Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gabriel Paes, Institut National de recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et l'environnement (INRAE), France

REVIEWED BY

Chaowen Xiao, Sichuan University, China Olga A. Zabotina, Iowa State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Vicente Ramírez Ramirezg@hhu.de

† PRESENT ADDRESS

Merve Seven, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences Bahçeşehir University Istanbul, Türkiye

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Technical Advances in Plant Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 15 November 2022 ACCEPTED 21 February 2023 PUBLISHED 07 March 2023

CITATION

Wang S, Robertz S, Seven M, Kraemer F, Kuhn BM, Liu L, Lunde C, Pauly M and Ramírez V (2023) A large-scale forward genetic screen for maize mutants with altered lignocellulosic properties. Front. Plant Sci. 14:1099009. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009)

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Robertz, Seven, Kraemer, Kuhn, Liu, Lunde, Pauly and Ramírez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[A large-scale forward genetic](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009/full) [screen for maize mutants with](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009/full) [altered lignocellulosic properties](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009/full)

Shaogan Wang¹, Stefan Robertz¹, Merve Seven^{1†}, Florian Kraemer², Benjamin M. Kuhn², Lifeng Liu², China Lunde³, Markus Pauly^{1,2} and Vicente Ramirez^{1,2*}

1 Institute for Plant Cell Biology and Biotechnology-Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany, ²Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, Energy Biosciences Institute, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, United States, 3Plant Gene Expression Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Albany, CA, United States

The development of efficient pipelines for the bioconversion of grass lignocellulosic feedstocks is challenging due to the limited understanding of the molecular mechanisms controlling the synthesis, deposition, and degradation of the varying polymers unique to grass cell walls. Here, we describe a large-scale forward genetic approach resulting in the identification of a collection of chemically mutagenized maize mutants with diverse alterations in their cell wall attributes such as crystalline cellulose content or hemicellulose composition. Saccharification yield, i.e. the amount of lignocellulosic glucose (Glc) released by means of enzymatic hydrolysis, is increased in two of the mutants and decreased in the remaining six. These mutants, termed candy-leaf (cal), show no obvious plant growth or developmental defects despite associated differences in their lignocellulosic composition. The identified cal mutants are a valuable tool not only to understand recalcitrance of grass lignocellulosics to enzymatic deconstruction but also to decipher grass-specific aspects of cell wall biology once the genetic basis, i.e. the location of the mutation, has been identified.

KEYWORDS

maize, cell wall, mutant, lignocellolusic biomass, saccharification

Introduction

Lignocellulosic biomass represents most of the carbon-based photosynthetic products fixed by land plants. As an abundant and sustainable feedstock, plant lignocellulosic biomass can be used to produce environment-friendly energy and other high-added value chemicals [\(Himmel et al., 2007;](#page-9-0) [Pauly and Keegstra, 2010;](#page-10-0) [Somerville et al., 2010\)](#page-10-0). Grass species from the Poaceae family such as maize, sorghum, miscanthus, or switchgrass have been identified as promising feedstocks due to their fast growth and the large amounts of fermentable sugars locked in their cell walls in the form of a complex network of carbohydrate and other polymers ([Lewandowski et al., 2000](#page-9-0); [Pauly](#page-10-0) [and Keegstra, 2008;](#page-10-0) [Schmer et al., 2008](#page-10-0)).

Grass cell walls are mainly composed of cellulose, glucuronoarabinoxylan, β -(1,3;1,4)-glucans, and lignin (reviewed in [Vogel, 2008](#page-11-0)). Cellulose is the most common and abundant polysaccharide in both primary and secondary grass cell walls. Cellulose consists of linear β -(1,4)-linked glucan chains aggregated into microfibrils with crystalline and partially amorphous structural features ([Harris et al., 2012;](#page-9-0) [Marriott et al., 2016;](#page-10-0) [Rongpipi](#page-10-0) [et al., 2018\)](#page-10-0).

Glucuronoarabinoxylan (GAX) is the predominant noncellulosic polysaccharide in both primary and secondary cell walls of grasses ([Scheller and Ulvskov, 2010](#page-10-0); [Pauly et al., 2013](#page-10-0)). The typical structure of grass GAX consists of a linear xylan backbone formed by β -1,4-linked D-xylopyranose residues, which can be methylated, acetylated or further substituted with mono- and/or oligosaccharides composed of xylose, arabinose (Ara), galactose (Gal) and uronic acid residues ([Teleman et al., 2000](#page-10-0); [Kabel et al.,](#page-9-0) [2003;](#page-9-0) [Pauly et al., 2013](#page-10-0); [Peña et al., 2016](#page-10-0); [Tryfona et al., 2019](#page-10-0)). The majority of the GAX molecules display a three-fold screw conformation due to the arabinosyl substitutions and interact with amorphous cellulose. In contrast, in softwoods and dicot plants xylan acquires a two-fold screw conformation and interacts mainly with crystalline cellulose [\(Simmons et al., 2016](#page-10-0); [Terrett et al.,](#page-10-0) [2019b](#page-10-0); [Gao et al., 2020\)](#page-9-0).

 β -(1,3;1,4)-glucans, also termed mixed-linked glucans (MLG), are unbranched glucans composed of β -(1,4)-linked cellotriosyl and cellotetrasyl units interspersed with β -(1,3)-glucosyl linkages. The presence of MLG is a hallmark of grass cell walls and is usually not present in dicot species or non-commelinid monocots (reviewed by [Burton and Fincher, 2009](#page-9-0)). MLG is highly accumulated in primary walls of rapidly growing tissues, but it can also be found in secondary walls in mature organs [\(Fincher and Stone, 2004;](#page-9-0) [Vega-Sanchez et al., 2012;](#page-10-0) [Vega-Sanchez et al., 2013\)](#page-10-0). Although the functional role of MLG in grasses is under debate, it has been hypothesized that it might be involved in energy-storage and cell wall strengthening or reinforcement [\(Carpita et al., 2001;](#page-9-0) [Burton](#page-9-0) [and Fincher, 2009](#page-9-0); [Vega-Sanchez et al., 2012](#page-10-0); [Smith-Moritz](#page-10-0) [et al., 2015\)](#page-10-0).

Lignin is a large polyphenolic compound built via polymerization of various monolignols which makes up 20% of the dry weight of the grass secondary cell wall ([Marriott et al., 2016;](#page-10-0) [Ralph et al., 2019\)](#page-10-0). Ferulic acid (FA) residues can be attached via ester linkages to the α -1,3-arabinosyl residues of grass GAX, and ferulated xylan further connects with monolignols thus growing lignin polymers by enzymatic radical coupling reactions ([de](#page-9-0) [Oliveira et al., 2015](#page-9-0); [Terrett and Dupree, 2019;](#page-10-0) [Eugene et al.,](#page-9-0) [2020\)](#page-9-0). The covalent cross-link of lignin to GAX forms a matrix network in which cellulose microfibrils are embedded, enhancing the hydrophobicity and rigidity of the cell wall.

The most important aspect of an economical competitive feedstock is a high production of plant biomass per field area ([Tilman et al., 2006](#page-10-0); [Pauly and Keegstra, 2008\)](#page-10-0). However, also the bioconversion of the feedstock should be accomplished with as little energy input as possible. Such a bioconversion potential of a given lignocellulosic biomass feedstock is often determined by its

saccharification yield, i.e., how efficient is the release of sugars by means of enzymatic hydrolysis [\(McCann and Carpita, 2015;](#page-10-0) [Zoghlami and Paes, 2019\)](#page-11-0). During saccharification, enzymatic cocktails harboring diverse hydrolase activities break down the wall carbohydrates releasing monosaccharides - mainly glucose (Glc) and xylose (Xyl) - and small amounts of other soluble diand oligo-saccharides. Unfortunately, the plant wall is naturally recalcitrant to enzymatic hydrolysis not only due to a heterogeneous carbohydrate composition, but also due to the complex interactions among the diverse polymeric components. One challenge to efficiently utilize lignocellulosics from grass feedstocks is the limited understanding of its synthesis, in muro architecture, and degradation of the wall components and how the diverse lignocellulosic attributes contribute to the wall digestibility to obtain a high yield of fermentable sugars.

Distinct lignocellulosic attributes have been identified as key factors influencing biomass digestibility (reviewed by Hatfi[eld et al.,](#page-9-0) [2016](#page-9-0)). Various strategies have been developed to modify these plant attributes and improve the saccharification yield, including the breeding of grass varieties with altered wall structures/ compositions ([Bhatia et al., 2017](#page-9-0); [Yoshida et al., 2021](#page-11-0)). For example, cellulose crystallinity has been defined as a negative factor in saccharification yield of lignocellulosic biomass from maize, wheat, and rice ([Wu et al., 2013](#page-11-0); [Jia et al., 2014](#page-9-0)). The structural attributes of cellulose microfibrils such as size, degree of polymerization and in particular crystallinity are important parameters determining the rigidity and degradability of grass wall polymers [\(Liu et al., 2013;](#page-10-0) [Zhang et al., 2020\)](#page-11-0). For example, the crystallinity of cellulose fibrils limits the accessibility of hydrolytic enzymes (i.e., cellulases) due to a dense crystal structure. Strategies to reduce cellulose crystallinity to improve saccharification efficiency in plants had only limited success as it severely restricts plant growth and development leading to a low plant biomass yield ([Harris et al., 2012;](#page-9-0) [Zhang et al., 2020](#page-11-0)).

