### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jing Zhang, Nanjing Agricultural University, China

#### REVIEWED BY Xingxu Zhang, Lanzhou University, China

Lu Gan, Yangzhou University, China

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE Juming Zhang

jimmzh@scau.edu.cn Tianzeng Liu liutianzeng@scau.edu.cn

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 01 June 2022 ACCEPTED 01 July 2022 PUBLISHED 02 August 2022

#### CITATION

Wei H, He W, Li Z, Ge L, Zhang J and Liu T (2022) Salt-tolerant endophytic bacterium *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 enhance bermudagrass growth under salt stress by modulating plant physiology and changing rhizosphere and root bacterial community. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:959427. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.959427

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wei, He, Li, Ge, Zhang and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Salt-tolerant endophytic bacterium *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 enhance bermudagrass growth under salt stress by modulating plant physiology and changing rhizosphere and root bacterial community

Hongjian Wei<sup>1,2</sup>, Wenyuan He<sup>1</sup>, Ziji Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Liangfa Ge<sup>1,2</sup>, Juming Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>\* and Tianzeng Liu<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>College of Forestry and Landscape Architecture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Guangdong Engineering Research Center for Grassland Science, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China

Osmotic and ionic induced salt stress suppresses plant growth. In a previous study, Enterobacter ludwigii B30, isolated from Paspalum vaginatum, improved seed germination, root length, and seedling length of bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon) under salt stress. In this study, E. ludwigii B30 application improved fresh weight and dry weight, carotenoid and chlorophyll levels, catalase and superoxide dismutase activities, indole acetic acid content and K<sup>+</sup> concentration. Without E. ludwigii B30 treatment, bermudagrass under salt stress decreased malondialdehyde and proline content, Y(NO) and Y(NPQ), Na<sup>+</sup> concentration, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate, and abscisic acid content. After E. ludwigii B30 inoculation, bacterial community richness and diversity in the rhizosphere increased compared with the rhizosphere adjacent to roots under salt stress. Turf quality and carotenoid content were positively correlated with the incidence of the phyla Chloroflexi and Fibrobacteres in rhizosphere soil, and indole acetic acid (IAA) level was positively correlated with the phyla Actinobacteria and Chloroflexi in the roots. Our results suggest that E. ludwigii B30 can improve the ability of bermudagrass to accumulate biomass, adjust osmosis, improve photosynthetic efficiency and selectively absorb ions for reducing salt stressinduced injury, while changing the bacterial community structure of the rhizosphere and bermudagrass roots. They also provide a foundation for understanding how the bermudagrass rhizosphere and root microorganisms respond to endophyte inoculation.

### KEYWORDS

salt stress, endophyte, growth-promoting, bermudagrass, bacterial community

# Introduction

Saline-alkali soils are common within terrestrial ecosystems, and salinity affects approximately half of all irrigated land. Typically, salinization increases due to fertilizer overuse and poor water quality (Zhang et al., 2010; Pessarakli, 2014). Plant salt stress (SS) is related to the accumulation of several soluble salts, including calcium chloride, magnesium sulfate, or sodium sulfate, but sodium chloride is the dominant saltinducing SS within plants (Yu et al., 2013). Soil salinity initially suppresses plant development via osmotic stress, with ionic toxicity appearing later (Liu et al., 2019). SS will produce reactive oxygen species (ROS), thereby inducing oxidative stress (OS) while suppressing plant growth (Essemine et al., 2020). Scorching of leaves and stems, the inhibition of shoot and root developmental, and leaf senescence and abscission, are the major morphological effects induced by SS (Singh and Jha, 2016). In addition, biochemical alterations can involve physiological metabolism, cell oxidation, imbalanced nutritional conditions, and chlorophyll degradation (He et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022). Many methods such as chemical or fertilizer application, saltresistant variety development, and plant genetic engineering have been employed for ameliorating such SS-induced impacts (Bui, 2013; Fatma et al., 2014). In recent studies, investigators have paid increasing attention to applying beneficial microbes to mitigate SS (Vaishnav et al., 2019).

Bacterial endophytes are widely distributed in plants, where they colonize intracellular and intercellular spaces. Both fungal and bacterial endophytes typically colonize and live within an individual host plant throughout its life cycle without causing any significant pathological symptoms (Ryan et al., 2008; White et al., 2019). The inoculation of endophytic bacteria into plants promotes the synthesis of compounds such as antioxidants, amino acids (AAs), and sugars and enhances photosynthesis in host plants alleviating SS (Diskit et al., 2018). Phytohormones produced by bacterial endophytes, including jasmonic acid (JA), abscisic acid (ABA), and indole acetic acid (IAA), promote development in their hosts (Singh et al., 2021; Roy Choudhury et al., 2022) while ACC-deaminase reduces ethylene production under SS (Ali et al., 2014). These microorganisms can also reduce plant SS by producing ROS-scavenging enzymes and increasing K<sup>+</sup> absorption for counteracting Na<sup>+</sup> while modulating relevant pathways (Fan et al., 2020). Other studies have reported the role of endophytic bacteria in mitigating plant SS by restoring plant biochemical and physiological states (Kumar et al., 2020). Endophytic bacteria also have important roles in modulating microbial community structures within plant rhizospheres (Cordovez et al., 2019). Due to the growthpromoting effect of endophytic bacteria on plants, more carbon is fixed and transferred to the rhizosphere, where it is released in the form of root exudates (Compant et al., 2010; Li et al., 2021). As a result, more beneficial microorganisms move toward the exudate, colonizing and multiplying within the rhizosphere and root nodules (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009). Galaviz et al. (2018) inoculated mesquite (Prosopis articulata) with B. pumilus ES4, which improved the relative abundance of the beneficial bacteria Paenibacillaceae and Bacillaceae in the rhizosphere, thus contributing directly or indirectly to plant growth promotion. In addition, inoculation with the endophytic Bacillus velezensis JC-K3 in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) changed bacterial and fungal diversities within the roots and reduced plant salt damage (Ji et al., 2021). Enterobacter ludwigii can survive at 15-42°C and pH 5-10 (Hoffmann et al., 2005). Khan et al. (2020) found that E. ludwigii generated IAA, gibberellins (GA) and organic acids, thus promoting plant development while enhancing its resistance. Nowadays, little is known about how Enterobacter ludwigii alleviates abiotic stress in plants, especially the mechanisms by which changes in microbial communities in the rhizosphere and root of plants regulate plant resistance to abiotic stresses are unclear.

Bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon), a typical warm-season turf grass, is widely used in temperate and tropical regions of the world (Wei et al., 2022a). Turfgrass managers are using reclaimed water as an irrigation resource because of the decreasing availability and increasing cost of fresh water (Xiang et al., 2018). However, reclaimed water contains large amounts of salt ions, and its prolonged use can elevate the salt content of turfs, thereby inhibiting the growth of turfgrass (Liu et al., 2019). Nonetheless, the effect of bacterial endophytes on improving SS resistance of bermudagrass remains unclear. Our previous work isolated endophytic bacterium strain E. ludwigii B30 from Paspalum vaginatum roots in the salinization experimental site of the Teaching and Research Base in South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China (lat.23°30'15"N, long.113°80'21"E). and found that the inoculation of E. ludwigii B30 is an effective way to improve seed germination, root length, and seedling length of bermudagrass under salt stress (Zhao et al., 2021). The present work examined the role of E. ludwigii. B30 in mitigating high SS-induced unfavorable impacts on Bermudagrass plant development. Additionally, we carried out 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing to determine how E. ludwigii B30 affected the bacterial community structure in bermudagrass rhizosphere soils and roots. Understanding the effects of endophytic bacteria on the structures of root and rhizosphere bacterial communities associated with bermudagrass contributes to understanding the complicated interactive network between microbes and their host.

# Materials and methods

# Plant materials as well as growth conditions

Bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*) seeds were obtained from the International Grass Industry Co. Ltd. in Tianjin, China.

Seeds were immersed in 75% ethanol for 5 min to surfacesterilize them, washed 4 times in sterile distilled water, and planted into plastic pots (diameter 10 cm, height 10 cm; 20 g  $m^{-2}$  sowing rate) containing substrate (peat: sand 3:1, V/V). The plastic pots were sterilized at 121°C for 1 h in an autoclave on three successive days prior to setting up the experiment. Potted seedlings were cultured in a growth chamber [controlled at 30/27°C; 60% relative humidity; a 14 h/10 h light/dark cycle; 650 mmol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$  photosynthetic active radiation (PAR)]. Pots were initially watered in the morning and evening to keep the soil moist. After seedling emergence, plants were watered one time a day until water drained from the bottoms of the pots to maintain soil water content and fertilized once weekly with half-strength Hoagland's solution.

### Salt-tolerant endophytic bacteria

The salt-tolerant bacteria *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 was isolated from *Paspalum vaginatum*. A salt tolerance test showed that B30 could grow normally on 500 mM NaCl medium. Before inoculation, 2 ml *E. ludwigii* B30 was activated in beef extract peptone liquid medium and shaking at 30°C for 10 h until  $OD_{600}$  reached 0.8.

# Bacterial inoculant for bermudagrass growth under salt stress

A randomized complete block experimental design was used, and there were two factors, each with two levels: (1) non-inoculation (E–) and inoculation with *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 (E +), and (2) NaCl concentration of 0 and 250 mM. Each treatment was replicated three times.

E + treatment was inoculated in 28-days-old bermudagrass seedling roots with 20 mL of *E. ludwigii* B30 bacterial suspension was adjusted with 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> to a concentration of  $10^9$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. E– treatment was 20 mL of 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> solution. Three days after inoculation, all plants were trimmed to a height of 4 cm. To avoid salt shock, NaCl was added to the pots in a stepwise manner by increasing NaCl concentration to 50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 mM daily. Once the 250 mM NaCl content was achieved, it was maintained for 14 days. The day of bacterial inoculation of plants was designated day 0. At 21days post-stress, root/shoot lengths and fresh/dry plant weights were measured, and plant samples were collected for analysis.

