Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Muhammad Rizwan, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

REVIEWED BY Adil Hussain, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Pakistan Abbu Zaid, Govt. Degree College Doda, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Shangli Shi Shishl@gsau.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 06 November 2022 ACCEPTED 13 February 2023 PUBLISHED 09 March 2023

CITATION

Wang X, Miao J, Kang W and Shi S (2023) Exogenous application of salicylic acid improves freezing stress tolerance in alfalfa. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1091077. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1091077

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Miao, Kang and Shi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exogenous application of salicylic acid improves freezing stress tolerance in alfalfa

Xia Wang, Jiamin Miao, Wenjuan Kang and Shangli Shi*

College of Grassland Science, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China

Freezing stress is one of the most detrimental environmental factors that can seriously impact the growth, development, and distribution of alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). Exogenous salicylic acid (SA) has been revealed as a cost-effective method of improving defense against freezing stress due to its predominant role in biotic and abiotic stress resistance. However, how the molecular mechanisms of SA improve freezing stress resistance in alfalfa is still unclear. Therefore, in this study, we used leaf samples of alfalfa seedlings pretreatment with 200 μ M and 0 μ M SA, which were exposed to freezing stress (-10°C) for 0, 0.5, 1, and 2h and allowed to recover at normal temperature in a growth chamber for 2 days, after which we detect the changes in the phenotypical, physiological, hormone content, and performed a transcriptome analysis to explain SA influence alfalfa in freezing stress. The results demonstrated that exogenous SA could improve the accumulation of free SA in alfalfa leaves primarily through the phenylalanine ammonia-lyase pathway. Moreover, the results of transcriptome analysis revealed that the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathwayplant play a critical role in SA alleviating freezing stress. In addition, the weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) found that MPK3, MPK9, WRKY22 (downstream target gene of MPK3), and TGACG-binding factor 1 (TGA1) are candidate hub genes involved in freezing stress defense, all of which are involved in the SA signaling pathway. Therefore, we conclude that SA could possibly induce MPK3 to regulate WRKY22 to participate in freezing stress to induced gene expression related to SA signaling pathway (NPR1dependent pathway and NPR1-independent pathway), including the genes of non-expresser of pathogenesis-related gene 1 (NPR1), TGA1, pathogenesisrelated 1 (PR1), superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione-S-transferase (GST), and heat shock protein (HSP). This enhanced the production of antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, POD, and APX, which increases the freezing stress tolerance of alfalfa plants.

KEYWORDS

candidate genes, freezing stress, Medicago sative, salicylic acid, signal transduction

01

1 Introduction

Alfalfa (*Medicago sative* L.) is one of the most important forage crops cultivated worldwide (Chen et al., 2021c), whereas the extreme environmental conditions can seriously impact alfalfa growth and development, especially sudden freezing stress, not only reducing biomass productivity but also restricting the geographical distribution of alfalfa (Chen et al., 2015; Adhikari et al., 2021; Song et al., 2021). Therefore, it is important to study the mechanisms regulating freezing stress responses in alfalfa.

Freezing stress can cause negative changes at physiological, biochemical, and molecular levels, and preliminarily leads to inhibition of photosynthetic activity, ion permeability, nutrition uptake (Huang and Cheung, 2021), increased oxidant stress due to Reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation and induced redox homeostasis and other changes (Cui et al., 2019). At low temperatures, the formation of ice crystals in plants directly result in cellular dehydration and membrane damage (Knight and Knight, 2012). Plants have evolved numerous regulatory mechanisms to cope with the damage caused by freezing stress (Shu et al., 2017). To scavenge ROS, plants trigger their antioxidant system to synthesize antioxidant enzymes, including superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), catalase (CAT), and glutathione reductase (GR) (Wang et al., 2009a; Dong et al., 2014; Zhuo et al., 2018; Zaid and Wani, 2019). To survive, plants have evolved precise thermos sensory system to trigger signal transductions and provoke numerous freezing-related genes to improve freezing tolerance (Ding et al., 2022). Signal transductions include the calcium signal transduction and the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signal module. Örvar et al. (2000) found that low temperatures stimulated calcium signal transduction in alfalfa. MAPK cascades have been reported to play an important role in regulating downstream biotic and abiotic stress-related genes (Chen et al., 2021a), including MAP kinase kinase kinase (MAPKKK), MAP kinase kinase (MPKK), and MAPK.

In addition, freezing stress can increase endogenous phytohormones, including abscisic acid (ABA), polyamines (PAs), and SA, which enhances freezing tolerance (Zhang et al., 2011). SA is a natural phenolic phytohormone and is a vital hormone that participates in numerous plant physiological processes, including seed germination, vegetative growth, nodulation in legumes, and stomatal closure. Furthermore, SA plays a critical role in the resistance to biotic and abiotic stress, such as freezing stress (Ding et al., 2016; Khokon et al., 2017; Wassie et al., 2020). In plants, there are two distinct pathways to generate SA: one is the isochorismate synthase (ICS) pathway, which is in the chloroplast. Isochorismate is synthesized from chorismic acid and transforms to SA via isochorismate pyruvate layse (Dong et al., 2014). Previous reports have found that the ICS1/ICS2, Enhanced disease susceptibility (EDS)5, and GH3.12/avrPphB susceptible 3 (PBS3) genes play a critical role in the ICS pathway of SA biosynthesis, and that 90% of SA was synthesized through the ICS pathway during pathogen attacks (Ding and Ding, 2020; Mishra and Baek, 2021). The second pathway is phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL). In the cytoplasm, the phenylalanine is deaminated by PAL and converted to trans-cinnamic acid, while the other bifurcate is oxidized to trans-cinnamic acid and converted to benzoic acid (BA). BA is hydroxylated by BA 2-hydroxylase (BA2H) to synthesize SA (Dong et al., 2014). Interestingly, PAL genes in Arabidopsis play a vital role in the PAL pathway to against environmental stress (Huang et al., 2010). Although multiple pieces of evidence demonstrated that those two pathways were activated under abiotic stress, it is unclear how they have been activated and regulated by the related genes. With increasing researches have assessed the mechanism of SA defense against biotic and abiotic stress, the SA mechanism in plant pathogen resistance is well known. In Arabidopsis, SA binds to receptor non-expresser of pathogenesis-related gene 1 (NPR1) to regulate pathogenesis-related (PR) genes and other SA-induced genes to resist disease (Wang et al., 2020a; Chen et al., 2021b). Since NPR1 has no DNA binding domain, Zhou et al. (2000) demonstrated that NPR1 can activate PR-1 genes through TGACG-binding motif (TGA) 2 and TGA3 binding to the activating sequence-1 (as-1) element. Fan and Dong (2002) demonstrated that overexpressed TGA2.2 decreased SA- and PRrelated genes in tobacco, while TGA2 is an SA-responsive and NPR1-dependent activator in tobacco. Thus, TGA interacts with NPR1 differently in different species.

Some WRKY genes binding to the W-box motif (TTGACC/T) participated in regulating the NPR1-dependent PR genes to resist pathogen attacks, except for TGAs (Chen et al., 2021b). The WRKY18, WRKY40, and WRKY60 triple mutant increased the expression of PR-1 genes to resist bacterial pathogen attacks (Xu et al., 2006), WRKY53, WRKY54, and WRKY70 were expressed in active downstream-related genes against pathogen infections (Wang et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2018). WRKY could be phosphorylated and inactivated by a MAPK cascade during a pathogen attack, which would depend on MAPK and control the activity and subcellular location of WRKY22 and WRKY29 (Asai et al., 2002). Activating the expression of AtMPK4 could reduce the accumulation of SA to against Pseudomonas syringae py tomato strain DC3000 (Pst DC3000) (Liang et al., 2013), while the MEKK1-MKK1/2-MPK4 cascade negatively regulated genes based on MEKK1 or MPK4, which decreased SA and PR genes (Rodriguez et al., 2010). Automatically, MAPK cascades are not only mediated by freezing stress but also by SA signaling. In addition to the NPR1dependent pathway, SA also induced the expression of glutathione peroxidase (GPX, Li et al., 2013), glutathione-S-transferase (GST, Blanco et al., 2005), heat shock proteins (HSPs, Jumali et al., 2011), POD, SOD, and APX (Per et al., 2017) as NPR1-independent pathway-related genes to enhance antioxidant and nonantioxidant activities against stresses. Intriguingly, Olate et al. (2018) reported that the signaling of cold and pathogen response was crosstalk, including the SA signal pathway. Automatically, PRs as important genes in SA signal pathway also play an important role in freezing stress. However, it remains unknown how SA application improves freezing stress resistance (Yeh et al., 2000; Griffith and Yaish, 2004). Recently, numerous researches have

reported that exogenous SA could improve the freezing tolerance through physiological changes in many plants (Saleem et al., 2021b), including alfalfa (Miura and Tada, 2014). And Wang et al. (2022) have found that SA could play a vital role in the freezing stress of alfalfa leaves, while the mechanism of SA in freezing stress requires further investigation.

In our study, we tested the effect of SA on the freezing resistance of alfalfa and performed a transcriptome analysis to explore the molecular mechanism of SA under freezing stress. Our data reveal that pretreatment of SA could protect alfalfa from freezing stress and proposed a working model on how SA might regulate freezing stress to tolerance in alfalfa. This study contributes to a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of SA-induced alfalfa freezing tolerance, which provides a cost-effective way of reducing freezing stress damage in alfalfa.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Plant materials and treatments

Seeds of the alfalfa cultivar 'WL326GZ' (fall dormancy score 3.8) were obtained from Zhengdao Ecological Technology Co. (Beijing, China). The healthy seeds were sown on arenaceous quartz in a growth room at 20°C with 60%-80% humidity under a 14h light cycle with 200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photons flux density and 10h dark at 18°C regimens. The seedlings were grown hydroponically in half-strength Hoagland solution, which was applied daily after the seeds germinated.

In this study, 200µM SA was used based on preliminary experiments that showed the most effective concentration to improve freezing stress. For SA application and abrupt freezing stress, threeweek-old alfalfa seedlings were divided into two groups with WCK (control) and T (200µM SA treatment). The T group was watered with 20ml of SA solution with Ph 6-8 for five days before freezing stress while the WCK group was watered with 20ml pure water. Subsequently, the seedlings were transferred to a growth chamber at -10°C for 0h, 0.5h, 1h, and 2h for freezing stress. Finally, they were allowed to recover in a growth chamber with normal temperature (20° C/day, 18°C/night) for 2 days. A total of 30 leaf samples were harvested for use in this study. Detailed sample names are as follows: WCK01-03, WCK11-13, WCK21-23, WCK31-33, and WCK41-43 represent three samples of 'WL326GZ' exposed to -10°C at 0, 0.5, 1, 2 h, and recover for 2 days, respectively; T01-03, T11-13, T21-23, T31-33, and T41-43 represent three samples of SA treated 'WL326GZ' exposed to -10°C at 0, 0.5, 1, 2h, and recover for 2 days, respectively.

