Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Heidi Renninger, Mississippi State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Oliver Knopf, Julich Research Center (HZ), Germany Chukwuma Ogbaga, Nile University of Nigeria, Nigeria

*CORRESPONDENCE Yunpu Zheng zhengyunpu_000@sina.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Functional Plant Ecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 07 March 2022 ACCEPTED 26 July 2022 PUBLISHED 19 August 2022

CITATION

Liu L, Hao L, Zhang Y, Zhou H, Ma B, Cheng Y, Tian Y, Chang Z and Zheng Y (2022) The CO₂ fertilization effect on leaf photosynthesis of maize (*Zea mays* L.) depends on growth temperatures with changes in leaf anatomy and soluble sugars. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:890928. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.890928

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Hao, Zhang, Zhou, Ma, Cheng, Tian, Chang and Zheng. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The CO₂ fertilization effect on leaf photosynthesis of maize (*Zea mays* L.) depends on growth temperatures with changes in leaf anatomy and soluble sugars

Liang Liu¹, Lihua Hao¹, Yunxin Zhang¹, Haoran Zhou², Baoguo Ma¹, Yao Cheng¹, Yinshuai Tian³, Zhijie Chang¹ and Yunpu Zheng¹*

¹School of Water Conservancy and Hydropower, Hebei University of Engineering, Handan, China, ²Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States, ³School of Landscape and Ecological Engineering, Hebei University of Engineering, Handan, China

Understanding the potential mechanisms and processes of leaf photosynthesis in response to elevated CO₂ concentration ([CO₂]) and temperature is critical for estimating the impacts of climatic change on the growth and yield in crops such as maize (Zea mays L.), which is a widely cultivated C₄ crop all over the world. We examined the combined effect of elevated [CO₂] and temperature on plant growth, leaf photosynthesis, stomatal traits, and biochemical compositions of maize with six environmental growth chambers controlling two CO₂ levels (400 and 800µmolmol⁻¹) and three temperature regimes (25/19°C, 31/25°C, and 37/31°C). We found that leaf photosynthesis was significantly enhanced by increasing growth temperature from 25/19°C to 31/25°C independent of [CO₂]. However, leaf photosynthesis drastically declined when the growth temperature was continually increased to 37/31°C at both ambient CO₂ concentration (400µmolmol⁻¹, a[CO₂]) and elevated CO₂ concentration (800µmolmol⁻¹, e[CO₂]). Meanwhile, we also found strong CO₂ fertilization effect on maize plants grown at the highest temperature (37/31°C), as evidenced by the higher leaf photosynthesis at $e[CO_2]$ than that at $a[CO_2]$, although leaf photosynthesis was similar between $a[CO_2]$ and $e[CO_2]$ under the other two temperature regimes of 25/19°C and 31/25°C. Furthermore, we also found that e[CO2] resulted in an increase in leaf soluble sugar, which was positively related with leaf photosynthesis under the high temperature regime of $37/31^{\circ}$ C ($R^2 = 0.77$). In addition, our results showed that $e[CO_2]$ substantially decreased leaf transpiration rates of maize plants, which might be partially attributed to the reduced stomatal openness as demonstrated by the declined stomatal width and stomatal area. These results suggest that the CO₂ fertilization effect on plant growth and leaf photosynthesis of maize depends on growth temperatures through changing stomatal traits, leaf anatomy, and soluble sugar contents.

KEYWORDS

photosynthesis, water use efficiency, stomatal traits, leaf anatomy, total soluble sugar

Introduction

Global atmospheric CO₂ concentration ([CO₂]) is projected to be between 421 and 946 µmol mol⁻¹ by the end of 21st century depending on continued emission scenarios (IPCC, 2021). Meanwhile, this elevated [CO₂] may lead to climate warming through the greenhouse effect, and thus the global surface temperature is expected to be increased by 1.5°C-5.3°C (IPCC, 2021), and the frequency of extreme high temperature events are also anticipated to increase in the near future (Barlow et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2017). Therefore, the elevated $[CO_2]$ and temperature may result in drastic impacts on the structure and function of the terrestrial ecosystem (Ito, 2010). For example, several studies have demonstrated that the changes in [CO₂] and temperature generally lead to impacts on crop growth and grain yield (Ainsworth and Long, 2020; Muluneh, 2020) indirectly by altering crop phenology (Piao et al., 2019) and directly by changing leaf photosynthesis and respiration (Dusenge et al., 2019; Poorter et al., 2021), stomatal morphology and distribution (Hao et al., 2019), leaf anatomy and morphology as well as nonstructural carbohydrates and nitrogen (Xu et al., 2012).

It is well known that temperature is a common environmental factor regulating plant growth and crop yield through various physiological and biochemical processes such as leaf photosynthesis and respiration (Zheng et al., 2013, 2018; Zinyengere et al., 2013; Perdomo et al., 2017; Dusenge et al., 2019). Plant responses to temperature are fundamentally mediated by leaf photosynthesis (Abebe et al., 2016), which may be upregulated or downregulated depending on whether the temperature is below or above what is optimal for plants (Jin et al., 2011). Elevated temperature can stimulate leaf photosynthesis by enhancing the carboxylation efficiency (Contran et al., 2012) through increasing ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) concentration and activity (Mueller-Cajar et al., 2014) within a certain temperature range (Jin et al., 2011). However, temperatures above optimum may result in down-regulation of leaf photosynthesis by disrupting the structure of chloroplasts (Hao et al., 2019), damaging the function of photosystem II (Janka et al., 2015), and suppressing the activation state of Rubisco (Hao et al., 2019). In addition to elevated temperature, *e*[CO₂] may also lead to profound impacts on plant growth and crop yield (Abebe et al., 2016). Most previous studies have found that the leaf photosynthesis and growth of C3 plants are drastically enhanced under elevated [CO₂] (Yu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2020) through the "CO₂ fertilization effect," because the Rubisco of C₃ plants is not CO₂-saturated at the current atmospheric [CO₂] (Yu et al., 2012). However, no consistent conclusions have been drawn on the potential mechanisms of C4 plants such as maize in response to enriched [CO₂] (Kim et al., 2007; Manderscheid et al., 2014; Abebe et al., 2016; Kellner et al., 2019). Most previous studies have shown that elevated [CO₂] barely affected the leaf photosynthesis and plant growth of C4 species mainly due to the anatomical specialization associated with the CO2 concentrating mechanism (Kim et al., 2007; Ruiz-Vera et al., 2015). However, several studies

claimed that both the leaf photosynthesis and plant growth of C₄ plants can be substantially stimulated under enhanced [CO₂] (Driscoll et al., 2005; Abebe et al., 2016; Kellner et al., 2019). Furthermore, elevated [CO₂] and temperature may also have combined effects on the function of C4 plants (Manderscheid et al., 2014; Abebe et al., 2016), and thus ecosystem functioning under future climate change (Ito, 2010). Earlier studies have demonstrated that the sensitivity of photosynthesis to CO₂ in C₄ plants might be enhanced by elevated temperature (Sage, 2002; Lee, 2011), even if the impacts of high temperature stress on C₄ plants can also be partially mitigated by CO₂ fertilization effect (Abebe et al., 2016). However, so far, the underlying physiological processes and mechanisms of the CO2 fertilization effect alleviating high temperature stress on C4 plants is still unclear. Therefore, identifying the optimal growth temperature of C₄ plants and understanding the physiological processes and mechanisms of enriched [CO₂] mitigating high temperature stress on plant growth of C4 crops are pivotal to accurately estimate the potential risks and impacts of climate change on global agriculture productivity and grain yield (Dusenge et al., 2019).