# Quantification of photosynthesis-related parameters

Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were determined in the bermudagrass leaves following *E. ludwigii* B30 inoculation

and salt treatments. We used a chlorophyll fluorometer imaging-PAM (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) to determine chlorophyll fluorescence in the middle part of the first leaf from every seedling, collected at ambient temperature. After a 20 min adaptation in the dark, chlorophyll fluorescence was measured in the plant samples. We set the intensities of saturating and actinic lights at 7200 and 185  $\mu mol \; m^{-2} \; s^{-1}$ PAR, respectively. In line with Murchie and Lawson (2013), quantum yields of regulated and non-regulated energy dissipation of PSII [Y(NPQ), Y(NO) separately] were measured, along with the maximum quantum yield of PSII (Fv/Fm), and the photochemical energy conversion efficiency via PSII Y(II). We calculated parameters qP and qN in equations 1 and 2 below (Rascher et al., 2000). The parameters of chlorophyll fluorescence were determined for 3 leaf sample sets (4 leaf samples from every set) from each pot on the day before harvest.

$$qP = (F'm - Fs)/(F'm - F'0)$$
(1)

$$qN = (Fm - F'm)/F'm \tag{2}$$

where Fm and F'0 represent maximum and minimum fluorescence intensities of leaf samples adapted to darkness for a 30-min period; F'm is the maximum fluorescence of the light-adapted leaf sample, and Fs is real-time fluorescence of leaves.

### Determination of turf quality, relative water content, antioxidant enzyme activities and photosynthetic pigment content

For evaluating the health as well as the overall performance of bermudagrass, we measured turf quality (TQ) according to color, grass canopy uniformity, and density with a 1– 9 point scale, with 1 indicating totally brown and dried plants, 9 indicating a green, dense, turgid canopy, and 6 indicating the minimum acceptable level (**Supplementary Table 1**) (Beard, 1973).

For measuring relative water content (RWC) in leaves, leaf samples were harvested and weighed to determine the fresh weight (FW). The leaf samples were then immersed in deionized water for a 24 h period at 4°C before removing the leaves from the water and determining the turgid weight (TW). Afterward, the leaves were dried for 72 h at 80°C in an oven before recording dry weight (DW). Leaf RWC was calculated using equation 4 (Barrs and Weatherley, 1962):

$$(FW - DW)/(TW - DW) \times 100 \tag{3}$$

Free proline levels were measured using the method of Bates et al. (1973). We homogenized the freshly collected leaves

(500 mg) in sulfosalicylic acid (10 ml, 3% w/v) and filtered it through Whatman No. 2 filter paper. The filtered extract was mixed with glacial acetic acid and acid ninhydrin (2 mL each) and boiled at 100°C for 1 h. After retrieving 2 mL of toluene from the mixture, it was stirred for 15–20 s. Absorbance (OD) was later measured in the toluene blank at 520 nm, followed by isolation of the upper layer from the aqueous phase.

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was measured with a xanthine oxidase T-SOD activity assay kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). This determines the inhibitory effect of xanthine in producing superoxide anion free radicals under the catalysis of xanthine oxidase, thereby determining SOD activity. The produced superoxide radicals oxidized hydroxylamine for the generation of nitrite, which served as a developer for creating the purple color. OD values were determined in every reaction mixture at 550 nm. The amount of SOD needed to produce a 50% inhibition of nitrite reduction within the 1 mL reaction system was determined as one SOD activity unit (Beyer and Fridovich, 1987). We utilized an assay kit for detecting CAT activity (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). CAT activity was measured as the reduction in OD value at 240 nm resulting from H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition. The enzyme volume inducing 1 mmol H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition/second at 25°C in a 1 g tissue protein sample was deemed to be one CAT activity unit. CAT and SOD activities (U/g protein, with U indicating enzymatic activity unit) were determined following manufacturer's protocols (Rady et al., 2019).

Chlorophyll was extracted from freshly collected leaf samples (1 g) through suspension in 80% acetone (5 mL v/v). A spectrophotometer (UV-2800, Unico, Shanghai, China) was utilized to record extract OD values at 470, 646 and 663 nm. Carotenoid and chlorophyll levels were measured using equations in Lichtenthaler and Wellburn (1983).

# Determination of ion concentration and membrane stability

 $K^+$  and Na<sup>+</sup> ions content in leaves and roots were measured using the method of Chang et al. (2018). Fresh leaves and roots were dried on filter paper, followed by an additional 2days drying to constant weight at 70°C.  $K^+$  and Na<sup>+</sup> ions in root and leaf dry matter (0.1 g) were obtained for subsequent analysis. Samples were mixed with an acid mixture (5 mL) containing HClO<sub>4</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> at a 1:4 ratio, followed by overnight incubation at 60°C and later introduction of 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 4 mL HNO<sub>3</sub> for 20 min at 220°C. After 4 h of cooling under ambient temperature, deionized water was added to dilute the extract, followed by injection into an Atomic Absorbance Spectrophotometer [AA-6650, Shimadzu (China) Co., Ltd., JP]. This work obtained ions from 3 plants from every treatment. No plant sample was used in the blank group.

To measure MDA content, 0.2 g of freshly collected leaves were homogenized in 5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA, 1.5 mL), and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 25 min. 0.5 mL aliquots of the supernatant were mixed with 20% TCA (1 mL) containing 0.5% thiobarbituric acid (TBA), heated for 30 min at 100°C, rapidly cooled and then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was used to measure OD values at 532 and 600 nm. MDA content was measured at the 155 mM<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> extinction coefficient after deducting non-specific absorbance (600 nm) (Heath and Packer, 1968).

Membrane permeability (MP) was measured based on the leaf electrolyte leakage (EL, determined as a percentage). An increase in EL indicates an increased MP value (Wei et al., 2022b). Freshly collected leaf blade samples (300 mg) from several pots were placed in a test tube containing distilled water (30 ml). After 12-h of shaking, electrical conductivity (E1) was measured using a conductivity meter (DDS-307; Zhengyi Technology Co., Guangzhou, China). Samples were then placed into boiling water for 1 h, and electrical conductivity (E2) was again measured at ambient temperature. EL was calculated using the formula:

$$EL = (E1 - E0)/(E2 - E0) \times 100$$
(4)

where E0 indicates the electrical conductivity in distilled water (Lutts et al., 1996).

### Endogenous abscisic acid, indole acetic acid, and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate measurement

Abscisic acid (ABA) and IAA content was measured by Phytodetek-ABA and Phytodetek-IAA Immunoassay kits (Agdia, Elkhart, IN) (Cluis et al., 2004; Vanderauwera et al., 2007). We determined phytohormone levels following manufacturer's instructions. Shoots were immersed in liquid nitrogen and ground into powder. The powder (0.5 g) was suspended in the extraction solution [8 ml, containing 80% methanol, 0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> citric acid monohydrate together with 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT)], followed by overnight stirring in the dark at 4°C followed by 20 min centrifugation at 1000 g and 4°C. The resulting supernatant was collected into another tube, vacuum dried, and dissolved in tris-buffered saline (TBS, 900  $\mu L,\,pH$  7.8) and 100% methanol (100 µL). ABA and IAA contents were then measured from the filtrate using the Phytodetek ABA/IAA enzyme immunoassay kit (Agdia Inc., Elkhart, IN).

To measure ACC concentrations in seedling tissues roots (1 g) were immersed in liquid nitrogen and ground to powder.

ACC extraction was then carried out using 2 mg BHTcontaining 80% methanol (5 ml) as the antioxidant, followed by 45 min incubation at ambient temperature. After 15 min centrifugation at 2000 g and 20°C, pellets were resuspended in 80% methanol (4 ml), followed by centrifugation. The resulting supernatant was evaporated to dryness with a rotary evaporator. ACC content was measured using the method of Madhaiyan et al. (2007). The residue was resuspended in distilled water (2 ml), and dichloromethane was added. In the upper aqueous phase, 0.5 mL was extracted and mixed with 80 mM HgCl<sub>2</sub> (0.1 ml) in a test tube, followed by sealing using rubber septa. Thereafter, each tube had 0.2 ml of sodium hypochlorite solution added, followed by 8 min of incubation in a shaker.

# DNA extraction and high-throughput sequencing

Rhizosphere soil and root samples were collected at the end of salt stress treatments. Plants were collected and gently shaken to remove the loosely adhered soil after which rhizosphere soil samples were collected by removing the remnant soil with a fine sterile brush. The root samples were gently rinsed several times with tap water then washed with sterile water, followed by drying on sterilized filter paper. Rhizosphere soil and root samples were stored at -80 °C for DNA extraction. We isolated total DNA from around 0.5 and 0.1 g rhizosphere soils and root separately with the Soil DNA Kit (OMEGA, Shanghai) and plant DNA kit (Tiangen, Beijing) in line with manufacturer's protocols. A Qubit Fluorometer was used to measure DNA quality using the Qubit dsDNA BR Assay kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA). 0.1% agarose gel was utilized to test quality. All extracts were stored under 20°C. To conduct PCR amplification, the sequences of primers in the bacterial v3-v4 region (5'-CCGTCAATTCMTTTRAGTTT-3') and (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') were applied. After amplification, products were subject to recovery and purification, fluorescence intensity was quantified, and a sequencing library was constructed. Finally, we used the Illumina HiSeq platform to conduct sequencing at Guangdong Magigene Biotechnology Co., Ltd. Guangzhou, China.

### **Bioinformatics analysis**

QIIME software (quantitative insights into microbial ecology, v1.8.0<sup>1</sup>) (Caporaso et al., 2010) was used to obtain high-quality clean tags. Typically, this retained sequences whose

lengths were  $\geq 160$  bp, and fuzzy bases were eliminated. Sequences that had > 8 continuous identical bases and those with > 1 5'-primer base mismatches were also ruled out. Usearch (v5.2.236<sup>2</sup>) was utilized for checking and removing chimeric sequences after which the desired sequences were obtained. OTU clustering was carried out at a similarity level of 97%. Afterward, Silva databases (Quast et al., 2013) for bacteria were used to identify OTU classifications following clustering analysis.

### Statistical analysis

Data were collated with Microsoft Excel 2019. SPSS22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) was used for the statistical analyses of treatment effects on the bermudagrass plant physiology. We applied one-way and two-way ANOVAs and Fisher's LSD test to test significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments in plant physiology indicators. Origin 8.0 software was employed to plot relative abundance maps showing bacteria whose mean relative abundances were >1%. LEfSe analysis was then conducted to analyze relative general abundances using the Galaxy online analysis platform.3 The R Project (v4.1.3) ggplot2 package and Bray-Curtis distance metric were used to perform principal coordinate analysis (PCoA), and β-diversity was visualized with the phyloseq package (Oksanen et al., 2007). Redundancy analysis (RDA) was conducted, and RDA diagrams were drawn using the R (v4.1.3) ggplot2 and vegan packages.

