, J., Pan, Y., et al. (2015). Structure and function of the bacterial root microbiota in wild and domesticated barley. *Cell Host Microbe* 17 (3), 392–403. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.011

Callaway, R. M., and Li, L. (2019). Decisions, decisions, decisions: Plant roots detect and respond to complex environmental cues. *New Phytol.* 226 (1), 244–253. doi: 10.1111/nph.16372

Callaway, R. M., Pennings, S. C., and Richards, C. L. (2003). Phenotypic plasticity and interactions among plants. *Ecology* 84 (5), 1115–1128. doi: 10.1890/0012-9658 (2003)084

Darch, T., Giles, C. D., Blackwell, M. S. A., George, T. S., Brown, L. K., Menezes-Blackburn, D., et al. (2018). Inter- and intra-species intercropping of barley cultivars and legume species, as affected by soil phosphorus availability. *Plant Soil* 427 (1), 125– 138. doi: 10.1007/s11104-017-3365-z Sichuan Science and Technology Program (grant number: 2022YFQ0091) to XT and KJ.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Haigang Li from Inner Mongolia Agricultural University for teaching us how to manipulate the zymography to investigate soil APase activity. We thank Dr. Usman Irshad from the Department of Environmental Sciences COMSATS University Islamabad of Pakistan for reading the manuscript and language editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1153237/ full#supplementary-material

Denison, R. F. (2012). Darwinian Agriculture: How understanding evolution can improve agriculture Vol. pp. 1–258) (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press).

Eshed, Y., and Lippman, Z. B. (2019). Revolutions in agriculture chart a course for targeted breeding of old and new crops. *Science* 366 (6466), eaax0025. doi: 10.1126/science.aax0025

FAO-UNESCO (1989). Soil map of the world at 1:5000000. 2nd (Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization).

File, A. L., Murphy, G. P., and Dudley, S. A. (2012). Fitness consequences of plants growing with siblings: Reconciling kin selection, niche partitioning and competitive ability. *Proc. R. Soc. B.: Biol. Sci.* 279 (1727), 209–218. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2011.1995

Freschet, G. T., and Roumet, C. (2017). Sampling roots to capture plant and soil functions. *Funct. Ecol.* 31 (8), 1506–1518. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12883

Garlick, K., Drew, R. E., and Rajaniemi, T. K. (2021). Root responses to neighbors depend on neighbor identity and resource distribution. *Plant Soil* 467 (1), pp.227–pp.237. doi: 10.1007/s11104-021-05083-9

Giles, C. D., Brown, L. K., Adu, M. O., Mezeli, M. M., Sandral, G. A., Simpson, R. J., et al. (2017). Response-based selection of barley cultivars and legume species for complementarity: Root morphology and exudation in relation to nutrient source. *Plant Sci.* 255, 12–28. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.11.002

Gioia, T., Nagel, K. A., Beleggia, R., Fragasso, M., Ficco, D. B., Pieruschka, R., et al. (2015). Impact of domestication on the phenotypic architecture of durum wheat under contrasting nitrogen fertilization. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 5519–5530. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv289

Guo, J. Y. (2017). *QTLs mapping for p efficiency-related traits in barley at p-deficiency* (Chengdu (Sichuan: Sichuan Agricultural University).

Henn, J. J., Buzzard, V., Enquist, B. J., Halbritter, A. H., Klanderud, K., Maitner, B. S., et al. (2018). Intraspecific trait variation and phenotypic plasticity mediate alpine plant species response to climate change. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01548

Hinsinger, P. (2001). Bioavailability of soil inorganic p in the rhizosphere as affected by root-induced chemical changes: a review. *Plant Soil* 237 (2), 173–195. doi: 10.1023/A:1013351617532

Hinsinger, P., Betencourt, E., Bernard, L., Brauman, A., Plassard, C., Shen, J., et al. (2011). P for two, sharing a scarce resource: Soil phosphorus acquisition in the rhizosphere of intercropped species. *Plant Physiol.* 156 (3), 1078–1086. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.175331

Hinsinger, P., Plassard, C., Tang, C., and Jaillard, B. (2003). Origins of root-mediated pH changes in the rhizosphere and their responses to environmental constraints: A review. *Plant Soil* 248 (1), 43–59. doi: 10.1023/A:1022371130939