In addition to physiological processes, plants may also alter leaf structures and stomatal traits to respond to elevated CO₂ and temperature (Smith et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2019). Several studies have found that plants grown at higher temperatures had thinner leaves due to the reduced size of mesophyll cells (Jin et al., 2011; Hao et al., 2019). Elevated temperature can also change stomatal traits such as stomatal size, shape and density (Hao et al., 2019), which are closely related to leaf-level photosynthesis and transpiration. Moreover, elevated [CO₂] may also have profound effects on leaf structures and stomatal traits (Fan et al., 2020), although the conclusions are generally inconsistent (Rengifo et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2012; Fan et al., 2020). Previous studies have reported that leaf thickness and/or stomatal density are usually increased (Smith et al., 2012; Fan et al., 2020) or decreased (Zheng et al., 2019) when C3 plants are grown at higher [CO₂], and other studies also found that the leaf structures and/or stomatal traits of C4 plants were obviously affected by increased [CO₂] (Driscoll et al., 2005; Habermann et al., 2019). These inconsistent conclusions suggested that the different responses of leaf structures and stomatal traits to elevated temperature and [CO₂] are dependent upon plant species and/or functional groups (Smith et al., 2012; Habermann et al., 2019). Furthermore, plants' responses to elevated temperature and [CO₂] are not only associated with the changes in leaf physiological processes and structural traits, but also related to chemical compositions of leaves such as soluble sugars and starch (Hao et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2019). Most previous studies have reported that elevated temperature usually reduces the content of leaf soluble sugars (Jin et al., 2011; Hao et al., 2019), but other studies have argued that elevated temperature may barely change the leaf soluble sugars (Xu et al., 2012) or even increase the nonstructural carbohydrates of plants (Zhang et al., 2017). Moreover, elevated [CO₂] usually stimulates plant biomass accumulation and enhances grain yield

of C₃ crops due to the boosted leaf photosynthesis and increased nonstructural carbohydrates from CO₂ fertilization effect (Zheng et al., 2019), but the effect of enriched $[CO_2]$ on the nonstructural carbohydrates of C4 plants is still unclear (Leakey et al., 2006; Faria et al., 2018). For example, Habermann et al. (2019) found that elevated [CO₂] substantially increased the contents of starch in leaves of the C4 forage species Panicum maximum, but Leakey et al. (2006) claimed that elevated [CO₂] had little effect on the soluble sugars and starch of maize leaves based on a Free-air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) experiment. These contradictions between different studies indicated that the stimulating effect of elevated [CO2] on nonstructural carbohydrates of plants may highly depend on the growing temperatures and species/genotypes (Song et al., 2014; Faria et al., 2018). Nevertheless, few studies examined the combined effects of elevated [CO₂] and temperature on leaf chemical composition such as nonstructural carbohydrates, which is closely associated with the photochemical and biochemical processes of C₄ plants such as maize (Zheng et al., 2013).

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most important crops in many regions throughout the world, which accounts for more than 30% of global cereal production (Haden et al., 2021). Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of maize plants in response to elevated [CO₂] and temperature is critical to projecting the potential risk of climate change on global agriculture productivity. So far, however, most studies have examined the response of C3 species to elevated [CO2] or temperature (Xu et al., 2012; Dusenge et al., 2019), while few studies have focused on C₄ species in response to future climate change (Abebe et al., 2016) and especially on the combined effects of elevated [CO₂] and temperature on leaf photosynthesis through changes in stomatal traits, leaf anatomy and leaf biochemistry of maize. Moreover, several modeling studies have projected that the negative impacts of climate change on global agriculture productivity can be partly mitigated or even offset by elevated [CO₂] through the strong CO₂ fertilization effect (Pan et al., 2014; Kassie et al., 2015), thus current climate change models may overestimate the impacts of climate change on global crop yield (Zinyengere et al., 2013). Therefore, we conducted this study with environmental growth chambers controlling two CO₂ levels (400 and 800 µmol mol⁻¹) and three temperature regimes (25/19°C, 31/25°C, and 37/31°C) to test the following hypotheses: (1) Maize has an optimal temperature for leaf photosynthesis and plant growth, which can be constrained by high temperatures above the optimum (HY1); (2) The negative effects of high temperature on leaf photosynthesis and plant growth in maize can be mitigated by elevated [CO₂] through the CO_2 fertilization effect (HY2); (3) This CO_2 fertilization effect can be explained by the changes in nonstructural carbohydrates in maize leaves at high temperatures (HY3); (4) The enhanced leaf photosynthesis and water use efficiency by higher [CO₂] is associated with the changes in stomatal traits and leaf anatomy of maize plants under high temperatures (HY4).

The objectives of the current study were: (1) to evaluate the optimal temperature for maximizing the CO_2 fertilization effect

on maize plants, (2) to examine the combined effects of elevated CO_2 concentration and temperature on the growth of maize plants, and (3) to investigate the underlying mechanism through which growth temperature modulates CO_2 fertilization effect on leaf photosynthesis of maize plants by modifying stomatal traits, leaf anatomy, leaf photosynthesis and leaf biochemistry.

Materials and methods

Growth chamber experiment

A split-plot experimental design was arranged consisting of two factors (CO₂ and temperature) with CO₂ concentration as the main plot (two CO₂ levels) and temperature (three temperature regimes) as the subplot. Six environmental growth chambers (Model BDP-2000, Ningbo Prandt Instrument Co., Ltd., China) were employed for sustaining plant growth and controlling CO₂ concentrations, where the CO₂ concentration in three environmental growth chambers was supplied with 400 μ mol mol⁻¹ (*a*[CO₂]), and the target CO₂ concentration in the other three growth chambers was maintained at $800 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{mol}^{-1}$ (e[CO₂]). In order to reduce air pollution on plants, these growth chambers were supplied with high purity CO₂ source (99.99%) from a CO₂ bottled tank. The other environmental factors in the six growth chambers were maintained similarly during the establishment of maize plants (30 days) with photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD, 1,000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), growth temperature regime (25/19°C, day/ night), and photoperiod (8:00-20:00). The inside air temperature of each growth chamber was maintained within 0.5°C throughout the experiment. Meanwhile, the relative air humidity was controlled at 60%-65% in each environmental growth chamber. Furthermore, these [CO₂] values in both the $a[CO_2]$ or $e[CO_2]$ growth chambers were about 20 µmol mol⁻¹ around the target [CO₂]. In the current study, we selected a maize cultivar (Zea mays cv. Zhengdan 958) that is widely planted in China (Zheng et al., 2013). Maize seeds were grown in 50 cm height plastic pots with an area of 531 cm² on top and 380 cm² at bottom, and these pots were filled with local soil (36% clay, 50% silt, and 14% sand with a gravimetric bulk density of $1.58 \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$). The space inside these environmental growth chambers (1.83 m high \times 1.79 m long \times 0.68 m wide) was large enough for maize plant growth. Then, four pots were randomly set up in each of the environmental growth chambers, and thus the four pots in each environmental growth chamber were the biological replications (n = 4). Maize plants were fertilized with half-strength Hoagland's solution (150 ml per pot) weekly during the establishment (30 days) and treatment (60 days) periods (Zheng et al., 2019). Additionally, maize plants were relocated among different growth chambers once per week during the vegetative growth of maize plants to minimize the confounding effect of environmental variation between different growth chambers.

Measuring plant height, leaf traits, and plant biomass

Plant height, leaf length and maximum width were measured with a ruler, while leaf area was estimated by an area meter (LI-3000, Licor, Lincoln, NE, United States). Plant biomass was obtained by harvesting and de-potting the leaves, stems and roots with scissors. Then, plant tissues were placed in paper bags and oven-dried at 85°C for 72 h to a constant weight. The dry weight of maize plants was quantified with an electronic scale.

Measuring leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence

After 60 days of treatment, a new fully expanded leaf from each pot was randomly chosen to measure leaf gas exchange. This was repeated for each of the four pots in each of the environmental growth chambers. We employed a portable photosynthesis measurement system (Li-6400XT; Licor, Lincoln, NE, United States) to measure leaf net photosynthetic rates (P_n), leaf stomatal conductance (g_s), leaf transpiration rates (E), and leaf dark respiration rates (R_d) at different growth temperatures and the PPFD of 1,000 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ with a red-blue light source.

The sampled leaves were placed in dampened and dark bags for half an hour to ensure maximum re-oxidation of PSII reaction centers. Then, the maximum photochemical efficiency of PSII (F_v/F_m) was determined by a photosynthesis efficiency analyzer (Hansatech, Hansatech Instrument LTD, England) during the application of a 9,000 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ flash for 0.8 s.

Measuring stomatal traits

Four fully expanded leaves were randomly selected from four different maize plants in each of the chambers and stomatal characteristics were determined as described by Xu (2015). Firstly, colorless nail polish was spread onto the tip, middle, and base sections on both the adaxial and abaxial leaf surfaces. Then, the dried nail polish molds were peeled off after 30 min, mounted onto microslides and covered with micro-covers. Then, the microslides were viewed and photographed under a microscope (DM2500, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a digital camera (DFC 300-FX, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). We randomly selected one image from each leaf section (tip, middle, and base section) on the two surfaces (adaxial and abaxial surfaces) of each leaf (1 image \times 3 sections \times 2 surfaces = 6 images). We also randomly selected two stomata from each image to measure the stomatal aperture length, width and area using the AutoDesk program on the adaxial surface and abaxial surface, respectively. Furthermore, stomata at each

leaf section of two surfaces were counted and averaged to calculate stomatal density (No. mm^{-2}) and stomatal area index ($\mu m^2 mm^{-2}$).

Electronic microphotographs of stomata on the abaxial surface were also obtained using a scanning electron microscopy (XL30-FEG, FEI, Eindhoven, Netherlands). Specifically, one fully expanded leaf was selected from each maize plant and then one leaf piece $(2 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm})$ was snapped from the middle section of the selected leaves. The leaf piece was fixed with a fixative solution consisting of 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde (0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0). Then, the samples were critical point dried, mounted on stubs, and coated with gold in a high-vacuum evaporation unit.

