### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Linkun Wu, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Xiangwei Gong, Shenyang Agricultural University, China Lamine Baba-Moussa, Universitéd'Abomey-Calavi, Benin

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Lanping Guo glp01@126.com Yan Zhang zhangyan8669@126.com Luqi Huang huangluqi01@126.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Symbiotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 27 August 2022 ACCEPTED 05 October 2022 PUBLISHED 24 October 2022

#### CITATION

Peng Z, Guo X, Xiang Z, Liu D, Yu K, Sun K, Yan B, Wang S, Kang C, Xu Y, Wang H, Wang T, Lyu C, Xue W, Feng L, Guo L, Zhang Y and Huang L (2022) Maize intercropping enriches plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and promotes both the growth and volatile oil concentration of *Atractylodes lancea*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1029722. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1029722

# Maize intercropping enriches plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and promotes both the growth and volatile oil concentration of *Atractylodes lancea*

Zheng Peng<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xiuzhi Guo<sup>1†</sup>, ZengXu Xiang<sup>3</sup>, Dahui Liu<sup>4</sup>, Kun Yu<sup>4</sup>, Kai Sun<sup>1</sup>, Binbin Yan<sup>1</sup>, Sheng Wang<sup>1</sup>, Chuanzhi Kang<sup>1</sup>, Yang Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Hongyang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Tielin Wang<sup>1</sup>, Chaogeng Lyu<sup>1</sup>, Wenjun Xue<sup>5</sup>, Li Feng<sup>5</sup>, Lanping Guo<sup>1\*</sup>, Yan Zhang<sup>1\*</sup> and Luqi Huang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory and Breeding Base of Dao-di Herbs, Resource Center of Chinese Materia Medica China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Traditional Chinese Medicine Health Industry, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Nanchang, China, <sup>3</sup>College of Horticulture, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China, <sup>4</sup>College of Pharmacy, Hubei University of Chinese Medicine, Wuhan, China, <sup>5</sup>Nanjing WaMing Agricultural Technology Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China

In the Atractylodes lancea (A. lancea)-maize intercropping system, maize can promote the growth of A. lancea, but it is unclear whether this constitutes an aboveground or belowground process. In this study, we investigated the mechanisms of the root system interaction between A. lancea and maize using three different barrier conditions: no barrier (AI), nylon barrier (AN), and plastic barrier (AP) systems. The biomass, volatile oil concentration, physicochemical properties of the soil, and rhizosphere microorganisms of the A. lancea plant were determined. The results showed that (1) the A. lancea maize intercropping system could promote the growth of A. lancea and its accumulation of volatile oils; (2) a comparison of the CK, AI, and AP treatments revealed that it was the above-ground effect of maize specifically that promoted the accumulation of both atractylon and atractylodin within the volatile oils of A. lancea, but inhibited the accumulation of hinesol and  $\beta$ eudesmol; (3) in comparing the soil physicochemical properties of each treatment group, intercropping maize acidified the root soil of A. lancea, changed its root soil physicochemical properties, and increased the abundance of the acidic rhizosphere microbes of A. lancea at the phylum level; (4) in an analysis of rhizosphere microbial communities of A. lancea under different barrier systems, intercropping was found to promote plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) enrichment, including Streptomyces, Bradyrhizobium, Candidatus Solibacter, Gemmatirosa, and Pseudolabrys, and the biomass of A. lancea was significantly influenced by PGPR. In summary, we found that the rhizosphere soil of *A. lancea* was acidified in intercropping with maize, causing the accumulation of PGPR, which was beneficial to the growth of *A. lancea*.

KEYWORDS

*Atractylodes lancea* (Thunb.) DC., intercropping, root barrier, volatile oil, rhizosphere, soil physicochemical properties, PGPR, maize

### Introduction

Attractylodes lancea (A. lancea) (Chinese: Cangzhu) is used in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) and has become valued in recent years for its high medicinal and economic value. The rhizome of this plant has been used in clinics to treat rheumatic diseases, digestive problems, night blindness, and influenza (Wang et al., 2008; Nie et al., 2018). A. lancea is a perennial plant that is typically cultivated in long-term continuous monocultures. This practice, however, makes the plant prone to soil-borne diseases and continuous crop obstacles, which negatively affect the yield and quality of A. lancea (Wang et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2021). Many other medicinal materials and crops suffer from this same issue (Wang, 2020; Gu et al., 2021; Ding et al., 2022). The monoculture cultivation problem has become one of the main challenges hindering sustainable medicinal herb production.

By increasing plant diversity, intercropping serves as an important strategy for restoring the microecological balance of the soil and achieving sustainable agricultural development (Li, 2016). In previous studies, diverse intercropping patterns were found to improve the soil's micro-ecological environment and increase plant productivity (Raseduzzaman, 2016). Researchers have recently revealed that belowground interactions contribute to plant productivity more than aboveground interactions do, and such interactions involve both the microbial community and physicochemical properties of the soil (Fusuo and Long, 2003; Walker et al., 2009; Bai et al., 2022b). The root microbiota, regarded as the second genome of the plant, promotes the growth, development, and quality of Chinese herbal medicines by influencing their absorption of nutrients and resistance against both biotic and abiotic stresses (Vandenkoornhuyse et al., 2015; Martin et al., 2017; Bai et al., 2022a). Research has shown diversified cropping systems to result in higher soil microbial abundance and diversity, as such systems act by altering the dominant soil microbial taxa and communities (Li et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2022).