2.2 Enzyme extraction and assays

To investigate the effects of SA on antioxidant activities, we measured SOD, POD, and APX using the method described by Giannopolitis and Ries (1977) and Chance and Maehly (1955). First, we used fresh leaves of approximately 0.1g that were

homogenized into a 2 ml tube with potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0), and obtained the upper supernatant to measure the antioxidant activities *via* centrifugation for 20 min at 12000 r min⁻¹ and 4°C. We then used the upper supernatant to measure the antioxidant activities using the method outlined by Wassie et al. (2020) with minor modifications.

2.3 Hormone assay content

To analyze changes in hormones in fresh leaves, we used highperformance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) to measure the SA contents as described by Pan et al. (2010). Briefly, only 50 mg of each fresh sample was ground into a powder with liquid nitrogen and SA was twice extracted with acetonitrile to purify on the Poroshell 120 SB-C18 column ($2.1 \times 150, 2.7$ um). Finally, we measured the contents of SA by HPLC-MS/MS (Agilent 1290, SCIEX-6500Qtrap).

2.4 RNA extraction and Illumina sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from the leaf samples with a Trizol reagent kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. And thirty libraries were contrasted and sequenced using Illumina Novaseq6000 by Gene Denovo Biotechnology Co. (Guangzhou, China). To obtain highquality clean reads, we used raw reads to remove reads containing adapters, more than 10% of unknown nucleotides, and more than 50% of low-quality (Q-value ≤ 20) bases through fastp (version 0.18.0) (Chen et al., 2018). Furthermore, we removed rRNA mapped reads, leaving only final clean reads for further assembly and calculation using the short reads alignment tool Bowtie 2 (version 2.2.8) (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Finally, paired-end clean reads were mapped to the alfalfa reference genome (https://figshare.com/projects/whole_genome_ sequencing_and_assembly_of_Medicago_sativa/66380) using HISAT2. 2.4 with "-rna-strandness RF" and other parameters set as a default for further assembly (Kim et al., 2015).

2.5 Differential expression genes identification

For the quantity of each transcript's expression and variations, we used FPKM (fragment per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads) values and the RSEM software for calculations. Furthermore, the differential expression analysis was performed by DESeq2 between two different groups (WCK01-03 vs. WCK11-13, WCK01-03 vs. WCK21-23, WCK01-03 vs. WCK31-33, WCK01-03 vs. T11-13, T01-03 vs. T21-23, T01-03 vs. T31-33, T01-03 vs. T41-43, WCK01-13 vs. T01-03, WCK11-13 vs. T11-13. WCK21-23 vs. T21-23 and WCK41-43 vs. T41-43). The

transcripts with false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 and |log2 (fold change) | \ge 1 were used as DEGs.

2.6 Gene function annotation

To analyze DEG functions, we used all DEGs mapped to Gene Ontology (GO) terms in the Gene Ontology database (http:// www.geneontology.org/) to classify the genes to the three ontologies and significantly enriched (FDR \leq 0.05) GO terms in DEGs, which were compared to the genome background and defined by a hypergeometric test. Furthermore, as the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) is the major public pathway-related database outlining the genes' biological function, we overlapped the DEGs with a pathway enrichment analysis and pathways with FDR \leq 0.05 were defined as significantly enriched reactions in DEGs.

2.7 Weighted gene co-expression network analysis analysis, gene network co-expression, and visualization

WGCNA is a method of clustering modules of highly correlated genes among multiple genes. Co-expression networks were constructed using the WGCNA (v1.47) package in R, and 31,310 genes were used to analyze unsigned by WGCNA. However, the other parameter of WGCNA as power is 12, merge cut height is 0.75 and the minor module size is 50.

2.8 qRT-PCR analysis for candidate gene validation

To verify the efficacy of RNA-seq, we verified 6 genes by Quantitative Real-time PCR (qRT-PCR): MS.gene21548, MS.gene02035, MS.gene004340, MS.gene035685, MS.gene05280, and MS.gene017127. The cDNA was obtained from reverse transcription with the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) and the primers were designed by Primer 5.0, which is listed in Table S1. The qRT-PCR reaction was performed through Universal SYBR Green Master supermix (Roche, Shanghai, China), and then performed on the Applied Biosystems 7500/7500 fast Real-time PCR (BIORAD). There were three technical replicates of each sample. Finally, we used the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method to analyze the expression of all genes based using the UBL-2a as the reference gene (Castonguay et al., 2015).

2.9 Statistical analysis

The all data in our study were assessed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with SPSS 20.0 and performed in Excel 2019 software. The values represent the mean \pm STEDV (n=3). Different letters indicate represent values that were significantly different

between treatments at p < 0.05. The networks of key modules and hub genes were visualized using Cytoscape v.3.3.0.

3 Results

3.1 SA treatment improved alfalfa survival under freezing stress and enhanced related antioxidant enzymes

To assess whether SA treatment improves alfalfa's survival rate under freezing stress, we used 200 μ M SA (treatment) and 0 μ M SA (control) pretreatment on three-week-old alfalfa for five days, including exposure to -10°C for 0, 0.5, 1, 2h to observe changes in phenotypes. Obviously, alfalfa samples with 200 μ M SA treatment had a higher survival rate than the control under exposure to -10°C for 2h (Figure 1A). To exhibit the phenotypic changes, we subjected all alfalfa to growth chamber with normal temperature for 2 days (Figure 1B). Interestingly, 14.83% of treatment samples survived, while only 7.17% survived in the control group (Figure 1B), which indicates that SA treatment could improve alfalfa survival rate under freezing stress conditions.

To measure the effects of SA treatment on alfalfa leaves under freezing stress, we analyzed the antioxidant enzymes of SOD, POD, and APX (Figure 2). The results demonstrated that the changes in SOD activity between the CK and SA treatments dramatically decreased, and then significantly increased as the duration of freezing stress increased. Interestingly, the SOD activity of SA treatment was greater than in CK at different times except for exposure to freezing stress at 0.5h (Figure 2A). In the CK, POD activity dramatically decreased at 0.5h and there were no significant changes as the time of freezing stress increased. Similar to SA treatment, POD activity increased at 0.5h, and no remarkable changes were observed at different freezing stress times (Figure 2B). The variation in APX activity was similar to POD, but that of CK decreased dramatically at 0.5h and 1h (Figure 2C). All leaves of POD and APX activity of SA treatment were higher than in CK (Figures 2B, C). Therefore, SA treatment could enhance the leaves of SOD, POD, and APX activity enzymes to defend against freezing stress.

3.2 Identification of DEGs in different treatments and related DEGs, including GO functional annotation and KEGG pathway analysis

To explore the mechanism of SA treatment in alfalfa under freezing stress, we used RNA-seq to analyze all the leaf samples in this study. We generated 5,929,423,457-7,218,419,876bp clean data reads, which were cleaned by GC<42%, Q20>97%, and Q30>93% (Table S2). All raw data have been updated to National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under accession number PRJNA867517.

Furthermore, we identified 126,974 genes (Table S3) from clean reads after mapping to an alfalfa reference genome more than 93%



(Table S4). Finally, we constructed thirteen comparisons to identify 41,175 DEGs, as seen in Figure S1. In detail, most DEGs were upregulated in WCK-0-vs-WCK-4 (7,957 DEGs) and T-0-vs-T-4 (7,601 DEGs), and most DEGs were downregulated in WCK-0-vs-WCK-3 (7,023 DEGs) and T-0-vs-T-3 (6,725 DEGs) (Figure S1).

To better understand the function of the DEGs, we mapped those DEGs to the GO database and the KEGG database (Figure S2). In WCK-0-vs-WCK-4, T-0-vs-T-4, WCK-0-vs-WCK-3, and T-0-vs-T-3, the results showed that the most abundant biological processes were metabolic processes and cellular processes; in cellular components, the most DEGs were enriched in the cell and cell part terms; in molecular function, the most DEGs were enriched in catalytic activity and binging terms (Figure S2A1– Figure S2D1). Meanwhile, in KEGG analysis, the DEGs are most enriched in the biosynthesis of the secondary metabolite pathway and metabolic pathways. There were some differences in DEGs enriched in the significant pathways. In WCK-0-vs-WCK-4, and T-0-vs-T-4, DEGs were significantly enriched in the biosynthesis of the secondary metabolite pathway and metabolic pathways. Similar to WCK-0-vs-WCK-3 and T-0-vs-T-3, DEGs were significantly enriched in the MAPK signaling pathway-plant, during biosynthesis of the secondary metabolite pathway and the plantpathogen interaction pathway (Figures S2A2–S2D2). Therefore, it is possible that the MAPK signaling pathway-plants play a vital role in SA defense against freezing stress after alfalfa seedlings are exposed to -10°C for 2h.



FIGURE 2

Effects of SA application on the activities of SOD (A), POD (B), and APX (C) in alfalfa leaves under freezing stress. The column chart represents the mean \pm STEDV (n=3). The different letters represent values that were significantly different under CK or SA application to "WL326GZ" under freezing stress at different times (p<0.05, Duncan's test).

3.3 Comparative analysis of DEGs in SA treatment at different times

To explore the potential difference between SA treatment on DEGs and the control, we used 4 Venn diagrams to overlap the DEGs. The results showed that there were 1,420 DEGs in common between (WCK-0-vs Wck-1) and (T-0-vs-T-1) (Figure 3A1). In GO analysis, the circle diagram showed that the most DEGs of the top 20 most significant GO terms enriched were GO:0050896 (528, response to stimulus), GO:0042221 (382, response to chemical), and GO:0006950 (357, response to stress) (Figure 3A2). In KEGG pathway analysis, most DEGs were significantly enriched in the MAPK signaling pathway, and during biosynthesis of secondary metabolites and plant-pathogen interaction pathway (Figure 3A3). Moreover, there were 7,544 unique DEGs in (WCK-0-vs Wck-1) VS (T-0-vs-T-1), which could be due to SA treatment on the leaves. Most DEGs in the top 20 significant GO terms enriched were GO:0044238 (2845 primary metabolic process), GO:0044763 (2541, single-organism cellular process), and GO:0044444 (1852, cytoplasmic part) (Figure 3A4). The most significant KEGG pathway was enriched in the fatty acid elongation pathway,

biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, and biosynthesis of amino acids pathway (Figure 3A5).