Measuring leaf anatomy

The internal anatomies of maize leaves exposed to different temperatures and CO₂ concentrations were examined using leaf cross sections under a light microscope (DM2500, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) as described by Sage and Williams (1995). Fully expanded maize leaves were sampled and then dehydrated in a series of increasing concentrations of alcohol. These prepared leaf samples were embedded in paraffin and transversely sectioned with a microtome (Leica RM2245, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) in the laboratory. Leaf cross sections were snapped from the middle section of maize leaves and images of leaf cross sections were used to analyze leaf anatomical features with AutoCAD. Then, two cross-sectional images per leaf were selected to measure the anatomical parameters. We measured the leaf thickness at two points per cross section, and the interveinal distance between two adjacent vascular bundles per cross section (Pengelly et al., 2010). Vascular bundle tissue area and bundle sheath tissue area were also measured with AutoCAD software. Bundle sheath index was defined as the bundle sheath tissue area per cross-sectional area, calculated as bundle sheath tissue area/(interveinal distance \times leaf thickness) × 100%. Vascular bundle index was defined as the vascular bundle tissue area per leaf cross-sectional area, calculated as vascular bundle tissue area/(interveinal distance × leaf thickness) × 100%.

Analyzing leaf biochemical compositions

Maize leaves were sampled and then oven-dried at 75°C for 48 h. Leaf samples were ground to fine powder with a ball mill (MM2, Fa. Retsch, Haan, Germany). Nonstructural carbohydrates of leaf samples were assayed according to Way and Sage (2008). Glucose, fructose, sucrose, and starch concentrations were measured by spectrophotometrical methods (UV-1750, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan) using a glucose assay kit (GAHK-20, Sigma, St Louis, MO, United States).

Statistical analysis

We used a split-plot design two-way ANOVA to test the main and interactive effects of CO₂ concentration and temperature on the plant growth, stomatal morphology, leaf anatomy and nonstructural carbohydrates of maize. Then, for the anatomical characteristics, stomatal traits, physiological and biochemical variables on which CO₂ concentration or temperature showed significant effects, we employed the pairwise comparison method of Student–Newman–Keuls to compare the statistically significant differences among the treatments at p < 0.05 level using the SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). We also employed linear regressions for estimating the relationships among leaf transpiration rates, stomatal traits, and leaf characteristics as well as the relationships between P_n and soluble sugars.

Results

Effects of CO₂ concentration and temperature on the growth of maize plants

The total biomass and leaf biomass were increased by 10.9% and 15.7% with increasing CO_2 concentration from $a[CO_2]$ to $e[CO_2]$ under the highest temperature regime (37/31°C), indicating elevated [CO₂] boosted the plant growth of maize under the highest temperature regime (Figure 1; Table 1). However, this CO₂ fertilization effect on the plant biomass of maize plants drastically diminished under lower growth temperature regimes (25/19°C and 31/25°C), as evidenced by the non-significant difference in plant biomass under differing CO2 regimes. In addition, we found a very strong temperature effect on the biomass accumulation of maize plants, especially the high temperature regime (37/31°C) significantly decreased the total biomass by 53.2% under $a[CO_2]$ and 46.6% under $e[CO_2]$, compared with the lower temperature (25/19°C). Interestingly, we also found that growth temperature changed the phenology of maize plants as evidenced by the longer silking stage when maize plants were subjected to the high temperature regime of 37/31°C (Table 1). In addition, an interactive effect of $[CO_2]$ and temperature on plant growth of maize was not detected in this study (Table 1).

Effects of CO₂ concentration and temperature on leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence

Elevated CO₂ concentration ($e[CO_2]$) substantially enhanced the P_n rate by 16.4% under the highest temperature regime (37/31°C), whereas leaf photosynthesis of maize plants under the lower temperature regimes (31/25°C and 25/19°C) was not

significantly affected by elevated CO₂ concentration (Figure 2A). The R_d was substantially increased by 107 and 67% under $a[CO_2]$ and *e*[CO₂] with increasing growth temperature from 25/19°C to 37/31°C (Figure 2B), but no significant difference was detected between $a[CO_2]$ and $e[CO_2]$ (Figure 2B). Elevated CO_2 concentration decreased the g_s of maize leaves grown at the temperature regimes of 25/19°C and 37/31°C by 21.8% and 15.1%, respectively, whereas the g_s was not changed by $e[CO_2]$ when plants were subjected to 31/25°C regimes (Figure 2C). Similarly, $e[CO_2]$ significantly decreased *E* by 22.0%, 12.7%, and 8.9% when these maize plants were grown at 25/19°C, 31/25°C, and 37/31°C regimes, respectively (Figure 2D). By contrast, elevated temperature strongly increased E and reached the maximum values of $6.9 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $6.3 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at $a[\text{CO}_2]$ and $e[CO_2]$ under 37/31°C regimes (Figure 2D). As a result, enriching CO2 concentration dramatically enhanced the WUE of maize plants by 24.5%, 16.5%, and 27.4% under 25/19°C, 31/25°C, and 37/31°C regimes, respectively (Figure 2E). The F_v/F_m was significantly decreased by elevated temperatures with the minimal values of F_v/F_m under the highest temperature regime (37/31°C) regardless of $[CO_2]$ (Figure 2F). However, the F_v/F_m value of maize leaves under $e[CO_2]$ was 20% higher than that of plants under $a[CO_2]$, when these maize plants were exposed to the highest temperature regime of 37/31°C (Figure 2F).

Effects of CO₂ concentration and temperature on morphological traits of individual stoma

The stomatal density was enhanced by the increase in growth temperatures (Table 2). Elevating the growth temperatures of maize plants from 25/19°C to 31/25°C and 37/31°C substantially increased the stomatal density by 13% and 40% under $a[CO_2]$ (Table 2). However, elevated CO₂ concentration not significantly affected the stomatal density of maize plants under the three growth temperatures (Figure 3; Table 2). In contrast to stomatal density, elevated CO₂ concentration substantially decreased stomatal area by 7% and 10% under the growth temperature regimes of 25/19°C and 37/31°C mainly due to the smaller stomatal width, although the stomatal area was barely altered by enriched CO₂ concentration when maize plants were subjected to the 31/25°C regime (Table 2). Additionally, we also found a significant interactive effect of [CO₂] and temperature on the stomatal width of maize plants (Table 2).

Effects of CO₂ concentration and temperature on leaf anatomy and chemical compositions

Elevated temperature significantly decreased the leaf thickness, interveinal distance, vascular bundle tissue area and bundle sheath tissue area of maize plants, whereas vascular bundle index and bundle sheath index increased regardless of



tailer and bigger than those plants grown at 25/19°C independent of CO_2 concentrations (A). By contrast, the maize plants under 37/31°C were shorter and smaller than those plants grown at 25/19°C (B). The (a-d) in figure indicates different treatments of growth temperature and CO_2 .

TABLE 1	Effects of elevated	CO ₂ I and tempera	ture on the grow	h of maize plants
I ADEL I	Lifects of elevated	CO ₂ and tempera	iture on the grow	In or maize plants.

[CO ₂] (μ mol mol ⁻¹)	Temperature (°C)		Biomass (g plant ⁻¹)				Leaf area	Leaf	Leaf	Days to
		Total biomass	Root	Stem	Leaf	(cm)	plant ⁻¹)	(cm)	(cm)	(days)
	25/19	40.6 (1.7) ^a	8.3 (1.0) ^a	16.3 (1.9) ^a	16.0 (1.9) ^a	42.6 (1.7) ^b	3,705 (189) ^a	93.3 (2.6) ^a	7.3 (0.9)ª	47.5 (0.6) ^b
400	31/25	34.4 (1.3) ^b	7.5 (0.9) ^a	14.7 (1.6) ^a	12.2 (0.8) ^b	53.5 (1.6) ^a	2,980 (122) ^b	88.8 (4.6) ^{ab}	6.5 (0.8) ^a	41.0 (1.8) ^c
	37/31	19.2 (1.3) ^c	3.9 (0.8) ^b	6.6 (1.0) ^b	8.9 (0.6) ^d	29.8 (1.5) ^c	1855 (89) ^d	70.0 (2.9) ^c	4.0 (0.3) ^b	53.3 (1.0) ^a
	25/19	40.7 (1.8) ^a	7.9 (0.6) ^a	16.7 (1.6) ^a	16.1 (1.7) ^a	43.9 (0.6) ^b	3,725 (135) ^a	93.3 (1.7) ^a	7.1 (0.9) ^a	47.0 (0.8) ^b
800	31/25	36.0 (2.5) ^b	8.2 (0.5) ^a	15.0 (1.6) ^a	12.8 (0.7) ^b	54.8 (1.1) ^a	2,988 (91) ^b	86.8 (3.7) ^b	6.1 (1.0) ^a	40.3 (1.0)°
	37/31	21.3 (1.5)°	4.2 (0.3) ^b	7.0 (0.8) ^b	10.3 (0.5) ^c	30.8 (1.3) ^c	2023 (109)°	71.0 (2.9) ^c	4.4 (0.5) ^b	52.5 (1.3) ^a
[CO ₂]		0.09	0.50	0.54	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.80	0.96	0.08
Temperature	<i>p</i> -values	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
$C \times T$		0.29	0.42	0.98	0.31	0.90	0.20	0.64	0.65	0.43

Values given are the means (standard deviation) for plant biomass (n = 4), plant height (n = 4), and leaf area (n = 4), and the values were compared with Student–Newman–Keuls. Different lowercase letters indicated p < 0.05 and the same lowercase letters indicated p > 0.05.

