Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mehtab Muhammad Aslam, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

REVIEWED BY

Antt Htet Wai, Yangon University of Education (YUOE), Myanmar Umashankar Chandrasekaran, Shanghai Center for Plant Stress Biology, Shanghai Institute for Biological Sciences (CAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaoping Niu xpniu0613@126.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 01 July 2022 ACCEPTED 01 August 2022 PUBLISHED 18 August 2022

CITATION

Chen M, She Z, Aslam M, Liu T, Wang Z, Qi J and Niu X (2022) Genomic insights of the *WRKY* genes in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) reveal that *HcWRKY44* improves the plant's tolerance to the salinity stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:984233. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.984233

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Chen, She, Aslam, Liu, Wang, Qi and Niu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genomic insights of the WRKY genes in kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L.) reveal that HcWRKY44 improves the plant's tolerance to the salinity stress

Meixia Chen¹, Zeyuan She², Mohammad Aslam³, Ting Liu^{1,3}, Zerong Wang^{1,3}, Jianmin Qi³ and Xiaoping Niu^{3*}

¹Industry and University Research Cooperation Demonstration Base in Fujian Province, College of Life Sciences, Ningde Normal University, Ningde, China, ²State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-Bioresources, College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Nanning, China, ³College of Life Science, Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Haixia Applied Plant Systems Biology, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China

The WRKY transcription factors (TFs) are among the most diverse TF families of plants. They are implicated in various processes related to plant growth and stress response. Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L.), an important fiber crop, has many applications, including the phytoremediation of salinealkaline soil. However, the roles of WRKY TFs in kenaf are rarely studied. In the present study, 46 kenaf WRKY genes were genome-widely identified and characterized by gene structure, phylogeny and expression pattern analysis. Furthermore, the HcWRKY44 gene was functionally characterized in Arabidopsis under salinity and drought stresses. HcWRKY44 is a nuclearlocalized protein that is positively induced by salinity and drought, with roots showing maximum accumulation of its transcripts. Under NaCl and abscisic acid (ABA) stress conditions, plants overexpressing HcWRKY44 had higher germination rates, better root growth and increased survival than control plants; however, it did not improve the ability to withstand drought stress. Moreover, ABA signaling genes (ABI1, ABI2, and ABI5), ABA-responsive genes (ABF4, RD29B, COR15A, COR47, and RD22), stress-related genes (STZ, P5CS, and KIN1), and ionic homeostasis-related genes (SOS1, AHA1, AHA2, and HKT1) were positively induced in HcWRKY44 transgenic plants under NaCl treatment. These results suggest that HcWRKY44 improved plant's tolerance to salt stress but not osmotic stress through an ABA-mediated pathway. In summary, this study provides provided comprehensive information about HcWRKY genes and revealed that HcWRKY44 is involved in salinity tolerance and ABA signaling.

KEYWORDS

kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L.), WRKY, salinity, drought, ABA

Introduction

Abiotic stresses, such as drought, salinity, and temperature extremes, are major environmental factors affecting plant growth and development (Zhu, 2016). To overcome these adverse conditions, plants have developed multiple defense strategies to adapt directly and/or indirectly. Conceptually, the adaptive responses could be classified into osmotic adjustment and basic defenses, including ion detoxification and growth regulation (Dang et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2014). During the stress responses, phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) is essential in regulating osmotic responses and stress-responsive gene expression (Cutler et al., 2010; Ding et al., 2014; Zhu, 2016). From the perception of the ABA signal to the activation of the relevant protein kinases, phosphatases and transcription factors, the ABA signaling pathway has been thoroughly explored (Fujii and Zhu, 2009; Fujii et al., 2009; Cutler et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2014). Many transcription factors have been reported to respond against osmotic stresses in both ABA-independent and ABA-dependent manner (Rushton et al., 2012; Ding et al., 2014; Zhu, 2016).

WRKY genes play a crucial role in responding to osmotic stress by indirectly and/or directly binding to the promoters of ABA-regulated genes such ABFs, ABI4, ABI5, and DREBs (Jiang et al., 2012; Bakshi and Oelmuller, 2014; Wani et al., 2021). In Arabidopsis, AtWRKY63 and AtWRKY57 are involved in regulating the ABA signaling pathway and enhancing plant tolerance to drought (Ren et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2012). Similarly, OsWRKY24, OsWRKY45, OsWRKY72, and OsWRKY77 also improved salinity tolerance in rice through ABA signaling (Xie et al., 2005). In wheat, overexpression of TaWRKY2 and TaWRKY19 enhanced tolerance to salinity stress by directly binding to the regulatory elements of well-known ABA signaling genes DREB2A, RD29A, RD29B, and COR6.6 (Niu et al., 2012). Consistently, overexpression of GsWRKY20 and HcWRKY50 also improved drought tolerance by regulating ABA-mediated stomatal aperture (Luo et al., 2013; Niu et al., 2022).

Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) is a diploid (2n = 36) herbaceous fiber crop belonging to the Malvaceae family. Kenaf was domesticated in Africa and is grown in the Asia-Pacific region as the third natural fiber species after cotton and jute (Chen P. et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Sim and Nyam, 2021). Kenaf can produce enormous amounts of fiber biomass with up to 100–150 t per hectare and grows quickly, reaching heights of 4–6 m over a 4-month growth period (**Supplementary Figure 1**) (Chen P. et al., 2020; Sim and Nyam, 2021). Kenaf has been widely applied in papermaking, building materials, biocomposites, animal feed, and recycled plastics due to its colossal fiber yield and biodegradable nature (Niu et al., 2015; Sim and Nyam, 2021). More importantly, kenaf performs well and has a high tolerance for drought, salinity, and barrenness (Danalatos and Archontoulis, 2010; Ramesh, 2016; Sim and Nyam, 2021).

Therefore, kenaf could be used in phytoremediation of salinealkali soil and/or as an osmotic-stress tolerant crop. However, its tolerance mechanism is still unclear, and how WRKY genes in kenaf regulate the tolerance remains obscure.

In this study, we performed a genome-wide identification of kenaf WRKY transcription factors and analyzed the gene structure, evolutionary relationship, and expression pattern of *HcWRKYs*. The results showed that the drought and salinity stress could positively induce *HcWRKY44*. Besides, *HcWRKY44* overexpression plants improved the tolerance to salinity stress but not drought stress. We further revealed that *HcWRKY44* increased plant tolerance to salinity stress through modulating the ABA signaling pathways.