As in the freezing stress for 1h (WCK-0-vs Wck-2 VS T-0-vs-T-2), there were 4,295 DEGs in common between the SA treatment and control groups (Figure 3B1). In GO analysis, the most DEGs in the top 20 GO terms enrichment were enriched in GO:0050896 (1,431, response to stimulus), GO:0042221 (992, response to chemical), and GO:0050794 (906, regulation of cellular process) (Figure 3B2). In the KEGG pathway analysis, the most significant DEGs were enriched in the plant-pathogen interaction pathway, the MAPK signaling pathway-plant pathway, and the plant hormone signal transduction pathway (Figure 3B3). In addition to the shared DEGs, based on the SA application, there were 4,483 unique DEGs in this phase (Figure 3B1). The most DEGs of the top 20 significant GO terms were enriched in the GO:0003824 (1,819, catalytic activity), GO:0044710 (1,223, single-organism metabolic process), and GO:0044281 (652, small molecule metabolic process) (Figure 3B4). In the KEGG pathway, the most significant KEGG enrichment pathway was the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, metabolic pathway, and biosynthesis of amino acids pathways (Figure 3B5).



FIGURE 3

Comparison of DEGs between SA pretreatment and the control in alfalfa exposed to freezing stress for different periods. **A1**, **B1**, **C1**, and **D1** represent the Venn diagram of DEGs between SA pretreatment and the control in alfalfa exposed to freezing stress at 0.5, 1, and 2h, and allowed to recover for 2 days, respectively. **A2**, **B2**, **C2**, and **D2**; **A3**, **B3**, **C3**, and **D3** represent the common DEGs between SA pretreatment and control in alfalfa exposed to freezing stress at 0.5, 1, 2h, and allowed to recover for 2 days mapped to GO and KEGG database, respectively. **A4**, **B4**, **C4**, and **D4**; **A5**, **B5**, **C5**, and **D5** represent the especially DEGs of SA pretreatment alfalfa exposed to freezing stress at 0.5, 1, 2h, and allowed to recover for 2 days mapped to GO and KEGG database, respectively.

As the duration of freezing stress increased, more DEGs (7,619) were shared between the SA treatment and control (WCK-0-vs WCK-3 VS T-0-vs-T-3 Figure 3C1). The most DEGs of the top 20 significant GO terms were enriched in GO:0050896 (2,438, response to stimulus), GO:0042221(1,614, response to chemical), and GO:0006950 (1,577, response to stress) (Figure 3C2). The 7,619 DEGs enriched in the KEGG of the top 3 pathways were plantpathogen interaction, MAPK signaling pathway-plant, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites pathways (Figure 3C3). Furthermore, there were 5,371 unique DEGs in the SA treatment group under freezing stress for 2h (Figure 3C1). Most DEGs of the top 20 significant GO terms coincided with shared DEGs, including GO:0050896 (1,460, response to stimulus), GO:0042221(888, response to chemical), and GO:0006950 (901, response to stress) (Figure 3C4). The results of the KEGG pathway analysis differed in metabolic pathways instead of the plant-pathogen interaction pathway (Figure 3C5).

Finally, we contrasted the DEGs in the WCK-0-vs Wck-4 VS T-0-vs-T-4. The results revealed there were 6,510 DEGs in common (Figure 3D1). The GO analysis results were kept within the unique DEGs in WCK-0-vs WCK-3 VS T-0-vs-T-3 (Figure 3D2). However, in the KEGG pathway analysis, the top 3 significant pathways were photosynthesis-antenna proteins, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, and metabolic pathways (Figure 3D3). Based on the SA application, there were 7,024 unique DEGs in this comparison (Figure 3D1). The most DEGs of the top 20 significant GO terms were GO:0009536 (1,053, plastid), GO:0010033 (883, response to organic substance), and GO:0006082 (801, organic acid metabolic process) (Figure 3D4). In KEGG pathway analysis, the most significant DEGs were enriched in the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms, and metabolic pathways (Figure 3D5).

Shared DEGs were highly related to freezing stress, and the terms GO:0050896 (response to stimulus), GO:0042221 (response to chemical), and GO:0006950 (response to stress) could play vital roles in freezing stress response, while the plant-pathogen interaction pathway, the MAPK signaling pathway-plant pathway, the plant hormone signal transduction pathway, and the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites pathways also play important roles in freezing stress. While the unique DEGs could have resulted in SA application, many GO terms interact to play a role in resisting freezing stress. In addition, the KEGG pathway of fatty acid elongation, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, biosynthesis of amino acids, metabolic pathway, and MAPK signaling pathway-plant pathway could play critical roles in reducing freezing stress damage after SA application. Interestingly, the MAPK signaling pathway-plant pathway could play an important role in freezing stress and SA treatment to alleviate damage due to freezing stress.

3.4 Co-expression network analysis, GO and KEGG classification

To validate potential candidate genes related to SA application and reduce damage due to freezing stress, we chose 31,310 DEGs for WGCNA and divided them into 18 modules (Fig 4A1). Then we further analyzed the typical differences in expression modules at the same time as freezing stress. The results showed that the 'darkgreen' (2602), 'darkgrey' (2555), 'salmon' (2327), and 'magenta' (2292) modules were highly related to SA application under freezing stress (Figure 4). In the GO analysis (Figure S3A1), the most DEGs in the darkgreen module were enriched in the GO:0044464 cell, the GO:0005622 intracellular, and GO:0044424 intracellular parts. In KEGG pathway analysis (Figure S3A2), the most significant DEGs were enriched in the autophagy - other eukaryotes, ubiquitinmediated proteolysis, and photosynthesis pathways.

In the darkgrey module, the most DGEs in GO terms were enriched in the GO:0044238 primary metabolic process, GO:0050896 response to stimulus, and GO:0051179 localization (Figure S3B1). Furthermore, in KEGG analysis (Figure S3B2), the DEGs were significantly enriched in the circadian rhythm – plant, ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes, and plant hormone signal transduction pathways.

In the GO analysis in the salmon module (Figure S3C1), the results showed that the most DEGs were enriched in the GO terms of GO:0050896 (response to stimulus), GO:0042221 (response to chemical), GO:0006950 (response to stress). Moreover, in the KEGG pathway analysis (Figure S3C2), the DEGs were significantly enriched in the MAPK signaling pathway - plant, proteasome, and plant-pathogen interaction pathways.

In the magenta module, the GO analysis results showed that the most DEGs were enriched in the GO terms of GO:0044424 intracellular part, GO:0005737 cytoplasm, and GO:0044444 cytoplasmic part (Figure S3D1). In the KEGG pathway analysis, the DEGs were significantly enriched in the photosynthesis, ribosome, and photosynthesis - antenna proteins pathways (Figure S3D2).

To validate the candidate hub genes in those related modules, we used the All.kWithin value to measure the candidate genes in the module. The higher the value was, the more important the gene was in the module (Table 1). The candidate 10 genes of the four modules are shown in Figure 4, including MPK 3, MPK 9, and some transcription factors (transcription factor TGA1, transcription factor MYC2, WRKY transcription factor 22).

3.5 Analysis of candidate genes related to SA biosynthesis and content changes of SA in alfalfa

In plants, there are two pathways related to the synthesis of SA *via* chorismite, which are a product of the shikimate pathway (Kumar, 2014). One pathway used cinnamate through PAL, and the other pathway used isochorismate (IC) through ICS. To analyze which pathway is dominant during freezing stress, we screened 14 *PALs*, 3 *ICSs* in the WGCNA analysis, and 22 *PALs* and 0 *ICSs* in all DEGs. Furthermore, we overlapped the genes to find out the 14 *PALs* from the WGCNA analysis were DEGs. The heatmap of the 14 *PALs* is shown in Figure 5. However, there were no *ICS* DEGs in our study, which suggests that the PAL pathway could predominate in the SA synthesis of leaves under freezing stress.

TABLE 1 The details of hub genes of four modules.

Gene ID	Module	All.kWithin	Symbol	Description
MSTRG.7811	darkgreen	211.23	CNOT9	CCR4-NOT transcription complex subunit 9 isoform X2 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene58025	darkgreen	184.89	SPS	sucrose-phosphate synthase [Medicago sativa]
MS.gene69726	darkgreen	180.04	VPS29	vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein 29 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene015744	darkgreen	176.66	ALDH2C4	aldehyde dehydrogenase family 2 member C4-like [Abrus precatorius]
MS.gene045075	darkgreen	175.60	TGA1	transcription factor TGA1 isoform X1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene57768	darkgreen	166.73	CNGC5	probable cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel 5 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene066083	darkgreen	161.86	TPS5	alpha,alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase [UDP-forming] 5 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene23948	darkgreen	154.52	PLD1	phospholipase D alpha 1 [Medicago truncatula]
MSTRG.85009	darkgreen	152.18	At3g26720	alpha-mannosidase At3g26720 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene033282	darkgreen	148.15	RH30	DEAD-box ATP-dependent RNA helicase 20 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene56484	darkgrey	133.77	STP-1	alpha-1,4 glucan phosphorylase L-2 isozyme, chloroplastic/amyloplastic isoform X1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene067596	darkgrey	127.17	STP-1	alpha-1,4 glucan phosphorylase L-2 isozyme, chloroplastic/amyloplastic isoform X1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene20434	darkgrey	126.98	SS2	granule-bound starch synthase 2, chloroplastic/amyloplastic [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene52552	darkgrey	126.66	MIOX2	inositol oxygenase 2 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene006839	darkgrey	119.90	STP-1	alpha-1,4 glucan phosphorylase L-2 isozyme, chloroplastic/amyloplastic isoform X1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene06703	darkgrey	118.44	SS1	starch synthase 1, chloroplastic/amyloplastic isoform X1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene043386	darkgrey	117.64	Mpv17l2	protein SYM1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene015369	darkgrey	116.60	TIFY10A	protein TIFY 10a isoform X1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene02685	darkgrey	114.89	FDH	beta-ketoacyl CoA synthase 10 [Medicago sativa]
MS.gene057285	darkgrey	114.77	KCS2	3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase 11 [Medicago truncatula]
MSTRG.54558	magenta	145.15	МРК9	mitogen-activated protein kinase 9 isoform X1 [Medicago truncatula]
MSTRG.87802	magenta	142.34	LSM2	sm-like protein LSM2 [Medicago truncatula]
MSTRG.49053	magenta	141.40	ALATS	alanine-tRNA ligase [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene025934	magenta	131.93	UBC28	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 [Zostera marina]
MS.gene21844	magenta	125.89	OASA1	cysteine synthase [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene065561	magenta	120.15	PSBR	photosystem II 10 kDa polypeptide, chloroplastic [Medicago truncatula]
MSTRG.52727	magenta	119.69	ALATS	alanine-tRNA ligase [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene028410	magenta	117.81	RPL44	60S ribosomal protein L44 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene32893	magenta	114.60	TAF4B	transcription initiation factor TFIID subunit 4b [Medicago truncatula]
MSTRG.56164	magenta	114.30	PAHX	phytanoyl-CoA dioxygenase domain protein [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene57961	salmon	395.72	GAE1	UDP-glucuronate 4-epimerase 1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene46308	salmon	392.05	AUX22E	auxin-induced protein 22e-like [Trifolium pratense]
MS.gene020318	salmon	385.57	AUX22E	auxin-induced protein 22e-like [Trifolium pratense]
MS.gene055478	salmon	380.16	GAE1	UDP-glucuronate 4-epimerase 1 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene65266	salmon	377.60	МРК3	LOW QUALITY PROTEIN: mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene006867	salmon	375.77	At4g26390	pyruvate kinase, cytosolic isozyme [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene08572	salmon	375.66	МРК3	LOW QUALITY PROTEIN: mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene048435	salmon	366.46	MYC2	transcription factor MYC2 [Medicago truncatula]