Instead, modification of hemicelluloses such as GAX or MLG to increase wall digestibility has been proposed as a suitable alternative. Altering both GAX backbone and side-chain substituents affect the polymer conformation, impacting the interaction with other wall components such as cellulose and lignin and thus influencing the wall recalcitrance to degradation ([Busse-Wicher et al., 2014;](#page-9-0) [Pereira et al., 2017\)](#page-10-0). For example, glucose and xylose yields released during saccharification are increased in plants with reduced xylan glucuronosylation ([Lyczakowski et al., 2017](#page-10-0)). Although the study was performed in Arabidopsis and white spruce, this strategy could also increase the saccharification yield in grass species, where GAX is highly substituted with glucuronic acid.

Similarly, reducing the degree of xylan O-acetylation by knocking down genes involved in this modification results in increased saccharification yield in multiple plant species such as rice, Arabidopsis, or poplar ([Xiong et al., 2013](#page-11-0); [Pawar et al., 2017;](#page-10-0) [Zhang et al., 2017](#page-11-0)). But O-acetylation seems necessary for the establishment of a certain pattern of xylan decorations that enable a conformation compatible with the docking of xylan molecules onto the hydrophilic face of cellulose microfibrils [\(Grantham et al., 2017](#page-9-0)). This xylan-cellulose interaction is essential for development of normal secondary cell walls, so xylan hypoacetylation mutants often display severe growth defects [\(Manabe et al., 2013;](#page-10-0) [Xiong et al., 2013;](#page-11-0) [Schultink et al., 2015](#page-10-0); Ramí[rez et al., 2018](#page-10-0); Ramí[rez and Pauly, 2019](#page-10-0)). Alternative strategies aimed at reducing GAX arabinosyl-substituents by overexpression of an arabinofuranosidase (OsARAF) result in a ~46%–70% improvement in saccharification rate correlated with a decrease in arabinose content by ~20%–25% ([Sumiyoshi et al., 2013\)](#page-10-0). Likewise, knocking out a group of UDP-xylose epimerases (UXE) and xylan arabinosyl-transferase (XAT) genes involved in arabinose side chain synthesis also decreased xylan arabinose content and improved saccharification yield of rice straw ([Chen et al., 2021](#page-9-0)). Interestingly, uxe and xat rice mutants or OsARAF overexpression plants have no visible grow defects despite the potential structural alterations due to changes in cellulose-xylan interactions.

Increasing the MLG content as a strategy to improve the saccharification yield in grass species has also been successfully utilized. For example, overexpression of an MLG synthase (cellulose synthase-like F 6) in grasses results in a high MLG content and a concomitant increase in saccharification yield. However, the biotechnological application of this strategy has some limitations, as severe associated developmental defects have been reported for example in barley and Brachypodium dystachlon [\(Burton et al.,](#page-9-0) [2011;](#page-9-0) [Vega-Sanchez et al., 2015;](#page-10-0) [Kim et al., 2018](#page-9-0)). An alternative approach with no detrimental effects on plant yield and performance is the manipulation of MLG degradation instead ([Kraemer et al., 2021](#page-9-0); [Fan et al., 2022](#page-9-0)). Due to its relatively simple structure MLG is easily degraded by hydrolytic enzymes. In fact, MLG is endogenously turned over by grass species during the night likely to obtain glucose under energy-limiting conditions. Mutations in the MLG hydrolase 1 (MLGH1), the enzyme responsible for the dark-induced degradation of MLG, result in higher accumulation of this hemicellulosic polymer accompanied by a ~30% increase in saccharification yield in maize [\(Kraemer](#page-9-0) [et al., 2021\)](#page-9-0).

In addition to altering content and composition of cell wall polysaccharides, manipulation of lignin has also been used to reduce lignocellulosic recalcitrance ([Chen and Dixon, 2007;](#page-9-0) [Fu](#page-9-0) [et al., 2011](#page-9-0); [Mottiar et al., 2016;](#page-10-0) [Halpin, 2019\)](#page-9-0). A series of genes involved in the synthesis and metabolism of lignin have been identified and their functions characterized i.e. PAL, 4CL, C4H, CCR, CAD, HCT, C3H, CSE, CCoAOMT, F5H, and COMT (reviewed by [Vanholme et al., 2019](#page-10-0) and [Dixon and Barros, 2019\)](#page-9-0). The down-regulation of these genes alters the lignin content and/or composition reducing lignin recalcitrance and significantly improving the saccharification efficiency of a variety of plant tissues and species [\(Wang et al., 2015](#page-11-0); [Halpin, 2019](#page-9-0)). However, strong lignin modifications in plants are often associated with growth defects caused by collapsed xylem vessels leading plant lodging and dwarfism ([Voelker et al., 2011\)](#page-10-0). Alternative approaches have been proposed, aiming to fine-tune lignin modifications to increase saccharification without impacting plant growth and development based on the spatial and temporal characteristics of lignin at both tissue and cellular level [\(Wang et al., 2022](#page-11-0)). Lignindeficient mutants often display a brown midrib (bm) phenotype characterized for a brownish-red coloration of the leaf midribs and stems (reviewed by [Liu et al., 2018\)](#page-10-0). For example, bm1 and bm3 mutants affected monolignol biosynthetic genes show diverse defects in lignin content and composition in maize, sorghum, or ryegrass associated with enhanced digestibility without significant negative effects on either plant fitness or biomass production ([Vignols et al., 1995](#page-10-0); [Halpin et al., 1998](#page-9-0); [Tu et al., 2010](#page-10-0); [Li](#page-10-0) [et al., 2015](#page-10-0)).

Mechanical, chemical, and/or physical pre-treatments can also be used to increase the digestibility of plant lignocellulosic material (reviewed by [Galbe and Wallberg, 2019\)](#page-9-0). These pretreatments aim at altering the wall architecture, removing major inhibitory barriers to wall degradation for example reducing the crystallinity and degree of polymerization of cellulose, increasing the polymer surface area available for the hydrolytic enzymes, or enhancing the susceptibility to hydrolysis of the carbohydrate substrates (reviewed by [Ashokkumar et al., 2022\)](#page-9-0). Some of the common strategies include pretreatments with acid/alkaline solutions, organic solvents, steam explosion or compressed hot water.

In the present study, we describe a large-scale forward genetic approach resulting in the identification of a collection of chemically mutagenized maize (Zea mays L.) mutants altered in their lignocellulosic composition and/or saccharification yield with no obvious developmental defects. The identified candy-leaf (cal) mutants are a valuable tool not only to understand recalcitrance of grass lignocellulosic biomass to enzymatic deconstruction but also to decipher grass-specific aspects of cell wall biology.

Results

Identification of candy-leaf mutants

A forward genetic screen was designed to identify maize plants with differences in the cell wall composition and/or properties. For that purpose, ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS)-mutagenized pollen was used to fertilize A619 ears [\(Neuffer, 1982;](#page-10-0) [Lewis et al., 2014\)](#page-10-0). M1 plants were then self-pollinated and the resulting M2 screened for wall structural alterations. To maximize the probability to identify independent mutations, M2 progenies obtained from singular M1 plants were screened individually. The focus of the analytical screen were three assays (chemotypes) performed on isolated maize wall preparations from the second leaf of 2-week-old maize seedlings. As developmental mutants might show secondary defects in the cell wall composition/content, individuals exhibiting dwarfism, chlorosis or similar macroscopic defects were excluded. Initially, wall materials were treated with a mild acid. Under these conditions, matrix carbohydrates are hydrolyzed into their constituent monosaccharides, while crystalline cellulose remains mostly intact ([Foster et al., 2010b\)](#page-9-0). We used this method as a proxy to identify differences in hemicellulose composition. Then, we determined the amount of wall-bound acetate [\(Rosa et al., 2017;](#page-10-0) Ramí[rez et al., 2018](#page-10-0)). Many hemicellulosic polysaccharides can be heavily O-acetylated via ester bonds modifying the physiochemical properties of the polymers altering not only the interaction but also their enzymatic hydrolysis ([Pauly and Ramirez, 2018\)](#page-10-0). Last, we determined the saccharification yield by measuring the amount of Wang et al. [10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009)

glucose released by hydrolysis using a commercial enzyme cocktail (Accellerase 1500^R). Using this pipeline nearly 12,000 M2 individuals were screened revealing 51 candidate outliers showing $a \geq 20\%$ difference in one or more of the chemotypic values (Table 1; [Supplementary Table 1](#page-9-0)). These candidates were selfpollinated and rescreened in the next generation for the presence of their respective chemotype(s). For 14 candidates, the chemotype(s) could be confirmed in the subsequent generation and were therefore deemed heritable. The remaining 37 were initially discarded due to several reasons. Most of them (21/37) died before flowering or showed developmental abnormalities in adult plants, including severe dwarfism, chlorosis or sterility. From the rest (16/37), their chemotype could not be reproduced in the descendants and might thus correspond to false positives or nonheritable traits. The 14 confirmed candidates were back-crossed to the A619 original inbred in order to segregate spurious mutations and/or crossed to the B73 reference line for mapping purposes. After backcrossing, 8 cal mutants showed segregations consistent with monogenic traits, 7 being recessive (cal1, cal2, cal3, cal4, cal5, cal7 and cal8) and one dominant (cal6). The remaining 6 showed abnormal segregations indicating complex genetic causes. Among the cal collection, two mutants - cal1 and cal6 - showed an increased saccharification yield (Table 1). While *cal1* exhibited an increase in Glc content, in cal6 Ara and Xyl content was increased. Five other mutants showed reduced saccharification yields. Four of them cal3, cal4, cal5 and cal8 - did not show major differences in the other assays, while cal3 additionally showed a decrease in its wall Glc content. Finally, cal7 showed an increase in Ara content (Table 1). We next focused on the characterization of these 8 cal mutants exhibiting clear monogenic inheritances. The subsequent analyses