Materials and methods

Identification and characterization of *WRKY* genes in *Hibiscus cannabinus*

The *HcWRKY* gene sequences were retrieved from the kenaf genome database¹ (Zhang et al., 2020). The sequence data of a kenaf relative species, *Gossypium hirsutum*, and other species were acquired from the Phytozome v13 database. The HMM model of the WRKY domain (PF03106) was used as the query to search the kenaf genome database. The resulting candidate WRKY genes were further confirmed by the CDD program to verify the C_XH_X domain and WRKYGQK domain. The properties of HcWRKY proteins, such as amino acid length, molecular weight (MW), and isoelectric point (pI), were predicted using ExPASy-Compute pI/Mw tool as described previously (She et al., 2022).

Phylogenetic analysis, chromosome localization, and syntenic analysis

Multiple sequence alignment of Arabidopsis thaliana, G. hirsutum, and Hibiscus cannabinus WRKY proteins were conducted by MUSCLE, using the default setting parameters. The maximum number was 20, and minimum/maximum width was 6/50, and the results were visualized by Jalview software. The phylogenetic tree was generated by the MEGA 7.0 program using the ML method based on the JTT substitution model. The loci of *HcWRKY* genes were retrieved from the kenaf annotation GFF3 files and gene locations on the chromosomes were visualized by TBtools (Chen C. et al., 2020). MCScanX software was used for collinearity analysis and generating collinearity blocks with the threshold value of 1×10^{-5} (Wang et al., 2012). The collinearity block

¹ http://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gwh/Assembly/1033/show

mapping within the kenaf, *Arabidopsis*, and cotton genome were visualized by CIRCOS software. *Ka* and *Ks* values of paralogous genes were estimated by the K-estmator program, and *Ks* value was used for estimating time of segmental duplication events according to the method descripted by Chen et al. (2014).

Plant materials and growth conditions

Kenaf (*H. cannabinus* L.) cultivar Fuhong 992 was used in this study. The seeds were washed three times in running water and then cultured under controlled conditions at 28°C for 16 h in light and 26°C for 8 h in the dark with a relative humidity of 65–75%. For stress treatments, 2 weeks old healthy seedlings were cultivated in a solution containing 200 mM NaCl for salt stress and 15%(w/v) PEG6000 for osmotic stress treatment, according to the previously described method (Niu et al., 2015). Afterward, healthy seedlings were selected, and leaves were harvested from each treatment for further analysis (Niu et al., 2016).

Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype (Col-0) was cultured in a walkin growth chamber at 22°C under a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle. For the differential expression analysis of the ABA-related or stressresponsive marker genes, the WT and transgenic lines (2-weekold) were treated with 15% (w/v) PEG6000, 200 mM NaCl, and 100 mM ABA, respectively. After the treatment, samples were harvested and used for further analysis.

RNA extraction, qRT-PCR, and gene expression analysis

Total RNA was isolated from independently collected samples using the Ultrapure RNA kit (CW0597, Beijing, China). The cDNA was synthesized according to the instruction manual of the Reverse Transcription Kit $(Pimerscript^{TM}\ RT\ DRR037S\ TaKaRa,\ Japan),$ then used as PCR templates and/or qRT-PCR analysis. For sequencing, the amplified products were purified and ligated into the pMD18-T vector and then transformed into Escherichia coli DH5a cells. The qRT-PCR analysis was conducted using the qPCR SuperMix TransStart Top Green (TransGen, AQ132-11) on the Bio-Rad CFX-96 detection system with the following amplification programs of 94°C for 30 s, and 40 cycles of 94°C for 5 s, 60°C for 15 s, and a melting curve cycle from 65°C to 95°C. For normalization of HcWRKYs, HcTUBa (Niu et al., 2015) in kenaf and AtACT2 in Arabidopsis were used. The primers used in qRT-PCR are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Each reaction was performed in three independent biological and three technical replicates.

Vector construction, subcellular localization, and transgenic transformation

The full-length CDS of HcWRKY44 without terminator code was amplified from kenaf cDNA, and the PCR products were inserted into the pENTR^{TM/D}-topo vector. After sequencing, the positive clones were selected and recombined into the destination vector pGWB605. Finally, the positive plasmids were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 strain, which was used for Arabidopsis transformation. The 4-week-old plants of A. thaliana ecotype (Col-0) were transformed by the vacuum infiltration method using the 35S:HcWRKY44-GFP and 35S:GFP constructs. The T₁ transgenic seedlings were sprayed with 20 mg/L herbicide, and the positive T1 lines were selected. The T2 plants were further selected and separated, and the homozygous lines of T₃ generations were used for the subsequent experimental analysis. For subcellular localization analysis, the 35S:HcWRKY44-GFP constructs and the control 35S:GFP vector were introduced into the epidermal leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana and incubated in the dark for 36-48 h. GFP fluorescence signals were checked using an Olympus confocal microscope (Olympus FV500, Olympus, Japan) under a 488 nm exciting wavelength.

Stress treatments and physiological indexes measurement

For the stress treatments, the seeds of the *HcWRKY44*transgenic lines and wild-type plants were surfaced-sterilized and then germinated on 1/2 MS agar medium with 200 and 300 mM mannitol to mimic the drought stress and with 150 and 200 mM NaCl for the salinity stress. The physiological indexes, such as germination rate, cotyledon greening, root length and survival rate assays, were measured and the corresponding phenotypes were photographed according to the methods described by Niu et al. (2022). For the relative electrolyte leakage measurement, the methods were referred to the reference described by Zhang et al. (2019). All assays were performed in three independent replicates.

Results

Identification and sequence characteristic of *HcWRKY* genes

A HMMER-BLASTP-InterProScan module method was used to identify the sequences containing the PF03106 domain and acquire the *WRKY* gene sequences in the kenaf genome. A total of 46 WRKY genes were identified in the kenaf TABLE 1 Protein information of WRKY genes in Hibiscus cannabinus L.

