Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Arun K. Shanker, Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture (ICAR), India

REVIEWED BY

Suvendu Mondal, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC), India Hafiz Muhammad Ahmad, Government College University, Pakistan Abdulwahab Saliu Shaibu, Bayero University Kano, Nigeria Anil Kumar Singh, Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), India

*CORRESPONDENCE Chinta Sudhakar chintasudhakar@yahoo.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 28 September 2022 ACCEPTED 28 October 2022 PUBLISHED 16 November 2022

CITATION

Venkatesh B, Vennapusa AR, Kumar NJ, Jayamma N, Reddy BM, Johnson AMA, Madhusudan KV, Pandurangaiah M, Kiranmai K and Sudhakar C (2022) Co-expression of stress-responsive regulatory genes, *MuNAC4, MuWRKY3* and *MuMYB96* associated with resistant-traits improves drought adaptation in transgenic groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L) plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1055851. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1055851

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Venkatesh, Vennapusa, Kumar, Jayamma, Reddy, Johnson, Madhusudan, Pandurangaiah, Kiranmai and Sudhakar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Co-expression of stressresponsive regulatory genes, *MuNAC4, MuWRKY3* and *MuMYB96* associated with resistant-traits improves drought adaptation in transgenic groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* l.) plants

Boya Venkatesh¹, Amaranatha R. Vennapusa², Nulu Jagadeesh Kumar¹, N. Jayamma¹, B. Manohara Reddy³, A. M. Anthony Johnson⁴, K. V. Madhusudan⁵, Merum Pandurangaiah¹, K. Kiranmai¹ and Chinta Sudhakar^{1*}

¹Plant Molecular Biology Laboratory, Department of Botany, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuram, India, ²Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Delaware State University, Dover, DE, United States, ³Department of Botany, Government College (Autonomous), Anantapuram, India, ⁴Department of Biotechnology, St. Josephs University, Bengaluru, India, ⁵Department of Botany, Government College, Cluster University, Kurnool, India

Groundnut, cultivated under rain-fed conditions is prone to yield losses due to intermittent drought stress. Drought tolerance is a complex phenomenon and multiple gene expression required to maintain the cellular tolerance. Transcription factors (TFs) regulate many functional genes involved in tolerance mechanisms. In this study, three stress-responsive regulatory TFs cloned from horse gram, (Macrotyloma uniflorum (Lam) Verdc.), MuMYB96, involved in cuticular wax biosynthesis; MuWRKY3, associated with anti-oxidant defense mechanism and MuNAC4, tangled with lateral root development were simultaneously expressed to enhance drought stress resistance in groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.). The multigene transgenic groundnut lines showed reduced ROS production, membrane damage, and increased superoxide dismutase (SOD) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) enzyme activity, evidencing improved antioxidative defense mechanisms under drought stress. Multigene transgenic plants showed lower proline content, increased soluble sugars, epicuticular wax content and higher relative water content suggesting higher maintenance of tissue water status compared to wildype and mock plants. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis showed a substantial increase in deposition of cuticular waxes and variation in stomatal number in multigene transgenic lines compared to wild type and mock plants. The multigene transgenic plants showed increased growth of lateral roots, chlorophyll content, and stay-green nature in drought stress compared to wild type and mock plants. Expression analysis of transgenes, *MuMYB96*, *MuWRKY3*, and *MuNAC4* and their downstream target genes, *KCS6*, *KCR1*, *APX3*, *CSD1*, *LBD16* and *DBP* using qRT-PCR showed a two- to four-fold increase in transcript levels in multigene transgenic groundnut plants over wild type and mock plants under drought stress. Our study demonstrate that introducing multiple genes with simultaneous expression of genes is a viable option to improve stress tolerance and productivity under drought stress.

KEYWORDS

groundnut, drought stress, transcription factor, roots, multigene transgenics, water use efficiency

Introduction

Drought, the detrimental abiotic stress, majorly affects the productivity of rain-fed crops and results in yield losses. Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is one of the major oil seed crops with a worldwide production of ~48.75 million metric tons cultivated under ~34.10 million hectares. Nearly 2/3rd of its production was used for oil production (FAOSTAT, 2019). As a rain-fed crop, groundnut is more prone to periodic drought stress, and significant effects on plant physiological processes were reported both in vegetative and reproductive phases (Kambiranda et al., 2011; Farooq et al., 2012; Jongrungklang et al., 2013). Drought tolerance is a complex phenomenon accomplished by the multiple traits at morphological, cellular, and molecular levels. To substantiate the adverse effects of drought stress, plants have adopted multiple drought tolerance traits such as cellular level tolerance, reduced transpirational water loss, improved water mining, and conservation traits, which are controlled either directly or indirectly by regulatory and/or functional genes (Mickelbart et al., 2015; Xiao et al., 2017). Transcription factors (TFs) act as molecular switches by regulating the expression of downstream genes by binding to the cis-acting elements at the promoter region of the genes (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2014). MYB (Myeloblastosis), WRKY, and NAC (NAM, ATAF, and CUC) are the three large TF families in the plant kingdom and are involved in diverse developmental and stress tolerance mechanisms (Guo et al., 2013; Hrmova and Hussain, 2021; Manna et al., 2021). Overexpression of TF genes through genetic engineering was reported as a viable option to integrate stress adaptive/stress tolerance traits and confer tolerance against various abiotic stresses, including drought in crop plants (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006; Buscaill and Rivas, 2014; Shao et al., 2015; Erpen et al., 2018).

The architecture and distribution of the root system is the key feature of water mining traits (de Dorlodot et al., 2007; Coudert et al., 2010) and determines plants' ability to acquire water and nutrients from the soil to maintain plant growth under drought conditions (Lynch, 1995). Root perceives water scarcity and allows plants to adapt to drought stress by increasing their length, density, and volume (Hammer et al., 2009; Bengough et al., 2011; Anjum et al., 2017). Improving root traits through the genetic engineering approach conferred enhanced drought tolerance in most agricultural crops (Hodge et al., 2009). Overexpression of TFs like NAC1, ERF48, Alfin1, DREB2A, etc. has been involved in root growth and development under moisture stress (Janiak et al., 2016; Ramu et al., 2016; Jung et al., 2017). NAC4, TF with a characteristic NAC domain, was reported to induce lateral root growth under water-limited conditions through auxin signaling in an ABAdependent manner. Overexpression of the NAC4 gene shows increased root length and lateral roots and enhanced drought tolerance in transgenic groundnut plants (Pandurangaiah et al., 2014). Root growth was stimulated under osmotic stress in transgenic Arabidopsis lines upon overexpression of the TaNAC4-3A gene and showed an improved drought tolerance (Mei et al., 2021).

Conservation of tissue water status was a great challenge for the plants during limited water conditions. Plants maintain relatively high tissue water content by minimizing water loss through increased cellular level tolerance (CLT), reduced cellular damage, and evapotranspiration. Osmolytes like proline, soluble sugars, and quaternary ammonium compounds like betaines. etc., will be produced in higher concentration inside the cell and maintains cell turgor and water potential during drought stress (Varshney et al., 2011; Fang and Xiong, 2015; Nahar et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2021). Overexpression of TF genes, *DREB*, *NAC*, MYB, WRKY, and bZIPs, etc., in groundnut and other plants showed enhanced osmolyte accumulation and antioxidative defense systems along with other physio-biochemical traits conferring tolerance to different abiotic stresses, including drought through cellular level tolerance (Bhatnagar-Mathur et al., 2007; Pruthvi et al., 2014; Joshi et al., 2016; Kiranmai et al., 2016; Sarkar et al., 2016; Kishor et al., 2018; Manna et al., 2021). A WRKY transcription factor, WRKY3, belonging to group-I WRKY TFs, has been reported to induce resistance against several biotic stressors such as pathogens bacteria and fungi, etc. (Lai et al., 2008; Şahin-Çevik et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2018) and herbivory (Skibbe et al., 2008). In addition to biotic stresses, WRKY3 TF is also reported to be involved in cellular level tolerance mechanisms against different abiotic stresses, including salt, cold, and drought (Liu et al., 2013; Kiranmai et al., 2016; Hichri et al., 2017).

Along with CLT, anatomical traits such as stomata, cuticular wax content, etc., help plants to conserve tissue water under moisture stress (Gomes and Prado, 2007). Cuticle serves as an indispensable barrier and protects the plants from harmful radiations (UV-B), evapotranspiration water loss and also has a positive effect on water use efficiency during water-limited conditions (Jenks et al., 2001; Lee and Suh, 2015; Fich et al., 2016; Iqbal et al., 2020). Biosynthesis and deposition of cuticular waxes in response to drought stress were genetically controlled (Riederer and Schreiber, 2001; Nawrath, 2006) by several TF genes such as WAX1, MYB96, MYB94, WIN1/SHN1, WXP1, WR1, AP2/EREBP, DWA1, and functional genes, KCS1, CER1, and FAR1, etc., were reported to be conferring resistance in several crop plants (Seo and Park, 2011; Xue et al., 2017; Lewandowska et al., 2020). MYB96, an R2R3-MYB TF characterized by two MYB domain repeats, is reported to positively regulate biosynthesis and deposition of cuticular waxes on aerial plant organs and increased drought resistance upon overexpression (Seo et al., 2009; Seo et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2016).

