#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Kaixiong Xing, Hainan Normal University, China

REVIEWED BY Marina López-Pozo, University of Colorado Boulder, United States Wei Xiaoli, Guizhou University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Tong-Xin An 1458196769@qq.com Jun-Wen Chen cjw31412@163.com

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Functional Plant Ecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 11 November 2022 ACCEPTED 27 December 2022 PUBLISHED 12 January 2023

#### CITATION

Cun Z, Xu X-Z, Zhang J-Y, Shuang S-P, Wu H-M, An T-X and Chen J-W (2023) Responses of photosystem to long-term light stress in a typically shade-tolerant species *Panax notoginseng. Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1095726. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1095726

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Cun, Xu, Zhang, Shuang, Wu, An and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Responses of photosystem to long-term light stress in a typically shade-tolerant species *Panax notoginseng*

Zhu Cun<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Xiang-Zeng Xu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Jin-Yan Zhang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Sheng-Pu Shuang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Hong-Min Wu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Tong-Xin An<sup>1\*</sup> and Jun-Wen Chen<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Agronomy & Biotechnology, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, China, <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Medicinal Plant Biology of Yunnan Province, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, China, <sup>3</sup>National & Local Joint Engineering Research Center on Germplasm Innovation & Utilization of Chinese Medicinal Materials in Southwestern China, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, China, <sup>4</sup>Research Center for Collection and Utilization of Tropical Crop Resources, Yunnan Institute of Tropical Crops, Xishuangbanna, China

Photosynthetic adaptive strategies vary with the growth irradiance. The potential photosynthetic adaptive strategies of shade-tolerant species Panax notoginseng (Burkill) F. H. Chen to long-term high light and low light remains unclear. Photosynthetic performance, photosynthesis-related pigments, leaves anatomical characteristics and antioxidant enzyme activities were comparatively determined in P. notoginseng grown under different light regimes. The thickness of the upper epidermis, palisade tissue, and lower epidermis were declined with increasing growth irradiance. Low-light-grown leaves were declined in transpiration rate (Tr) and stomatal conductance (Cond), but intercellular  $CO_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) and net photosynthesis rate  $(P_n)$  had opposite trends. The maximum photo-oxidation  $P_{700}^+$   $(P_m)$  was greatly reduced in 29.8% full sunlight (FL) plants; The maximum quantum yield of photosystem II ( $F_v/F_m$ ) in 0.2% FL plants was significantly lowest. Electron transport, thermal dissipation, and the effective quantum yield of PSI [Y(I)] and PSII [Y(II)] were declined in low-light-grown plants compared with highlight-grown P. notoginseng. The minimum value of non-regulated energy dissipation of PSII [Y(NO)] was recorded in 0.2% FL P. notoginseng. OJIP kinetic curve showed that relative variable fluorescence at J-phase ( $V_{\rm J}$ ) and the ratio of variable fluorescent  $F_{\rm K}$  occupying the  $F_{\rm J}$ - $F_{\rm O}$  amplitude ( $W_{\rm k}$ ) were significantly increased in 0.2% FL plants. However, the increase in  $W_k$  was lower than the increase in  $V_{1}$ . In conclusion, PSI photoinhibition is the underlying sensitivity of the typically shade-tolerant species P. notoginseng to high light, and the photodamage to PSII acceptor side might cause the typically shadetolerant plants to be unsuitable for long-term low light stress.

#### KEYWORDS

photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence, photosystem, photoprotection, *Panax notoginseng* 

#### Introduction

Light plays an indispensable role in the growth and development of plants (de Wit et al., 2016). However, light fluctuates over short (seconds) and long (hours, days, seasons) timescales in natural condition, making it highly heterogeneous (Townsend et al., 2018a; Townsend et al., 2018b; Townsend et al., 2018c). Two species have emerged under long-term evolutionary processes, markedly different in their light demands: the lightdemanding species and shade-tolerant species (Mathur et al., 2018). The light-demanding species such as Spinacea oleracea and Oryza sativa, show high values of maximum CO2 assimilation rate  $(P_{max})$ , non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) and electron transport rates (Osmond et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2021). The shadetolerant species such as Picea glauca, Abies balsamea and Abies lasiocarpa exhibit low  $P_{\text{max}}$ , light saturating/compensation points (LSP/LCP) and dark respiration rates  $(R_d)$  (Valladares and Niinemets, 2008). Several studies have shown that the shadetolerant species not only need to improve the efficiency of light energy utilization under low light, but also to strengthen the dissipation of excess light energy under high light condition (Kim et al., 2020; Ware et al., 2020). The quantum yield of photosystem II (PSII), photosynthetic electron transport and photochemical quenching are increased in shade-tolerant species Bletilla striata exposed to a sudden transition from low to high light (Yang et al., 2019a). PSII activity is reduced in the shade-tolerant species Anacardium excelsum and Virola surinamensis grown under high light (Barth et al., 2001). Meanwhile, low photosystem I (PSI) activity has been recorded in the shade-tolerant species Psychotria henryi and Psychotria rubra exposed to high light (Huang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017). Therefore, more research is needed in the PSI of shade-tolerant plant to elucidate its potential mechanism of PSI in response to light stress.

Long-term light stress induces photoinhibition and even photodamage of plants when absorbed light energy would temporarily exceed the need for photosynthesis (Niyogi and Truong, 2013; Kono and Terashima, 2014). Light stress protection mechanisms include chloroplastic reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging, chloroplast and stomatal movement (Shi et al., 2022). For example, high-light-grown Triticum aestivum leaves reduced ROS-mediated side-effects by increasing the activity of catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD, Szyma'nska et al., 2017). Low light could induce rapid stomatal opening to enhance photosynthesis and photorespiration of Phaseolus vulgaris (Pastenes et al., 2005). Meanwhile, photosynthetic apparatuses (PSI and PSII) have evolved a variety of photoprotective strategies to dissipate excess light energy (Bosch et al., 2015). NPQ is considered to be the most efficient strategy for thermal dissipation of excess light energy (Han et al., 2022). The increase in NPQ with the enhancement of light intensity has been recorded in the shadetolerant species Coffea arabica and Tradescantia sillamontana (Martins et al., 2014; Mishanin et al., 2016; Mishanin et al.,

2017). Nevertheless, plants might improve the utilization of excess light energy by enhancing electron transport (Kalmatskaya et al., 2020), as has been recorded in the shadetolerant species Vanda sp. (Sma-Air and Ritchie, 2020). Meanwhile, cycle electron flow (CEF) is an efficient pathway for utilizing excess light energy (Tikhonov, 2013). The CEFdependent generation of the proton gradient ( $\Delta pH$ ) across the thylakoid membrane not only stimulates ATP synthesis but also protects PSII from photoinhibition through activating NPQ and stabilizing oxygen-evolving complexes (Theune et al., 2021). Moreover, activation of CEF-PSI can also prevent PSI from photoinhibition and photooxidative damage through alleviating the over-reduction of PSI acceptor side and reducing the synthesis of superoxide anions in PSI (Sagun et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019a; Yang et al., 2019b). Photooxidative damage is avoided in the shade-tolerant species such as Vanilla orchid, Neobalanocarpus heimii and Lepisanthes senegalensis through enhancing CEF around PSI when it is exposed to high light (Kang et al., 2020; Ko et al., 2020). Nevertheless, it is still unknown about a relationship between the photoprotective strategies and the sensitivity of the shade-tolerant species to high light.

Panax notoginseng (Burkill) F. H. Chen (Sanqi in Chinese) is a perennial Chinese herb (the Panax genus, Araliaceae), which is a typically shade-tolerant species (Zhang et al., 2020). Full light (FL) of 9.6%-11.5% was found to be the most suitable growth light environment for P. notoginseng (Zuo et al., 2014; Kuang et al., 2014a; Kuang et al., 2014b; Kuang et al., 2015). Net photosynthesis rate (P<sub>n</sub>), stomatal conductance (Cond), and transpiration rate (Tr) are significantly inhibited in excessive-shading-grown P. notoginsen (Xu et al., 2018). Meanwhile, the thermal dissipation and carboxylation efficiency are improved in high-light-grown P. notoginseng; correspondingly, the efficiency of PSII photochemistry is decreased in low-light-grown counterpart (Chen et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016). In addition, Huang et al. (2018a) have found that PSI photoinhibition did not occur in high-light-grown P. notoginseng, but LEF (linear electron flow) declined due to a decrease in PSII activity. The results are contrary to the findings that high light might induce the irreversible damage to PSII and the moderate photoinhibition to PSI in P. notoginseng (Wu et al., 2021). However, it is still unclear whether high-light induce irreversible damage to photosystem in shadetolerant species. Thus, photosynthetic adaptive strategies in shadetolerant species grown under light stress need to be further understood. In the present study, photosynthetic performance, photosynthesis-related pigments, leaves anatomical characteristics and antioxidant enzyme activities were comparatively determined in the shade-tolerant species P. notoginseng grown under a light gradient. It has been hypothesized that: (1) PSI photoinhibition might underlie the sensitivity of *P. notoginseng* to high light; (2) Enhanced photosynthetic electron transport and moderate PSII photoinhibition might be the photoprotective strategies under high light; (3) The acceptor side of PSII were damaged in P.

*notoginseng* were long-term exposed to low light; (4) The photodamage of PSI could be avoided by activating cycle electron transport around PSI in *P. notoginseng* grown under long-term light stress.

#### Materials and methods

#### Plant materials and growth condition

The pot experiment was carried out from Januray in Wenshan Miao Xiang P. notoginseng Technology Park (23°05' N, 104°03'E), Yunnan, China. The healthy two-year-old rhizome of *P. notoginseng* were cultivated in plastic pots (30 cm  $\times$  25 cm  $\times$ 25 cm), with each containing 3 rootstocks. Total photon exposure per day in screened growth house for seven treatments was equivalent to 29.8%, 11.5%, 9.6%, 5.0%, 3.6%, 1.4% and 0.2% of that in the full sunlight (FL), respectively. Figure S1 shows the diurnal variation of photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) under seven light treatments, respectively. 210 pots were used for each light intensity regimes, and a total of 1470 pots were arranged (n = 7). Polyoxin and agricultural streptomycin were used to control pests and diseases. In September, the youngest fully expanded functional leaf on each treatment at the maximum nutritional period from pot planting was used for the determination of photosynthetic performance, photosynthesis-related pigments, leaves anatomical characteristics and antioxidant defense system analysis.

#### Chlorophyll content measurements

Chlorophyll (Chl) was extracted as described by Pérez-Patricio et al. (2018). A LI-3000 leaf-area meter (Li-Cor, USA) was used to determine leaf area. 0.5 g of fresh leaves were immersed in a 15 mL extraction mixture [99% acetone was mixed with ethanol (2:1 v/v)]. 3 h of standing in the dark were followed by a 10 min centrifugation at 3000 g. Absorbance readings were performed at wavelengths of 665 nm and 649 nm. Chl *a* and *b* content were calculated based on the method of Gu et al. (2016). Total Chl content was the sum of Chl *a* and *b*.

#### Measurement of gas exchange

Gas exchange measurements were performed between 09:00 and 11:00 on fully expanded function leaves using an LI-6400XT portable photosynthesis system equipped with a 6400-40 leaf chamber (LI-Cor, UAS). Leaf temperature was maintained at 25° C in the chamber. PPFD was 500  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was adjusted to 400 mmol·mol<sup>-1</sup> with a mixture. After equilibration to a steady state, net photosynthesis rate (*P*<sub>n</sub>), stomatal conductance (Cond), transpiration rate (Tr), and intercellular  $CO_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) were recorded.

## Chlorophyll fluorescence and P700 measurements

Dual-PAM 100 chlorophyll (Chl) fluorometer (Walz, Germany) was used to determine PSI and PSII Chl fluorescence parameters at 25°C. Seven plants were darkadapted for 20 min, and both PSI and PSII parameter were monitored to record Chl fluorescence and P700 state. Then leaves were light-adapted at 172 µmol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> for 20 min. Subsequently, PSI and PSII parameters were determined after 120 s exposure to each light intensity (0, 36, 94, 132, 172, 272, 421, and 611  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>; PPFD, photosynthetic photon flux density). The chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were calculated as follows (Genty et al., 1989; Oxborough and Baker, 1997; Hendrickson et al., 2004):  $F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_o)/$  $F_{\rm m}$ ; Y(II) =  $(F_{\rm m} - F_{\rm s})/F_{\rm m}$ ; Y(NO) =  $F_{\rm s}/F_{\rm m}$ ; NPQ =  $(F_{\rm m} - F_{\rm m})/F_{\rm m}$  $F_{\rm m}$ '; 1 –  $qP = (F_{\rm s} - F_{\rm o})/(F_{\rm m} - F_{\rm o})$ ; Y(NPQ) =  $F_{\rm s}/F_{\rm m} - F_{\rm s}/F_{\rm m}$ .  $F_{o}$  and  $F_{o}$  were the minimum fluorescence after dark- and light- adaptation, respectively;  $F_m$  and  $F_m$ ` were the maximum fluorescence after dark- and light-adaptation, respectively; and  $F_s$  was the dark-adapted steady-state fluorescence.  $F_v/F_m$  was the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II. Y(II) was the effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry. Y(NO) and Y(NPQ) were the yield of non-regulated and regulated energy dissipation of PSII, respectively. NPQ was the non-photochemical quenching in PSII. 1-qP was the redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII.