Gene name	Sequence ID	Chr	Length (aa)	MW (Da)	pI	Start	End
HcWRKY1	Hca.09G0003050-mRNA-1	Chr01	279	30,300.4	5.45	3073671	3074868
HcWRKY2	Hca.09G0009010-mRNA-1	Chr01	168	19,681.7	9.96	9047060	9048758
HcWRKY3	Hca.09G0011890-mRNA-1	Chr01	87	10,187.2	8.65	12928402	12929857
HcWRKY4	Hca.15G0005800-mRNA-1	Chr02	75	8,508.8	8.98	4689666	4689896
HcWRKY5	Hca.02G0001850-mRNA-1	Chr03	271	31,279.2	10.14	1267661	1269284
HcWRKY6	Hca.02G0005160-mRNA-1	Chr03	568	65,096.4	10.58	3421780	3424316
HcWRKY7	Hca.02G0024890-mRNA-1	Chr03	753	82,146.0	6.75	43156687	43163885
HcWRKY8	Hca.02G0034140-mRNA-1	Chr03	321	36,211.3	9.95	62393653	62396111
HcWRKY9	Hca.05G0017730-mRNA-1	Chr04	516	56,048.9	7.91	18360321	18363735
HcWRKY10	Hca.04G0001080-mRNA-1	Chr05	442	48,905.5	6.79	608483	610352
HcWRKY11	Hca.04G0008740-mRNA-1	Chr05	169	20,053.5	9.79	6956048	6958475
HcWRKY12	Hca.04G0026330-mRNA-1	Chr05	296	33,431.8	9.43	53398166	53399779
HcWRKY13	Hca.04G0028600-mRNA-1	Chr05	451	51,515.7	9.44	56198561	56200359
HcWRKY14	Hca.04G0029560-mRNA-1	Chr05	334	37,790.5	7.32	57223233	57225693
HcWRKY15	Hca.06G0008140-mRNA-1	Chr06	475	53,582.1	9.68	9983084	9986596
HcWRKY16	Hca.06G0019130-mRNA-1	Chr06	335	38,125.0	9.98	41779704	41781801
HcWRKY17	Hca.06G0030610-mRNA-1	Chr06	344	38,905.3	8.24	53411699	53413593
HcWRKY18	Hca.06G0041200-mRNA-1	Chr06	461	51,185.7	8.76	60729845	60731531
HcWRKY19	Hca.06G0041680-mRNA-1	Chr06	522	58,845.4	8.81	61029866	61032786
HcWRKY20	Hca.06G0043260-mRNA-1	Chr06	474	51,271.1	5.21	61929508	61931194
HcWRKY21	Hca.17G0006480-mRNA-1	Chr07	511	57,557.3	8.84	8797109	8799519
HcWRKY22	Hca.17G0018560-mRNA-1	Chr07	1142	132,719.9	9.36	38815933	38833317
HcWRKY23	Hca.17G0024970-mRNA-1	Chr07	294	33,882.0	10.72	44264516	44265589
HcWRKY24	Hca.17G0025330-mRNA-1	Chr07	403	42,950.4	5.22	44503418	44505152
HcWRKY25	Hca.08G0021600-mRNA-1	Chr08	305	33,119.2	7.79	21488822	21490559
HcWRKY26	Hca.08G0022540-mRNA-1	Chr08	199	23,528.0	10.28	22656914	22657706
HcWRKY27	Hca.07G0005140-mRNA-1	Chr09	228	26,557.6	9.47	9913278	9916495
HcWRKY28	Hca.07G0034360-mRNA-1	Chr09	298	33,275.3	8.55	53662401	53664551
HcWRKY29	Hca.07G0034910-mRNA-1	Chr09	443	47,988.0	4.70	54065803	54069060
HcWRKY30	Hca.07G0044750-mRNA-1	Chr09	100	11,957.9	9.85	60534662	60535445
HcWRKY31	Hca.07G0045110-mRNA-1	Chr09	521	58,456.4	10.28	60735546	60739983
HcWRKY32	Hca.18G0000330-mRNA-1	Chr11	296	32,318.3	10.14	1784500	1786194
HcWRKY33	Hca.18G0023790-mRNA-1	Chr11	163	20,311.3	10.03	40498640	40500411
HcWRKY34	Hca.01G0010340-mRNA-1	Chr12	304	35,221.0	10.15	10294517	10296388
HcWRKY35	Hca.01G0035190-mRNA-1	Chr12	277	31,706.4	6.39	63477812	63480069
HcWRKY36	Hca.01G0053260-mRNA-1	Chr12	497	55,961.4	9.21	77209926	77213030
HcWRKY37	Hca.10G0002810-mRNA-1	Chr13	337	37,923.1	9.85	2994415	2997891
HcWRKY38	Hca.10G0029300-mRNA-1	Chr13	350	39,007.0	8.69	57365445	57372383
HcWRKY39	Hca.10G0029380-mRNA-1	Chr13	487	52,380.6	7.62	57427418	57430001
HcWRKY40	Hca.14G0009150-mRNA-1	Chr15	245	27.933.4	11.49	10588296	10589385
HcWRKY41	Hca 14G0010950-mRNA-1	Chr15	518	57.214.5	10 10	11925152	11927979
HcWRKY42	Hca.16G0001160-mRNA-1	Chr16	923	107.621 3	10.60	883843	888625
HcWRKY43	Hca.03G0015230-mRNA-1	Chr17	329	36,992.9	5.08	19064622	19066261
HcWRKY44	Hca.03G0036480-mRNA-1	Chr17	356	40,158.6	7.44	64342190	64344301
HcWRKY45	Hca 03G0042970-mRNA-1	Chr17	306	34,240.4	9 51	69378612	69380101
HcWRKY46	Hca 03G0043540_mRNA.1	Chr17	421	45 242 9	7 17	69869256	69871431
110 11 11 11 140	11ca.0500043540-111KINA-1	CIII I7	421	43,242.7	/.1/	02007230	090/1431

genome with the complete WRKY domain (**Table 1**). Based on the gene distribution information on the chromosomes, the identified genes were named from *HcWRKY1* to *HcWRKY46*. The physicochemical analysis revealed that the amino acid length of HcWRKY ranged from 75 aa (HcWRKY4) to 1142 aa (HcWRKY22), and the corresponding protein MWs ranged from 8508.8 to 132719.9 Da, and the predicted theoretical pIs of HcWRKYs ranged from 4.70 (HcWRKY29) to 11.49 (HcWRKY40) (Table 1).

To further confirm these HcWRKY genes, the typical conserved WRKYGQK domain was searched and visualized. According to the conserved 60 amino acids of the WRKY domain, the HcWRKY proteins were classified into three groups, and each group was divided into different subgroups. For example, the group I could be divided into I-N and I-C subgroups, which possessed two WRKY domains and CX₄C₂₂₋₂₃HXH zinc finger structures. Group II was divided into five subgroups (II-a, II-b, II-c, II-d, and II-e), each containing 3, 6, 11, 5, and 8 members, with the structure of WRKYGQK and CX5C23HXH in the II-a, II-b, IId, and II-e subgroups except for II-c subgroup. On the other hand, group III had 7 members with the zinc finger structure of WRKYGQK and CX7C23HXC at the C-terminal (Supplementary Figure 2). The WRKY proteins of kenaf generally had the same WRKYGQK domain as Arabidopsis, with the exception that the WRKYGQK domains of HcWRKY3 were changed into WRKYGKK and the QRKYGQK domains for HcWRKY35, as well as additional amino acid variations outside the WRKYGQK domains in HcWRKY9/19/28/27/30/37 (Supplementary Figure 2). These results indicated that those mutated HcWRKY genes might gain a novel function during evolution.

