

Endophytic Fungus *Phomopsis liquidambaris* Enhances Fe Absorption in Peanuts by Reducing Hydrogen Peroxide

Ying-Chun Du, Ling-Jie Kong, Ling-Sen Cao, Wei Zhang, Qiang Zhu, Chen-Yu Ma, Kai Sun and Chuan-Chao Dai*

Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Microbes and Functional Genomics, Jiangsu Engineering and Technology and Research Center for Industrialization of Microbial Resources, College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

Iron (Fe) deficiency in alkaline calcium soil is a problem that needs to be solved urgently as Fe is an essential and commonly limiting nutrient for plants. Endophytic fungus, Phomopsis liquidambaris (P. liquidambaris), has been reported to promote Fe absorption in peanuts (Arachis hypogaea L.), however, the mechanisms remain unclear. Under prolonged Fe deficiency, an increase in hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) often triggers a series of signaling events and leads to the inhibition of Fe acquisition. The main purpose of this study was to explore whether and how the endophytic fungus P. liquidambaris promote Fe absorption in peanut through regulating H₂O₂ and assisting in resisting oxidative stress. In this study, we detected the Fe deficiency-induced transcription factor (FIT), Fe²⁺ transporter (IRT1), and ferric reduction oxidase 2 (FRO2) of peanuts, and confirmed that they were negatively related to Fe concentration. Similarly, FIT, IRT1, and FRO2 were also inhibited by H_2O_2 . The addition of P. liquidambaris reduces H_2O_2 under Fe-deficiency with an increase in Fe content, while the exogenous addition of H_2O_2 further decreases it, and the addition of catalase (CAT) under Fe-deficiency reverses this phenomenon. Through transcriptome analysis, we proved that the expression of FIT, IRT1, FRO2 and CAT are consistent with our hypothesis, and P. liquidambaris has a stress-mitigating effect on peanuts mainly via CAT, glutathione peroxidase, and malondialdehyde. Our study proved the Fe-absorption promoting effect and stress mitigation effect of P. liquidambaris under Fe-deficiency in peanuts, and their combined usage may help peanuts grow better

Keywords: endophytic fungus, iron deficiency, hydrogen peroxide, peanut, stress mitigation, transcriptome

INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe) has redox properties, it is involved in key processes such as photosynthesis, chlorophyll biosynthesis, and electron transport, and is an essential nutrient for plants (Balk and Schaedler, 2014). Although Fe is the fourth most abundant element in the Earth's crust, it is not readily available to plants as it usually existed in the form of scarcely soluble Fe³⁺ oxyhydroxides, especially in alkaline calcareous soils (Abadia et al., 2011; Arikan et al., 2018). Insufficient Fe uptake always leads to Fe-deficiency symptoms such as interveinal chlorosis in leaves and a reduction in crop yield

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Sabine Dagmar Zimmermann, Délégation Languedoc Roussillon (CNRS), France

Reviewed by:

Carmela Anna Migliori, Council for Agricultural and Economics Research (CREA), Italy Christian Dubos, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), France

> *Correspondence: Chuan-Chao Dai daichuanchao@njnu.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Symbiotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 09 February 2022 Accepted: 31 March 2022 Published: 29 April 2022

Citation:

Du Y-C, Kong L-J, Cao L-S, Zhang W, Zhu Q, Ma C-Y, Sun K and Dai C-C (2022) Endophytic Fungus Phomopsis liquidambaris Enhances Fe Absorption in Peanuts by Reducing Hydrogen Peroxide. Front. Plant Sci. 13:872242. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.872242 (Briat et al., 2015). Peanut is widely cultured in China and is a potential source of Fe (Akram et al., 2018). At the same time, the nitrogenase in nodulation and nitrogen fixation also requires Fe (Briat et al., 2015). However, most peanuts in the world are planted in alkaline calcium areas, resulting in Fe deficiency, chlorosis, photosynthesis obstruction, nutrient accumulation reduction, and serious impact on crop yield (Lingenfelser et al., 2005). Moreover, Fe deficiency in plants has also been proven to cause a strong stress response in plants following excessive H_2O_2 and $\cdot O_2^-$ production, leading to damage to the plant immune system and even cell death (Czarnocka and Karpinski, 2018). Therefore, it is very urgent to find a sustainable and environmentally friendly method to promote Fe absorption in plants.

Due to the serious impact of Fe deficiency on plant growth, plants have evolved two strategies to take up Fe from the soil. Grasses, such as corn, wheat, and rice, use the chelation-based Strategy II. In response to Fe-deficiency, grasses release small molecular compounds known as the mugineic acid (MA) family of phytosiderophores (PS) (Romheld and Marschner, 1986). Dicots and non-graminaceous monocots (non-grass species) employ the reduction strategy, named Strategy I (Kim and Guerinot, 2007). The first step is the acidification of the plant rhizosphere via the activity of specific H⁺-ATPases (Santi and Schmidt, 2009). Then, Fe(III) chelate reductases reduce Fe(III) into Fe(II) that is up taken into the root via transporters of the Zip family (Connolly et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2017), In Arabidopsis thaliana, these three steps are, respectively, mediated by AHA2, FRO2, and IRT1. In terms of transcription, Fe acquisition is controlled by a series of regulatory events, of which FIT plays a predominant role in sustaining and restricting the amount of Fe in plant roots of eudicots (Yuan et al., 2005). Gene co-expression analysis has defined different Fe-related regulatory modules. The first module relies on the activity of (FER-like iron deficiency induced transcription factor (FIT/ bHLH29), a clade IIIa bHLH TF (Colangelo and Guerinot, 2004). FIT/bHLH29 is a direct regulator of IRT1 and FRO2 expression, highlighting its central role in the regulation of the Fe uptake machinery. The second module acts upstream from FIT/bHLH29. It involves the four members of the IVc bHLH clade, namely ILR3/bHLH105 (IAA-LEUCINE RESISTANT 3), IDT1/bHLH34 (IRON DEFICIENCY TOLERANT 1), bHLH104, and bHLH115. These four TFs play additive roles in response to Fe deficiency and their activity is thought to rely at least in part on their ability to form homoor heterodimers (Liang et al., 2017; Gao and Dubos, 2021), these four TF also interact with the bHLH121 master regulator (Gao et al., 2020; Gao and Dubos, 2021). Functional homologs of most of the above-described Arabidopsis bHLH TFs have been characterized in several dicots, indicating that this regulatory mechanism is most likely conserved among Strategy I plants (Gao and Dubos, 2021).

Endophytic fungi can promote plant growth and yield and can act as biocontrol agents by promoting plant growth by producing a range of natural products that can be harnessed for potential use in medicine, agriculture, or industry (Rodriguez et al., 2009). A beneficial endophyte *P. liquidambaris* was isolated from our laboratory in the early stage and has been reported to be symbiotic with peanut (Zhang et al., 2016), rice (Sun et al., 2019), and Arabidopsis (Zhang et al., 2019). In addition, it can promote Fe absorption in peanut (Su et al., 2019). One possible reason is that endophytes regulate the hormone signaling pathway, which in turn changes the plant element absorption ability. However, the detailed mechanism needs to be elucidated. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to clarify the possible mechanism by which *P. liquidambaris* alleviates the Fe deficiency in peanuts.