P700 redox state was calculated by the saturation pulse (600 ms, 10000  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) method (Klughammer and Schreiber, 2008). The P<sup>+</sup><sub>700</sub> signals (*P*) may vary between a minimal (P700 fully reduced) and a maximal level (P700 fully oxidized); the maximum photo-oxidation P<sup>+</sup><sub>700</sub> (*P*<sub>m</sub>) and *P*<sub>m</sub>` were ascertained the application of a saturation pulse after pre-illumination with far-red light and actinic light, respectively (Huang et al., 2010; Yamori et al., 2016; Takagi et al., 2017). The chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were determined by Klughammer and Schreiber (2008) method: Y(I) = (*P*<sub>m</sub>` - P)/*P*<sub>m</sub>; Y(ND) = *P*/*P*<sub>m</sub>; Y (NA) = (*P*<sub>m</sub> - *P*<sub>m</sub>`)/*P*<sub>m</sub>. Y(I) was the effective quantum yield of PSII; Y(ND) and Y(NA) were the donor side and acceptor side limitation of PSI, respectively.

Photosynthetic electron flows through PSI and PSII were analyzed according to the method described by Huang et al. (2012a); Huang et al. (2017); Huang et al. (2019): ETRII = Y(II)  $\times$  PPFD  $\times$  0.84  $\times$  0.5; ETRI = Y(I)  $\times$  PPFD  $\times$  0.84  $\times$  0.5. ETRI was the electron transport rate of PSI; ETRII was the electron transport rate of PSII. Furthermore, the electron transport rate of cyclic electron flow around PSI was estimated as ETRI -ETRII; the quantum yield of cyclic electron flow around PSI was estimated as Y(I) – Y(II), or expressed as Y(I)/Y(II) (Miyake et al., 2005; Fan et al., 2016; Sagun et al., 2019).

#### Measurement of OJIP kinetic curve

Fast Chl fluorescence measurements were conducted by a pulse-amplitude modulation (PAM) fluorometer (PAM-2500, Walz, Germany). After a dark adaptation for 4 h, Chl fluorescence transient curves (OJIP transients) were inducted by a red light (652 nm) of 3000  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> by the PAM-2500 through an array of light-emitting diodes. Cha a fluorescence emission inducted by the strong light pulses was measured and digitized between 10 µs and 320 ms (Kanutsky curve; Kautsky and Hirsch, 1931). Meanwhile, four characteristic levels of fluorescence yield can be distinguished in a plot with logarithmic time scale:  $F_{o}$ ,  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $F_m$  (alternatively also denoted O, J, I and P; Schreiber et al., 1986; Schreiber et al., 1989),. The  $F_0$  -  $I_1$  (or O-J) phase of the transient directly reflects the closure of PSII reaction centers by charge separation (QAreduction). The initial rate of increase of this phase is proportional to the applied light intensity (photochemical phase). At a given light intensity, the initial rate provides a relative measure of the optical absorption cross-section of PSII. The  $I_1$ -  $I_2$  -  $F_m$  (or J-I-P) phases of the transient reflect the reduction of the rest of the electron transport chain defined mainly by the reduction of the plastoquinone pool and the acceptor side of PSI; the rate of which is limited by dark reactions (thermal phase) (Schreiber and Klughammer, 2021). The point of time corresponding to 300 µs on the OJIP kinetic curves was defined as the "K" characteristic points (Eggenberg et al., 1995; Strasser et al., 2000; Strasser et al., 2004). The OJIP transients were analyzed using JIP-test, and the JIP-test is a multiparametric analysis of the OJIP transients, which is based on the theory of energy fluxes in bio-membranes (Strasser, 1981; Strasser and Strasser, 1995). From OJIP transient, the extracted parameters ( $F_{20 \ \mu s}$ ,  $F_{300 \ \mu s}$ ,  $F_{2 \ m s}$ ,  $F_{30 \ m s}$  etc.) led to the calculation and derivation of a range of new parameters according to previous authors (Table S1; Yusuf et al., 2010).

## Leaf anatomical characteristics under different light regimes

After photosynthetic parameters measurement, leaf sections of  $1.00 \times 1.00$  cm were also cut from the middle of fully expanded function leaves (avoiding midribs). Leaves were cleaned by sterilizing water and stored in the FAA fixative. Leaf tissues were dyed by hematoxylin staining method and fixed with paraffin before observed (Xiong et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2023). The tissue sections were observed under electron microscope and analyzed through separately quantifying variables in the visible field using Case Viewer software.

## Determination of antioxidant enzyme activities

Leaf was homogenized on ice with a mortar and pestle in a 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). The homogenate was centrifuged at 12000 g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was used immediately for enzyme assays (Wang et al., 2009). The activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) was measured according to a method using xanthine, xanthine oxidase, and cytochrome c (Giannopolitis and Ries, 1977). The activity of peroxidase (POD) was assayed according to the method described by Zhang et al. (2005), using pyrogallol as a substrate. Catalase (CAT) activity was assayed according to the method described by Aebi (1984), by measuring the decrease at 240 nm for 1 min, due to  $H_2O_2$  consumption.

#### Statistical analyses

SPSS 20.0 software (Chicago, IL, USA) was used to statistical analysis. The variables were means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) (n = 7). Significant differences are indicated by letters (One-way ANOVA; P < 0.05). Graphing was made by SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systat Software Inc, San Jose) and GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Inc, USA) software.

#### Results

## Response of the Chl contents to light regimes

Leaves were significantly smaller and yellowish in *P. notoginseng* under high light; moderate-light-grown leaves were dark-green (Figure 1A). The content of Chl *a*, Chl *b*, total Chl increased first and then decreased with the increase of growth irradiance (Figures 1B–D). The maximum values of photosynthetic pigments were recorded in 5.0% FL-grown *P. notoginseng* (Figure 1; as reflected by Chl *a*, Chl *b*, total Chl content). Chl *a*, Chl *b*, total Chl contents were lowest in *P. notoginseng* under 29.8% FL (Figures 1B–D).

## The effect of grown irradiance on gas exchange

 $P_{\rm n}$  and Cond were significantly enhanced in 11.5% FL-grown plants compared with other treatments (Figures 2A, B). Compared with 11.5% FL-grown *P. notoginseng*,  $P_{\rm n}$  were decreased 36.55% and 65.17% in 29.8% FL- and 0.2% FL-grown plants, respectively (Figure 2A). The maximum and minimum values of  $C_{\rm i}$  were recorded in 0.2% FL- and 9.6% FL-grown plants, respectively (Figure 2C). The minimum values



of  $P_n$ , Cond, and Tr were obtained in *P. notoginseng* under 0.2% FL condition (Figures 2A, B, D).

## The effect of growth irradiance on leaf anatomical characteristics

The thickness of the upper epidermis, palisade tissue, and lower epidermis were declined with increasing growth irradiance (Table 1, Figure S2). 29.8% FL-grown leaves were dramatically increased in the thickness of the upper epidermis, palisade tissue, and spongy tissue (Table 1). The thickness of the lower epidermis was greatest in *P. notoginseng* grown under 29.8% and 11.5% FL condition (Table 1). These differences were not significant for the upper epidermis thickness in the range 3.6% to 11.5% FL (Table 1). The palisade/spongy increased first and then decreased with the increase of growth irradiance, and the maximum values of palisade/spongy were recorded in 5.0% FL-grown plants (Table 1).

## Response of the photosystem activity to light regimes

Growth irradiance significantly influenced PSI and PSII activity in the leaf (Figure 3). The minimum values of  $F_v/F_m$  were showed in *P. notoginseng* grown under long-term low light (1.4% FL, 0.2% FL) (Figure 3B), and  $P_m$  in high-light-grown

plants were lower (29.8% FL, 11.5% FL) (Figure 3A). The difference between moderate- and low-light-grown plants in  $P_{\rm m}$  was only marginal (Figure 3A), but  $P_{\rm m}$  was highest in *P. notoginseng* grown under 5.0% FL (Figure 3A).

## Response of the photosynthetic electron transport to light regimes

ETRI, ETRII and ETRI - ETRII were raised with increasing PPFD (Figure 4). ETRI and ETRII were significantly greater in 29.8% FL- and 9.6% FL-grown plants compared with other individuals (Figures 4A, B). ETRI and ETRII were significantly reduced in low-light-grown plants (0.2% FL; Figures 4A, B). When PPFD was lower than 200  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, the maximum values of ETRI - ETRII were obtained in 0.2% FL and 29.8% FL *P. notoginseng* (Figure 4C). When plants were exposed to higher PPFD, the maximum values of ETRI - ETRII were recorded in 29.8% FL individuals, but the ETRI - ETRII were declined in low-light-grown *P. notoginseng* (0.2% FL, Figure 4C).

## Response of the light energy partitioning to growth irradiance

The minimum values of Y(I) were shown in the 0.2% FL individuals (Figure 5A), and Y(ND) in low-light-grown



individuals was greatest (Figure 5B). The opposite of Y(ND), Y (NA) was increased when PPFD is lower than 272  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> in plants grown under moderate shading environments (Figure 5C). There was no significant difference in Y(NA)

when PPFD is more than 272  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>. Compared with PSI, the lowest values of Y(II) were always observed in low-lightgrown *P. notoginseng* (Figure 5D), and Y(NPQ) was highest in 0.2% FL plants (Figure 5E). Y(NO) was rapidly increased when

TADLEA	Effective and the later of the second	and the second second second	and the second sec	in Levis b. Devision of a subscription of the second second
IABLET	FILECTS OF LIGHT REGIMES C	in the leat anatom	v in a snade tolerant	plant Panax notodinsend
	Encets of agric regimes a	in the tean anatom	y 111 a 511aac totorante	plant i anax no cogniserig.

Variables	Growth irradiance (% of full sunlight, % FL)						
	29.8% FL	11.5% FL	9.6% FL	5.0% FL	3.6% FL	1.4% FL	0.2% FL
Upper epidermis (µm)	16.09 ± 3.45 a	12.74 ± 2.27 b	12.36 ± 1.80 b	12.21 ± 2.33 b	12.16 ± 2.32 b	8.33 ± 1.65 c	8.13 ± 2.29 c
Palisade tissue (µm)	36.26 ± 5.55 a	28.37 ± 6.15 b	29.59 ± 4.47 b	29.06 ± 6.17 b	20.48 ± 3.26 c	16.71 ± 2.40 d	13.73 ± 3.08 e
Spongy tissue (µm)	58.59 ± 15.76 a	39.17 ± 10.32 b	35.59 ± 5.62 bc	30.52 ± 5.88 cd	37.32 ± 6.41 b	29.04 ± 5.49 d	28.4 ± 6.71 d
Lower epidermis (µm)	12.91 ± 2.38 a	13.53 ± 2.05 a	11.49 ± 2.36 b	11.28 ± 1.84 bc	9.96 ± 2.01 c	7.80 ± 2.23 d	7.37 ± 2.63 d
Palisade/Spongy	0.65 ± 0.16 cd	0.77 ± 0.25 bc	0.86 ± 0.21 bc	$1.00 \pm 0.38$ a	0.56 ± 0.11 de	0.60 ± 0.15 de	$0.50 \pm 0.11 \text{ e}$
Values are many $\pm$ SD (n = 7). Different latters among light regimes indicate significant difference (D < 0.05)							

Values are means ± SD. (n = 7). Different letters among light regimes indicate significant difference (P < 0.05).



The effect of light regimes on PSI and PSII activity of *Panax notoginseng*. (A)  $P_m$  is the maximum photo-oxidation  $P_{700}^+$ . (B)  $F_v/F_m$  is the maximum efficiency of PSII photochemistry. Values for each point were means  $\pm$  SD (n = 7). Letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05 according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

PPFD is higher than 272  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 5F), and the Y (NO) were increased in low-light-grown plants (Figure 5F). NPQ and 1-*qP* increased with increasing PPFD (Figure 6). NPQ was increased in *P. notoginseng* were exposed to high light (29.8% FL, 11.5% FL; Figure 6A), and 1-*qP* in 0.2% FL plants were highest (Figure 6B).