TABLE 1 Summary cal mutant screen.

were performed in cal1 and cal2 crossed twice to B73, and cal3 to cal8 backcrossed once to A619.

Maize candy-leaf mutants show altered saccharification yield

To compare the cell wall digestibility of the newly identified cal mutants, we analyzed the glucose yields released by enzymatic saccharification from walls isolated from 2-week-old seedlings ([Figure 1](#page-4-0)). Lignin is generally negatively correlated with wall digestibility (Mé[chin et al., 2000;](#page-10-0) [Chen and Dixon, 2007;](#page-9-0) [van der](#page-10-0) [Weijde et al., 2015](#page-10-0)). It has been reported that maize brown-midrib (bm) mutants with reduced lignin content and/or alteration in the lignin composition enhance the wall digestibility by enzymatic saccharification ([Sattler et al., 2009](#page-10-0); [Christensen and Rasmussen,](#page-9-0) [2019](#page-9-0)). Hence, both of these bm mutants, i.e., bm1 and bm3, were included in this study and backcrossed three times to B73. The previously described cal1 mutant was also included for comparison ([Kraemer et al., 2021\)](#page-9-0). cal1 seedlings showed a 24% increase in saccharification yield compared to the corresponding B73 wild-type control. In contrast, the cal2 mutant - also in B73 genetic background - exhibited a significant decrease in the saccharification yield with a \sim 22% reduction compared to the control. The results showed that bm1 and bm3 did not show a significant increment in their saccharification yields, probably due to the low tissue lignification at the seedling stage selected for this analysis. The cal3, cal4, cal5, and cal8 mutants - in the A619 genetic background - showed significant reductions in their saccharification yields confirming the results found in the screen ([Figure 1](#page-4-0)). The

Mutant outliers whose chemotype was confirmed in the M3. Highlighted in bold are the cal mutants with a monogenic segregation. Wall-bound acetate content (Ac), Saccharification (Sacch) and relative monosaccharide content are shown as percentage of the average value of the screened individuals. Number of germinated plants per M2 (#germ) and number of individuals showing the corresponding chemotype (#chemo) are also indicated. The M3 column indicates, if the chemotype was observed in the progeny after self-crossing of the outlier. Backcross (BC) segregation indicates if the mutant segregation is compatible with a dominant, recessive, or undetermined trait. Mutant column shows the assigned cal name. Full table with all outliers identified in the screen is shown in [Supplementary Table 1](#page-9-0).

lowest yield among those mutants was observed in cal3 with a ~42% reduction. For cal4, cal5 and cal8, the observed saccharification yields decreased by 12%, 26%, and 14%, respectively. Interestingly, the cal7 mutant, initially selected in the screen based on increased Ara content, also showed a significant decrease in yield (8%). In the case of the cal6 mutant, also in A619 background, the results were inconclusive (Figure 1). Despite some cal6 plants exhibit an increase in the saccharification yield consistent with the results obtained in the mutant screen, a high variability among the different individuals analyzed was observed. This result might suggest genetic heterogeneity in the dominant *cal6* mutant, although incomplete penetrance cannot be excluded.

Altered saccharification in cal mutants is associated with differences in cell wall composition

To further investigate the existence of wall changes underlying the alteration in saccharification observed in the different cal mutants, we performed a more detailed cell wall compositional analysis. Crystalline cellulose content, monosaccharide composition, lignin content, and acetate content were determined from the same isolated wall materials used for the saccharification yield assay. To expand the characterization of the cal mutant collection, the method of determining the absolute and relative monosaccharide composition was adjusted. Here, a streamlined one-step/two-step method using different concentrations of sulfuric acid was employed to hydrolyze paired destarched alcohol insoluble residue (dAIR) samples to simultaneously quantify crystalline cellulose content and matrix sugar composition ([Yeats et al.,](#page-11-0) [2016](#page-11-0); [Menna et al., 2020](#page-10-0)). With this method, the main chemotypes identified in the screen could be confirmed for all cal mutants analyzed, but also some other differences became apparent (Table 2; [Supplementary Table 2](#page-9-0)). The cal1 mutant has been previously shown to accumulate high amounts of MLG. Accordingly, an increase in the relative content of matrix Glc was observed with a concomitant reduction in the relative abundance of the rest of the matrix monosaccharides, i.e., Ara, Gal and Xyl. The

TABLE 2 Cell wall monosaccharide composition of cal mutant maize seedlings.

Monosaccharide composition values are shown as the mean and average of the relative content of each monosaccharide (in %) of 5 biological replicates. 100% corresponds to the sum of Ara, Gal, Glc and Xyl. cal1, cal2, bm1, and bm3 are in B73 genetic background. cal3, cal4, cal5, cal6, cal7, and cal8 are in A619 genetic background. Asterisk(s) indicate levels of statistical significance between each mutant and corresponding wild-type plant determined by two-tailed unpaired Student t-test at p-value < 0.01 (**), p-value < 0.05 (*).

other lignocellulosic attributes remained unaltered compared to the wildtype.

In cal2, exhibiting a low saccharification, a 36% reduction in the matrix Glc content is observed with this method, in contrast to what was found in the screen (no difference). In addition, a 22% decrease in the crystalline cellulose content was detected. Also noteworthy is a 22% increase in wall-bound acetate and an increase in the relative abundances of matrix Ara and Xyl but not Gal indicating a more complex chemotype with more than one wall component, polymer interactions, and/or wall architecture altered ([Table 2](#page-4-0)). The cal3, cal4, cal7 and cal8 mutants, all showing a reduced saccharification yield, also show significant decreases in the relative matrix Glc content, in particular the 57% and 53% reductions in cal3 and cal5, respectively. In all of these mutants, the relative abundances of the remaining matrix monosaccharides increase accordingly ([Table 2\)](#page-4-0). However, when absolute amounts are computed other differences can be found [\(Supplementary Table 2](#page-9-0)). cal3 showed a 15% reduction in the crystalline cellulose content compared to the wildtype control. As for cal6, a conspicuous increase in crystalline cellulose and an increase in the relative Xyl content were detected, although the variability among the different individuals analyzed was exceptionally high, similar to what was previously observed in the case of the saccharification yield measurements [\(Table 2\)](#page-4-0). Together, these results demonstrate that the alteration in saccharification yield in young leaves of the cal mutants are associated with changes in cell wall carbohydrate content and/ or composition.

Saccharification and cell wall composition of mature tissues of cal mutants

Among the *cal* collection, we selected the *cal1*, *cal2*, and *cal3* mutants to further investigate their lignocellulosic attributes in adult leaves and stalks as these mutants exhibited some interesting differences in terms of saccharification yield correlated with cell wall structural defects at the seedling stage. Adult plants of cal1, cal2 and cal3 mutants showed no obvious differences compared to wide-type in terms of plant height or architecture ([Supplementary Figure 1A\)](#page-9-0). In addition, none of these cal mutants display a change in the midrib coloration as the bm1 and bm3 mutants. [\(Supplementary Figure 1B](#page-9-0)).

In contrast to the results obtained in seedlings, no differences were found in the saccharification yield of adult leaves and stalks derived from the *cal1*, *cal2*, and *cal3* mutants (Figure 2A). However, the bm1 and bm3 controls showed an increase in saccharification efficiency in heavily lignified tissues, i.e., stems, but not leaves (Figure 2A).