 CO_2 concentrations (Figure 4; Table 3). Meanwhile, elevated CO_2 concentration significantly decreased the vascular bundle index of maize by 6.7% and 7.9% under 25/19°C and 37/31°C, respectively (Table 3). Moreover, elevated CO_2 concentration had little effect on the leaf thickness, vascular bundle tissue area and bundle sheath tissue area under the three temperatures, whereas the interveinal distance of maize declined by 7.3% under 37/31°C (Figure 4; Table 3). Our two-way ANOVA results showed that $e[CO_2]$ substantially increased the interveinal distance and decreased vascular bundle index, while the leaf thickness, vascular bundle tissue area showed little change (Table 3). Moreover, elevated temperature drastically decreased the leaf thickness, interveinal distance, vascular bundle tissue area, bundle tissue area, vascular bundle index, and bundle sheath tissue area, bundle sheath tissue area, and bundle sheath tissue area showed little change (Table 3). Moreover, elevated temperature drastically decreased the leaf thickness, interveinal distance, vascular bundle tissue area, bundle tissue area, vascular bundle index, and bundle sheath tissue area, bundle sheath tissue area, and bundle sheath tissue area, bundle tissue area, bundle index, and bundle sheath index (Table 3).

Elevated CO₂ concentration drastically enhanced the total soluble sugar concentration by 23.2% under 37/31°C regime, due mainly to the increased concentrations of fructose, sucrose, and glucose (Table 4), whereas the total soluble sugar content was not significantly affected by elevated CO₂ concentration under the temperature regimes of 25/19°C and 31/25°C (Table 4). Nevertheless, increasing the growth temperature from 25/19°C to 37/31°C substantially decreased the total soluble sugar concentration by 28% and 20% under $a[CO_2]$ and $e[CO_2]$, respectively. Furthermore, elevated CO₂ concentration had little impact on the starch concentration in maize leaves under the three temperature regimes. By contrast, elevated temperature significantly decreased all the documented chemical composition parameters in maize leaves. In addition, the



concentrations of glucose, fructose and the nonstructural carbohydrates were statistically different between the two $\rm CO_2$ concentrations (Table 4).

Relationships among leaf photosynthesis, stomatal characteristics, leaf transpiration rate and leaf anatomic structure

The linear regression relationships between leaf photosynthesis and total soluble sugars were estimated under the

three temperature regimes of 25/19°C, 31/25°C, and 37/31°C (Figure 5). We found linearly positive relationships between P_n and total soluble sugars with R^2 values of 0.38, 0.45, and 0.77 at the temperature regimes of 25/19°C, 31/25°C, and 37/31°C, respectively (Figure 5). The *E* was linearly enhanced by the increases of stomatal density (Figure 6A) and vascular bundle index (Figure 6F), whereas a negative linear relationship was obtained among *E* and stomatal width (Figure 6B), vascular bundle tissue area (Figure 6D) as well as leaf thickness (Figure 6E). However, the stomatal area index was not linearly related with the transpiration rates of maize plants (Figure 6C).

[CO ₂] (μ mol mol ⁻¹)	Temperature (°C)	Stomatal length (µm)*	Stomatal width (µm)*	Stomatal area (µm²)	Stomatal density (No. mm ⁻²)	Stomatal area index (µm² mm ⁻²)**
	25/19	32.5 (2.3)ª	4.8 (0.4) ^a	156 (17) ^a	87 (9) ^c	1.36 (0.22) ^a
400	31/25	31.5 (1.4) ^{ab}	4.6 (0.3) ^b	138 (13) ^b	98 (10) ^b	1.35 (0.16) ^a
	37/31	27.2 (1.1) ^c	4.1 (0.2) ^c	ww111 (8) ^c	122 (9) ^a	1.36 (0.16) ^a
	25/19	32.2 (1.5) ^a	4.6 (0.3) ^b	145 (13) ^b	88 (8) ^c	1.26 (0.11) ^a
800	31/25	29.9 (1.7) ^b	4.4 (0.3) ^b	138 (12) ^b	96 (9) ^b	1.33 (0.18) ^a
	37/31	27.3 (1.8) ^c	$3.7 (0.3)^{d}$	100 (9) ^d	123 (9) ^a	1.23 (0.13) ^a
[CO ₂]		0.77	0.04	0.04	0.72	0.43
Temperature	<i>p</i> -values	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.04
$C \times T$		0.81	0.04	0.075	0.99	0.27

TABLE 2 Effects of elevated [CO₂] and temperature on the morphological traits of individual stoma on maize leaves.

Values given are means (standard deviation) for stomatal length (n = 48), stomatal width (n = 48), stomatal area (n = 48), stomatal density (n = 24), and stomatal area index (n = 24). Mean values were compared with Student-Newman-Keuls. Different letters indicate p < 0.05 and the same letters indicate p > 0.05. *Stomatal length is the longest dimension, and the stomatal width is the widest dimension. **Stomatal area index is defined as the total stomatal area per unit leaf area calculated as stomatal average density × stomatal average area per sample × 100%.



Scanning electron microscopy showed the stomatal characteristics of maize leaves grown at two CO₂ concentrations and three temperatures regimes. Note that maize plants grown under 31/25°C and 37/31°C had much more smaller stomata than those of plants under 25/19°C. Bar=20 μm (a-f) and Bar=100 μm (A-F).



FIGURE 4

Light micrographs of cross sections showed the anatomical structure traits of maize leaves. Note that maize plants exposed to 25/19°C have greater leaf thickness, interveinal distance, bundle sheath tissue area and vascular bundle tissue area as compared with plants subjected to 31/25°C and 37/31°C. BS, bundle sheath; VB, vascular bundle; IVD, interveinal distance; LT, leaf thickness. Bar=100 µm. The (A–F) represents different temperature and CO₂ treatments.

TARIE 3	Effects of	Felevated [CO.]	and tem	nerature on	the anatomical	structure of	maize leaves
IADLL J	Lifects of	i elevaleu [CO ₂]	and term	Jerature on	the anatonneat	su ucuie oi	maize leaves.

[CO ₂] (μ mol mol ⁻¹)	Temperature (°C)	Leaf thickness (µm)	Interveinal distance (µm)	Vascular bundle tissue area (µm²)	Vascular bundle index*	Bundle sheath tissue area (µm ²)	Bundle sheath index**
	25/19	140 (6)ª	150 (8) ^a	1,260 (72)ª	6.0 (0.5)°	4,042 (319)ª	19.3 (1.8)°
400	31/25	131 (6) ^{bc}	123 (8) ^b	1,150 (93) ^b	7.2 (0.6) ^b	3,701 (239) ^b	23.1 (2.4) ^b
	37/31	127 (5)°	110 (5) ^c	1,058 (67) ^c	7.6 (0.5)ª	3,570 (287) ^b	25.7 (2.6) ^a
	25/19	143 (6) ^a	153 (7) ^a	1,224 (85) ^a	5.6 (0.3) ^d	4,147 (331) ^a	19.1 (1.7) ^c
800	31/25	133 (6) ^b	124 (9) ^b	1,118 (79) ^{bc}	6.8 (0.6) ^b	3,805 (206) ^b	23.3 (2.6) ^b
	37/31	129 (6) ^{bc}	118 (8) ^b	1,052 (81) ^c	7.0 (0.5) ^b	3,615 (208) ^b	24.0 (2.4) ^b
[CO ₂]		0.16	0.03	0.12	0.001	0.13	0.21
Temperature	<i>p</i> -values	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
$C \times T$		0.96	0.17	0.71	0.41	0.88	0.22

Values given are means (standard deviation) for leaf thickness (4 leaves × 2 images/leaf × 2 thicknesses/image = 16 thicknesses; n = 16), interveinal distance (4 leaves × 2 images/leaf × 2 interveinal distances/image = 16 interveinal distances; n = 16), vascular bundle tissue area (4 leaves ×2 images/leaf × 2 vascular bundle tissue areas/image = 16 vascular bundle tissue areas; n = 16), vascular bundle index (4 leaves ×2 images/leaf × 1 vascular bundle index/image = 8; n = 8), bundle sheath tissue area (4 leaves ×2 images/leaf × 2 bundle sheath tissue areas/ image = 16; n = 16), and bundle sheath index (4 leaves ×2 images/leaf × 1 bundle sheath index/image = 8; n = 8). Mean values were compared with Student–Newman–Keuls. Different lowercase letters indicate p < 0.05 and the same lowercase letters indicate p > 0.05. *Vascular bundle index was defined as the vascular bundle tissue area per unit leaf cross-sectional area calculated as average vascular bundle tissue area/ (interveinal distance × leaf thickness) × 100%.

