#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Miroslav Obornik, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (ASCR), Czechia

REVIEWED BY Iva Mozgová, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (ASCR), Czechia Wenlei Wang, Jimei University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Dongmei Wang Wangdm@ouc.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 04 January 2023 ACCEPTED 12 April 2023 PUBLISHED 16 May 2023

#### CITATION

Zhang Z, Qian H, Wang Z, Pang Y, Guan X, Poetsch A and Wang D (2023) Characterization of histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases and their roles in response to dehydration stress in *Pyropia yezoensis* (Rhodophyta). *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1133021. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1133021

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhang, Qian, Wang, Pang, Guan, Poetsch and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Characterization of histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases and their roles in response to dehydration stress in *Pyropia yezoensis* (Rhodophyta)

Zehao Zhang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Huijuan Qian<sup>1,2†</sup>, Zhongshi Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Ying Pang<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaowei Guan<sup>1,2</sup>, Ansgar Poetsch<sup>1,3</sup> and Dongmei Wang<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Marine Genetics and Breeding (OUC), Ministry of Education, Qingdao, China, <sup>2</sup>College of Marine Life Sciences, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Biochemistry, Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany

Histone acetylation is one of the most pivotal epigenetic mechanisms in eukaryotes and has been tightly linked to the regulation of various genes controlling growth, development and response to environmental stresses in both animals and plants. Till date, the association of histone acetylation to dehydration stress in red algae and genes encoding the enzymes responsible for histone acetylation: histone acetyltransferases (HATs) or histone deacetylases (HDACs), remains largely unknown. In this study, in silico analysis of the red seaweed Pyropia yezoensis identified 6 HAT genes and 10 HDAC genes. These genes displayed good synteny in genome loci with their Pyropia haitanensis orthologs except for a putative gene duplication event in HDAC and a loss of one HAT gene in P. yezoensis. According to the conserved domains and phylogenetic analysis, they encoded three GCNA5-, one TAFII250- and one MYST-HAT, as well as five HDA1-and five SIRT-HDACs. The sirtuin-domain of Pv06502 harbored a  $\sim 100$  aa insert and interestingly, this insertion was specifically observed in Bangiales species. Two nuclear-localized HATs were transcriptionally up-regulated at the early stage of dehydration and so were two nuclear HDA1s when moderate dehydration started, suggesting their potential roles in modulating downstream gene expression to facilitate dehydration adaptation by changing histone acetylation patterns on relevant regulatory elements. This was experimentally confirmed by the increased decline in photosynthesis efficiency during dehydration when HAT and HDAC activities were inhibited by SAHA and MB-3, respectively. Transcriptional patterns of multiple dehydration-responsive genes after water loss were strongly affected by MB-3 or SAHA treatment. This study provides the first insight into the regulation and function of HAT/HDAC during stress adaptation in red algae.

#### KEYWORDS

*Pyropia*, histone acetylation, histone acetyltransferase, histone deacatylases, dehydration (drought stress), red algae

### **1** Introduction

Eukaryotic nuclear DNA is organized into a DNA-protein complex called chromatin. The nucleosome, comprised by 146 bp of DNA tightly surrounding an octamer of four histone proteins (H3, H4, H2A, and H2B), is the basic structural unit of chromatin (Vergara and Gutierrez, 2017). The affinity between DNA and histones in nucleosome hinders the recognition and binding of transcriptional regulators to the DNA locus, thereby repressing the initiation of gene expression (Chen and Tian, 2007). The acetylation of lysine residues on the amino-terminal tails of histone proteins neutralizes the charges and dramatically reduces the affinity of the tail for DNA (Shen et al., 2015). The resulting loosening of the histone complex from the DNA makes the DNA region accessible to the transcription machinery (Pfluger and Wagner, 2007). Thus, histone acetylation is an important epigenetic mechanism in modulating gene expression and plays vital roles in growth, development and response to biotic and abiotic stresses in animals and plants.

Histone acetylation and deacetylation are catalyzed by histone acetyltransferase (HAT) and histone deacetylase (HDAC) (Kuo and Allis, 1998) respectively. So far, genome-wide identification of HAT and HDAC genes has been achieved in many animal and plant species (Chu and Chen, 2018; Fellous et al., 2019). HATs are subdivided into four families: the general non-depressible GCN5-related N-terminal acetyltransferase (GNAT), the MYST family consisting of MOZ, YBF2, SAS3 and TIP60, the CREB (cAMP-responsive element-binding protein)-binding protein family (CBP), as well as the TATA-binding protein-associated factor 1 (TAFII250) family. HDACs in eukaryotes are usually organized into two main groups based on the domain composition: the yeast-reduced potassium dependency 3 RPD3/ HDA1 superfamily and the Sirtuins (SIRT) family (Pandey et al., 2002). The SIRT family was divided into five subfamilies: class I, class II, class III, class IV and class U. Class U exists in several firmicute (gram positive) bacteria and Thermotoga maritima with sirtuin gene sequences that seem intermediate between classes II and III and the classes I and IV. Classes I, II, III, and IV occur across a wide range of organisms, including prokaryotes, fungi, algae, plants and animals (Frye, 2000). Plants and some streptophyte green algae also express an additional plant-specific HDAC family, termed type-2 HDACs (HD2) (Bourque et al., 2016).

A wealth of studies revealed that *HATs* and *HDACs* are involved in acclimating environmental abiotic stresses, by reforming the chromatin structure and directing transcriptional changes. GCN5 was first characterized in corn and its expression increased under salt stress conditions (Zheng et al., 2019). Transcription of four *HATs* in rice was significantly induced by drought stress and hyperacetylated lysine residues of histone H3 (Fang et al., 2014). Drought stress also induces different expression patterns of *HDAC* genes, resulting in changes in the levels of histone acetylation of drought response genes. In some plants, histone deacetylase HDA6 was found to be the ON/OFF switch of an essential drought-responsive network to stimulate the jasmonate (JA) signaling pathway to confer drought tolerance (Kim et al., 2017).