### Results

# Effects of *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 on the growth of bermudagrass under salt stress

Under SS, *E. ludwigii* B30 (E +) treated plants showed markedly different shoot lengths compared with non-treated control plants. Non-endophyte treated (E–) plants had a 13.40 cm shoot length, while E + plants had a 16.01 cm shoot length (**Table 1**). E + plants also had markedly increased root length (P < 0.05) compared with E– treated plants under SS. The fresh weight (FW) of shoot and root biomass increased in plants growing with E + . Under SS, E– plants had fresh weights of shoots and roots of 4.75 g and 1.14 g, whereas those of E + treated plants were 8.78 g and 1.68 g. Similarly, shoot and root dry weights (DW) were improved in plants in the

<sup>1</sup> http://qiime.org/

<sup>2</sup> http://www.drive5.com/usearch/

<sup>3</sup> http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy/

Treatment	Shoot height (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot biomass (g FW)	Root biomass (g FW)	Shoot biomass (g DW)	Root biomass (g DW)	
Non-stress							
E-	$18.33\pm0.21\text{b}$	$10.68\pm0.36b$	$12.31\pm0.35b$	$1.69\pm0.15\text{b}$	$1.43\pm0.03a$	$0.21\pm0.01\text{b}$	
E +	$20.11\pm0.85a$	$13.33\pm0.22a$	$15.94\pm0.43a$	$2.06\pm0.09a$	$1.66\pm0.08\text{b}$	$0.26\pm0.03a$	
Salt stress							
E-	$13.40\pm0.51d$	$6.17\pm0.36d$	$4.75\pm0.25d$	$1.14\pm0.06c$	$1.11\pm0.02c$	$0.03\pm0.01\text{d}$	
E +	$16.01\pm0.19c$	$7.26\pm0.19c$	$8.78\pm0.21c$	$1.68\pm0.11\text{b}$	$1.41\pm0.08a$	$0.15\pm0.02c$	
ANOVA							
E	***	**	***	***	***	***	
Salt	***	***	***	***	**	***	
$E\times Salt$	***	**	**	***	ns	**	

TABLE 1 Influence of Enterobacter ludwigii B30 on shoot length, root length, shoot biomass and root biomass of bermudagrass in the presence and absence of salt stress.

Difference in various growth parameters and comparative analysis. Average  $\pm$  standard error from three separate replicates. "Non-stress" represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "Salt stress" represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of *E. ludwigii*, "E-" represents the absence of *E. ludwigii*. Different letters means significantly different based on P < 0.05. "\*\*"," "\*\*" indicate significant differences at P < 0.01, and P < 0.001, respectively, and "ns" represents no significant difference.

E + treatment. SS exposure significantly decreased (P < 0.05) turf quality (TQ) of E + treated and E- treated bermudagrass. However, the TQ of the E + treated plants was greater than (P < 0.05) that of the E- treated plants in SS conditions (**Figure 1** and **Supplementary Figure 1**).

Salt and *E. ludwigii* B30 did not significantly affect shoot dry weight (P > 0.05), but affected other plant growth indicators (Table 1).

## Physiological response following inoculation of *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 in salt stress treated plants

Salt stress (SS)-treated plants exposed to E + had increased RWC and decreased proline levels compared with controls. For E-SS treatment plants, the RWC was approximately 83%, and for E + plants, it was approximately 87% (**Figure 2A**). In E-SS treatment plants, proline levels were approximately 827  $\mu$ g mg<sup>-1</sup> FW, while in the E + treatment they were 745  $\mu$ g mg<sup>-1</sup> FW (**Figure 2D**).

Leaf SOD activity under SS significantly increased in E + plants compared with E-plants (726 U g<sup>-1</sup> and 625 U g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; P < 0.05; **Figure 2B**). The leaf CAT activity within plants under SS with E + treatment increased compared with the E- treatment (232 U g<sup>-1</sup> and 136 U g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; P < 0.05; **Figure 2C**).

MDA content and electrolyte leakage under SS decreased in the E + treatment in comparison with plants in the E– treatment (2.43  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> FW and 1.68  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> FW, respectively; **Figure 2E**). For plants under SS, an electrolyte leakage rate of 45% was seen from plants in the E– treatment, compared with 25% in plants in the E + treatment (**Figure 2F**).

## *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 enhanced photosynthetic efficiency and protected photosynthetic pigments of bermudagrass under salt stress

The Fv/Fm ratio (maximum quantum yield of PSII), photochemical quenching (qP), and non-photochemical quenching (qN) in bermudagrass plants grown under salt stress with E + increased significantly compared with E-plants (**Figures 3A**-**C**; P < 0.05). PSII [Y(II)] quantum yield increased dramatically, whereas Y(NPQ) and Y(NO)



Effects of bermudagrass turf quality of inoculated and uninoculated plants under salt stress. Bars denote means  $\pm$  SE of four treatment. "Non-stress" represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "Salt stress" represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of *Enterobacter ludwigii*, "E–" represents the absence of *E. ludwigii*. "\*" indicate significant differences at *P* < 0.05 and "ns" represents no significant difference.



decreased significantly in salt-stressed bermudagrass plants grown with E + compared with E- plants (Figures 3D-F; P < 0.05).

Chlorophyll and carotenoid content increased under salt stress in E + plants compared with E– plants (**Figures 4A,B**; P < 0.05). The chlorophyll and carotenoid contents were 1.17 and 0.23 mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW in E– plants compared with 1.36 and 0.32 mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW in E + plants.

### Inoculation of *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 affected levels of abscisic acid, indole acetic acid, and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate under salt stress

Without SS, ABA levels did not significantly change in bermudagrass leaves in E + compared with E- treatments (**Figure 5A**; P > 0.05). Under SS, the E + treatment decreased leaf ABA content by 21.3%, to 617.93 ng g<sup>-1</sup>. IAA levels in plants exposed to E + under SS were significantly higher than in E- plants (0.51 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW and 0.27 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW, respectively;

P < 0.05, **Figure 5B**). ACC content in the leaves of bermudagrass under SS increased by 91.4% in E– plants compared with E + plants (P < 0.05), which led to increased ethylene production and inhibition of plant growth. Moreover, the ACC content of E + plants under SS decreased significantly compared with E– plants (12.39 ng g<sup>-1</sup> FW and 21.48 ng g<sup>-1</sup> FW, respectively; P < 0.05, **Figure 5C**).

# Ion concentration in response to bacterial inoculation under salt stress

In the absence of SS, the E + treatment did not significantly affect Na<sup>+</sup> levels within bermudagrass leaf samples, but it significantly increased K<sup>+</sup> content (P < 0.05). The E + treatment significantly reduced Na<sup>+</sup> content in bermudagrass roots but significantly increased the K<sup>+</sup> content (P < 0.05). Under SS, the E + treatment reduced the Na<sup>+</sup> content in bermudagrass leaf and root samples while greatly increasing K<sup>+</sup> content (P < 0.05). Under SA, the E + treatment stress, the E + treatment decreased the Na<sup>+</sup>:K<sup>+</sup> ratio within root and leaf samples compared with E - plants (**Table 2**).



Chlorophyll fluorescence parameter. Optimal/maximal quantum yield of PS II [(A); Fv/Fm], photochemical quenching [(B); qP], non-photochemical quenching [(C); qN], quantum yield of PSII [Y(II); (D)], Y(NO) (E) and Y(NPQ) (F) of bermudagrass with inoculated and uninoculated plants under salt stress. Bars denote means ± SE of four treatment. "Non-stress" represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "Salt stress" represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of Enterobacter ludwigii, "Erepresents the absence of *E. ludwigii.* "\*," "\*\*," indicate significant differences at *P* < 0.05, and *P* < 0.01, and respectively, and 'ns' represents no significant difference.



under salt stress. Bars denote means ± SE of four treatment. "Non-stress" represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "Salt stress" represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of Enterobacter ludwigii, "E-" represents the absence of E. ludwigii. "\*," "\*\*," indicate significant differences at P < 0.05, and P < 0.01, respectively, and 'ns' represents no significant difference.

Changes to the ratio of concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup> (root) and Na<sup>+</sup> (leaf) indicate that Na<sup>+</sup> is translocated from leaves to roots in plants grown with E + under salt stress. However, this ratio was not significantly different among plants grown with E-. Under non-salt stress conditions, the ratio in plants grown with E + was significantly lower than those with E-. Under SS, the  $K^+$ 



FIGURE 5

Changes in endogenous hormone content. Abscisic acid (ABA) **(A)**, indole acetic acid (IAA) **(B)** and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) **(C)** contents of bermudagrass with inoculated and uninoculated plants under salt stress. Bars denote means  $\pm$  SE of four treatment. "Non-stress" represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "Salt stress" represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of *Enterobacter ludwigii*, "E–" represents the absence of *E. ludwigii*. "\*," "\*\*," indicate significant differences at P < 0.05, and P < 0.01, respectively, and 'ns' represents no significant difference.

TABLE 2 Influence of *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 on Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>: K<sup>+</sup> ratio in roots and leaves, Na<sup>+</sup> (root) to Na<sup>+</sup> (leaf) ratio and K<sup>+</sup> (root) to K<sup>+</sup> (leaf) ratio of bermudagrass in the presence and absence of salt stress.

Treatment	Non-stress		Salt stress		ANOVA		
	E +	E—	E +	E—	E	Salt	E × Salt
Root							
$Na^{+}$ (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	$1.34\pm0.04\text{d}$	$2.62\pm0.06c$	$24.49\pm1.12b$	$32.10\pm1.59a$	***	**	**
$K^+ (mg g^{-1})$	$9.66 \pm 1.21 \mathrm{b}$	$5.91 \pm 1.02 d$	$11.70\pm0.87a$	$7.12\pm0.43c$	***	***	***
Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup>	$0.14\pm0.02d$	$0.44\pm0.04c$	$2.09\pm0.18\text{b}$	$4.50\pm0.22a$	***	***	***
Leaf							
$Na^{+}$ (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	$1.63\pm0.08c$	$1.62\pm0.07c$	$14.47\pm0.89b$	$19.97 \pm 1.68a$	***	***	***
$K^+ (mg g^{-1})$	$7.89 \pm 1.02 c$	$7.40 \pm 1.31 \text{c}$	$12.66\pm1.78a$	$9.91 \pm 1.32 b$	***	***	**
Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup>	$0.21\pm0.04c$	$0.22\pm0.03c$	$1.14\pm0.12b$	$2.02\pm0.16a$	***	***	***
Root/leaf							
Na <sup>+</sup> /Na <sup>+</sup>	$0.82\pm0.09c$	$1.62\pm0.23b$	$1.70\pm0.18a$	$1.61\pm0.21\text{b}$	**	**	*
$K^+/K^+$	$1.22\pm0.21a$	$0.80\pm0.11\text{c}$	$0.93\pm0.18\text{b}$	$0.72\pm0.22d$	**	**	*

Difference in ion uptake analysis. Average  $\pm$  standard error from three separate replicates. "Non-stress" represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "Salt stress" represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of *E. ludwigii*, "E-" represents the absence of *E. ludwigii*. Different letters mean significantly different based on *P* < 0.05. "\*," "\*\*," "\*\*\*" indicate significant differences at *P* < 0.05, *P* < 0.01, and *P* < 0.001, respectively, and "ns" represents no significant difference.