In our study, an H_2O_2 decrease after *P. liquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency in peanuts was reported. It has been reported that H_2O_2 plays a negative regulatory effect on Fe absorption in other plants (Ranieri et al., 2003; von der Mark et al., 2021). However, H_2O_2 is not only a stress molecule but also an important signaling pathway in plants. A high H_2O_2 always means high oxidative stress damage. The role of H_2O_2 in the Fe absorption of peanut promoted by *P. liquidambaris* is currently unknown. We designed this study to clarify the role of H_2O_2 play in *P. liquidambaris* promoting Fe absorption in peanuts. We hypothesize that H_2O_2 participates in *P. liquidambaris*-regulated Fe absorption by regulating the expression of proteins involved in Fe absorption and detecting them.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Cultivation

Peanut (line Gan hua-5) seeds were obtained from the Jiangxi Ecological Experimental Station of Red Soil, Chinese Academy of Science, surface sterilized (5 min in 70% EtOH; rinse sterile water), germinated in the dark at 28°C with autoclaved damp vermiculite until the radicle reached 2–3 cm and then transferred to 1/2 Hogland nutrient solution [6 mM/L KNO₃, 5 mM/L CaCl₂, 1 mM/L NaH₂PO₄, 2 mM/L MgSO₄, 0.025 mM/L H₃BO₃, 2 μ M/L MnCl₂, 1 μ M/L ZnSO₄, 0.1 μ M/L (NH₄)₆Na₇MoO₂₄, 0.25 μ M/L CuSO₄ and 100 μ M/L Fe-EDDHA, pH 6.0] until different treatments, and kept in a growth chamber at a constant temperature of 28°C on a day/night cycle of 16/8 h.

Endophytic Colonization and Assay

The fungal strain *P. liquidambaris* was originally isolated from the inner bark of *Bischofia polycarp* and inoculated on potato dextrose agar, labeled with a green fluorescent protein (GFP) through a vector plasmid pCT74 by Chen et al. (2011). The fungal inoculum was centrifuged before use, and then the mycelium was washed and dissolved with sterilized doubledistilled water for inoculation and irrigated to the roots. Total genomic DNA from plant roots was extracted 7 days after inoculation to detect colonization by qPCR, and GFP primers were used (**Supplementary Table 1**). The fungi treatment was expressed as E in the Figure. For the H₂O₂ treatment, different concentrations (20, 40, 80, 100, and 200 μ mol/L) were used, for the catalase, CAT-1 (5 mKat/L), and CAT-2 (10 mKat/L) were chosen according to the previous study (Xie et al., 2017).

Determination of Morphological and Photosynthesis Features

Once harvested, root and shoot weights were measured using a digital scale. The chlorophyll content of young developed leaves

was measured by acetone extraction. Accurately, weighed plant samples (0.1 g) were homogenized in the presence of 1 ml 80% acetone and leached for 2 h. Each treatment was performed in six parallel samples. Subsequently, the chlorophyll content of the samples was determined using a spectrophotometer at 663 and 645 nm. The calculation of chlorophyll pigment concentrations was performed according to the following equation (Arnon, 1949):

$$Chl Total = \frac{[20.2 (A645) + 8.2 (A663)] \times V}{(1000 \times W)}$$

Fe Concentration Detection With Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy and Perl Staining

Peanut root and shoot samples were dried in an oven at 80° C until a constant weight was achieved. Then, 0.1 g of dried sample was placed in a digestion tube filled with 5 ml of nitric acid and digested using a microwave digestion apparatus. The digested sample was diluted to 50 ml with distilled water, and the Fe content was then determined using an atomic spectrophotometery (Prodigy, Leeman, USA). To observe the localization of Fe in vetiver plants, fresh root tissues were processed and stained with Perls Prussian blue (Stacey et al., 2008). Fresh roots were infused with 4% (v/v) HCl and 4% (w/v) potassium ferrocyanide (Perls Prussian blue stain). Excess stain was washed with distilled water, and the slides were photographed using a digital camera.

Analysis of Cell Death, H_2O_2 , and $\cdot O_2^-$ in Root and Shoot

Cell death was tested with minor modifications with the Evans blue method (Kabir et al., 2020). Briefly, at room temperature, shoots and roots were initially incubated in 0.25% Evans blue emulsion for ~15 min. The suspension was subsequently treated with 1 ml of 80% ethyl alcohol for 10 min. The plant tissues were next incubated at 50°C for 15 min in a water bath and then rotated at 12,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant's optical absorption was finally measured at 600 nm. H_2O_2 was determined using a kit purchased from Jiancheng (Nanjing, China).

For the measurement of the $\cdot O_2^-$ generation rate, 0.3 g of fresh samples were ground in liquid N₂ and extracted in 3 ml of ice-cold 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (PBS) (pH 7.0). About 1 ml of fresh leaf extract supernatant was added to 0.9 ml 65 mM phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.8) and 0.1 ml 10 mM hydroxylammonium chloride. PBS (pH 7.0) instead of 1 ml of fresh leaf extract supernatant was used as a blank. The reaction was incubated at 25°C for 35 min. The solution from the above reaction mixture (0.5 g) was then added to 0.5 ml of 17 mM sulfanic acid and 0.5 ml of $7.8 \text{ mM} \alpha$ -naphthylamine solution. After 20 min of reaction, 2 ml of ether was added to the above solution and then mixed well. The solution was centrifuged at 1,500 g at 4°C for 5 min. The absorbance of the pink supernatant was measured at 530 nm with a spectrophotometer. Absorbance values were calibrated to a standard curve generated with known concentrations of HNO₂ (Wang Q. H. et al., 2013).

RNA Extraction, CDNA Synthesis, and QPCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the root samples using a total RNA isolation reagent (Sangon, Shanghai) following the manufacturer's instructions, and the final RNA yield was quantified using a Nanodrop Spectrophotometer. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed from RNA with a cDNA synthesis kit (Vazyme, China). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed in a real-time PCR system to detect the expression of Ah*IRT*1, Ah*FIT*, and Ah*FRO2* using specific primers (**Supplementary Table 1**). The levels of relative gene expression were analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method, and the housekeeping gene Ah*Actin* was used as an internal control. Six independent replicates were considered for each sample.

Analysis of Stress Indictors

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was assayed by measuring its capacity to inhibit the photochemical reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium following the method of Stewart and Bewley (1980). Peroxidase (POD) activity was measured by the increase in absorbance at 470 nm due to guaiacol oxidation (Nickel, 1969). Catalase (CAT) activity was measured as the decline in absorbance at 240 nm due to the decrease in the extinction of H_2O_2 according to the method of Patra et al. (1978). The reduced glutathione (GSH) content assays according to the previous study (Li et al., 2013). VC was determined by titration with 2,6dichlorophenolindophenol (Bessey, 1933). For the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) detection, fresh tissues were ground into powder in liquid nitrogen and dissolved in an extraction solution (50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM ASC, 1 mM EDTA, 0.6 % PVP, 1 mM PMSF), and then the homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm at 4°C for 20 min. A 20 μ l of an aliquot of the supernatant was mixed by adding 0.5 ml of 10 µM NADPH and 50 mM XTT. The level of lipid peroxidation in fresh leaves was measured in terms of MDA con centration by the thiobarbituric acid reaction method (Heath and Packer, 1968). Fresh leaves and roots were homogenized in 0.05 mol/L phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) with a mortar and pestle under chilled conditions with liquid nitrogen. The homogenate was filtered through a four-layer muslin cloth filter and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. To estimate .OH production, the reaction was performed according to the method reported by Gómez-Toribio (Cheeseman et al., 1988). The reaction mixture contained 500 µM quinone, 100 µM Fe³⁺- 110 µM EDTA, 0-25 µM IlLPMO9A, 100-2500 U/L GDH, 5 mM glucose, and 2.8 mM 2-deoxyribose in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 5.0). The production of .OH was estimated as the conversion of 2deoxyribose into thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reactive substances (TBARS). The absorbance of TBARS was determined at 532 nm.