As a founding group of photosynthetic eukaryotes (Archaeplastida), red algae donate the plastids that form numerous other photosynthetic lineages, including the major primary producers in marine ecosystems (Ball et al., 2011). Thus, red algal cell biology has contributed dramatically to broader eukaryotic evolution and diversity. However, current knowledge of epigenetic mechanisms in stress adaptation is mainly from studies in green-lineage plants; little is known in red algae. Pyropia yezoensis and Pyropia haitanensis, belonging to the Bangiales, Rhodophyta, family are the two main marinecultivated Pyropia species in nori farming (Yang et al., 2020). The thalli inhabit the upper intertidal zone and experience broad and extreme environmental stresses (dehydration, high temperature, high ultraviolet irradiance etc.) due to frequent emersion in tide flow(Zou, 2002; Huan et al., 2018). Their thalli can lose more than 80% of their water content in low tide and rapidly recover photosynthesis in rehydration. Therefor Pyropia species are of interest as red algal model organisms for studying molecular mechanisms in stress resistance. Recent studies have demonstrated extensive transcriptional changes in response to stresses in Pyropia species (Wang et al., 2019). It's essential to understand the epigenetic factors that regulate gene expression and thereby boost the robustness under stress. Researchers found orthologous genes of components of SNF/SWI chromatin remodeling complex in Pyropia and other red algae, implicating the contributions of reforming chromatin structure in modulating gene expression (Stiller et al., 2018). The development of ChIP-Seq method and identification of a histone mark H3K9 in generationspecific genes further emphasized the essential roles of histone acetylation in regulating gene expression in P. yezoensis (Ueda et al., 2022). However, little is known about the key players responsible for adding or removing acetyl groups from histones to medicate chromatin structural changes. In this study, we identified genes encoding HAT and HDAC in P. yezoensis and P. haitanensis genomes via a bioinformatic approach. We also analyzed gene structure, synteny in genome location, conserved domains, subcellular localization and transcriptional variations in HAT and HDAC genes. Furthermore, we investigated the photosynthetic performance of P. yezoensis thalli under dehydration and transcriptional variations of some dehydration-responsive genes when the HAT and HDAC activities were inhibited.

### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Algal cultivation

The pure line RZ of *P. yezoensis*, established by clonal cultivation of an isolated single somatic cell, was used for the experiments. Fresh leafy gametophytes were cultured in boiled natural seawater with Provasoli's enrichment solution medium (PES) at 10°C in incubator, with a photon flux of 20  $\mu$ mol photons·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> and a 12/12-h light/dark cycle. The medium was refreshed every three days. To obtain thalli with different water content, the absolute water content (AWC) of thalli was calculated

using the fresh weight and its dry weight according to the methods described by Kim et al. (Kim et al., 2009). Thalli under normal growth conditions were harvested as the control group (AWC100). Before dehydration, the surface water of the thalli was removed by paper towels, and then the thalli were exposed to air for dehydration under 20  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> at 8 ± 1°C. The thalli samples were collected until the total water content decreased by 30% (AWC70), 50% (AWC50), and 70% (AWC30). Samples were collected and placed in liquid nitrogen before gene expression analysis.

# 2.2 Genome–wide identification of HDACs and HATs in *P. yezoensis*

The P. yezoensis genome and protein sequences were obtained from our previous work (Wang et al., 2020). To identify candidate HDAC protein sequences in P. yezoensis, the Hidden Markov model (HMM) of the HDAC domains were downloaded from Pfam (http://pfam-legacy.xfam.org/, Pfam : PF00850) and used in HMMER (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer, e-value<1e<sup>-5</sup>). Protein sequences of Arabidopsis thaliana HAT (AtHAT) were downloaded from the Ensemble Plants (http://plants.ensembl.org/ index.html) database (Bolser et al., 2015) and used as query references in blastp to identify HAT proteins in P. yezoensis (Camacho et al., 2009). General information of HDAC and HAT genes and proteins in P. yezoensis are shown in Table 1. Genome and protein sequences of other red algae were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology information (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Synteny analysis between P. yezoensis and P. haitanensis genes was performed with RIdeogram (Hao

TABLE 1 General information of HDAC and HAT genes in P. yezoensis.

et al., 2020). Gene structures of HATs and HDACs were visualized in TBtools (Chen et al., 2020). The functional domain analysis was performed using InterProScan and SMART (Blum et al., 2021; Letunic et al., 2021).

# 2.3 Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

Homologous HATs and HDACs in red algal species (*Porphyra umbilicalis, Porphyridium purpureum, Chondrus crispus*) and classic model organisms (*Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) were identified through BLASTP and used for phylogenetic analysis (Camacho et al., 2009). To show difference in sub-family of the SIRT family, we included homologs of *Homo sapiens* in the phylogenetic analysis of the SIRT family. Multiple alignment and phylogenies of HATs and HDACs were constructed using the maximal likelihood algorithm in MEGA7 with a bootstrap test (1000 replicates) (Kumar et al., 2016).

# 2.4 Subcellular localization analysis of PyHDACs and PyHATs

The full-length CDS without the termination codon of four HDACs and two HATs were cloned into the modified PCAMBIA1300 vector, under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. Primers for PCR amplification of full-length CDS are listed in the Table S1. Each CDS was frame-fused to the green fluorescent protein (GFP) coding sequence. A vector expressing the

Class		Gene Name	Gene ID	Protein Sequence Length	Molecular Weight
НАТ	GNAT	PyGCN5	Py03649	540	58007.48
		PyGNAT	Py09866	557	61941.84
		PyGNAT-like	Py02283	314	31359.41
	unclassified	PyHAT-like	Py04694	416	43044.2
	MYST	PyMYST	Ру00356	915	94335.8
	TAF	PyTAFII250	Py07125	2297	223147.74
HDAC	HDA1-Class I	PyHDAC1	Py10301	538	57952.66
		PyHDAC2	Ру03239	1134	111170.83
	HDA1-Class II	PyHDAC3	Py04715	550	54990.3
	HDA1-Class IV	PyHDAC4	Ру09197	267	26619.29
		PyHDAC5	Py04721	301	29699.74
	SIRT- Class I	PySIRT1	Py08944	528	51029.41
		PySIRT2	Py02258	636	66419.99
	SIRT- Class IV	PySIRT3	Py06502	535	52880.38
		PySIRT4	Py07153	380	39303.39
	SIRT-Class II	PySIRT5	Py01658	367	35644.21

GFP gene was used as the control. The resulting constructs, PyGNAT-like-Py02283:GFP, PyMYST-Py00356:GFP, PyHDAC1-Py10301:GFP, PyHDAC3-Py04715:GFP and PySIRT4-Py07153: GFP, were each transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105 and then introduced into Nicotiana benthamiana using an injection method as previously reported (Sparkes et al., 2006). The injected tobacco plants were cultured under low light for 2 days. The PySIRT1-Py08944 construct and its control were transformed into *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts (Yoo et al., 2007). Protein expression in the tobacco leaves was observed under an Olympus FV-1000 microscope (Olympus, Japan). The excitation and emission wavelengths of the GFP signal were 488 nm and 510 nm, respectively, and those of the Chl signal were 640 nm and 675 nm, respectively.