Phylogenetic analysis, gene structure, and synteny analysis

The gene structure analysis revealed that natural mutation occurred in *HcWRKY* genes of kenaf, i.e., some WRKYGQK domains were changed into WRKYGKK, WRKYGEK and WRKYGQE. To further investigate the divergence of *HcWRKYs*, a comparative phylogenetic tree was constructed using the maximum-likelihood (ML) method between 46 *HcWRKYs* and 71 *AtWRKYs*. Expectedly, the phylogenetic tree classified the *WRKY* genes into groups I, II, and III and group II was also divided into five subgroups (II-a, II-b, II-c, II-d, and II-e) (**Figure 1**), indicating that these *HcWRKYs* may share the similar functions with that in *Arabidopsis* (**Figure 1**).

The *HcWRKYs* introns and exons investigation revealed a variable number of exons ranging from 1 to 18 (**Figure 2**). Group I *HcWRKY* genes had 1–5 introns, Group IIa had 4-6 introns, Group IIb, IIc, IId, IIe and III had 1-4 introns, with some exceptions for *HcWRKY22* (24 introns), *HcWRKY25* (5 introns), and *HcWRKY10* (0 introns) (**Figure 2**). On the other hand, gene motifs analysis showed the similar motifs shared in the each subgroups. For example, motif 1, 2, 3, and 5 jointly possessed in Group I, motif 2, 4, and 5 possessed in Group IIb and IIe (**Figure 2**). These findings indicate that exon and

intron numbers vary between groups but are nearly constant within the same group.

The tandem and segment duplication events were also analyzed and identified using MCScanX software to understand the evolution of HcWRKY genes. The results showed 6 segmental duplication syntenic gene (HcWRKY1/HcWRKY40, HcWRKY5/HcWRKY17, pairs *HcWRKY8/HcWRKY16*, HcWRKY18HcWRKY20, HcWR KY28/HcWRKY45, HcWRKY29/HcWRKY46) (Figure 3A and Supplementary Table 2), and 11 tandem duplication gene pairs (HcWRKY1/2/3, HcWRKY5/6, HcWRKY13/14, HcWRKY18/19/20, HcWRKY23/24, HcWRKY25/26, HcWR KY28/29, HcWRKY30/31, HcWRKY38/39, HcWRKY40/41, HcWRKY45/46) in kenaf. In addition, the evolutionary dates of duplicated HcWRKY genes were also estimated using Ks as the proxy for time (Supplementary Table 2), the results showed that kenaf duplication events for kenaf 6 of 17 pairs occurred within the past 12.385-165.717 million years (Supplementary Table 2). These results suggested that during their evolution, both segmental and tandem duplications contributed to the gene expansion of HcWRKYs. In addition, the syntenic blocks were comparatively analyzed among H. cannabinus, A. thaliana, and G. hirsutum and 16 orthologous syntenic gene pairs were identified between H. cannabinus and A. thaliana (Figure 3B and Supplementary Table 3). Interestingly, one HcWRKY gene could match two or more HcWRKY genes, i.e., HcWRKY39 could align with AtWRKY3/4, and HcWRKY5 could match with AtWRKY23/68 (Figure 3B and Supplementary Table 3). For H. cannabinus and its most relative G. hirsutum, 64 syntenic orthologous gene pairs were found, and a similar phenomenon of one HcWRKY syntenic with two or three GhWRKYs was also observed (Figure 3B and Supplementary Table 4).

Expression pattern analysis of *HcWRKYs* in different tissues and treatments

The expression pattern of genes is often associated with their specific gene function. Therefore, we examined the expression level of 20 *HcWRKYs* using qRT-PCR analysis to explore the *HcWRKYs* functions. The results for the various tissues revealed that the 20 *HcWRKYs* express differently in the roots, stems, leaves, and phloem. They were primarily expressed in the roots, except for *HcWRKY2*, *HcWRKY14* and *HcWRKY25*, which were expressed strongly in the phloem (**Supplementary Figure 3**). These findings suggested that the majority of *HcWRKYs* are crucial for root growth.

To verify HcWRKYs role in environmental response, the expression levels of the 20 HcWRKYs were further examined under salinity and drought stresses. The results showed that the expression level of 20 HcWRKYs significantly changed against the salinity and drought stimuli (Figure 4



and **Supplementary Figure 4**). For the salinity stress, *HcWRKYs* positively responded after 12 h and *HcWRKY7*, *HcWRKY11*, *HcWRKY15*, *HcWRKY16*, *HcWRKY22*, *HcWRKY26*, *HcWRKY27*, and *HcWRKY38* showed increased expression levels after salinity stress. While *HcWRKY24* and *HcWRKY25* showed decreased expression in response to salinity stress. Compared to control conditions, the expressions of *HcWRKY13*, *HcWRKY15*, *HcWRKY25*, *HcWRKY33*, and *HcWRKY44* were lower at 6 h then it increased at 12 h and subsequently decreased at 24 h (**Figure 4**).

For the drought stress, most of *HcWRKYs* showed a negative expression pattern (**Supplementary Figure 4**). Despite having decreased expression compared to the control conditions (0 h), after drought stimuli, *HcWRKY11*, *HcWRKY13*, *HcWRKY14*, *HcWRKY15*, *HcWRKY22*, *HcWRKY25*, *HcWRKY26*, *HcWRKY27*, *HcWRKY30*, *HcWRKY31*, *HcWRKY33*, and *HcWRKY39* transcripts demonstrated an increased expression pattern from 6 to 24 h. *HcWRKY7*, *HcWRKY13*, *HcWRKY31* and *HcWRKY39* expression decreased from 0 to 12 h. While *HcWRKY16*, *HcWRKY24* and *HcWRKY44* showed increased expression levels from 0 to 24 h under drought stress (**Supplementary Figure 4**). Altogether, these findings indicate that the *HcWRKY* genes respond to the salinity and drought treatments and display differential expression patterns in response to these stress (**Figure 4** and **Supplementary Figure 4**).

HcWRKY proteins localize in cell nuclei

Three representative HcWRKY proteins (HcWRKY39, HcWRKY44, and HcWRKY43) out of each group were randomly chosen for subcellular localization studies to explore the functional properties of HcWRKY proteins. The HcWRKY-GFP vectors (**Figure 5A**) and control 35S-GFP were injected into the epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana* leaves. The results showed that the GFP signals of *HcWRKY39/44/43*-GFP



represents the UTR, the yellow rectangle represents the CDS, and the gray lines represent the introns.

were exclusively localized in the nuclei of epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana* (Figure 5B). In contrast, the control GFP protein was found in both the nucleus and cell membrane (Figure 5B). These results were coincided with previous studies that WRKY proteins functioned in the nuclear as transcription factors.