## Response of the cycle electron flow around PSI to light stress

The quantum yield of cyclic electron flow around PSI [Y(I)/ Y(II)] increased with increasing PPFD (Figure 7A). Y(I)/Y(II) was activated earlier when PPFD was higher than 36  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> in *P. notoginseng* under light stress (29.8% FL, 0.2% FL; Figure 7A). Y(I)/Y(II) was inversely correlated with Y(II) (Figures 5D, 7B), and the greatest values were shown in 0.2% FL individuals (Figure 7B). As showed in Figure 8, Y(NPQ), NPQ and Y(ND) were positively correlated with ETRI - ETRII (Figure 8). Y(NPQ), NPQ and Y(ND) were greatest in the 0.2% FL individuals when ETRI - ETRII is lower (Figure 8). Y(NPQ), NPQ and Y(ND) were increased in the high-light-grown plants when ETRI - ETRII was greater (Figure 8).

## Changes in activities of antioxidant enzymes

POD activity was greater in *P. notoginseng* grown under 29.8%, 11.5%, and 9.6% FL condition (Figure 9A, P < 0.05). The POD activity was declined with decreasing growth irradiance (Figure 9A), and the minimum values of POD activity was obtained in 0.2% FL-grown *P. notoginseng* (Figure 9A). CAT activity was significantly increased in high-light-grown plant

(29.8% FL, 11.5% FL; Figure 9B). CAT activity was lowest in 5.0% FL-grown plants (Figure 9B). SOD activity was reduced with decreasing grown irradiance in the range 29.8% to 9.6% FL (Figure 9C). SOD activity was significantly decreased in 3.6% FL-grown plants compared with 5.0%, 1.4% and 0.2% FL treatments (Figure 9C, P < 0.05).

## Response of the OJIP kinetic curve to light regimes

The OJIP kinetic curve showed an "S"-shaped in all light regimes (Figure 10A). The lower fluorescence values were shown in high-light-grown individuals,  $F_0 \cong F_{20 \ \mu s}$  (O phase) was greater in the 9.6% FL individuals, and the maximum values of  $F_M = F_P = F_{300}$  $_{ms}$  (P phase) were recorded in the 5.0% FL individuals (Figure 10A).  $W_k$  was lower in moderate-light-grown plants (9.6% FL, 5.0% FL, 3.6% FL; Figure 11B), and the maximum values of  $W_k$  were recorded in 0.2% FL individuals (Figure 11B).

In the JIP-test parameters, change in  $M_{o}$ ,  $V_J$  and  $\psi_o$  can reflect activity of PSII acceptor sides (Force et al., 2003). Changes of  $M_o$  and  $V_J$  are similar (Figures 10B, 11A), and  $M_o$  and  $V_J$  were greater in low-light-grown plants (0.2% FL, Figures 10B, 11A).  $\psi_o$  was significantly lower in 0.2% FL plants than in other light regimes plants (Figure 10B). Compared with  $F_v/F_m$ ,  $PI_{ABS}$  could more sensitively reflect the activity of PSII acceptor sides (Crafts-Brandner and Salvucci, 2002). The minimum values of  $PI_{ABS}$ were surveyed in 0.2% FL individuals (Figure 11C), and there were not significantly different in other light regimes (Figure 11C).  $DI_o/RC$  and ABS/RC were higher in low-light-grown individuals (0.2% FL; Figure 10B). ABS/RC and  $TR_o/RC$  were increased when the growth irradiance is lower than 5.0% FL (Figure 10B).



#### FIGURE 4

Characteristics of electron transport between PSII and PSI in 1eaves of *P. notoginseng* grown under different light levels. (A) Response of electron transport rate of PSI (ETRI,  $\mu$ mol·e<sup>-</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) to photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD,  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>). (B) Response of electro transport rate of PSII (ETRI,  $\mu$ mol·e<sup>-</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) to PPFD. (C) Response of cyclic electron flow around PSI (ETRI - ETRII,  $\mu$ mol·e<sup>-</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) to PPFD. Values for each point were means  $\pm$  SD (n = 7).



#### Phenotypic plasticity index analysis for Chl fluorescence-related parameters

The plasticity index of  $P_{\rm m}$  was much greater than that of  $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$  among the photosystem activity variables (Figure 12); The higher plasticity index values of ETRI, ETRII, Y(II) and Y(I) were shown among photosynthetic electron transport and light energy distribution (Figure 12). The plasticity indices of  $M_{\rm o}$  were largest among PSII receptor side parameters (Figure 12). Noteworthy, the plasticity indices of  $P_{\rm m}$ , ETRII, ETRI, Y(II) and Y(I) and Y(I) exceeded 0.5, and the lowest plasticity indices values of  $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$ , Y(NPQ),  $ET_o/RC$  and  $W_{\rm k}$  (Figure 12).

#### Discussion

## Light-driven changes in photosynthesis is in part explained by leaf anatomy

Photosynthetic capacity is at least in part determined by leaf anatomy and  $P_n$  is limited by the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion from the atmosphere to the chloroplast (Gratani and Bombelli, 2000). The reduction of palisade tissue thickness increases the density of chloroplast distribution and enchants light-receiving area and light capture capability, thus improving photosynthetic capacity in shade -tolerant species (e.g., *Phoebe bournei*, *Cyclobalanopsis* 



FIGURE 6

Changes of fluorescence characteristics in the light response process in P. notoginseng under different levels of light. (A) NPQ is the nonphotochemical quenching of PSII. (B) 1-qP is the light response changes in the redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII. Values for each point were means  $\pm$  SD (n = 7).

gilva, Zelkova serrata, Cinnamomum camphora; Xue, 2020). Thicker upper epidermis protects mesophyll tissue from damage in high-light-grown Acer rybrum (Goulet and Pierre, 1986). The thickness of palisade tissue was declined with increasing growth irradiance, and 29.8% FL-grown leaves were dramatically increased in the thickness of the upper epidermis (Table 1, Figure S2). These results imply that P. notoginseng leaves made favorable adaption to high and low light, respectively. Correspondingly, the increase of upper epidermis, palisade tissue, and lower epidermis would reduce liquid phase diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> in mesophyll cells (Table 1), this might partly explain the fact that a significant decline in  $P_n$  was observed in the high-light-grown plants (Figure 2), as has also been observed in Zhang et al. (2020). Meanwhile, low-light-grown leaves were declined in Tr and Cond, and  $C_i$  and  $P_n$  had opposite trends (Figure 2). These results imply that the decline of photosynthetic rate in low-light-grown P. notoginseng was mainly caused by

non-stomatal limitation factors, and this is consistent with the results reported by Rylski and Spigelman (1986). Thus, lightdriven changes in  $P_n$  are in part explained by leaf anatomy.

#### Low light stress exacerbates photoinhibition to PSII in the shadetolerant species

It has commonly accepted that the primary sites of photoinhibition are PSI and PSII (Gerganova et al., 2016). The PSI and PSII photoinhibition is characterized by a significant decrease in Pm and Fv/Fm, respectively (Demmig-Adams and Adams, 1992). PSII activity is inhibited under high light, but PSI activity remains stable, and this has been confirmed in Solanum lycopersicum and Arabidopsis thaliana (Gerganova et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020).  $F_v/F_m$  was greatly reduced in 1.4% FL- and



#### FIGURE 7

The effect of light regimes on cyclic electro transport in P. notoginseng. (A) Light response changes in Y(I)/Y(II) for leaves of P. notoginseng grown under different light regimes. Above the gray line represents the start of cyclic electron transport being excited. (B) Relation between Y(I)/Y(II) and Y (II) (line electro transport) for leaves of P. notoginseng grown under different light regimes. Values for each point were means  $\pm$  SD (n = 7).



0.2% FL-grown plants (Figure 3B), but PSI activity was relatively increased in low-light-grown plants (Figure 3A). This is inconsistent with the results reported that inhibition of the activity of PSII under strong light is referred to as

photoinhibition (Murata et al., 2007). This may be due to the different light demands of the study species (as reflected by P. notoginseng is a typically shade-tolerant species). These results imply that the degree of PSII photoinhibition is significantly affected by long-term low light stress, as confirmed in the shadetolerant species P. henryi treated by short-term low light (Huang et al., 2016b). Meanwhile, the degree of inhibition of  $P_n$  under 0.2% FL was greater than that of 29.8% FL (Figure 2A), it implied that P. notoginseng are more sensitive to long-term low light compared to high light. Furthermore, compared with  $F_v/F_m$ , PIABS could more sensitively reflect the activity of PSII (Crafts-Brandner and Salvucci, 2002; Li et al., 2009b). PIABS in 0.2% FL plants was significantly lowest than other counterparts (Figure 11C). Obviously, PSII was more sensitive to low light stress compared with PSI. Therefore, long-term low light stress exacerbates the photoinhibition to PSII in the shadetolerant species.

## PSI photoinhibition is a fundamental reason for the sensitivity of the shade-tolerant plants to high light

PSI activity is slow to recover from photoinhibition compared with the recovery of PSII activity (Zhang and Scheller, 2001; Zhou et al., 2019). PSI photoinhibition mainly occurs in plants grown under high light and chilling temperatures condition (Zhang and Scheller, 2001), as has been recorded in the shade-tolerant plants P. rubra, P. henryi and Nephrolepis falciformis (Huang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018b). Pm in 29.8% FL plants was greatly reduced by 51.57% in relative to 0.2% FL counterparts (Figure 3A), and PSI activity is significantly reduced in high-light-grown plants. The excess electrons on PSI acceptor side induce the formation of superoxide anion radicals and the reduction of the iron-sulfur center in PSI, which leads to photoinhibition to PSI (Sonoike, 2011). Y(NA) in 29.8% FL individuals was significantly higher than 0.2% FL individuals (Figure 5C), implying that the occurrence of PSI photoinhibition in high-light-grown P. notoginseng might is due to the excess accumulation of superoxide anion radicals on the PSI acceptor side as has been proposed by Kim et al. (2005). PSI is sensitive in high-light-grown P. notoginseng. On the other hand, the degree of PSI photoinhibition is greater than that of PSII photoinhibition in high-lightgrown individuals (Figure 3), and the plasticity index of  $P_{\rm m}$ was larger than that of  $F_v/F_m$  (Figure 12). PSI photoinhibition is the basis for the sensitivity of shade-tolerant plants P. rubra to high light condition (Huang et al., 2015). Thus, PSI photoinhibition might be a vital reason for explaining why the shade-tolerant plants P. notoginseng cannot grow under high light.



# orperoxidase (POD), catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) in the leaves of *P. notoginseng.* (A) POD activity (U g<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>). (B) CAT activity (U g<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>). (C) SOD activity (U g<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>). Values for each point were means $\pm$ SD (*n* = 7). Letters indicate significant differences at *P* < 0.05 according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

#### Enhanced photosynthetic electron transport and moderate PSII photoinhibition in high-lightgrown plants

On the condition of excess light, the utilization and dissipation of light are increased to protect PSII and PSI against photoinhibition (Zhang et al., 2015; Bascuñán-Godoy et al., 2018). Higher NPQ dissipates excess energy as heat in order to prevent damage to PSII of high-light-grown A. thaliana and Chromera velia (Belgio et al., 2018; Howard et al., 2019). 29.8% FL-grown plants possessed a high NPQ (Figure 6). These results imply that excess light energy could be effectively dissipated in the form of heat photochemistry in high-lightgrown plants. Thus, high-light-grown plants show greater photochemical efficiency and photoprotective capacity, contributed by higher Y(II) and NPQ (Figures 5D, 6A, 8), while the NPQ of shade plants is more sensitive to changes in high light. This is consistent with the results reported by Ishida et al. (2014) that a larger proportion of Y(II) and Y(NPQ) has been observed in high-light-grown O. sativa. Moreover, the utilization of excess light is increased by increasing electron transport and photochemistry in high-light-grown (Genty and Harbinson, 1996). Y(I), Y(II), ETRI, ETRII and NPQ were increased in the 29.80% FL individuals (Figures 4, 5, 6A); and the plasticity indices of ETRII, ETRI, Y(II) and Y(I) all exceeded 0.5 (Figure 12). These results imply that excess light energy could be effectively dissipated in the form of heat or photochemistry in high-light-grown plants. However, excess light energy could not be effectively dissipated in time, which accumulates ROS (Zhou et al., 2019). Plants up-regulate the antioxidant enzyme system to scavengethe ROS under stress (Li et al., 2009). The activities of SOD, POD and CAT showed different degrees of changes in high-light-grown P. notoginseng (Figure 9). This is consistent with the results reported by Zhang et al. (2022) that the activation of SOD and POD could avoid photooxidative damage in Pyropia haitanensis grown under high light condition. Overall, high-light-grown P. notoginseng had stronger capability of scavenging ROS and non-photochemical quenching. Moreover, light capture capability was decreased by inhabiting Chl content (as reflected by Chl a, Chl b, and total Chl content) in 29.80% FL-grown P. notoginseng (Figures 1B-D), as has been confirmed by Sato et al. (2015) in A. thaliana grown under high light stress. The degree of PSI photoinhibition is higher than that of PSII photoinhibition in high-light-grown P. notoginseng (Figure 3). PSI photoinhibition in P. notoginseng grown under high light condition was primarily caused by the excess electron transport from PSII to PSI (Huang et al., 2015). PSI activity is protected against photodamage in pgr5 mutants of A. thaliana upon moderate PSII photoinhibition, due to the depression of electron flow from PSII to PSI



# (Tikkanen et al., 2014). Moderate photoinhibition of PSII is a protective response (Huang et al., 2016a; Huang et al., 2018a). $F_v/F_m$ , $\Psi_o$ , $W_K$ and $V_J$ were relatively stable when *P. notoginseng* were exposed to high light (Figures 3B, 10B, 11A, B), as has been confirmed by Thachle et al. (2007) in *Graptophyllum* reticulatum. These results imply that moderate photoinhibition of PSII occurs in high-light-grown *P. notoginseng*. Therefore, the enhanced photosynthetic electron transport and moderate PSII photoinhibition of *P. notoginseng* under high light condition were presented as photoprotection strategies.