### 2.5 RNA isolation and qRT–PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted with RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (OMEGA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Next, first-strand cDNA was synthesized with 1 µg of total RNA using the HiScript III RT Super Mix for qPCR (+gDNA Wiper) kit (Vazyme Biotech). The gene expression levels of ubiquitin (UBC) were used as an internal control, and the relative gene expression values were calculated from  $2^{-\triangle \triangle Ct}$  method. The sequences of the primers used are listed in Table S1.

# 2.6 SAHA and MB-3 treatment for *P. yezoensis* thalli

The 0.1mM stock solutions of SAHA (SML0061-25MG Sigma) and MB-3 (M2449-5MG Sigma) were prepared in DMSO and added to the culture medium of *P. yezoensis* thalli, respectively, to reach a final concentration of  $0.1\mu$ M, according to a previous study in *P. yezoensis* by Guan et al. (Guan et al., 2022). Equivalent volumes of DMSO were added to control thalli culture. Three separate cultures were prepared for each treatment. 24 h later, thalli were collected for dehydration treatment as described above. Thalli of 100%, 70%, 50%, 30% water content were subjected to photosynthetic parameters measurement on Flour-Cam (Beijing Ecotech-Technology co. LTD). For each assay, nine individual thalli, every three from one individual culture of SAHA-treated, MB-3 treated, or DMSO control, were used as replications.

#### 2.7 Data availability

The CDS sequence of HATs and HDACs of *P. yezoensis* and *P. haitanensis* used in this study can be found in the National Center for Biotechnology Information GenBank with accession number: OQ621440-OQ621441, OQ621447, OQ621504, OQ656315, OQ656318, OQ656322-OQ656326, OQ656370-OQ656374, OQ678374-OQ678388, OQ683344.

### 3 Results and discussion

# 3.1 Identification of the HAT and HDAC genes in *P. yezoensis*

We successively identified six HAT and 10 HDAC genes in the P. yezoensis genome through genome-wide homology searching. They encoded protein sequences ranged from 267 amino acids to 2297 amino acids, and the molecular weights ranged from 26619.29 to 223147.74 Da (Table 1). To further study the characteristic regions of the P. yezoensis HAT and HDAC genes, we analyzed the conserved domains of the proteins they encoded (Figure 1A). In plants, the GNAT family of HAT comprises three distinct subfamilies denominated GCN5, HAT1, and ELP3 (Servet et al., 2010). Py03649 contains a C-terminal bromodomain and a GNAT-type HAT domain in the middle, showing the same domain architecture as plant GCN5 proteins (Aquea et al., 2017). Moreover, the protein identity is up to 41.25% between its GNAT-type HAT domain and Arabidopsis GCN5 (AtHAG1) (Benhamed et al., 2006; Benhamed et al., 2008). Therefore, Py03649 corresponds to the GCN5 protein in P. yezoensis (named as PyGCN5-Py03649 afterwards) and might be responsible for the acetylation of H3K14 and play similar regulatory roles as its Arabidopsis thaliana homolog in development and stress responses (Earley et al., 2007). Py09866 harbored a rSAM domain in addition to a C-terminal GNAT domain; therefore, it was annotated as the Elp3 subfamily in GNAT-type HAT in P. yezoensis (Figure 1A). C-terminal GNAT domain was also observed on Py02283 (Figure 1A). However, since this is the sole conserved domain and the protein identity with the Arabidopsis GNAT domain is lower than 25%, we cannot clearly designate it as a GNAT-family and annotated it as GNAT-like. Py04694 possesses a HAT1 domain at the N-terminus (Figure 1A). When blasting against the Arabidopsis genome, its best hit is the AtHAT1, albeit with protein identity lower than 30%. Moreover, it lacks the embedded GNAT-domain in the HAT1 domain, which is a typical architecture in AtHAT1. Although this absence of GNATdomain is also found in rice HAT1 (OsHAG704), the protein identity between Py04694 and rice HAT1 is also too low to deduce a convincing category for Py04694 (Liu et al., 2012); further phylogeny analysis is required.

The MYST family is the largest and most divergent HAT family in animals, albeit not in plants. Two MYST proteins were found in *Arabidopsis* and function in gametophyte development (Latrasse et al., 2008). In *P. yezoensis*, only Py00356 was identified to have a C-terminal MYST-type HAT catalytic domain and a central tudorknot domain, thus named PyMYST (Figure 1A). Py07125 has a Cterminal bromodomain, a central TAF1 domain and a TBP-binding domain at the N-terminus; therefore, it was categorized in the TAFII250-family HAT (Figure 1A). The CBP family is the most abundant HAT family in plants. Five genes are encoding CBP-HAT in *Arabidopsis* and are involved in the ethylene signaling pathway in plant development (Hinckley et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2021). However, we did not obtain any BLAST hits in the *P. yezoensis* genome when using plant and animal CBP-HATs as reference.



CBP-HATs were also absent in the other unicellular and multicellular red algal genomes, indicating an ancient gene loss in the red algal ancestor.

Among the 10 *HDAC* genes, five were identified as encoding SIRT proteins. This gene number of SIRTs in *P. yezoensis* is higher than in some plants and green algae, e.g., two each in *Arabidopsis* and *Chlamydomonas* (Hollender and Liu, 2008; Chu and Chen, 2018). SIRTs usually harbor at least one sirtuin-type domain, which typically contains five alpha-helixes (Frye, 2000). Functional domain analysis revealed that each PySIRT harbored one sirtuin-type domain in Py06502 was split into two parts by 110 aa which was encoded by a

330 bp "spacer" sequence (Figure S1). Although the classic five alpha-helixes of the sirtuin domain and the Zn-binding site were observed in the predicted spatial conformation of Py06502, further experiments are still required to examine the effect of the "spacer"encoding peptide on its enzymatic activities. Besides, in the duplicated paralog of Py06502 in *P. yezoensis*, Py07153, the "spacer" was of 97% identity in the nucleotide sequence. However, the reading frame in Py07153 was shifted by an extra intron starting from +43, leading to a false-translated N-terminal part of sirtuin-domain. Then the "spacer" sequence "corrected" the reading frame, resulting in a partial sirtuin-domain at the Cterminal. Additionally, the split domain was also observed in its Bangiales orthologs, such as *P. haitanensis*, *Porphyra umbilicalis* and *Bangia fuscopurpurea*, but not in other red algal species such as *Chondrus crispus* and *Porphyridium purpureum*, and neither in plants nor animals (Figure S1). The "spacer" sequences in Bangiales genomes were different in length, ranging from 312–750 bp, and exhibited only 46%-65% of sequence identity, much lower than the bilateral "sirtuin" region (53%-78%). Conservation of "split sirtuin domain" in Bangiales indicated that the "spacer" sequence was inserted in the ancestor of Bangiales, and then went through a higher mutation rate than the domain region.