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Gene ID	Module	All.kWithin	Symbol	Description
MS.gene29459	salmon	356.49	CML23	calcium-binding protein CML24 [Medicago truncatula]
MS.gene79681	salmon	355.37	WRKY22	WRKY transcription factor 22 [Medicago truncatula]

kWithin Value: The gene connectivity within each module.



FIGURE 4

Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) of 31,310 genes. (A1) Cluster dendrogram; (A2) Number of genes of 18 modules; (B1, C1, D1, E1) The four modules of most related SA pretreatment alfalfa seedlings increased freezing stress; (B2, C2, D2, E2) The correlation networks of the top 10 hub DEGs corresponding to the four modules. The networks only showed the top 10 weight values of each hub gene, the different types of nodes represent the value of betweenness centrality is higher, the type of the node is bigger, and the triangle of the node represents the transcription factor.

Wang et al.



To analyze the changes in endogenous SA content, we used HLPC-MS/MS to detect the contents of all sample leaves. The results (Figure 5) showed that the SA content increased as the duration of freezing stress increased until 1h and then decreased. Interestingly, the content of CK increased earlier than in the exogenous SA. The CK could be activated earlier as a protection system to defend against freezing stress.

3.6 SA induced large numbers of genes in the SA signaling pathway to defend against freezing stress

In our study, there were 43 MAPKKKs (Figure S4A), 9 MKKs (Figure S4B), and 23 MAPKs (Figure S4C) that could play a role in transmitting SA signaling transduction. All genes reported in the NPR1-dependent pathway could participate in freezing stress. In the NPR1-dependent pathway, the application of SA during freezing stress induced the expression of 134 WRKYs (Figure S4H), and promoted the expression of 4 NPR1 genes (Figure S4D). Interestingly, the expression of the NPR1s increased as the duration of freezing stress increased, and then decreased. There were 21 TGAs (Figure S4E), which as key NPR1 activate transcript factors, were also found in this experiment. Most TGAs in the SA application were more highly expressed than in the CK during freezing stress. Moreover, as the time of freezing stress increased, the expression of 11 TRx hs increased, and most TRx h genes in SA were more highly expressed than in the CK at 0.5 and 1h, while the opposite occurred at 2h (Figure S4F). The expression trend of 4 PR1 genes was similar to TRx h genes, but the inflection point was at 0.5h of freezing stress. The expression of most PR1 genes was higher than CK under freezing stress at 2h (Figure S4G).

Except for the NPR1-dependent pathway genes take a vital role against freezing stress, there have many NPR1-independent pathway genes expressed in this experiment, including SOD, POD, APX, glutathione S-transferases (GST), and HSPs. Fortunately, most of the 9 SOD genes were increased with the duration of freezing stress and then decreased, and the expression of SOD genes in SA application was higher than that of CK after freezing stress at 0, 0.5h, whereas opposite after freezing stress at 1

and 2h (Figure S4I). Among the 10 *POD* genes, except for the expression of *POD* genes in SA application after freezing stress at 2h were higher than that of CK, the others were lower than that of CK (Figure S4J). As in 12 *APX* genes, nearly most of them in SA application had higher expression than in CK after freezing stress at 0.5h, while the others were not more than in CK (Figure S4K). Moreover, SA and freezing stress could induce GSTs, and SA application had higher expression than CK during all freezing stress processes (Figure S4L).

High SA concentrations have been reported to induce HSPs (Jumali et al., 2011). In our research, there were 124 different types of HSP genes induced (Figure S4M), including *HSP 1* (4 genes), *HSP 15* (4 genes), *HSP 17* (8 genes), *HSP 18* (17 genes), *HSP 21* (2 genes), *HSP 22* (5 genes), *HSP 23* (1 genes), *HSP 70* (72 genes), *HSP 83* (5), *HSP 90* (6 genes). SA could induce HSP-related genes in freezing stress.

3.7 qRT-PCR validation of the expression profiles of the candidate genes

To validate the reliability of the transcriptome data, we randomly monitored the expression of 6 DEGs through qRT-PCR analysis: MS.gene 21548 (Rop-interacting receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase 2), MS.gene 02035 (regulatory protein NPR1 isoform X1), MS.gene 004340 (GDSL-like lipase/acylhydrolase), MS.gene 035685 (pathogenesis-related protein PR-1), MS.gene 05280 (mitogen-activated protein kinase 9), and MS.gene 017127 (mitogen-activated protein kinase 10 isoform X1) (Figure S5). Fortunately, the trends of gene expression by the qRT-PCR analysis were similar to the FPKM in transcriptome data analysis.

4 Discussion

4.1 SA effectively induced physiological response in leaves under freezing stress

SA is a potent phenolic signaling biomolecule and a highly potent plant growth regulator that can decrease crop biomass losses

due to its cheap, biodegradable, and positive response to biotic and abiotic stresses (Arif et al., 2020; Zaid et al., 2022). Freezing stress is one of the most significant abiotic stresses that seriously impacts crop biomass (Barnaby et al., 2020), and can cause oxidative damage by excess ROS accumulation in plants (Wei et al., 2021). Pretreatment of SA in plants could increase the ability of the antioxidant system to defend against freezing stress (Arif et al., 2020). Similar to rice, soaking in SA solution increased the SOD, CAT, APX, and GR activities against freezing stress (Pouramir-Dashtmian et al., 2014), while Hossain et al. (2015) reported that the SA-induced antioxidant system decreases freezing stress by maintaining the integrity of the plasma membranes and other physiological functions. Simultaneously, exogenous 0.1 mM SA increased the activities of SOD, POD, and CAT under freezing stress in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) (Mutlu et al., 2016). Interestingly, in our study, pretreatment of 200 μM SA increased SOD, POD, and APX activities against freezing stress (Figure 2), which was similar to rice and barley. Furthermore, Wang et al. (2012) reported that exogenous SA could improve the activities of CAT and POD at low temperatures, while the activity of SOD does not significantly change to CK. This could be because the SA pretreatment method is different. Therefore, exogenous application of 200 µM SA could improve the activities of SOD, POD, and APX in alfalfa under freezing stress.

4.2 SA induced differentially expressed genes in freezing stress

To elucidate the possible mechanism behind how SA application improved freezing stress in alfalfa, we transcribed RNA from alfalfa leaves pretreated with 0 and 200 µM SA, which were exposed to -10°C for 0, 0.5, 1, 2h, and allowed to recover to growth temperature for 2 days. We identified 126,974 genes by RNA-seq from the alfalfa reference genome (Table S3); these results were more effective than other research on alfalfa freezing stress (Song et al., 2016; Shu et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021). To better understand the function of SA application in freezing stress, we constructed 13 comparisons to identify 41,175 DEGs for further analysis. The results demonstrated that the plant-pathogen interaction pathway, the MAPK signaling pathway-plant pathway, the plant hormone signal transduction pathway, and the biosynthesis of the secondary metabolites pathway could play a vital role in freezing stress (Figure S2). Wang et al. and Song et al. found that all pathways, except for the MAPK signaling pathwayplant that is predominant in alfalfa, confer freezing stress (Song et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021). The MAPK signaling pathway has been reported as important in freezing signal transduction in many plants (Cowan and Storey, 2003; Liu and Zhou, 2018; Wu et al., 2022), which means it could have a similar function in our study. As for SA application, the domain KEGG pathways were fatty acid elongation, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, biosynthesis of amino acids, metabolic pathway, and MAPK signaling pathwayplant. In bananas, Chen et al. (2020) found that SA could improve chilling stress via metabolic pathways and enhance energy charge by synthesizing fatty acids and amino acids (Chen et al., 2020). Many reports suggest that SA is involved in the induction of secondary metabolites (Khan et al., 2015), and thus pathway of biosynthesis of secondary metabolites pathway could be one of the domain pathways. SA is known as the primary signaling hormone that is always in coordination with other signal transduction -MAPK signaling pathways to participate in complex transduction networks to enhance abiotic tolerance in plants (Singh and Jwa, 2013; Chai et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2022). Moreover, the MAPK signaling pathway is dominant in defense signaling (Shan et al., 2021), and is involved in freezing stress and SA application.

4.3 MAPK cascades could play a dominant role in transmitting SA signals or SAdependent related genes to defend against freezing stress

MAPK cascades are highly conserved signaling components in all eukaryotic organisms (Zheng et al., 2018) and play an indispensable role in plant growth, development, and biotic and abiotic stresses responses, (Mohanta et al., 2015), including in regulating hormonal responses (Long et al., 2020). MAPK cascades include MAPKKK, MPKK, and MPK (MAPK). In Arabidopsis thaliana, there were 60-80 MAPKKKs, 10 MAPKKs, and 20 MAPKs (Pitzschke, 2015; Saucedo-García et al., 2021). In our study, we identified 43 MAPKKKs, 9 MKKs, and 23 MAPKs as DEGs that belong to MAPK cascades (Figure S4). Moreover, the evidence showed that SA could induce BaMKK9, BaMPK1, 2, BaMKK2, 4, 5, and BaMPK3, 6 integrated into the SA signaling pathway to regulate defense genes in canola (Brassica napus L.) (Liang et al., 2013). AtMKK4/MKK5 is essential for the induction of SA-mediated defense response (Liu et al., 2021), while MPK3/ MPK6 positively regulates SA-dependent defense responses (Bartels et al., 2009). Therefore, the MAPK cascades could take part in transmitting SA signaling or direct the SA-dependent related genes downstream against freezing stress.