(root) to  $K^+$  (leaf) ratio is indicative of  $K^+$  translocation from roots to leaves in plants grown with E +. The ratio in plants grown with E + was significantly higher than in those with E–.

# Bacterial community composition within rhizosphere and root

Results of the analysis of rhizosphere soil bacterial phyla showed, under salt stress, that compared with the E- treatment, the E + treatment markedly increased Bacteroidetes (F = 4.193, P = 0.003), Firmicutes (F = 5.23, P = 0.023) and Chloroflexi (F = 3.803, P = 0.018) relative abundances (**Figure 6**); whereas it significantly decreased the relative abundances of Actinobacteria (F = 1.282, P = 0.005) and Proteobacteria (F = 4.186, P = 0.041). The relative abundance of Bacteroidetes (**Figure 6A**) in the NS + E + treatment increased significantly (P < 0.05) compared with the NS + E- treatment, reaching 20.1%. However, the relative abundance of Proteobacteria in the S + E + treatment increased significantly (P < 0.05) compared with the NS + E + treatment, reaching 53.6%.

In the analysis of the root bacterial phyla, under salt stress, the *E. ludwigii* B30 treatment (E +) markedly increased Firmicutes (F = 15.078, P = 0.038) and Spirochetes (F = 5.124, P = 0.029) relative abundances compared with the SS + E- treatment (**Figure 6C**) whereas the relative abundances of Actinobacteria (F = 2.333, P = 0.041) and Planctomycetes (F = 14.958, P = 0.018) significantly decreased. The relative abundances of Bacteroidetes, Fibrobacteres and Verrucomicrobia in NS + E + increased significantly (P < 0.05)



compared with the NS + E– treatment. However, the relative abundance of Spirochetes and Firmicutes (**Figure 6D**) in the S + E + treatment significantly (P < 0.05) increased compared with the NS + E + treatment, reaching 3.9% and 14.6%, respectively; whereas the relative abundance of Actinobacteria in the S + E + treatment decreased significantly (P < 0.05) compared with the NS + E + treatment, reaching 1.6%.

Bacterial genera relative abundance in rhizosphere soil under SS in plants treated with E. ludwigii B30 (E +), compared with plants without E. ludwigii B30 (E-), showed increases for Flavisolibacter (F = 4.314, P = 0.023), Geobacter (F = 10.868, P = 0.004), Gracilibacter (F = 8.353, P = 0.005)and *Paenalcaligenes* (F = 13.939, P = 0.001), and decreases for *Arenimonas* (F = 11.011, P = 0.002), *Azospirillum* (F = 1.163, P = 0.014), Pseudomonas, Pseudoxanthomonas (F = 0.036, P = 0.003), Salimesophilobacter (F = 2.609, P = 0.013) and Thauera (F = 0.551, P = 0.001) (Figure 6B). The relative abundance of Flavisolibacter, Lacunisphaera, and Opitutus in the NS + E + treatment increased significantly (P < 0.05) compared with the NS + E- treatment, reaching 20.1%. However, the relative abundances of Geobacter, Gracilibacter and *Magnetospirillum* in S + E + treatment markedly (P < 0.05) increased compared with the NS + E + treatment.

Bacterial genera relative abundance in roots under SS in *E. ludwigii* B30 (E +) treatment compared with the S + E-treatment increased for *Actinoplanes* (F = 1.079, P = 0.001),

Cellvibrio (F = 0.531, P = 0.021), Halobacillus (F = 15.972, P = 0.012), Imperialibacter (F = 5.031, P = 0.025), and Pelagibacterium (F = 8.071, P = 0.006), and decreased for Allorhizobium-Neorhizobium-Pararhizobium-Rhizobium (F = 4.281, P = 0.004), Arenimonas (F = 1.029, P = 0.016), Erythrobacter (F = 14.119, P = 0.022) and Ohtaekwangia (F = 12.082, P = 0.024) (Figure 3D). Fluviicola and Ohtaekwangia (Figure 6D) relative abundance in the NS + E +treatment increased significantly (P < 0.05) compared with the NS + E- treatment. The relative abundance of *Cellvibrio*, Flavobacterium, Halobacillus, Imperialibacter and Leptonema in the S + E + treatment increased significantly (P < 0.05) compared with the NS + E + treatment, whereas the relative abundance of Allorhizobium-Neorhizobium-Pararhizobium-Rhizobium in S + E + treatment dramatically (P < 0.05) decreased relative to NS + S +, reaching 1.5%.

### Rhizosphere and root bacterial community richness, diversity and beta diversity analysis

Regardless of the endophyte treatment, salt stress greatly affected bacterial community richness measured by the Chao1 index in bermudagrass rhizosphere (P < 0.001, **Figure 7A**) and roots (P < 0.05, **Figure 7C**). Under Salt stress



conditions, inoculation of *E. ludwigii* B30 significantly increased bermudagrass rhizosphere soil bacterial community richness compared with the E- treatment (P < 0.01), while the richness of the root bacteria community remained almost unchanged (P > 0.05).

Regardless of the endophyte treatment, SS dramatically affected bacterial community diversity, measured by the Shannon index, in bermudagrass rhizosphere soil (P < 0.01, **Figure 7B**) and roots (P < 0.05, **Figure 7D**). Under salt stress conditions, the E + treatment, compared with the E- treatment, significantly increased the bacterial community diversity in the bermudagrass rhizosphere soil (P < 0.05) but not in roots (P > 0.05).

For rhizosphere soil bacteria, PCoA plots showed different clusters for the E + and E-, salt stress and not salt stress treatments (**Supplementary Figure 2A**). PCoA1 and PCoA2 (first and second principal components) explained 29.86% and 13.65% of the variance, respectively. The root bacteria PCoA plots (**Supplementary Figure 2B**) showed separate clusters for

E + and E - treatments (PCoA1 and PCoA2 explained 18.38% and 16.16% of the variance, respectively).

### LEfSe

We carried out LEfSe analysis to examine how salt stress and *E. ludwigii* B30 treatments affected bacterial taxa. There are Fifty-five bacterial taxa (from phyla to genera) with LDA scores > 4 (**Figure 8A**), twenty-nine of which were identified from order to genera among plants from the four treatments in bermudagrass rhizosphere soil (**Figure 8B**). Seven genus clades were found, including *Azospirillum*, *Pseudomonas\_stutzeri*, and *Salimesophilobacter* from S + E-; *Geobacter* and *Magnetospirillum* from S + E +; Rhodococcus from NS + E-; and Opitutus from NS + E +.

There are Forty-two bacterial taxa (from phyla to genera) with LDA scores > 4 (Figure 9A), thirty of which were identified from order to genera among



The taxonomic differences between treatments based on *E. ludwigii* B30 inoculation and salt stress in bermudagrass. The LEfSe map of bacteria including LDA (A) and Cladogram (B) in rhizosphere soil. LEfSe map is a linear discriminant analysis based on the composition of sample taxonomy according to different grouping conditions. In the four treatments, P < 0.05, LDA > 4 as the standard, communities with significant differences at each classification level are found to be represented by bar chart. Cladogram can intuitively show the difference information of samples at each classification level. "NS + " represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "S + " represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30, "E-" represents the absence of *E. ludwigii* B30.



plants from the four treatments in bermudagrass roots (Figure 9B). Nine genus clades, including *Pseudomonas, Allorhizobium\_Neorhizobium\_Pararhizobium\_Rhizobium*,

Pseudomonas\_stutzeri, and Erythrobacter from  $S + E_-$ ; Spirochetes and Flavobacterium from  $S + E_+$ ; Chitinophagales and Actinoplanes from NS + E\_-; and possible\_genus\_04 from NS + E + .

### **Redundancy analysis**

The first and second RDA axes among rhizosphere soil bacteria communities and plant indicators contributed 81.55% and 12.71% to the variance (**Figure 10A**). The plant DW (root + shoot) was positively correlated with phyla Actinobacteria and Patescibacteria. TQ was positively related to

the phyla Chloroflexi, Fibrobacteres, and Planctomycetes. Car content showed positive associations with phyla Chloroflexi, Fibrobacteres, and Gemmatimonadetes. The first and second RDA axes in root bacteria communities and plant indicators explained 59.31% and 35.74% of the variance (Figure 10B), respectively. TQ was positively correlated with the phyla Verrucomicrobia, Fibrobacteres, and Bacteroidetes. The IAA content was positively correlated with the phyla Actinobacteria and Chloroflexi.

### Discussion

### Inoculation of *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 enhances bermudagrass growth and affects abscisic acid, indole acetic acid, and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate levels

Salinity directly affects soil biological and physiochemical characteristics and has adverse impacts on plant growth and yield. The salinity-induced harmful impact on plant development can be ascribed to specific ion toxicity, osmotic stress, nutritional disturbance, or combinations of these factors (Parida and Das, 2005; Ju et al., 2021). Studies have inoculated endophytic bacteria to alleviate SS within numerous plant species (Pal et al., 2021; Sofy et al., 2021). In this study, *E. ludwigii* B30 inoculation enhanced plant development in non-SS and SS conditions, which was manifested by higher shoot height, root length, shoot and root biomass (DW and FW) (Table 1) and TQ (Figure 1).

Enterobacter ludwigii has been shown to be an ACC deaminase-containing bacteria that can ameliorate drought tolerance in wheat (Gontia-Mishra et al., 2016). Studies have reported applying endophytic bacteria to ameliorate abiotic stresses such as NaCl stress through enhanced ACC deaminase activity (Lu et al., 2021; Sofy et al., 2021). We observed that the ACC content of E. ludwigii B30 inoculated bermudagrass was significantly lower than un-inoculated bermudagrass under SS (Figure 5). ACC is an immediate precursor of ethylene and under SS, plants characteristically generate increased amounts of ethylene (Barnawal et al., 2017). Ethylene restricts plant growth and proliferation until ethylene levels are reduced, and the SS condition is ameliorated. ABA participates in several physiological processes in plants, such as plant growth, stomatal aperture control, and stress responses to drought or salt stress (Fahad et al., 2015). This effect was evident in the present study as E. ludwigii B30 inoculations reduced ABA content within bermudagrass under SS. This may be associated with decreased plant ethylene content as it has been shown to affect plant ABA production (Rowe et al., 2016).