## Low light stress damages the acceptor side of PSII

The enhanced absorption and utilization of light energy is a predominated strategy for plants to adapt to low light (Lei et al., 1996; Ruberti et al., 2012), and this has been confirmed in the shade-tolerant species Paeonia veitchii, Paeonia intermedia and Paeonia anomala grown under low light (Wan et al., 2020). ABS/ RC,  $TR_o/RC$ , 1-qP, and  $M_o$  were enhanced in 0.2% FL-grown P. notoginseng (Figures 6B, 10B). The capture and absorption of light energy were improved by the increased active reaction centers per unit area in P. notoginseng grown under low light. Additionally, antenna sizes are increased by enhancing Chl b and LHCII levels in low-light-grown A. thaliana, resulting in higher light capture capability (Sato et al., 2015). The previous observation is consistent with present results that the maximum values of Chl b content were recorded in 5.0% FL-grown P. notoginseng (Figure 1C). These results imply that light capture capability is enhanced by increasing antenna size in P. notoginseng grown under low-light stress.

It has commonly accepted that the state transition is a photoprotective mechanism that improves the utilization of plant light energy by balancing the excitation energy of PSI and PSII (Bailey and Grossman, 2008; Khuong et al., 2019). In the present study, the maximum values of 1-qP were recorded in 0.2% FL plants (Figure 6B). The maintenance of state 1 of P. notoginseng at 0.2% FL may be due to the strong PSII excitation, resulting in high excitation pressure on PSII (Tikkanen et al., 2006). These results imply that PSII reaction centers are inactivated in plants grown under low light, as has been confirmed by Chen and Xu (2006). However, the imbalance between the absorption and utilization of light energy could cause a damage to photosynthetic apparatus (Zavafer et al., 2019; Kodru et al., 2020). Y(II), Y(I), NPQ,  $\varphi D_o$  and  $F_v/F_m$  were decreased in the 0.2% FL individuals, but Y(NO) was increased (Figures 3B, 5A, D, F, 6A, 10B), suggesting that excess light energy could not be effectively dissipated in the form of thermal in lowlight-grown individuals, and it probably lead to the reduction in PSII activity and the damage to PSII. On the other hand, plants would use light energy through photosynthetic electron transport to protect photosynthetic apparatus, and this has been confirmed in the light-demanding species Shorea leprosula and Cerasus cerasoides grown under light stress (Scholes et al., 1996; Yang et al., 2019b). ETRI, ETRII, ETRI -ETRII,  $ET_o/RC$  and  $F_v/F_m$  were reduced in low-light-grown P. notoginseng (0.2% FL or 1.4% FL; Figures 3B, 4, 10B). Low-lightgrown P. notoginseng cannot increase the utilization of light energy by enhancing electron transport. The decline in PSII activity result in the inhibition to electron transport in low-lightgrown P. notoginseng (Figures 3B, 4). This is consistent with the results reported by Huang et al. (2018a) that the decline in electron transport under low light is induced by a decline in PSII



Effect of light regimes on the  $V_{J}$ ,  $W_{k}$  and  $PI_{ABS}$  of *P. notoginseng* leaves. (A)  $V_{\rm J}$  is the relative variable fluorescence intensity at the J-step; (B)  $W_k$  is the K phase in O-J-I-P chlorophyll fluorescence induction curves. (C) Pl<sub>ABS</sub> is the performance index on absorption basis. Values for each point were means  $\pm$  SD (n = 7). Letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05 according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

activity in P. notoginseng. The imbalance between PSI and PSII leads to reduced electron transport (Wen et al., 2005; Sonoike, 2011; Oguchi et al., 2021). The previous observation is consistent with present results that the lower value of ETRI, ETRII and  $\psi_0$ was observed in the 0.2% FL individuals (Figures 4A, B, 10B).

The OJIP kinetic curve reflects the degree of damage to PSII under light stress (Kumar et al., 2020; Lysenko et al., 2021). The appearance of the K-phase in OJIP is related to the injury of PSII donor side, particularly the OEC (Oxygen-evolving complex) (Zhang et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2020). However, evidence is accumulating that K-phase is observed when plants are exposed to environmental stress, and K-phase are more pronounced in shortterm stressed plants compared with long-term stressed individuals (Pagliano et al., 2006; Tóth et al., 2007). The appearance of the Kphase and the high value of  $W_k$  was obtained in *P. notoginseng* grown under long-term 0.2% FL condition (Figures 10, 11B; P <0.05), and this has been confirmed in Rosa hybrida grown under long-term drought stress (Pinior et al., 2005). These results indicate that electron transport is inhibited from electron donor of PSII to the reaction center in low-light-grown individuals, which in turn lead to the OEC injury of PSII donor side.  $M_{o}$ ,  $\Psi_{o}$ ,  $V_{I}$  and  $\varphi E_{o}$ mainly reflects changes in PSII acceptor side (Ayyaz et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2021). V<sub>I</sub> and M<sub>o</sub> were increased, and  $\Psi_{o}$  was decreased in 0.2% FL-grown P. notoginseng compared with other counterparts (Figures 10B, 11A), implying that PSII reaction center is closed, a large amount of oxidized QA is accumulated and the electron transport after QA is inhibited, consequently resulting in a damage to the acceptor side of the PSII. Nevertheless, the increase in  $V_{\rm I}$  and  $W_{\rm k}$  reflects the degree of damage to the acceptor side and the donor side of PSII, respectively (Lu and Zhang, 2000). A similar effect has been observed in Glycine max and Zea mays grown under environmental stress (Li et al., 2009a; Li et al., 2009b).  $V_{\rm J}$  and  $W_{\rm k}$  were significantly increased in 0.2% FL compared with other counterparts, but the increase of  $V_{\rm I}$ was larger than that of  $W_k$  (Figures 11A, B). Anyways, PSII acceptor side is more readily damaged than the donor side in P. notoginseng grown under low light condition.

#### Cyclic electron flow around PSI protects PSI from damage under long-term light stress

Y(I)/Y(II) was activated earlier when PPFD was higher than 36  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> in when *P. notoginseng* were exposed to high light and low light condition (29.8% FL, 0.2% FL; Figure 7A), but ETRI - ETRII in 29.8% FL plants was consistently higher than in 0.2% FL plants (Figure 4C). These results imply that  $\Delta pH$  and ATP might be enhanced in high-light-grown P. notoginseng compared with the counterparts as has been suggested by Miller



#### FIGURE 12

Phenotypic plasticity index of the twenty-two chlorophyll fluorescence variables of photosystem activity, photosynthetic electron transport, light energy distribution, PSII reaction center, the acceptor sides and donor sides of PSII. Means were calculated for seven individuals for each light treatment.



#### FIGURE 13

Photosynthetic adaptive strategies of the shade-tolerant species *P. notoginseng* grown under long-term light stress. Energy dissipation through NPQ predominates in response to high light, electron transport plays an important role in utilizing excess light energy, and the moderate photoinhibition of PSII and higher cyclic electron flow around PSI might avoid the damage of the PSI under high light. The absorbed light energy cannot be effectively dissipated and utilized through NPQ and electron transport under low light. Cyclic electron flow around PSI also cannot completely protect PSII from damage under low light. Blue arrows represent linear electron transport, magenta arrow represents cycle electron transport, red arrows represent absorbed light energy, green arrows represent the capability to dissipate heat, craquelure represent the damage of photosystem. The thickness of the lines represents the strength of electron transport, light energy absorption, and heat dissipation. The black dotted line indicates the transport pathway of H<sup>+</sup>. The black solid line indicates the synthetic path of ATP.

et al. (2020). In addition, high  $\Delta$ pH not only decelerates the damage to PSII by protecting the OEC, but also protect PSI by regulating electron transport from PSII to PSI (Takahashi et al., 2009; Tikkanen et al., 2015). Similarly, cyclic electron flow around PSI plays an essential role in photoprotection for *P. henryi, C. cerasoides* and *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* under high-light (Huang et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2019b; Zhou et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). ETRI - ETRII, NPQ, ETRI and ETRII were increased, *P*<sub>m</sub> was substantially reduced in the 29.8% FL plants (Figures 3A, 4C, 6A), and Y(NPQ), NPQ and Y(ND) have a positive correlation with ETRI - ETRII (Figure 8), suggesting that cyclic electron flow around PSI protects PSI and PII from damage by enhancing thermal dissipation capacity and regulating P700<sup>+</sup> redox state and electron transport in high-light-grown individuals.

Cyclic electron flow around PSI also shows photoprotection in plants exposed to low light (Laisk et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2012a; Huang et al., 2012b; Huang et al., 2019; Flannery et al., 2021). The maximum values of Y(NPQ), NPQ and Y(ND) were recorded in 0.2% FL-grown plants when ETRI - ETRII is lower (Figure 8). High Y(NPQ), NPQ and Y(ND) depend on cyclic electron flow around PSI to produce  $\Delta pH$  in low-light-grown plants (Munekage et al., 2004). ETRI - ETRII was reduced in the 0.2% FL plants when PPFD is above the value of 272  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 4C), indicating that cyclic electron flow around PSI could not build up a sufficient ΔpH to protect PSII from photodamage in low-light-grown P. notoginseng. Severe photoinhibition to PSII would limit the transport of electrons from PSII to PSI, which in turn prevents damage to PSI (Huang et al., 2015). PSII activity and ETRII were drastically decreased when plants were exposed to low light (1.4% FL & 0.2% FL; Figures 3B, 4B), but  $P_{\rm m}$  was relatively stable (Figure 3A). The results obtained herein suggest that severe photoinhibition to PSII protects PSI from photodamage in low-light grown P. notoginseng. Overall, cyclic electron flow around PSI cannot completely protect PSII from damage under low light stress, but can prevent PSI photodamage.

#### Conclusions

A model of photosynthetic adaptive strategies was proposed in the typically shade-tolerant species, such as *P. notoginseng*, grown under long-term light stress (Figure 13). The energy dissipation through NPQ predominates in high-light-grown shade-tolerant species. Meanwhile, moderate photoinhibition to PSII and high cyclic electron flow around PSI might avoid the damage to PSI in high-light-grown shade-tolerant species. However, absorbed light energy cannot be effectively dissipated and utilized through NPQ and electron transport in low-lightgrown shade-tolerant species. Additionally, cyclic electron flow around PSI also cannot completely protect PSII from damage in low-light-grown shade-tolerant species. PSI photoinhibition is the underlying sensitivity of the shade-tolerant species to high light, and the photodamage to PSII acceptor side might cause the shade-tolerant species to be unsuitable for long-term low light.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

#### Author contributions

All authors contributed to the conception and design of the study. ZC and J-WC planned and designed the experiments. ZC and X-ZX measured photosynthetic data. ZC, J-YZ, and H-MW analyzed the photosynthetic data. ZC and S-PS plotted the graph. J-WC supervised the data acquisition. ZC, T-XA, and J-WC drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

#### Funding

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81860676 and 32160248), the Major Special Science and Technology Project of Yunnan Province (202102AA310048), the National Key Research and Development Plan of China (2021YFD1601003), and the Innovative Research Team of Science and Technology in Yunnan Province (202105AE160016).