Numerous studies have shown that maize can act as a fitting intercropping partner for many medicinal plants and crops (Li, 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Tripathi et al., 2021). Maize promotes the sustainable productivity of intercropped plants by increasing beneficial soil microorganisms, changing the microbial structure, increasing the microbial abundance, suppressing the occurrence of diseases, and promoting nitrogen uptake (Fan et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2022). In a previous study, maize was selected among various crops for its superior yield and quality advantage conferred to *A. lancea*, thereby revealing itself as a well-matched intercropping crop. However, the mechanism of the intercropping advantage brought by maize to *A. lancea* has not yet been elucidated.

In this study, by testing the three respective conditions of using no barrier, plastic, and nylon root barriers in the *A. lancea* - maize root, we examined the influence of maize on the yield and quality of *A. lancea* from the perspective of the soil rhizosphere microbiome and physicochemical properties of the soil. This study intends to determine: (1) the effect of maize intercropping on the rhizosphere microbiome structure and abundance in *A. lancea*-cultivated soil; (2) the effect of maize intercropping on the physicochemical properties of *A. lancea*-cultivated soil; and (3) the relationship between the growth and development, volatile oil content, soil rhizosphere microbiome community, and soil physicochemical properties of *A. lancea*.

### Materials and methods

### Experimental site

Field experiments were conducted in the Lishui District, Nanjing City (119°6'38"E, 31°36'2"N, altitude 40 m) in November 2019 during a north subtropical monsoon climate. The area is characterized as having an annual average temperature of 16.0°C, annual average relative humidity of 77%, annual average precipitation of 1147.0 mm, annual average rainy days of 124 days, annual average sunshine hours of 1969.0 hours, and annual average frost-free period of 224 days. All experimental sites were newly cultivated (Table 1), and random block experiments were used.

Ĩ	TN (mg/kg)	TP (mg/kg)	TK (g/kg)	TOC (g/kg)	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> (mg/kg)	Av. P (mg/kg)	Av. K (mg/kg)	pН
Experimental site	850.68	308.00	11.93	7.39	25.55	5.70	84.60	5.01

TABLE 1 Physicochemical properties of soil samples from experimental sites (n  $\geq$  5).

TN, total nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; TK, total kalium; TOC, total organic carbon; NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub>, ammonium nitrogen; Av.P, available phosphorus; Av.K, available kalium.

# Experimental design and field management

The intercropping experiment was divided into 4 treatments with 4 replicates each: (1) A. lancea grown separately as monocultures (CK); (2) A. lancea - maize intercropping without a root barrier (AI); (3) A. lancea - maize intercropping separated by a nylon barrier (AN), which prohibited the roots from intermingling between species while permitting the exchange of root exudates, water, and nutrients; (4) A. lancea - maize intercropping separated by a plastic barrier (AP) to completely eliminate the underground effect of the intercropping system (Figure 1). Select A. lancea seedlings with similar growth conditions, good resulting growth, and no disease were transplanted into the field and covered with straw in November 2019. The row spacing of A. lancea was  $30 \times$ 20 cm. The maize was planted in April of the second year (April 2020) with a row spacing of 30×40 cm. Each planting site was fertilized with 100 grams of compound fertilizer (N+P2O5+K2O 5%, organic matter 45%) after the A. lancea or maize was planted. The experiment used a randomized complete block design with four replicates, and each experimental plot was 10 m<sup>2</sup> (2 m  $\times$  5 m), and as shown in Figure 1, two rows of maize were planted after three rows of A.lancea, and the planting ratio was 3: 2.

# Sample collection and measurement of biomass

*A. lancea* and its accompanying rhizosphere soil was collected in July 2020. The *A. lancea* plant roots were carefully removed from the soil and shaken by hand to remove loosely attached soil (not rhizosphere soil). Then, soils tightly adhering to roots were removed by a sterile brush (rhizosphere soils). And these fresh rhizosphere soil samples were stored in dry ice at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for DNA extraction. Six *A. lancea* plants were randomly selected from each experimental plot for biomass and yield analysis, including both their above- and belowground parts. Measurements included plant height, branch number, sprout number, fibrous root, stem diameter, fresh weight, and rhizome weight.

### Determination of volatile oil content

The rhizome of *A. lancea.* were collected and dried in a 40°C oven for one week to constant weight, then crushed it to a<0.3 mm size. The drying of *A. lancea* as well as the extraction and determination of volatile oils were conducted according to Peng et al. (2021). The method is accurate, fast, and reproducible.

# Determination of soil physicochemical properties

To measure soil total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), and total kalium (TK), the soil samples were dried indoors by airflow, cleaned by removing the fine roots, and passed through a 0.25 mm soil sieve (Wang et al., 2022). The total organic carbon (TOC) was measured using the kalium dichromate external heating method. The soil pH was measured using the potential method. The



contents of ammonium nitrogen (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), available phosphorus (Av.P), and available kalium (Av.K) were measured using assay kits manufactured by Sinobestbio Technology Co., Ltd., (Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

# DNA extraction, library construction, and metagenomic sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from A. lancea rhizosphere soil samples using the E.Z.N.A.® Soil DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, U.S.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration and purity of the extracted DNA were determined by TBS-380 and NanoDrop2000, respectively. The quality of the DNA extracts was checked by conducting electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels. DNA extracts were fragmented to an average size of ~400 bp using Covaris M220 (Gene Company Limited, China) for paired-end library construction. The paired-end library was constructed using NEXTFLEX Rapid DNA-Seq (Bioo Scientific, Austin, TX, USA). Adapters containing the full complement of sequencing primer hybridization sites were ligated to the blunt end of the fragments. Paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq/Hiseq Xten system (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) at Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) using NovaSeq Reagent Kits/HiSeq X Reagent Kits according to the manufacturer's instructions (www.illumina.com) (Yang et al., 2022).