RNA Sequencing Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from peanut roots 7 days after *P. liquidambaris* cocultivation. Briefly, RNA was quantified and qualified using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and 1% agarose gel. A total of 1 μ g of RNA with an RNA integrity number



value >7 was used for subsequent library preparation. Nextgeneration sequencing library preparations were constructed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Transcripts in FASTA format were converted from a known GFF annotation file and indexed properly. The DESeq Bioconductor package was used for differential expression analysis. The *p*-value was set below 0.05 to detect differentially expressed genes. Gene Ontology (GO) TermFinder was used to identify GO terms, and a list of significantly enriched genes (p < 0.05) was annotated. All Illumina sequence data have been deposited in Sequence Read Archive with the project ID PRJNA779473 (https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/).

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed in at least six replicates except RNA-seq (3 replicates). The data were analyzed using R-Studio, the *t*-test was used to analyze differences between two groups, and Duncan was used when more groups existed. Statistical significance was determined using one-way ANOVA. Different letters represent differences between different groups, and significance is defined as p < 0.05. Graphs and images were assembled using Adobe Photoshop 7.0.

RESULTS

Effect of *P. liquidambari* on Peanut Grown in Calcareous Calcium Soil

Plants showed leaf chlorosis in alkaline soil (Figures 1A,B), which seriously affects the chlorophyll synthesis and plant fresh

weight (Figures 1D-F). Through the pot experiments (pH 8.0, DTPA-Fe, 3 mg/kg), we learned that in alkaline soil, the addition of P. liquidambari significantly promoted a 14% increase in chlorophyll (Figure 1D), a 27.8% increase in fresh weight of the aboveground part (Figure 1E), 50.68% and 81.01% increase in Fe concentration of the root and shoot (Figure 1G and Supplementary Figure 1A), indicating a significant promotion in Fe absorption following P. liquidambaris inoculation. At the same time, we also observed changes in plant appearance. P. liquidambaris makes the plant greener and grows better in the seedling stage (Figures 1A-C). The Fe concentration, fresh weight, and dry weight of the roots were also improved significantly, and the results were shown in the figure (Supplementary Figures 1A-C). We also compared peanuts grown in alkaline soil (pH 8.0) and acidic soil (pH 6.0) in the early stage. It can be seen from Supplementary Figure 1D that the color of peanut leaves in alkaline soil showed chlorotic, and the chlorophyll is also significantly lower than in acidic soil (pH6.0) (Supplementary Figure 1E). This suggested that peanuts grown in alkaline soils also exhibit chlorophyll synthesis disorders.

Effects of *P. liquidambaris* on Cell Death, H_2O_2 , and $\cdot O^{2-}$

To examine whether *P. liquidambaris* attenuated Fe deficiencyinduced damage in peanuts, we analyzed cell death, H_2O_2 , and $\cdot O_2^-$. According to the results, Fe deficiency significantly increased H_2O_2 (33.7%, 31.8%, **Figures 2A,B**), $\cdot O_2^-$ (128%, 30.95% **Figures 2C,D**), and cell death (129%, 116%,



(B): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut H_2O_2 content in root. (C): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut O^{2-} content in leaf. (D): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut O^{2-} content in root. (E): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut cell death in leaf. (F): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut cell death in root. (G): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut Fe concentration. (H): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut cell death in root. (G): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut total fresh weight. (J): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut total fresh weight. (K): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut total fresh weight. (K): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut shoot fresh weight. (J): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut total fresh weight. (K): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut phenotype. (L): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut total fresh weight. (K): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut total fresh weight. (K): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut shoot fresh weight. (K): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut test of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut test. (F): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* and Fe-deficiency on peanut Fe staining in root. Data and errors are mean \pm SD, n = 6, and different letters indicate significant differences among treatments. (F): Effect of P. liquidambaris inoculation. (F): Effect of P. liquidamba

Figures 2E,F) in leaves and roots, indicating serious cell damage. However, the addition of *P. liquidambaris* to Fedeficient plants showed a significant decrease in these indicators, which were similar to those cultivated under Fe-sufficient conditions. At the same time, the Fe concentration and chlorophyll content are also consistent with the results of Fe staining. The Fe concentration, chlorophyll, and fresh weight of the E-Fe group are significantly higher than that of the -Fe group (**Figures 2G–J**). The growth of the plant in the seedling stage shows a better growth state, and the leaves show a deeper green (**Figures 2K,L**). In addition, compared with the CK, Fe staining in roots showed a significantly weak blue color due to Fe deficiency, *P. liquidambaris* supplementation significantly strengthened the blue color of E-Fe plants (**Figure 2M**) in the root. In Prussian blue dyeing, dark blue represents a higher Fe concentration (Banerjee et al., 2019). The plants showed similar staining levels in roots in the CK and CK+E plants. Compared with the CK group, the Fe concentration has no obvious difference in CK+E, and there is a small change in chlorophyll and fresh weight.

Effect of Fe Concentration on Fe Absorption Gene Expression

To test the correlation between *FIT*, *IRT*1, *FRO2*, and Fe concentration, we designed experiments with different Fe concentrations and quantified the expression of *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2* using RT–qPCR after Fe-deficiency. First, the H_2O_2 content in Fe-deficient plants was significantly higher than that in the Fe-sufficient treatment (100 μ mol/L, **Figure 3A**). Then, we found that *FIT* was negatively correlated with Fe concentration.



When the Fe concentration was 2 μ mol/L, the expression of *FIT* was 89.5 times higher than that at 100 μ mol/L (**Figure 3B**). *IRT*1 and *FRO2* were also strongly induced 202 times and 172 times higher than normal Fe supply (100 μ mol/L), respectively (**Figures 3C,D**). Meanwhile, *IRT*1 and *FRO2* showed similar expression patterns with *FIT*. The fresh weight and chlorophyll of the shoot were also an indicator of Fe concentration. Therefore, chlorophyll and shoot fresh weight are negatively correlated with Fe concentration (**Figures 3E,F**). Fresh weight of roots, total fresh weight, and phenotypic changes of plants are shown in **Supplementary Figure 2** and they were negatively correlated with Fe concentration, too.

Effect of H₂O₂ on Fe Absorption Gene Expression Under Sufficient Fe

To examine the relationship between H_2O_2 and Fe absorption, peanuts were treated with different concentrations of H_2O_2 (20, 40, 80, 100, and 200 μ mol/L) under sufficient Fe supply, and then RT–qPCR was performed to detect the expression levels of *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2*. The Fe concentration, H_2O_2 content, chlorophyll, and fresh weight of peanut roots were also recorded. The H_2O_2 content was shown in **Figure 4A**. **Figures 4B–D** shows

that a low concentration of H₂O₂ had no inhibiting effect on FIT, IRT1, FRO2, rather, it had a stimulating effect. However, high H₂O₂ (80-200 µmol/L) inhibited the expression of FIT, IRT1, and FRO2, which is consistent with previous experimental results, and the expression of IRT1 and FRO2 was repressed, too (Figures 4A-C). Due to the addition of H_2O_2 at a low concentration of (20 µmol/L), the H2O2 content detected in roots is low (29.19 µmol/g FW), while that under Fe-deficiency is 40.53 µmol/g FW (Figure 4A). Therefore, it may be that the addition of H2O2 at a low concentration does not increase the H_2O_2 content in roots to a high level, so a stimulating effect was observed. However, a high concentration of H₂O₂ (80, 100, 200 μ mol/L) significantly increased H₂O₂ content to 39.19-52.99 µmol/g FW in roots and inhibited the expression of FIT, IRT1, and FRO2 significantly. This also implies that the H₂O₂ content in the plant reaches a certain value to inhibit Fe absorption. In addition, the Fe concentration and chlorophyll content were decreased dependent on H_2O_2 content (Figures 4E,F). When H₂O₂ addition is low, it has no obvious effect on Fe concentration and chlorophyll. Increasing H2O2 (100 and 200 µmol/L) addition significantly reduced Fe concentration and chlorophyll. This shows that a low external concentration of H2O2 will not



inhibit the absorption of Fe, while a high concentration has an obvious inhibitory effect. The shoot fresh weight and total fresh weight were all significantly reduced (100 and 200 μ mol/L, **Supplementary Figures 3B,C**).