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.1095726/full#supplementary-material

#### References

Aebi, H. (1984). Catalase in vitro. Methods Enzymol. 105, 121–126. doi: 10.1016/ s0076-6879(84)05016-3

Ayyaz, A., Amir, M., Umer, S., Iqbal, M., Bano, H., Gul, H. S., et al. (2020). Melatonin induced changes in photosynthetic efficiency as probed by OJIP associated with improved chromium stress tolerance in canola (*Brassica napus* 1.). *Heliyon* 6 (7), e04364. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04364

Bailey, S., and Grossman, A. (2008). Photoprotection in cyanobacteria: Regulation of light harvesting. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 84 (6), 1410-1420. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.2008.00453.x

Barth, C., Krause, G. H., and Winter, K. (2001). Responses of photosystem I compared with photosystem II to high-light stress in tropical shade and sun leaves. *Plant Cell Environ.* 24 (2), 163–176. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2001.00673.x

Bascuñán-Godoy, L., Sanhueza, C., Hernández, C. E., Cifuentes, L., Pinto, K., Álvarez, R., et al. (2018). Nitrogen supply affects photosynthesis and photoprotective attributes during drought-induced senescence in quinoa. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00994

Belgio, E., Trsková, E., Kotabová, E., Ewe, D., Prášil, O., and Kaňa, R. (2018). High light acclimation of *Chromera velia* points to photoprotective NPQ. *Photosynth. Res.* 135 (1-3), 263–274. doi: 10.1007/s11120-017-0385-8

Bosch, R., Philips, N., Suárez-Pérez, J. A., Juarranz, A., Devmurari, A., Chalensouk-Khaosaat, J., et al. (2015). Mechanisms of photoaging and cutaneous photocarcinogenesis, and photoprotective strategies with phytochemicals. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 4 (2), 248–268. doi: 10.3390/antiox4020248

Chang, F., Zhang, L., Dong, Q., Luan, H., Jia, P., Qi, G., et al. (2023). The anatomical structure character of raspberry stems is a key factor affecting its cold resistance. *Flora.* 298, 152196. doi: 10.1016/j.flora.2022.152196

Chen, J. W., Kuang, S. B., Long, G. Q., Meng, Z. G., Li, L. G., Chen, Z. J., et al. (2014). Steady-state and dynamic photosynthetic performance and nitrogen partitioning in the shade-demanding plant *Panax notoginseng* under different levels of growth irradiance. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 362409–2420 (9). doi: 10.1007/s11738-014-1614-9

Chen, J. W., Kuang, S. B., Long, G. Q., Yang, S. C., Meng, Z. G., Li, L. G., et al. (2016). Photosynthesis, light energy partitioning, and photoprotection in the shade-demanding species *Panax notoginseng* under high and low level of growth irradiance. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 43 (6), 479–491. doi: 10.1071/FP15283

Chen, Y. E., Mao, H. T., Wu, N., Mohi Ud Din, A., Khan, A., Zhang, H. Y., et al. (2020). Salicylic acid protects photosystem ii by alleviating photoinhibition in *Arabidopsis thaliana* under high light. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 211229 (4). doi: 10.3390/ ijms21041229

Chen, Y., and Xu, D. Q. (2006). Two patterns of leaf photosynthetic response to irradiance transition from saturating to limiting one in some plant species. *New Phytol.* 169 (4), 789–797. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01624.x

Crafts-Brandner, S. J., and Salvucci, M. E. (2002). Sensitivity of photosynthesis in a C4 plant, maize, to heat stress. *Plant Physiol.* 129 (4), 1773–1780. doi: 10.1104/ pp.002170

Demmig-Adams, B., and Adams, III. W. W. (1992). Photoprotection and other responses of plants to high light stress. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 43, 599–626. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.43.060192.003123

de Wit, M., Galvão, V. C., and Fankhauser, C. (2016). Light-mediated hormonal regulation of plant growth and development. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 67, 513–537. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-112252

Eggenberg, P., Rensburg, L. V., Krüger, H. J., and Strasser, R. J. (1995). "Screening criteria for drought tolerance in nicotiana tabacum l. derived from the polyphasic rise of the chlorophyll a fluorescence transient (O-J-I-P)," in *Photosynthesis: From light to biosphere*, vol. 4. Ed. P. Mathis (Dordrecht: KAP Press), 661-664.

Fan, D. Y., Fitzpatrick, D., Oguchi, R., Ma, W., Kou, J., and Chow, W. S. (2016). Obstacles in the quantification of the cyclic electron flux around photosystem I in leaves of C3 plants. *Photosynth. Res.* 129 (3), 239–251. doi: 10.1007/s11120-016-0223-4

Flannery, S. E., Hepworth, C., Wood, W. H. J., Pastorelli, F., Hunter, C. N., Dickman, M. J., et al. (2021). Developmental acclimation of the thylakoid proteome to light intensity in *Arabidopsis. Plant J.* 105 (1), 223–244. doi: 10.1111/tpj.15053

Force, L., Critchley, C., and van Rensen, J. J. (2003). New fluorescence parameters for monitoring photosynthesis in plants. *Photosynth. Res.* 78 (1), 13–33. doi: 10.1023/A:1026012116709

Genty, B., Bernard, J. M., and Baker, N. R. (1989). The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 990 (1), 87–92. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4165(89) 80016-9

Genty, B., and Harbinson, J. (1996). "Regulation of light utilization for photosynthetic electron transport," in *Photosynthesis and the environment. advances in photosynthesis and respiration*, vol. 5 . Ed. N. R. Baker (Dordrecht: Springer). doi: 10.1007/0-306-48135-9\_3

Gerganova, M. T., Faik, A. K., and Velitchkova, M. Y. (2019). Acquired tolerance of the photosynthetic apparatus to photoinhibition as a result of growing *Solanum lycopersicum* at moderately higher temperature and light intensity. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 46 (6), 555–566. doi: 10.1071/FP18264

Gerganova, M., Popova, A. V., Stanoeva, D., and Velitchkova, M. (2016). Tomato plants acclimate better to elevated temperature and high light than to treatment with each factor separately. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 104, 234–241. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.03.030

Giannopolitis, C. N., and Ries, S. K. (1977). Superoxide dismutases: I. occurrence in higher plants. *Plant Physiol.* 59 (2), 309–314. doi: 10.1104/pp.59.2.309

Goulet, F., and Pierre, B. (1986). Leaf morphology plasticity in response to light environment in deciduous tree species and its implication on forest succession. *Can. J. For. Res.* 16 (6), 1192–1195. doi: 10.1139/x86-212

Gratani, L., and Bombelli, A. (2000). Leaf anatomy, inclination, and gas exchange relationships in evergreen sclerophyllous and drought semideciduous shrub species. *Photosynthetica* 37, 573–585. doi: 10.1023/A:1007171525298

Gu, D. D., Wang, W. Z., Hu, J. D., Zhang, X. M., Wang, J. B., and Wang, B. S. (2016). Nondestructive determination of total chlorophyll content in maize using three-wavelength diffuse reflectance. *J. Appl. Spectrosc.* 83, 541–547. doi: 10.1007/s10812-016-0325-y

Han, J., Gu, L., Warren, J. M., Guha, A., Mclennan, D. A., Zhang, W., et al. (2022). The roles of photochemical and non-photochemical quenching in regulating photosynthesis depend on the phases of fluctuating light conditions. *Tree Physiol.* 42 (4), 848–861. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpab133

Hendrickson, L., Furbank, R. T., and Chow, W. S. (2004). A simple alternative approach to assessing the fate of absorbed light energy using chlorophyll fluorescence. *Photosynth. Res.* 82 (1), 73-81. doi: 10.1023/B: PRES.0000040446.87305.f4

Howard, M. M., Bae, A., and Königer, M. (2019). The importance of chloroplast movement, nonphotochemical quenching, and electron transport rates in light acclimation and tolerance to high light in. *Arabidopsis thaliana Am. J. Bot.* 106 (11), 1444–1453. doi: 10.1002/ajb2.1378

Huang, W., Yang, Y. J., Hu, H., and Zhang, S. B. (2016a). Moderate photoinhibition of photosystem II protects photosystem I from photodamage at chilling stress in tobacco leaves. *Front. Plant Sci. 7.* doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00182

Huang, W., Yang, Y. J., Hu, H., and Zhang, S. B. (2019). Different roles of cyclic electron flow around photosystem I under sub-saturating and saturating light intensities in tobacco leaves. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00923

Huang, W., Yang, Y. J., Zhang, J. L., Hu, H., and Zhang, S. B. (2016b). PSI photoinhibition is more related to electron transfer from PSII to PSI rather than PSI redox state in *Psychotria rubra. Photosynth. Res.* 129 (1), 85–92. doi: 10.1007/s11120-016-0275-5

Huang, W., Yang, Y. J., Zhang, J. L., Hu, H., and Zhang, S. (2017). Superoxide generated in the chloroplast stroma causes photoinhibition of photosystem I in the shade-establishing tree species *Psychotria henryi*. *Photosynth. Res.* 132 (3), 293–303. doi: 10.1007/s11120-017-0389-4

Huang, W., Yang, Y. J., Zhang, S. B., and Liu, T. (2018b). Cyclic electron flow around photosystem I promotes ATP synthesis possibly helping the rapid repair of photodamaged photosystem II at low light. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2018.00239

Huang, W., Yang, S. J., Zhang, S. B., Zhang, J. L., and Cao, K. F. (2012a). Cyclic electron flow plays an important role in photoprotection for the resurrection plant *Paraboea rufescens* under drought stress. *Planta* 235 (4), 819–828. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1544-3

Huang, W., Zhang, S. B., and Cao, K. F. (2010). Stimulation of cyclic electron flow during recovery after chilling-induced photoinhibition of PSII. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 51 (11), 1922–1928. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcq144

Huang, W., Zhang, S. B., and Cao, K. F. (2011). Cyclic electron flow plays an important role in photoprotection of tropical trees illuminated at temporal chilling temperature. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 52 (2), 297–305. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcq166

Huang, W., Zhang, S. B., and Cao, K. F. (2012b). Physiological role of cyclic electron floe in higher plants. *Plant Sci. J.* 30, 100-106. doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1142.2012.10100

Huang, W., Zhang, S. B., and Liu, T. (2018a). Moderate photoinhibition of photosystem II significantly affects linear electron flow in the shade-demanding plant *Panax notoginseng. Front. Plant Sci.* 9. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00637

Huang, W., Zhang, S. B., Zhang, J. L., and Hu, H. (2015). Photoinhibition of photosystem I under high light in the shade-established tropical tree species *Psychotria rubra. Front. Plant Sci.* 6. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00801

Ishida, S., Uebayashi, N., Tazoe, Y., Ikeuchi, M., Homma, K., Sato, F., et al. (2014). Diurnal and developmental changes in energy allocation of absorbed light at PSII in field-grown rice. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 55 (1), 171–182. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pct169

Kalmatskaya, O. A., Trubitsin, B. V., Suslichenko, I. S., Karavaev, V. A., and Tikhonov, A. N. (2020). Electron transport in *Tradescantia* leaves acclimated to high and low light: Thermoluminescence, PAM-fluorometry, and EPR studies. *Photosynth. Res.* 146 (1-3), 123–141. doi: 10.1007/s11120-020-00767-2

Kang, H. X., Zhu, X. G., Yamori, W. , and Tang, Y. H. (2020). Concurrent increases in leaf temperature with light accelerate photosynthetic induction in tropical tree seedlings. *Front. Plant Sci.* 111216. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.01216

Kautsky, H., and Hirsch, A. (1931). Neue versuche zur kohlensäureassimilation. Naturwissenschaften 19, 964.