The other five HDAC genes were identified as the *P. yezoensis* representatives of RPD3/HDA1 superfamily and named as PyHDA1, as they all harbored the typical conserved histone deacetylase domain (Figure 1B). The length of this domain was around 300aa long except for the much shorter one in Py09197. Additionally, Py04721 also possesses two Zn-binding sites embedded in its deacetylase domain. As for the plant-specific HD2, we failed to find any homologs in *P. yezoensis* and the other red algae genomes, confirming its exclusive existence in the green lineage and an evolutionary origin after the divergence of the red and green lineages.

# 3.2 Genome synteny of HATs and HDACs between P. yezoensis and P. haitanensis

HATs and HDACs were distributed on the three chromosomes of *P. yezoensis*, e.g., two HATs and three HDACs on CM020618.1, three on CM020619.1 for each, as well as the last HAT and four HDACs on CM020620.1 (Figure 2). Most *P. yezeoensis* HATs and HDACs had individual orthologous loci in the *P. haitanensis* genome (protein sequence similarity in each orthologous pair ranged from 85%-96%), showing strong syntenic relationships.

We noticed that two *P. haitanensis* loci were linked to *PyGCN5-Py03649* in the syntenic map. We doublechecked its orthologous relationships between *P. yezoensis* and *P. haitanensis*. When blasting against the *P. haitanensis* genome, the top two hits of *PyGCN5-Py03649* were *Ph04999* and *Ph08846*, with protein identity at 97% and 82%, respectively. Conversely, both *Ph04999* and *Ph08846*'s best hits against the *P. yezoensis* genome were *PyGCN5-Py03649*. However, the two *P. haitanensis* genes were

located in different contigs, and moreover, were about 85% identical to each other, even less than the identity between Py03649 and Ph04999 (Figure 2; Figure S2). Therefore, we excluded the possibility of a tandem duplication and translocation event afterwards during the independent evolution of P. haitanensis. The plausible explanation is that Py03649 and Ph04999, which had higher protein sequence identity, were authentic orthologous pairs, and the P. yezoensis ortholog of Ph08846 was lost during evolution. Different situations were observed for the two HDACs, PySIRT3-Py06502 and PySIRT4-Pv07153. Both had the best hits in the P. haitanensis genome as Ph-hdac3. Although located at a large distance of 5000 Kb on CM020620.1 (Figure 2), the two genes shared higher identity (92%) in the translated protein sequence than either of them comparing to Ph-hdac3 (62% and 72% respectively) (Figure S2). Therefore, PySIRT3-Py06502 and PySIRT4-Py07153 are more likely to have originated from a duplication event during the independent evolution of P. yezoensis. The other two HDAC genes, PyHDAC5-Py04721 and PyHDAC3-Py04715, located adjacently to each other with a 45 Kb interval, so did their orthologs in P. haitanensis (Figure 2). However, the sequence identity between proteins encoded by PyHDAC5- Py04721 and PyHDAC3-Py04715 was only 30%, also excluding the possibility of originating from a tandem duplication event.

# 3.3 Phylogenetic analysis of red algal HATs and HDACs

To elucidate the evolutionary history of HATs and HDACs in red algae, we identified their homologs in several red alga genomes including multicellular *Porphyra umbilicalis* and *Chondrus crispus*, as well as unicellular *Porphyridium purpureum* (Bhattacharya et al., 2013; Collen et al., 2013; Brawley et al., 2017). Then we generated the phylogenetic trees for each family. Well-studied homologs in the model organisms *Arabidopsis* and *Saccharomyces* were included in phylogeny analysis to assign the subfamily for red algal genes in an evolutionary cluster. *P. umbilicalis* also belongs to the Bangiales as *P. yezoensis* and *P. haitanensis* do. However, only 9 genes encoding two GCN5s, one GNAT, one MYST, two HDA1s, and



Frontiers in Plant Science

three SIRTs, respectively, were found in its genome (Table S2). Considering the close evolutionary relationship to *P. yezoensis* and *P. haitanensis*, and its relatively fragmented genomic assembly, the lack of genes encoding certain subfamilies of HATs and HDACs in the current *P. umbilicalis* genome information might have mainly resulted from incomplete genome sequencing. In *Chondrus* and *Porphyridium*, gene members, with total numbers comparable to *P. yezoensis*, were identified for most of the subfamilies except the HAT1 and class IV HDA1. Further experimental work to amplify the adjacent genome fragments must determine whether the two subfamilies were actually absent in *Chondrus* due to gene loss events during evolution, or just mistakenly lost in genome sequencing and assembly.

Three clusters were clearly formed in the phylogenetic tree of GNAT-HAT family (Figure 3A). According to the included *Arabidopsis* homologs and conserved domains, they were annotated as GCN5, GNAT, and HAT-like respectively. In the GCN5 subfamily, *Py03649* and *Ph04999* were grouped together, neighboring with a sister cluster of *Ph08846* and a *P. umbilicalis* gene *Pu69710*, then another *P. umbilicalis* gene. The close phylogenic relationship of *Py03649* and *Ph04999* is consistent with our previous protein sequence analysis, further confirming

our postulation of two paralogs existing in their Bangiales ancestor and then a specific gene loss event happening in the independent evolution of *P. yezoensis*. Considering that there is only one homolog for *Porphyridium* and *Chondrus*, it is logical to further deduce that the two GCN5 paralogs originated from a gene duplication event after the divergence of Bangiales. Additionally, *PyGNAT-like-Py02283* located along with a few of its red algal homologs, without the presence of any plant homologs, suggesting that they diverged significantly from the other GNAT clusters in red algae. *PyGNAT-Py09866*, like MYST and TAFII250 genes, located separately on the phylogenetic tree along with its sole homolog from other red algal species, exhibiting a high conservation in red algae (Figures S3A, B).