The saccharification performance of cal and bm mutant stem tissue was also assessed after alkali pretreatment (Figure 2B). The pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass with 25 mM NaOH increased the saccharification yield of all tissues by 6-fold. However, no differences were found in cal1, cal2, or cal3 compared to the respective wildtype controls. Conversely, pretreatment of bm1 and bm3 stem tissue increased the saccharification yield by 24% similar to the data published

pretreated (B) mature leaves and stems. Values are means \pm SD (n \geq 3. Asterisks indicate significant differences from the wild type plants using the unpaired Student's t-test p-value < 0.05 (*); p-value < 0.01 (**).

previously ([Halpin et al., 1998](#page-9-0); [Marita et al., 2003;](#page-10-0) [Barrière et al.,](#page-9-0) [2013;](#page-9-0) [Xiong et al., 2020](#page-11-0)).

Besides the saccharification efficiency, we also analyzed the wall composition of the mature senesced leaves and stems from the selected cal and bm mutants and corresponding wide-type plants (Table 3; [Supplementary Table 3](#page-9-0)). In general, the main differences observed in cal1, cal2, and cal3 mutant seedlings were reduced if not absent in adult tissues. This might suggest that the affected genes function primarily in processes and/or tissues associated with the seedling development. This could also explain the lack of differences in the saccharification yield of adult tissues. However, a 27% increase in matrix Glc was detected in cal1 stems consistent with the overaccumulation of MLG as also reported previously in this mutant ([Kraemer et al., 2021](#page-9-0)). In cal2, the decrease in relative Glc content was lower than in seedling tissue (18% versus 36.3%) and only significant in leaves but not stems [\(Table 2,](#page-4-0) 3). An increase in the relative Ara content was observed in adult leaves (+17%) and stems (+50%), similar to what was observed in seedlings. In cal3 adult plants a 21% increase in relative Ara content and a 23% decrease in relative Glc abundance was observed in the stem tissue. The remaining lignocellulosic attributes remained unaltered. Under the growth conditions used here, only bm3 but not bm1 mutant stems showed a decrease in lignin content compared to the B73 wild-type control. In fact, the lignin content in bm1 stems was even slightly increased. The lignin content has been reported in diverse bm1 alleles ranging from 4- 20% reduction to no differences depending on the study and the method used ([Halpin et al., 1998](#page-9-0); [Marita et al., 2003](#page-10-0); [Barrière et al.,](#page-9-0) [2013;](#page-9-0) [Xiong et al., 2020](#page-11-0)). A comparative study also of the monolignol composition is needed to determine the nature of this chemotypic variation.

Discussion

The composition and structure of grass cell walls differ from those of dicot species. Due to the high economic importance of some grass species including cereals such as maize, wheat, rice, or sorghum, large efforts have been made to characterize this peculiar wall type. Forward and reverse genetic approaches have been used to identify some mutants with altered cell wall structure and composition in several grass species ([Vermerris et al., 2010;](#page-10-0) [Hu](#page-9-0) [et al., 2020](#page-9-0); [Xiong et al., 2020](#page-11-0); [Kraemer et al., 2021;](#page-9-0) [Liu et al., 2021;](#page-10-0) [Fan et al., 2022\)](#page-9-0). These mutants have been instrumental to uncover some grass-specific aspects of the cell wall biology. However, the wall mutant repertoire in grasses is very limited in comparison to dicots such as the model system Arabidopsis. The new collection of candy-leaf maize wall mutants reported in this study aims at contributing reducing this gap and providing new tools for the grass wall research community. 8 cal mutants have been identified with diverse defects in hemicellulose composition and/or cellulose content at the seedling stage. All cal mutants also exhibit alterations in their saccharification yield confirming the importance of lignocellulosic structure for this important economical trait, particularly considering the absence of associated growth and developmental defects in the cal-mutants.

Despite the descriptive nature of this study, the compositional information compiled here allows us to speculate on the possible wall defects responsible for the observed differences in the saccharification yield of the cal mutant collection. For example, the cal2 mutant was identified in our screen based on a reduction in saccharification yield ([Supplementary Table 1](#page-9-0)). Further analyses showed an associated reduction in cellulose content ([Table 1](#page-3-0)). As the largest fraction of glucose released during the saccharification of maize wall material

Genotype	Mature tissue	Arabinose	Galactose	Glucose	Xylose	Cellulose	Lignin
B73	leaf	15.1 ± 1.1	6.6 ± 0.9	15.4 ± 2.2	63.0 ± 2.8	299.0 ± 66.5	184.8 ± 10.8
cal1	leaf	16.1 ± 1.0	7.1 ± 0.5	16.2 ± 1.3	60.6 ± 0.7	280.7 ± 18.8	179.8 ± 9.6
cal ₂	leaf	$17.7 \pm 0.8**$	7.1 ± 0.4	$12.6 \pm 1.2^*$	62.5 ± 1.5	239.4 ± 26.6	190.4 ± 7.1
bm1	leaf	16.2 ± 1.5	6.9 ± 0.4	14.2 ± 1.5	62.7 ± 0.6	297.2 ± 53.7	179.9 ± 26.3
bm3	leaf	$16.5 \pm 0.7*$	7.1 ± 0.3	$12.9 \pm 0.9*$	63.6 ± 0.8	293.0 ± 24.0	184.3 ± 5.8
A619	leaf	16.8 ± 0.5	6.6 ± 0.7	10.7 ± 1.3	65.9 ± 2.4	251.4 ± 31.4	170.0 ± 31.1
cal ₃	leaf	17.8 ± 1.5	6.9 ± 0.6	10.3 ± 0.8	64.9 ± 1.4	261.8 ± 36.9	179.5 ± 25.0
B73	stem	11.6 ± 1.0	3.6 ± 0.5	8.7 ± 0.6	76.1 ± 1.4	319.1 ± 22.0	179.8 ± 4.7
cal1	stem	12.5 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 0.5	$10.6 \pm 1.4^*$	$73.2 \pm 2.0^*$	319.1 ± 35.3	187.0 ± 8.5
cal ₂	stem	$17.6 \pm 1.6**$	4.0 ± 0.4	7.9 ± 0.9	$70.5 \pm 1.3**$	298.9 ± 14.2	168.4 ± 11.0
bm1	stem	12.0 ± 0.8	3.6 ± 0.3	8.6 ± 0.8	75.9 ± 0.4	344.9 ± 23.0	$200.2 \pm 6.0**$
bm3	stem	12.2 ± 1.1	3.4 ± 0.4	$7.1 \pm 0.7**$	77.3 ± 2.1	354.3 ± 32.2	$159.6 \pm 12.5***$
A619	stem	16.5 ± 0.5	4.4 ± 0.3	7.6 ± 0.8	71.6 ± 1.4	337.2 ± 33.4	151.5 ± 19.8
cal ₃	stem	$20.0 \pm 0.3**$	4.9 ± 0.1	$5.8 \pm 0.2**$	$69.3 \pm 0.5^*$	280.8 ± 49.9	174.9 ± 6.3

TABLE 3 Cell wall composition of cal mutant mature tissues.

Monosaccharide composition values are shown as the mean and average of the relative content (in %) of each monosaccharide (n ≥ 3). 100% corresponds to the sum of Ara, Gal, Glc and Xyl. Crystalline cellulose and lignin content are shown in µg mg-1 AIR. cal1, cal2, bm1, and bm3 are in B73 genetic background. cal3 are in A619 genetic background. Asterisk(s) indicate levels of statistical significance between each mutant and corresponding wild-type plant determined by two-tailed unpaired Student t-test at p-value < 0.01 (**), p-value < 0.05 (*).

originates from the hydrolysis of cellulose, it seems likely that a lower abundance of this polymer may be the cause of the decrease in the cal2 saccharification yield [\(Figure 1\)](#page-4-0). Similarly, the decrease in crystalline cellulose detected in the cal3 mutant might also explain the reduced saccharification yield [\(Figure 1\)](#page-4-0). Both mutants also shared other differences in the matrix composition such as an increase in the Ara content in seedlings and some mature tissues ([Table 1](#page-3-0), [Table 2,](#page-4-0) [Supplementary Table 1,](#page-9-0) [Supplementary Table 2](#page-9-0)). In grasses Ara is present in Ara-containing oligosaccharide sidechain substituents decorating the xylan backbone. The Ara substitution pattern is believed to be essential for the conformation of GAX determining its association strength with cellulose fibrils. The reduction in Ara content in cal2 and cal3 may result in altered cellulose-GAX interactions influencing wall digestibility. The opposite effect has been reported in rice mutants with reduced GAX arabinosylation, showing a low Ara content associated with an increased saccharification yield of rice straw ([Chen et al., 2021\)](#page-9-0). Alternatively, Ara is also present in pectic polysaccharides and arabinogalactan derived glycans. Additional experiments are needed to identify if and which of these wall components are altered in cal2 and cal3.

The similarities in the chemotypes associated to *cal2* and *cal3* might indicate that both mutations are allelic, i.e., the causative mutations affect the same gene. However, some differences arose during the analysis supporting the contrary. First, the two mutants seem to have different tissue-specific patterns in adult tissues regarding matrix composition. While the differences in Ara and Glc in cal2 are found in most adult tissues, in cal3 they are only clearly observed in stems. Second, unlike in cal3 an increase in the total wall-bound acetate was detected in cal2 seedlings. Third, the two methods used to determine the hemicellulose composition revealed an interesting difference between cal2 and cal3. While the hydrolysis of walls using diluted sulfuric acid resulted in a reduced Glc content in both mutants compared to the control, only cal3 showed significant decreases when TFA hydrolysis was used. Although further research is needed to clarify this apparent discrepancy, one could hypothesize that the two methods have a different efficiency in hydrolyzing cal2 walls due to a specific alteration not present in cal3 (e.g., different cellulose crystallinity or amorphous/crystalline ratio in cal2).