We obtained three hub genes of SA application to alleviate the damage of freezing stress as MAPKs through WGCNA (Table 1). Two of them belong to MPK3, and the other is MPK9. Much research has found that MPK3 in different species plays an important role in SA mediating plant defense responses (Long et al., 2020). While AtMPK3 has been reported to direct regulated SA-dependent related genes against freezing defense and is related to SA biosynthesis (Bartels et al., 2009), Guan et al. (2020) revealed that NtMPK3 could interact with the SA signaling pathway in triclosan stress. Recently, evidence has found that MPK3 could mediate SA-dependent genes, such as PR-1 expression to defense response, and SA-independent genes to defense response (Genot et al., 2017). Consequently, the detailed function of the MPK3 remains unknown, though MPK3 occupied a predominant role in SA application against freezing stress. In addition, studies have demonstrated that MPK9 can be expressed in guard cells (Jammes et al., 2009) and positively regulated ABA signaling mediates stomatal closure (Liu et al., 2010). Moreover, Khokon et al. (2017) found that AtMPK9 positively regulates SA signaling by closing stoma in

Arabidopsis. Therefore, we hypothesize that the function of alfalfa MPK9 is similar in *Arabidopsis* to defense freezing stress.

4.4 PAL pathway could be the dominant method of synthesizing SA in alfalfa against freezing stress

Plants use two independent pathways (ICS and PAL pathways) to synthesize SA. In Arabidopsis, Xu et al. (2017) demonstrated that the ICS pathway is the most common way to synthesize SA against biotic and abiotic stress, especially in pathogen-induced SA synthesis (Ding and Ding, 2020). Furthermore, ICS1 has been reported to play a more critical role than ICS2 in SA synthesis (Huang et al., 2020). In soybean, the PAL and ICS pathways contributed equally to SA biosynthesis (Shine et al., 2016). Ogawa et al. (2006) revealed that the PAL pathway was the primary route to the synthesis of SA in tobacco by investigating ICS and PAL gene expression. Therefore, the dominant pathway involved in SA synthesis depends on the plant species. In our study, we investigated the expression of ICS and PAL genes based on the RNA-seq database and WGCNA results. Unfortunately, there were no DEGs as ICS genes (Figure 5), which could be because the freezing stress time was too short. However, 11 PALs were identified as DEGs. Automatically, the PAL pathway could be dominant in alfalfa leaves under freezing stress, leading to the biosynthesis of SA and SA accumulation.

4.5 NPR1-dependent and independent pathway-related genes possibly participate in SA to resist freezing stress in alfalfa

Exogenous application of SA improving cold stress tolerance has been reported in different species, including maize, potato, Aradibopsis (Saleem et al., 2021b), rice (Wang et al., 2009b), wheat (Wang et al., 2021), cucumber, pepper, banana (Hara et al., 2011), and barley (Mutlu et al., 2016). However, numerous studies focused on the physiological changes and the expression of cold signaling genes (Miura and Tada, 2014), though how the SA signaling pathway regulates related genes to improve cold stress is unknown. SA signaling could regulate NPR1-dependent and NPR1-independent pathway-related genes to participate in resistance to biotic and abiotic stress (Li et al., 1999; Yu et al., 2001; An and Mou, 2011), and the NPR1-dependent pathway regulated more than 98% of SA-responsive genes (Saleem et al., 2021a). With NPR1 as the SA receptor, SA and the transcriptome coactivator can regulate SA-dependent gene expression against biotic and abiotic stress (Wu et al., 2012). In an NPR1-dependent pathway, SA could modulate signaling transducers, including MAPK signal cascades, to contribute to WRKY transcript factors binding to the W-box to promote the expression of NPR1 genes (Chai et al., 2014). As the concentration of SA increased, redox changes occurred and thioredoxin (TRx h) monomerized NPR1 to reduce NPR1 (Saleem et al., 2021a). When NPR1 enters the nucleus, it could bind TGA or WRKY transcript factors with the as-1 element or W-box to regulate SA-related gene expression (Wang et al., 2006; Saleem et al., 2021a). We identified 134 WRKY genes, 4 NPR1 genes, 21 TGA genes, 11 TRx h genes, and 4 PR1 genes in our study (Figure S4). Furthermore, to analyze which gene is dominant in this pathway, we used WGCNA to identify possible WRKY 22 and TGA1 that play vital roles in the SA signaling pathway. Numerous studies demonstrated that WRKY22 could be induced by abiotic and biotic stresses, including cold and pathogen stress (Zhang et al., 2015; Kloth et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018a; Li et al., 2019; Chung et al., 2020). Therefore, signal transduction pathways could convert common regulators-WRKY22. Previous studies have found that VvWRKY22 participates in sugar accumulation in grapes (Huang et al., 2021). WRKY22 of LA Hybrid Lily not only regulates gibberellin signaling but is also involved in lowtemperature signals (Li et al., 2019), and Ms WRKY22 has been identified in pathogen attacks (Zhang et al., 2022). In Arabidopsis, AtWRKY22 was involved in Pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP)-triggered MAPK cascades (AtMEKK1-AtMKK4/5-AtMPK3/6), which induced the SA signaling pathway to regulate PR1 expression and resulted in expression of genes related to plant growth and cell-wall loosening (Zipfel et al., 2004; Kloth et al., 2016). Furthermore, Kloth et al. (2016) reported that abiotic stress induced WRKY22 by MAPK cascades or indirectly by SA accumulation. Therefore, WRKY22 is involved in the SA signaling pathway and the function of WRKY22 in alfalfa was similar to that in Arabidopsis.

TGA transcription factors bind to NPR1 to regulate the expression of PR genes (Kesarwani et al., 2007). In *Arabidopsis*, 10 TGAs were identified (Li et al., 2018b), while only TGA1-TGA7 could interact with NPR1. Furthermore, TGA2, TGA3, TGA5, TGA6, and TGA7 have been identified to interact with NPR1 in yeast and planta, but only TGA1 and TGA4 bind to NPR1 in SA-induced planta leaves (Despres, 2003; Fobert and Després, 2005; Kesarwani et al., 2007). Therefore, TGA1 was induced in our study (Table 1). Budimir et al. found that TGA1 was expressed in SA-induced NPR1-dependent genes (Budimir et al., 2020). Moreover, TGA1 interacts with NPR1 in SA, which regulates the expression of PR genes (Budimir et al., 2020). Sun et al. found that TGA1 regulates SA biosynthesis (Sun et al., 2017), and Kesarwani et al. (2007) found that TGA1 plays a dominant in basal resistance, which coincides with our results.

Except for the NPR1-dependent pathway, SA could induce the expression of NPR1-independent pathway-related genes against stress (Uquillas et al., 2004), including *GPXs*, *GSTs*, *HSPs*, *PODs*, *SODs*, *APXs*, GSHs, and *LEAs* (Aftab and Yusuf, 2021; Saleem et al., 2021b). *SODs*, *PODs*, *APXs*, *GSTs*, and *HSPs* were induced in our study (Figure S4). In numerous studies, SA could induce *SOD*, *POD*, APX, and *GST* encoding genes to upregulate the activities of related enzymes and alleviate freezing stress damage (Wang et al., 2020b; Serna-Escolano et al., 2021). In addition, the *WRKY* gene plays an important role in SA's improved encoding genes of antioxidant enzymes in freezing stress (Yokotani et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2020b). Wan et al. (2009) found that low-temperature stress HSP73 could increase the accumulation of



SA, while HSP70 and HSP90 have been demonstrated to accumulate under cold temperature stress and SA application. *HSPs* could prevent the proteins from denaturing and misfolding (Lopez-Matas et al., 2004). Therefore, SA application on alfalfa under freezing stress induces the expression of *SODs*, *PODs*, *APXs*, *GSTs*, and *HSPs* genes.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, exogenous SA can improve the accumulate of free SA in alfalfa leaves primarily through the PAL pathway under freezing stress. Moreover, SA induced MAPK cascades to regulate WRKY22 to participate in the SA signaling pathway, including the NPR1-dependent pathway and the NPR1-independent pathway, to regulated related genes against freezing stress. Interestingly, the transcriptome analysis and WGCNA database found that MPK3 could contribute to WRKY22 binding to the W-box and promote the

downstream expression of NPR1 genes and NPR1-independent related genes to defend against freezing stress. In the NPR1dependent pathway, NPR1 enters the nucleus, which could bind to TGA1 with the as-1 element to regulate the expression of PR1 genes to defend against freezing stress. In the NPR1-independent pathway, WRKY22 could directly regulate the expression of *SODs*, *PODs*, *APXs*, *GSTs*, and *HSPs*. Collectively, SA enhanced the antioxidant enzymes of SOD, POD, and APX to improve the survival of alfalfa under freezing stress. Furthermore, MPK9 can positively regulate SA signaling transduction to defend against freezing stress (Figure 6). Our study provides new insights into the mechanism of how exogenous SA can improve defense against freezing stress in alfalfa.

Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the NCBI repository, the accession number is PRJNA867517.