Hodge, A. (2004). The plastic plant: root responses to heterogeneous supplies of nutrients. *New Phytol.* 162, 9–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01015.x

Iannucci, A., Fragasso, M., Beleggia, R., Nigro, F., and Papa, R. (2017). Evolution of the crop rhizosphere: Impact of domestication on root exudates in tetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum l.*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.02124

Isaac, M. E., Nimmo, V., Gaudin, A. C., Leptin, A., Schmidt, J. E., Kallenbach, C. M., et al. (2021). Crop domestication, root trait syndromes, and soil nutrient acquisition in organic agroecosystems: a systematic review. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 5. doi: 10.3389/ fsufs.2021.716480

Johnson, C. M., and Ulrich, A. (1959). 2. analytical methods for use in plant analysis. Bull. California Agric. Experiment Station 776, 26–78.

Kembel, S. W., De Kroon, H., Cahill, J. F.Jr., and Mommer, L. (2008). Improving the scale and precision of hypotheses to explain root foraging ability. *Ann. Bot.* 101 (9), 1295–1301. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcn044

Koch, O., Tscherko, D., and Kandeler, E. (2007). Temperature sensitivity of microbial respiration, nitrogen mineralization, and potential soil enzyme activities in organic alpine soils. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 21 (4), 1-11. doi: 10.1029/2007GB002983

Kong, D., Ma, C., Zhang, Q., Li, L., Chen, X., Zeng, H., et al. (2014). Leading dimensions in absorptive root trait variation across 96 subtropical forest species. *New Phytol.* 203, 863872. doi: 10.1111/nph.12842

Lambers, H., Raven, J. A., Shaver, G. R., and Smith, S. E. (2008). Plant nutrientacquisition strategies change with soil age. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23 (2), 95–103. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2007.10.008

Lepik, A., Abakumova, M., Zobel, K., and Semchenko, M. (2012). Kin recognition is density-dependent and uncommon among temperate grassland plants. *Funct. Ecol.* 26 (5), 1214–1220. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2012.02037.x

Li, L., Tilman, D., Lambers, H., and Zhang, F. S. (2014). Plant diversity and overyielding: insights from belowground facilitation of intercropping in agriculture. *New Phytol.* 203 (1), 63–69. doi: 10.1111/nph.12778

Li, H., Zhang, D., Wang, X., Li, H. G., Rengel, Z., and Shen, J. B. (2019). Competition between zea mays genotypes with different root morphological and physiological traits is dependent on phosphorus forms and supply patterns. *Plant Soil.* 434, 125–137. doi: 10.1007/s11104-018-3616-7

Liu, B., Li, H., Zhu, B., Koide, R. T., Eissenstat, D. M., and Guo, D. (2015). Complementarity in nutrient foraging strategies of absorptive fine roots and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi across 14 coexisting subtropical tree species. *New Phytol.* 208 (1), 125–136. doi: 10.1111/nph.13434

Liu, S., Razavi, B. S., Su, X., Maharjan, M., Zarebanadkouki, M., Blagodatskaya, E., et al. (2017). Spatio-temporal patterns of enzyme activities after manure application reflect mechanisms of niche differentiation between plants and microorganisms. *Soil Biol. Biochem* 112, 100–109. doi: 10.1126/science.1064088

Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J. P., and Hector, A. (2001). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Current knowledge and future challenges. *Science* 294 (5543), 804–808. doi: 10.1126/science.1064088

Lynch, J. P. (2011). Root phenes for enhanced soil exploration and phosphorus acquisition: Tools for future crops. *Plant Physiol.* 156 (3), 1041–1049. doi: 10.1104/ pp.111.175414

Lynch, J. P. (2019). Root phenotypes for improved nutrient capture: an underexploited opportunity for global agriculture. *New Phytol.* 223, 548–564. doi: 10.1111/nph.15738

Martín-Robles, N., Lehmann, A., Seco, E., Aroca, R., Rillig, M., and Milla, R. (2018). Impacts of domestication on the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis of 27 crop species. *New Phytol.* 218, 322–334. doi: 10.1111/nph.14962

Martín-Robles, N., Morente-López, J., Freschet, G., Poorter, H., Roumet, C., and Milla, R. (2019). Root traits of herbaceous crops: Pre-adaptation to cultivation or

evolution under domestication? Funct. Ecol. 33, 273-285. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13231