For the HDA1 family, the five *P. yezoensis* genes were grouped into three clusters named Class I, Class II, and Class IV (Figure S3C). The two Class I genes, *Py10301* and *Py03239*, formed two separate clusters with their red algal homologs, respectively, suggesting that they diverged long ago in their red algal common ancestor. Eukaryotic Sir2-like proteins group into four main branches as classes I–IV (Frye, 2000; Pandey et al., 2002). *Py08944* and its red algal homologs were clustered with human and yeast Class I SIRT proteins, thus designated as Class I SIRTs.



Phylogenetic trees of GNAT family (A) and SIRT family (B). Each protein was named as the initial letters of the genera and species names, linked with the accession number or ID by a hyphen. Confidence levels for the tree branches that are best supported by bootstrap analysis are shown as numbers. Abbreviations for species are: Arabidopsis thaliana (At), Homo sapiens (Hs), Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Sc), Pyropia yezoensis (Py), Pyropia haitanensis (Ph), Porphyra umbilicalis (Pu), Chondrus crispus (Cc), Porphyridium purpureum (Pp).

*Py02258* and its Bangiales homologs formed a sister cluster of Class I SIRTs, indicating that they may be a Bangiales-specific Class I group divergent from the classic one. The phylogenetic analysis then designated *Py01658* and *Py06502* (as well as its duplicate *Py07153*) to be Class II and Class IV SIRTs respectively. As in *Arabidopsis*, Class III SIRT was not found in the red algal species (Figure 3B) (Pandey et al., 2002).

To obtain more insights into gene evolution, the exon/intron structures of the PyHAT and PyHDAC genes were investigated. Of the six PyHAT genes, four have no introns and the other two have one and two introns, respectively. For the PyHDAC genes, four have one intron and two have two introns (Figure 4). Overall, the average intron number is 0.688 per gene, comparable to the value in genome-wide (0.60) (Wang et al., 2020), but much less than the value of their plant counterparts [ranging from 0 to 78 in Arabidopis (Wang et al., 2020)]. Besides, a similar gene structure was observed in gene members from the same subgroup in plant HATs and HDACs (Pandey et al., 2002, Liu et al., 2012; Du et al., 2022). Accordingly, Py10301 and Py03239, being the closet members from the Class I-HDA subfamily, both had two introns, despite of a longer 3<sup>rd</sup> exon in *Py03239*. However, inconsistency in the exon/ intron organization was observed for the two Class IV-HDA1 genes Py04721 and Py09197, reflecting the high diversity of gene structure established in the evolutionary history of Pyropia species.

### 3.4 Subcellular localization

We applied three different subcellular localization prediction programs to determine the likely subcellular localization of HATs and HDAC proteins. Unfortunately, these programs gave different results for each protein. For example, Plant-mPLoc predicted all of them to be in the nucleus, except PySIRT2-Py02258, which was predicted to be in chloroplast. Nuclear localization signals were also



detected in eight of these proteins. However, PSORT generated the same nucleus localization for only six of them and WoLF PSORT only found for three proteins the nuclear localization with high confidence (Table S3). Therefore, the computational prediction failed to provide convincing subcellular localizations. Because GFP-transgenic technology is not available for P. yezoensis yet, we next investigated the subcellular localization of several PyHATs and PyHDACs using transient expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion proteins in tobacco leaves and A. thaliana protoplasts. However, transcriptions of PyHAT-like-Py04694, PyHDAC4-Pv09197, PvHDAC5-Pv04721 and PvSIRT2-Pv02258 were not detected using either thalli or conchocelis to prepare cDNA templates. Their P. haitanensis orthologs were also transcriptionally undetectable using corresponding cDNA templates. This is consistent with the low FPKM values revealed in previous transcriptomic data of the two heteromorphic generations (Wang et al., 2020). These genes were not analyzed further. Besides, we failed to amplify the full-length cDNA of Py03649, Py08966, Py04694, Py07125, Py03239, Py09197, Py04721, Py02258, Py06502 and Py01658, thus, only the following six genes were subject to transient expression analysis. Fluorescence signals of GFP-fused PyGNAT-like-Py02283, PyMYST-Py00356, PyHDAC1-Py10301, and PySIRT4-Py07153 were detected in the nucleus and cvtosol of tobacco cells, suggesting potential roles of the three genes the respective compartments (Figure 5A). The GFP signal of PyHDAC3-Py04715 overlapped well with auto-fluorescence of chlorophyll, suggesting its chloroplast localization and potential role in the post-translational modification of chloroplast proteins. Since GFP-PySIRT1-Py08944 cassette was not successfully expressed in tobacco, we transformed it into an Arabidopsis protoplast and observed its expression in the cytosol (Figure 5B).

### 3.5 Expressional levels under dehydration

To gain insight into the potential role of HAT and HDAC genes in the stress response, we next investigated the differential transcription of seven HATs and HDACs under different degrees of water loss via qRT-PCR (Table S1, Figure 6). Transcription of PyMYST-Py00356 and PyGCN5-Py03649, significantly increased to 10.0 and 3.3 folds, respectively, under 30% of water loss relative to that before dehydration, followed by down-regulation under higher dehydration. The transcriptional level of PyMYST-Py00356 even dropped to much less than the value under normal conditions. GCN-5 was reported to be a master regulator of responses to environmental stimuli in plants (Kim et al., 2020). MYST in soybean is dominantly expressed in roots and is highly responsive to abiotic stresses (Feng et al., 2022). The transient up-regulation of the two HAT genes hand in hand with their nuclear localization, indicated the important role they played in the early response to dehydration. The transcription of two class I-HDA1 genes, PyHDAC1-Py10301 and PyHDAC2-Py03239, barely changed under early dehydration, but elevated to more than 9.1 and 2.7 folds upon 50% of water loss relative to that before dehydration, as shown in Figure 6. Different from the subsequent down-regulation of PyHDAC2-Py03239 at 70% of water loss, PyHDAC1-Py10301,



which encoded a nuclear-localized protein, exhibited progressive upregulation and reached 3.4 folds of the value under normal conditions. The transcription of the other two *SIRT-HDAC* genes, *Py01658* and *Py08944*, hardly varied along the full course of dehydration, with foldchanges less than 2. For *PyHDAC3-Py04715*, we observed a great reduction in transcription at 30% of water loss, though followed by slight up-regulation to half of the level before dehydration and down-regulated to an even lower level at 70% of water loss than in the earlier stage (Figure 6). Considering the cytosol and chloroplast localization of PySIRT1-Py08944 and PyHDAC3-Py04715, respectively, they may not make important contributions in regulating down-stream gene expression in response to water loss.