Thus, ACC deaminase-containing bacterial inoculation could affect plant ABA levels. The application of *E. ludwigii* B30 reduced ABA levels within stressed plants, indicating that stress levels decreased within these plants. In the present study, ACC deaminase-containing bacteria reduced the adverse effects of harmful stresses by managing ethylene and ABA levels. Some researchers reported that endophytic bacteria inoculation increased ABA content in pea (*Pisum sativum*) under non-stress situations (Sofy et al., 2021). The rise in ABA content induces photosynthesis-influencing stomatal closure (Hamayun et al., 2010). We found that ABA levels did not significantly change in bermudagrass leaves in E + compared with E- treatments under non-stress conditions. We propose that the *E. ludwigii* B30 strains used by us may better balance phytohormones content and promote plant growth, which await further study.

*Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 inoculation promoted growth while enhancing resistance in NaCl stressed plants, in association with IAA generation and increased ACC deaminase activity. The longer root growth in plants inoculated with *E. ludwigii* B30 under salt stress and in the control plants was partially because of the isolate-generated IAA. The endophytic bacteria-generated phytohormones, in particular IAA are related to root initiation as well as enhanced adventitious and lateral root length, thereby helping nutrient absorption in host plants (Otlewska et al., 2020).

### *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 modulates plant physiology in bermudagrass under salt stress while mitigating NaCl-mediated ionic disturbance

Decreased RWC is a characteristic osmotic stress response in plants. Our results show that after bacterial inoculation, RWC was elevated under SS. Ahmad et al. (2013) and Abd Allah et al. (2018) reported elevated RWC in chickpea (Cicer arietinum Linn.) and mung bean (Vigna radiata), respectively, following bacterial inoculation upon SS. Bacterial inoculation can alleviate the SS-induced inhibition of root growth while promoting effective root system development, thus facilitating increased water absorption from deeper in the soil in plants under stress (Marulanda et al., 2010). Abiotic stresses such as SS can induce ROS production within plants. ROS typically react with essential compounds in plants, such as cell membranes, proteins, and lipids, thereby causing oxidative stress (OS) (Wang and Huang, 2019). To combat ROS-induced OS, plants produce a range of antioxidative enzymes such as catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase. SOD has been recognized as the first line of defense to resist ROS by enhancing ROS scavenging through the catalysis of  $O_2^-$  dismutation into  $O_2$ and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Wei et al., 2022b). Likewise, CAT can eliminate excess plant superoxide radicals, thereby protecting against OS, promoting the accumulation of dry matter, and improving plant Wei et al.



### FIGURE 10

Redundancy analysis of relative abundance (phylum level) of rhizosphere soil bacteria and 4 treatments (NS + E-, NS + E +, S + E-, S + E +), and Environmental factors. Environmental factors include Total dry weigh (DW), Turf quality (TQ), indole acetic acid (IAA) and carotenoid (Car) [n = 3; (A)]. Redundancy analysis of relative abundance (phylum level) of root bacteria and 4 treatments (NS + E-, NS + E +, S + E-, S + E +), and Environmental factors. Environmental factors include Relative water content (RWC), Turf quality (TQ), indole acetic acid (IAA) and carotenoid (Car) [n = 3; (B)]. "NS + " represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "S + " represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of *E. ludwigii* B30, "E-" represents the absence of *E. ludwigii* B30.



A graphical abstract describing the mechanism of endophytic bacterium strain B30 enhancing salt tolerance of bermudagrass we followed to accomplish this work. Under salt stress, B30-associated bermudagrass shows an increase in shoot biomass production as compared to non-B30 bermudagrass. Inoculation of B30 changed rhizosphere and root bacterial community structure, recruited some beneficial bacteria in rhizosphere and root, then enhanced Photosynthetic efficiency, SOD, CAT, and IAA content, also decreased proline, MDA, EL, ABA, and ACC content. Besides, B30 balanced Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> concentration between shoot and root of bermudagrass to alleviate the damage caused by salt stress.

resistance (Ahmad et al., 2022). Our results show CAT and SOD activities markedly decreased within *E. ludwigii* B30 inoculated bermudagrass compared with non-inoculated bermudagrass under SS (**Figure 3**). These results agree with the study of Masmoudi et al. (2021), where endophytic halotolerant *Bacillus velezensis* FMH2 inoculation reduced the OS-mediated harmful impacts in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*).

Oxidative stress (OS) causes lipid peroxidation damage to cell membranes, thereby altering permeability and increasing cellular electrolyte leakage and malondialdehyde content (Alexander et al., 2020). In this study, EL and MDA content was elevated in SS treatments, indicating damage to cell membranes in saline conditions. *E. ludwigii* B30 inoculation decreased MDA content and EL (**Figure 3**), suggesting that endophytic bacteria helped to resist the harmful NaCl-induced impact on the intact plant cell membrane. Baek et al. (2020) showed similarly that endophytic bacteria inoculation decreased the EL and MDA content in salt-stressed rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

It is challenging to maintain cellular viscosity and turgidity in plants upon SS. To overcome this, plants produce osmolytes/osmoprotectants, which assist in their survival under harsh conditions while maintaining intracellular water retention (Zulfiqar et al., 2020). As an AA, proline can serve as an osmoprotectant that exerts a range of effects upon different

abiotic stresses and as a scavenger to eliminate hydroxyl free radicals (Chen et al., 2020). Sofy et al. (2021) found that endophytic bacterium B. subtilis inoculation increased the proline content in salt-stressed pea, which may be caused by a change in proline-metabolizing enzymes' activity. Additionally, it has been proposed that higher proline concentrations might facilitate the scavenging of ROS to protect proteins and other important biomolecular structures and prevent adverse effects of SS (Abd Allah et al., 2018). However, we noticed that under SS, plants with E. ludwigii B30 inoculation exhibited reduced proline contents. However, we observed that bacteria-inoculated plants had low proline content under SS. Decreased in proline content in bacteria-inoculated and salt-stressed plants, indicate that the synthesis of osmotically active substances was not enhanced despite the presence of the salt. This finding has been reported by many scientists and was connected with the alleviation of salt stress (Jha et al., 2011; Rojas-Tapias et al., 2012). We propose that the E. ludwigii B30 strains we utilized activate a mechanism distinct from the promotion of proline synthesis that is in charge of reducing salt stress. The plant's response to salt stress' osmotic component is proline production. Since bacterial inoculation prevents the rise in proline content, it is possible that bacteria reduce osmotic stress (Szymańska et al., 2020). As a result, the osmotic adjustment is not necessary since the synthesis of osmoprotective chemicals, such as proline, is constrained, which lowers the energy cost of acclimating to salt.

As a photosynthesis parameter, Fv/Fm can be used for detecting plant stress (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). Fv/Fm measures disturbances in the thylakoid structure at PSII donor sites or photosynthetic electron transport (Zhang et al., 2018). The qP metric, which represents the fraction of PSII open centers, can be used to evaluate energy dissipation by means of PSII electron transport (Rohacek, 2002). Fv/Fm and qP values were higher in E. ludwigii B30 inoculated bermudagrass than in non-inoculated bermudagrass under salt stress, indicating that E. ludwigii B30 was important for helping the plant maintain optimum photosynthesis. The qN processes associated with heat dissipation can protect photosynthesis when more light energy is absorbed than can be utilized (Müller et al., 2001). qN is measured by decreased PSII antenna efficiency resulting from the great luminal [H + ] and xanthophyll cycles, while the latter is related to the proton gradient closely associated with PSII-PSI electron transport (Kramer et al., 2004). In this study, carotenoid levels (Figure 4) and qN (Figure 3) in bermudagrass increased after inoculation with E. ludwigii B30 compared with non-inoculated bermudagrass under salt stress, suggesting that E. ludwigii B30 alleviated the SS-induced inhibition of the lutein cycle while significantly increasing photosynthetic protection.

Light energy absorbed by chlorophyll is processed in 3 pathways, namely, photosynthesis (photochemistry), dissipation as heat, and re-emission as light-chlorophyll fluorescence-sometimes referred to as qN (Oxborough and Baker, 1997;

Macedo et al., 2008). Following Kramer et al. (2004),

$$Y(II) + Y(NPQ) + Y(NO) = 1$$

Y(II) represents photochemical yield, and the PSII-mediated photochemical energy conversion efficiency, Y(NPQ) is the down-regulation mediated dissipation yield, and Y(NO) is the additional non-photochemical loss yield. Y(NO) measures the non-light-mediated (basal or dark) quenching process. Y(NPQ) is also a measure of chlorophyll, carotenoid, and photoinhibition, and it may just represent changes in those rapidly reversible processes. The above three parameters compete with each other so that an increase in one induces a reduction in the other two. Therefore, by determining chlorophyll fluorescence yield, data regarding changes in heat dissipation and photochemistry efficiency can be acquired. This is determined by the elevation of pH differences in and outside of the thylakoid membrane ( $\Delta pH$ ) occurring, in the case of light saturation, during linear photosynthetic electron transport. In addition, it is related to xanthophyll cycle activation (Crouchman et al., 2006). Our results show Y(II) in E. ludwigii B30 inoculated bermudagrass PSII, following SS treatment, decreased compared with non-inoculated bermudagrass; whereas Y(NO) and Y(NPQ) increased compared with non-inoculated bermudagrass (Figure 3), suggesting that the E. ludwigii B30 application significantly increased the PSII photochemical efficiency under salt stress. Based on alterations of pigment levels (Figure 4), we observed that E. ludwigii B30 positively affected chlorophyll, carotenoid level, and photosynthetic performance in bermudagrass under SS. Endophytic bacteria are reported to have a similar protective effect on photosynthetic pigments within sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) under SS (Shi et al., 2010). Such increases in chlorophyll and carotenoid content may suggest that E. ludwigii B30 application increased the absorption of light, protected bermudagrass plant photosynthetic systems, and enhanced the capacity of PSII for processing photochemistry under salt stress.