## Sequence quality control and genome assembly

The data were analyzed on the free online platform Majorbio Cloud Platform (www.majorbio.com). The paired-end Illumina reads were trimmed of their adaptors, and low-quality reads (length<50 bp or with a quality value<20 or having N bases) were removed by fastp (Chen et al., 2018) (https://github.com/ OpenGene/fastp, version 0.20.0).

# Gene prediction, taxonomy, and functional annotation

Open reading frames (ORFs) from each assembled contig were predicted using MetaGene (Noguchi et al., 2006) (http:// metagene.cb.k.u-tokyo.ac.jp/). The predicted ORFs with lengths equal to or exceeding 100 bp were retrieved and translated into amino acid sequences using the NCBI translation table, which is available online (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/ taxonomyhome.html/index.cgi?chapter=tgencodes#SG1). A nonredundant gene catalog was constructed using CD-HIT (Fu et al., 2012) (http://www.bioinformatics.org/cd-hit/, version 4.6.1) with 90% sequence identity and 90% coverage. After quality control, the resulting reads were mapped to the non-redundant gene catalog with 95% identity using SOAPaligner (Li et al., 2008) (http://soap.genomics.org.cn/, version 2.21), and the gene abundances in each sample were evaluated. The amino acid sequences of the non-redundant gene catalog were aligned to the NCBI NR database with an e-value cutoff of  $1e^{-5}$  using Diamond (Buchfink et al., 2015) (http://www.diamondsearch. org/index.php, version 0.8.35) for taxonomic annotations.

### Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel 2016 and SPSS v26.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, United States) were used for statistical and correlation analyses. The results were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations (S.D.). One–way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Fisher Protected Least Significance Difference (LSD) test was performed to determine the main effects. The figures in the manuscript were created with Adobe Illustrator CS6 and GraphPad Prism 8.

## Results

# Intercropping promoted biomass accumulation in *A. lancea*

To assess the advantages of A. lancea - maize intercropping in promoting the growth and development of A. lancea, seven agronomic traits of A. lancea were examined (Figure 2). The AI, AN, and AP treatments were distinguished by using three different root barriers in A. lancea - maize intercropping, thereby respectively representing root system interactions completely, partially, or not at all. In comparison with the CK treatment, the fresh weight and rhizome weight were increased by 16.5% and 25.9% under the AI treatment (Figures 2A, B), but branch number was markedly decreased by 13.4% (Figure 2C). Other agronomic traits saw no significant change between the AI and CK treatments (Figures 2D-G). These results indicate that maize intercropping promotes biomass accumulation in A. lancea. With declining interactions in the root systems employed by respective conditions, both the fresh weight and rhizome weight correspondingly showed decreasing trends under both the AN and AP treatments as compared with the AI treatment. The results of the agronomic traits analysis showed that the root system interactions of A. lancea - maize are involved in the formation of the intercropping advantage and promoted the accumulation of both the fresh weight and rhizome weight.



# Intercropping affected the proportional relationship of four volatile oils in *A. lancea* rhizomes

The proportion of the individual components comprising the volatile oils of A. lancea is an important characteristic for determining the quality of the plant. Rhizomes of the best quality (termed 'Dao-di' (Geo-authentic)) possess a higher proportion of both atractylon and atractylodin compared to hinesol and βeudesmol (Guo et al., 2002). As shown in Figures 3A, B, the proportion of the concentrations of atractylon and atractylodin to the total volatile oil concentration in the AI treatment was higher than that of the CK treatment (Figure 3A). However, the total combined amount of the four volatile oils saw no significant differences between conditions either with or without underground root system interactions (Figure 3B), though the atractylodin concentration was significantly higher in the AI treatment than the CK treatment (Figure 3C). The atractylon concentration was slightly higher in AI treatment compared to the CK treatment (Figure 3D). The hinesol and  $\beta$ -eudesmol concentrations were no different between the AI and CK treatments (Figures 3E, F). These results showed that the quality of A. lancea was promoted by intercropping it with maize compared to subjecting it to the CK treatment. With an increase in the root system interactions corresponding to the treatment order of AP< AN< AI, the concentrations of hinesol and  $\beta$ -eudesmol were observed to be improved accordingly (Figures 3E, F), while the atractylon content declined (Figure 3D). Although lacking a similar accumulation trend with atractylon, atractylodin was higher in the

AP treatment than in the AI treatment, which was consistent with the results for atractylon (Figure 3D). In summary, *A. lancea* - maize intercropping improved the quality of *A. lancea*, and root system interactions favored the accumulation of hinesol and  $\beta$ -eudesmol but inhibited the accumulation of atractylon.

# Effect of intercropping on the physicochemical properties of *A. lancea*

The physicochemical properties of soil, which are closely related to plant growth, were examined to analyze the effect of maize intercropping on the physicochemical properties of A. lancea. The basic physicochemical properties of the bulk soil from the experimental sites are represented by a dotted line in each graph. The rhizosphere soil pH of A. lancea was lower than that of the bulk soil. The A. lancea root system has the ability to acidify the surrounding soil. There was no clear significant difference observed in the soil pH between the AP and CK treatments (Figure 4H). However, the soil pH of the AI and AN treatments were significantly lower than that of the CK treatment. These results suggest that the maize root system had enhanced rhizosphere acidification compared to A. lancea. Similar results were obtained for soil carbon levels regarding the nitrogen ratio (Figure 4I). As compared with the CK treatment, the TOC, TP, TK, Av.P, and Av.K of A. lancea soil was decreased by 9.37%, 13.75%, 11.85%, 26.87%, and 4.92% in the AI treatment (Figure 4). With the enhancement in the root interactions of A. lancea - maize corresponding to the order of AP< AN< AI, the contents of



### FIGURE 3

The concentrations of four volatile oils in *A. lancea* rhizomes under different root intercropping treatments in *A. lancea* - maize. (A) the proportion of individual components in the four volatile oils, (B) individual volatile oil component concentration, (C) atractylodin, (D) atractylon, (E) hinesol, (F)  $\beta$ -eudesmol. Data are shown as means  $\pm$  SD. A-D: n=6. Lower-case letters represent significant differences (one-way ANOVA, *P*<0.05).



letters represent significant differences (one-way ANOVA, P<0.05).