**Bundle sheath index was defined as the bundle sheath tissue area per unit leaf cross-sectional area calculated as average bundle sheath tissue area/ (interveinal distance × leaf thickness) × 100%.

Discussion

Effects of elevated temperature on leaf photosynthesis and transpiration of maize plants

Most plant species have an optimal growth temperature and only grow in a certain temperature range (Jin et al., 2011). Therefore, temperature above the optimum may result in leaf photosynthetic decline (Hao et al., 2019) through damaging the structure of the chloroplast and photosystem II (Janka et al., 2015) and suppressing the active state of Rubisco (Yamori et al., 2012). Our results that P_n was significantly enhanced with increasing temperature from 25/19°C to 31/25°C, and then dramatically declined when the temperature was continually increased to 37/31°C, indicated that the optimal temperature for leaf photosynthesis of maize plants is around 31/25°C regime. Interestingly, Kim et al. (2007) also estimated the optimal temperature for leaf photosynthesis and plant

[CO ₂] (µ mol mol ⁻¹)	Temperature (°C)		Soluble Suga	Starch	Non-structural		
		Fructose	Sucrose	Glucose	Total soluble sugars	(%, W/W)	(%, w/w)
	25/19	0.93 (0.09) ^b	0.76 (0.08) ^{bc}	1.42 (0.12) ^a	3.11 (0.22) ^b	3.61 (0.12) ^a	6.72 (0.26) ^a
400	31/25	$1.14 (0.10)^{a}$	0.86 (0.04)ª	1.52 (0.18) ^a	3.51 (0.23) ^a	3.21 (0.13) ^{bc}	6.73 (0.13) ^a
	37/31	0.69 (0.06) ^c	$0.52 (0.05)^d$	1.02 (0.13) ^c	$2.24 (0.22)^d$	3.13 (0.18) ^{bc}	5.36 (0.32) ^b
	25/19	1.24 (0.08) ^a	$0.75 \ (0.05)^{bc}$	1.46 (0.09) ^a	3.45 (0.17) ^{ab}	3.68 (0.11) ^a	7.13 (0.24) ^a
800	31/25	1.23 (0.04) ^a	0.83 (0.04) ^{ab}	1.64 (0.15) ^a	3.70 (0.20) ^a	3.29 (0.25) ^b	6.99 (0.39) ^a
	37/31	0.87 (0.06) ^b	0.67 (0.04) ^c	$1.22 (0.08)^{b}$	2.76 (0.17) ^c	2.97 (0.11) ^c	5.73 (0.27) ^b
[CO ₂]		0.001	0.13	0.03	0.01	0.96	0.01
Temperature	<i>p</i> -values	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
$C \times T$		0.11	0.07	0.48	0.35	0.25	0.89

TABLE 4 Effects of elevated [CO₂] and temperature on leaf chemical compositions of maize plants.

Values are means (standard deviation) for fructose (4 plants × 1 leaf/plant = 4 leaves; n = 4), sucrose (4 plants × 1 leaf/plant = 4 leaves; n = 4), glucose (4 plants × 1 leaf/plant = 4 leaves; n = 4), total soluble sugars (4 plants × 1 leaf/plant = 4 leaves; n = 4), starch (4 plants × 1 leaf/plant = 4 leaves; n = 4), and non-structural carbohydrates (4 plants × 1 leaf/plant = 4 leaves; n = 4). Mean values were compared with Student–Newman–Keuls. Different lowercase letters indicate p < 0.05 and the same lowercase letters indicate p > 0.05.



growth of maize is about 34°C. Therefore, these results also suggested that maize plants might suffer high temperature stress with increasing the temperature from $31/25^{\circ}$ C to $37/31^{\circ}$ C. The F_v/F_m values under $37/31^{\circ}$ C were the lowest among the three temperature regimes which also directly supported the above conclusions that P_n was inhibited when maize plants were exposed at the highest temperature regime of $37/31^{\circ}$ C. Furthermore, the *E* of maize was substantially increased by elevating growth temperature from $25/19^{\circ}$ C to $37/31^{\circ}$ C under both CO₂ concentrations, suggested that maize plants may adapt to high temperatures through enhancing leaf transpiration at the same

 $[CO_2]$ levels, because higher transpiration rates can take much more heat from leaf water loss, and thus protect the reaction site of leaf photosynthesis from high temperature stress.

Elevated [CO₂] enhanced leaf photosynthesis and water use efficiency of maize plants with changes in leaf anatomy and stomatal traits under high temperatures

Several studies have found that the leaf photosynthesis of several C₄ species responds positively to CO₂ enrichment (Leakey et al., 2004; Driscoll et al., 2005; Abebe et al., 2016), suggesting that the CO₂ saturation point of leaf photosynthesis for C₄ species might be dependent on a number of environmental conditions such as growth temperatures (Sage, 2002), soil water availability (Kellner et al., 2019) and vapor pressure deficit (Maherali et al., 2010). Our results also showed that elevated CO2 concentration significantly increased the $P_{\rm n}$ of maize under high temperature regime (37/31°C), whereas CO2 enrichment had little effect on the leaf photosynthesis of maize plants grown at the low and moderate temperature regimes (25/19°C and 31/25°C; Figure 2A), indicating that leaf-level photosynthesis of maize plants in response to elevated CO₂ concentration was highly temperature-dependent. These findings were consistent with previous conclusions on Amaranthus (Sage, 2002), where the CO_2 saturation point was increased with the elevated growth temperature, and thus the sensitivity of leaf photosynthesis to CO₂ enrichment in C₄ plants might be enhanced by elevated temperatures (Sage, 2002). Also, the increased P_n of maize plants under elevated CO₂ concentrations may be attributed to the increased CO₂ saturation point under the high temperature regime in the current study. However, it should be noted that the activity and capacity of Rubisco for C4 plants are also closely related to their growth temperatures (Perdomo et al., 2017).



area, (E) leaf thickness, and (F) vascular bundle index. The circles and triangles represent the data from ambient or elevated [CO2] and the black, gray, and white symbols (circles and triangles) indicate the fitted points under the temperature regimes of 25/19°C, 31/25°C, and 37/31°C.

We found that elevated temperature reduced the leaf thickness and interveinal distance in maize leaves, which may benefit maize plants by enhancing leaf transpiration rates under high temperatures as observed in the current study, because thinner leaves may allow for easier transfer of water from leaf surface to atmosphere, and meanwhile shorter interveinal distance is likely to increase the number of vascular bundles in leaves and thus enhance the efficiency of H₂O diffusion. In addition, we also found that elevated temperature enhanced leaf transpiration of maize leaves is highly dependent on the increase in stomatal density of maize plants, because having more stomata reduces the distance between mesophyll cells and stomata, thus decreasing the resistance of mesophyll tissues to H₂O diffusion (Zheng et al., 2013). Finally, the changes in stomata and leaf structures resulted in the increase in E with elevated temperature from 25/19°C to 37/31°C at both the $a[CO_2]$ and $e[CO_2]$, and thus reduced the leaf water use efficiency of maize plants. Furthermore, we found a positive relationship between stomatal density and leaf transpiration, although leaf thickness was negatively related to leaf transpiration. Similarly, we also found a positive relationship between vascular bundle index and transpiration rates, which indirectly supported the above conclusion that the declines of leaf transpiration rates may be attributed to the reduced vascular bundle index under higher CO₂ concentration. In addition, our results that elevated temperature increased stomatal density and decreased leaf thickness suggested that maize plants respond to elevated temperature by enhancing leaf transpiration through changes in leaf anatomy and stomatal traits.

In the current study, our results showed that the vascular bundle index was significantly reduced by elevated CO₂ concentration, which might partially contribute to the decline in leaf transpiration under higher CO₂ concentration, because vascular bundles are closely related to water transport efficiency (Yang and Liang, 2008). Interestingly, our results that elevated CO₂ concentration also reduced stomatal width and stomatal area of maize plants under the highest temperature regime of 37/31°C, indicated that the smaller stomatal openness may also contribute to the reduction in leaf transpiration, because maize plants can benefit from smaller stomata through maintaining tissue turgor (Rodriguez-Dominguez et al., 2016) and leaf water potential (Kumar et al., 2005) of maize under higher temperatures. This decreased leaf transpiration may also partially contribute to the enhanced water use efficiency under high CO₂ concentration (Hussain et al., 2013), although leaf photosynthesis was substantially increased by elevated CO2 concentration under high temperatures.