We found that the ratio of Na<sup>+</sup>(root) to Na<sup>+</sup>(leaf) markedly decreased in un-inoculated bermudagrass compared with E. ludwigii B30 inoculated bermudagrass under SS, indicating that E. ludwigii B30 inoculation restricted Na<sup>+</sup> translocation from the roots to aerial parts of bermudagrass, thereby restricting toxic Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation within photosynthetic tissues (Porcel et al., 2016). Sahu et al. (2021) showed similarly that endophytic bacteria inoculation restricted Na<sup>+</sup> translocation from the roots to shoot in salt-stressed tomato. Under SS, excess Na<sup>+</sup> ions will compete against K<sup>+</sup> (the other macronutrient), inducing nutritional and metabolic disturbance and exerting adverse impacts on plants. An increased K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio is an indicator of an efficient SS resistance mechanism (Etesami and Glick, 2020). Plants in the present study also exhibited a markedly decreased Na<sup>+</sup> (root, leaf) concentration and increased K<sup>+</sup> (root, leaf) concentration after E. ludwigii B30 application under SS, which suggest that endophytic bacteria help to enhance plant development through altering ion selectivity while maintaining an increased  $K^+$  content in comparison with Na<sup>+</sup>. These results agree with the study of Shi et al. (2022), where endophytic strain *B. megaterium* ZS-3 inoculation improved the  $K^+/Na^+$  ratio in *Arabidopsis thaliana* to alleviate SS. We found increased Na<sup>+</sup> and decreased K<sup>+</sup> levels within uninoculated SS-exposed plants compared with controls, whereas there was no such effect in plants inoculated with *E. ludwigii* B30. The decline in Na<sup>+</sup> levels following *E. ludwigii* B30 inoculation could result from bacterial exopolysaccharides binding to cations (in particular Na<sup>+</sup>) within roots, preventing cation transfer into leaves and alleviating SS within plants (Ashraf et al., 2004).

### *Enterobacter ludwigii* B30 impacted rhizosphere and root bacterial communities in bermudagrass

Rhizosphere effects (Smalla et al., 2001), the alteration to the composition and number of microbial communities, and their impacts on above-and below-ground plant development were seen within bermudagrass following E. ludwigii B30 inoculation. E. ludwigii B30 inoculation led to increases in bermudagrass rhizosphere soil bacterial community richness and diversity (Figure 7). The inoculation of E. ludwigii B30 had a clear impact on bermudagrass rhizosphere bacterial species. These results are similar to studies on Enterobacter cloacae HG-1 strains which were shown to affect the rhizobacterial community structure and improve the salt tolerance of wheat (Ji et al., 2020). Our results show that E. ludwigii B30 affected the structure of bacterial communities within rhizosphere soils and roots (Supplementary Figure 2); however, the rhizosphere soil bacterial community richness and diversity increased more than the root bacterial communities (Figure 7). Bacterial community diversity has also been shown to decline from rhizosphere soil to roots within wheat (Donn et al., 2014) and rice (Edwards et al., 2015). This may be linked to the effect of the soil nutrient state affecting bacterial communities in soils. Typically, the root system has an important effect on linking plants with soils. Secondary metabolites can be released into the soil by plant roots, which can provide energy for microbial communities in rhizosphere soils (Vacheron et al., 2013). Bacterial communities closely connected with roots represent the simplest form (Donn et al., 2014). Conversely, other research reports that the root bacterial community richness and diversity dramatically increased within soils in Ammophila breviligulata, thriving in sand dunes. It is possible that in sandy soils within dune ecosystems, root exudates supply essential resources to root bacteria (Bell-Dereske et al., 2017).

Endophytic bacteria can construct and maintain the key soil bacterial populations to promote plant growth; additionally, they may change the bacterial community in plant roots, which in turn affects plant growth (Ji et al., 2021). Here,

we investigated the abundance of taxa in bermudagrass rhizosphere soils and roots and hypothesized that E. ludwigii B30 jointly promote the growth of bermudagrass under salt stress by regulating plant physiology and altering the rhizosphere and root bacterial communities (Figure 11). At the phylum level, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Firmicutes were the predominant phyla detected within E+ and Ebermudagrass rhizosphere soils. Proteobacteria are known for recycling nutrients and improvement in soil fertility and plant growth (Beckers et al., 2017). Bacteroidetes play crucial roles in recycling organic matter (Fernández-Gómez et al., 2013). Firmicutes are associated with the decomposition of plant organic matter (Pasalari et al., 2020). Additionally, known copiotrophs, such as Bacteroidetes and Chloroflexi, are associated with nutrient concentration (Trivedi et al., 2013). The relative abundance of Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Chloroflexi in E. ludwigii B30-inoculated bermudagrass rhizosphere soil significantly increased compared with noninoculated bermudagrass under salt stress (Figure 6). Combined with the RDA result, TQ was positively related to Chloroflexi levels in rhizosphere soil (Figure 10A). TQ of bermudagrass in the E + treatment was significantly higher than in the E- treatment, suggesting the possible role of Chloroflexi in increasing rhizosphere soil nutrient content and plant organic matter decomposition. We also noted that Acidobacteria and Actinobacteria levels in rhizosphere soil were positively associated with TQ and DW, and they have been shown elsewhere to be associated with soils with nutrient deficiency (Beckers et al., 2017). The IAA content was positively related to the Actinobacteria in roots (Figure 10B). Some genera in the phylum Actinobacteria, such as Streptomyces and Nocardia, can be used as beneficial bacteria to promote plant growth. Streptomyces has been shown to exhibit favorable PGP characteristics, which solubilized phosphates and phytates, and produced siderophores and IAA (Alotaibi et al., 2022). In bermudagrass roots, inoculation of E. ludwigii B30 markedly elevated Actinoplanes, Cellvibrio, Halobacillus, Imperialibacter, and Pelagibacterium under salt stress (Figure 6). Of these bacterial genera, Halobacillus and Pelagibacterium are genera of bacteria with halophilic properties (Chen et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2011). Imperialibacter has the ability to hydrolyze casein and starch (Wang et al., 2013).

Thus, these *E. ludwigii* B30 and salt-induced rhizosphere soil and root beneficial bacteria may enhance bermudagrass growth and improve salt tolerance in some specific mechanisms, such as the improvement of the nitrogen cycle and nutrient uptake and phytohormones production. These await further study. The rhizosphere and root bacterial community might contribute to improving stress tolerance for plants (Dai et al., 2019). In further study, we will propagate and inoculate beneficial endophytic bacteria into plants and analyze the relationship between and soil physi-chemical properties and rhizosphere and root microbial community under salt stress. Managing rhizosphere and root microbes and maintaining the balance of beneficial and harmful microbes in soil and plant is an important part of improving plant tolerance to abiotic stresses.

### Conclusion

This work investigated how an Enterobacter ludwigii B30 strain obtained from Paspalum vaginatum affected bermudagrass growth under salt stress. E. ludwigii B30 improved the osmotic adjustment, biomass accumulation, and photosynthetic efficiency of bermudagrass, as well as selective ion absorption capacities. In addition, E. ludwigii B30 markedly altered bacterial community structure in the rhizosphere and roots of bermudagrass. Only limited conclusions can be drawn from the present study because only one endophyte strain and plant host were used; more investigations are warranted to analyze the rhizosphere-root community interactions together with salt tolerance in plants. Our findings help to explain how bermudagrass rhizosphere and root microbiota respond to endophytic bacteria inoculation. Our results suggest that E. ludwigii B30 promotes plant development and may serve as an inoculant for reducing SS damage in plants.

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) BioProject database under accession number PRJNA858230. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author(s).

# Author contributions

JZ and TL conceived and initiated the project. HW and WH performed the experiments. ZL and HW performed the material preparation and data collection. LG conducted the bioinformatic analysis. HW wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript, contributed to the study conception and design, and read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### References

Abd Allah, E. F., Alqarawi, A. A., Hashem, A., Radhakrishnan, R., Al-Huqail, A. A., Al-Otibi, F. O. N., et al. (2018). Endophytic bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* (BERA 71) improves salt tolerance in chickpea plants by regulating the plant defense mechanisms. *J. Plant Interact.* 13, 37–44. doi: 10.1080/17429145.2017.1414321

Ahmad, A., Blasco, B., and Martos, V. (2022). Combating salinity through natural plant extracts based biostimulants: a

## Funding

This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2020A1515011261) and Key Research and Development Program of Guangzhou (Grant No. 202103000066).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.959427/full#supplementary-material

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Morphological difference in different treatments. "NS + " represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "S +" represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E +" represents the infection of *E. ludwigii* B30, "E–" represents the absence of *E. ludwigii* B30.

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of rhizosphere soil (A) and root (B) bacterial communities at operational taxonomic units (OTUs) level based on the Bray–Curtis dissimilarities under *E. ludwigii* infection treatment and salt stress. (n = 3; "NS + " represents bermudagrass grown without NaCl condition; "S + " represents bermudagrass under 250 mM salt conditions. "E + " represents the infection of *E. ludwigii*, "E–" represents the absence of *E. ludwigii* B30).

review. Front. Plant Sci. 13:862034. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.86 2034

Ahmad, M., Zahir, Z. A., Khalid, M., Nazli, F., and Arshad, M. (2013). Efficacy of *Rhizobium* and *Pseudomonas* strains to improve physiology, ionic balance and quality of mung bean under salt-affected conditions on farmer's fields. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 63, 170–176. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2012. 11.024 Alexander, A., Singh, V. K., and Mishra, A. (2020). Halotolerant PGPR Stenotrophomonas malto-philia BJ01 induces salt tolerance by modulating physiology and biochemical activities of Arachis hypogaea. Front. Microbiol. 11:568289. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.568289

Ali, S., Charles, T. C., and Glick, B. R. (2014). Amelioration of high salinity stress damage by plant growth promoting bacterial endophytes that contain ACC deaminase. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 80, 160–167. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2014. 04.003

Alotaibi, F., St-Arnaud, M., and Hijri, M. (2022). In-depth characterization of plant growth promotion potentials of selected alkanes-degrading plant growth promoting bacterial isolates. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:863702. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022. 863702

Ashraf, M., Hasnain, S., Berge, O., and Mahmood, T. (2004). Inoculating wheat seedlings with exopolysaccharide-producing bacteria restricts sodium uptake and stimulates plant growth under salt stress. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 40, 157–162. doi: 10. 1007/s00374-004-0766-y

Baek, D., Rokibuzzaman, M., Khan, A., Kim, M. C., Park, H. J., Yun, D., et al. (2020). Plant-growth promoting *Bacillus oryzicola* YC7007 modulates stress-response gene expression and provides protection from salt stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:1646. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01646

Barnawal, D., Pandey, S. S., Bharti, N., Pandey, A., Ray, T., Singh, S., et al. (2017). ACC deami-nase-containing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria protect *Papaver somniferum* from downy mildew. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 122, 1286–1298. doi: 10.1111/jam.13417

Barrs, H., and Weatherley, P. (1962). A re-examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficits in leaves. *Austral. J. Biol. Sci.* 15, 413–428. doi: 10.1071/BI9620413

Bates, L. S., Waldren, R. P., and Teare, I. (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. *Plant Soil* 39, 205–207. doi: 10.1007/BF00018060

Beard, J. B. (1973). *Turfgrass: Science and Culture*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Beckers, B., Op De Beeck, M., Weyens, N., Boerjan, W., and Vangronsveld, J. (2017). Structural variability and niche differentiation in the rhizosphere and endosphere bacterial microbiome of field-grown poplar trees. *Microbiome* 5:25. doi: 10.1186/s40168-017-0241-2