Introducing multiple genes contributing to different traits with simultaneous expression in a single construct is a reliable and time-saving approach (Goel and Singh, 2018; Vennapusa et al., 2022). Furthermore, co-expression of multiple genes in plants has been shown to improve the tolerance against different abiotic stresses compared to single gene transgenics (Singla-Pareek et al., 2003; Babitha et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2013; Augustine et al., 2015; Parvathi et al., 2015) including groundnut (Pruthvi et al., 2014; Ramu et al., 2016).

Horsegram is a potential dryland legume crop for future and is source of mining genes for abiotic stress tolerance, as this crop is well suited for cultivation in very poor soils under receding moisture level in drought prone areas, saline soils and high temperature regions (Reddy et al., 2008; Pandurangaiah et al., 2014; Kiranmai et al., 2018) In the present study, three transcription factor genes, *MuMYB96*, *MuWRKY3*, and *MuNAC4*, involved in improving the water conservation, cellular level tolerance, and root traits cloned in a single cassette through modified gateway cloning technology, and transferred to groundnut for developing the multigene transgenic plants for improved drought stress tolerance.

Materials and methods

Plant material, growth conditions and stress treatments

Seeds of horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum* (Lam) Verdc.) cultivar VZM1 and groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cultivar K-6 were procured from Regional Agricultural Station, Rekulakunta and Kadiri, Anantapuram, respectively. Seeds were sown in earthen pots containing soil and farmyard manure in a 3:1 proportion maintained in the departmental botanical garden under natural photoperiod (10–12 h; 27 ± 4 °C). After 30 days post-sowing, drought stress was induced by withholding water to one set of pots, and respective fully watered controls were maintained in another set of pots. Ten days after stress imposition, fully opened fresh leaf samples were collected, pooled, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, for futher analysis.

Isolation of genes

Total RNA was isolated from stress-adapted horse gram leaves subjected to drought stress using the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). The leaf material (100mg) from drought stressed horsegram plants was ground to amorphous powder using liquid nitrogen and added with 1ml of Trizol reagent containing guanidium thiocyanate (Simms et al., 1993). The supernatant was separated after centrifugation and nucleic acids portion was aspired using chloroform. The RNA was precipitated with isopropanol and sodium citrate/NaCl (1:1) solution. The RNA precipitate washed with ethanol, dried and dissolved in sterile diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC) water and the same was used as a template for cDNA synthesis using the RevertAid reverse transcriptase enzyme (Thermo Scientific, USA).

Individual gene-specific primers were used to isolate individual genes. The PCR setup and annealing temperatures were optimized for all three genes *MuMYB96*, *MuWRKY3*, and *MuNAC4*, individually to get the specific gene amplification in the gradient thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg). PCR was initiated by a hot start at 94 °C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 59.1 °C (*MuMYB96*), 58.2 °C (*MuWRKY3*) and 53.1 °C (*MuNAC4*) for 45 s and 72 °C for 1 min with a final extension of 10 min. and the list of primer sets were given in Supplementary Table 1. The amplification was checked on 0.8% agarose gel. The authenticity of the PCR product was checked by restriction enzyme digestion, confirmed and cloned into a T/A vector (Thermo Scientific, USA) and sequenced.

Development of gene cassettes and gateway entry vectors

Each gene was cloned under the specific promoter by conventional restriction digestion and ligation strategy to develop gene expression cassettes. MuMYB96 gene was cloned under *rbcs* promoter and terminator in the Impact vector (IM1.1) (Prbcs: MuMYB96:Trbcs), MuWRKY3 gene was cloned into the pRT100 vector under CaMV2x35S promoter and a polyA tail terminator (P_{CaMV2x35S}: MuWRKY3:T_{polvA}) and MuNAC4 gene expression cassette were developed using a pB4NU plasmid vector carrying ubiquitin promoter and nos terminator (Pubi: MuNAC4: T_{nos}) using specific restriction enzymes. Then, these three gene cassettes were sub-cloned into modified gateway entry vectors. The MuMYB96 gene cassette was released by digesting with HindIII and PacI and was ligated to the linearized pGATE L1-L4 entry vector, and the MuWRKY3 gene construct was subcloned into pGATE R4-R3 using SphI enzyme. Finally, the MuNAC4 expression cassette was excised from the pB4NU vector and introduced into the pGATE L3-L2 vector between EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites to prepare the entry clones.

Construction of multigene cassette

The three genes were stacked together in a plant expression binary vector by recombination reaction. Three gateway entry vectors, pGATEL1L4- P_{rbcs} : $MuMYB96:T_{rbcs}$, pGATE R4R3- $P_{CaMV2x35S}:MuWRKY3:T_{polyA}$, and pGATEL3L2- P_{Ubi} : $MuNAC4:T_{nos}$ were allowed to a recombination reaction with destination vector, pKM12GW containing a neomycin phosphotransferase (*nptII*) gene as plant selectable marker in 1:1:1:3 ratio respectively. The reaction was carried out at 25°C overnight in the presence of LR clonase enzyme, and proteinase K was used to terminate the reaction (Vemanna et al., 2013). The resulting recombinant vector was used to transform Agrobacterium tumefaceins.

Transformation of multigene construct into groundnut

The binary vector expressing *pKM12GW-MuMYB96*: *MuWRKY3:MuNAC4* was transferred into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* EHA105 strain by Freeze-thaw method, and colony PCR was carried out to identify positive transformants (Weigel and Glazabrook, 2006). A tissue culture-independent agrobacterium mediated *in planta* transformation protocol (Rohini and Rao, 2001) was adopted to develop the groundnut transgenics. Twoday-old germinating groundnut (cultivar variety K6) sprouts were pricked at the embryonic site and co-cultivated with agrobacterium culture for 16 hours at 28°C with gentle agitation, followed by rinsing with cefotaxime (500µg/ml) for 2 minutes and later with

sterile distilled water. The seedlings were acclimatized in a plant growth chamber on a sterilized soilrite at controlled conditions (28 \pm 2°C; 16 hours of light/day; light intensity-400-550 µE/m²/s) RH-60%). Another set of seeds were transformed with Agrobacterium cells carrying pKM12GW vector without transgenes and were treated as mock plants. After acclimatization, plantlets were transferred to a greenhouse, maintained at 28°C, and allowed to grow under natural photoperiodic conditions till harvest. Putative transgenic groundnut plants were identified by kanamycin screening and PCR analysis using npt-II primers. The seeds from the T₀ generation were germinated on MS agar medium (Himedia, Mumbai, India) supplemented with 200mg/L of kanamycin under controlled environment chambers (Conviron A1000, Canada). The seedlings showing normal shoot and root growth were transferred to sterile soilrite for acclimatization, then transferred to the earthen pots and maintained in the greenhouse. Integration of all three genes in genomic DNA was confirmed by PCR using gene-specific primers. Transgenic plants showing the integration of three genes were considered positive transgenic plants and advanced to the subsequent generations.

Expression analysis of transgenes by qRT-PCR

The expression of transgenes, MuMYB96, MuWRKY3, and MuNAC4 was analyzed in putative T₃ transgenic groundnut plants and wild type, subjected to drought stress for 10 days. Fully opened leaf samples were used for analysis. Total RNA isolated from leaf samples was treated with a Turbo DNase treatment kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) as per the manufacturer's protocol to remove any DNA traces. cDNA was synthesized using Revert Aid M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per the manufacturer's instructions. qRT-PCR mix was comprised of 1× using Power SYBR Green Master Mix (Ambion, USA), 20 ng of cDNA, and 0.2 µM of forward and reverse primers. The housekeeping gene, actin, was used as an internal control in the reaction. The RT-PCR analysis was done on Applied Biosystems Step One Real-Time PCR machine with standard cycling comprising 95°C for 30 s, 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 s, 60°C for 20 s, and a melt curve analysis. Relative quantification was studied using $2-\Delta \Delta$ CT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

In addition to transgenes, a few downstream genes such as *KCS6*, *KCR1*, *APX3*, *CSD*, *LBD16* and *DBP* were also analyzed using qRT-PCR. The gene sequences of the selected down-stream genes were obtained from genome of *Arachis hypogaea* (http://peanutbase.org/home) and the same sequences were used to design primers using Primer ExpressTM Software v3.0.1. Each gene was analyzed in three biological samples, and three reaction replicates were performed for each biological sample. The primers used for PCR analysis were given in Supplementary Table 2.

Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was employed to investigate the cuticular wax depositions and stomatal structure on the leaf surface of transgenic, mock, and wild type groundnut plants subjected to drought stress. First, the freshly harvested leaf bits of 1cm² were vacuum dried and mounted onto aluminum stubs, followed by gold nanoparticle coating with a fully automated vacuum sputter smart coater (DII-29030SCTR, JOEL, USA). Then, leaf-mounted stubs were transferred to the scanning electron microscope (JOEL JSM-IT500, Japan) to visualize the extent of epicuticular wax depositions on the leaf surface (Lokesh et al., 2019).

Evaluation of transgenic groundnut plants for drought stress tolerance

Physiological and biochemical parameters related to cellular level tolerance and WUE were carried out under drought stress in putative transgenic groundnut lines along with mock and wild type plants. The drought stress was imposed on thirty-day-old plants by withholding irrigation for ten days and fully opened leaf samples were collected uniformly from each set of plants. Three biological samples, and three reaction replicates were performed for each physiological and biochemical assay.