Changes in foliar nonstructural carbohydrates explain the CO₂ fertilization effect on maize plants under high temperature conditions

It has been widely evident that the physiological and biochemical responses of plants to environmental factors such as temperature and CO₂ concentration are highly related to the carbon source-sink balance (Inman-Bamber et al., 2010; Sugiura et al., 2017), where the changes of carbohydrates may have profound effects on leaf photosynthesis through various processes including both up and downregulations (Nebauer et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2013; Sugiura et al., 2017). Elevating the growth temperature from 25/19°C to 31/25°C significantly increased the soluble sugars in maize leaves mainly due to the enhanced P_n of maize plants. However, the leaf soluble sugars were drastically decreased with further elevating growth temperature from 31/25°C to 37/31°C, indicating that high temperature stress might inhibit leaf photosynthesis as demonstrated by the declines in the soluble sugar of maize leaves.

Response of leaf photosynthesis to elevated CO_2 concentration is also highly dependent on the source-sink balance of carbohydrates, because the excessive carbohydrate accumulation can reduce both the efficiency and content of Rubisco through sucrose cycling and photosynthetic gene repression of plants under higher CO_2 concentrations (Córdoba et al., 2017), and thus the imbalance between sink and source carbohydrates may lower the CO_2 fertilization effect on leaf photosynthesis (Fan et al., 2020). Elevated CO_2 concentration can drastically enhance the growth and crop yield of plants through the " CO_2 fertilization effect," which is attributed to the increases of starch and soluble sugars in plant leaves (Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2017; Habermann et al., 2019). Interestingly, our results showed that the soluble sugars in maize leaves were substantially increased by elevated CO_2 concentration even at the highest temperature regime of 37/31°C. These results suggested that high temperature may constrain the activation status of enzymes such as cellulose synthase (Suseela et al., 2014), which can transfer nonstructural carbohydrates into woody tissues (lignin and cellulose; Chen et al., 2012), because the leaf carbohydrates of maize plants were significantly increased by elevated CO₂ concentration, but the total biomass was not statistically different between the two CO₂ concentrations at all of the three growth temperature regimes in the current study. The fact that maize plants stored much more soluble sugars under high temperature may also be an adaptive strategy for surviving high temperature stress, because the storage of carbohydrates in plant leaves can reduce the heat sensitivity of photosynthetic electron transport (Hüve et al., 2010) and meanwhile protect photosynthetic organs such as chloroplasts from high temperature stress (Huang et al., 2015). Overall, our results that maize plants under high temperature stress had higher leaf photosynthesis and F_v/F_m values at elevated CO₂ concentration than those of plants at ambient CO2 concentration indicated that elevated CO₂ concentration can partially alleviate the high temperature stress on maize plants through increasing the carbohydrates in maize leaves (Hüve et al., 2010). This conclusion could be supported by the positive relationships between leaf photosynthesis and soluble sugars under the highest temperature regime of 37/31°C.

The CO₂ fertilization effect on the growth and grain yield of maize may also be confounded with other environmental factors, such as water availability (Blango et al., 2019), nitrogen deposition (Churkina et al., 2009), and ozone concentration (Cao et al., 2009), which may lower or even offset the CO₂ fertilization effect on global agriculture productivity under future climate change. Unfortunately, this confounding effect is already happening and will gradually become worse under future climate change, because elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration and temperature may come with increasing nitrogen deposition (Churkina et al., 2009) and regional drought (Contran et al., 2012) in most temperate regions throughout the world where maize grows. Meanwhile, it should be noted that the responses of maize plants to the elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature in the real world may be different from the findings of our study (Ainsworth et al., 2008; Poorter et al., 2016). In addition, this study mainly focused on the interactive effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature on the vegetative growth of maize plants, but we did not continue the experiment to further examine the impacts of elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature on grain yield due to the height limitation of our growth chambers and the physiological stresses on maize grown in high temperatures. Nevertheless, the vegetative growth of maize plants is the most important foundation for yield production, thus the vegetative growth of maize in response to elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature can be used to predict the potential impacts of future climate change on the grain yield of maize (Kim et al., 2007). Therefore, further studies with longterm controlled experiments in natural conditions are needed

to fully understand the potential mechanisms and processes governing the interactive effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature on maize plants for improving the predictions of future climate change on maize production.

It should be noted that this study focused primarily on the effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature on the morphology, physiology, and biochemistry of maize plants, and we did not continue the experiment to further evaluate the genomic and/or proteomic responses of maize plants to elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature. Therefore, based on the morphological, physiological, and biochemistrical results and conclusions from the current study, further studies with genomic and proteomic approaches are needed to fully understand the underlying mechanisms and processes of combined impacts of elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature on maize plants under future climate change. Additionally, it is noted that this study is a pot-based manipulation experiment with environmental growth chambers, where the four pots in each environmental growth chamber were treated as four replicates, and thus the significant differences among different treatments might potentially be affected by "pseudo-replication." Nevertheless, we adopted a widely used method to minimize this spatial "pseudo-replication" effects from different environmental growth chambers through randomly changing the CO₂ concentration and temperature of each growth chamber, and meanwhile relocating the treated maize plants to the environmental growth chambers with corresponding CO_2 concentrations and temperatures every week during the treatment period in the current study (Xu et al., 2009; Jin and Evans, 2010; Yu et al., 2012, 2017).

Conclusion

We found a very strong temperature effect on biomass accumulation of maize plants with increasing plant biomass at lower temperatures below their optimal temperature and decreasing plant biomass when the temperature is beyond the optimum. Meanwhile, we also found CO₂ fertilization effects on plant growth and leaf photosynthesis of maize under the highest temperature regime (37/31°C), but this CO₂ fertilization effect diminished under the two lower growth temperature regimes. These results indicate that the CO₂ fertilization effect on plant growth and leaf photosynthesis of maize depended on growth temperatures, and the high temperature stress on maize plants could also be partially alleviated by elevated CO₂ concentration through changing stomatal traits, leaf anatomy, and nonstructural carbohydrates. Overall, our results suggest that maize plants may suffer less from future high temperature stress by taking advantage of the CO₂ fertilization effect. Nevertheless, it is noted that our findings were from a controlled environmental growth chamber experiment with only one maize cultivar., and thus further fieldbased experiments with many more maize cultivars under strongly varying conditions are needed to fully understand the potential

mechanisms and processes of maize plants in response to the combined effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

LL, LH, and YZe designed the study. LL, LH, YZa, HZ, BM, YT, and ZC performed the experiment. LL, LH, YT, YZa, YC, and YZe analyzed the data. LL, BM, HZ, and YZe wrote the initial manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 32071608 and U1802241), the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (E2021402031), and the Central Guidance on Local Science and Technology Development Funding of Hebei Province (226Z6401G).

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the associate editor Heidi Renninger and Uwe Rascher as well as the reviewer for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions on revising the manuscript. We also thank Leila Fletcher (Yale University, United States) for editing and polishing the English language of the revised manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Abebe, A., Pathak, H., Singh, S., Bhatia, A., Harit, R. C., and Kumar, V. (2016). Growth, yield and quality of maize with elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide and temperature in north–West India. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 218, 66–72. doi: 10.1016/j. agee.2015.11.014

Ainsworth, E. A., Leakey, A. D., Ort, D. R., and Long, S. P. (2008). FACEing the facts: inconsistencies and interdependence among field, chamber and modeling studies of elevated $[CO_2]$ impacts on crop yield and food supply. *New Phytol.* 179, 5–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02500.x

Ainsworth, E. A., and Long, S. P. (2020). 30 years of free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE): what have we learned about future crop productivity and its potential for adaptation? *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 27, 27–49. doi: 10.1111/gcb.15375

Barlow, K. M., Christy, B. P., O'Leary, G. J., Riffkin, P., and Nuttall, J. G. (2015). Simulating the impact of extreme heat and frost events on wheat crop production: a review. *Field Crop Res.* 171, 109–119. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.11.010

Blango, M. M., Cooke, R. A. C., and Moiwo, J. P. (2019). Effect of soil and water management practices on crop productivity in tropical inland valley swamps. *Agric Water Manag* 222, 82–91. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2019.05.036

Cao, J. L., Wang, L., Zeng, Q., Liang, J., Tang, H. Y., Xie, Z. B., et al. (2009). Characteristics of photosynthesis in wheat cultivars with different sensitivities to ozone under O₃-free air concentration enrichment conditions. *Acta Agron. Sin.* 35, 1500–1507. doi: 10.1016/S1875-2780(08)60098-X