Author contributions

SS and XW conceived and designed research; XW, JM and WK conducted experiment; XW and JM analyzed data; XW, and WK wrote manuscript; XW, JM and SS finalized the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Fostering Foundation for the Excellent Ph.D. Dissertation of Gansu Agricultural University (YB2019001) and the evaluation and functional identification of important grass germplasm resources in drought and cold regions in Gansu Province (2021-DFZD-21-4).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Adhikari, L., Makaju, S. O., Lindstrom, O. M., and Missaoui, A. M. (2021). Mapping freezing tolerance QTL in alfalfa: based on indoor phenotyping. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21 (1), 1–13. doi: 10.1186/s12870-021-03182-4

Aftab, T., and Yusuf, M. (2021). *Jasmonates and salicylates signaling in plants*. Ed. M. Yusuf (Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature Switzerland AG), 14–16. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-75805-9

An, C. F., and Mou, Z. L. (2011). Salicylic acid and its function in plant immunity f. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 53 (6), 412–428. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2011.01043.x

Arif, Y., Sami, F., Siddiqui, H., Bajguz, A., and Hayat, S. (2020). Salicylic acid in relation to other phytohormones in plant: A study towards physiology and signal transduction under challenging environment. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 175, 104040. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104040

Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M. R., Chiu, W. L., Gomez-Gomez, L., et al. (2002). MAP kinase signalling cascade in arabidopsis innate immunity. *Nature* 415 (6875), 977–983. doi: 10.1038/415977a

Barnaby, J. Y., Kim, J., Devi, M. J., Fleisher, D. H., Tucker, M. L., Reddy, V. R., et al. (2020). Varying atmospheric CO₂ mediates the cold-induced CBF-dependent signaling pathway and freezing tolerance in arabidopsis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (20), 7616. doi: 10.3390/ijms21207616

Bartels, S., Anderson, J. C., Besteiro, M. A. G., Carreri, A., Hirt, H., Buchala, A., et al. (2009). MAP kinase phosphatase1 and protein tyrosine phosphatase1 are repressors of salicylic acid synthesis and SNC1-mediated responses in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 21, 2884–2897. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.067678

Blanco, F., Garretón, V., Frey, N., Dominguez, C., Perez-Acle, T., van der Straeten, D., et al. (2005). Identification of NPR1-dependent and independent genes early

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1091077/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Numbers of differential upregulated and downregulated expressed genes in alfalfa samples. WCK-0, WCK-1, WCK-2, WCK-3, and WCK-4 represent the samples of 0 μ M SA pretreatment seedlings exposed to -10°C at 0, 0.5, 1, 2 h, and allowed to recover for 2 days, respectively. T-0, T-1, T-2, T-3, and T-4 represent the samples of 200 μ M SA pretreatment seedlings expose to -10°C at 0, 0.5, 1, 2 h, and allowed to recover for 2 days, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

The results of the DEGs in most upregulated (WCK-0-vs-WCK-4, T-0-vs-T-4) and downregulated (WCK-0-vs-WCK-3, T-0-vs-T-3) DEG comparisons mapped to the GO and KEGG databases. A1 and A2 represent the results of all the DEGs in the WCK-0-vs-WCK-4 comparison mapped to the GO and KEGG databases, respectively. B1and B2 represent the results of all the DEGs in the T-0-vs-T-4 comparison mapped to the GO and KEGG databases, respectively. C1 and C2 represent the results of all the DEGs in the WCK-0-vs-WCK-3 comparison mapped to the GO and KEGG databases, respectively. D1and D2 represent the results of all the DEGs in the T-0-vs-T-3 comparison mapped to the GO and KEGG databases, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

The GO and KEGG enrichment of the darkgreen (A1, A2), darkgrey (B1, B2), salmon (C1, C2), and magenta (D1, D2) modules.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4

The heatmap of expressed genes in the SA signaling pathway. (A) the expression genes of *MAPKKKs*; (B) the expression genes of *MKKs*; (C) the expression genes of *MPKs*; (D) the expression genes of *NPR1s*; (E) the expression genes of *TGAs*; (F) the expression genes of *TRx hs*; (G) the expression genes of *PR1s*; (H) the expression genes of *WRKYs*; (I) the expression genes of *SODs*; (J) the expression genes of *PODs*; (K) the expression genes of *APXs*; (L) the expression genes of *GSTs*; (M) the expression genes of *HSPs*.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5

Expression profile of 6 candidate genes by qRT-PCR and RNA-seq. Error bar represents STEDV of each treatment.

induced by salicylic acid treatment in arabidopsis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 59 (6), 927–944. doi: 10.1007/s11103-005-2227-x

Budimir, J., Treffon, K., Nair, A., Thurow, C., and Gatz, C. (2020). Redox-active cysteines in TGACG-BINDING FACTOR 1 (TGA1) do not play a role in salicylic acidor pathogen-induced expression of TGA1-regulated target genes in arabidopsis thaliana. *New Phytol.* 230 (6), 2420–2432. doi: 10.1111/nph.16614

Castonguay, Y., Michaud, J., and Dubé, M. P. (2015). Reference genes for RT-qPCR analysis of environmentally and developmentally regulated gene expression in alfalfa. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* 06 (01), 132–142. doi: 10.4236/ajps.2015.61015

Chai, J., Liu, J., Zhou, J., and Xing, D. (2014). Mitogen-activated protein kinase 6 regulates NPR1 gene expression and activation during leaf senescence induced by salicylic acid. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65 (22), 6513–6528. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru369

Chance, B., and Maehly, A. C. (1955). Assay of catalases and peroxidases. *Methods Enzymol.* 2, 764–775. doi: 10.1016/S0076-6879(55)02300-8

Chen, X. X., Ding, Y. L., Yang, Y. Q., Song, C. P., Wang, B. S., Yang, S. H., et al. (2021a). Protein kinases in plant responses to drought, salt, and cold stress. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 63 (1), 53–78. doi: 10.1111/jipb.13061

Chen, L., He, F., Long, R., Zhang, F., Li, M., Wang, Z., et al. (2021c). A global alfalfa diversity panel reveals genomic selection signatures in Chinese varieties and genomic associations with root development. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 63 (11), 1937–1951. doi: 10.1111/jipb.13172

Chen, H., Li, M., Qi, G., Zhao, M., Liu, L. Y., Zhang, J. Y., et al. (2021b). Two interacting transcriptional coactivators cooperatively control plant immune responses. *Sci. Adv.* 7 (45). doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abl7173

Chen, Q. F., Xu, L., Tan, W. J., Chen, L., Qi, H., Xie, L. J., et al. (2015). Disruption of the arabidopsis defense regulator genes SAG101, EDS1, and PAD4 confers enhanced freezing tolerance. *Mol. Plant* 8 (10), 1536–1549. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2015.06.009

Chen, L., Zhao, X., Wu, J. E., He, Y., and Yang, H. S. (2020). Metabolic analysis of salicylic acid-induced chilling tolerance of banana using NMR. *Food Res. Int.* 128, 108796. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108796

Chen, S. F., Zhou, Y. Q., Chen, Y. R., and Gu, J. (2018). Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34 (17), i884–i890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ bty560

Chung, B. Y. W., Balcerowicz, M., Di Antonio, M., Jaeger, K. E., Geng, F., Franaszek, K., et al. (2020). An RNA thermos switch regulates daytime growth in arabidopsis. *Nat. Plants* 6 (5), 522–532. doi: 10.1038/s41477-020-0633-3

Cowan, K. J., and Storey, K. B. (2003). Mitogen-activated protein kinases: new signaling pathways functioning in cellular responses to environmental stress. J. Exp. Biol. 206 (7), 1107–1115. doi: 10.1242/jeb.00220

Cui, G., Chai, H., Yin, H., Yang, M., Hu, G. F., Guo, M. Y., et al. (2019). Full-length transcriptome sequencing reveals the low-temperature-tolerance mechanism of medicago falcata roots. *BMC Plant Biol.* 19 (1), 1–16. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-2192-1

Despres, C. (2003). The arabidopsis NPR1 disease resistance protein is a novel cofactor that confers redox regulation of DNA binding activity to the basic Domain/ Leucine zipper transcription factor TGA1. *THE Plant Cell Online* 15 (9), 2181–2191. doi: 10.1105/tpc.012849

Ding, P. T., and Ding, Y. L. (2020). Stories of salicylic acid: a plant defense hormone. *Trends Plant Sci.* 25 (6), 549–565. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2020.01.004

Ding, Y. L., Yang, H., Wu, S. F., Fu, D. Y., Li, M. Z., Gong, Z. Z., et al. (2022). CPK28-NLP7 module integrates cold-induced Ca²⁺ signal and transcriptional reprogramming in arabidopsis. *Sci. Adv.* 8 (26), eabn7901. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abn7901

Ding, Y., Zhao, J. H., Nie, Y., Fan, B., Wu, S. J., Zhang, Y., et al. (2016). Salicylic-acidinduced chilling-and oxidative-stress tolerance in relation to gibberellin homeostasis, crepeat/dehydration-responsive element bianding factor pathway, and antioxidant enzyme systems in cold-stored tomato fruit. J. Agric. Food Chem. 64 (43), 8200– 8206. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b02902

Dong, C. J., Li, L., Shang, Q. M., Liu, X. Y., and Zhang, Z. G. (2014). Endogenous salicylic acid accumulation is required for chilling tolerance in cucumber (Cucumis sativus l.) seedlings. *Planta* 240 (4), 687–700. doi: 10.1007/s00425-014-2115-1

Fan, W. H., and Dong, X. N. (2002). *In vivo* interaction between NPR1 and transcription factor TGA2 leads to salicylic acid-mediated gene activation in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 14 (6), 1377–1389. doi: 10.1105/tpc.001628

Fobert, P. R., and Després, C. (2005). Redox control of systemic acquired resistance. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 8 (4), 378-382. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2005.05.003

Genot, B., Lang, J. L., Berriri, S., Garmier, M., Gilard, F., Pateyron, S., et al. (2017). Constitutively active arabidopsis MAP kinase 3 triggers defense responses involving salicylic acid and SUMM2 resistance protein. *Plant Physiol.* 174 (2), 1238–1249. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.00378

Giannopolitis, C. N., and Ries, S. K. (1977). Superoxide dismutases i. occurrence in higher plants. *Plant Physiol.* 59, 309–314. doi: 10.1104/pp.59.2.309

Griffith, M., and Yaish, M. W. (2004). Antifreeze proteins in overwintering plants: a tale of two activities. *Trends Plant Sci.* 9 (8), 399-405. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2004.06.007

Guan, C. F., Wang, C., Wu, H., Li, Q., Zhang, Y., Wang, G., et al. (2020). Salicylic acid application alleviates the adverse effects of triclosan stress in tobacco plants through the improvement of plant photosynthesis and enhancing antioxidant system. *Environ. Sci. pollut. Res.* 27 (2), 1359–1372. doi: 10.1007/s11356-019-06863-8

Hara, M., Furukawa, J., Sato, A., Mizoguchi, T., and Miura, K. (2011). Abiotic stress and role of salicylic acid in plants. *Abiotic Stress Responses Plants*, 235–251. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-0634-1_13

Hossain, M. A., Bhattacharjee, S., Armin, S. M., Qian, P., Xin, W., Li, H. Y., et al. (2015). Hydrogen peroxide priming modulates abiotic oxidative stress tolerance: Insights from ROS detoxification and scavenging. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2015.00420

Huang, J. J., and Cheung, P. C. K. (2021). Cold stress treatment enhances production of metabolites and biodiesel feedstock in porphyridium cruentum *via* adjustment of cell membrane fluidity. *Sci. Total Environ.* 780, 146612. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146612