TOC, TP, and Av.K were found to be improved. The contents of TN and  $NH_4^+$  exhibited no significant differences among all the groups (Figures 4A, E). These preliminary results demonstrate that TOC, TP, and Av.K of the *A. lancea* rhizosphere is affected by the root system interactions under *A. lancea* - *maize* intercropping.

# Intercropping effects on the rhizosphere microbial community structure of *A. lancea*

To further understand the effect of maize intercropping on the growth and quality of *A. lancea*, we performed metagenomic assays on the rhizosphere microbial community of *A. lancea*. PCA analysis

at the phylum level was performed on the microbial communities obtained from the different treatment groups, and the rhizosphere microbial communities of the four treatments were found to be different due to different intercropping treatments (Figure 5A). It can be seen from Figure 5B that the rhizosphere microbial community composition of *A. lancea* did not change across treatment groups, but its microbial abundance changed significantly. At the phylum level, the act of intercropping *A. lancea* with maize significantly changed the abundance of microbes in the rhizosphere microbial community of *A. lancea*. The top 2 phyla in order of their abundance were *Actinobacteria* (relative abundance  $\geq$  30%) and *Proteobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Gemmatimonadetes* (Figure 5B). Observing the rhizosphere flora



of *A. lancea* across the different treatments reveals that intercropping significantly affected the abundance of *Actinobacteria* and *Proteobacteria*, especially in the AN and AP treatment compared to the CK treatment, as well as the AN treatment and AP treatment compared to the AI treatment (Figures S1A–D). There was no significant difference observed in the abundance of *Actinobacteria* or *Proteobacteria* between the CK treatment and AI treatment (Figure S1E). There was also no significant difference observed between the AN and AP treatment (Figure S1F).

# Effects of intercropping on the microbial community abundance of the *A. lancea* rhizosphere

At the genus level, Figure 6 shows that the relative abundance of the *A. lancea* rhizosphere microbial community significantly differed between the CK treatment and the other intercropping treatments. There were 5 genera observed under the AI treatment, 5 under the AP treatment, and 5 under the AN treatment in the top 10 genera compared with the CK treatment, respectively (Figure 6). The AI treatment significantly promoted the abundance of Streptomyces, and unclassified\_o:Acidobacteriaceae, Candidatus\_Koribacter, while having significantly inhibited Bradyrhizobium and Nocardioides (Figure 6A). Solirubrobacter, Conexibacter, Gaiella, Sphingomonas, and unclassified\_o: Solirubrobacterales were significantly inhibited under the AN treatment compared with the CK treatment (Figure 6B). Comparison between the AP and CK treatment (Figure 6C) showed that Streptomyces and Gemmatimonas were significantly promoted, while Solirubrobacter, Conexibacter and Gaiella were significantly inhibited. Bradyrhizobium were found to be significantly promoted in the AN treatment compared with the AI treatment, as well as in the AP treatment compared with the AI treatment, while Solirubrobacter, Conexibacter, Sphingomonas, and Candidatus\_koribacter were inhibited (Figure S2).



other different root intercropping treatments in *A. lancea* - maize intercropping. Comparison of microbial abundance at the between the (A) CK and AI treatment, (B) CK and AN treatment, (C) CK and AP treatment. (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001).

### Correlation analysis among the rhizosphere microorganisms, physicochemical properties of the soil, volatile oil content, and biomass of *A. lancea*

In order to explore the relationship between the microbial community and the growth of *A. lancea*, its volatile oil content, and soil physicochemical properties (Figure 7), Spearman correlation analysis was performed. Results show that the soil TP, TOC, Av.P, and Av.K were closely related to the microorganisms comprising the *A. lancea* rhizosphere. The pH was negatively correlated with microbial abundance at the genus level, while the TN, TP, TK, TOC, Av.P, and Av.K were positively correlated with most microbial abundance (Figure 7A). Likewise, microbes were found to be strongly associated with the growth and development of *A. lancea* especially in relation to its roots (Figure 7B) while only few

microorganisms are related with atractylon and atractylodin of four volatile oils of *A. lancea.* (Figure 7C). The physicochemical properties of the soil were determined to be weakly correlated with the growth and quality of *A. lancea* rhizoma (Table S1), but showed a strong correlation with the resident microorganisms, especially for the soil concentration changes of the total phosphorus, organic carbon, available phosphorus, and available kalium (Figure 7A).