Chen, T., Pei, H., Zhang, Y., and Qian, Q. (2012). Seasonal changes in nonstructural carbohydrates and sucrose metabolism enzymes in two Sabina species. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 34, 173–180. doi: 10.1007/s11738-011-0815-8

Churkina, G., Brovkin, V., Bloh, W., Trusilova, K., Jung, M., and Dentener, F. (2009). Synergy of rising nitrogen depositions and atmospheric CO_2 on land carbon uptake moderately offsets global warming. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 23:GB4027. doi: 10.1029/2008GB003291

Contran, N., Günthardt-Goerg, M. S., Kuster, T. M., Cerana, R., Crosti, P., and Paoletti, E. (2012). Physiological and biochemical responses of *Quercus pubescens* to air warming and drought on acidic and calcareous soils. *Plant Biol.* 15, 157–168. doi: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2012.00627.x

Córdoba, J., Pérez, P., Morcuende, R., Molina-Cano, J. L., and Martinez-Carrasco, R. (2017). Acclimation to elevated CO₂ is improved by low Rubisco and carbohydrate content, and enhanced Rubisco transcripts in the G132 barley mutant. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 137, 36–48. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2017.02.005

Driscoll, S. P., Prins, A., Olmos, E., Kunert, K. J., and Foyer, C. H. (2005). Specification of adaxial and abaxial stomata, epidermal structure and photosynthesis to CO₂ enrichment in maize leaves. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57, 381–390. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erj030

Dusenge, M. E., Duarte, A. G., and Way, D. A. (2019). Plant carbon metabolism and climate change: elevated CO_2 and temperature impacts on photosynthesis, photorespiration and respiration. *New Phytol.* 221, 32–49. doi: 10.1111/nph.15283

Fan, X., Cao, X., Zhou, H., Hao, L., Dong, W., He, C., et al. (2020). Carbon dioxide fertilization effect on plant growth under soil water stress associates with changes in stomatal traits, leaf photosynthesis, and foliar nitrogen of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 179:104203. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104203

Faria, A. P., Marabesi, M. A., Gaspar, M., and França, M. G. C. (2018). The increase of current atmospheric CO_2 and temperature can benefit leaf gas exchanges, carbohydrate content and growth in C₄ grass invaders of the Cerrado biome. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 127, 608–616. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.04.042

Habermann, E., Martin, J. A. B., Contin, D. R., Bossan, V. P., Barboza, A., Braga, M. R., et al. (2019). Increasing atmospheric CO_2 and canopy temperature induces anatomical and physiological changes in leaves of the C_4 forage species *Panicum maximum. PLoS One* 14:e0212506. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0212506

Haden, A., Burnham, M. B., Yang, W. H., and DeLucia, E. H. (2021). Comparative establishment and yield of bioenergy sorghum and maize following pre-emergence waterlogging. *Agron. J.* 113, 5602–5611. doi: 10.1002/agj2.20832

Hao, L., Guo, L., Li, R., Cheng, Y., Huang, L., Zhou, H., et al. (2019). Responses of photosynthesis to high temperature stress associated with changes in leaf structure and biochemistry of blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* 246, 251–264. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.11.007

Huang, Y. W., Zhou, Z. Q., Yang, H. X., Wei, C. X., Wan, Y. Y., Wang, X. J., et al. (2015). Glucose application protects chloroplast ultrastructure in heat-stressed cucumber leaves through modifying antioxidant enzyme activity. *Biol. Plant* 59, 131–138. doi: 10.1007/s10535-014-0470-1

Hussain, M. Z., VanLoocke, A., Siebers, M. H., Ruiz-Vera, U. M., Cody Markelz, R. J., Leakey, A. D., et al. (2013). Future carbon dioxide concentration decreases canopy evapotranspiration and soil water depletion by field-grown maize. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19, 1572–1584. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12155

Hüve, K., Bichele, I., Tobias, M., and Niinemets, U. (2010). Heat sensitivity of photosynthetic electron transport varies during the day due to changes in sugars

and osmotic potential. *Plant Cell Environ.* 29, 212–228. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040. 2005.01414.x

Inman-Bamber, N. G., Bonnett, G. D., Spillman, M. F., Hewitt, M. H., and Glassop, D. (2010). Sucrose accumulation in sugarcane is influenced by temperature and genotype through the carbon source-sink balance. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 61, 111–121. doi: 10.1071/CP09262

IPCC (2021). "Summary for policymakers," in Climate Change and Land: An IPCCS pecial Report on Climate Change, Desertification, Land Degradation, SustainableLand Management, Food Security, and Greenhouse Gas Fuxes in Terrestrial Ecosystems. eds. P. R. Shukla, J. Skea, E. C. Buendia, V. Masson-Delmotte, H. O. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts et al. (Geneva: IPCC).

Ito, A. (2010). Changing ecophysiological processes and carbon budget in east Asian ecosystems under near-future changes in climate: implications for long-term monitoring from a process-based model. *J. Plant Res.* 123, 577–588. doi: 10.1007/s10265-009-0305-x

Janka, E., Körner, O., Rosenqvist, E., and Ottosen, C. (2015). Using the quantum yields of photosystem II and the rate of net photosynthesis to monitor high irradiance and temperature stress in chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora*). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 90, 14–22. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2015. 02.019

Jin, V. L., and Evans, R. D. (2010). Elevated CO_2 increases plant uptake of organic and inorganic N in the desert shrub *Larrea tridentata*. *Oecologia* 163, 257–266. doi: 10.1007/s00442-010-1562-z

Jin, B., Wang, L., Wang, J., Jiang, K. Z., Wang, Y., Jiang, X. X., et al. (2011). The effect of experimental warming on leaf functional traits, leaf structure and leaf biochemistry in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *BMC Plant Biol*. 11:35. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-11-35

Jin, Z., Zhuang, Q., Wang, J., Archontoulis, S. V., Zobel, Z., and Kotamarthi, V. R. (2017). The combined and separate impacts of climate extremes on the current and future US rainfed maize and soybean production under elevated CO₂. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 2687–2704. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13617

Kassie, B. T., Asseng, S., Rotter, R. P., Hengsdijk, H., Ruane, A. C., and Van Ittersum, M. K. (2015). Exploring climate change impacts and adaptation options for maize production in the central Rift Valley of Ethiopia using different climate change scenarios and crop models. *Clim. Change* 129, 145–158. doi: 10.1007/s10584-014-1322-x

Kellner, J., Houska, T., Manderscheid, R., Weigel, H., Breuer, L., and Kraft, P. (2019). Response of maize biomass and soil water fluxes on elevated CO_2 and drought-From field experiments to process-based simulations. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 25, 2947–2957. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14723

Kim, S. H., Gitz, D. C., Sicher, R. C., Baker, J. T., Timlin, D. J., and Reddy, V. R. (2007). Temperature dependence of growth, development, and photosynthesis in maize under elevated CO₂. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 61, 224–236. doi: 10.1016/j. envexpbot.2007.06.005

Kumar, A., Omae, H., Egawa, Y., Kashiwaba, K., and Shono, M. (2005). Influence of water and high temperature stress on leaf water status of high temperature tolerant and sensitive cultivars of snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*). *Jap. J. Trop. Agric.* 49, 109–118. doi: 10.11248/jsta1957.49.109

Leakey, A. D. B., Bernacchi, C. J., Dohleman, F. G., Ort, D. R., and Long, S. P. (2004). Will photosynthesis of maize (*Zea mays*) in the US Corn Belt increase in future [CO₂] rich atmospheres? An analysis of diurnal courses of CO₂ uptake under free-air concentration enrichment (FACE). *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 10, 951–962. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00767.x

Leakey, A. D. B., Uribelarrea, M., Ainsworth, E. A., Naidu, S. L., Rogers, A., Ort, D. R., et al. (2006). Photosynthesis, productivity, and yield of maize are not affected by open-air elevation of CO_2 concentration in the absence of drought. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 779–790. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.073957

Lee, J. S. (2011). Combined effect of elevated CO_2 and temperature on the growth and phenology of two annual C_3 and C_4 weedy species. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 140, 484–491. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2011.01.013

Maherali, H., Johnso, H. B., and Jackson, R. B. (2010). Stomatal sensitivity to vapour pressure difference over a subambient to elevated CO_2 gradient in a C_3/C_4 grassland. *Plant Cell Environ.* 26, 1297–1306. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.01054.x

Manderscheid, R., Erbs, M., and Weigel, H. J. (2014). Interactive effects of free-air CO₂ enrichment and drought stress on maize growth. *Eur. J. Agron.* 52, 11–21. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2011.12.007