Huang, J. L., Gu, M., Lai, Z. B., Fan, B. F., Shi, K., Zhou, Y. H., et al. (2010). Functional analysis of the arabidopsis PAL gene family in plant growth, development, and response to environmental stress. *Plant Physiol.* 153 (4), 1526–1538. doi: 10.1104/ pp.110.157370

Huang, W. J., Wang, Y., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. L. (2020). Biosynthesis and regulation of salicylic acid and n-hydroxypipecolic acid in plant immunity. *Mol. Plant* 13 (1), 31–41. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2019.12.008

Huang, T., Yu, D., and Wang, X. Q. (2021). VvWRKY22 transcription factor interacts with VvSnRK1.1/VvSnRK1.2 and regulates sugar accumulation in grape. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 554, 193–198. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2021.03.092

Jammes, F., Song, C., Shin, D., Munemasa, S., Takeda, K., Gu, D., et al. (2009). MAP kinases MPK9 and MPK12 are preferentially expressed in guard cells and positively regulate ROS-mediated ABA signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 106, 20520–20525. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907205106

Jumali, S. S., Said, I. M., Ismail, I., and Zainal, Z. (2011). Genes induced by high concentration of salicylic acid in 'Mitragyna speciosa'. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 5 (3), 296–303. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268276910

Kesarwani, M., Yoo, J., and Dong, X. (2007). Genetic interactions of TGA transcription factors in the regulation of pathogenesis-related genes and disease resistance in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 144 (1), 336–346. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.095299

Khan, M. I. R., Fatma, M., Per, T. S., Anjum, N. A., and Khan, N. A. (2015). Salicylic acid-induced abiotic stress tolerance and underlying mechanisms in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00462

Khokon, M. A. R., Salam, M. A., Jammes, F., Ye, W. X., Hossain, M. A., Okuma, E., et al. (2017). MPK9 and MPK12 function in SA-induced stomatal closure in arabidopsis thaliana. *Biosci biotechnol Biochem.* 81 (7), 1394–1400. doi: 10.1080/09168451.2017.1308244

Kim, D., Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2015). HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* 12 (4), 357. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3317

Kloth, K. J., Wiegers, G. L., Busscher-Lange, J., van Haarst, J. C., Kruijer, W., Bouwmeester, H. J., et al. (2016). AtWRKY22 promotes susceptibility to aphids and modulates salicylic acid and jasmonic acid signalling. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67 (11), 3383–3396. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw159

Knight, M. R., and Knight, H. (2012). Low-temperature perception leading to gene expression and cold tolerance in higher plants. *New Phytol.* 195 (4), 737–751. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04239.x

Kumar, D. (2014). Salicylic acid signaling in disease resistance. *Plant Sci.* 228, 127–134. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.04.014

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with bowtie 2. Nat. Methods 9 (4), 357–359. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923

Li, N., Muthreich, M., Huang, L.-J., Thurow, C., Sun, T., Zhang, Y., et al. (2018b). TGACG-BINDING FACTORs (TGAs) and TGA-interacting CC-type glutaredoxins modulate hyponastic growth in arabidopsis thaliana. *New Phytol.* 221 (4), 1906–1918. doi: 10.1111/nph.15496

Li, G. Z., Peng, X. Q., Wei, L. T., and Kang, G. Z. (2013). Salicylic acid increases the contents of glutathione and ascorbate and temporally regulates the related gene expression in salt-stressed wheat seedlings. *Gene* 529 (2), 321–325. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2013.07.093

Li, G. Z., Wang, Z. Q., Yokosho, K., Ding, B., Fan, W., Gong, Q. Q., et al. (2018a). Transcription factor WRKY 22 promotes aluminum tolerance *via* activation of os FRDL 4 expression and enhancement of citrate secretion in rice (Oryza sativa). *New Phytol.* 219 (1), 149–162. doi: 10.1111/nph.15143

Li, W. Q., Yong, Y. B., Zhang, Y., and Lyu, Y. (2019). Transcriptional regulatory network of GA floral induction pathway in LA hybrid lily. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20 (11), 2694. doi: 10.3390/ijms20112694

Li, X., Zhang, Y. L., Clarke, J. D., Li, Y., and Dong, X. N. (1999). Identification and cloning of a negative regulator of systemic acquired resistance, SNI1, through a screen for suppressors of npr1-1. *Cell* 98 (3), 329–339. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81962-5

Liang, W. W., Yang, B., Yu, B. J., Zhou, Z. L., Li, C., Jia, M., et al. (2013). Identification and analysis of MKK and MPK gene families in canola (Brassica napusL.). *BMC Genomics* 14 (1), 1–24. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-392

Liu, T. F., Cao, L., Cheng, Y. Y., Ji, J., Wei, Y. S., Wang, C. C., et al. (2021). MKK4/5-MPK3/6 cascade regulates agrobacterium-mediated transformation by modulating plant immunity in arabidopsis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.731690

Liu, Y. K., Liu, Y. B., Zhang, M. Y., and Li, D. Q. (2010). Stomatal development and movement: the roles of MAPK signaling. *Plant Signaling Behav.* 5 (10), 1176–1180. doi: 10.4161/psb.5.10.12757

Liu, J., Qiu, G. Y., Liu, C., Li, H., Chen, X. D., Fu, Q., et al. (2022). Salicylic acid, a multifaceted hormone, combats abiotic stresses in plants. *Life* 12 (6), 886. doi: 10.3390/life12060886

Liu, Y., and Zhou, J. (2018). MAPping kinase regulation of ICE1 in freezing tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 23 (2), 91–93. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017.12.002

Long, L., Xu, F. C., Zhao, J. R., Li, B., Xu, L., and Gao, W. (2020). GbMPK3 overexpression increases cotton sensitivity to verticillium dahliae by regulating salicylic acid signaling. *Plant Sci.* 292, 110374. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110374

Lopez-Matas, M. A., Nuñez, P., Soto, A., Allona, I., Casado, R., Collada, C., et al. (2004). Protein cryoprotective activity of a cytosolic small heat shock protein that accumulates constitutively in chestnut stems and is up-regulated by low and high temperatures. *Plant Physiol.* 134 (4), 1708–1717. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.035857

Mishra, A. K., and Baek, K. H. (2021). Salicylic acid biosynthesis and metabolism: A divergent pathway for plants and bacteria. *Biomolecules* 11 (5), 705. doi: 10.3390/biom11050705

Miura, K., and Tada, Y. (2014). Regulation of water, salinity, and cold stress responses by salicylic acid. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00004

Mohanta, T. K., Arora, P. K., Mohanta, N., Parida, P., and Bae, H. (2015). Identification of new members of the MAPK gene family in plants shows diverse conserved domains and novel activation loop variants. *BMC Genomics* 16 (1), 1–20. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1244-7

Mutlu, S., Atıcı, Ö., Nalbantoğlu, B., and Mete, E. (2016). Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates cold damage by regulating antioxidative system in two barley (Hordeum vulgare l.) cultivars. *Front. Life Sci.* 9 (2), 99–109. doi: 10.1080/21553769.2015.1115430

Ogawa, D., Nakajima, N., Seo, S., Mitsuhara, I., Kamada, H., and Ohashi, Y. (2006). The phenylalanine pathway is the main route of salicylic acid biosynthesis in tobacco mosaic virus-infected tobacco leaves. *Plant Biotechnol.* 23 (4), 395–398. doi: 10.5511/ plantbiotechnology.23.39

Olate, E., Jiménez-Gómez, J. M., Holuigue, L., and Salinas, J. (2018). NPR1 mediates a novel regulatory pathway in cold acclimation by interacting with HSFA1 factors. *Nat. Plants* 4 (10), 811–823. doi: 10.1038/s41477-018-0254-2

Örvar, B. L., Sangwan, V., Omann, F., and Dhindsa, R. S. (2000). Early steps in cold sensing by plant cells: the role of actin cytoskeleton and membrane fluidity. *Plant J.* 23 (6), 785–794. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00845.x

Pan, X. Q., Welti, R., and Wang, X. M. (2010). Quantitative analysis of major plant hormones in crude plant extracts by high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Nat. Protoc.* 5 (6), 986–992. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2010.37

Per, T. S., Fatma, M., Asgher, M., Javied, S., and Khan, N. A. (2017). "Salicylic acid and nutrients interplay in abiotic stress tolerance," in *Salicylic acid: a multifaceted hormone* (Singapore: Springer), 221–237. doi: 10.1007/978-981-10-6068-7_11

Pitzschke, A. (2015). Modes of MAPK substrate recognition and control. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20 (1), 49–55. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2014.09.006

Pouramir-Dashtmian, F., Khajeh-Hosseini, M., and Esfahani, M. (2014). Improving chilling tolerance of rice seedling by seed priming with salicylic acid. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 60 (9), 1291–1302. doi: 10.1080/03650340.2014.892584

Rodriguez, M. C., Petersen, M., and Mundy, J. (2010). Mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling in plants. *Aznnu Rev. Plant Biol.* 61 (1), 621–649. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042809-112252

Saleem, M., Fariduddin, Q., and Castroverde, C. D. M. (2021a). Salicylic acid: A key regulator of redox signalling and plant immunity. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 168, 381–397. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.10.011

Saleem, M., Fariduddin, Q., and Janda, T. (2021b). Multifaceted role of salicylic acid in combating cold stress in plants: a review. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 40 (2), 464–485. doi: 10.1007/s00344-020-10152-x

Saucedo-García, M., González-Córdova, C. D., Ponce-Pineda, I. G., Cano-Ramírez, D., Romero-Colín, F. M., Arroyo-Pérez, E. E., et al. (2021). Effects of MPK3 and MPK6 kinases on the chloroplast architecture and function induced by cold acclimation in arabidopsis. *Photosynthesis Res.* 149 (1), 201–212. doi: 10.1007/s11120-021-00852-0

Serna-Escolano, V., Martínez-Romero, D., Giménez, M. J., Serrano, M., García-Martínez, S., Valero, D., et al. (2021). Enhancing antioxidant systems by preharvest treatments with methyl jasmonate and salicylic acid leads to maintain lemon quality during cold storage. *Food Chem.* 338, 128044. doi: 10.1016/ j.foodchem.2020.128044

Shan, D. Q., Wang, C. Y., Zheng, X. D., Hu, Z. H., Zhu, Y. P., Zhao, Y., et al. (2021). MKK4-MPK3-WRKY17-mediated salicylic acid degradation increases susceptibility to glomerella leaf spot in apple. *Plant Physiol*. 186 (2), 1202–1219. doi: 10.1093/plphys/ kiab108