Effect of H₂O₂ on Fe Absorption and Growth With *P. liquidambaris* Under Fe-Deficient

Since our results showed that the H_2O_2 decreased with the addition of *P. liquidambaris* under Fe deficiency, we explored whether this phenomenon was related to the gene expression of Fe absorption. Considering that *P. liquidambaris* can reduce H_2O_2 , we increase the concentration of added H_2O_2 . After peanuts colonized by *P. liquidambaris* were transferred to Fe-deficiency solution, external H_2O_2 was added. As shown in **Figure 5A**, the addition of external H_2O_2 (80, 100, 200, 400 μ mol/L,) significantly increased H_2O_2 in peanut root. When the H_2O_2 concentration was artificially changed by external addition, the expression of *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2* in peanuts under Fe deficiency also was inhibited, and the inhibition effect was dose-dependent (**Figures 5B-D**). Furthermore, high *FIT*, *IRT*1, *IRT*1,

and *FRO2* expression corresponded to high Fe concentration, and chlorophyll content (**Figures 5E,F**). Although the expression of *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2* was higher when 80 μ mol/L H₂O₂ than without addition. We have not observed a decrease in Fe concentration and chlorophyll. This may be the same reason as above, that is, low exogenous H₂O₂ will stimulate the expression of *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2*. The root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight and total fresh weight were all reduced significantly (200 and 400 μ mol/L, **Supplementary Figures 4B,C**), too.

Effect of CAT on Fe Absorption Under Fe-Deficiency

To further prove that H_2O_2 could affect the expression of the Fe-absorption gene under Fe deficiency, we used CAT to eliminate H_2O_2 from plant roots, then performed qRT-PCR to detect the expression of *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2*. As shown in **Figure 6A**, the addition of CAT-2 (10 mKat/L) significantly reduced the H_2O_2 content in plant roots. In addition, Fe concentration and chlorophyll under Fe-deficient were increased, along with the high expression of *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2* (**Figures 6B–D**). At the same time, with the addition of 5 mKat/L,



Solution with different H_2O_2 concentration on *IRT* 1 expression after *P. inquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency. (**D**): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different H_2O_2 concentration on *IRT* 1 expression after *P. liquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency. (**D**): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different H_2O_2 concentration on *FRO*2 expression after *P. liquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency. (**E**): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different H_2O_2 concentration on *FRO*2 expression after *P. liquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency. (**E**): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different H_2O_2 concentration on fee concentration after *P. liquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency. (**F**): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different H_2O_2 concentration on total chlorophyll content after *P. liquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency. (**D**): Effects of P_1 and different H_2O_2 concentration on total chlorophyll content after *P. liquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency. (**D**): Effects of P_1 and different letters indicate significant differences among treatments. $\rho < 0.05$. E = *P. liquidambaris* inoculation.

we observed no significant change in H_2O_2 (**Figure 6A**) and no change in *FIT* and *FRO2* expression, and a small change in *IRT1* (**Figures 6B–D**). The Fe concentration and chlorophyll of plants are also consistent with the Fe absorption of plants, 10 mKat/L CAT makes Fe concentration and chlorophyll maximum (**Figures 6E,F**). At the same time, the shoot fresh weight and total fresh weight were also the largest at this concentration (10 mKat/L, **Supplementary Figures 5B,C**).

Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on Stress Indicators Under Fe-Deficiency

We detected the contents of different stress indictors to observe whether the addition of *P. liquidambaris* can help peanuts eliminate oxidative damage. SOD in the root was significantly up-regulated in the E-Fe group compared with E, however, it was not significant compared with -Fe. There was no obvious phenomenon in the leaves (**Figures 7A,B**). No obvious changes were detected in POD (**Figures 7C,D**). The CAT of roots and leaves decreased significantly in the Fe-deficiency group, while E-Fe increased significantly (**Figures 7E,F**), however, in the root, the CAT in E-Fe was higher than CK and E while in leaf it's a little lower. The GSH of the E-Fe group increased significantly in leaves and roots compared to the -Fe group (**Figures 7G,H**), but we did not detect a significant change between the -Fe group and CK. Finally, we observed a significant increase in VC content in the E-Fe group compared to other groups (**Figure 7I**). But VC can only be detected at the leaves. No obvious changes were detected in NADPH (**Figures 7J,K**). Compared with CK, the root MDA in E-Fe group decreased significantly, but compared with the -Fe group, the change was not obvious (**Figures 7L,M**). The MDA of the Fe-deficiency group of the leaf was significantly higher than that of the other groups. No obvious changes were detected in .OH (**Figures 7N,O**).

Transcriptome Data Analysis

After 7 days, post *P. liquidambaris* addition, we used q-PCR to detect the colonization of *P. liquidambaris*. As shown in **Supplementary Figure 6**, *P. liquidambaris* can be detected



Fe-deficiency. (C): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different CAT concentration on *IR*T1 expression under Fe-deficiency. (D): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different CAT concentration on *FR*O2 expression under Fe-deficiency. (E): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different CAT concentration on *FR*O2 expression under Fe-deficiency. (E): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different CAT concentration on *FR*O2 expression under Fe-deficiency. (E): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different CAT concentration on Fe concentration on Fe concentration under Fe-deficiency. (F): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different CAT concentration on total chlorophyll content under Fe-deficiency. Data and errors are mean \pm SD, n = 6, and different letters indicate significant differences among treatments. p < 0.05. Fe = 2 µmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition. CAT-1 and CAT-2 means two CAT concentrations (5 mKat/L).