Relative water content

Relative water content (RWC) was measured in multigene transgenic plants along with wild type plants under drought stress conditions. First, leaf discs were prepared from matured leaves, and fresh weight was measured. Then the leaf discs were immersed in sterile water for four hours, and the weight was recorded as turgid weight; then, the leaf discs were dried in a hot air oven for 48 h, and the dry weight was determined. Finally, RWC was calculated using the formula (Vemanna et al., 2017).

Total chlorophyll content

Chlorophyll pigments were extracted from drought-stressed leaves of multigene transgenics and wild type plants by boiling them in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 65°C for 10 min. The extracted chlorophylls were read at 645nm and 663nm using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV 1800, Japan). The total chlorophyll content was estimated according to Hiscox and Israelstam (1979) and expressed as mg/g F.W (Vennapusa et al., 2022).

Epicuticular wax content

Epicuticular waxes on the leaf surface were separated and quantified according to the method given by Mamrutha et al. (2010). The waxes were extracted with chloroform from the leaf surface and treated with acidic-potassium dichromate ($K_2Cr_2O_7$) to give a coloured compound. The ECW content was calculated using a colorimetric method and expressed as $\mu g/g$ F.W.

Total soluble sugars (TSS) and proline content

Total soluble sugar content was determined following Kiranmai et al. (2018). Water extract of leaf was treated with 5% phenol and 98% sulphuric acid and incubated at room temperature for 1 hr, and the absorbance was measured at 485nm. The TSS content was expressed as μ g/g F.W.

Accumulation of proline content in the leaf samples was determined as described by Bates et al. (1973). Leaf extract was prepared in 3% sulphosalicylic acid, heated, treated with acid ninhydrin and acetic acid, and incubated at 100°C for 1hr. The reaction was terminated on ice, and the chromophore was extracted with 4 mL toluene and mixed thoroughly. The toluene phase was separated and measured with a spectrophotometer 540nm using toluene as blank, and the proline content was calculated from the standard curve and expressed as µmol/g F.W (Vemanna et al., 2017).

Lipid peroxidation

The extent of cell membrane damage was calculated indirectly by measuring the malondialdehyde content, a product of lipid peroxidation of membrane lipids. The leaf material from drought stressed transgenic, mock and wild type plants was used to estimate thiobarbituric acid, a reactive compound of malondialdehyde, and calculated against a standard MDA graph (Nisarga et al., 2017).

ROS and scavenging system

Superoxide ion and hydrogen peroxide contents were quantified in the transgenic groundnut plants, wild type and mock plants exposed to drought stress. Superoxide ions were estimated by a colorimetric method according to Kiranmai et al. (2018) by treating with nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) solution, and hydrogen peroxide content was measured as described by Junglee et al. (2014) and Nareshkumar et al. (2015). The efficacy of the anti-oxidant defense system was analyzed by measuring the activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Kiranmai et al., 2018) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) (Vemanna et al., 2017) in the leaves of multigene transgenic and wild type plants using a colorimetric method.

Growth and yield attributes

After harvesting, morphological and yield traits such as shoot length, root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, number of pegs, number of pods, and dry weight of pods were measured for transgenic plants along with the wild type and mock plants (Vemanna et al., 2017).

Briefly, multigene transgenic groundnut lines, wild type and mock plants were gently uprooted from the pots. Roots were cleaned using tap water to remove debris and soil particles properly and maximum care was taken to avoid the loss of roots. Number of pegs and pods were recorded. Shoot and root parts were separated and their length was recorded using an ordinary ruler. Then the shoot, root parts and pods were dried at 50°C for 48 hours in a hot air oven and dry weight was recorded using digital scale. Data was recorded in three biological sets with triplicates and the results were shown mean-values per plant.

Statistical analysis

All the physiological and biochemical experiments were conducted in three biologically independent experiments, statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.2.0, and ANOVA was performed using the R package agricolae with Fisher's LSD test to separate means and significance at $P \le 0.05$ (de Mendiburu, 2014; Pandian et al., 2020). Data presented are mean values and standard error (\pm SE).

Results

Development of multigene expressing transgenics groundnut plants

The three TF genes, MuMYB96, MuWRKY3, and MuNAC4 were amplified from cDNA synthesized from horse gram leaf RNA samples (Supplementary Figure 1) and sequence (Supplementary Table 3). All the three genes, were sub cloned to pRT100 vectors under CaMV35S promoter and polyA terminator at Apa1 and Nco1, Kpn1 and Nco1, and Kpn1 and BamH1 sites, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1A). The individual gene cassettes, P_{rbcs} : $MuMYB96:T_{rbcs}$ $P_{CaMV2x35S}$: $MuWRKY3:T_{polyA}$ and P_{Ubi} : $MuNAC4:T_{nos}$ were stacked into a single multigene construct in a plant binary vector, pKM12GW through the LR clonase reaction using a modified multisite

gateway cloning technology (Vemanna et al., 2013). The plant destination vector carrying all the three genes, *pKM-MuMYB96*: MuWRKY3:MuNAC4 in Agrobacterium (Figures 1A, B), was transferred to groundnut seedlings. Putative transgenic groundnut lines were selected on a kanamycin medium. The putative multigene transgenic groundnut plants showed growth on the kanamycin selection medium, whereas the wild type plants failed to germinate or showed stunted growth. Transgenic plants that showed normal growth were acclimatized in the greenhouse, maintained till harvest, and/or advanced to the next generation (Figure 2). Integration of all three transgenes was confirmed in putative transgenic plants by PCR analysis using genomic DNA as a template (Supplementary Figure 2). The multigene transgenic groundnut plants showing kanamycin resistance and gene integration were advanced to the next generation and the transgenic events were shown in Supplementary Figure 3.

Expression of transgenes and stress responsive genes in multigene transgenic groundnut plants under drought stress

Quantitative real-time expression analysis (qRT-PCR) of transgenes was carried out in transgenic groundnut lines along with wild type plants in the T_3 generation. The transgenic groundnut plants showed enhanced transcript levels compared to wild type under drought stress conditions. For example, *MuMYB96* showed a 2.88 to 4.38-fold increase in transcript abundance in multigene transgenic plants over wild type plants, whereas *MuWRKY3* transcript levels showed a 3.50 to 3.618-fold increase and 3.15 to 3.46-fold increase in transcript levels of *MuNAC4* gene. The overexpression of transcript levels of downstream genes such as *KCS6*, and *KCR1*, *APX3* and *CSD1 LBD16* and *DBP* in transgenic plants over wild type plants under drought stress conditions (Figure 3).

Morpho-physiological, growth and yieldrelated traits in multigene transgenic groundnut plants under drought stress

In T_3 generation, 30-days-old multigene transgenic groundnut plants, wild type and mock plants were subjected to drought stress by withholding the water for 10-days. Drought stress resulted visible leaf wilting in both multigene transgenic plants, wild type and mock plants under drought stress, however, the symptoms appeared much earlier in wild type and mock plants, with significant phenotypic difference under drought stress. The transgenic plants showed mild wilting symptoms and remained green after ten days of drought stress imposition



whereas wild type and mock plants showed severe visible wilting symptoms (Figure 4A).

Further, morphological parameters and yield related data was recorded for transgenic lines, wild type and mock plants after harvest and the multigene transgenic groundnut plants showed better growth and increased root length, more number of pegs and pods compared to wild type and mock plants (Figure 4B). In general, multigene transgenic lines, wild and mock plants showed significant difference in their growth. Multigene transgenic plants exhibited superior growth than the wild type and mock plants. Growth of lateral roots and overall root length of multigene transgenic groundnut lines significantly increased compared to wild type and mock plants. Consequently, pronounced increase in the root dry weights observed. Total number of pods was more in multigene transgenic lines compared to wild type and mock plants (Table 1). Variation in the epicuticular wax accumulation was observed between the multigene transgenic lines and wild type and mock plants. The transgenic plants showed a significant increase in the deposition of cuticular wax crystals over the wild type and mock samples. Leaf sample of TL2-2-2 transgenic line showed condensed cuticular crystals resulting in plaque-like deposits (Figure 5). The surface of the transgenic leaves (TL19-1-3, TL 40-2-4 and TL 41-1-3) exhibited dense wax crystals accumulation, whereas the mock and wild type plants have sparse wax accumulation In addition to the wax deposition, we observed variations in the stomatal number between multigene transgenic lines and wild type and mock plants. Wild type plants showed more number of stomata, whereas the transgenic plants showed less number of stomata (Figure 6).

The relative water content was significantly less in wild type compared to multigene transgenic lines under drought stress.



The transgenic groundnut plants showed a range of 40.27 to 66.89% of relative water content. In contrast, wild type and mock plants showed 32.39% and 34.88%, respectively, demonstrating superior water retention capacity of transgenic plants than wild type plants under water stress conditions (Figure 7A). Stress effect was more pronounced in wild type as evidenced by reduction in total chlorophyll content. The transgenic groundnut plants showed significantly higher chlorophyll content (0.26 to 0.42mg/g. FW) compared to wild-type (0.18mg/g. FW) and mock plants (0.218 mg/g. FW) (Figure 7B).

The multigene transgenic groundnut plants showed significantly higher epicuticular wax content ranging from 12.42 to 16.51μ g/g F.W under drought stress conditions compared to wild type and mock plants (6.54 and 7.08 μ g/g F.W respectively), which is 2 to 2.5 folds lower than that of the transgenic groundnut plants (Figure 7C).