Bell-Dereske, L., Takacs-Vesbach, C., Kivlin, S. N., Emery, S. M., and Rudgers, J. A. (2017). Leaf endophytic fungus interacts with precipitation to alter belowground microbial communities in primary successional dunes. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 93:fix036. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fix036

Beyer, W. F. Jr., and Fridovich, I. (1987). Assaying for superoxide dismutase activity: some large conse-quences of minor changes in conditions. *Anal. Biochem.* 161, 559–566. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(87)90489-1

Bui, E. (2013). Possible role of soil alkalinity in plant breeding for salt-tolerance. *Biol. Lett.* 9:20130566. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2013.0566

Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., et al. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7, 335–336. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303

Chang, W., Sui, X., Fan, X.-X., Jia, T.-T., and Song, F.-Q. (2018). Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis modulates antioxidant response and ion distribution in salt-stressed *Elaeagnus angustifolia* seedlings. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:652. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00652

Chen, S., Huang, H., Chen, J., Fu, C., Zhan, P., Ke, S., et al. (2020). SgRVE6, a LHY-CCA1-like transcription factor from fine-stem stylo, upregulates NB-LRR gene expression and enhances cold tolerance in tobacco. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:1276. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.01276

Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, Z., Zhuang, D., Klenk, H. P., Tang, S., et al. (2009). *Halobacillus salsuginis* sp. nov., a moderately halophilic bacterium from a subterranean brine. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 59, 2505–2509. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0. 010801-0

Cluis, C. P., Mouchel, C. F., and Hardtke, C. S. (2004). The *Arabidopsis* transcription factor HY5 inte-grates light and hormone signalling pathways. *Plant J.* 38, 332–347.

Compant, S., Clément, C., and Sessitsch, A. (2010). Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo- and endosphere of plants: their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 669–678. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.11.024

Cordovez, V., Dini-Andreote, F., Carrion, V., and Raaijmakers, J. (2019). Ecology and evolution of plant microbiomes. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 73, 69–88. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-090817-062524

Crouchman, S., Ruban, A., and Horton, P. (2006). PsbS enhances nonphotochemical fluorescence quenching in the absence of zeaxanthin. FEBS Lett. 580, 2053–2058. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2006. 03.005

Dai, L., Zhang, G., Yu, Z., Ding, H., Xu, Y., and Zhang, Z. (2019). Effect of drought stress and developmental stages on microbial community structure and diversity in peanut rhizosphere soil. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20:2265. doi: 10.3390/ ijms20092265

Diskit, D., Phuntsog, D., Stanzin, A., Chaurasia, O. P., and Stobdan, T. (2018). Stress tolerance and plant growth promotion potential of *Enterobacter ludwigii* PS1 isolated from seabuckthorn rhizosphere. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 14, 438– 443. doi: 10.1016/j.bcab.2018.04.012

Donn, S., Kirkegaard, J., Perera, G., Richardson, A. E., and Watt, M. (2014). Evolution of bacterial communities in the wheat crop rhizosphere. *Environ. Microbiol.* 17, 610–621. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12452

Edwards, J., Johnson, C., Santos-Medellin, C., Lurie, E., Podishetty, N. K., Bhatnagar, S., et al. (2015). Structure, variation, and assembly of the rootassociated microbiomes of rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, E911–E920. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1414592112

Essemine, J., Lyu, M.-J. A., Qu, M., Perveen, S., Khan, N., Song, Q., et al. (2020). Contrasting responses of plastid terminal oxidase activity under salt stress in two C4 species with different salt tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:1009. doi: 10.3389/fpls. 2020.01009

Etesami, H., and Glick, B. R. (2020). Halotolerant plant growth-promoting bacteria: prospects for alleviating salinity stress in plants. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 178:104124. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104124

Fahad, S., Hussain, S., Matloob, A., Khan, F. A., Khaliq, A., Saud, S., et al. (2015). Phytohor-mones and plant responses to salinity stress: a review. *Plant Growth Regul.* 75, 391–404. doi: 10.1007/s10725-014-0013-y

Fan, D., Subramanian, S., and Smith, D. L. (2020). Plant endophytes promote growth and alleviate salt stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1–18. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69713-

Fatma, M., Asgher, M., Masood, A., and Khan, N. A. (2014). Excess sulfur supplementation im-proves photosynthesis and growth in mustard under salt stress through increased production of glutathione. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 107, 55–63. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.05.008

Fernández-Gómez, B., Richter, M., Schüler, M., Pinhassi, J., Acinas, S. G., González, J. M., et al. (2013). Ecology of marine bacteroidetes: a comparative genomics approach. *ISME J.* 7, 1026–1037. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.169

Galaviz, C., Lopez, B. R., de-Bashan, L. E., Hirsch, A. M., Maymon, M., and Bashan, Y. (2018). Root growth improvement of mesquite seedlings and bacterial rhizosphere and soil community changes are induced by inoculation with plant growth-promoting bacteria and promote restoration of eroded desert soil. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 29, 1453–1466. doi: 10.1002/ldr.2904

Gontia-Mishra, I., Sapre, S., Sharma, A., and Tiwari, S. (2016). Amelioration of drought tolerance in wheat by the interaction of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Plant Biol.* 18, 992–1000. doi: 10.1111/plb.12505

Hamayun, M., Khan, S. A., Khan, A. L., Shin, J.-H., Ahmad, B., Shin, D.-H., et al. (2010). Exogenous gibberellic acid reprograms soybean to higher growth and salt stress tolerance. *Agric. Food Chem.* 58, 7226–7232. doi: 10.1021/jf101221t

He, W., Fan, X., Zhou, Z., Zhang, H., Gao, X., Song, F., et al. (2019). The effect of *Rhizophagus irregularis* on salt stress tolerance of *Elaeagnus angustifolia* roots. *J. Forest. Res.* 31, 2063–2073. doi: 10.1007/s11676-019-01053-1

Heath, R. L., and Packer, L. (1968). Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts. I. Kinetics and stoi-chiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 125, 189–198. doi: 10.1016/0003-9861(68)90654-1

Hoffmann, H., Stindl, S., Stumpf, A. N., Mehlen, A., Monget, D., Heesemann, J., et al. (2005). Description of *Enterobacter ludwigii* sp. nov., a novel *Enterobacter* species of clinical relevance. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 2, 206–212. doi: 10.1016/J. SYAPM.2004.12.009

Jha, Y., Subramanian, R. B., and Patel, S. (2011). Combination of endophytic and rhizospheric plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in *Oryza sativa* shows higher accumulation of osmoprotect-ant against saline stress. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 33, 797–802.

Ji, C., Liu, Z., Hao, L., Song, X., Wang, C., Liu, Y., et al. (2020). Effects of *Enterobacter cloacae* HG-1 on the nitrogen-fixing community structure of wheat rhizosphere soil and on salt tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:1094. doi: 10.3389/fpls. 2020.01094

Ji, C., Wang, X., Song, X., Zhou, Q., Li, C., Chen, Z., et al. (2021). Effect of *Bacillus velezensis* JC-K3 on endophytic bacterial and fungal diversity in wheat under salt stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:802054. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.802054

Ju, Y., Kou, M., Zhong, R., Christensen, M. J., and Zhang, X. (2021). Alleviating salt stress on seedings using plant growth promoting rhizobacteria isolated from

the rhizosphere soil of Achnatherum inebrians infected with Epichloë gansuensis endophyte. Plant Soil 465, 349–366. doi: 10.1007/s11104-021-05002-y

Khan, M. A., Asaf, S., Khan, A. L., Adhikari, A., Jan, R., Ali, S., et al. (2020). Plant growth promoting endophytic bacteria augment growth and salinity tolerance in rice plants. *Plant Biol.* 22, 850–862. doi: 10.1111/plb.13124

Kramer, D. M., Johnson, G., Kiirats, O., and Edwards, G. E. (2004). New fluorescence parameters for the determination of QA redox state and excitation energy fluxes. *Photosynth. Res.* 79, 209–218. doi: 10.1023/B:PRES.0000015391. 99477.0d

Kumar, A., Singh, S., Gaurav, A. K., Srivastava, S., and Verma, J. P. (2020). Plant growth-promoting bacteria: biological tools for the mitigation of salinity stress in plants. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:1216. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01216

Li, H., La, S., Zhang, X., Gao, L., and Tian, Y. (2021). Salt-induced recruitment of specific root-associated bacterial consortium capable of enhancing plant adaptability to salt stress. *ISME J.* 15, 2865–2882. doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-00974-2

Lichtenthaler, H. K., and Wellburn, A. R. (1983). Determinations of total carotenoids and chloro-phylls a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Biochem. Soc. Transmycol.* 11, 591–593. doi: 10.1042/BST0110591

Liu, T., Zhuang, L., and Huang, B. (2019). Metabolic adjustment and gene expression for root sodium transport and calcium signaling contribute to salt tolerance in *Agrostis* grass species. *Plant Soil* 443, 219–232. doi: 10.1007/s11104-019-04140-8

Lu, L., Chang, M., Han, X., Wang, Q., Wang, J., Yang, H., et al. (2021). Beneficial effects of endophytic *Pantoea ananatis* with ability to promote rice growth under saline stress. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 131, 1919–1931. doi: 10.1111/jam.15082

Lugtenberg, B., and Kamilova, F. (2009). Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 63:541–556.

Lutts, S., Kinet, J. M., and Bouharmont, J. (1996). NaCl-induced senescence in leaves of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars differing in salinity resistance. *Ann. Bot.* 78, 389–398. doi: 10.1006/anbo.1996.0134

Macedo, R. S., Lombardi, A. T., Omachi, C. Y., and Rörig, L. R. (2008). Effects of the herbicide bentazon on growth and photosystem II maximum quantum yield of the marine diatom *Skeletonema costatum*. *Toxicol. Vitro* 22, 716–722. doi: 10.1016/j.tiv.2007.11.012

Madhaiyan, M., Poonguzhali, S., and Sa, T. M. (2007). Characterization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase containing *Methylobacterium oryzae* and interactions with auxins and ACC regulation of ethylene in canola (*Brassica campestris*). *Planta* 226, 867–876. doi: 10.1007/s00425-007-0532-0

Marulanda, A., Azcon, R., Chaumont, F., Ruiz-Lozano, J. M., and Aroca, R. (2010). Regulation of plasma membrane aquaporins by inoculation with a *Bacillus megaterium* strain in maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants under unstressed and salt-stressed conditions. *Planta* 232, 533–543. doi: 10.1007/s00425-010-1196-8

Masmoudi, F., Tounsi, S., Dunlap, C. A., and Trigui, M. (2021). Endophytic halotolerant *Bacillus velezensis* FMH2 alleviates salt stress on tomato plants by improving plant growth and altering physiological and antioxidant responses. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 165, 217–227. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.05.025

Maxwell, K., and Johnson, G.N. (2000). Chlorophyll fluorescence - a practical guide. J Exp Bot. 51, 659–668. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert208

Müller, P., Li, X. P., and Niyogi, K. K. (2001). Non-photochemical quenching: a response to excess light energy. *Plant Physiol*. 125, 1558–1566. doi: 10.1104/pp. 125.4.1558

Murchie, E. H., and Lawson, T. (2013). Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis: a guide to good prac-tice and understanding some new applications. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 3983–3998. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert208

Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'Hara, B., Stevens, M. H. H., Oksanen, M. J., et al. (2007). The vegan package. *Commun. Ecol. Pack.* 10:719.