Meyer, R. S., DuVal, A. E., and Jensen, H. R. (2012). Patterns and processes in crop domestication: An historical review and quantitative analysis of 203 global food crops. *New Phytol.* 196 (1), 29–48. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04253.x

Milla, R., Morente-López, J., Alonso-Rodrigo, J. M., and Martín-Robles, N. (2014). Shifts and disruptions in resource-use trait syndromes during the evolution of herbaceous crops. *Proc. R. Soc B. Biol. Sci.* 281, 20141429. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.1429

Neumann, G. (2006). Quantitative determination of acid phosphatase activity in the rhizosphere and on the root surface. handbook of methods used in rhizosphere research (Birmensdorf, Switzerland: Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL), 79–85.

Ohno, T., and Zibilske, L. (1991). Determination of low concentrations of phosphorus in soil extracts using malachite green. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 55. doi: 10.2136/sssaj1991.03615995005500030046x

Pearse, S. J., Veneklaas, E. J., Cawthray, G., Bolland, M. D., and Lambers, H. (2007). Carboxylate composition of root exudates does not relate consistently to a crop species ability to use phosphorus from aluminium, iron or calcium phosphate sources. *New Phytol.* 173 (1), 181–190. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01897.x

Pérez-Jaramillo, J. E., Mendes, R., and Raaijmakers, J. M. (2016). Impact of plant domestication on rhizosphere microbiome assembly and functions. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 90, 635–644. doi: 10.1007/s11103-015-0337-7

Philips, J. M., and Hayman, D. S. (1970). Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal for rapid assessment of infection. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc* 55, 156–161.

Preece, C., and Peñuelas, J. (2020). A return to the wild: root exudates and food security. *Trends Plant Sci.* 25 (1), 14–21. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2019.09.010

Raven, J. A., Lambers, H., Smith, S. E., and Westoby, M. (2018). Costs of acquiring phosphorus by vascular land plants: Patterns and implications for plant coexistence. *New Phytol.* 217 (4), 1420–1427. doi: 10.1111/nph.14967

Razavi, B. S., Zarebanadkouki, M., Blagodatskaya, E., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2016). Rhizosphere shape of lentil and maize: Spatial distribution of enzyme activities. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 96, 229–237. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.02.020

Richardson, A. E., Lynch, J. P., Ryan, P. R., Delhaize, E., Smith, F. A., Smith, S. E., et al. (2011). Plant and microbial strategies to improve the phosphorus efficiency of agriculture. *Plant Soil* 349 (1), 121–156. doi: 10.1007/s11104-011-0950-4

Schofield, E. J., Brooker, R. W., Rowntree, J. K., Price, E. A. C., Brearley, F. Q., and Paterson, E. (2019). Plant-plant competition influences temporal dynamism of soil microbial enzyme activity. soil biol. *Biochem* 139, 107615. doi: 10.1016/ j.soilbio.2019.107615

Shen, J., Rengel, Z., Tang, C., and Zhang, F. (2003). Role of phosphorus nutrition in development of cluster roots and release of carboxylates in soil-grown lupinus albus. *Plant Soil* 248 (1), 199–206. doi: 10.1023/A:1022375229625

Smith, S. E., and Read, D. J. (2008). *Mycorrhizal symbiosis. 3rd ed* (London, UK: Academic Press).

Spohn, M., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2013). Distribution of microbial- and root-derived phosphatase activities in the rhizosphere depending on p availability and c allocation-coupling soil zymography with $^{14}\mathrm{C}$ imaging. Soil Biol. Biochem. 67, 106–113. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.08.015

Streit, J., Meinen, C., and Rauber, R. (2019). Intercropping effects on root distribution of eight novel winter faba bean geno-types mixed with winter wheat. *Field Crops Res.* 235, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2019.02.014

Strydhorst, S. M., King, J. R., Lopetinsky, K. J., and Harker, K. N. (2008). Forage potential of intercropping barley with faba bean, lupin, or field pea. *Agron. J.* 100 (1), 182–190. doi: 10.2134/agronj2007.0197

Tang, X. Y., Zhang, C. C., Yu, Y., Shen, J. B., van der Werf, W., and Zhang, F. S. (2021). Intercropping legumes and cereals increases phosphorus use efficiency; a metaanalysis. *Plant Soil* 460 (1), 89–104. doi: 10.1007/s11104-020-04768-x