## Discussion

# Increased yield and volatile oil concentration of *A. lancea* by maize intercropping without root barriers

Much research has shown that improving biodiversity can increase the productivity of entire plant systems (Brooker et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018; Cong, 2020). There are two predominant



Spearman correlation analysis of the top 30 represented microbes at the genus level against the (A) soil physicochemical properties, (B) growth and development, and (C) four volatile oils of *A. lancea.* (\**P*<0.05; \*\**P*<0.01; \*\*\**P*<0.001).

mechanisms underlying this effect in intercropping, which are (i) resource complementarity and (ii), niche partitioning (Yu et al., 2021). In our previous research, we found that the intercropping of A. lancea with maize, calendula, marigold, etc. increased the fresh weight and the rhizome weight of A. lancea (Peng et al., 2021). Similar results have been found in the current study, although the fresh weight and rhizome weight of A. lancea under the AI treatment was not significantly higher than that under the CK treatment. This inconspicuous effect of intercropping may be due to the fact that our study provided adequate nutrition for A. lancea, and this must be considered against the fact that crop diversity largely exerts its effects by alleviating a stressful environment for plants to increase their growth; for example, in combating nutrient stress (Liancourt and Dolezal, 2021). In one study, the plant-plant stimulatory effect was observably decreased with the reduction of stress in the intercropping of maize and grass bean under phosphorus and water deficiency conditions (Zhu et al., 2022). Similarly, under either water sufficiency or drought stress conditions, intercropping increased grain yield by 14% and 93%, respectively, over monocropping (Willey, 1990).

In many plant-plant interaction studies, the strength of the rhizosphere interaction determines plant growth outcomes (Zhang et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021), but in our study, some rhizosphere interactions of maize evidently failed to promote the growth of *A. lancea*. Maize is more competitive than *A. lancea* due to its dominant position in the nylon barrier intercropping system (Li et al., 2011; Worku, 2014; Zhu et al., 2022), and is hence better able to absorb nutrients and soil moisture through the nylon barrier. Therefore, the rhizome weight of *A. lancea* in the AI treatment group was higher than that in the CK treatment group, but the result was not significant in this experiment. When barrier treatments were applied, the rhizome weight of *A. lancea* in the AN and AP treatment groups was similar to that of the CK treatment.

# Aboveground action of the intercropping system promoted the accumulation of volatile oils in *A. lancea*

The contents of active ingredients in plants represent an important indicator for evaluating the quality of TCMs (Zhang et al., 2022), and appropriate intercropping can improve their contents (Guo et al., 2020). The intercropping of *Mentha piperita* L. and *Vicia faba* L. has been demonstrated to increase the content of menthone in *Vicia faba* L. (Machiani et al., 2018), while the intercropping of *Dracocephalum moldavica* (*D. moldavica*) with *Glycine max* could increase the content of volatile oils in *D. moldavica* (Fallah et al., 2018). Similarly, by comparing the volatile oil contents of *A. lancea* between the AI and the CK treatment, the entire intercropping effect (aboveground + belowground) could promote the

accumulation of volatile oils. By comparing the CK treatment with the AP treatment, we could verify our previous conjecture that the aboveground effect of maize can promote the accumulation of atractylon and atractylodin, but inhibit the accumulation of hinesol and  $\beta$ -eudesmol (Peng et al., 2021). It would be premature to draw the conclusion that part of the belowground effect lies in promoting or inhibiting the content of total volatile oils, so this needs further verification.

### Effects of *A. lancea* - maize intercropping on the physicochemical properties of the soil and the rhizosphere microbial community of *A. lancea*

Compared with the CK treatment, the rhizosphere microorganisms of intercropped plants may have both higher diversity and activity levels (Welbaum et al., 2010). Under intercropping conditions, there was a higher abundance of Acidobacteria bacteria (Zi et al., 2020). This finding is consistent with the existing literature. The relative abundance of the rhizosphere microorganism Acidobacteria in A. lancea under both the AI and AN treatment was higher than that in CK treatment (Figures S1A, E). Changing the soil microenvironment in the plant rhizosphere is known to be conducive to soil nutrient cycling (Kielak et al., 2016), thereby effectively improving the uptake of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), kalium (K), and other elements in the soil by plants, which is beneficial to their growth and development (Zeng et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2021). From the correlation analysis of Figure 7A, we observe that TP, TOC, Av.P, and Av.K were significantly positively correlated with the abundance of the majority of the different A. lancea rhizosphere microorganisms (the top 30 species), which was consistent with our Figures 6A-C analysis, where the relative abundance of these genera under the CK treatment was higher. The relative abundances of Streptomyces, Candidatus Solibacter, Gemmatirosa, and Pseudolabrys were lower in the CK treatment than in the AI treatment (Figure S3), and these microorganisms are known to form specific symbiotic relationships with plants to promote plant growth (Kong and Liu, 2022). From Figure S2, we found that these PGPR were most abundant following the AI treatment compared to the AN treatment, and compared with the AN and AP treatments, only the abundance of Bradyrhizobium was significantly higher after the AI treatment. This also provides an explanation for why some subterranean effects have failed to promote the growth of A. lancea.

## Conclusion

We analyzed the biomass, volatile oil concentration, physicochemical properties of the soil, and rhizosphere

microbial community of *A. lancea* to characterize the underlying features of the root system interaction between *A. lancea* and maize. The results showed that in the *A. lancea* - maize intercropping system, maize could promote *A. lancea* to enrich the beneficial microorganisms in the rhizosphere, thereby promoting the growth of *A. lancea* as well as its accumulation of volatile oil. However, it is worth noting that when there is only partial rhizosphere interaction, the reported outcome may not be obvious or may even be entirely absent. In summation, we have demonstrated that intercropping maize can significantly change the soil physicochemical properties of *A. lancea*, thereby affecting the composition and structure of the microbial community in the rhizosphere of *A. lancea*, which proved beneficial to the enrichment of PGPR, and ultimately exerted a beneficial effect on the growth of *A. lancea*.