The low saccharification yields observed in cal4, cal5, cal7 and cal8 mutant seedlings seem to correlate with a reduced Glc content in the hemicellulose fraction. In fact, the largest yield reductions are found in cal3 and cal5, mutants with the lowest relative Glc abundances, while only mild reductions in saccharification are detected in cal4, cal7 and cal8 plants showing a less severe decrease in the hemicellulosic Glc content. The chemotype of these low-glucan *cal* mutants is inverse to *cal1* with an increased Glc content and high saccharification yields. The cal1 mutant overaccumulates MLG due to a mutation in MLGH1 the enzyme responsible for dark-induced MLG hydrolysis ([Kraemer et al.,](#page-9-0) [2021\)](#page-9-0). One possibility could be that the walls of these low-glucan cal mutants contain less MLG as a result of an exacerbated MLG degradation or reduced level of MLG synthesis. Such mutants could shed light into the mechanisms of MLG synthesis and turnover currently under debate.

The results obtained in this study validate the need for a future in-depth analysis of the cal mutant collection including identification of the causative mutations and the affected corresponding genes. At least 8 cal mutations seem to be heritable and show mendelian segregations. Furthermore, the single seed descent approach employed in this mutant screen makes it very unlikely that the same mutation is responsible for the chemotypes observed in the different cal mutants. Nevertheless, it is still possible that different mutations are present in the same pathway resulting in the similar chemotypes associated with some of the cal mutants. In conclusion, the new candy-leaf mutant collection expands the current repertoire of maize cell wall mutants and show great potential in contributing to addressing research questions specific to grass cell walls as well as the underlying molecular factors affecting enzymatic saccharification of grass lignocellulosic biomass.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth

For the mutant screen, plants were grown in the greenhouse under 16-h d/8-h night photoperiod, temperatures of low 20°C/high 25.6°C and watered two times per day with 100 ppm M, W, F w 20/ 20/20 fertilizer (Peters Professional). For detailed analyses, maize seedlings were grown for 2 weeks in a phytotron (Weiss Technik) under 16 h day, 24°C/8 h night, 20°C conditions. For cell wall analyses, seedlings were transferred to a dark room for 20 h before and the second leaf blade harvested. Senesced stems, and senesced leaf blades including sheath were harvested from plants grown in the field.

Seeds from wild-type (A619 and B73) and bm maize mutants and were obtained from a collection at the Plant Gene Expression Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Albany, California. In order to genotype bm mutants, genomic DNA was extracted using the protocol described by Lunde et al., 2018. For the bm1 mutant, PCR was performed using the primers 5'-CATGACGACAGGACAACCAC-3' and 5'- TTCAGCGTTATCTTGCATGC-3' resulting in a 446 bp amplicon. PCR products were digested with ApaI resulting in two bands in the wildtype (259 bp and 187 bp) and no digestion in the bm1 mutant due to a G-A mutation in the Zm00001eb234730 gene. For bm3 genotyping, PCR was performed using the primers: 5'- CTTGTATGCGCTGATCTGATTC-3' and

5'-GATGAGATGGCATGGCTGC-3'. In wild-type plants, the resulting amplicon has a size of 749 bp, while no amplicon is observed in bm3 plants due to an insertion in the Zm0000eb172420 gene.

Cell wall isolation

The mature stems and leaves (including sheath) were immediately dried after harvesting at 50°C for 3 days in a Innova® 44 incubator (Eppendorf). The dried tissues were cut and ground into crude powder in a GM200 mixer mill (Retsch) with the settings: 15 s "cut interval on" at 4000 RPM followed by 15 s "cut interval off" at 10000 RPM. The seedling leaves and ground powder of mature tissues were freeze-dried at for 48 h in a Coolsafe system (Scanvac) and homogenized in 2 mL screw cap tubes containing two 5-mm steel balls for 2 min at 30 Hz in a MM400 mixer mill (Retsch). Preparation of de-starched AIR (dAIR) was performed as described in [Foster et al. \(2010a\)](#page-9-0).

Determination of monosaccharide composition

Two different methods were used for the quantitative analysis of monosaccharide composition. For the mutant screen, hydrolysis of dAIR with 2 M TFA at 121°C for 1h was performed according to [Foster et al. \(2010b\)](#page-9-0). Sugar mixtures were separated on a HPAEC system (Knauer Azura) equipped with a CarboPac PA20 separator column (3 x 150 mm) and a CarboPac PA20 guard column (3 x 30 mm) using the following gradient: 21 min 2 mM NaOH, 5 min 700 mM NaOH, 10 min 2 mM NaOH, with a flow rate of 0.4mL/min. Detection was performed using a PAD detector (Antec Scientific Decade Elite).

The one step-two step method for the simultaneous determination of monosaccharide composition and crystalline cellulose was performed according to [Yeats et al. \(2016\)](#page-11-0) with minor modifications. Briefly, 50 µg ribose were added to paired dAIR samples (1 mg) and dried at 40°C under gentle air flow for 30 minutes. One of the tubes was incubated at room temperature in the presence of 50 μ L 72% (w/v) sulfuric acid and 1.4 mL of water were added after 1 h. In the second tube, 1.45 mL of 4% (w/v) sulfuric acid were added. Both paired samples were autoclaved at 121°C for 1 h in a VX-120 autoclave (Systec) and diluted 1:5 with 0.5 M NaAc pH 5.2 for neutralization. Diluted samples were injected into the HPAEC-PAD system as described above. Quantification of the resulting monosaccharides was performed by comparison with increasing concentrations of known sugars using ribose as internal standard.

Acetate content

The determination of cell wall acetate content was performed as indicated in Ramí[rez et al. \(2018\)](#page-10-0). 0.5 M NaOH (100 μL) was added to 1 mg dAIR, and reactions were incubated at 25°C for 1 h at 250 RPM constant shaking. The reaction was neutralized through the addition of an equal volume of 1 M HCl. After centrifuging, total acetic acid content of the supernatant was quantified using the Acetic Acid Kit (Megazyme).

Lignin content

Determination of total lignin content was performed using the Acetyl Bromide Soluble Lignin (ABSL) Assay as described in [Foster](#page-9-0) [et al., 2010a.](#page-9-0) Briefly, 1mg dAIR was incubated in the presence of acetyl bromide solution (25% v/v acetyl bromide in glacial acetic

acid) at 50°C for 3 h. After adding 2M sodium hydroxide and 0.5 M hydroxylamine hydrochloride to a final volume of 2 mL the absorption at 280 nm was measured against a blank on a spectrophotometer. Determination of the percentage of ABSL is done with the following formula:

$$
\frac{abs_{280nm} \times 2ml \times 100\%}{Coeff \times 0.539cm \times weight \ (mg)}
$$

Where Coeff is an empiric coefficient specific for grasses = 17.75, and 0.539 cm represents the path length of the 96-well plates used.

Saccharification yield

For the saccharification assay 1 mg dAIR was incubated with 0.5 mL of Accelerase 1500 (Genencor) in 50 mM citrate buffer (pH 4.5) plus 0.01% NaN3 according to [Santoro et al. \(2010\).](#page-10-0) One 5-mm steel ball for each sample was added and enzymatic reactions were performed for 20 h at 50°C with 250 RPM constant shaking. Released glucose was measured in a YSI 2900 biochemistry analyzer following manufacturer's instructions. In the indicated cases, samples were pretreated with 25 mM NaOH for 45 min at 121°C, and neutralized with 2% sulfuric acid before enzymatic saccharification.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article[/Supplementary Material](#page-9-0). Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

CL generated the mutant populations for the screening, grew the plants and performed the necessary crosses. VR, LL, FK, and BK analyzed the plants and selected candidate outliers during the mutant screen. SW analyzed in detail the lignocellulosic attributes of the cal mutants. MS participated in the characterization of cal3 and cal6. SR performed the saccharification with and without base pretreatment of adult tissues. SW, MP and VR wrote the manuscript. MP and VR conceived and designed the experiments. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work has been funded by the Germany's Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) grant "Cornwall," 031B0193A to MP and SW. Additional funding was provided by the BioSC Boost Fund 2.0 grant "NextVegOil" to VR and SR, and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy—EXC 2048/1—Project ID: 390686111 to MP, Marie Curie PIOF‐GA‐2013‐623553 to VR, and USDA-ARS CRIS 2030-21000-051-00D to CL.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Katharina Lufen for technical support on the cell wall analyses and Felix Roth for the bm plant genotyping.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Ashokkumar, V., Venkatkarthick, R., Jayashree, S., Chuetor, S., Dharmaraj, S., Kumar, G., et al. (2022). Recent advances in lignocellulosic biomass for biofuels and value-added bioproducts - a critical review. Bioresour Technol. 344 (Pt B), 126195. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126195](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126195)

Barrière, Y., Chavigneau, H., Delaunay, S., Courtial, A., Bosio, M., Lassagne, H., et al. (2013). Different mutations in the ZmCAD2 gene underlie the maize brown-midrib1 (bm1) phenotype with similar effects on lignin characteristics and have potential interest for bioenergy production. Maydica 58, 6–20.