Tilman, D. (2020). Benefits of intensive agricultural intercropping. Nat. Plants 6 (6), 604–605. doi: 10.1038/s41477-020-0677-4

Trouvelot, A., Kough, J., and Gianinazzi-Pearson, V. (1985). ""Mesure du taux de mycorhization VA d'un système radiculaire. recherche de méthode d'estimation ayant une signification fonctionnelle", "in Gianinazzi-Pearson, V., and Gianinazzi, S. (eds) Physiological and genetical aspects of mycorrhizae: proceedings of the 1st European symposium on mycorrhizae, Dijon (Paris: INRA press), 217–221.

Valladares, F., Sanchez-Gomez, D. A. V. I. D., and Zavala, M. A. (2006). Quantitative estimation of phenotypic plasticity: Bridging the gap between the evolutionary concept and its ecological applications. *J. Ecol.* 94 (6), 1103–1116. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2006.01176.x

Vance, C. P., Uhde-Stone, C., and Allan, D. L. (2003). Phosphorus acquisition and use: Critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. *New Phytol.* 157 (3), 423–447. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00695.x

Vierheilig, H., Coughlan, A. P., Wyss, U., and Piche, Y. (1998). Ink and vinegar, a simple staining technique for arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64 (12), 5004–5007. doi: 10.1128/AEM.64.12.5004-5007.1998

Wagg, C., Veiga., R., and van der Heijden, M. G. (2015). "Facilitation and antagonism in mycorrhizal networks," in *Mycorrhizal networks*. Ed. T. R. Horton (New York, NY: USA: Springer), 203–226.

Wang, X., Whalley, W. R., Miller, A. J., White, P. J., Zhang, F. S., and Shen, J. B. (2020). Sustainable cropping requires adaptation to a heterogeneous rhizosphere. *Trends Plant Sci.* 25 (12), 1194–1202. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2020.07.006

Wen, Z. H., Li, H. B., Shen, Q., Tang, X. M., Xiong, C. Y., Li, H. G., et al. (2019). Tradeoffs among root morphology, exudation and mycorrhizal symbioses for phosphorus-acquisition strategies of 16 crop species. *New Phytol.* 223 (2), 882–895. doi: 10.1111/nph.15833

Wen, Z. H., Pang, J. Y., Tueux, G., Liu, Y., Shen, J. B., Ryan, M. H., et al. (2020). Contrasting patterns in biomass allocation, root morphology and mycorrhizal symbiosis for phosphorus acquisition among 20 chickpea genotypes with different amounts of rhizosheath carboxylates. *Funct. Ecol.* 34 (7), 1311–1324. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13562

Westoby, M., and Wright, I. J. (2006). Land-plant ecology on the basis of functional traits. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 21 (5), 261–268. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2006.02.004

Yu, R. P., Lambers, H., Callaway, R. M., Wright, A. J., and Li, L. (2021). Belowground facilitation and trait matching: two or three to tango? *Trends Plant Sci.* 26 (12), 1227–1235. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2021.07.014

Yu, R. P., Li, X. X., Xiao, Z. H., Lambers, H., and Li, L. (2020). Phosphorus facilitation and covariation of root traits in steppe species. *New Phytol.* 226 (5), 1285–1298. doi: 10.1111/nph.16499

Yu, R. P., Yang, H., Xing, Y., Zhang, W. P., Lambers, H., and Li, L. (2022). Belowground processes and sustainability in agroecosystems with intercropping. *Plant Soil* 476 (1), 263–288. doi: 10.1007/s11104-022-05487-1

Zhang, D. S., Lyu, Y., Li, H. B., Tang, X. Y., Hu, R., Rengel, Z., et al. (2020). Neighbouring plants modify maize root foraging for phosphorus: coupling nutrients and neighbours for improved nutrient-use efficiency. *New Phytol.* 226 (1), 244–253. doi: 10.1111/nph.16206

Zhang, D. S., Zhang, C. C., Tang, X. Y., Li, H. B., Zhang, F. S., Rengel, Z., et al. (2016). Increased soil phosphorus availability induced by faba bean root exudation stimulates root growth and phosphorus uptake in neighbouring maize. *New Phytol.* 209 (2), 823– 831. doi: 10.1111/nph.13613