Total soluble sugars, proline and malondialdehyde content in multigene transgenic groundnut plants under drought stress

The transgenic groundnut plants showed significantly higher levels of total soluble sugars ranging from 760-997µg/g F.W under stress conditions. In comparison with transgenic plants, wild type and mock plants showed relatively lower levels of TSS, ranging 321.71 and 409.49µg/g F.W respectively (Figure 8A). The transgenic lines showed lower proline content ranging from 72-131µg/g F.W than wild type and mock plants which showed 184 and 179µg/g F.W, respectively (Figure 8B). There was significant decrease in proline content in multigene transgenic lines compared to wild type and mock plants under drought stress. The lower proline content could be due to better RWC



depicts the standard error and different alphabets represent statistically significant difference with $P \le 0.05$.

and maintenance of high turgor potential which perhaps not sufficient enough to induce high proline content in multigene transgenic groundnut plants than the wild type and mock plants. Malondialdehyde, the end product of membrane lipid peroxidation, was quantified to assess the extent of oxidative damage caused by imposed drought stress. The multigene transgenic groundnut plants showed significantly lower levels of MDA (310.68-432.28nmol/g F.W) content than the wild type (627.85nmol/g F.W) and mock plants (491.57nmol/g F.W) (Figure 8C).

Antioxidative efficacy in multigene transgenic groundnut plants under drought stress

The wild type and mock plants showed a significant increase in superoxide production under drought stress conditions compared to transgenic plants. A two to four fold decrease in superoxide production was observed in multigene transgenic groundnut plants compared to wild type and mock plants (Figure 9A). Similarly, H_2O_2 production significantly increased in wild type and mock plants with 4.14µmol/g. F.W and 3.41µmol/g F.W of H_2O_2 , respectively. The multigene transgenic plants showed a 2-3 fold lower levels of H_2O_2 production (Figure 9B).

The ROS was counter-attacked by antioxidative defense enzymes, such as SOD, and APX were measured in multigene transgenic groundnut plants, wild type, and mock plants under drought stress conditions. Results indicated significantly higher levels of SOD activity with a 2 to 2.2-fold increase in transgenic groundnut plants compared to wild type and mock plants (Figure 9C). In addition, the multigene transgenic plants exhibited APX activity with a range of 0.63-1.04µmol/mg protein/min, which is 3-5 folds higher than that of the wild type (0.18µmol/mg protein/min) and mock plants (0.24µmol/mg protein/min) (Figure 9D).

Discussion

Drought stress affects several morpho-physiological, biochemical, and molecular changes in plants and often triggers the activation of signaling molecules and cascades involved in cellular responses (Sampaio et al., 2022). Several TFs as master regulators of gene expression were identified and reported to be controlling the mechanisms involved in drought stress tolerance (Erpen et al., 2018; Manna et al., 2021; Yoon et al., 2022). Several studies evidenced that overexpression of TF genes in crop plants resulted in enhanced drought stress tolerance (Yuan et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). Drought stress resulted leaf wilting in multigene transgenic groundnut plants, wild type and transgenic lines. The visible wilting symptoms appeared much earlier in wild type and mock plants with reduced growth than transgenic plants under drought stress (Figure 3).

Multigene transgenic groundnut plants were developed by pyramiding *MuMYB96*, *MuWRKY3*, and *MuNAC4* genes through gateway cloning technology and evaluated for drought tolerance in comparison with wild type and mock plants. The multigene transgenic plants showed increased expression of the *MuMYB96* gene under drought conditions similar to that of the reports in *Camelina sativa* conferring enhanced drought tolerance (Lee et al., 2014). Cuticular wax forms the outer layer of areal parts and considered an early adaptive trait against water stress and protect the plants from harmful UV



Response of groundnut transgenic plants to drought stress. (A). Drought stress assay - Image showing the stay-green nature of multigene transgenic groundnut plants after 10 days of drought stress. Wild type (WT) and mock plants showed severe visible wilting symptoms whereas transgenic plants showed stay green nature under drought stress. (B) Phenotypic-trait analysis of multigene transgenic groundnut plants at harvest stage. Image showing profuse growth of lateral root density, shoot biomass and more pods in multigene transgenic plants compared to wild type and mock plants.

TABLE 1 Growth and yield related parameters in multigene transgenic groundnut plants, wild type and mock plants subjected to drought stress.

Genotype	Shoot length (cm/plant)	Root length (cm/plant)	Shoot dry weight (g/plant)	Root dry weight (g/plant)	No. of Pegs/ plant	No. of Pods/ plant	Pod dry weight/ plant(g)
Wild type	$34.33 \pm 3.18^{\circ}$	26.40 ± 2.77^{e}	$19.50 \pm 1.40^{\rm f}$	$1.47 \pm 0.37^{\rm g}$	21.33 ± 1.52^{d}	$16.00 \pm 3.60^{\circ}$	$13.32 \pm 2.19^{\rm d}$
Mock	$35.00 \pm 1.41^{\circ}$	24.93 ± 3.95^{e}	$18.60 \pm 3.40^{\rm f}$	$2.32 \pm 0.93^{\rm fg}$	22.33 ± 4.72^{d}	$14.33 \pm 1.52^{\circ}$	$14.62 \pm 3.74^{\rm d}$
TL2-2-2	54.33 ± 4.58^{a}	$39.73 \pm 3.05^{\rm abcd}$	36.60 ± 1.03^{ab}	3.81 ± 0.59^{bcd}	40.33 ± 5.13^{ab}	26.33 ± 4.16^{ab}	26.04 ± 5.39^{abc}
TL6-2-1	47.00 ± 1.81^{b}	40.93 ± 2.87^{abc}	25.89 ± 3.36^{e}	4.58 ± 1.21^{bc}	34.33 ± 4.16^{bc}	27.00 ± 2.64^{ab}	27.81 ± 2.19^{ab}
TL11-1-3	53.33 ± 4.42^{a}	$39.70\pm7.80^{\rm abcd}$	31.01 ± 2.00^{cd}	3.72 ± 0.44^{cd}	42.00 ± 3.60^{a}	28.33 ± 3.51^{a}	25.05 ± 3.57^{abc}
TL19-1-3	48.30 ± 2.12^{b}	42.33 ± 6.20^{ab}	41.43 ± 2.08^{a}	$5.07 \pm 0.32^{\rm b}$	40.66 ± 3.05^{ab}	26.66 ± 4.72^{ab}	29.06 ± 1.18^{a}
TL23-1-3	$48.00 \pm 2.47^{\rm b}$	41.00 ± 4.52^{abc}	33.59 ± 4.34^{bcd}	3.93 ± 0.90^{bcd}	$33.66 \pm 0.57^{\circ}$	24.33 ± 1.52^{ab}	$25.84 \pm 1.74^{\rm abc}$
TL29-1-1	$48.50 \pm 2.48^{\rm b}$	43.50 ± 4.17^{ab}	35.10 ± 5.25^{bc}	3.14 ± 0.32^{def}	$37.66 \pm 1.52^{\rm abc}$	23.66 ± 1.52^{ab}	23.25 ± 2.52^{bc}
TL36-1-4	45.76 ± 3.02^{b}	46.33 ± 1.29^{a}	28.583 ± 2.78^{de}	6.44 ± 0.24^{a}	34.33 ± 4.04^{bc}	24.33 ± 3.05^{ab}	24.98 ± 2.86^{abc}
TL40-2-4	$43.76 \pm 1.91^{\rm b}$	$34.86 \pm 1.05^{\rm cd}$	32.34 ± 2.53^{bcd}	2.42 ± 0.23^{efg}	$35.00\pm4.00^{\rm bc}$	23.33 ± 3.21^{ab}	23.93 ± 3.38^{abc}
TL41-1-3	$48.33 \pm 2.15^{\rm b}$	33.60 ± 1.75^{d}	34.38 ± 2.62^{bc}	3.18 ± 1.55^{def}	$38.66 \pm 6.50^{\rm abc}$	24.66 ± 3.05^{ab}	$21.19 \pm 4.92^{\circ}$
TL42-2-3	$45.26 \pm 2.75^{\rm b}$	39.26 ± 1.49^{bcd}	28.90 ± 0.98^{de}	3.62 ± 0.44^{cde}	36.00 ± 2.00^{abc}	$22.66 \pm 2.08^{\rm b}$	26.16 ± 1.49^{abc}

The values are the mean of three biological experiments with triplicates \pm SE. ($P \leq$ 0.05).



radiation and herbivory (Yeats and Rose, 2013; Tafolla-Arellano et al., 2018). Many researchers employed cuticular wax-related genes at the molecular level in conferring stress tolerance in crop plants (Lewandowska et al., 2020). The SEM analysis displayed dense deposition of wax crystals on the leaf surface of transgenic plants, whereas sparingly distributed wax crystals were observed in wild type plants (Figure 4). SEM results were supported by the wax content in transgenic plants under drought stress. The transgenic plants showed more than two-fold increase in cuticular wax content in the leaves of multigene transgenic plants than the wild type. MuMYB96 transcript levels were significantly increased in multigene transgenic plants and also resulted in the overexpression of its downstream target genes KCS6 and KCR1, supporting their role increased cuticular wax accumulation. Earlier drought induced expression of MYB96 and its downstream genes KCS6 and KCR1 were reported in response to drought stress (Lee et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019; Lewandowska et al., 2020; Ahmad et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2022) (Figure 8).