Mueller-Cajar, O., Stotz, M., and Bracher, A. (2014). Maintaining photosynthetic CO₂ fixation via protein remodelling: the rubisco activases. *Photosynth. Res.* 119, 191–201. doi: 10.1007/s11120-013-9819-0

Muluneh, A. (2020). Impact of climate change on soil water balance, maize production, and potential adaptation measures in the Rift Valley drylands of Ethiopia. *J. Arid Environ.* 179:104195. doi: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2020.104195

Nebauer, S. G., Renau-Morata, B., Guardiola, J. L., and Molina, R. V. (2011). Photosynthesis down-regulation precedes carbohydrate accumulation under sink limitation in citrus. *Tree Physiol.* 31, 169–177. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpq103

Pan, S., Tian, H., Dangal, S. R., Zhang, C., Yang, J., Tao, B., et al. (2014). Complex spatiotemporal responses of global terrestrial primary production to climate change and increasing atmospheric CO_2 in the 21st century. *PLoS One* 9:e112810. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112810

Pengelly, J. J. L., Sirault, X. R. R., Tazoe, Y., Evans, J. R., Furbank, R. T., and von Caemmerer, S. (2010). Growth of the C₄ dicot Flaveria bidentis: photosynthetic acclimation to low light through shifts in leaf anatomy and biochemistry. *J. Exp. Bot.* 61, 4109–4122. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq226

Perdomo, J. A., Capo-Bauca, S., Carmo-Silva, E., and Galmes, J. (2017). Rubisco and rubisco activase play an important role in the biochemical limitations of photosynthesis in rice, wheat, and maize under high temperature and water deficit. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:490. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00490

Pérez-Jiménez, M., Bayo-Canha, A., Lopez-Ortega, G., and Amor, F. M. (2017). Growth, plant quality, and survival of sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) seedlings are enhanced by CO₂ enrichment. *Hort. Sci.* 52, 1650–1654. doi: 10.21273/ HORTSCI12337-17

Piao, S., Liu, Q., Chen, A., Janssens, I. A., Fu, Y. S., Dai, J. H., et al. (2019). Plant phenology and global climate change: current progresses and challenges. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 25, 1922–1940. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14619

Poorter, H., Fiorani, F., Pieruschka, R., Wojciechowski, T., Putten, W., Kleyer, M., et al. (2016). Pampered inside, pestered outside? Differences and similarities between plants growing in controlled conditions and in the field. *New Phytol.* 212, 838–855. doi: 10.1111/nph.14243

Poorter, H., Knopf, O., Wright, I. J., Temme, A. A., Hogewoning, S. W., Graf, A., et al. (2021). A meta-analysis of responses of C_3 plants to atmospheric CO_2 : doseresponse curves for 85 traits ranging from the molecular to the whole-plant level. *New Phytol.* 233, 1560–1596. doi: 10.1111/nph.17802

Rengifo, E., Urich, R., and Herrera, A. (2002). Water relations and leaf anatomy of the tropical species, *Jatropha gossypifolia* and *Alternanthera crucis*, grown under an elevated CO_2 concentration. *Photosynthetica* 40, 397–403. doi: 10.1023/A: 1022679109425

Rodriguez-Dominguez, C. M., Buckley, T. N., Egea, G., Cires, A., Hernandez-Santana, V., Martorell, S., et al. (2016). Most stomatal closure in woody species under moderate drought can be explained by stomatal responses to leaf turgor. *Plant Cell Environ.* 39, 2014–2026. doi: 10.1111/pce.12774

Ruiz-Vera, U. M., Siebers, M. H., Drag, D. W., Ort, D. R., and Bernacchi, C. J. (2015). Canopy warming caused photosynthetic acclimation and reduced seed yield in maize grown at ambient and elevated [CO₂]. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21, 4237–4249. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13013

Sage, R. F. (2002). Variation in the k_{cat} of Rubisco in C₃ and C₄ plants and some implications for photosynthetic performance at high and low temperature. *J. Exp. Bot.* 53, 609–620. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/53.369.609

Sage, T. L., and Williams, E. G. (1995). Structure, ultrastructure, and histochemistry of the pollen tube pathway in the milkweed *Asclepias exaltata* L. *Sex. Plant Reprod.* 8, 257–265. doi: 10.1007/BF00229381

Smith, R. A., Lewis, J. D., Ghannoum, O., and Tissue, D. T. (2012). Leaf structural responses to pre-industrial, current and elevated atmospheric [CO₂] and temperature affect leaf function in *Eucalyptus sideroxylon. Funct. Plant Biol.* 39, 285–296. doi: 10.1071/fp11238

Song, Y., Yu, J., and Huang, B. (2014). Elevated CO₂-mitigation of high temperature stress associated with maintenance of positive carbon balance and carbohydrate accumulation in Kentucky bluegrass. *PLoS One* 9:e89725. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089725

Sugiura, D., Watanabe, C. K. A., Betsuyaku, E., and Terashima, I. (2017). Sinksource balance and Down-regulation of photosynthesis in *Raphanus sativus*: effects of grafting, N and CO₂. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 58, 2043–2056. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcx132

Suseela, V., Tharayil, N., Xing, B., and Dukes, J. S. (2014). Warming alters potential enzyme activity but precipitation regulates chemical transformations in grass litter exposed to simulated climatic changes. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 75, 102–112. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.022

Way, D. A., and Sage, R. F. (2008). Elevated growth temperatures reduce the carbon gain of black spruce [*Picea mariana* (mill.) B.S.P.]. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 14, 624–636. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01513.x

Xu, M. (2015). The optimal atmospheric CO₂ concentration for the growth of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *J. Plant Physiol.* 184, 89–97. doi: 10.1016/j. jplph.2015.07.003

Xu, C. Y., Salih, A., Ghannoum, O., and Tissue, D. T. (2012). Leaf structural characteristics are less important than leaf chemical properties in determining the response of leaf mass per area and photosynthesis of *Eucalyptus saligna* to industrial-age changes in $[CO_2]$ and temperature. *J. Exp. Bot.* 63, 5829–5841. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers231

Xu, Z. Z., Zhou, G. S., and Shimizu, H. (2009). Effects of soil drought with nocturnal warming on leaf stomatal traits and mesophyll cell ultrastructure of a perennial grass. *Crop. Sci.* 49, 1843–1851. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2008.12.0725

Yamori, W., Masumoto, C., Fukayama, H., and Makino, A. (2012). Rubisco activase is a key regulator of non-steady-state photosynthesis at any leaf temperature and, to a lesser extent, of steady-state photosynthesis at high temperature. *Plant J.* 71, 871–880. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.05041.x

Yang, C., and Liang, Z. S. (2008). Foliar anatomical structures and ecological adaptabilities of dominant Artemisia species of early sere of succession on arable old land after being abandoned in loess hilly region. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 28, 4732–4738. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:1000-0933.2008.10.014

Yu, J., Chen, L., Xu, M., and Huang, B. (2012). Effects of elevated CO_2 on physiological responses of tall fescue to elevated temperature, drought stress, and the combined stress. *Crop. Sci.* 52, 1848–1858. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2012.01.0030

Yu, J., Li, R., Fan, N., Yang, Z., and Huang, B. (2017). Metabolic pathways involved in carbon dioxide enhanced heat tolerance in bermudagrass. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1506. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01506

Zhang, S., Fu, W., Zhang, Z., Fan, Y., and Liu, T. (2017). Effects of elevated CO_2 concentration and temperature on some physiological characteristics of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) leaves. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 133, 108–117. doi: 10.1016/j. envexpbot.2016.10.001

Zhang, X., Xu, D., Guan, Z., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., et al. (2020). Elevated CO_2 concentrations promote growth and photosynthesis of the brown alga Saccharina japonica. J. Appl. Phycol. 32, 1949–1959. doi: 10.1007/s10811-020-02108-1

Zheng, Y., Guo, L., Hou, R., Zhou, H., Hao, L., Li, F., et al. (2018). Experimental warming enhances the carbon gain but does not affect the yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in the North China plain. *Flora* 240, 152–163. doi: 10.1016/j.flora.2018.02.001

Zheng, Y., Li, F., Hao, L. H., Yu, J. J., Guo, L. L., Zhou, H. R., et al. (2019). Elevated CO₂ concentration induces photosynthetic down-regulation with changes in leaf structure, non-structural carbohydrates and nitrogen content of soybean. *BMC Plant Biol.* 19:255. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1788-9

Zheng, Y., Xu, M., Shen, R., and Qiu, S. (2013). Effects of artificial warming on the structural, physiological, and biochemical changes of maize (*Zea mays L.*) leaves in northern China. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 35, 2891–2904. doi: 10.1007/s11738-013-1320-z

Zinyengere, N., Crespo, O., and Hachigonta, S. (2013). Crop response to climate change in southern Africa: A comprehensive review. *Global Planet. Change* 111, 118–126. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.08.010