## Data availability statement

The raw sequence data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Genome Sequence Archive (Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics 2021) in National Genomics Data Center (Nucleic Acids Res 2022), China National Center for Bioinformation / Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The data is publicly accessible at: https:// ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa, accession number CRA008493.

## Author contributions

All authors listed have contributed to the conception and design of this study. ZP and ZX: writing – original draft and data curation. LG, YZ, and LH: investigation, conceptualization, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript for publication.

## Funding

This research was funded by the following projects: The National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 81874337, 81891014), the Scientific and Technological Innovation Project of the China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (CI2021A03903), Supported by the earmarked fund for CARS-21 (CARS-21) and Innovation Team and Talents Cultivation Program of National Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (ZYYCXTD-D-202005).

## **Conflict of interest**

Authors WX and LF were employed by Nanjing WaMing Agricultural Technology Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.1029722/full#supplementary-material

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Comparison of abundance in the top 10 represented phyla of the *A. lancea* rhizosphere microbial community under different root intercropping treatments employed for *A. lancea* - *maize* intercroping. The abundance comparison at the phylum level between the (A) CK and AN treatment, (B) CK and AP treatment, (C) AI and AN treatment, (D) AI and AP treatment, (E) CK and AI treatment, (F) AP and AN treatment. (\* P<0.05; \*\* P<0.01; \*\*\* P<0.001).

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Comparison of abundance in the top 10 represented genera of the *A. lancea* rhizosphere microbial community under AI treatment, AN treatment, and AP treatment. Comparison of microbial abundance at the genus level between the (A) AI and AN treatment, (B) AI and AP treatment, (C) AP and AN treatment. (\* P<0.05; \*\* P<0.01; \*\*\* P<0.001).

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

Abundance comparison of the top 15 genera comprising the rhizosphere microbial community in *A. lancea* between the CK treatment and Al treatments in *A. lancea* - maize intercropping. (\* P<0.05; \*\* P<0.01; \*\*\* P<0.001).

### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Spearman correlation analysis of soil physicochemical properties with growth and development and four volatile oils of *A.lancea*. FW, fresh weight; H, plant height; SD, stem diameter; BN, branch number; RW, rhizome weight; SN, sprout number; FR, fibrous root; Hin, hinesol; Eud, eudesmol; Atd, atractylodin; Atn, atractylon; TN, total nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; TK, total kalium; TOC, total organic carbon; NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub>, ammonium nitrogen; Av\_P, available phosphorus; Av\_K, available kalium.

## References

Bai, B., Liu, W. D, Qiu, X. Y, Zhang, J., Zhang, J. Y., Bai, Y. et al. (2022a). The root microbiome: Community assembly and its contributions to plant fitness. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 64 (2), 230–243. doi: 10.1111/jipb.13226

Bai, Y. C., Li, B. X., Xu, C. Y., Raza, M., Wang, Q., Wang, Q. Z, et al. (2022b). Intercropping walnut and tea: Effects on soil nutrients, enzyme activity, and microbial communities. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 852342. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2022.852342

Brooker, R. W., Bennett, A. E., Cong, W. F., Daniell, T. J., George, T. S., Hallett, P. D, et al. (2015). Improving intercropping: a synthesis of research in agronomy, plant physiology and ecology. *New Phytol.* 206 (1), 107–117. doi: 10.1111/ nph.13132

Buchfink, B., Xie, C., and Huson, D. H. (2015). Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* 12 (1), 59-60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176

Chang, X., Yan, L., Naeem, M., Khaskheli, M. I., Zhang, H., Gong, G. S, et al. (2020). Maize/Soybean relay strip intercropping reduces the occurrence of fusarium root rot and changes the diversity of the pathogenic fusarium species. *Pathog. (Basel Switzerland)* 9 (3), 211. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9030211

Chen, L. J., Wu, X. Q., Xu, Y., Wang, B. L., Liu, S., Niu, J. F., et al. (2021). Microbial diversity and community structure changes in the rhizosphere soils of atractylodes lancea from different planting years. *Plant Signal Behav.* 16 (2), 1854507. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2020.1854507

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34 (17), 884–890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Cong, W. F. (2020). China's intercropping leads to higher grain yield gains. Sci. China Life Sci. 63 (10), 1612-1614. doi: 10.1007/s11427-020-1776-y

Ding, K. X., Wang, L. C., Shan, Y., Tian, G. Q., Wang, H. Y., Li, F. Y, et al. (2022). Research progress in potato continuous cropping obstacles and their prevention and control. *Chin. Potato J.* 36 (01), 71–77. doi: 10.19918/j.cnki.1672-3635.2022.01.009

Fallah, S., Rostaei, M., Lorigooini, Z., and Surki, A. A. (2018). Chemical compositions of essential oil and antioxidant activity of dragonhead (Dracocephalum moldavica) in sole crop and dragonhead- soybean (Glycine max) intercopping system under organic manure and chemical fertilizers. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 115, 158–165. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.02.003

Fan, Z., Zhao, Y., Chai, Q., Zhao, C., Yu, A. Z., Coulter, J. A, et al. (2019). Synchrony of nitrogen supply and crop demand are driven *via* high maize density in maize/pea strip intercropping. *Sci. Rep.* 9 (1), 10954. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-47554-1

Fu, L. M, Niu, B. F, Zhu, Z. W, Wu, S., and Li, W. Z. (2012). CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 28 (23), 3150–3152. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565

Zhang, F. S., and Li, L (2003). Using competitive and facilitative interactions in intercropping systems enhances crop productivity and nutrient-use efficiency. *Plant Soil* 248 (1-2), 305–312. doi: 10.1023/A:1022352229863