in peanut root, it indicated that P. liquidambaris successfully symbiosis with peanuts. Then to verify our above experimental results, we performed transcriptomic analysis. Consistent with the above experiment, the time point we selected was 7 days after Fe-deficiency, that is, the 14th day of *P. liquidambaris* colonization. These genes were hierarchically clustered according to similar functions (Figure 8A). According to the Venn diagram (Figure 8B), the addition of P. liquidambaris upregulated 79 genes and downregulated 96 genes compared with the CK group, while Fe-deficiency treatment upregulated 3886 genes and downregulated 2008 genes. In the presence of P. liquidambaris, Fe deficiency upregulated 62 genes and downregulated 424 genes compared with Fe-deficiency. The early colonization of P. liquidambaris reduced the number of different expression genes number in plants caused by Fe deficiency (Figure 8C). For the GO analysis (Supplementary Figures 7A-C), it was found that the phenylpropanolamine metabolic process was the most significantly enriched in the Fe-deficiency group relative to the CK group, followed by glutathione metabolism and metal iron ion metabolism, and secondary metabolism and phenylpropanolamine synthesis were also significantly enriched. When P. liquidambaris-colonized peanut was exposed to Fe deficiency, significant enrichment was observed in the regulation process of transcription factors, DNA binding transcription factor activity and specific DNA sequence binding activity. In the P. liquidambaris group compared with CK treatment, the cell junctions were significantly enriched (Supplementary Figure 7C). The KEGG results showed that compared with the CK group (Supplementary Figure 7D), the main enrichment pathways in the Fe-deficiency group were flavonoid synthesis, genes regulating plant circadian rhythm, and plant-pathogen interactions. At the same time, the protein process in the endoplasmic reticulum and the MAPK signaling pathway in plants were also significantly activated. In the P. liquidambaris treatment group compared with CK peanut (Supplementary Figure 7E), the most significantly enriched signaling pathway was the plant rhythm regulation signaling pathway, followed by the plant-pathogen interaction and RNA degradation pathways, and obvious changes were also observed in ribosome biosynthesis and ion transport. We also found significant changes in the process of photosynthesis. Under Fe deficiency stress after the addition of P. liquidambaris with



FIGURE 7 [Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut under different Fe supply. (**A**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut SOD content in leaf under different Fe supply. (**C**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut POD content in leaf under different Fe supply. (**C**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut CAT content in leaf under different Fe supply. (**C**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut CAT content in leaf under different Fe supply. (**C**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut CAT content in leaf under different Fe supply. (**C**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut CAT content in leaf under different Fe supply. (**C**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut GSH content in leaf under different Fe supply. (**C**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut GSH content in root under different Fe supply. (**G**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut VC in root under different Fe supply. (**J**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut NADPH in root under different Fe supply. (**K**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut NADPH in leaf under different Fe supply. (**K**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut NADPH in leaf under different Fe supply. (**K**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut NADPH in leaf under different Fe supply. (**K**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut NADPH in leaf under different Fe supply. (**K**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut NADPH in leaf under different Fe supply. (**N**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut MDA in leaf under different Fe supply. (**N**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut .OH in root under different Fe supply. (**O**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut .OH in root under different Fe supply. (**O**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut .OH in root under different Fe supply. (**O**): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut .OH in root under differences among treatments. *p* < 0.05. E = *P. liquidambaris* inoculation. -Fe = 2 µmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition.



Fe-deficiency (**Supplementary Figure 7F**), significant changes were observed in phenolic acid synthesis, plant circadian rhythm regulation, plant–pathogen interaction, and phenolic acid biosynthesis. The transport of metal ions, protein synthesis of the endoplasmic reticulum, and MAPK signaling were also activated.

To verify the DEGs identified in RNA-Seq, 8 DEGs were selected for qRT-PCR. qRT-PCR results were compared with the FOLD change of FPKM in RNA-sequencing expression analysis (**Supplementary Figure 8**). As shown in **Supplementary Figure 8**, 6 genes showed similar expression patterns in qRT-PCR analysis to RNA-Seq analysis. These results confirm the reliability and accuracy of our transcriptome data.

DISCUSSION

As Fe plays a pivotal role in photosynthesis, Fe deficiency always affects crop yield and quality. Approximately one-third of cultivated land worldwide belongs to calcareous soils where Fe availability is low. Thus, it is very important to explore and develop an amicable Fe absorption strategy. Endophytic fungi, as beneficial microorganisms of plants, have attracted increasing attention to promoting host nutrition absorption (Chen et al., 2021; Verma et al., 2021). Studies have also reported that endophytic help plants alleviate external abiotic stress (Yan et al., 2019), but the mechanisms remain unclear. In this study, we reported that *P. liquidambaris* assist peanuts in alleviating Fe deficiency stress and explored the possible reasons. Finally, we found that the increase in H_2O_2 under Fe deficiency inhibited the expression of *FIT*, which in turn repressed the expression of *IRT*¹ and *FRO2* downstream and decreased Fe absorption. The colonization of *P. liquidambaris* reduced the H_2O_2 level in peanuts, thus relieving the inhibition of *FIT* by H_2O_2 . Then the recovery of *IRT*¹ and *FRO2* restored the Fe absorption of peanut. The increase in Fe concentration helped peanuts survive Fe deficiency stress and reduced the oxidative stress damage with increases in the CAT, GSH, and MDA.

P. liquidambaris Maintained the Low H₂O₂ Level of Peanut Under Fe Deficiency

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) signaling is the key determinant of the plant's environmental response (He et al., 2018). H₂O₂ has been shown to negatively regulate Fe absorption in many plants. It can increase in amount under stress and damage proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids, eventually triggering cell death (Ranieri et al., 2003; von der Mark et al., 2021). H₂O₂ is not only an oxidative damage substance in vivo, but also an important hormone signal (Van Leene et al., 2016). Our results show that the addition of *P. liquidambaris* significantly reduces the H₂O₂ of plants under Fe-deficiency, suggesting that Fe-deficiency stress is alleviated. A low H2O2 content can maintain the normal growth state of plants. Some studies have confirmed that the reduction of H₂O₂ content depends on the activation of cat2 as demonstrated in an Arabidopsis cat2 mutant (von der Mark et al., 2021), indicating that cat2 can help metabolize excess H₂O₂ in plants. The CAT enzyme activity was higher than that of Fedeficiency (Figure 7) after P. liquidambaris addition. In addition, IRT1 functions as a transporter that helps CAT2 transport Fe,

as the activity of *CAT2* requires the participation of Fe. Fedeficiency will prompt endogenous H_2O_2 to produce .OH in the Fenton reaction. This is also the reason why Fe-deficiency causes oxidative damage.

H₂O₂ Negative Regulates Fe Absorption in Peanut

A negative correlation between ROS and Fe acquisition, particularly H₂O₂, has been reported (Ranieri et al., 2003), and their relationship has previously been shown to affect FIT activity through interaction with the zinc finger, an oxidative stress response transcription factor of Arabidopsis thaliana AtZAT12 (Brumbarova et al., 2016), thereby negatively regulating Fe absorption. Many studies have reported the relationship between FIT and IRT1 and FRO2 (Seguela et al., 2008; Yuan et al., 2008; Maurer et al., 2011; Sivitz et al., 2011; Nishida et al., 2012; Wang N. et al., 2013; Matsuoka et al., 2014). It is agreed that FIT is upstream of IRT1 and FRO2 in the regulation of Fe absorption. Even under Fe-deficiency induced by transitional Ni, the overexpression of FIT, IRT1, and FRO1 has been detected (Nishida et al., 2012). Our results also show that IRT1 and FRO2 are consistent with FIT under Fe-deficiency, which also supports our speculation. As the downstream result of H₂O₂ regulation, FIT further regulates the Fe absorption in plants. Although their expression fold changes are not the same. This may be because the low FIT can induce high expression of IRT1 and FRO2 or because posttranscriptional modification occurs (Schwarz et al., 2020). It has been reported that phosphorylation of FIT regulates the expression of IRT1 and FRO2, thereby regulating Fe absorption. Some studies suggest that FIT may exist in two forms, an active form and an inactive form (Wang et al., 2007). A number of studies have demonstrated that in most plants, FIT acts as the upstream of IRT1 and FRO2 to regulate Fe uptake in plants (Ling et al., 2002; Ogo et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2019). Although we did not observe the direct effect of FIT and IRT1 or FRO2 in peanuts, our qPCR results demonstrated the consistency of their expression, which is consistent with studies in other dicotyledonous plants (Seguela et al., 2008; Yuan et al., 2008; Maurer et al., 2011; Sivitz et al., 2011; Nishida et al., 2012; Wang N. et al., 2013; Matsuoka et al., 2014), we believe that the same regulation pattern also exists in peanut, that is, FIT as a transcription factor to regulate the expression of IRT1 and FRO2 and participates in Fe absorption in peanut. At the same time, our experimental results also showed the strong inhibitory effect of H₂O₂ on *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2*.