HcWRKY44 overexpression plants enhanced the salinity but not drought tolerance

The expression patterns of *HcWRKYs* revealed that the *HcWRKY44* strongly induces by salinity and drought stresses (**Figure 4** and **Supplementary Figures 3**, **4**). This led us to study the roles of *HcWRKY44* in response to osmotic stresses. Three independent transgenic lines of *HcWRKY44*, OE44-2#, OE44-3#, and OE44-4# (**Supplementary Figure 5**) were selected to investigate their performance against the salinity and drought stresses. The salinity and drought stresses were

mimicked by using NaCl and mannitol irrigation, respectively. For the salinity stress, the results of germination rates showed no obvious difference between the HcWRKY44 overexpression lines and control plants on the 1/2 MS media. After salinity treatment, OE44-2#, OE44-3#, and OE44-4# lines significantly reduced the germination rate compared to the control, and transgenic lines showed no seed coat breakage (Figures 6A,B). However, transgenic lines showed a faster root growth rate than control plants, and they possessed longer root lengths and more lateral root numbers (Figures 6C,D). To further investigate the tolerance performance of HcWRKY44 in response to the salinity stress, the seedlings of 2-week-old transgenic lines were treated with different concentrations of NaCl solution. After treatment for 7 days, the leaves of transgenic and control plants turned yellow, wilted and showed no obvious difference. However, after being re-watered for 7 days, leaves of OE44-2#, OE44-3#, and OE44-4# transgenic lines returned green and thrived, while that of the control lines were yellow or even dry and wilted (Figure 6E). Eventually, the overexpression lines of *HcWRKY44* showed a higher survival rate than those of the control plants



(**Figure 6F**). Meanwhile, the relative electrolyte leakage was also checked to determine the plasma membrane permeability and lipid peroxidation under the salinity treatment. The results showed that the electrolyte leakage of all lines increased with the increased salinity concentrantions, and the OE44-2#, OE44-3#, and OE44-4# transgenic lines exhibited a significantly lower electrolyte leakage than the control lines under the salinity treatment (**Figure 6G**).

For the drought stress, similar indexes were investigated. The results showed no significant difference in germination rate between the transgenic lines and control plants under mannitol treatments. However, there was a slight difference under 300 mM mannitol treatment (**Supplementary Figures 5B,C**). The root length of *HcWRKY44* transgenic and control lines was also investigated under different mannitol concentrations and no significant difference was observed between the



Expression profiles of 20 *HcWRKY* genes under NaCl stress. Twenty *HcWRKY* genes were cloned and selected for expression analysis under salinity stress, mimicked by 200 mM NaCl solution irrigation. After treatment, leaves were harvested and used for mRNA transcripts analysis by qRT-PCR. The *18S rRNA* and *TUB* α gene was used as the standard control to normalize the qRT-PCR results. Each assay was replicated three times.

overexpression lines and control plants (**Supplementary Figures 5D,E**). The performances of *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines indicated that overexpression of *HcWRKY44* enhance tolerance to the salinity stress but not to drought stress.

HcWRKY44 regulated plant's salinity tolerance through the abscisic acid pathway

To investigate the roles of *HcWRKY44* in ABAmediated pathways, the germination rates and root lengths of *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines and control plants were comparatively analyzed with and/or without ABA. On the control medium, both *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines and control plants showed a similar growth tendency, with comparable germination rates, leaf greening and root lengths (**Figure 7**). On the contrary, when seedlings were grown on the ABA supplemented media, the *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines (OE44-2#, OE44-3#, and OE44-4#) showed better performance than that of the control plants in germination rates and root lengths. The germination of *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines (OE44-2#, OE44-2#, OE44-3#, and OE44-4#) was much faster than the control lines in the presence of ABA



(Figures 7A–C). The transgenic lines showed more open and green leaves than control lines when treated with different ABA concentrations (0.5 and 0.8 μ M ABA) for 7 days (Figures 7B,C). Moreover, the root lengths of *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines showed significantly improved growth than control plants (Figures 7D,E). On 10 and 20 μ M ABA ABA concentrations, the root growth of control plants was significantly inhibited, but *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines were slightly affected and had a significantly longer root length than control plants (Figures 7D,E). These results indicated that *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines were resistant to ABA treatment.

To further investigate the involvement of *HcWRKY44* in ABA pathways, we comparably analyzed the expression

profiles of ABA signaling related genes under salinity stress in the *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines and control plants. After NaCl treatment for 7 days, the ABA-responsive genes, such as *ABA insensitive 1* and 2 (*ABI1*, *ABI2*, and *ABI5*), *ABA-responsive element binding factor 4* (*ABF4*), *COR15A* and *COR47*, were significantly up-regulated in these transgenic lines (OE44-2#, OE44-3#, and OE44-4#) (**Figures 8A-F**). Moreover, the stress-related marker genes, *DREB2A*, *RD29B*, *STZ*, *P5CS*, and *KIN1*, were also positively regulated in the transgenic lines (**Figures 8G,H,J-**L), while the *RD22* gene was down-regulated (**Figure 8I**). These results further confirmed that *HcWRKY44* improved the plant's salinity tolerance through the ABA-mediated signaling pathways.



replicates; error bars indicate the SD. *P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.01 represented the significant differences by Student's t-test, respectively.

To determine HcWRKY44 improved the tolerance to salt stress but not osmotic stress, the transcript levels of Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter gene (SOS1), Na⁺/K⁺ homeostasis regulated genes (AHA1 and AHA2), and high affinity K⁺ transporter gene (HKT1) were further compared under salt treatment. The results showed that the mRNA transcripts of SOS1 (Figure 8M), AHA1 (Figure 8N), AHA2 (Figure 8O), and HKT1 (Figure 8P) were significantly enriched in the HcWRKY44 transgenic lines than that in the control lines (Figures 8M–P). These results confirmed that *HcWRKY44* improved the plant's tolerance to salt stress but not osmotic stress.

Discussion

The WRKY transcription factors are characterized by a highly conserved WRKYGQK heptapeptide domain and are implicated in various aspects of plant growth, development and



stress responses (Rushton et al., 2010; Bakshi and Oelmuller, 2014; Wani et al., 2021). Here we identified 46 *HcWRKY* genes in the genome of kenaf. Compared to kenaf, its relative species cotton possesses 116 WRKY members. The difference in WRKY members might be due to kenaf's poor genome assembly, which resulted in the lack of the entire WRKY domain in many HcWRKYs. The other possibility could be that kenaf did not experience whole genome duplication events unlike cotton. In general, the WRKY proteins of kenaf shared the typical WRKYGQK domain, and could be classified into three groups according to the types of WRKY

domains and their zinc-finger domains. Gene structure analysis revealed natural mutations in *HcWRKY* genes, for instance, some WRKYGQK domains were altered to WRKYGKK, WRKYGEK and WRKYGQE (**Supplementary Figure 2**). This result coincided with the structural characteristics of *WRKY* genes of *Arabidopsis*, rice and wheat (Rushton et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2012; Niu et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2021). The variations in *WRKY* gene architecture align with its functional diversity (Bakshi and Oelmuller, 2014; Zhu, 2016; Wani et al., 2021), and/or the acquisition of a novel function during the evolutionary progress. Moreover, gene duplication events,