Drought stress adversely affects plant-water relations, resulting in reduced cell turgor, stomata closure, restricted gas exchange, and photosynthetic machinery (Kheradmand et al., 2014; Kosar et al., 2015). Therefore, the stability of chlorophylls under water deficit conditions is considered a good criterion for drought tolerance (Arunyanark et al., 2008; Ahmed et al., 2020). In the present study, maximum retention of relative water content and chlorophylls was observed in the leaf tissues of transgenic groundnut plants compared to wild type and mock plants (Figures 5A, B). Furthermore, several previous investigations on overexpressing different regulatory and functional genes reported relatively higher chlorophyll content and RWC in transgenic groundnut plants, conferring improved drought tolerance (Bhatnagar-Mathur et al., 2014; Banavath et al., 2018; Lokesh et al., 2019; Venkatesh et al., 2019) and the results obtained in our study showed a similar trend suggesting the possible drought tolerant mechanism in groundnut transgenics.

Production of ROS (superoxides, peroxides, hydroxyl ions, etc.) is a common phenomenon in response to drought stress in



(adaxial surface) of wild type (WT), mock and multigene transgenic groundnut lines (TL 2-2-2, TL 19-1-3, TL 40-2-4 and TL 41-1-3) under drought stress. The SEM images were taken at 100µm focal length.

plants, and hyper-accumulation of ROS is lethal (Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Laxa et al., 2019; Soares et al., 2019). Under drought stress, the multigene transgenic groundnut plants exhibited reduced levels of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide content; in contrast, an increased antioxidative enzyme (SOD and APX) activity was observed in transgenic plants over non-transgenic plants (Figure 7). These results were positively correlated with the qRTPCR analysis of APX3 and CSD1 genes, which showed 3 to 4-fold higher transcript levels in transgenic plants than in wild type plants (Figure 8). Previous studies in various crop species reported enhanced expression of MuWRKY3 gene and antioxidative genes (SOD, CAT, and POD) conferred oxidative defense in response to drought stress (Morita et al., 2011; Feng et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2018; Kiranmai et al., 2018) Low levels of malondialdehyde, a biomarker of lipid peroxidation in

transgenic plants (Figure 8C), suggest that reduced oxidative damage in the plant cells under drought stress was possibly protected by the improved anti-oxidant machinery (Levine et al., 1994; Ramu et al., 2016; Kiranmai et al., 2018) Following previous studies, the overexpression of the MuWRKY3 gene under drought stress improves the tolerance of transgenic groundnut (Kiranmai et al., 2018).

Osmoregulation, through the accumulation of osmolytes such as proline, sugars, betaines, polyols, etc., plays a crucial role in maintaining cell turgor under water stress (Verbruggen and Hermans, 2008; Blum, 2017). In the present investigation, we reported a significant accumulation of soluble sugars in multigene transgenic plants in correspondence with wild type plants under drought stress (Figure 8A). Zhang et al. (2017) reported a 17-24% increase in the total soluble sugar content in a

(B) Total chlorophyll content, (C) Epicuticular wax content. The values are mean of 3 biological replicates (n=3) and error bars denotes standard error. The alphabets on the error bars indicate significant variation ($\rho < 0.05$) between transgenic lines, wild type and mock plants.

drought-tolerant groundnut cultivar Shanhua 11 under droughtstress conditions. Overexpression of PDH45, NAC4 and WRKY3 in groundnut demonstrated hyperaccumulation of soluble sugars under drought stress (Manjulatha et al., 2014; Pandurangaiah et al., 2014; Kiranmai et al., 2018; Kokkanti et al., 2022). In contrast, multigene transgenic groundnut plants showed a lower proline content upon drought stress compared to wild type (Figure 8B). The lower level of proline could possibly be due to the maintenance of better RWC and partial cellular turgor potential in multigene transgenic plants. However, previous studies in groundnut upon co-expression of multiple genes (Alfin1, PgHSF4, and PDH45) showed increased proline content under moisture stress (Ramu et al., 2016).

A profuse root system has been considered an adaptive strategy to enhance water uptake under water-limited conditions (Basu et al., 2016). In the present study, the multigene transgenic lines showed increased root length (Figure 3A) and growth of lateral root volume than the wild type and mock plants. The current investigation also revealed the expression of root-associated genes such as LBD16 and DBP with increased transcript levels in transgenic plants under drought-stress conditions (Figure 8). Previous reports demonstrated the role of LBD16 and DBP genes

FIGURE 8

sugars, (B). Free proline content, and (C). Malondialdehyde content. The values are mean of three biological replicates (n=3) and error bars denotes standard error. The alphabets on the error bars indicates significant variation ($p \le 0.05$) between transgenic lines, wild type and mock plants

in root initiation and lateral root development in different plant species under various abiotic stresses (Liu et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). Overexpression of the MuNAC4 transcription factor gene in groundnut resulted in increased root volume and biomass under drought stress (Pandurangaiah et al., 2014). In our study, MuNAC4 gene expression and other TF genes possibly contribute to improved root architecture in multigene transgenic groundnut plants. In addition, transcript levels of MuNAC4 were found to be higher in transgenic plants than in wild type plants under drought stress conditions. These results are in concomitant with previous studies by Pandurangaiah et al. (2014). Several studies reported that overexpression of TF genes and regulation of genes involved in root trait development were proved to enhance drought stress tolerance in crop plants (Le et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2018; Figueroa et al., 2021).

Overexpression of TFs, MuMYB96, MuWRKY3, and MuNAC4 contributed to improved physiological and biochemical traits, which resulted in delayed wilting, and staygreen nature of leaves under drought stress, and complete recovery rate after stress withdrawal (Figure 3). Overexpression of single transcription factor genes in groundnut plants conferred stress tolerance against drought stress; however, in this study stacking multiple genes showed enhanced tolerance levels compared to single gene transgenics (Pandurangaiah et al., 2014; Ramu et al., 2016; Kiranmai et al., 2018; Venkatesh et al., 2019). In addition to enhanced drought stress tolerance, better

FIGURE 9

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and anti-oxidative enzyme efficacy in multigene transgenic plants, wild type and mock plants under drought stress: (A). superoxides, and (B). hydrogen peroxide content), (C). superoxide dismutase (SOD) and (D). ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity in wild type, mock and multigene transgenic groundnut plants under drought stress conditions. The values are mean of three biological replicates (n=3) and error bars denotes standard error. The alphabets on the error bars indicates significant variation (p<0.05) between transgenic lines, wild type and mock plants.

growth traits like shoot and root volume, and yield traits like pod number, and pod dry weight in transgenic plants compared to wild type and mock plants also observed (Table 1).

Conclusions

The present study focused on developing transgenic groundnut plants by simultaneously expressing three regulatory genes, MuMYB96, MuWRKY3, and MuNAC4, to enhance drought tolerance. Expression of the MuMYB96 gene in multigene transgenic groundnut plants exhibited increased epicuticular wax accumulation, thereby reducing non-stomatal water loss under water-limited conditions. Furthermore, improved water mining traits like root length contributed to maintaining cell turgor and stay-green in transgenic plants under drought stress due to the overexpression of MuNAC4 gene in multigene transgenics. Furthermore, the transgenic plants displayed increased osmolyte accumulation, anti-oxidant enzyme activity, and detoxification of ROS, resulting in improved cellular level drought tolerance could be due to the expression of the MuWRKY3 gene along with the other two other TF genes. In summary, improvement of superior water conservation, water mining, and cellular level tolerance traits in groundnut transgenics suggest the pyramiding of multiple TF genes for improving the manifold traits is a viable option to cope with the drought stress impact on crop plants with a limited yield penalty.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

CS conceptualized and designed the experiments. BV performed the research. AV, NuJ, and NJ performed data analysis. AA contributed bioinformatics annotation. AV, BR, KM, KK, and MP contributed vector construction. CS, BV, KM, and AV wrote the paper. All authors provided inputs to develop the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

Funding

CSIR-SRF fellowship (No: 09/383(0051)/2016-EMR-I) and DBT (BT/PR.15503/AGR/02/913/2015).

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the DBT (BT/PR.15503/AGR/02/913/ 2015) and CSIR-SRF fellowship (09/383(0051)/2016-EMR-I) Government of India, New Delhi for financial support in the form of a research grant to CS and BV. We greatly acknowledge Late Prof. M. Udayakumar and Dr. Ramu S Vemanna, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru for providing vectors for multisite gateway technology. We deeply condole the sudden demise of Late Prof. M. Udayakumar and we know that his passing will not only leave a void in our research, but in the hearts of all those who knew him. Prof. Udayakumar will always remain within our hearts and we dedicate this article to Prof. Udayakumar.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.1055851/full#supplementary-material

Ahmad, H. M., Rahman, M. U., Ahmar, S., Fiaz, S., Azeem, F., Shaheen, T., et al. (2021). Comparative genomic analysis of MYB transcription factors for cuticular wax biosynthesis and drought stress tolerance in helianthus annuus l. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 28, 5693–5703. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.06.009

Ahmed, H. G. M. D., Zeng, Y., Yang, X., Anwaar, H. A., Mansha, M. Z., Hanif, C. M. S., et al. (2020). Conferring drought-tolerant wheat genotypes through morphophysiological and chlorophyll indices at seedling stage. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 27, 2116– 2123. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.06.019

Anjum, S. A., Ashraf, U., Zohaib, A., Tanveer, M., Naeem, M., Ali, I., et al. (2017). Growth and development responses of crop plants under drought stress: a review. *Zemdirbyste.* 104, 267–276. doi: 10.13080/z-a.2017.104.034