P. liquidambaris Protected Peanuts From Oxidative Damage and Promote Peanut Growth

Plants exposed to various biotic and abiotic stresses are compelled to generate higher levels of ROS, such as .OH, $\cdot O_2^-$ leading to an alteration in the cellular redox homeostasis, therefore, acquiring resistance to neutralizing the excessive





Endophytic Fungus Promote Fe Absorption

oxidative damage (Jin et al., 2021). In the present study, we found that Fe deficiency stress-induced the generation of $O_2^$ and H_2O_2 in the leaves of the plants (Figures 2B,C). These results agreed with previous reports by Ranieri et al. (2001) for sunflower and Sun (Sun et al., 2007) for maize. The onset of oxidative damage in plants is more prominent due to Fe deficiency as Fe is the central constituent or factor of major antioxidant enzymes (Kabir et al., 2015). Plants generate ROS when facing the outside stress itself and antioxidant enzymes to resist the damage of the outside world, plant stress relief is usually dependent on the antioxidant enzymes in vivo, when Fe deficiency plants showed severe oxidative stress reaction, including H₂O₂, ·O²⁻, cell death increased significantly, it is usually harmful to the growth of plants. Our results show that the addition of P. liquidambaris can help plants relieve this oxidative stress and tend to a normal growth state, which may be attributed to the increase of antioxidant enzymes in plants. Under the condition of Fe deficiency, the levels of ROS scavenge enzymes (CAT, SOD, POX) of onion seedlings were reported to decrease. CAT could decompose H₂O₂ into H₂O and O₂ in vivo. CAT is an enzyme containing heme, so their activity is correlated with Fe concentration (Sevilla et al., 1984). The elevated Fe concentration enhanced the enzymatic activity of CAT, helping the plants to scavenge more ROS. Although our experimental results did not observe a significant increase in SOD and POD, this may be due to the inappropriate timing of our detection. Transcriptome data also demonstrated that the addition of P. liquidambaris helped the plants to far shrink the gene transcriptional changes in the absence of Fe, helping the plants to approach normal growth.

CONCLUSION

Our study supported a model of beneficial plant-microbial interactions under Fe-deficiency, and the endophytic fungipeanut interaction system demonstrated the potential role of endophytes in improving Fe uptake and thereby alleviating Fe-deficiency stress in plants (**Figure 9**). That is, under Fe-deficiency, the H₂O₂ burst will inhibit the expression of genes related to Fe absorption including *FIT*, *IRT*1, and *FRO2*, thereby repressing Fe absorption. The addition of *P. liquidambaris* helps plants reduce the synthesis of H₂O₂ and eliminate H₂O₂, thereby reducing the H₂O₂ in plant roots and maintaining H₂O₂. The low H₂O₂ relieves the limitation of Fe absorption, increases the Fe content, and relieves the Fe deficiency of plants. This will provide theoretical guidance for the promotion and application of beneficial microorganisms in agriculture.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) BioProject database under accession number PRJNA779473.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Material preparation and data collection were performed by Y-CD, L-JK, C-YM, and L-SC. Data analysis was performed by WZ, QZ, and KS. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Y-CD and C-CD. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

We acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31870478), Program for Jiangsu Excellent Scientific and Technological Innovation team (17CXTD00014), and a project funded by the Priority Academic Program Development (PAPD) of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022. 872242/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 [Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on peanut in alkaline soil. (A): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on root Fe concentration of peanut. (B): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on root fresh weight of peanut. (C): Effect of *P. liquidambaris* on root dry weight of peanut. (D): Effects of Different pH soils on peanut leaf color. (E): Effects of Different pH soils on peanut Chlorophyll. Data and errors are mean \pm SD, n = 6, Black asterisks indicate the significant differences between groups (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; *t*-test). E = *P. liquidambaris* inoculation. CK = Alkaline soil without *P. liquidambaris* inoculation.

Supplementary Figure 2 [Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different Fe concentration on peanut. (A): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different Fe concentration on root fresh weight. (B): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different Fe concentration on total fresh weight. (C): Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different Fe concentration on peanut growth. Data and errors are mean \pm SD, n = 6, and different letters indicate significant differences among treatments. p < 0.05 [Fe-2 10, 30, 50, 100, different number means different FeEDTA (µmol) in Hogland nutrition].

Supplementary Figure 3 | Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different H_2O_2 concentrations on peanut with adequate Fe (A): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H_2O_2 concentrations on root fresh weight. (B): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H_2O_2 concentrations on shoot fresh weight. (C): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H_2O_2 concentrations on total fresh weight. Data and errors are mean \pm SD, n = 6, and different letters indicate significant differences among treatments. $\rho < 0.05$.

Supplementary Figure 4 | Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different H₂O₂ concentration on peanut after *P. liquidambaris* colonization under Fe-deficiency. (A): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H₂O₂ concentration on root fresh weight. (B): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H₂O₂ concentration on shoot fresh weight. (C): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H₂O₂ concentration on total fresh weight. Data and errors are mean \pm SD, *n* = 6, and different letters indicate significant differences among treatments. $\rho < 0.05$. E = *P. liquidambaris* inoculation. -Fe = 2 µmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrient.

Supplementary Figure 5 [Effects of Hoagland nutrient solution with different CAT on peanut under Fe-deficiency. (A): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H₂O₂ concentrations on root fresh weight. (B): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H₂O₂ concentrations on shoot fresh weight. (C): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H₂O₂ concentrations on shoot fresh weight. (C): Effects of Hoagland nutrient with different H₂O₂ concentrations on total fresh weight. Data and errors are mean \pm SD, n = 6, and different letters indicate significant differences among treatments. p < 0.05. -Fe = 2 µmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition.

Supplementary Figure 6 Colonization of *P. liquidambaris* in different treatment groups. The peanuts were transferred to the Hoagland nutrient solution. Seven days later, the colonization was detected by q-PCR. The data shown are the mean \pm SD. E =*P. liquidambaris inoculation.* -Fe = 2 µmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition. CK = 100 µmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition.