COR15A, and *COR47* were selected to analyze their expression in *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines and control lines under salinity stress for 7 days. (**G–L**) Stress-responsive genes *DREB2A*, *KIN1*, *RD29B*, *ST2*, and *P5CS* were selected for evaluation of their expression level in the OE44-2#, OE44-3#, and OE44-4# lines and control lines. (**M–P**) lonic homeotasis-related genes *SOS1*, *AHA1*, *AHA2*, and *HKT1* were selected for evaluation of their expression level in the *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines and control lines. Each value was the mean \pm SD of three independent replicates. **P* < 0.05 and ****P* < 0.01 represent significant differences between different samples by Student's *t*-test.

including tandem duplication, segmental duplication and whole-genome duplication, are significant factors influencing gene evolution (Qiao et al., 2018; She et al., 2022). This study found 17 (6 segmental and 11 tandem) duplication gene pairs in *HcWRKY* genes, and the evolutionary dates of these duplicated *HcWRKY* genes were also estimated using *Ks* as the proxy for time, the results showed that kenaf duplication events for kenaf 6 of 17 pairs occurred within the past 12.385-165.717 million years (**Supplementary Table 2**). This period is consistent with the speciation time of *H. cannabinus* that occurred 14–31 million years ago (MYA) (Zhang et al., 2020). Furthermore, several

orthologous genes (64 gene pairs) were discovered between cotton and kenaf, indicating that the *WRKY* genes may have similar functions in different physiological processes.

In cotton, *GhWRKY1* and *GhWRKY6* mediated drought and salt tolerance by activating the ABA signaling pathway (Ullah et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2021). Phosphorylation of *GhWRKY16* by *MPK3-1* positively regulated fiber initiation and elongation (Wang et al., 2021). Interestingly, one *HcWRKY* gene could correspond with two or more *GhWRKY* genes, suggesting that these genes play a role in salinity and drought stress response as well as in fiber development. To investigate the functional roles of *HcWRKY* genes, the expression profiles of *HcWRKY* genes were performed across different tissues and stress conditions. Due to the lack of full-length sequence information and the poor genome assembly of kenaf, only 20 *HcWRKY* genes' expression levels were availably obtained. The expression pattern suggested that most of the *HcWRKY* genes get enriched in root and are strongly induced by the salinity and drought stimuli (**Figure 4** and **Supplementary Figure 4**). In contrast, the phloem of kenaf showed strong expression of *HcWRKY2*, *HcWRKY14*, and *HcWRKY25* (**Supplementary Figure 3**). These findings suggested possible functions for *HcWRKYs* in response to salinity, drought conditions, and phloem fiber formation.

Expression pattern analysis revealed that HcWRKY genes were differencially regulated in response to salt and drought stress. Only HcWRKY7, HcWRKY16, and HcWRKY44 positively responded to salt and drought. Among these three genes, HcWRKY44 belongs to group II-c. Group II-c members are reported to be involved in abiotic stress response (Xie et al., 2005; Niu et al., 2012). Therefore, HcWRKY44 was selected as a candidate for further functional characterization. We transformed HcWRKY44 into Arabidopsis instead of kenaf because Arabidopsis plants have frequently been used in transgenic studies for gene function investigation for crops that are challenging for gene transformation (Niu et al., 2012, 2022; Luo et al., 2013; She et al., 2022). In transgenic lines, overexpression of HcWRKY44 increased salinity stress tolerance, as evidenced by longer roots, more lateral roots and a greater survival rate (Figure 6). However, HcWRKY44 overexpression lines did not respond to drought stress, and no noticeable difference was observed between the transgenic plants and control lines (Supplementary Figure 5).

Previous investigations have revealed that salinity stress is closely associated with ABA-mediated signaling pathways (Zou et al., 2004; Cutler et al., 2010; Bakshi and Oelmuller, 2014; Zhu, 2016). ABI1, ABI2, ABF4, COR15A, and COR47 were up-regulated in HcWRKY44 overexpression lines compared to control plants (Figures 8A-F). The upregulation of ABA-mediated genes is consistent with the ABA insensitive phenotype of HcWRKY44 transgenic lines in seed germination and seedling growth (Figure 7). It is worth nothing that the seed germination is regulated by a delicate balance between phytohormones GA and ABA (Cutler et al., 2010), the ABA signaling is regulated in the HcWRKY44 transgenic lines, which could be affected the seed germination. These results align with the previous studies that fine-regulation of ABA signal pathways could improve plant tolerance to salinity and drought stresses (Shen et al., 2006; Shang et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2012). Moreover, the stress-related marker genes were also positively regulated in these transgenic lines. For example, DREB2A, RD29B, STZ, P5CS, and KIN1, were positively regulated compared to control plants (Figures 8G,H,J-L). This result is consistent with previous studies that DREB2A overexpression could induce its target genes expression, including RD29A and RD29B, endowing plants with higher resistances to osmotic stress and finally improving plant's tolerance to abiotic stresses (Sakuma et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2020). On the other hand, STZ, P5CS, and KIN1 were upregulated in HcWRKY44 transgenic plants under salinity stress. This is consistent with earlier findings that overexpression of the STZ gene can improve the resistance to abiotic stress (Mittler et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2008). P5CS encodes delta-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase, controlling proline biosynthesis and positively regulating the response of plants to salt stress (Szekely et al., 2008; Funck et al., 2020). ABA and osmotic stressors potentially activate KIN1 by binding to the dehydration-responsive element (DRE) motif in its promoter and increasing the plant's tolerance to stress (Knight et al., 2004; Chu et al., 2018).

In addition, Na⁺ extrusion and K⁺ maintenance are critical for plants adapting to the salt stress (Zhu, 2003; Zhang et al., 2019). In our study, the ironic homeostasis-regulated genes *SOS1*, *AHA1*, *AHA2*, and *HKT1* were significantly upregulated in the *HcWRKY44* transgenic lines under salt treatment (**Figures 8M-P**), indicating that overexpression of *HcWRKY44* gene could protect plant cells against Na⁺ excess through upregulation of ironic transporter genes. This result is in lines with the previous studies that salt tolerance in plants is closely related to the ability of Na⁺ extrusion and K⁺ maintenance (Shi et al., 2000; Rus et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2019). These results confirmed that *HcWRKY44* improved the plant's tolerance to salt stress but not osmotic stress by regulating the ironic homeostasis-related genes.