Asterisks represent significant differences at P  $\leq$  0.05 (\*) and P  $\leq$  0.01 (\*\*) when comparing to AWC100.

### 3.6 Inhibiting HDAC activity accelerated the reduction in photosynthesis efficiency during dehydration

In plants, the reduction in the enzymatic activities of HAT or HDACs in gene mutants or via specific chemical inhibitors repressed the tolerance to abiotic or biotic stresses (Hu et al., 2015). Since we found that the expression of HAT and HDAC genes was induced by dehydration in P. yezoensis, to further investigate the epigenetic regulation by histone acetylation in response to dehydration stress, we treated P. yezoensis thalli with SAHA (specific HDAC inhibitor) and MB-3 (specific HAT inhibitor) respectively for 24 h and then exposed them to air for dehydration. Photosynthesis efficiency was detected before dehydration and after 30%, 50% and 70% water loss (Figure 7). Before dehydration, there were no significant differences in  $\Phi$ PSII value between control and treated thalli. Control thalli exhibited a slight increase in **PPSII** value upon 30% of water loss, then decreased significantly upon more intense desiccation and declined to less than 0.15 upon 70% of water loss. However, ΦPSII value of SAHA-treated thalli presented an immediate decline at the early stage of dehydration and went down to nearly zero upon 70% of water loss, suggesting the photosynthesis activity was greatly repressed by SAHA-treatment. An even sharper decline in  $\Phi$ PSII value at an early stage was observed in MB-3-treated thalli. Apparently, either inhibiting HAT by MB-3 or HDAC by SAHA negatively affected the dehydration tolerance of P. yezoensis thalli. Combining the induced expression of both HAT and HDAC genes



by dehydration, this suggests their essential roles in generating enormous variations in the genomic pattern of histone acetylation upon dehydration, thereby triggering transcriptional changes of downstream genes to adjust physiological and metabolic activities for stress acclimation.

# 3.7 The effect of MB-3 and SAHA on transcriptional patterns of dehydration-responsive genes

Many genes were previously reported to be responsive to osmotic stress in plants, such as the fructose-1,6-biphosphatase (FBPase) and phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) related to sucrose production (Daie, 1993), delta-12 fatty acid desaturase 2 (FAD2) for promoting the production of unsaturated fatty acids (Dar et al., 2017), the Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensor calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) to trigger calcium signaling(Gao et al., 2014), as well as NADPH oxidase for cellular redox balance (Ju et al., 2020). We first analyzed the expression patterns of their Pyropia homologs at 70% and 50% AWC (Figure 8). Two FBPase genes and one PGK gene in P. yezoensis displayed strong upregulation at both 70% and 50% AWC. Transcriptional level of delta-12 fatty acid desaturases FAD2 (Pv07174) increased by more than two folds at 70% AWC, albeit dropped to a level slightly higher than that one at 100% AWC. The transcriptional pattern of the calciumdependent protein kinase CDPK (Py09657) was similar to FAD2. A gene encoding the NADPH oxidase 5 exhibited gradual increase in transcription from 1.5 folds at 70% AWC to 2.2 folds at 50% AWC. To determine whether these dehydration-responsive genes were regulated by histone acetylation, we then investigated the variations in transcriptional patterns in response to dehydration under MB-3 or SAHA treatment. We observed that except for a slight increase in NADPH oxidase 5 at 70% AWC and a down-regulation of CDPK at 50% AWC, transcriptional levels of all the six genes barely changed



#### FIGURE 8

Expression analysis of dehydration-responsive genes under MB-3 treatment (A) and SAHA treatment (B) in *P. yezoensis*. The X-axis represents different degrees of water content (AWC) and the Y-axis represents the relative expression of specific HAT and HDAC gene. Different treatments were indicated by different colors. Data represent the mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) (n = 3). Asterisks represent significant differences at P  $\leq$  0.05 (\*) and P  $\leq$  0.01 (\*\*) when comparing to AWC100.

after water loss when treated with MB-3. However, when treated with SAHA, the up-regulation observed in the control medium after water loss was also depressed, though at variable degrees. We further searched out three genes that were previously reported to be significantly down-regulated under dehydration stress *via* transcriptomic analysis, including P-type ATPase, carbonic anhydrase and protein disulfide-isomerase (Wang et al., 2020). A substantial reduction in transcription at both 70% and 50% AWC in normal medium was confirmed by RT-qPCR analysis. By contrast, when treated with SAHA, transcription of P-type ATPase was unchanged.

### 4 Conclusion

Recent studies show that a wealth of genes exhibit transcriptionally changes in response to abiotic stresses, particularly osmotic stress resulting from salt treatment or dehydration in P. yezoensis. Histone acetylation and deacetylation play essential roles in transcriptional regulation in response to stress in plants, albeit little information is available on genes responsible for these processes in P. yezoensis and in general red algal species. In this study, we identified 6 HAT genes and 10 HDAC genes in P. yezoensis and their corresponding homologs in several other red algal genomes. Putative gene loss and gene duplication events were deduced in the P. yezoensis genome through chromosome location, protein sequence analysis and phylogenetic analysis. HAT and HDAC genes were assigned to the corresponding subfamilies according to the conserved domains they encoded. CBP-HAT and plant-specific HD2-HDAC were absent in all red algal lineages. Subcellular localization and transcriptional analysis as well as inhibitory experiments of HAT and HDAC revealed that histone acetylation and deacetylation make important contributions in response to dehydration stress in P. yezoensis. Moreover, PyGCN5, PyMYST and PyHDA1 genes, which exhibited increased expressional levels under dehydration, were the main players. Our results lay a solid foundation for research regarding histone modification-mediated stress response regulation in nori and red algae. We also notice that intensive experimental studies are required to further validity the biological functions of these genes and identify the target genes that are regulated by remodeling histone acetylation/deacetylation. This study provides essential information for understanding the regulatory network in stress adaptation in red algae.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to DW (wangdm@ouc.edu.cn).

### References

Aquea, F., Timmermann, T., and Herrera-Vasquez, A. (2017). Chemical inhibition of the histone acetyltransferase activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 483 (1), 664–668. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.12.086

### Author contributions

DW designed the research. ZZ and HQ performed research. ZW, YP, and XG prepared samples. DW and AP contributed in funding and paper editing. ZZ and DW analyzed data and wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (32172970), the Shandong Natural Science Foundation (ZR2019MC012), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (202112024).