Arunyanark, A., Jogloy, S., Akkasaeng, C., Vorasoot, N., Kesmala, T., Nageswara Rao, R. C., et al. (2008). Chlorophyll stability is an indicator of drought tolerance in peanut. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 194, 113–125. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2008.00299.x

Augustine, S. M., Ashwin Narayan, J., Syamaladevi, D. P., Appunu, C., Chakravarthi, M., Ravichandran, V., et al. (2015). Overexpression of *EaDREB2* and pyramiding of *EaDREB2* with the pea DNA helicase gene (*PDH45*) enhance drought and salinity tolerance in sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. hybrid). *Plant Cell Rep.* 34, 247–263. doi: 10.1007/s00299-014-1704-6

Babitha, K. C., Ramu, S. V., Pruthvi, V., Mahesh, P., Nataraja, K. N., and Udayakumar, M. (2013). Co-Expression of *AtbHLH17* and *AtWRKY28* confers resistance to abiotic stress in arabidopsis. *Transgenic Res.* 22, 327-341. doi: 10.1007/s11248-012-9645-8

Banavath, J. N., Chakradhar, T., Pandit, V., Konduru, S., Guduru, K. K., Akila, C. S., et al. (2018). Stress inducible overexpression of *AtHDG11* leads to improved drought and salt stress tolerance in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* 1.). *Front. Chem.* 6, 34. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2018.00034

Basu, D., Tian, L., Debrosse, T., Poirier, E., Emch, K., Herock, H., et al. (2016). Glycosylation of a fasciclin-like arabinogalactan-protein (SOS5) mediates root growth and seed mucilage adherence via a cell wall receptor-like kinase (*FEI1/FEI2*) pathway in arabidopsis. *PloS One* 11, 0145092. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0145092

Bates, L. S., Waldren, R. P., and Teare, I. D. (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. *Plant Soil.* 39, 205–207. doi: 10.1007/BF00018060

Bengough, A. G., McKenzie, B. M., Hallett, P. D., and Valentine, T. A. (2011). Root elongation, water stress, and mechanical impedance: a review of limiting stresses and beneficial root tip traits. J. Exp. Bot. 62, 59–68. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq350

Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Devi, M. J., Reddy, D. S., Lavanya, M., Vadez, V., Serraj, R., et al. (2007). Stress-inducible expression of *At DREB1A* in transgenic peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* 1.) increases transpiration efficiency under water-limiting conditions. *Plant Cell Rep.* 26, 2071–2082. doi: 10.1007/s00299-007-0406-8

Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Rao, J. S., Vadez, V., Dumbala, S. R., Rathore, A., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., et al. (2014). Transgenic peanut overexpressing the *DREB1A* transcription factor has higher yields under drought stress. *Mol. Breed.* 33, 327–340. doi: 10.1007/s11032-013-9952-7

Blum, A. (2017). Osmotic adjustment is a prime drought stress adaptive engine in support of plant production. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40, 4–10. doi: 10.1111/ pce.12800

Buscaill, P., and Rivas, S. (2014). Transcriptional control of plant defence responses. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 20, 35–46. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2014.04.004

Chen, D., Chai, S., McIntyre, C. L., and Xue, G. P. (2018). Overexpression of a predominantly root-expressed NAC transcription factor in wheat roots enhances root length, biomass and drought tolerance. *Plant Cell Rep.* 37, 225–237. doi: 10.1007/s00299-017-2224-y

Coudert, Y., Périn, C., Courtois, B., Khong, N. G., and Gantet, P. (2010). Genetic control of root development in rice, the model cereal. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 219–226. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2010.01.008

de Dorlodot, S., Forster, B., Pagès, L., Price, A., Tuberosa, R., and Draye, X. (2007). Root system architecture: opportunities and constraints for genetic improvement of crops. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12, 474–481. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2007.08.012

de Mendiburu, F. (2014). Agricolae: statistical procedures for agricultural research. *R Package version*. 1, 1–4. Available at: http://CRAN-Project.org/package=agricolae.

Erpen, L., Devi, H. S., Grosser, J. W., and Dutt, M. (2018). Potential use of the DREB/ERF, MYB, NAC and WRKY transcription factors to improve abiotic and biotic stress in transgenic plants. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 132, 1–25. doi: 10.1007/s11240-017-1320-6

Fang, Y., and Xiong, L. (2015). General mechanisms of drought response and their application in drought resistance improvement in plants. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 72, 673–689. doi: 10.1007/s00018-014-1767-0

FAOSTAT (2019). Available at: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL/ visualize.

Farooq, M., Hussain, M., Wahid, A., and Siddique, K. H. M. (2012). "Drought stress in plants: An overview," in *Plant responses to drought stress.* Ed. R. Aroca (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer), 1–33. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-32653-0_1

Feng, L., Gao, Z., Xiao, G., Huang, R., and Zhang, H. (2014). Leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase FON1 regulates drought stress and seed germination by activating the expression of ABA-responsive genes in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 32, 1158–1168. doi: 10.1007/s11105-014-0718-0

Fich, E. A., Segerson, N. A., and Rose, J. K. (2016). The plant polyester cutin: biosynthesis, structure, and biological roles. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 67, 207–233. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-111929

Figueroa, N., Lodeyro, A. F., Carrillo, N., and Gómez, R. (2021). Meta-analysis reveals key features of the improved drought tolerance of plants overexpressing NAC transcription factors. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 186, 104449. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2021.104449

Franco-Zorrilla, J. M., López-Vidriero, I., Carrasco, J. L., Godoy, M., Vera, P., and Solano, R. (2014). DNA-Binding specificities of plant transcription factors and their potential to define target genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111, 2367–2372. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1316278111

Gill, S. S., and Tuteja, N. (2010). Reactive oxygen species and anti-oxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 909–930. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.08.016

Goel, P., and Singh, A. K. (2018). "Single-versus multigene transfer approaches for crop abiotic stress tolerance," in *Biochemical, physiological and molecular avenues for combating abiotic stress tolerance in plants.* Ed. S. H. Wani (London, UK: Academic Press), 255–275. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-813066-7.00014-0

Gomes, F. P., and Prado, C. H. (2007). Ecophysiology of coconut palm under water stress. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.* 19, 377–391. doi: 10.1590/S1677-04202007000400.008

Guo, R., Qiao, H., Zhao, J., Wang, X., Tu, M., Guo, C., et al. (2018). The grape VIWRKY3 gene promotes abiotic and biotic stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 545. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00545

Guo, L., Yang, H., Zhang, X., and Yang, S. (2013). *Lipid transfer protein3* as a target of *MYB96* mediates freezing and drought stress in arabidopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 1755–1767. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert040

Hammer, G. L., Dong, Z., McLean, G., Doherty, A., Messina, C., Schussler, J., et al. (2009). Can changes in canopy and/or root system architecture explain historical maize yield trends in the US corn belt? *Crop Sci.* 49, 299–312. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2008.03.0152

Hichri, I., Muhovski, Y., Žižková, E., Dobrev, P. I., Gharbi, E., Franco-Zorrilla, J. M., et al. (2017). The *Solanum lycopersicum* WRKY3 transcription factor *SlWRKY3* is involved in salt stress tolerance in tomato. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1343. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01343

Hiscox, J. D., and Israelstam, G. F. (1979). A method for the extraction of chlorophyll from leaf tissue without maceration. *Canad. J. Bot.* 57, 1332–1334. doi: 10.1139/b79-163

Hodge, A., Berta, G., Doussan, C., Merchan, F., and Crespi, M. (2009). Plant root growth, architecture and function. *Plant Soil.* 321, 153–187. doi: 10.1007/s11104-009-9929-9

Hrmova, M., and Hussain, S. S. (2021). Plant transcription factors involved in drought and associated stresses. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 5662. doi: 10.3390/ijms22115662

Huang, H., Ayaz, A., Zheng, M., Yang, X., Zaman, W., Zhao, H., et al. (2022). Arabidopsis KCS5 and KCS6 play redundant roles in wax synthesis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23, 4450. doi: 10.3390/ijms23084450

Iqbal, A., Fahad, S., Iqbal, M., Alamzeb, M., Ahmad, A., Anwar, S., et al. (2020). "Special adaptive features of plant species in response to drought," in *Salt and drought stress tolerance in plants*. Eds. M. Hasanuzzaman and M. Tanveer (Cham: Springer), 77–118. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-40277-8_4

Janiak, A., Kwaśniewski, M., and Szarejko, I. (2016). Gene expression regulation in roots under drought. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 1003–1014. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv512

Jenks, M. A., Andersen, L., Teusink, R. S., and Williams, M. H. (2001). Leaf cuticular waxes of potted rose cultivars as affected by plant development, drought and paclobutrazol treatments. *Physiol. Plant* 112, 62–70. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-3054.2001.1120109.x

Jongrungklang, N., Toomsan, B., Vorasoot, N., Jogloy, S., Boote, K. J., Hoogenboom, G., et al. (2013). Drought tolerance mechanisms for yield responses to pre-flowering drought stress of peanut genotypes with different drought tolerant levels. *Field Crops Res.* 144, 34–42. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2012.12.017

Joshi, R., Wani, S. H., Singh, B., Bohra, A., Dar, Z. A., Lone, A. A., et al. (2016). Transcription factors and plants response to drought stress: current understanding and future directions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1029. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01029

Jung, H., Chung, P. J., Park, S. H., Redillas, M. C. F. R., Kim, Y. S., Suh, J. W., et al. (2017). Overexpression of *OsERF48* causes regulation of *OsCML16*, a calmodulin-like protein gene that enhances root growth and drought tolerance. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 15, 1295–1308. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12716

Junglee, S., Urban, L., Sallanon, H., and Lopez-Lauri, F. (2014). Optimized assay for hydrogen peroxide determination in plant tissue using potassium iodide. *Am. J. Anal. Chem.* 5, 730. doi: 10.4236/ajac.2014.511081

Kambiranda, D. M., Vasanthaiah, H. K., Katam, R., Ananga, A., Basha, S. M., and Naik, K. (2011). "Impact of drought stress on peanut (Arachis hypogaea l.) productivity and food safety," in *Plants and environment*. Eds. H. Vasanthaiah and D. Kambiranda, (Croatia: InTech) 249–272.