Conclusion

The present study identified and characterized 46 WRKY transcription factor genes in the kenaf genome. Gene structure and phylogenetic analysis revealed that tandem and segment duplication might have facilitated the natural variation of *HcWRKY* genes. Expression pattern analysis revealed that *HcWRKY2/14/25* play essential roles in the phloem of kenaf. Other *HcWRKY* members *HcWRKY7/16/44* were enriched in roots and positively responded to the drought and salinity stresses. Furthermore, the *HcWRKY44* gene was functionally characterized in *Arabidopsis*, and the results demonstrated overexpression of *HcWRKY44* improves salinity tolerance via regulating ABA and stress-related genes. In summary, this present study provides comprehensive information about *HcWRKY* genes and reveals that *HcWRKY44* is involved in salinity tolerance via the ABA signaling pathway.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

MC and XN designed the research and wrote the manuscript. MC and ZS performed phylogenetic analysis and conducted the evolution analysis. MC, TL, ZW, and JQ performed the experiment and analyzed data. MA and XN revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31800262 and 31501347), the Ningde Normal University Project (2020FZ04), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2018M632564), Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi (2022GXNSFAA035601), and Weng Hongwu Academic Innovation Research Fund of Peking University.

References

Bakshi, M., and Oelmuller, R. (2014). WRKY transcription factors: Jack of many trades in plants. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 9:e27700. doi: 10.4161/psb.27700

Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., et al. (2020). TBtools: An Integrative Toolkit Developed for Interactive Analyses of Big Biological Data. *Mol. Plant* 13, 1194–1202. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009

Chen, P., Chen, T., Li, Z., Jia, R., Luo, D., Tang, M., et al. (2020). Transcriptome analysis revealed key genes and pathways related to cadmium-stress tolerance in Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*). *Ind. Crops Prod.* 158:112970.

Chen, Y., Hao, X., and Cao, J. (2014). Small auxin up-regulated RNA (SAUR) gene family in maize: Identification, evolution, and its phylogenetic comparison with Arabidopsis, rice and sorghum. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 56, 133–150. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12127

Chu, M. X., Li, J. J., Zhang, J. Y., Shen, S. F., Li, C. N., Gao, Y. J., et al. (2018). AtCaM4 interacts with a Sec14-like protein, PATL1, to regulate freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis in a CBF-independent manner. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69, 5241–5253. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery278

Cutler, S. R., Rodriguez, P. L., Finkelstein, R. R., and Abrams, S. R. (2010). Abscisic acid: Emergence of a core signaling network. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61, 651–679.

Danalatos, N. G., and Archontoulis, S. V. (2010). Growth and biomass productivity of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus*, L.) under different agricultural inputs and management practices in central Greece. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 32, 231–240.

Dang, F. F., Wang, Y. N., Yu, L., Eulgem, T., Lai, Y., Liu, Z. Q., et al. (2013). CaWRKY40, a WRKY protein of pepper, plays an important role in the regulation of tolerance to heat stress and resistance to Ralstonia solanacearum infection. *Plant Cell Environ.* 36, 757–774. doi: 10.1111/pce.12011

Ding, Z. J., Yan, J. Y., Xu, X. Y., Yu, D. Q., Li, G. X., Zhang, S. Q., et al. (2014). Transcription factor WRKY46 regulates osmotic stress responses and stomatal movement independently in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 79, 13–27. doi: 10.1111/tpj. 12538

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.984233/full#supplementary-material

Fujii, H., Chinnusamy, V., Rodrigues, A., Rubio, S., Antoni, R., Park, S. Y., et al. (2009). *In vitro* reconstitution of an abscisic acid signalling pathway. *Nature* 462, 660–664.

Fujii, H., and Zhu, J. K. (2009). Arabidopsis mutant deficient in 3 abscisic acidactivated protein kinases reveals critical roles in growth, reproduction, and stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 8380–8385. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0903144106

Funck, D., Baumgarten, L., Stift, M., Von Wiren, N., and Schonemann, L. (2020). Differential Contribution of P5CS Isoforms to Stress Tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:565134. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.565134

Gao, Y. F., Liu, J. K., Yang, F. M., Zhang, G. Y., Wang, D., Zhang, L., et al. (2020). The WRKY transcription factor WRKY8 promotes resistance to pathogen infection and mediates drought and salt stress tolerance in Solanum lycopersicum. *Physiol. Plant.* 168, 98–117. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12978

Hu, Q., Ao, C. W., Wang, X. R., Wu, Y. F., and Du, X. Z. (2021). GhWRKY1like, a WRKY transcription factor, mediates drought tolerance in Arabidopsis via modulating ABA biosynthesis. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21:458. doi: 10.1186/s12870-021-03238-5

Huang, S., Hu, L., Zhang, S., Zhang, M., Jiang, W., Wu, T., et al. (2021). Rice OsWRKY50 Mediates ABA-Dependent Seed Germination and Seedling Growth, and ABA-Independent Salt Stress Tolerance. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22:8625. doi: 10.3390/ ijms22168625

Jia, H. Y., Zhang, S. J., Ruan, M. Y., Wang, Y. L., and Wang, C. Y. (2012). Analysis and application of RD29 genes in abiotic stress response. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 34, 1239–1250.

Jiang, Y., Liang, G., and Yu, D. (2012). Activated expression of WRKY57 confers drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant* 5, 1375–1388.

Knight, H., Veale, E. L., Warren, G. J., and Knight, M. R. (2004). The sfr6 mutation in Arabidopsis suppresses low-temperature induction of genes dependent on the CRT/DRE sequence motif. *Plant Cell* 16:2541. doi: 10.1105/tpc. 11.5.875

Liu, Z. Q., Yan, L., Wu, Z., Mei, C., Lu, K., Yu, Y. T., et al. (2012). Cooperation of three WRKY-domain transcription factors WRKY18, WRKY40, and WRKY60 in repressing two ABA-responsive genes ABI4 and ABI5 in Arabidopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 63, 6371–6392. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers293

Luo, X., Bai, X., Sun, X., Zhu, D., Liu, B., Ji, W., et al. (2013). Expression of wild soybean *WRKY20* in Arabidopsis enhances drought tolerance and regulates ABA signalling. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 2155–2169. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert073

Mittler, R., Kim, Y., Song, L., Coutu, J., Coutu, A., Ciftci-Yilmaz, S., et al. (2006). Gain- and loss-of-function mutations in Zat10 enhance the tolerance of plants to abiotic stress. *FEBS Lett.* 580, 6537–6542. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2006.11.002

Niu, C. F., Wei, W., Zhou, Q. Y., Tian, A. G., Hao, Y. J., Zhang, W. K., et al. (2012). Wheat WRKY genes *TaWRKY2* and *TaWRKY19* regulate abiotic stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 1156–1170. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2012.02480.x

Niu, X., Chen, M., She, Z., Aslam, M., Qi, J., and Qin, Y. (2022). Ectopic Expression of Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*) *HcWRKY50* Improves Plants' Tolerance to Drought Stress and Regulates ABA Signaling in Arabidopsis. *Agronomy* 12:1176.