Bhatia, R., Gallagher, J. A., Gomez, L. D., and Bosch, M. (2017). Genetic engineering of grass cell wall polysaccharides for biorefining. Plant Biotechnol. J. 15 (9), 1071–1092. doi: [10.1111/pbi.12764](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12764)

Burton, R. A., Collins, H. M., Kibble, N. A., Smith, J. A., Shirley, N. J., Jobling, S. A., et al. (2011). Over-expression of specific HvCslF cellulose synthase-like genes in transgenic barley increases the levels of cell wall (1,3;1,4)-b-d-glucans and alters their fine structure. Plant Biotechnol. J. 9 (2), 117–135. doi: [10.1111/j.1467-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2010.00532.x) [7652.2010.00532.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2010.00532.x)

Burton, R. A., and Fincher, G. B. (2009). (1,3;1,4)-beta-D-glucans in cell walls of the poaceae, lower plants, and fungi: a tale of two linkages. Mol. Plant 2 (5), 873–882. doi: [10.1093/mp/ssp063](https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssp063)

Busse-Wicher, M., Gomes, T. C., Tryfona, T., Nikolovski, N., Stott, K., Grantham, N. J., et al. (2014). The pattern of xylan acetylation suggests xylan may interact with cellulose microfibrils as a twofold helical screw in the secondary plant cell wall of arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 79 (3), 492–506. doi: [10.1111/tpj.12575](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12575)

Carpita, N. C., Defernez, M., Findlay, K., Wells, B., Shoue, D. A., Catchpole, G., et al. (2001). Cell wall architecture of the elongating maize coleoptile. Plant Physiol. 127 (2), 551–565. doi: [10.1104/pp.010146](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.010146)

Chen, F., and Dixon, R. A. (2007). Lignin modification improves fermentable sugar yields for biofuel production. Nat. Biotechnol. 25 (7), 759–761. doi: [10.1038/nbt1316](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1316)

Chen, C., Zhao, X., Wang, X., Wang, B., Li, H., Feng, J., et al. (2021). Mutagenesis of UDPxylose epimerase and xylan arabinosyl-transferase decreases arabinose content and improves saccharification of rice straw. Plant Biotechnol. J. 19 (5), 863–865. doi: [10.1111/pbi.13552](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13552)

Christensen, C. S. L., and Rasmussen, S. K. (2019). Low lignin mutants and reduction of lignin content in grasses for increased utilisation of lignocellulose. Agronomy 9 (5), 256. doi: [10.3390/agronomy9050256](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9050256)

de Oliveira, D. M., Finger-Teixeira, A., Mota, T. R., Salvador, V. H., Moreira-Vilar, F. C., Molinari, H. B., et al. (2015). Ferulic acid: a key component in grass lignocellulose recalcitrance to hydrolysis. Plant Biotechnol. J. 13 (9), 1224–1232. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12292) [pbi.12292](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12292)

Dixon, R. A., and Barros, J. (2019). Lignin biosynthesis: Old roads revisited and new roads explored. Open Biol. 9 (12), 190215. doi: [10.1098/rsob.190215](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.190215)

Eugene, A., Lapierre, C., and Ralph, J. (2020). Improved analysis of arabinoxylanbound hydroxycinnamate conjugates in grass cell walls. Biotechnol. Biofuels 13 (1), 202. doi: [10.1186/s13068-020-01841-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-020-01841-6)

Fan, M., Jensen, J. K., Zemelis-Durfee, S., Kim, S. J., Chan, J. Y., Beaudry, C. M., et al. (2022). Disruption of brachypodium lichenase alters metabolism of mixed-linkage glucan and starch. Plant J. 109 (4), 927–939. doi: [10.1111/tpj.15603](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15603)

Fincher, G. B., and Stone, B. A. (2004). "Chemistry of nonstarch polysaccharides," in Encyclopedia of grain science. Eds. C. Wrigley, H. Corke and C. E. Walker (Oxford: Elsevier), 206–223.

Foster, C. E., Martin, T. M., and Pauly, M. (2010a). Comprehensive compositional analysis of plant cell walls (Lignocellulosic biomass) part I: lignin. J. Vis. Exp. (37), e1745. doi: [10.3791/1745](https://doi.org/10.3791/1745)

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1099009/full#supplementary-material)

Foster, C. E., Martin, T. M., and Pauly, M. (2010b). Comprehensive compositional analysis of plant cell walls (lignocellulosic biomass) part II: Carbohydrates. J. Vis. Exp. (37), e1837. doi: [10.3791/1837](https://doi.org/10.3791/1837)

Fu, C., Mielenz, J. R., Xiao, X., Ge, Y., Hamilton, C. Y., Rodriguez, M.Jr., et al. (2011). Genetic manipulation of lignin reduces recalcitrance and improves ethanol production from switchgrass. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108 (9), 3803–3808. doi: [10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100310108) [pnas.1100310108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100310108)

Galbe, M., and Wallberg, O. (2019). Pretreatment for biorefineries: A review of common methods for efficient utilisation of lignocellulosic materials. Biotechnol. Biofuels 12, 294. doi: [10.1186/s13068-019-1634-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-019-1634-1)

Gao, Y., Lipton, A. S., Wittmer, Y., Murray, D. T., and Mortimer, J. C. (2020). A grass-specific cellulose-xylan interaction dominates in sorghum secondary cell walls. Nat. Commun. 11 (1), 6081. doi: [10.1038/s41467-020-19837-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19837-z)

Grantham, N. J., Wurman-Rodrich, J., Terrett, O. M., Lyczakowski, J. J., Stott, K., Iuga, D., et al. (2017). An even pattern of xylan substitution is critical for interaction with cellulose in plant cell walls. Nat. Plants 3 (11), 859–865. doi: [10.1038/s41477-017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0030-8) [0030-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0030-8)

Halpin, C. (2019). Lignin engineering to improve saccharification and digestibility in grasses. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 56, 223–229. doi: [10.1016/j.copbio.2019.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.02.013)

Halpin, C., Holt, K., Chojecki, J., Oliver, D., Chabbert, B., Monties, B., et al. (1998). Brown-midrib maize (bm1)–a mutation affecting the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene. Plant J. 14 (5), 545–553. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00153.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00153.x)

Harris, D. M., Corbin, K., Wang, T., Gutierrez, R., Bertolo, A. L., Petti, C., et al. (2012). Cellulose microfibril crystallinity is reduced by mutating c-terminal transmembrane region residues CESA1A903V and CESA3T942I of cellulose synthase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109 (11), 4098–4103. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1200352109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1200352109)

Hatfield, R. D., Rancour, D. M., and Marita, J. M. (2016). Grass cell walls: A story of cross-linking. Front. Plant Sci. 7. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2016.02056](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.02056)

Himmel, M. E., Ding, S. Y., Johnson, D. K., Adney, W. S., Nimlos, M. R., Brady, J. W., et al. (2007). Biomass recalcitrance: Engineering plants and enzymes for biofuels production. Science 315 (5813), 804–807. doi: [10.1126/science.1137016](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1137016)

Hu, X., Cui, Y., Lu, X., Song, W., Lei, L., Zhu, J., et al. (2020). WI5 encodes an endo-1,4-b-xylanase required for secondary cell wall synthesis and water transport in xylem. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 62 (10), 1607–1624. doi: [10.1111/jipb.12923](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12923)

Jia, J., Yu, B., Wu, L., Wang, H., Wu, Z., Li, M., et al. (2014). Biomass enzymatic saccharification is determined by the non-KOH-extractable wall polymer features that predominately affect cellulose crystallinity in corn. PloS One 9 (9), e108449. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0108449](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108449)

Kabel, M. A., de Waard, P., Schols, H. A., and Voragen, A. G. (2003). Location of Oacetyl substituents in xylo-oligosaccharides obtained from hydrothermally treated eucalyptus wood. Carbohydr Res. 338 (1), 69–77. doi: [10.1016/s0008-6215\(02\)00351-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0008-6215(02)00351-8)

Kim, S. J., Zemelis-Durfee, S., Jensen, J. K., Wilkerson, C. G., Keegstra, K., and Brandizzi, F. (2018). In the grass species brachypodium distachyon, the production of mixed-linkage (1,3;1,4)-beta-glucan (MLG) occurs in the golgi apparatus. Plant J. 93 (6), 1062–1075. doi: [10.1111/tpj.13830](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13830)