Supplementary Figure 7 | GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis based on the differentially expressed genes between Fe-sufficient and Fe-deficient peanut roots with or without *P. liquidambaris.* (A): Go analysis between CK and -Fe. (B): Go analysis between CK and E. (C): Go analysis between -Fe and E-Fe. (D): KEGG analysis between CK and -Fe. (E): KEGG analysis between CK and E. (F):

REFERENCES

- Abadia, J., Vazquez, S., Rellan-Alvarez, R., El-Jendoubi, H., Abadia, A., Alvarez-Fernandez, A., et al. (2011). Towards a knowledge-based correction of iron chlorosis. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 49, 471–482. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2011.01.026
- Akram, N. A., Shafiq, F., and Ashraf, M. (2018). Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.), a prospective legume crop to offer multiple health benefits under changing climate. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 17, 1325–1338. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12383
- Arikan, S., Esitken, A., Ipek, M., Aras, S., Sahin, M., Pirlak, L., et al. (2018). Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on fe acquisition in peach (*Prunus Persica* L) under calcareous soil conditions. *J. Plant Nutr.* 41, 2141–2150. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2018.1482910
- Arnon, D. I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in beta vulgaris. *Plant Physiol.* 24, 1–15. doi: 10.1104/pp.24.1.1
- Balk, J., and Schaedler, T. A. (2014). Iron cofactor assembly in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 65, 125–153. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-035759
- Banerjee, R., Goswami, P., Lavania, S., Mukherjee, A., and Lavania, C. U. (2019). Vetiver grass is a potential candidate for phytoremediation of iron ore mine spoil dumps. *Ecol. Eng.* 132: 120–136. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018. 10.012
- Bessey, O. A. (1933). The distribution of vitamin C in plant and animal tissues, its determination. J. Biol. Chem. 103, 687–698. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)75846-8
- Briat, J. F., Dubos, C., and Gaymard, F. (2015). Iron nutrition, biomass production, and plant product quality. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 33–40. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2014.07.005
- Brumbarova, T., Le, C. T., Ivanov, R., and Bauer, P. (2016). Regulation of ZAT12 protein stability: The role of hydrogen peroxide. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 11:e1137408. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2015.1137408
- Cheeseman, K. H., Beavis, A., and Esterbauer, H. (1988). Hydroxyl-radical-induced iron-catalysed degradation of 2-deoxyribose. Quantitative determination of malondialdehyde. *Biochem. J.* 252, 649–653. doi: 10.1042/bj2520649
- Chen, H., Qi, Y., He, X., Xu, L., Zhang, W., Lv, X., et al. (2021). Endophytic fungus Mucor circinelloides DF20 promote tanshinone biosynthesis and accumulation in Salvia miltiorrhiza root. *Plant Sci.* 307:110898. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2021.110898
- Chen, Y., Peng, Y., Dai, C. C., and Ju, Q. (2011). Biodegradation of 4hydroxybenzoic acid by Phomopsis liquidambari. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 51, 102–110. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.09.004
- Colangelo, E. P., and Guerinot, M. L. (2004). The essential basic helix-loophelix protein FIT1 is required for the iron deficiency response. *Plant Cell* 16, 3400–3412. doi: 10.1105/tpc.104.024315
- Connolly, E. L., Campbell, N. H., Grotz, N., Prichard, C. L., and Guerinot, L. M. (2003). Overexpression of the FRO2 ferric chelate reductase confers tolerance to growth on low iron and uncovers posttranscriptional control. *Plant Physiol.* 133, 1102–1110. doi: 10.1104/pp.103. 025122
- Czarnocka, W., and Karpinski, S. (2018). Friend or foe? Reactive oxygen species production, scavenging and signaling in plant response to environmental stresses. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 122, 4–20. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.01.011

KEGG analysis between -Fe and E-Fe. E=P. liquidambaris inoculation. -Fe = 2 μmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition. CK = 100 μmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition.

Supplementary Figure 8 | The qRT-PCR analysis of genes in the roots under Fe-sufficient or Fe-deficient conditions after *P. liquidambaris* colonization. The relative expression of each gene was calculated as the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ value and normalized to the endogenous reference genes. Data and errors are mean \pm SD, n = 6, and different letters indicate significant differences among treatments. p < 0.05. -Fe = 2 µmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition. CK = 100 µmol FeEDTA in Hogland nutrition.

Supplementary Table 1 | Primers used for RT-qPCR analysis.

- Gao, F., and Dubos, C. (2021). Transcriptional integration of plant responses to iron availability. J. Exp. Bot. 72, 2056–2070. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa556
- Gao, F., Robe, K., and Dubos, C. (2020). Further insights into the role of bHLH121 in the regulation of iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 15:e1795582. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2020.1795582
- He, H., Van Breusegem, F., and Mhamdi, A. (2018). Redox-dependent control of nuclear transcription in plants. J. Exp. Bot. 69, 3359–3372. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery130
- Heath, R. L., and Packer, L. (1968). Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts. Kinetics I, and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 125, 189–198. doi: 10.1016/0003-9861(68)90654-1
- Jin, T., Wang, X., Deng, Z., Liu, X., and Liang, D. (2021). ROS-induced dramatic lipid changes in Arabidopsis. *Redox Rep.* 26, 190–196. doi: 10.1080/13510002.2021.2002001
- Kabir, A. H., Debnath, T., Das, U., Prity, S. A., Haque, A., Rahman, M. M., et al. (2020). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alleviate Fe-deficiency symptoms in sunflower by increasing iron uptake and its availability along with antioxidant defense. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 150, 254–262. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.03.010
- Kabir, A. H., Rahman, M. M., Haider, S. A., and Paul, K. N. (2015). Mechanisms associated with differential tolerance to Fe deficiency in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus Moench). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 112: 16–26. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.11.011
- Kim, S. A., and Guerinot, M. L. (2007). Mining iron: iron uptake and transport in plants. FEBS Lett. 581, 2273–2280. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2007.04.043
- Kim, S. A., LaCroix, I. S., Gerber, S. A., and Guerinot, L. M. (2019). The iron deficiency response in Arabidopsis thaliana requires the phosphorylated transcription factor URI. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 116, 24933–24942. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1916892116
- Li, G., Peng, X., Wei, L., and Kang, G. (2013). Salicylic acid increases the contents of glutathione and ascorbate and temporally regulates the related gene expression in salt-stressed wheat seedlings. *Gene* 529, 321–325. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2013.07.093
- Liang, G., Zhang, H., Li, X., Ai, Q., and Yu, D. (2017). bHLH transcription factor bHLH115 regulates iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 1743–1755. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx043
- Ling, H. Q., Bauer, P., Bereczky, Z., Keller, B., and Ganal, M. (2002). The tomato fer gene encoding a bHLH protein controls iron-uptake responses in roots. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 13938–13943. doi: 10.1073/pnas.212448699
- Lingenfelser, J. E., Schapaugh, W. T., Schmidt, J. P., and Higgins, J. J. (2005). Comparison of genotype and cultural practices to control iron deficiency chlorosis in soybean. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 36, 1047–1062. doi: 10.1081/Css-200050493
- Matsuoka, K., Furukawa, J., Bidadi, H., Asahina, M., Yamaguchi, S., and Satoh, S. (2014). Gibberellin-induced expression of Fe uptake-related genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 55, 87–98. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pct160
- Maurer, F., Muller, S., and Bauer, P. (2011). Suppression of Fe deficiency gene expression by jasmonate. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 49, 530–536. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2011.01.025
- Nickel, K. S. (1969). Improved peroxidase assay method using Ieuco 2,3,6-trichlcroindophenol and application to comparative measurements of peroxidase catalysis. *Anal. Biochem.* 27, 292–299. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(69)90035-9