Niu, X., Chen, M., Tao, A., and Qi, J. (2016). Salinity and Drought Treatment Assays in Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). *Bio-Protocol* 6:e1918.

Niu, X., Qi, J., Chen, M., Zhang, G., Tao, A., Fang, P., et al. (2015). Reference genes selection for transcript normalization in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) under salinity and drought stress. *PeerJ* 3:e1347. doi: 10.7717/peerj.1347

Qiao, X., Yin, H., Li, L. T., Wang, R. Z., Wu, J. Y., Wu, J., et al. (2018). Different Modes of Gene Duplication Show Divergent Evolutionary Patterns and Contribute Differently to the Expansion of Gene Families Involved in Important Fruit Traits in Pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:161. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00161

Ramesh, M. (2016). Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) fibre based bio-materials: A review on processing and properties. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 78-79, 1–92.

Ren, X., Chen, Z., Liu, Y., Zhang, H., Zhang, M., Liu, Q., et al. (2010). ABO3, a WRKY transcription factor, mediates plant responses to abscisic acid and drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 63, 417–429. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010. 04248.x

Rus, A., Lee, B., Munoz-Mayor, A., Sharkhuu, A., Miura, K., Zhu, J. K., et al. (2004). AtHKT1 facilitates Na⁺ homeostasis and K⁺ nutrition in planta. *Plant Physiol*. 136, 2500–2511. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.042234

Rushton, D. L., Tripathi, P., Rabara, R. C., Lin, J., Ringler, P., Boken, A. K., et al. (2012). WRKY transcription factors: key components in abscisic acid signalling. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 10, 2–11.

Rushton, P. J., Somssich, I. E., Ringler, P., and Shen, Q. J. (2010). WRKY transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 247–258.

Sakuma, Y., Maruyama, K., Osakabe, Y., Qin, F., Seki, M., Shinozaki, K., et al. (2006). Functional analysis of an Arabidopsis transcription factor, DREB2A, involved in drought-responsive gene expression. *Plant Cell* 18, 1292–1309. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.035881

Shang, Y., Yan, L., Liu, Z. Q., Cao, Z., Mei, C., Xin, Q., et al. (2010). The Mgchelatase H subunit of Arabidopsis antagonizes a group of WRKY transcription repressors to relieve ABA-responsive genes of inhibition. *Plant Cell* 22, 1909–1935. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.073874

She, Z., Huang, X., Aslam, M., Wang, L., Yan, M., Qin, R., et al. (2022). Expression characterization and cross-species complementation uncover the functional conservation of YABBY genes for leaf abaxial polarity and carpel polarity establishment in *Saccharum spontaneum. BMC Plant Biol.* 22:124. doi: 10.1186/s12870-022-03501-3 Shen, Y. Y., Wang, X. F., Wu, F. Q., Du, S. Y., Cao, Z., Shang, Y., et al. (2006). The Mg-chelatase H subunit is an abscisic acid receptor. *Nature* 443, 823–826.

Shi, H., Ishitani, M., Kim, C., and Zhu, J. K. (2000). The Arabidopsis thaliana salt tolerance gene SOS1 encodes a putative Na+/H+ antiporter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 6896–6901.

Sim, Y. Y., and Nyam, K. L. (2021). *Hibiscus cannabinus* L. (*kenaf*) studies: Nutritional composition, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and potential applications. *Food Chem*. 344:128582. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128582

Sun, J., Chen, S., Dai, S., Wang, R., Li, N., Shen, X., et al. (2009). NaClinduced alternations of cellular and tissue ion fluxes in roots of salt-resistant and salt-sensitive poplar species. *Plant Physiol.* 149, 1141–1153. doi: 10.1104/pp.108. 129494

Szekely, G., Abraham, E., Cselo, A., Rigo, G., Zsigmond, L., Csiszar, J., et al. (2008). Duplicated *P5CS* genes of Arabidopsis play distinct roles in stress regulation and developmental control of proline biosynthesis. *Plant J.* 53, 11–28. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03318.x

Ullah, A., Sun, H., Hakim, Yang, X. Y., and Zhang, X. L. (2018). A novel cotton WRKY gene, *GhWRKY6*-like, improves salt tolerance by activating the ABA signaling pathway and scavenging of reactive oxygen species. *Physiol. Plant.* 162, 439–454. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12651

Wang, N. N., Li, Y., Chen, Y. H., Lu, R., Zhou, L., Wang, Y., et al. (2021). Phosphorylation of WRKY16 by MPK3-1 is essential for its transcriptional activity during fiber initiation and elongation in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *Plant Cell* 33, 2736–2752. doi: 10.1093/plcell/koab153

Wang, Y., Tang, H., Debarry, J. D., Tan, X., Li, J., Wang, X., et al. (2012). MCScanX: A toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 40:e49. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1293

Wani, S. H., Anand, S., Singh, B., Bohra, A., and Joshi, R. (2021). WRKY transcription factors and plant defense responses: latest discoveries and future prospects. *Plant Cell Rep.* 40, 1071–1085. doi: 10.1007/s00299-021-02691-8

Xie, Z., Zhang, Z. L., Zou, X., Huang, J., Ruas, P., Thompson, D., et al. (2005). Annotations and functional analyses of the rice WRKY gene superfamily reveal positive and negative regulators of abscisic acid signaling in aleurone cells. *Plant Physiol*. 137, 176–189. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.054312

Zhang, H., Deng, C., Yao, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Deng, S., et al. (2019). *Populus euphratica* JRL mediates ABA response, ionic and ROS homeostasis in *Arabidopsis* under salt stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20:815. doi: 10.3390/ijms2004 0815

Zhang, L., Xu, Y., Zhang, X., Ma, X., Zhang, L., Liao, Z., et al. (2020). The genome of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) provides insights into bast fibre and leaf shape biogenesis. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 18, 1796–1809. doi: 10.1111/pbi.1 3341

Zhou, Q. Y., Tian, A. G., Zou, H. F., Xie, Z. M., Lei, G., Huang, J., et al. (2008). Soybean WRKY-type transcription factor genes, *GmWRKY13*, *GmWRKY21*, and *GmWRKY54*, confer differential tolerance to abiotic stresses in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 6, 486–503. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2008. 00336.x

Zhu, J. K. (2003). Regulation of ion homeostasis under salt stress. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 6, 441–445.

Zhu, J. K. (2016). Abiotic Stress Signaling and Responses in Plants. Cell 167, 313-324.

Zou, X., Seemann, J. R., Neuman, D., and Shen, Q. J. (2004). A WRKY gene from creosote bush encodes an activator of the abscisic acid signaling pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 55770–55779. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M408536200