Khan, N., Essemine, J., Hamdani, S., Qu, M., Lyu, M. A., Perveen, S., et al. (2021). Natural variation in the fast phase of chlorophyll a fluorescence induction curve (OJIP) in a global rice minicore panel. *Photosynth. Res.* 150, 137–158. doi: 10.1007/ s11120-020-00794-z

Khuong, T. T. H., Robaglia, C., and Caffarri, S. (2019). Photoprotection and growth under different lights of arabidopsis single and double mutants for energy dissipation (*npq4*) and state transitions (*pph1*). *Plant Cell Rep.* 38 (6), 741–753. doi: 10.1007/s00299-019-02403-3

Kim, S. J., Lee, C. H., Hope, A. B., and Chow, W. S. (2005). Photosystem I acceptor side limitation is a prerequisite for the reversible decrease in the maximum extent of P700 oxidation after short-term chilling in the light in four plant species with different chilling sensitivities. *Physiol. Plant* 123, 100–107. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2005.00443.x

Kim, E., Watanabe, A., Duffy, C. D. P., Ruban, A. V., and Minagawa, J. (2020). Multimeric and monomeric photosystem II supercomplexes represent structural adaptations to low- and high-light conditions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295 (43), 14537– 14545. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA120.014198

Klughammer, C., and Schreiber, U. (2008). Saturation pulse method for assessment of energy conversion in PSI. PAM Appl. Notes 1, 11–14.

Kodru, S., Ur Rehman, A., and Vass, I. (2020). Chloramphenicol enhances photosystem II photodamage in intact cells of the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. *Photosynth. Res.* 145 (3), 227–235. doi: 10.1007/s11120-020-00784-1

Ko, S. S., Jhong, C. M., Lin, Y. J., Wei, C. Y., Lee, J. Y. , and Shih, M. C. (2020). Blue light mediates chloroplast avoidance and enhances photoprotection of *Vanilla* orchid. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (21), 8022. doi: 10.3390/ijms21218022

Kono, M., and Terashima, I. (2014). Long-term and short-term responses of the photosynthetic electron transport to fluctuating light. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. 137, 89–99. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2014.02.016

Kuang, S. B., Xu, X. Z., Meng, Z. G., Zhang, G. H., Yang, S. C., Chen, Z. J., et al. (2015). Effects of light transmittance on plant growth and root ginsenoside content of *Panax notoginseng. Chin. J. Appl. Environ. Biol.* 21 (2), 279–286. doi: 10.3724/SP.I.1145.2014.08002

Kuang, S. B., Xu, X. Z., Yang, S. C., Zhang, G. H., Meng, Z. G., Long, G. P., et al. (2014a). Effects of different light qualities and transmittances on growth of *Panax notoginseng* seedlings. *J. South Agric.* 45 (11), 1935–1942. doi: 10.3969/j:issn.2095-1191.2014.11.1935

Kuang, S. B., Zhang, G. H., Chen, Z. J., Wei, F. G., Yang, S. C., and Chen, J. W. (2014b). Change in morphological and growth indexes of *Panax notoginseng* seedling under different light conditions. *J. Plant Resour. Environ.* 23 (2), 54–59. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1674-7895.2014.02.08

Kumar, D., Singh, H., Raj, S., and Soni, V. (2020). Chlorophyll a fluorescence kinetics of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* 1.) grown under artificial continuous light. *Biochem. Biophys. Rep.* 24, 100813. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrep.2020.100813

Laisk, A., Eichelmann, H., Oja, V., and Peterson, R. B. (2005). Control of cytochrome b6f at low and high light intensity and cyclic electron transport in leaves. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1708 (1), 79–90. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio. 2005.01.007

Lei, T. T., Tabuchi, R., Kitao, M., and Koike, T. (1996). The functional relationship between chlorophyll content, leaf reflectance, and light capturing efficiency of Japanese forest species under natural shade and open light regimes. *Physiol. Plant* 96, 411–418. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1996.tb00452.x

Li, P., Cheng, L., Gao, H., Jiang, C., and Peng, T. (2009a). Heterogeneous behavior of PSII in soybean (*Glycine max*) leaves with identical PSII photochemistry efficiency under different high temperature treatments. *J. Plant Physiol.* 166 (15), 1607–1615. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2009.04.013

Li, G., Gao, H. Y., Zhao, B., Dong, S. T., Zhang, J. W., Yang, J. S., et al. (2009b). Effects of drought stress on activity of photosystems in leaves of maize at grain filling stage. *Acta Agron. Sin.* 35, 1916–1922. doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1006.2009.01916

Li, Z., Wakao, S., Fischer, B. B., and Niyogi, K. K. (2009). Sensing and responding to excess light. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 60, 239–260. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.arplant.58.032806

Lu, C. M., and Zhang, J. H. (2000). Heat-induced multiple effects on PSII in wheat plants. J. Plant Physiol. 156 (2), 259–265. doi: 10.1016/S0176-1617(00) 80315-6

Lysenko, V., Kosolapov, A., Usova, E., Tatosyan, M., Varduny, T., Dmitriev, P., et al. (2021). Chlorophyll fluorescence kinetics and oxygen evolution in *Chlorella vulgaris* cells: Blue vs. red light. *J. Plant Physiol.*, 258–259, 153392. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2021.153392

Martins, S. C., Araújo, W. L., Tohge, T., Fernie, A. R., and DaMatta, F. M. (2014). In high-light-acclimated coffee plants the metabolic machinery is adjusted to avoid oxidative stress rather than to benefit from extra light enhancement in photosynthetic yield. *PloS One* 9 (4), e94862. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0094862

Mathur, S., Jain, L., and Jajoo, A. (2018). Photosynthetic efficiency in sun and shade plants. *Photosynthetica* 56, 354–365. doi: 10.1007/s11099-018-0767-y

Miller, N. T., Vaughn, M. D., and Burnap, R. L. (2020). Electron flow through NDH-1 complexes is the major driver of cyclic electron flow-dependent proton pumping in cyanobacteria. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.* 1862 (3), 148354. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2020.148354

Mishanin, V. I., Trubitsin, B. V., Benkov, M. A., Minin, A. A., and Tikhonov, A. N. (2016). Light acclimation of shade-tolerant and light-resistant *Tradescantia* species: induction of chlorophyll a fluorescence and P700 photooxidation, expression of PsbS and Lhcb1 proteins. *Photosynth. Res.* 130 (1-3), 275–291. doi: 10.1007/s11120-016-0252-z

Mishanin, V. I., Trubitsin, B. V., Patsaeva, S. V., Ptushenko, V. V., Solovchenko, A. E., and Tikhonov, A. N. (2017). Acclimation of shade-tolerant and lightresistant *Tradescantia* species to growth light: Chlorophyll a fluorescence, electron transport, and xanthophyll content. *Photosynth. Res.* 133 (1-3), 87–102. doi: 10.1007/s11120-017-0339-1

Miyake, C., Miyata, M., Shinzaki, Y., and Tomizawa, K. (2005). CO<sub>2</sub> response of cyclic electron flow around PSI (CEF-PSI) in tobacco leaves–relative electron fluxes through PSI and PSII determine the magnitude of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) of chl fluorescence. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 46 (4), 629–637. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pci067

Munekage, Y., Hashimoto, M., Miyake, C., Tomizawa, K., Endo, T., Tasaka, M., et al. (2004). Cyclic electron flow around photosystem I is essential for photosynthesis. *Nature* 429 (6991), 579–582. doi: 10.1038/nature02598

Murata, N., Takahashi, S., Nishiyama, Y., and Allakhverdiev, S. I. (2007). Photoinhibition of photosystem II under environmental stress. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1767 (6), 414–421. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2006.11.019

Niyogi, K. K., and Truong, T. B. (2013). Evolution of flexible non-photochemical quenching mechanisms that regulate light harvesting in oxygenic photosynthesis. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 16 (3), 307–314. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2013.03.011

Oguchi, R., Terashima, I., and Chow, W. S. (2021). The effect of different spectral light quality on the photoinhibition of photosystem I in intact leaves. *Photosynth. Res.* 149, 83–92. doi: 10.1007/s11120-020-00805-z

Osmond, C. B., Chow, W. S., and Robinson, S. A. (2021). Inhibition of nonphotochemical quenching increases functional absorption cross-section of photosystem II as excitation from closed reaction centres is transferred to open centres, facilitating earlier light saturation of photosynthetic electron transport. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 49 (6), 463–482. doi: 10.1071/FP20347

Oxborough, K., and Baker, N. R. (1997). Resolving chlorophyll a fluorescence images of photosynthetic efficiency into photochemical and non-photochemical components-calculation of qP and Fv'/Fm' without measuring fo'. *Photosynth. Res.* 54, 135–142. doi: 10.1023/A:1005936823310

Pagliano, C., Raviolo, M., Dalla Vecchia, F., Gabbrielli, R., Gonnelli, C., Rascio, N., et al. (2006). Evidence for PSII donor-side damage and photoinhibition induced by cadmium treatment on rice (*Oryza sativa* 1.). J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. 84 (1), 70–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2006.01.012

Pastenes, C., Pimentel, P., and Lillo, J. (2005). Leaf movements and photoinhibition in relation to water stress in field-grown beans. J. Exp. Bot. 56 (411), 425–433. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eri061

Pérez-Patricio, M., Camas-Anzueto, J. L., Sanchez-Alegría, A., Aguilar-González, A., Gutiérrez-Miceli, F., Escobar-Gómez, E., et al. (2018). Optical method for estimating the chlorophyll contents in plant leaves. *Sensors (Basel)* 18 (2), 650. doi: 10.3390/s18020650

Pinior, A., Grunewaldt-Stöcker, G., von Alten, H., and Strasser, R. J. (2005). Mycorrhizal impact on drought stress tolerance of rose plants probed by chlorophyll a fluorescence, proline content and visual scoring. *Mycorrhiza* 15 (8), 596–605. doi: 10.1007/s00572-005-0001-1

Ruberti, I., Sessa, G., Ciolfi, A., Possenti, M., Carabelli, M., and Morelli, G. (2012). Plant adaptation to dynamically changing environment: The shade avoidance response. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 30 (5), 1047–1058. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.08.014

Rylski, I., and Spigelman, M. (1986). Effect of shading on plant development, yield and fruit quality of sweet pepper grown under conditions of high temperature and radlation. *Sci. Hortic-Amsterdam* 29 (1-2), 31–35. doi: 10.1016/0304-4238(86) 90028-2

Sagun, J. V., Badger, M. R., Chow, W. S., and Ghannoum, O. (2019). Cyclic electron flow and light partitioning between the two photosystems in leaves of plants with different functional types. *Photosynth. Res.* 142 (3), 321-334. doi: 10.1007/s11120-019-00666-1

Sato, R., Ito, H., and Tanaka, A. (2015). Chlorophyll b degradation by chlorophyll b reductase under high-light conditions. *Photosynth. Res.* 126, 249–259. doi: 10.1007/s11120-015-0145-6

Scholes, J. D., Press, M. C., and Zipperlen, S. W. (1996). Differences in light energy utilisation and dissipation between dipterocarp rain forest tree seedlings. –. *Oecologia* 109 (1), 41–48. doi: 10.1007/s004420050056

Schreiber, U., Bilger, W., and Schliwa, U. (1986). Continuous recording of photochemical and non-photochemical chlorophyll fluorescence quenching with a new type of modulation fluorometer. *Photosynth. Res.* 10, 51. doi: 10.1007/BF00024185

Schreiber, U., and Klughammer, C. (2021). Evidence for variable chlorophyll fluorescence of photosystem I *in vivo. Photosynth. Res.* 149 (1-2), 213–231. doi: 10.1007/s11120-020-00814-y

Schreiber, U., Neubauer, C., and Klughammer, C. (1989). Devices and methods for room-temperature fluorescence analysis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc Lond. B.* 323, 241–251. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1989.0007

Shi, Y., Ke, X., Yang, X., Liu, Y., and Hou, X. (2022). Plants response to light stress. J. Genet. Genomics 49 (8), 735–747. doi: 10.1016/j.jgg.2022.04.017

Sma-Air, S., and Ritchie, R. J. (2020). Photosynthesis in a Vanda sp orchid with photosynthetic roots. J. Plant Physiol. 251, 153187. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2020.153187

Sonoike, K. (2011). Photoinhibition of photosystem I. *Physiol. Plant* 142 (1), 56–64. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2010.01437.x

Strasser, R. J. (1981). "The grouping model of plant photosynthesis: Heterogeneity of photosynthetic units in thylakoids," in *Photosynthesis III. structure and molecular organization of the photosynthetic apparatus.* Ed. G. Akoyunoglou (Philadelphia: BISS Press), 727-737.

Strasser, R. J., Srivastava, A., and Tsimilli-Michael, M. (2000). "The fluorescence transient as a tool to characterize and screen photosynthetic samples," in *Probing photosynthesis: Mechanism, regulation and adaptation.* Eds. M. Yunus, U. Pathre and P. Mohanty (London: Taylor and Francis Press), 445–483.

Strasser, B. J., and Strasser, R. J. (1995). "Measuring fast fluorescence transients to address environmental questions: The JIP test," in *Photosynthesis: From light to biosphere*, vol. 5 . Ed. P. Mathis (Dordrecht: KAP Press), 977–980.