### Acknowledgments

We greatly appreciate Dr. Yunxiang Mao, Dr. Guoying Du, Dr. Fanna Kong, Dr. Xianghai Tang from Ocean University of China for discussion about this study.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1133021/ full#supplementary-material

Ball, S., Colleoni, C., Cenci, U., Raj, J. N., and Tirtiaux, C. (2011). The evolution of glycogen and starch metabolism in eukaryotes gives molecular clues to understand the establishment of plastid endosymbiosis. J. Exp. Bot. 62 (6), 1775–1801. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq411 Benhamed, M., Bertrand, C., Servet, C., and Zhou, D. X. (2006). Arabidopsis GCN5, HD1, and TAF1/HAF2 interact to regulate histone acetylation required for light-responsive gene expression. *Plant Cell* 18 (11), 2893–2903. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.043489

Benhamed, M., Martin-Magniette, M. L., Taconnat, L., Bitton, F., Servet, C., De Clercq, R., et al. (2008). Genome-scale *Arabidopsis* promoter array identifies targets of the histone acetyltransferase GCN5. *Plant J.* 56 (3), 493–504. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03606.x

Bhattacharya, D., Price, D. C., Chan, C. X., Qiu, H., Rose, N., Ball, S., et al. (2013). Genome of the red alga *Porphyridium purpureum*. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1941. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2931

Blum, M., Chang, H.-Y., Chuguransky, S., Grego, T., Kandasaamy, S., Mitchell, A., et al. (2021). The InterPro protein families and domains database: 20 years on. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49 (D1), D344–D354. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa977

Bolser, D. M., Kerhornou, A., Walts, B., and Kersey, P. (2015). Triticeae resources in ensembl plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 56 (1), e3. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcu183

Bourque, S., Jeandroz, S., Grandperret, V., Lehotai, N., Aime, S., Soltis, D. E., et al. (2016). The evolution of HD2 proteins in green plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 21 (12), 1008–1016. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2016.10.001

Brawley, S. H., Blouin, N. A., Ficko-Blean, E., Wheeler, G. L., Lohr, M., Goodson, H. V., et al. (2017). Insights into the red algae and eukaryotic evolution from the genome of *Porphyra umbilicalis* (Bangiophyceae, rhodophyta). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114 (31), E6361–E6370. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1703088114

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., et al. (2009). BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinf.* 10, 421. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., et al. (2020). TBtools: an integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Mol. Plant* 13 (8), 1194–1202. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009

Chen, Z. J., and Tian, L. (2007). Roles of dynamic and reversible histone acetylation in plant development and polyploidy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1769 (5-6), 295–307. doi: 10.1016/j.bbaexp.2007.04.007

Chu, J., and Chen, Z. (2018). Molecular identification of histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases in lower plant *Marchantia polymorpha*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem*. 132, 612–622. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.10.012

Collen, J., Porcel, B., Carre, W., Ball, S. G., Chaparro, C., Tonon, T., et al. (2013). Genome structure and metabolic features in the red seaweed chondrus crispus shed light on evolution of the archaeplastida. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110 (13), 5247–5252. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1221259110

Daie, J. (1993). Cytosolic fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase: a key enzyme in the sucrose biosynthetic pathway. *Photosynth Res.* 38 (1), 5–14. doi: 10.1007/BF00015056

Dar, A. A., Choudhury, A. R., Kancharla, P. K., and Arumugam, N. (2017). The FAD2 gene in plants: occurrence, regulation, and role. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1789. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01789

Du, Q., Fang, Y., Jiang, J., Chen, M., Fu, X., Yang, Z., et al. (2022). Characterization of histone deacetylases and their roles in response to abiotic and PAMPs stresses in *Sorghum bicolor. BMC Genomics* 23 (1), 28. doi: 10.1186/s12864-021-08229-2

Earley, K. W., Shook, M. S., Brower-Toland, B., Hicks, L., and Pikaard, C. S. (2007). *In vitro* Specificities of *Arabidopsis* co-activator histone acetyltransferases: implications for histone hyperacetylation in gene activation. *Plant J.* 52 (4), 615–626. doi: 10.1111/ j.1365-313X.2007.03264.x

Fang, H., Liu, X., Thorn, G., Duan, J., and Tian, L. (2014). Expression analysis of histone acetyltransferases in rice under drought stress. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 443 (2), 400–405. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.11.102

Fellous, A., Earley, R. L., and Silvestre, F. (2019). Identification and expression of mangrove rivulus (*Kryptolebias marmoratus*) histone deacetylase (HDAC) and lysine acetyltransferase (KAT) genes. *Gene* 691, 56–69. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2018.12.057

Feng, P., Sun, X., Liu, X., Li, Y., Sun, Q., Lu, H., et al. (2022). Epigenetic regulation of plant tolerance to salt stress by histone acetyltransferase GsMYST1 from wild soybean. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 860056. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.860056

Frye, R. A. (2000). Phylogenetic classification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic Sir2-like proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 273 (2), 793–798. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.2000.3000

Gao, X., Cox, K. L.Jr., and He, P. (2014). Functions of calcium-dependent protein kinases in plant innate immunity. *Plants (Basel)* 3 (1), 160–176. doi: 10.3390/ plants3010160

Guan, X., Qian, H., Qu, W., Shu, S., Pang, Y., Chen, N., et al. (2022). Histone acetylation functions in the wound-induced spore formation in nori. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 1064300. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1064300

Guo, J., Wei, L., Chen, S. S., Cai, X. W., Su, Y. N., Li, L., et al. (2021). The CBP/p300 histone acetyltransferases function as plant-specific MEDIATOR subunits in *Arabidopsis. J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 63 (4), 755–771. doi: 10.1111/jipb.13052

Hao, Z., Lv, D., Ge, Y., Shi, J., Weijers, D., Yu, G., et al. (2020). RIdeogram: drawing SVG graphics to visualize and map genome-wide data on the idiograms. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* 6, e251. doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.251

Hinckley, W. E., Keymanesh, K., Cordova, J. A., and Brusslan, J. A. (2019). The HAC1 histone acetyltransferase promotes leaf senescence and regulates the expression of ERF022. *Plant Direct* 3 (8), e00159. doi: 10.1002/pld3.159