Gu, Y., Mei, Y., Xu, S. Q., Sun, M. Y., Zhang, W. T., Zhou, F., et al. (2021). Research progress on continuous cropping obstacles of medicinal plants. *Guangdong Agric. Sci.* 48 (12), 162–173. doi: 10.16768/j.issn.1004-874X.2021.12.018

Guo, L. P., Liu, J. Y., Ji, L., and Huang, L. Q. (2002). Characterization of the volatile oil composition of the daoji herbs of atractylodes lancea, Chin. *Chin. Mater. Med.* (11), 13–18. Available at: https://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/detail.aspx? FileName=ZGZY200211003&DbName=CJFQ2002

Guo, X., Peng, Z., Wang, T. L, Jiang, D. Q., Wang, H. Y., Du, Y. X., et al. (2020). Research progress in effects of interspecific interaction on medicinal plants in intercropping system. *Chin. Chin. Mater. Med.* 45 (09), 2017–2022. doi: 10.19540/ j.cnki.cjcmm.20200302.107

Huang, Z., Zhao, G., Liu, T., Wu, J. X., Xu, R., Cun, M. Y, et al. (2022). Effects of intercropping pinellia ternata with maize on rhizosphere microbial community. *Mol. Breed.*, 1–13. Available at: https://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/46.1068.S. 20220704.1333.007.html

Kielak, A. M., Barreto, C. C., Kowalchuk, G. A., Veen, J. A., and Kuramae, E. E. (2016). The ecology of acidobacteria: Moving beyond genes and genomes. *Front. Microbiol.* 7. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00744

Kong, Z., and Liu, H. (2022). Modification of rhizosphere microbial communities: A possible mechanism of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria enhancing plant growth and fitness. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.920813

Li, L. (2016). Intercropping enhances agroecosystem services and functioning: Current knowledge and perspectives. *Chin. J. Eco-Agric.* 24 (04), 403–415. doi: 10.13930/j.cnki.cjea.160061

Li, Q. K. (2020). Study on the mechanism of maize-peanut intercropping to alleviate the obstacle of peanut continuous cropping. Hunan: Hunan agricultural university. doi: 10.27136/d.cnki.ghunu.2020.000007

Liancourt, P., and Dolezal, J. (2021). Community-scale effects and strain: Facilitation beyond conspicuous patterns. J. Ecol. 109 (1), 19–25. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.13458

Li, N. H, Gao, D. M, Zhou, X. G, Chen, S. C., Li, C. X., and Wu, F. Z. (2020). Intercropping with potato-onion enhanced the soil microbial diversity of tomato. *Microorganisms* 8 (6):834. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8060834

Li, R., Li, Y., Kristiansen, K., and Wang, J. (2008). SOAP: short oligonucleotide alignment program. *Bioinformatics* 24 (5), 713–714. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn025

Lin, W. N, Li, N., Cheng, L. S, Wu, Z. Y., Lin, W. X., and Shen, L. H. (2022). Effects of interspecific maize and soybean interactions on the community structure and diversity of rhizospheric bacteria. *Chin. J. Eco-Agric.* 30 (01), 26–37.

Li, Q. Z, Sun, J. H, Wei, X. J, Christie, P., Zhang, F. S., and Li, L. (2011). Overyielding and interspecific interactions mediated by nitrogen fertilization in strip intercropping of maize with faba bean, wheat and barley. *Plant Soil* 339 (1), 147–161. doi: 10.1007/s11104-010-0561-5

Li, L. C., Zou, D. S., Zeng, X. Y., Zhang, L. Q., Zhou, Y. Y., Anastopoulos, L., et al (2021). Enhancing cadmium extraction potential of Brassica napus: Effect of rhizosphere interactions. *J. Environ. Manage.* 284, 112056. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112056

Liu, Z. M., Liu, H. B., Cheng, Y., Dong, X. J., Jiang, X. M., and Yu, X. H. (2021). Effect of intercropping maize on the occurrence of powdery mildew and plant growth of heracleum moellendorffii hance, Acta. *Agric. Boreali-Occident Sin.* 30 (09), 1410–1417.

Machiani, M. A., Javanmard, A., Morshedloo, M. R., and Maggi, F. (2018). Evaluation of yield, essential oil content and compositions of peppermint (Mentha piperita l.) intercropped with faba bean (Vicia faba l.). *J. Clean Prod.* 171, 529–537. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.062

Martin, F. M., Uroz, S., and Barker, D. G. (2017). Ancestral alliances: Plant mutualistic symbioses with fungi and bacteria. *Sci. (New York N. Y.)* 356 (6340): eaad4501. doi: 10.1126/science.aad4501

Nie, J. H., Feng, N. C., and Xie, B. (2018). A study on treating the neizao syndrome from the spleen. *Chin. J. Chin. Mater. Med.* 10 (35), 1–4. Available at: https://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/detail.aspx?FileName=ZYLY201835002 &DbName=CJFQ2018

Noguchi, H., Park, J., and Takagi, T. (2006). MetaGene: prokaryotic gene finding from environmental genome shotgun sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34 (19), 5623–5630. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl723

Peng, Z., Zhang, Y., Yan, B. B, Zhan, Z. L., Chi, X. L., Xu, Y., et al. (2021). Diverse intercropping patterns enhance the productivity and volatile oil yield of atractylodes lancea (Thunb.) DC. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.663730

Raseduzzaman, M. (2016). Intercropping for enhanced yield stability and food security. Uppsala: Swedish university of agricultural sciences.