Strasser, R. J., Tsimill-Michael, M., and Srivastava, A. (2004). "Analysis of the chlorophyll a fluorescence transient," in *Advances in photosynthesis and respiration*. Eds. G. Papageorgiou and Govindjee, (Netherlands: KAP Press), 1–42.

Sun, H., Shi, Q., Zhang, S. B., and Huang, W. (2021). Coordination of cyclic electron flow and water-water cycle facilitates photoprotection under fluctuating light and temperature stress in the epiphytic orchid *Dendrobium officinale. Plants* (*Basel*) 10 (3), 606. doi: 10.3390/plants10030606

Szyma'nska, R., Slesak, I., Orzechowska, A., and Kruk, J. (2017). Physiological and biochemical responses to high light and temperature stress in plants. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* S0098-8472 (17), 30106–30105. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2017.05.002

Takagi, D., Amako, K., Hashiguchi, M., Fukaki, H., Ishizaki, K., Goh, T., et al. (2017). Chloroplastic ATP synthase builds up a proton motive force preventing production of reactive oxygen species in photosystem I. *Plant J.* 91 (2), 306–324. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13566

Takahashi, S., Milward, S. E., Fan, D. Y., Chow, W. S., and Badger, M. R. (2009). How does cyclic electron flow alleviate photoinhibition in *Arabidopsis? Plant Physiol.* 149 (3), 1560–1567. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.134122

Thachle, B., Shapcott, A., Schmidt, S., and Critchley, C. (2007). The OJIP fast fluorescence rise characterizes *graptophyllum* species and their stress responses. *Photosynth. Res.* 94 (2-3), 423–436. doi: 10.1007/s11120-007-9207-8

Theune, M. L., Hildebrandt, S., Steffen-Heins, A., Bilger, W., Gutekunst, K., and Appel, J. (2021). In-vivo quantification of electron flow through photosystem I - cyclic electron transport makes up about 35% in a cyanobacterium. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.* 1862 (3), 148353. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2020.148353

Tikhonov, A. N. (2013). pH-dependent regulation of electron transport and ATP synthesis in chloroplasts. *Photosynth. Res.* 116 (2-3), 511–534. doi: 10.1007/s11120-013-9845-y

Tikkanen, M., Mekala, N. R., and Aro, E. M. (2014). Photosystem II photoinhibition-repair cycle protects photosystem I from irreversible damage. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1837 (1), 210–215. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2013.10.001

Tikkanen, M., Piippo, M., Suorsa, M., Sirpiö, S., Mulo, P., Vainonen, J., et al. (2006). State transitions revisited-a buffering system for dynamic low light

acclimation of. Arabidopsis Plant Mol. Biol. 62 (4-5), 779-793. doi: 10.1007/s11103-006-9044-8

Tikkanen, M., Rantala, S., and Aro, E. M. (2015). Electron flow from PSII to PSI under high light is controlled by PGR5 but not by PSBS. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00521

Tóth, S. Z., Schansker, G., Garab, G., and Strasser, R. J. (2007). Photosynthetic electron transport activity in heat-treated barley leaves: The role of internal alternative electron donors to photosystem II. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1767 (4), 295–305. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2007.02.019

Townsend, A. J., Retkute, R., Chinnathambi, K., Randall, J. W. P., Foulkes, J., Carmo-Silva, E., et al. (2018a). Suboptimal acclimation of photosynthesis to light in wheat canopies. *Plant Physiol.* 176 (2), 1233–1246. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.01213

Townsend, A. J., Saccon, F., Giovagnetti, V., Wilson, S., Ungerer, P., and Ruban, A. V. (2018b). The causes of altered chlorophyll fluorescence quenching induction in the *Arabidopsis* mutant lacking all minor antenna complexes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.* 1859 (9), 666–675. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2018.03.005

Townsend, A. J., Ware, M. A., and Ruban, A. V. (2018c). Dynamic interplay between photodamage and photoprotection in photosystem II. *Plant Cell Environ*. 41 (5), 1098–1112. doi: 10.1111/pce.13107

Valladares, F., and Niinemets, Ü. (2008). Shade tolerance, a key plant feature of complex nature and consequences. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. S.* 39, 237–257. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173506

Wang, W. B., Kim, Y. H., Lee, H. S., Kim, K. Y., Deng, X. P., and Kwak, S. S. (2009). Analysis of antioxidant enzyme activity during germination of alfalfa under salt and drought stresses. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 47 (7), 570–577. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2009.02.009

Wan, Y. L., Zhang, Y. X., Zhang, M., Hong, A. Y., Yang, H. Y., and Liu, Y. (2020). Shade effects on growth, photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters of three *Paeonia* species. *PeerJ* 8, e9316. doi: 10.7717/peerj.9316

Ware, M. A., Hunstiger, D., Cantrell, M., and Peers, G. (2020). A chlorophyte alga utilizes alternative electron transport for primary photoprotection. *Plant Physiol.* 183 (4), 1735–1748. doi: 10.1104/pp.20.00373

Wei, Z., Duan, F., Sun, X., Song, X., and Zhou, W. (2021). Leaf photosynthetic and anatomical insights into mechanisms of acclimation in rice in response to long-term fluctuating light. *Plant Cell Environ.* 44 (3), 747–761. doi: 10.1111/ pce.13954

Wen, X., Qiu, N., Lu, Q., and Lu, C. (2005). Enhanced thermo tolerance of photosystem II in salt-adapted plants of the halophyte *Artemisia anethifolia*. *Planta* 220 (3), 486–497. doi: 10.1007/s00425-004-1382-7

Wu, H. M., Shuang, S. P., Zhang, J. Y., Cun, Z., Meng, Z. G., Li, L. G., et al. (2021). Photodamage to photosystem in a typically shade-tolerant species *Panax* notoginseng exposed to a sudden increase in growth light intensity. *Chin. J. Plant Ecol.* 45, 404–419. doi: 10.17521/cjpe.2021.0013

Xiong, D., Flexas, J., Yu, T., Peng, S., and Huang, J. (2017). Leaf anatomy mediates coordination of leaf hydraulic conductance and mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> in oryza. *New Phytol.* 213 (2), 572–583. doi: 10.1111/nph.14186

Xue, L. (2020). Photosynthetic characteristics and leaf anatomical structure of five precious tree species under shading condition (Hunan, China: Central South University of Forestry and Technology).

Xu, X. Z., Zhang, J. Y., Zhang, G. H., Long, G. Q., Yang, S. C., Chen, Z. J., et al. (2018). Effects of light intensity on photosynthetic capacity an light energy allocation in *Panax notoginseng. Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* 29, 193–204. doi: 10.13287/j.1001-9332.201801.008

Yamori, W., Makino, A., and Shikanai, T. (2016). A physiological role of cyclic electron transport around photosystem I in sustaining photosynthesis under fluctuating light in rice. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 20147. doi: 10.1038/srep20147

Yang, Y. J., Ding, X. X., and Huang, W. (2019a). Stimulation of cyclic electron flow around photosystem I upon a sudden transition from low to high light in two angiosperms *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Bletilla striata*. *Plant Sci.* 287, 110166. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110166

Yang, Y. J., Zhang, S. B., Wang, J. H., and Huang, W. (2019b). Photosynthetic regulation under fluctuating light in field-grown *Cerasus cerasoides*: A comparison of young and mature leaves. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.* 1860 (11), 148073. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2019.148073

Yusuf, M. A., Kumar, D., Rajwanshi, R., Strasser, R. J., Tsimilli-Michael, M., Govindjee., et al. (2010). Overexpression of gamma-tocopherol methyl transferase gene in transgenic *Brassica juncea* plants alleviates abiotic stress: physiological and chlorophyll a fluorescence measurements. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1797 (8), 1428–1438. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2010.02.002

Zavafer, A., Iermak, I., Cheah, M. H., and Chow, W. S. (2019). Two quenchers formed during photodamage of phostosystem II and the role of one quencher in preemptive photoprotection. *Sci. Rep.* 9 (1), 17275. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-53030-7

Zhang, J. Y., Cun, Z., and Chen, J. W. (2020). Photosynthetic performance and photosynthesis-related gene expression coordinated in a shade-tolerant species *Panax notoginseng* under nitrogen regimes. *BMC Plant Biol.* 20 (1), 273. doi: 10.1186/s12870-020-02434-z

Zhang, H., Jiang, Y., He, Z., and Ma, M. (2005). Cadmium accumulation and oxidative burst in garlic (*Allium sativum*). *J. Plant Physiol.* 162 (9), 977–984. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2004.10.001

Zhang, S., and Scheller, H. V. (2001). Photoinhibition of photosystem I at chilling temperature and subsequent recovery in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 45 (11), 1595–1602. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pch180

Zhang, J. Y., Xu, X. Z., Kuang, S. B., Cun, Z., and Chen, J. W. (2021). Constitutive activation of genes involved in triterpene saponins enhances the accumulation of saponins in three-year-old Panax notoginseng growing under moderate light intensity. *Ind. Crops and Prod.* 171 (36), 113938. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113938

Zhang, B., Xu, Y., Xu, K., Ji, D., Chen, C., Wang, W., et al. (2022). Molecular mechanism of *Pyropia haitanensis* in response to high light stress. *J. Fisheries China* 46 (11), 2066–2075. doi: 10.11964/jfc.20211013091

Zhang, Q., Zhang, T. J., Chow, W. S., Xie, X., Chen, Y. J., and Peng, C. L. (2015). Photosynthetic characteristics and light energy conversions under different light environments in five tree species occupying dominant status at different stages of subtropical forest succession. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 42 (7), 609–619. doi: 10.1071/ FP14355

Zhang, H., Zhong, H., Wang, J., Sui, X., and Xu, N. (2016). Adaptive changes in chlorophyll content and photosynthetic features to low light in *Physocarpus amurensis* maxim and *Physocarpus opulifolius* "Diabolo". *PeerJ* 4, e2125. doi: 10.7717/peerj.2125

Zhou, N. N., Feng, S. P., Gao, X. S., Luo, X., and Wu, Y. Y. (2019). Photoinhibition of plants photosynthesis: Research progress. *Chin. Agric. Sci. Bull.* 35, 116-123.

Zhou, L., Gao, S., Wu, S., Han, D., Wang, H., Gu, W., et al. (2020). PGRL1 overexpression in *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* inhibits growth and reduces apparent PSII activity. *Plant J.* 103 (5), 1850–1857. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14872

Zuo, D. Y., Kuang, S. B., Zhang, G. H., Long, G. Q., Meng, Z. G., Chen, Z. J., et al. (2014). Eco-physiological adaptation of *Panax notoginseng* to different light intensity. *J. Yunnan Agric. Univ.* 29, 521–527. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1004-390X (n).2014.04.010