Shine, M. B., Yang, J. W., El-Habbak, M., Nagyabhyru, P., Fu, D. Q., Navarre, D., et al. (2016). Cooperative functioning between phenylalanine ammonia lyase and isochorismate synthase activities contributes to salicylic acid biosynthesis in soybean. *New Phytol.* 212 (3), 627–636. doi: 10.1111/nph.14078

Shu, Y. J., Li, W., Zhao, J. Y., Zhang, S. J., Xu, H. Y., Liu, Y., et al. (2017). Transcriptome sequencing analysis of alfalfa reveals CBF genes potentially playing important roles in response to freezing stress. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 40, 824–833. doi: 10.1590/1678-4685-GMB-2017-0053

Singh, R., and Jwa, N. S. (2013). The rice MAPKK–MAPK interactome: the biological significance of MAPK components in hormone signal transduction. *Plant Cell Rep.* 32 (6), 923–931. doi: 10.1007/s00299-013-1437-y

Song, L. L., Jiang, L., Chen, Y., Shu, Y. J., Bai, Y., and Guo, C. H. (2016). Deepsequencing transcriptome analysis of field-grown medicago sativa l. crown buds acclimated to freezing stress. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* 16 (5), 495–511. doi: 10.1007/ s10142-016-0500-5

Song, T., Sun, N., Dong, L., and Cai, H. (2021). Enhanced alkali tolerance of rhizobia-inoculated alfalfa correlates with altered proteins and metabolic processes as well as decreased oxidative damage. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 159, 301–311. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.12.021

Sun, T., Busta, L., Zhang, Q., Ding, P., Jetter, R., and Zhang, Y. (2017). TGACG-BINDING FACTOR 1 (TGA1) and TGA4 regulate salicylic acid and pipecolic acid biosynthesis by modulating the expression of SYSTEMIC ACQUIRED RESISTANCE DEFICIENT 1 (SARD1) and CALMODULIN-BINDING PROTEIN 60g (CBP60g). New Phytol. 217 (1), 344–354. doi: 10.1111/nph.14780

Uquillas, C., Letelier, I., Blanco, F., Jordana, X., and Holuigue, L. (2004). NPR1independent activation of immediate early salicylic acid-responsive genes in arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 17 (1), 34–42. doi: 10.1094/mpmi.2004.17.1.34

Wan, S. B., Tian, L., Tian, R. R., Pan, Q. H., Zhan, J. C., Wen, P. F., et al. (2009). Involvement of phospholipase d in the low temperature acclimation-induced thermotolerance in grape berry. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 47 (6), 504-510. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2008.12.010

Wang, D., Amornsiripanitch, N., and Dong, X. (2006). A genomic approach to identify regulatory nodes in the transcriptional network of systemic acquired resistance in plants. *PloS Pathog.* 2 (11), e123. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0020123

Wang, X., Kang, W. J., Wu, F., Miao, J., and Shi, S. L. (2022). Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals new insight of alfalfa (Medicago sativa l.) cultivars in response to abrupt freezing stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.798118

Wang, W. B., Kim, Y. H., Lee, H. S., Kim, K. Y., Deng, X. P., and Kwak, S. S. (2009a). Analysis of antioxidant enzyme activity during germination of alfalfa under salt and drought stresses. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 47 (7), 570–577. doi: 10.1016/ j.plaphy.2009.02.009

Wang, D. H., Li, X. X., Su, Z. K., and Ren, H. X. (2009b). The role of salicylic acid in response of two rice cultivars to chilling stress. *Biol. plantarum* 53 (3), 545–552. doi: 10.1007/s10535-009-0099-7

Wang, Y. Z., Ren, W., An-Kai, X. U., Wang, Z. F., and Deng, B. (2012). Physiological responses to exogenous SA and ABA in alfalfa varieties under chilling stress. *Acta Agric. Boreali Sin.* 27, 144–149.

Wang, W. L., Wang, X., Zhang, J., Huang, M., Cai, J., Zhou, Q., et al. (2020b). Salicylic acid and cold priming induce late-spring freezing tolerance by maintaining cellular redox homeostasis and protecting photosynthetic apparatus in wheat. *Plant Growth Regul.* 90 (1), 109–121. doi: 10.1007/s10725-019-00553-8

Wang, W. L., Wang, X., Zhang, X. Y., Wang, Y., Huo, Z. Y., Huang, M., et al. (2021). Involvement of salicylic acid in cold priming-induced freezing tolerance in wheat plants. *Plant Growth Regul.* 93 (1), 117–130. doi: 10.1007/s10725-020-00671-8

Wang, W., Withers, J., Li, H., Zwack, P. J., Rusnac, D. V., Shi, H., et al. (2020a). Structural basis of salicylic acid perception by arabidopsis NPR proteins. *Nature* 586 (7828), 311-316. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2596-y

Wassie, M., Zhang, W. H., Zhang, Q., Ji, K., Cao, L. W., and Chen, L. (2020). Exogenous salicylic acid ameliorates heat stress-induced damages and improves growth and photosynthetic efficiency in alfalfa (Medicago sativa l.). *Ecotoxicol Environ. Saf.* 191, 110206. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110206

Wei, X. H., Liu, S., Sun, C., Xie, G. S., and Wang, L. Q. (2021). Convergence and divergence: Signal perception and transduction mechanisms of cold stress in arabidopsis and rice. *Plants* 10 (9), 1864. doi: 10.3390/plants10091864

Wu, W. Z., Yang, H. B., Xing, P., Dong, Y., Shen, J., Wu, G. F., et al. (2022). Comparative transcriptome analysis revealed the freezing tolerance signaling events in winter rapeseed (Brassica rapa l.). *Front. Genet.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.871825

Wu, Y., Zhang, D., Chu, J. Y., Boyle, P., Wang, Y., Brindle, I. D., et al. (2012). The arabidopsis NPR1 protein is a receptor for the plant defense hormone salicylic acid. *Cell Rep.* 1 (6), 639–647. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.05.008

Xu, X. P., Chen, C. H., Fan, B. F., and Chen, Z. X. (2006). Physical and functional interactions between pathogen-induced arabidopsis WRKY18, WRKY40, and WRKY60 transcription factors. *Plant Cell* 18 (5), 1310–1326. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.037523

Xu, L. J., Tang, X. J., Wang, B., Xin, X. P., Sun, Q. Z., Li, Y. L., et al. (2019). Comparative transcriptome analysis of five medicago varieties reveals the genetic signals underlying freezing tolerance. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 70 (3), 273–282. doi: 10.1071/CP18165

Xu, L., Zhao, H. Y., Ruan, W. Y., Deng, M. J., Wang, F., Peng, J. R., et al. (2017). ABNORMAL INFLORESCENCE MERISTEM1 functions in salicylic acid biosynthesis to maintain proper reactive oxygen species levels for root meristem activity in rice. *Plant Cell* 29 (3), 560–574. doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00665

Yeh, S. S., Moffatt, B. A., Griffith, M., Xiong, F., Yang, D. S., Wiseman, S. B., et al. (2000). Chitinase genes responsive to cold encode antifreeze proteins in winter cereals. *Plant Physiol.* 124 (3), 1251–1264. doi: 10.1104/pp.124.3.1251

Yokotani, N., Sato, Y., Tanabe, S., Chujo, T., Shimizu, T., Okada, K., et al. (2013). WRKY76 is a rice transcriptional repressor playing opposite roles in blast disease resistance and cold stress tolerance. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64 (16), 5085–5097. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ ert298

Yu, D. Q., Chen, C. H., and Chen, Z. X. (2001). Evidence for an important role of WRKY DNA binding proteins in the regulation of NPR1 gene expression. *Plant Cell Online* 13 (7), 1527–1540. doi: 10.1105/tpc.13.7.1527

Zaid, A., Mohammad, F., and Siddique, K. H. (2022). Salicylic acid priming regulates stomatal conductance, trichome density and improves cadmium stress tolerance in mentha arvensis l. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.895427

Zaid, A., and Wani, S. H. (2019). "Reactive oxygen species generation, scavenging and signaling in plant defense responses," in *Bioactive molecules in plant defense: Signaling in growth and stress* (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 111–132. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-27165-7_7

Zhang, W. P., Jiang, B., Lou, L. N., Lu, M. H., Yang, M., and Chen, J. F. (2011). Impact of salicylic acid on the antioxidant enzyme system and hydrogen peroxide production in cucumis sativus under chilling stress. Z. für Naturforschung C 66 (7-8), 413–422. doi: 10.1515/znc-2011-7-814

Zhang, Y. Q., Liu, Z. J., Chen, Y. D., He, J. X., and Bi, Y. R. (2015). PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 5 (PIF5) positively regulates dark-induced senescence and chlorophyll degradation in arabidopsis. *Plant Sci.* 237, 57-68. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.05.010

Zhang, W. Y., Wang, Z. C., Dan, Z. C., Zhang, L. X., Xu, M., Yang, G. F., et al. (2022). Transcriptome analysis of fusarium root-Rot-Resistant and-susceptible alfalfa (Medicago sativa l.) plants during plant-pathogen interactions. *Genes* 13 (5), 788. doi: 10.3390/genes13050788 Zheng, Y. P., Deng, X. X., Qu, A. L., Zhang, M. M., Tao, Y., Yang, L. Y., et al. (2018). Regulation of pollen lipid body biogenesis by MAP kinases and downstream WRKY transcription factors in arabidopsis. *PloS Genet.* 14 (12), e1007880. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pgen.1007880

Zhou, M., Lu, Y., Bethke, G., Harrison, B. T., Hatsugai, N., Katagiri, F., et al. (2018). WRKY70 prevents axenic activation of plant immunity by direct repression of SARD1. *New Phytol.* 217 (2), 700–712. doi: 10.1111/nph.14846

Zhou, J. M., Trifa, Y., Silva, H., Pontier, D., Lam, E., Shah, J., et al. (2000). NPR1 differentially interacts with members of the TGA/OBF family of transcription factors

that bind an element of the PR-1 gene required for induction by salicylic acid. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 13 (2), 191–202. doi: 10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.2.191

Zhuo, C. L., Liang, L., Zhao, Y. Q., Guo, Z. F., and Lu, S. Y. (2018). A cold responsive ethylene responsive factor from medicago falcata confers cold tolerance by up-regulation of polyamine turnover, antioxidant protection, and proline accumulation. *Plant Cell Environ.* 41 (9), 2021–2032. doi: 10.1111/pce.13114

Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Navarro, L., Oakeley, E. J., Jones, J. D., Felix, G., et al. (2004). Bacterial disease resistance in arabidopsis through flagellin perception. *Nature* 428, 764–767. doi: 10.1038/nature02485