Tian, X. L., Wang, C. B., Bao, X. G., Wang, P., Li, X. F., Yang, S. C., et al (2020). Crop diversity-driven changes in microbial community structure increase soil water-stable macroaggregates aggregate content. (Wuhan: *Abstracts of the 19th Annual Conference of Chinese Crop Society*). doi: 10.26914/c.cnkihy.2020.047787

Tripathi, S. C., Venkatesh, K., Meena, R. P., Chander, S., and Singh, G. P. (2021). Sustainable intensification of maize and wheat cropping system through pulse intercropping. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 18805. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-98179-2

Vandenkoornhuyse, P., Quaiser, A., Duhamel, M., Van, A. L., and Dufresne, A.. (2015). The importance of the microbiome of the plant holobiont. *New Phytol.* 206 (4), 1196–1206. doi: 10.1111/nph.13312

Walker, J. A., and King, J.. (2009). Above- and below-ground competition between kura clover (Trifolium ambiguum) and meadow bromegrass (Bromus biebersteinii): A greenhouse study. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 89 (1), 21–27. doi: 10.4141/CJPS08010

Wang, H. (2020). Effect of continuous cropping on the plants and rhizosphere soil of salvia miltiorrhiza. Chendu: Sichuan agricultural university.

Wang, T. L., Guo, L. P., Zhang, Y., Chen, M. L., and Guan, W.. (2016). Pathogen identification, regularity of development and control measures of diseases on atractylodes lancea. *Chin. Chin. Mater. Med.* 41 (13), 2411–2415. Available at: https://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/detail.aspx?FileName=ZGZY201613007 &DbName=CIF02016

Wang, H. X., Liu, C. M., Liu, Q., and Gao, K. (2008). Three types of sesquiterpenes from rhizomes of atractylodes lancea. *Phytochemistry* 69 (10), 2088–2094. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2008.04.008

Wang, Y., Qin, Y., Chai, Q., Feng, F. X., Zhao, C., and Yu, A. Z. (2018). Interspecies interactions in relation to root distribution across the rooting profile in wheat-maize intercropping under different plant densities. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 483. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00483

Wang, H. Y, Wang, Y. F, Jiang, D. Q, Xiang, Z. X., Wang, S., Kang, C. Z, et al. (2022). Soil microbe inoculation alters the bacterial communities and promotes root growth of atractylodes lancea under heat stress. *Plant Soil*. doi: 10.1007/s11104-022-05369-6 Wei, B. L, Zhang, J. L, Wen, R. S, Chen, T. S., Xia, N. S., Liu, Y., et al. (2021). Genetically modified sugarcane intercropping soybean impact on rhizosphere bacterial communities and Co-occurrence patterns. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.742341

Welbaum, G. E., Sturz, A. V., Dong, Z., and Nowak, J. (2010). Managing soil microorganisms to improve productivity of agro-ecosystems. *CRC Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 23 (2), 175–193. doi: 10.1080/07352680490433295

Willey, R. W. (1990). Resource use in intercropping systems. Agric. Water Manag. 17 (1), 215–231. doi: 10.1016/0378-3774(90)90069-B

Worku, W. (2014). Sequential intercropping of common bean and mung bean with maize in southern Ethiopia. *Exp. Agric.* 50 (1), 90–108. doi: 10.1017/S0014479713000434

Xiao, Z. H, Zou, D. S, Zeng, X. Y, Zhang, L. Q, Liu, F, Wang, A. D, et al. (2020). Cadmium accumulation in oilseed rape is promoted by intercropping with faba bean and ryegrass. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 205, 111162. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111162

Yang, W. Y, Ci, M. T, Hu, L. F, Shen, Z., Fang, C. G., and Long, Y. Y. (2022). Sulfate-reduction behavior in waste-leachate transition zones of landfill sites. J. Hazard Mater. 428, 128199. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.128199

Yu, R., Lambers, H., Callaway, R. M., Wright, A. J., and Li, L. (2021). Belowground facilitation and trait matching: two or three to tango? *Trends Plant Sci.* 26 (12), 1227–1235. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2021.07.014

Zeng, J. R, Liu, J. Z, Lu, C. H, Ou, X. H., Luo, K. K., Li, C. M, et al. (2020). Intercropping with turmeric or ginger reduce the continuous cropping obstacles that affect pogostemon cablin (Patchouli). *Front. Microbiol.* 11. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2020.579719 Zhang, P., Guo, X. H., Jin, H. Y., Yao, L. W., Chen, X. L., Wei, F., et al. (2022). Overall analysis on the quality of Chinese medicinal materials and decoction pieces in 2021. *Mod. Chin. Med.* 22 (06), 939–946. doi: 10.13313/j.issn.1673-4890.20220414003

Zhang, H., Zeng, F. P., Zou, Z. G, Zhang, Z. Q., and Li, Y. Z. (2017). Nitrogen uptake and transfer in a soybean/maize intercropping system in the karst region of southwest China. *Ecol. Evol.* 7 (20), 8419–8426. doi: 10.1002/ece3.3295

Zhu, S. G, Cheng, Z. G, Yin, H., Zhou, R., Yang, Y. M., Wang, J., et al. (2022). Transition in plant-plant facilitation in response to soil water and phosphorus availability in a legume-cereal intercropping system. *BMC Plant Biol.* 22 (1), 311. doi: 10.1186/s12870-022-03706-6

Zi, H. Y, Jiang, Y. L, Cheng, X. M, Li, W. T., and Huang, X. X. (2020). Change of rhizospheric bacterial community of the ancient wild tea along elevational gradients in ailao mountain, China. *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1), 9203. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-66173-9

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Peng, Guo, Xiang, Liu, Yu, Sun, Yan, Wang, Kang, Xu, Wang, Wang, Lyu, Xue, Feng, Guo, Zhang and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.