Kheradmand, M. A., Fahraji, S. S., Fatahi, E., and Raoofi, M. M. (2014). Effect of water stress on oil yield and some characteristics of *Brassica napus. Int. Res. J. Basic Appl. Sci.* 8, 1447–1453.

Kiranmai, K., Gunupuru, L. R., Nareshkumar, A., Reddy, V. A., Lokesh, U., Pandurangaiah, M., et al. (2016). Expression analysis of WRKY transcription factor genes in response to abiotic stresses in horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum* (Lam.) verdc.). *Am. J. Mol. Biol.* 6, 125–137. doi: 10.4236/ajmb.2016.64013

Kiranmai, K., Lokanadha Rao, G., Pandurangaiah, M., Nareshkumar, A., Amaranatha Reddy, V., Lokesh, U., et al. (2018). A novel WRKY transcription factor, MuWRKY3 (Macrotyloma uniflorum lam. verdc.) enhances drought stress tolerance in transgenic groundnut (Arachis hypogaea l.) plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 346. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00346

Kishor, P. B. K., Venkatesh, K., Amareshwari, P., Hima Kumari, P., Punita, D. L., Anil Kumar, S., et al. (2018). Genetic engineering for salt and drought stress tolerance in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* 1.). *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* 23, 647–652. doi: 10.1007/s40502-018-0421-5

Kokkanti, R. R., Vemuri, H., Gaddameedi, A., and Rayalacheruvu, U. (2022). Variability in drought stress-induced physiological, biochemical responses and expression of *DREB2A*, *NAC4* and *HSP70* genes in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* 1.). S. Afr. J. Bot. 144, 448–457. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2021.09.025

Kosar, F., Akram, N. A., and Ashraf, M. (2015). Exogenously-applied 5aminolevulinic acid modulates some key physiological characteristics and antioxidative defense system in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* 1.) seedlings under water stress. S. Afr. J. Bot. 96, 71–77. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2014.10.015

Lai, Z., Vinod, K. M., Zheng, Z., Fan, B., and Chen, Z. (2008). Roles of arabidopsis WRKY3 and WRKY4 transcription factors in plant responses to pathogens. *BMC Plant Biol.* 8, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-8-68

Laxa, M., Liebthal, M., Telman, W., Chibani, K., and Dietz, K. J. (2019). The role of the plant anti-oxidant system in drought tolerance. *Anti-oxidants.* 8, 94. doi: 10.3390/antiox8040094

Lee, S. B., Kim, H., Kim, R. J., and Suh, M. C. (2014). Overexpression of arabidopsis *MYB96* confers drought resistance in *Camelina sativa via* cuticular wax accumulation. *Plant Cell Rep.* 33, 1535–1546. doi: 10.1007/s00299-014-1636-1

Lee, S. B., Kim, H. U., and Suh, M. C. (2016). MYB94 and MYB96 additively activate cuticular wax biosynthesis in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 57, 2300–2311. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcw147

Lee, S. B., and Suh, M. C. (2015). Advances in the understanding of cuticular waxes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and crop species. *Plant Cell Rep.* 34, 557–572. doi: 10.1007/s00299-015-1772-2

Le, D. T., Nishiyama, R., Watanabe, Y., Mochida, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., Shinozaki, K., et al. (2011). Genome-wide survey and expression analysis of the plant-specific NAC transcription factor family in soybean during development and dehydration stress. *DNA Res.* 18, 263–276. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dsr015

Levine, A., Tenhaken, R., Dixon, R., and Lamb, C. (1994). H2O2 from the oxidative burst orchestrates the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. *Cell.* 79, 583–593. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90544-4

Lewandowska, M., Keyl, A., and Feussner, I. (2020). Wax biosynthesis in response to danger: its regulation upon abiotic and biotic stress. *New Phytol.* 227, 698–713. doi: 10.1111/nph.16571

Li, Z., Hua, X., Zhong, W., Yuan, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, Z., et al. (2020). Genomewide identification and expression profile analysis of WRKY family genes in the autopolyploid *Saccharum spontaneum*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 61, 616–630. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcz227

Liu, W., Yu, J., Ge, Y., Qin, P., and Xu, L. (2018). Pivotal role of *LBD16* in root and root-like organ initiation. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 75, 3329–3338. doi: 10.1007/s00018-018-2861-5

Liu, Q. L., Zhong, M., Li, S., Pan, Y. Z., Jiang, B. B., Jia, Y., et al. (2013). Overexpression of a chrysanthemum transcription factor gene, *DgWRKY3*, in tobacco enhances tolerance to salt stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 69, 27–33. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.04.016

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods*. 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Lokesh, U., Venkatesh, B., Kiranmai, K., Nareshkumar, A., Amarnathareddy, V., Rao, G. L., et al. (2019). Overexpression of β -ketoacyl Co-a Synthasel gene improves tolerance of drought susceptible groundnut (Arachis hypogaea l.) cultivar K-6 by increased leaf epicuticular wax accumulation. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 1869. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01869

Lynch, J. (1995). Root architecture and plant productivity. *Plant Physiol.* 109, 7–13. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.1.7

Mamrutha, H. M., Mogili, T., Lakshmi, K. J., Rama, N., Kosma, D., Kumar, M. U., et al. (2010). Leaf cuticular wax amount and crystal morphology regulate postharvest water loss in mulberry (*Morus species*). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 690– 696. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.04.007

Manjulatha, M., Sreevathsa, R., Kumar, A. M., Sudhakar, C., Prasad, T. G., Tuteja, N., et al. (2014). Overexpression of a pea DNA helicase (PDH45) in peanut (Arachis hypogaea l.) confers improvement of cellular level tolerance and productivity under drought stress. Mol. Biotechnol. 56, 111–125. doi: 10.1007/s12033-013-9687-z

Manna, M., Thakur, T., Chirom, O., Mandlik, R., Deshmukh, R., and Salvi, P. (2021). Transcription factors as key molecular target to strengthen the drought stress tolerance in plants. *Physiol. Plant* 172, 847–868. doi: 10.1111/ppl.13268

Mei, F., Chen, B., Li, F., Zhang, Y., Kang, Z., Wang, X., et al. (2021). Overexpression of the wheat NAC transcription factor *TaSNAC4-3A* gene confers drought tolerance in transgenic arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 160, 37–50. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.01.004

Mickelbart, M. V., Hasegawa, P. M., and Bailey-Serres, J. (2015). Genetic mechanisms of abiotic stress tolerance that translate to crop yield stability. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 16, 237–251. doi: 10.1038/nrg3901

Morita, S., Nakatani, S., Koshiba, T., Masumura, T., Ogihara, Y., and Tanaka, K. (2011). Differential expression of two cytosolic ascorbate peroxidases and two superoxide dismutase genes in response to abiotic stress in rice. *Rice Sci.* 18, 157–166. doi: 10.1016/S1672-6308(11)60023-1

Nahar, K., Hasanuzzaman, M., Alam, M., Rahman, A., Mahmud, J. A., Suzuki, T., et al. (2017). Insights into spermine-induced combined high temperature and drought tolerance in mung bean: osmoregulation and roles of anti-oxidant and glyoxalase system. *Protoplasma*. 254, 445–460. doi: 10.1007/s00709-016-0965-z

Nareshkumar, A., Veeranagamallaiah, G., Pandurangaiah, M., Kiranmai, K., Amaranathareddy, V., Lokesh, U., et al. (2015). Pb-Stress induced oxidative stress caused alterations in antioxidant efficacy in two groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* l.) cultivars. *Agric. Sci.* 6, 1283. doi: 10.4236/as.2015.610123

Nawrath, C. (2006). Unrevealing the complex network of cuticular structure and function. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 9, 281–287. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2006.03.001

Nguyen, T. X., Nguyen, T., Alameldin, H., Goheen, B., Loescher, W., and Sticklen, M. (2013). Transgene pyramiding of the *HVA1* and *mtlD* in T3 maize (*Zea mays* l.) plants confers drought and salt tolerance, along with an increase in crop biomass. *Int. J. Agron.* 2013, 1–13. doi: 10.1155/2013/598163

Nisarga, K. N., Vemanna, R. S., Kodekallu Chandrashekar, B., Rao, H., Vennapusa, A. R., Narasimaha, A., et al. (2017). *Aldo-ketoreductase 1 (AKR1)* improves seed longevity in tobacco and rice by detoxifying reactive cytotoxic compounds generated during ageing. *Rice.* 10, 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s12284-017-0148-3