### Glossary

CATCatalaseCEFCycle electron flowC_iIntercellular CO2 concentrationCoddStomatal conductanceDL/ RCEnergy dissipation per RCETRIElectron transport rate of PSIETRIElectron transport rate of PSIETRIElectron transport rate of PSIFT/ RCTrapping energy used for electron transport per RCFnThe minimum fluorescence after dark-adaptation;FmThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_wThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_wThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_wThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_wThe maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating pointsMoApproximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPlassSaborbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBPmThe maximum CO2 assimilation ratePapPhotosynthetis ratePDDProxidasePFIPDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem IIRdDark respiration ratesSuperoxide dismutaseTranspiration rateTFTranspiration ratePARSuperoxide dismutaseTFThe maximum CPA reduction per RC;RCPPhotosystem IIPARSuperoxide dismutase <t< th=""><th>ABS/ RC</th><th>Absorption flux per RC</th></t<>	ABS/ RC	Absorption flux per RC	
CEFCycle electron flow $C_i$ Intercellular CO2 concentrationCondStomatal conductance $DL/r$ Energy dissipation per RC $PRT$ Electron transport rate of PSIETRIElectron transport rate of PSI $FT_r$ Trapping energy used for electron transport per RC $RC$ The minimum fluorescence after dark-adaptation; $F_n$ The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_n$ The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_n$ The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_n$ Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time; $F_r/F_m$ The maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLGPNon-photochemical quenching in PSIINPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSIIPMasSaborde by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBPmaxThe maximum photo-oxidation $P_{790}$ PmaxThe maximum photo-oxidation $P_{790}$ PmaxNet photosynthesis ratePDDPeroxidasePIFDPhotosynthesis ratePDDPhotosynthesis ratePMBPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIDark-respiration ratesPAISuperoxide dismutasePSIISuperoxide dismutasePSIIPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIISuperoxide dismutasePSIISuperoxide dismutasePSIISuperoxide dismutasePSIISupe	CAT	Catalase	
CiIntercellular CO2 concentrationCondStomatal conductanceDI/, CEnergy dissipation per RCETRIElectron transport rate of PSIETRIElectron transport rate of PSIETRIElectron transport rate of PSIFr_/Tapping energy used for electron transport per RCRCThe minimum fluorescence after darkadaptation;FwThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationFwThe minimum fluorescence after light-adaptationFwThe maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating pointsManApproximated initial slope of fluorescent transientMQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPamaSherformance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBPmaxThe maximum photo-oxidation PymoPreroxidasePhotosynthesis ratePDDPhotosynthesis ratePDDPhotosynthesis ratePDDPhotosynthesis ratePDDPhotosynthesis ratePSIIPhotosystem IIR4Dark respiration ratesSDDSuperoxid dismutaseTrTranspiration rateTAR<	CEF	Cycle electron flow	
CondStomatal conductance $DL/RCEnergy dissipation per RCETRIElectron transport rate of PSIETRIIElectron transport rate of PSIFT/RCTrapping energy used for electron transport per RCRCThe minimum fluorescence after darkadaptation;FmThe minimum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_n^{'}The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_n^{'}The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_n^{'}The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_n^{'}Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time;F_1^{'}Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time;F_1^{'}The maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating pointsM_0Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-aPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIP_{max}The maximum photo-oxidationP_{700}^{'}P_{max}The maximum photo-oxidationP_{700}^{'}P_{max}Photosynthesis ratePDDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIISuperoxide dismutasePSII$	$C_i$	Intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> concentration	
DI_J RCEnergy dissipation per RCETRIElectron transport rate of PSIETRIElectron transport rate of PSIIETRIItectron transport rate of PSIIFnTrapping energy used for electron transport per RCFnThe minimum fluorescence after darkadaptation;FnThe minimum fluorescence after light-adaptationFnThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationFnThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationFsDark-adapted steady-state fluorescenceFtRelative fluorescence intensity at different points of time;F/FmThe maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsMoApproximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPlansShorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBPmaxThe maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^*$ PmaxThe maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^*$ Photosynthesis ratePODPnotosynthesis ratePDDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIISuperoxide dismutasePSIISuperoxide dismutasePSIIPhotosystem IIPSIISuperoxide dismutase <t< td=""><td>Cond</td><td>Stomatal conductance</td></t<>	Cond	Stomatal conductance	
FTRIElectron transport rate of PSIFTRIIElectron transport rate of PSIIFT/Trapping energy used for electron transport per RCFRCThe minimum fluorescence after darkadaptation;FmThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationFnThe minimum fluorescence after light-adaptationFmThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationFmThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationFmThe maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationFkDark-adapted steady-state fluorescenceFtRelative fluorescence intensity at different points of time;F/FmThe maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating pointsMonApproximated initial slope of fluorescent transientMQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPIABSPerformance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QnPmaxThe maximum Photo-oxidation P <sup>*700</sup> PmaxPhotosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFIDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem IIRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrTranspiration rateTRTranspiration rate	DI <sub>o</sub> / RC	Energy dissipation per RC	
ETRIIElectron transport rate of PSII $T_{RC}$ Trapping energy used for electron transport per RC $F_{o}$ The minimum fluorescence after darkadaptation; $F_{m}$ The maximum fluorescence after dark-adaptation $F_{o}$ The minimum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_{m}$ The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_{m}$ The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_{m}$ Dark-adapted steady-state fluorescence $F_{t}$ Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time; $F_{v}/F_{m}$ The maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating points $M_{o}$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII $I-qP$ Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $P_{abss}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of $Q_{B}$ $P_{max}$ The maximum CO <sub>2</sub> assimilation rate $P_{max}$ Photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFEDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem II $R_{d}$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrutranspiration rate $T_{R_{c}'}$ Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC;	ETRI	Electron transport rate of PSI	
ET./ RCTrapping energy used for electron transport per RCFoThe minimum fluorescence after darkadaptation;FmThe maximum fluorescence after dark-adaptationFoThe minimum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_m`The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_m`Dark-adapted steady-state fluorescenceF_tRelative fluorescence intensity at different points of time;F_J/F_mThe maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating pointsMQApproximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPIABSPerformance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBPmaxThe maximum Photo-oxidation $P_{700}^*$ Photosynthesis ratePhotoPODPeroxidasePPFDPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIR4Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rateTR_/ 	ETRII	Electron transport rate of PSII	
$F_o$ The minimum fluorescence after dark-adaptation; $Fm$ The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_o$ The minimum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_m$ The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_m$ Dark-adapted steady-state fluorescence $F_t$ Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time; $F_v/F_m$ The maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating points $M_o$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPlansDerformance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of $Q_B$ $P_m$ The maximum CO <sub>2</sub> assimilation rate $P_n$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem IIR_dDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $T_{R_r}/R_c$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC; $R_C$	ET <sub>o</sub> / RC	Trapping energy used for electron transport per RC	
FmThe maximum fluorescence after dark-adaptationF_o`The minimum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_m`The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptationF_sDark-adapted steady-state fluorescenceFtRelative fluorescence intensity at different points of time;F_v/F_mThe maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating pointsM_oApproximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPlassDerformance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBP_maxThe maximum photo-oxidation Pi <sup>+</sup> <sub>700</sub> P_nNet photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IIPSIPhotosystem IIR_dDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rateTR_v/ RCTrapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC; RC	$F_{\rm o}$	The minimum fluorescence after darkadaptation;	
$F_{o}$ 'The minimum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_{m}$ 'The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_{s}$ Dark-adapted steady-state fluorescence $F_{t}$ Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time; $F_{v}/F_{m}$ The maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating points $M_{o}$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII $1-qP$ Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $PI_{ABS}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of $Q_{B}$ $P_{max}$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^{*}$ $P_{max}$ The maximum CO <sub>2</sub> assimilation rate $P_{n}$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFDIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIPRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $T_{R_{v}'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;	Fm	The maximum fluorescence after dark-adaptation	
$F_{m}$ 'The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation $F_{s}$ Dark-adapted steady-state fluorescence $F_{t}$ Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time; $F_{v}/F_{m}$ The maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating points $M_{o}$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII $1-qP$ Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $PI_{ABS}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QB $P_{max}$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^{+}$ $P_{max}$ The maximum CO2 assimilation rate $P_{n}$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFDPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem II $R_{d}$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutase $Tr$ transpiration rate $TR_{s'}$ Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC; $RC$	Fo	The minimum fluorescence after light-adaptation	
FsDark-adapted steady-state fluorescenceFtRelative fluorescence intensity at different points of time;Ft/FmThe maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating pointsMoApproximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPIABSPerformance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBPmaxThe maximum photo-oxidation P <sup>+</sup> 700PrmaxThe maximum CO2 assimilation ratePnNet photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFFDPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIPSIIPhotosystem IIRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rateTrg/RdTrapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC; RC	F <sub>m</sub> `	The maximum fluorescence after light-adaptation	
$F_t$ Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time; $F_v/F_m$ The maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating points $M_o$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII $1-qP$ Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $PI_{ABS}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QB $P_m$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^+$ $P_max$ The maximum CO2 assimilation rate $P_n$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePPFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem II $R_d$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $TR_{v'}$ Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC; $RC$	$F_{\rm s}$	Dark-adapted steady-state fluorescence	
$F_{q}/F_{m}$ The maximum quantum yield of photosystem IILCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating points $M_{o}$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII $1-qP$ Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $PI_{ABS}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of $Q_B$ $P_m$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^+$ $P_{max}$ The maximum CO2 assimilation rate $P_n$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFDIPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem II $R_d$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $T_{R_o'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC; $RC$	Ft	Relative fluorescence intensity at different points of time;	
LCPLight compensation pointsLSPLight saturating points $M_o$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $PI_{ABS}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QB $P_m$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^+$ $P_{max}$ The maximum CO2 assimilation rate $P_n$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $TR_{a'}$ Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC; RC	$F_v/F_m$	The maximum quantum yield of photosystem II	
LSPLight saturating points $M_o$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII $1-qP$ Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $Pl_{ABS}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of $Q_B$ $P_m$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^+$ $P_{max}$ The maximum CO2 assimilation rate $P_n$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePPFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem III $R_d$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $TR_{a'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC; $RC$	LCP	Light compensation points	
$M_o$ Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transientNPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII $1-qP$ Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $PI_{ABS}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of $Q_B$ $P_m$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^+$ $P_{max}$ The maximum CO2 assimilation rate $P_n$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePPFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem III $R_d$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $TR_{a'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC; $RC$	LSP	Light saturating points	
NPQNon-photochemical quenching in PSII1-qPRedox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSIIPIABSPerformance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBPmThe maximum photo-oxidation P <sup>7</sup> 700PmaxThe maximum CO2 assimilation ratePnNet photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rateTRe/Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC; RC	$M_{\rm o}$	Approximated initial slope of fluorescent transient	
$1-qP$ Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII $PI_{ABS}$ Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of $Q_B$ $P_m$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^+$ $P_max$ The maximum CO2 assimilation rate $P_n$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePPFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem III $R_d$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $TR_{a'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC; $RC$	NPQ	Non-photochemical quenching in PSII	
PIABSPerformance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of QBPmThe maximum photo-oxidation P <sup>+</sup> 700PmaxThe maximum CO2 assimilation ratePnNet photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePDFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rateTRe/Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC; RC	1- $qP$	Redox poise of the primary electron acceptor of PSII	
$P_{\rm m}$ The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^+$ $P_{\rm max}$ The maximum CO2 assimilation rate $P_{\rm n}$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePVDDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $T_{R_{o'}}$ Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC;	PI <sub>ABS</sub>	Performance index for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII antenna to the reduction of $Q_{B}$	
$P_{max}$ The maximum $CO_2$ assimilation rate $P_n$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePPFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem III $R_d$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $TR_{a'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;	$P_{\rm m}$	The maximum photo-oxidation $P_{700}^+$	
$P_{\rm n}$ Net photosynthesis ratePODPeroxidasePPFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIIRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $TR_{o'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;	$P_{\rm max}$	The maximum CO <sub>2</sub> assimilation rate	
PODPeroxidasePPFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem IIRdDark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rateTRe/Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC;	P <sub>n</sub>	Net photosynthesis rate	
PPFDPhotosynthetic photon flux densityPSIPhotosystem IPSIIPhotosystem II $R_d$ Dark respiration ratesSODSuperoxide dismutaseTrtranspiration rate $TR_{o'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;	POD	Peroxidase	
PSI Photosystem I   PSII Photosystem II   Rd Dark respiration rates   SOD Superoxide dismutase   Tr transpiration rate   TRo/ Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC;	PPFD	Photosynthetic photon flux density	
PSII Photosystem II   R_d Dark respiration rates   SOD Superoxide dismutase   Tr transpiration rate   TR_a/ Trapping flux leading to QA reduction per RC;	PSI	Photosystem I	
$R_{\rm d}$ Dark respiration rates     SOD   Superoxide dismutase     Tr   transpiration rate $TR_{o'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;     RC   Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;	PSII	Photosystem II	
SOD Superoxide dismutase   Tr transpiration rate $TR_{o'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;   RC Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;	R <sub>d</sub>	Dark respiration rates	
Trtranspiration rate $TR_o/$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC;RC $RC$	SOD	Superoxide dismutase	
$TR_{o'}$ Trapping flux leading to $Q_A$ reduction per RC; RC	Tr	transpiration rate	
	TR <sub>o</sub> / RC	Trapping flux leading to Q <sub>A</sub> reduction per RC;	

Co	nti	ทม	ed
		1101	~~

$V_J$	Relative variable fluorescence at J-step (2 ms)	
$W_K$	Ratio of the variable fluorescent $F_{\rm K}$ occupying the $F_{\rm J}\text{-}F_{\rm O}$ amplitude	
Y(I)	Effective quantum yield of PSI	
Y (ND)	Donor side limitation of PSI	
Y (NA)	Acceptor side limitation of PSI	
Y(II)	Effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry	
Y (NPQ)	Yield of regulated energy dissipation of PSII	
Y (NO)	Yield of non-regulated energy dissipation of PSII	
$\varphi D_{\rm o}$	Quantum yield for thermal dissipation	
$\varphi E_o$	Quantum yield for electron transport (t = 0)	
$\varphi P_o$	The maximum quantum yield for primary photochemistry (t = 0)	
$\psi_o$	Probability that a trapped exciton moves an electron into the electron transport chain beyond $Q_A^-$ (t = 0).	