Otlewska, A., Migliore, M., Dybka-Stepien, K., Manfredini, A., Struszczyk-Swita, K., Napoli, R., et al. (2020). When salt meddles between plant, soil, and mi-croorganisms. *Front. Plant Sci* 11:553087. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.553087

Oxborough, K., and Baker, N. R. (1997). Resolving chlorophyll a fluorescence images of photo-synthetic efficiency into photochemical and nonphotochemical components-calculation of qP and Fv'/Fm' without measuring Fo'. *Photosynth. Res.* 54, 135–142. doi: 10.1023/A:1005936823310

Pal, K. K., Dey, R., Sherathia, D. N., Devidayal, M. S., Kumar, A., Rupapara, R. B., et al. (2021). Alleviation of salinity stress in peanut by application of endophytic bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:650771. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.650771

Parida, A. K., and Das, A. B. (2005). Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 60, 324–349. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2004.06.010 Pasalari, H., Gholami, M., Rezaee, A., Esrafili, A., and Farzadkia, M. (2020). Perspectives on microbial community in anaerobic digestion with emphasis on environmental parameters: a systematic review. *Chemosphere* 270:128618. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128618

Pessarakli, M. (2014). Handbook of Plant and Crop Physiology (2014, Hardcover, Revised, New Edition), 3rd Edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

Porcel, R., Aroca, R., Azcon, R., and Ruiz-Lozano, J. M. (2016). Regulation of cation transporter genes by the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in rice plants subjected to salinity suggests improved salt tolerance due to reduced Na+root-to-shoot distribution. *Mycorrhiza* 26, 673–684. doi: 10.1007/s00572-016-0704-5

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219

Rady, M. M., Kuşvuran, A., Alharby, H. F., Alzahrani, Y., and Kuşvuran, S. (2019). Pretreatment with proline or an organic bio-stimulant induces salt tolerance in wheat plants by improving anti-oxidant redox state and enzymatic activities and reducing the oxidative stress. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 38, 449–462. doi: 10.1007/s00344-018-9860-5

Rascher, U., Liebig, M., and Lüttge, U. (2000). Evaluation of instant lightresponse curves of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters obtained with a portable cholrophyll fluorometer on site in the field. *Plant Cell Environ.* 23, 1397–1405.

Rohacek, K. (2002). Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters: the definitions, photosynthetic meaning, and mutual relationships. *Photosynthetica* 40, 13–29. doi: 10.1023/A:1020125719386

Rojas-Tapias, D., Moreno-Galván, A., Pardo-Díaz, S., Obando, M., Rivera, D., and Bonilla, R. (2012). Effect of inoculation with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) on amelioration of saline stress in maize (*Zea mays*). *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 61, 264–272.

Rowe, J. H., Topping, J. F., Liu, J., and Lindsey, K. (2016). Abscisic acid regulates root growth under osmotic stress conditions via an interacting hormonal network with cytokinin, ethylene and auxin. *New Phytol.* 211, 225–239. doi: 10.1111/nph. 13882

Roy Choudhury, A., Roy, S. K., Trivedi, P., Choi, J., Cho, K., Yun, S. H., et al. (2022). Label-free proteomics approach reveals candidate proteins in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) important for ACC deaminase producing bacteria-mediated tolerance against salt stress. *Environ. Microbiol.* doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15937

Ryan, R. P., Germaine, K., Franks, A., Ryan, D. J., and Dowling, D. N. (2008). Bacterial endo-phytes: recent developments and applications. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 278, 1–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00918.x

Sahu, P. K., Singh, S., Singh, U. B., Chakdar, H., Sharma, P. K., Sarma, B. K., et al. (2021). Inter-genera colonization of *Ocimum tenuiflorum* endo-phytes in tomato and their complementary effects on Na+/K+ balance, oxidative stress regulation, and root architecture under elevated soil salinity. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:744733. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.744733

Shi, L., Lu, L., Ye, J., and Shi, H. (2022). The endophytic strain ZS-3 enhances salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by regulating photosynthesis, osmotic stress, and ion homeostasis and inducing systemic tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:820837. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.820837

Shi, Y., Lou, K., and Li, C. (2010). Growth and photosynthetic efficiency promotion of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) by endophytic bacteria. *Photosynth. Res.* 105, 5–13. doi: 10.1007/s11120-010-9547-7

Singh, R. P., and Jha, P. N. (2016). A halotolerant bacterium *Bacillus licheniformis* HSW-16 aug-ments induced systemic tolerance to salt stress in wheat plant (*Triticum aestivum*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1890. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01890

Singh, U. B., Malviya, D., Singh, S., Singh, P., Ghatak, A., Imran, M., et al. (2021). Salt-tolerant compatible microbial inoculants modulate physiobiochemical responses enhance plant growth, Zn biofortification and yield of wheat grown in saline-sodic soil. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18:9936. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18189936

Smalla, K., Wieland, G., Buchner, A., Zock, A., Parzy, J., Kaiser, S., et al. (2001). Bulk and rhizosphere soil bacterial communities studied by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis: plant-dependent enrichment and seasonal shifts revealed. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67, 4742–4751. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.10.4742-4751.2001

Sofy, M. R., Aboseidah, A. A., Heneidak, S. A., and Ahmed, H. R. (2021). ACC deaminase containing endophytic bacteria ameliorate salt stress in *Pisum sativum* through reduced oxidative damage and induction of antioxidative defense system. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 28, 40971–40991. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-13585-3

Szymańska, S., Tyburski, J., Piernik, A., Sikora, M., Mazur, J., and Katarzyna, H. (2020). Raising beet tolerance to salinity through bioaugmentation with halotolerant endophytes. *Agronomy* 10:1571. doi: 10.3390/agronomy10101571 Trivedi, P., Anderson, I. C., and Singh, B. K. (2013). Microbial modulators of soil carbon storage: integrating genomic and metabolic knowledge for global prediction. *Trends Microbiol.* 21, 641–651. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2013.09.005

Vacheron, J., Desbrosses, G., Bouffaud, M., Touraine, B., Moënne-Loccoz, Y., Muller, D., et al. (2013). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4:00356. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013 .00356

Vaishnav, A., Shukla, A. K., Sharma, A., Kumar, R., and Choudhary, D. K. (2019). Endophytic bacteria in plant salt stress tolerance: current and future prospects. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 38, 650–668. doi: 10.1007/s00344-018-9880-1

Vanderauwera, S., De Block, M., Steene, N. V., Cotte, B. V., Metzlaff, M., and Breusegem, F. V. (2007). Silenc-ing of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase in plants alters abiotic stress signal transduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104:38. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706668104

Wang, H., Li, J., Zheng, T., Hill, R. T., and Hu, X. (2013). Imperialibacter roseus gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel bacterium of the family *Flammeovirgaceae* isolated from Permian groundwater. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 4136–4140. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.052662-0

Wang, J., and Huang, R. (2019). Modulation of ethylene and ascorbic acid on reactive oxygen species scavenging in plant salt response. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:319. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00319

Wang, Z., Liang, J., Kuang, Y., Li, X., Chen, H., Tang, M., et al. (2022). Cultivation of arbuscular mycorrhizal *Broussonetia papyrifera* seedlings by planting the mycorrhizal nurse plant downwards. *Mycorrhiza* 32, 203–212. doi: 10.1007/s00572-022-01070-9

Wei, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., Ge, L., and Liu, T. (2022a). Changes in soil bacterial community structure in bermudagrass turf under short-term traffic stress. *Agriculture* 12:668. doi: 10.3390/agriculture120 50668 Wei, H., Yang, W., Wang, Y., Ding, J., Ge, L., Richardson, M., et al. (2022b). Correlations among soil, leaf morphology, and physiological factors with wear tolerance of four warm-season turfgrass species. *Hortscience*. 57, 571–580. doi: 10.21273/HORTSCI16453-21

White, J. F., Kingsley, K. L., Zhang, Q., Verma, R., Obi, N., Dvinskikh, S., et al. (2019). Review: endophytic microbes and their potential applications in crop management. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 75, 2558–2565. doi: 10.1002/ps.5527

Xiang, M., Moss, J. Q., Martin, D. L., and Wu, Y. (2018). The salinity tolerance of seeded-type common bermudagrass cultivars and experimental selections. *HortTechnology* 28, 276–283. doi: 10.21273/HORTTECH03975-18

Xu, X., Huo, Y., Wang, C., Oren, A., Cui, H. L., Vedler, E., et al. (2011). *Pelagibacterium halotolerans* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Pelagibacterium luteolum* sp. nov., novel members of the family Hyphomicrobiaceae. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 61, 1817–1822. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.023325-0

Yu, Q., Chang, Z., and Li, D. (2013). Physiological responses of creeping bentgrass cultivars to carbonate, chloride, and sulfate salinity. *Crop Sci.* 53, 1734–1742. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2012.09.0555

Zhang, J. L., Flowers, T. J., and Wang, S. M. (2010). Mechanisms of sodium uptake by roots of higher plants. *Plant Soil*. 326, 45–60. doi: 10.1007/s11104-009-0076-0

Zhang, Y., Kaiser, E., Zhang, Y., Yang, Q., and Li, T. (2018). Short-term salt stress strongly affects dynamic photosynthesis, but not steady-state photosynthesis, in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 149, 109–119. doi: 10.1016/j. envexpbot.2018.02.014

Zhao, X., Chen, X., Li, Z., Zhang, J., and Liu, T. (2021). An evaluation of the effects of the plant endophyte *Enterobacter* on the salt tolerance of bermudagrass. *Acta Prataculturae Silica* 30, 127–136.

Zulfiqar, F., Akram, N. A., and Ashraf, M. (2020). Osmoprotection in plants under abiotic stresses: new insights into a classical phenomenon. *Planta* 251:3. doi: 10.1007/s00425-019-03293-1