- Nishida, S., Aisu, A., and Mizuno, T. (2012). Induction of IRT1 by the nickelinduced iron-deficient response in Arabidopsis. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 7, 329–331. doi: 10.4161/psb.19263
- Ogo, Y., Itai, R., Nakanishi, H., Kobayashi, T., Takahashi, M., Mori, S., et al. (2007). The rice bHLH protein OsIRO2 is an essential regulator of the genes involved in Fe uptake under Fe-deficient conditions. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 48: S44–S44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03149.x
- Patra, H. K., Kar, M., and Mishra, D. (1978). Catalase activity in leaves and cotyledons during plant development and senescence. *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen* 172, 85–390. doi: 10.1016/S0015-3796(17)30412-2
- Ranieri, A., Castagna, A., Baldan, B., Sebastiani, L., and Soldatini, F. G. (2003).
 H2O2 accumulation in sunflower leaves as a consequence of iron deprivation.
 J. Plant Nutr. 26, 2187–2196. doi: 10.1081/Pln-120024274
- Ranieri, A., Castagna, A., Baldan, B., and Soldatini, F. G. (2001). Iron deficiency differently affects peroxidase isoforms in sunflower. J. Exp. Bot. 52, 25–35. doi: 10.1093/jxb/52.354.25
- Rodriguez, R. J., White, J. F. Jr., Arnold, A. E., and Redman, S. R. (2009). Fungal endophytes: diversity and functional roles. *New Phytol* 182, 314–330. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02773.x
- Romheld, V., and Marschner, H. (1986). Evidence for a specific uptake system for iron phytosiderophores in roots of grasses. *Plant Physiol.* 80, 175–180. doi: 10.1104/pp.80.1.175
- Santi, S., and Schmidt, W. (2009). Dissecting iron deficiency-induced proton extrusion in Arabidopsis roots. *New Phytol.* 183, 1072–1084. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02908.x
- Schwarz, B., Azodi, C. B., Shiu, S. H., and Bauer, P. (2020). Putative cis-regulatory elements predict iron deficiency responses in arabidopsis roots. *Plant Physiol.* 182, 1420–1439. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00760
- Seguela, M., Briat, J. F., Vert, G., and Curie, C. (2008). Cytokinins negatively regulate the root iron uptake machinery in Arabidopsis through a growth-dependent pathway. *Plant J.* 55, 289–300. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03502.x
- Sevilla, F., Del Rio, L. A., and Hellin, E. (1984). Superoxide dismutases from a citrus plant: presence of two iron-containing isoenzymes in leaves of lemon trees (*Citrus limonum L.*). J. Plant Physiol. 116, 381–387. doi: 10.1016/S0176-1617(84)80130-3
- Sivitz, A., Grinvalds, C., Barberon, M., Curie, C., and Vert, G. (2011). Proteasome-mediated turnover of the transcriptional activator FIT is required for plant iron-deficiency responses. *Plant J.* 66, 1044–1052. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04565.x
- Stacey, M. G., Patel, A., McClain, W. E., Mathieu, M., Remley, M., Rogers, E. E., et al. (2008). The Arabidopsis AtOPT3 protein functions in metal homeostasis and movement of iron to developing seeds. *Plant Physiol.* 146, 589–601. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.108183
- Stewart, R. R., and Bewley, J. D. (1980). Lipid peroxidation associated with accelerated aging of soybean axes. *Plant Physiol.* 65, 245–248. doi: 10.1104/pp.65.2.245
- Su, C. L., Zhang, F. M., Sun, K., Zhang, W., and Dai, C. C. (2019). Fungal endophyte phomopsis liquidambari improves iron and molybdenum nutrition uptake of peanut in consecutive monoculture soil. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 19, 71–80. doi: 10.1007/s42729-019-0011-2
- Sun, B., Jing, Y., Chen, K., Song, L., Chen, F., and Zhang, L. (2007). Protective effect of nitric oxide on iron deficiency-induced oxidative stress in maize (Zea mays). *J. Plant Physiol.* 164, 536–543. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2006.02.011
- Sun, K., Zhang, F. M., Kang, N., Gong, J. H., Zhang, W., Chen, Y., et al. (2019). Rice carbohydrate dynamics regulate endophytic colonization of Diaporthe liquidambaris in response to external nitrogen. *Fungal Ecol.* 39, 213–224. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2019.02.010
- Van Leene, J., Blomme, J., Kulkarni, S. R., Cannoot, B., De Winne, N., Eeckhout, D., et al. (2016). Functional characterization of the Arabidopsis transcription factor bZIP29 reveals its role in leaf and root development. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 5825–5840. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw347
- Verma, N., Narayan, O. P., Prasad, D., Jogawat, A., Panwar, S. L., Dua, M., et al. (2021). Functional characterization of a high-affinity iron

transporter (PiFTR) from the endophytic fungus Piriformospora indica and its role in plant growth and development. *Environ. Microbiol.* 24, 689–706. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15659

- von der Mark, C., Ivanov, R., Eutebach, M., Maurino, V. G., Bauer, P., and Brumbarova, T. (2021). Reactive oxygen species coordinate the transcriptional responses to iron availability in Arabidopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 72, 2181–2195. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa522
- Wang, C., Yao, X., Yu, D., and Liang, G. (2017). Fe-deficiency-induced expression of bHLH104 enhances Fe-deficiency tolerance of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Planta* 246, 421–431. doi: 10.1007/s00425-017-2703-y
- Wang, H. Y., Klatte, M., Jakoby, M., Baumlein, H., Weisshaar, B., and Bauer, P. (2007). Iron deficiency-mediated stress regulation of four subgroup Ib BHLH genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Planta* 226, 897–908. doi: 10.1007/s00425-007-0535-x
- Wang, N., Cui, Y., Liu, Y., Fan, H., Du, J., Huang, Z., et al. (2013). Requirement and functional redundancy of Ib subgroup bHLH proteins for iron deficiency responses and uptake in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol. Plant* 6, 503–513. doi: 10.1093/mp/sss089
- Wang, Q. H., Liang, X., Dong, Y. J., Xu, L. L., Zhang, X. W., Hou, J., et al. (2013). Effects of exogenous nitric oxide on cadmium toxicity, element contents and antioxidative system in perennial ryegrass. *Plant Growth Regul.* 69, 11–20. doi: 10.1007/s10725-012-9742-y
- Xie, X. G., Fu, W. Q., Zhang, F. M., Shi, X. M., Zeng, Y. T., Li, H., et al. (2017). The endophytic fungus phomopsis liquidambari increases nodulation and N2 fixation in arachis hypogaea by enhancing hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide signalling. *Microb. Ecol.* 74, 427–440. doi: 10.1007/s00248-017-0944-8
- Yan, L., Zhu, J., Zhao, X., Shi, J., Jiang, C., and Shao, D. (2019). Beneficial effects of endophytic fungi colonization on plants. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 103, 3327–3340. doi: 10.1007/s00253-019-09713-2
- Yuan, Y., Wu, H., Wang, N., Li, J., Zhao, W., Du, J., et al. (2008). FIT interacts with AtbHLH38 and AtbHLH39 in regulating iron uptake gene expression for iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *Cell Res.* 18, 385–397. doi: 10.1038/cr.2008.26
- Yuan, Y. X., Zhang, J., Wang, D. W., and Ling, Q. H. (2005). AtbHLH29 of Arabidopsis thaliana is a functional ortholog of tomato FER involved in controlling iron acquisition in strategy I plants. *Cell Res.* 15, 613–621. doi: 10.1038/sj.cr.7290331
- Zhang, W., Wang, H. W., Wang, X. X., Xie, X. G., Siddikee, M. A., Xu, R. S., et al. (2016). Enhanced nodulation of peanut when coinoculated with fungal endophyte Phomopsis liquidambari and bradyrhizobium. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 98, 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2015. 11.002
- Zhang, W., Yuan, J., Cheng, T., Tang, M. J., Sun, K., Song, S. L., et al. (2019). Flowering-mediated root-fungus symbiosis loss is related to jasmonatedependent root soluble sugar deprivation. *Plant Cell Environ.* 42, 3208–3226. doi: 10.1111/pce.13636

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Du, Kong, Cao, Zhang, Zhu, Ma, Sun and Dai. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.