Kraemer, F. J., Lunde, C., Koch, M., Kuhn, B. M., Ruehl, C., Brown, P. J., et al. (2021). A mixed-linkage (1,3;1,4)-beta-D-glucan specific hydrolase mediates dark-triggered degradation of this plant cell wall polysaccharide. Plant Physiol. 185 (4), 1559-1573. doi: [10.1093/plphys/kiab009](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab009)

Lewandowski, I., Clifton-Brown, J. C., Scurlock, J. M. O., and Huisman, W. (2000). Miscanthus: European experience with a novel energy crop. Biomass Bioenergy 19, 209– 227. doi: [10.1016/S0961-9534\(00\)00032-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(00)00032-5)

Lewis, M. W., Bolduc, N., Hake, K., Htike, Y., Hay, A., Candela, H., et al. (2014). Gene regulatory interactions at lateral organ boundaries in maize. Dev. 141 (23), 4590– 4597. doi: [10.1242/dev.111955](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.111955)

Li, M., Heckwolf, M., Crowe, J. D., Williams, D. L., Magee, T. D., Kaeppler, S. M., et al. (2015). Cell-wall properties contributing to improved deconstruction by alkaline re-treatment and enzymatic hydrolysis in diverse maize (Zea mays l.) lines. J. Exp. Bot. 66 (14), 4305–4315. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erv016](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv016)

Liu, Q., Luo, L., and Zheng, L. (2018). Lignins: Biosynthesis and biological functions in plants. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19 (2), 335. doi: [10.3390/ijms19020335](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19020335)

Liu, L., Shang-Guan, K., Zhang, B., Liu, X., Yan, M., Zhang, L., et al. (2013). Brittle Culm1, a COBRA-like protein, functions in cellulose assembly through binding cellulose microfibrils. PloS Genet. 9 (8), e1003704. doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1003704](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003704)

Liu, X., Van Acker, R., Voorend, W., Pallidis, A., Goeminne, G., Pollier, J., et al. (2021). Rewired phenolic metabolism and improved saccharification efficiency of a zea mays cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase 2 (zmcad2) mutant. Plant J. 105 (5), 1240–1257. doi: [10.1111/tpj.15108](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15108)

Lyczakowski, J. J., Wicher, K. B., Terrett, O. M., Faria-Blanc, N., Yu, X., Brown, D., et al. (2017). Removal of glucuronic acid from xylan is a strategy to improve the conversion of plant biomass to sugars for bioenergy. Biotechnol. Biofuels 10, 224. doi: [10.1186/s13068-017-0902-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0902-1)

Manabe, Y., Verhertbruggen, Y., Gille, S., Harholt, J., Chong, S. L., Pawar, P. M., et al. (2013). Reduced wall acetylation proteins play vital and distinct roles in cell wall O-acetylation in arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 163 (3), 1107–1117. doi: [10.1104/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.225193) [pp.113.225193](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.225193)

Marita, J. M., Vermerris, W., Ralph, J., and Hatfield, R. D. (2003). Variations in the cell wall composition of maize brown midrib mutants. J. Agric. Food Chem. 51 (5), 1313–1321. doi: [10.1021/jf0260592](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0260592)

Marriott, P. E., Gomez, L. D., and McQueen-Mason, S. J. (2016). Unlocking the potential of lignocellulosic biomass through plant science. New Phytol. 209 (4), 1366– 1381. doi: [10.1111/nph.13684](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13684)

McCann, M. C., and Carpita, N. C. (2015). Biomass recalcitrance: A multi-scale, multi-factor, and conversion-specific property. J. Exp. Bot. 66 (14), 4109–4118. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erv267](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv267)

Méchin, V., Argillier, O., Menanteau, V., Barrière, C., Mila, I., Pollet, B., et al. (2000). Relationship of wall composition to in vitro digestibility of maize inbred lines. J. Sci. Food Agric. 80, 574–580. doi: [10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0010\(200004\)80:5<574::AID-](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(200004)80:5%3C574::AID-JSFA575%3E3.0.CO;2-R)[JSFA575>3.0.CO;2-R](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(200004)80:5%3C574::AID-JSFA575%3E3.0.CO;2-R)

Menna, A., Fischer-Stettler, M., Pfister, B., Andrés, G. S., Holbrook-Smith, D., and Sánchez-Rodríguez, C. (2020). Single-run HPLC quantification of plant cell wall monosaccharides. Bio Protoc. 10 (5), e3546. doi: [10.21769/BioProtoc.3546](https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.3546)

Mottiar, Y., Vanholme, R., Boerjan, W., Ralph, J., and Mansfield, S. D. (2016). Designer lignins: harnessing the plasticity of lignification. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 37, 190–200. doi: [10.1016/j.copbio.2015.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2015.10.009)

Neuffer, M. G. (1982). "Mutant induction in maize," in Maize for biological research. Ed. W. F. Sheridan (Charlottesville, VA: Plant Mol. Biol. Assoc.), 61–64.

Pauly, M., Gille, S., Liu, L., Mansoori, N., de Souza, A., Schultink, A., et al. (2013). Hemicellulose biosynthesis. Planta. 238 (4), 627–642. doi: [10.1007/s00425-013-1921-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-013-1921-1)

Pauly, M., and Keegstra, K. (2008). Cell-wall carbohydrates and their modification as a resource for biofuels. Plant J. 54 (4), 559–568. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03463.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03463.x)

Pauly, M., and Keegstra, K. (2010). Plant cell wall polymers as precursors for biofuels. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 13 (3), 305–312. doi: [10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.009)

Pauly, M., and Ramirez, V. (2018). New insights into wall polysaccharide Oacetylation. Front. Plant Sci. 9. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2018.01210](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01210)

Pawar, P. M., Ratke, C., Balasubramanian, V. K., Chong, S. L., Gandla, M. L., Adriasola, M., et al. (2017). Downregulation of RWA genes in hybrid aspen affects xylan acetylation and wood saccharification. New Phytol. 214 (4), 1491–1505. doi: [10.1111/nph.14489](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14489)

Peña, M. J., Kulkarni, A. R., Backe, J., Boyd, M., O'Neill, M. A., and York, W. S. (2016). Structural diversity of xylans in the cell walls of monocots. Planta. 244 (3), 589– 606. doi: [10.1007/s00425-016-2527-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-016-2527-1)

Pereira, C. S., Silveira, R. L., Dupree, P., and Skaf, M. S. (2017). Effects of xylan sidechain substitutions on xylan-cellulose interactions and implications for thermal pretreatment of cellulosic biomass. Biomacromolecules 18 (4), 1311–1321. doi: [10.1021/acs.biomac.7b00067](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.7b00067)

Ralph, J., Lapierre, C., and Boerjan, W. (2019). Lignin structure and its engineering. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 56, 240–249. doi: [10.1016/j.copbio.2019.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.02.019)

Ramirez, V., and Pauly, M. (2019). Genetic dissection of cell wall defects and the strigolactone pathway in arabidopsis. Plant Direct 3 (6), e00149. doi: [10.1002/pld3.149](https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.149)

Ramírez, V., Xiong, G., Mashiguchi, K., Yamaguchi, S., and Pauly, M. (2018). Growth- and stress-related defects associated with wall hypoacetylation are strigolactone-dependent. Plant Direct 2 (6), e00062. doi: [10.1002/pld3.62](https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.62)

Rongpipi, S., Ye, D., Gomez, E. D., and Gomez, E. W. (2018). Progress and opportunities in the characterization of cellulose - an important regulator of cell wall growth and mechanics. Front. Plant Sci. 9. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2018.01894](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01894)

Rosa, M., Abraham-Juárez, M. J., Lewis, M. W., Fonseca, J. P., Tian, W., Ramirez, V., et al. (2017). The maize MID-COMPLEMENTING ACTIVITY homolog CELL NUMBER REGULATOR13/NARROW ODD DWARF coordinates organ growth and tissue patterning. Plant Cell. 29 (3), 474–490. doi: [10.1105/tpc.16.00878](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00878)

Santoro, N., Cantu, S. L., Tornqvist, C.-E., Falbel, T. G., Bolivar, J. L., Patterson, S. E., et al. (2010). A high-throughput platform for screening milligram quantities of plant biomass for lignocellulose digestibility. Bioenergy Res. 3 (1), 93–102. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-009-9074-6) [s12155-009-9074-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-009-9074-6)

Sattler, S. E., Saathoff, A. J., Haas, E. J., Palmer, N. A., Funnell-Harris, D. L., Sarath, G., et al. (2009). A nonsense mutation in a cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene is responsible for the sorghum brown midrib6 phenotype. Plant Physiol. 150 (2), 584– 595. doi: [10.1104/pp.109.136408](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.136408)

Scheller, H. V., and Ulvskov, P. (2010). Hemicelluloses. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 61, 263–289. doi: [10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112315](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112315)

Schmer, M. R., Vogel, K. P., Mitchell, R. B., and Perrin, R. K. (2008). Net energy of cellulosic ethanol from switchgrass. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105 (2), 464–469. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0704767105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704767105)