Pandian, B. A., Varanasi, A., Vennapusa, A. R., Sathishraj, R., Lin, G., Zhao, M., et al. (2020). Characterization, genetic analyses, and identification of QTLs conferring metabolic resistance to a 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitor in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 596581. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.596581

Pandurangaiah, M., Lokanadha Rao, G., Sudhakarbabu, O., Nareshkumar, A., Kiranmai, K., Lokesh, U., et al. (2014). Overexpression of horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum* lam. verdc.) NAC transcriptional factor (*MuNAC4*) in groundnut confers enhanced drought tolerance. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 56, 758–769. doi: 10.1007/s12033-014-9754-0

Parvathi, M. S., Sreevathsa, R., Rama, N., and Nataraja, K. N. (2015). Simultaneous expression of *AhBTF3*, *AhNF-YA7* and *EcZF* modulates acclimation responses to abiotic stresses in rice (*Oryza sativa* 1). *Proc. Environ. Sci.* 29, 236–237. doi: 10.1016/j.proenv.2015.07.290

Pruthvi, V., Narasimhan, R., and Nataraja, K. N. (2014). Simultaneous expression of abiotic stress responsive transcription factors, *AtDREB2A*, *AtHB7* and *AtABF3* improves salinity and drought tolerance in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* 1.). *PloS One* 9, 111152. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111152

Ramu, V. S., Swetha, T. N., Sheela, S. H., Babitha, C. K., Rohini, S., Reddy, M. K., et al. (2016). Simultaneous expression of regulatory genes associated with specific drought-adaptive traits improves drought adaptation in peanut. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 14, 1008–1020. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12461

Reddy, P. C. O., Ranganayakulu, G., Thippeswamy, M., Sudhakar Reddy, P., Reddy, M. K., and Sudhakar, C. (2008). Identification of stress-induced genes from the drought tolerant semi-arid legume crop horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum* (Lam.) verdc.) through analysis of subtracted expressed sequence tags. *Plant Science*. 175, 372–384. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2008.05.012

Riederer, M., and Schreiber, L. (2001). Protecting against water loss: analysis of the barrier properties of plant cuticles. *J. Exp. Bot.* 52, 2023–2032. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/52.363.2023

Rohini, V. K., and Rao, K. S. (2001). Transformation of peanut (Arachis hypogaea l.) with tobacco chitinase gene: variable response of transformants to leaf spot disease. *Plant Sci.* 160, 889–898. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00462-3

Şahin-Çevik, M., Çevik, B., and Karaca, G. (2014). Expression analysis of WRKY genes from *Poncirus trifoliata* in response to pathogen infection. *J. Plant Interact.* 9, 182–193. doi: 10.1080/17429145.2013.796596

Sampaio, M., Neves, J., Cardoso, T., Pissarra, J., Pereira, S., and Pereira, C. (2022). Coping with abiotic stress in plants-an endomembrane trafficking perspective. *Plants* 11, 338. doi: 10.3390/plants11030338

Sarkar, T., Thankappan, R., Kumar, A., Mishra, G. P., and Dobaria, J. R. (2016). Stress inducible expression of *AtDREB1A* transcription factor in transgenic peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* l.) conferred tolerance to soil-moisture deficit stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 935. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00935

Seo, P. J., Lee, S. B., Suh, M. C., Park, M. J., Go, Y. S., and Park, C. M. (2011). The MYB96 transcription factor regulates cuticular wax biosynthesis under drought conditions in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.* 23, 1138–1152. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.083485

Seo, P. J., and Park, C. M. (2011). Cuticular wax biosynthesis as a way of inducing drought resistance. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 6, 1043–1045. doi: 10.4161/psb.6.7.15606

Seo, P. J., Xiang, F., Qiao, M., Park, J. Y., Lee, Y. N., Kim, S. G., et al. (2009). The MYB96 transcription factor mediates abscisic acid signaling during drought stress response in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 151, 275–289. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.144220

Shao, H., Wang, H., and Tang, X. (2015). NAC transcription factors in plant multiple abiotic stress responses: progress and prospects. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 902. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00902

Simms, D., Cizdziel, P. E., and Chomczynski, P. (1993). TRIzol: A new reagent for optimal single-step isolation of RNA. *Focus.* 15, 532–535.

Singla-Pareek, S. L., Reddy, M. K., and Sopory, S. K. (2003). Genetic engineering of the glyoxalase pathway in tobacco leads to enhanced salinity tolerance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100, 14672–14677. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2034667100

Skibbe, M., Qu, N., Galis, I., and Baldwin, I. T. (2008). Induced plant defenses in the natural environment: *Nicotiana attenuata WRKY3* and *WRKY6* coordinate responses to herbivory. *Plant Cell.* 20, 1984–2000. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.058594

Soares, C., Carvalho, M. E., Azevedo, R. A., and Fidalgo, F. (2019). Plants facing oxidative challenges-a little help from the anti-oxidant networks. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 161, 4–25. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2018.12.009

Tafolla-Arellano, J. C., Báez-Sañudo, R., and Tiznado-Hernández, M. E. (2018). The cuticle as a key factor in the quality of horticultural crops. *Sci. Hortic.* 232, 145–152. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.01.005

Varshney, R. K., Bansal, K. C., Aggarwal, P. K., Datta, S. K., and Craufurd, P. Q. (2011). Agricultural biotechnology for crop improvement in a variable climate: hope or hype? *Trends Plant Sci.* 16, 363–371. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2011.03.004

Vemanna, R. S., Babitha, K. C., Solanki, J. K., Reddy, V. A., Sarangi, S. K., and Udayakumar, M. (2017). Aldo-keto reductase-1 (AKR1) protect cellular enzymes from salt stress by detoxifying reactive cytotoxic compounds. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 113, 177–186. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.02.012

Vemanna, R. S., Chandrashekar, B. K., Hanumantha Rao, H. M., Sathyanarayanagupta, S. K., Sarangi, K. S., Nataraja, K. N., et al. (2013). A modified multisite gateway cloning strategy for consolidation of genes in plants. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 53, 129–138. doi: 10.1007/s12033-012-9499-6

Venkatesh, B., Amaranatha Reddy, V., Lokesh, U., Kiranmai, K., Anthony Johnson, A. M., Pandurangaiah, M., et al. (2019). Multigenic groundnut transgenics: An advantage over traditional single gene traits in conferring abiotic stress tolerance: A review. *Res. Reviews: J. Agric. Allied Sci.* 7, 113–120.

Vennapusa, A. R., Agarwal, S., Hm, H. R., Aarthy, T., Babitha, K. C., Thulasiram, H. V., et al. (2022). Stacking herbicide detoxification and resistant genes improves glyphosate tolerance and reduces phytotoxicity in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* l.) and rice (*Oryza sativa* l.). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 189, 126–138. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2022.08.025

Verbruggen, N., and Hermans, C. (2008). Proline accumulation in plants: a review. Amino Acids 35, 753–759. doi: 10.1007/s00726-008-0061-6

Weigel, D., and Glazabrook, J. (2006). Transformation of agrobacterium using the freeze-thaw method. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 7, 1031–1036. doi: 10.1101/pdb.prot4665

Xiao, Y., Zhou, L., Lei, X., Cao, H., Wang, Y., Dou, Y., et al. (2017). Genomewide identification of WRKY genes and their expression profiles under different abiotic stresses in *Elaeis guineensis*. *PloS One* 12, e0189224. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0189224

Xue, D., Zhang, X., Lu, X., Chen, G., and Chen, Z. H. (2017). Molecular and evolutionary mechanisms of cuticular wax for plant drought tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 621. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00621

Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2006). Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 57, 781–803. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105444

Yao, G. Q., Nie, Z. F., Turner, N. C., Li, F. M., Gao, T. P., Fang, X. W., et al. (2021). Combined high leaf hydraulic safety and efficiency provides drought tolerance in *Caragana* species adapted to low mean annual precipitation. *New Phytol.* 229, 230–244. doi: 10.1111/nph.16845

Yeats, T. H., and Rose, J. K. (2013). The formation and function of plant cuticles. Plant Physiol. 163, 5–20. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.222737

Yoon, J., Cho, L. H., and Jung, K. H. (2022). Hierarchical structures and dissected functions of MADS-box transcription factors in rice development. *J. Plant Biol.* 65, 99–109. doi: 10.1007/s12374-021-09343-0

Yuan, C., Li, C., Lu, X., Zhao, X., Yan, C., Wang, J., et al. (2020). Comprehensive genomic characterization of NAC transcription factor family and their response to salt and drought stress in peanut. *BMC Plant Biol.* 20, 1–21. doi: 10.1186/s12870-020-02678-9

Zhang, M., Wang, L. F., Zhang, K., Liu, F. Z., and Wan, Y. S. (2017). Droughtinduced responses of organic osmolytes and proline metabolism during preflowering stage in leaves of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* l.). *J. Integr. Agric.* 16, 2197–2205. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(16)61515-0

Zhang, L., Yao, L., Zhang, N., Yang, J., Zhu, X., Tang, X., et al. (2018). Lateral root development in potato is mediated by stu-mi164 regulation of NAC transcription factor. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 383. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00383

Zhang, Y. L., Zhang, C. L., Wang, G. L., Wang, Y. X., Qi, C. H., Zhao, Q., et al. (2019). The R2R3 MYB transcription factor MdMYB30 modulates plant resistance against pathogens by regulating cuticular wax biosynthesis. *BMC Plant Biol.* 19, 1– 14. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1918-4