Hollender, C., and Liu, Z. (2008). Histone deacetylase genes in Arabidopsis development. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 50 (7), 875-885. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2008.00704.x

Hu, Z., Song, N., Zheng, M., Liu, X., Liu, Z., Xing, J., et al. (2015). Histone acetyltransferase GCN5 is essential for heat stress-responsive gene activation and thermotolerance in *Arabidopsis. Plant J.* 84 (6), 1178–1191. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13076

Huan, L., Wang, C., Gao, S., He, L.-w., Lu, X.-p., Wang, X.-l., et al. (2018). Preliminary comparison of atmospheric CO2 enhancement to photosynthesis of *Pyropia yezoensis* (Bangiales, rhodophyta) leafy thalli and filamentous thalli. *Phycol Res.* 66 (2), 117–126. doi: 10.1111/pre.12213

Ju, H. Q., Lin, J. F., Tian, T., Xie, D., and Xu, R. H. (2020). NADPH homeostasis in cancer: functions, mechanisms and therapeutic implications. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* 5 (1), 231. doi: 10.1038/s41392-020-00326-0

Kim, J. K., Kraemer, G. P., and Yarish, C. (2009). Research note: comparison of growth and nitrate uptake by new England *Porphyra* species from different tidal elevations in relation to desiccation. *Phycol Res.* 57 (2), 152–157. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1835.2009.00533.x

Kim, S., Piquerez, S. J. M., Ramirez-Prado, J. S., Mastorakis, E., Veluchamy, A., Latrasse, D., et al. (2020). GCN5 modulates salicylic acid homeostasis by regulating H3K14ac levels at the 5' and 3' ends of its target genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48 (11), 5953–5966. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa369

Kim, J. M., To, T. K., Matsui, A., Tanoi, K., Kobayashi, N. I., Matsuda, F., et al. (2017). Acetate-mediated novel survival strategy against drought in plants. *Nat. Plants* 3, 17097. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.97

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33 (7), 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054

Kuo, M.-H., and Allis, C. D. (1998). Roles of histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases in gene regulation. *BioEssays* 20 (8), 615–626. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1521-1878(199808)20:8<615::AID-BIES4>3.0.CO;2-H

Latrasse, D., Benhamed, M., Henry, Y., Domenichini, S., Kim, W., Zhou, D. X., et al. (2008). The MYST histone acetyltransferases are essential for gametophyte development in *Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biol.* 8, 121. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-8-121

Letunic, I., Khedkar, S., and Bork, P. (2021). SMART: recent updates, new developments and status in 2020. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49 (D1), D458–D460. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa937

Liu, X., Luo, M., Zhang, W., Zhao, J., Zhang, J., Wu, K., et al. (2012). Histone acetyltransferases in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*): phylogenetic analysis, subcellular localization and expression. *BMC Plant Biol.* 12, 145. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.97

Pandey, R., Müller, A., Napoli, C. A., Selinger, D. A., Pikaard, C. S., Richards, E. J., et al. (2002). Analysis of histone acetyltransferase and histone deacetylase families of arabidopsis thaliana suggests functional diversification of chromatin modification among multicellular eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 5036–5055 doi: 10.1093/nar/gkf660

Pfluger, J., and Wagner, D. (2007). Histone modifications and dynamic regulation of genome accessibility in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 10 (6), 645–652. doi: 10.1016/ j.pbi.2007.07.013

Servet, C., Conde e Silva, N., and Zhou, D. X. (2010). Histone acetyltransferase AtGCN5/HAG1 is a versatile regulator of developmental and inducible gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. *Mol. Plant* 3 (4), 670–677. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssq018

Shen, Y., Wei, W., and Zhou, D. X. (2015). Histone acetylation enzymes coordinate metabolism and gene expression. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20 (10), 614–621. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2015.07.005

Sparkes, I. A., Runions, J., Kearns, A., and Hawes, C. (2006). Rapid, transient expression of fluorescent fusion proteins in tobacco plants and generation of stably transformed plants. *Nat. Protoc.* 1 (4), 2019–2025. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.286

Stiller, J. W., Yang, C., Collen, J., Kowalczyk, N., and Thompson, B. E. (2018). Evolution and expression of core SWI/SNF genes in red algae. *J. Phycol* 54 (6), 879–887. doi: 10.1111/jpy.12795

Ueda, S., Mizuta, H., and Uji, T. (2022). Development of chromatin immunoprecipitation for the analysis of histone modifications in red macroalga *Neopyropia yezoensis* (Rhodophyta). *Mol. Biotechnol.* 65, 590–597 doi: 10.1007/s12033-022-00562-5

Vergara, Z., and Gutierrez, C. (2017). Emerging roles of chromatin in the maintenance of genome organization and function in plants. *Genome Biol.* 18 (1), 96. doi: 10.1186/s13059-017-1236-9

Wang, W., Xu, Y., Chen, T., Xing, L., Xu, K., Xu, Y., et al. (2019). Regulatory mechanisms underlying the maintenance of homeostasis in *Pyropia haitanensis* under hypersaline stress conditions. *Sci. Total Environ.* 662, 168–179. doi: 10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2019.01.214

Wang, D., Yu, X., Xu, K., Bi, G., Cao, M., Zelzion, E., et al. (2020). *Pyropia yezoensis* Genome reveals diverse mechanisms of carbon acquisition in the intertidal environment. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 4028. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17689-1

Yang, L. E., Deng, Y. Y., Xu, G. P., Russell, S., Lu, Q. Q., and Brodie, J. (2020). Redefining *Pyropia* (Bangiales, rhodophyta): four new genera, resurrection of *Porphyrella* and description of calidia pseudolobata sp. nov. from China. *J. Phycol* 56 (4), 862–879. doi: 10.1111/jpy.12992 Yoo, S. D., Cho, Y. H., and Sheen, J. (2007). Arabidopsis Mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nat. Protoc. 2 (7), 1565–1572. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.199

Zheng, M., Liu, X., Lin, J., Liu, X., Wang, Z., Xin, M., et al. (2019). Histone acetyltransferase GCN5 contributes to cell wall integrity and salt stress tolerance by

altering the expression of cellulose synthesis genes. *Plant J.* 97 (3), 587-602. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14144

Zou, D. (2002). Effects of desiccation and CO2 concentrations on emersed photosynthesis in *Porphyra haitanensis* (Bangiales, rhodophyta), a species farmed in China. *Eur. J. Phycol* 37, 587–592. doi: 10.1017/S0967026202003876