Schultink, A., Naylor, D., Dama, M., and Pauly, M. (2015). The role of the plantspecific ALTERED XYLOGLUCAN9 protein in arabidopsis cell wall polysaccharide Oacetylation. Plant Physiol. 167 (4), 1271–1283. doi: [10.1104/pp.114.256479](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.256479)

Simmons, T. J., Mortimer, J. C., Bernardinelli, O. D., Poppler, A. C., Brown, S. P., deAzevedo, E. R., et al. (2016). Folding of xylan onto cellulose fibrils in plant cell walls revealed by solid-state NMR. Nat. Commun. 7, 13902. doi: [10.1038/ncomms13902](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13902)

Smith-Moritz, A. M., Hao, Z., Fernández-Niño, S. G., Fangel, J. U., Verhertbruggen, Y., Holman, H. Y., et al. (2015). Structural characterization of a mixed-linkage glucan deficient mutant reveals alteration in cellulose microfibril orientation in rice coleoptile
mesophyll cell walls. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 628. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2015.00628](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00628)

Somerville, C., Youngs, H., Taylor, C., Davis, S. C., and Long, S. P. (2010). Feedstocks for lignocellulosic biofuels. Science 329 (5993), 790–792. doi: [10.1126/science.1189268](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1189268)

Sumiyoshi, M., Nakamura, A., Nakamura, H., Hakata, M., Ichikawa, H., Hirochika, H., et al. (2013). Increase in cellulose accumulation and improvement of saccharification by overexpression of arabinofuranosidase in rice. *PloS One* 8 (11), e78269. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0078269](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078269)

Teleman, A., Lundqvist, J., Tjerneld, F., Stålbrand, H., and Dahlman, O. (2000). Characterization of acetylated 4-o-methylglucuronoxylan isolated from aspen employing 1H and 13C NMR spectroscopy. Carbohydr Res. 329 (4), 807–815. doi: [10.1016/s0008-6215\(00\)00249-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0008-6215(00)00249-4)

Terrett, O. M., and Dupree, P. (2019). Covalent interactions between lignin and hemicelluloses in plant secondary cell walls. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 56, 97–104. doi: [10.1016/j.copbio.2018.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2018.10.010)

Terrett, O. M., Lyczakowski, J. J., Yu, L., Iuga, D., Franks, W. T., Brown, S. P., et al. (2019). Molecular architecture of softwood revealed by solid-state NMR. Nat. Commun. 10 (1), 4978. doi: [10.1038/s41467-019-12979-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12979-9)

Tilman, D., Hill, J., and Lehman, C. (2006). Carbon-negative biofuels from low-input high-diversity grassland biomass. Science 314 (5805), 1598–1600. doi: [10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1133306) science.113330

Tryfona, T., Sorieul, M., Feijao, C., Stott, K., Rubtsov, D. V., Anders, N., et al. (2019). Development of an oligosaccharide library to characterise the structural variation in glucuronoarabinoxylan in the cell walls of vegetative tissues in grasses. Biotechnol. Biofuels 12, 109. doi: [10.1186/s13068-019-1451-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-019-1451-6)

Tu, Y., Rochfort, S., Liu, Z., Ran, Y., Griffith, M., Badenhorst, P., et al. (2010). Functional analyses of caffeic acid O-methyltransferase and cinnamoyl-CoA-reductase genes from perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne). Plant Cell. 22 (10), 3357–3373. doi: [10.1105/tpc.109.072827](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.072827)

van der Weijde, T., Torres, A. F., Dolstra, O., Dechesne, A., Visser, R. G. F., and Trindade, L. M. (2015). Impact of different lignin fractions on saccharification efficiency in diverse species of the bioenergy crop miscanthus. *Bioenergy Res.* 9 (1), 146-156. doi: [10.1007/s12155-015-9669-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-015-9669-z)

Vanholme, R., De Meester, B., Ralph, J., and Boerjan, W. (2019). Lignin biosynthesis and its integration into metabolism. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 56, 230–239. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.02.018) [j.copbio.2019.02.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.02.018)

Vega-Sanchez, M. E., Loque, D., Lao, J., Catena, M., Verhertbruggen, Y., Herter, T., et al. (2015). Engineering temporal accumulation of a low recalcitrance polysaccharide leads to increased C6 sugar content in plant cell walls. Plant Biotechnol. J. 13 (7), 903– 914. doi: [10.1111/pbi.12326](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12326)

Vega-Sanchez, M. E., Verhertbruggen, Y., Christensen, U., Chen, X., Sharma, V., Varanasi, P., et al. (2012). Loss of cellulose synthase-like F6 function affects mixedlinkage glucan deposition, cell wall mechanical properties, and defense responses in vegetative tissues of rice. Plant Physiol. 159 (1), 56–69. doi: [10.1104/pp.112.195495](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.195495)

Vega-Sanchez, M. E., Verhertbruggen, Y., Scheller, H. V., and Ronald, P. C. (2013). Abundance of mixed linkage glucan in mature tissues and secondary cell walls of grasses. Plant Signal Behav. 8 (2), e23143. doi: [10.4161/psb.23143](https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.23143)

Vermerris, W., Sherman, D. M., and McIntyre, L. M. (2010). Phenotypic plasticity in cell walls of maize brown midrib mutants is limited by lignin composition. J. Exp. Bot. 61 (9), 2479–2490. doi: [10.1093/jxb/erq093](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq093)

Vignols, F., Rigau, J., Torres, M. A., Capellades, M., and Puigdomènech, P. (1995). The brown midrib3 (bm3) mutation in maize occurs in the gene encoding caffeic acid O-methyltransferase. Plant Cell. 7 (4), 407–416. doi: [10.1105/tpc.7.4.407](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.7.4.407)

Voelker, S. L., Lachenbruch, B., Meinzer, F. C., Kitin, P., and Strauss, S. H. (2011). Transgenic poplars with reduced lignin show impaired xylem conductivity, growth efficiency and survival. Plant Cell Environ. 34 (4), 655–668. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02270.x) [3040.2010.02270.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02270.x)

Vogel, J. (2008). Unique aspects of the grass cell wall. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 11 (3), 301–307. doi: [10.1016/j.pbi.2008.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2008.03.002)

Wang, P., Dudareva, N., Morgan, J. A., and Chapple, C. (2015). Genetic manipulation of lignocellulosic biomass for bioenergy. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 29, 32–39. doi: [10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.08.006)

Wang, Y., Gui, C., Wu, J., Gao, X., Huang, T., Cui, F., et al. (2022). Spatio-temporal modification of lignin biosynthesis in plants: A promising strategy for lignocellulose improvement and lignin valorization. Front. Bioeng Biotechnol. 10. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.917459) [fbioe.2022.917459](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.917459)

Wu, Z., Zhang, M., Wang, L., Tu, Y., Zhang, J., Xie, G., et al. (2013). Biomass digestibility is predominantly affected by three factors of wall polymer features distinctive in wheat accessions and rice mutants. Biotechnol. Biofuels 6 (1), 183. doi: [10.1186/1754-6834-6-183](https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-183)

Xiong, G., Cheng, K., and Pauly, M. (2013). Xylan O-acetylation impacts xylem development and enzymatic recalcitrance as indicated by the arabidopsis mutant tbl29. Mol. Plant 6 (4), 1373–1375. doi: [10.1093/mp/sst014](https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/sst014)

Xiong, W., Li, Y., Wu, Z., Ma, L., Liu, Y., Qin, L., et al. (2020). Characterization of two new brown midrib1 mutations from an EMS-mutagenic maize population for lignocellulosic biomass utilization. Front. Plant Sci. 11. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2020.594798](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.594798)

Yeats, T., Vellosillo, T., Sorek, N., Ibáñez, A., and Bauer, S. (2016). Rapid determination of cellulose, neutral sugars, and uronic acids from plant cell walls by one-step two-step hydrolysis and HPAEC-PAD. Bio-Protocol 6 (20), e1978. doi: [10.21769/BioProtoc.1978](https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.1978)

Yoshida, K., Sakamoto, S., and Mitsuda, N. (2021). In planta cell wall engineering: From mutants to artificial cell walls. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 62 (12), 1813–1827. doi: [10.1093/pcp/pcab157](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcab157)

Zhang, R., Hu, H., Wang, Y., Hu, Z., Ren, S., Li, J., et al. (2020). A novel rice fragile culm 24 mutant encodes a UDP-glucose epimerase that affects cell wall properties and photosynthesis. J. Exp. Bot. 71 (10), 2956–2969. doi: [10.1093/jxb/eraa044](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa044)

Zhang, B., Zhang, L., Li, F., Zhang, D., Liu, X., Wang, H., et al. (2017). Control of secondary cell wall patterning involves xylan deacetylation by a GDSL esterase. Nat. Plants 3, 17017. doi: [10.1038/nplants.2017.17](https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.17)

Zoghlami, A., and Paes, G. (2019). Lignocellulosic biomass: Understanding recalcitrance and predicting hydrolysis. Front. Chem. 7. doi: [10.3389/fchem.2019.00874](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00874)