### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Joydeep Banerjee, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India

#### REVIEWED BY

Qibing Lin, Institute of Crop Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China Jun Fang, Key Laboratory of Soybean Molecular Design and Breeding, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Won Tae Yang Wtyang@dau.ac.kr Doh Hoon Kim dhkim@dau.ac.kr

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to the work and share first authorship

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Biotechnology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 25 August 2022 ACCEPTED 05 December 2022 PUBLISHED 22 December 2022

### CITATION

Um TY, Hong SY, Han JS, Jung KH, Moon S, Choi B-S, Basnet P, Chung YS, Lee SW, Yang WT and Kim DH (2022) *Gibberellic acid sensitive dwarf* encodes an ARPC2 subunit that mediates gibberellic acid biosynthesis, effects to grain yield in rice. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1027688. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1027688

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Um, Hong, Han, Jung, Moon, Choi, Basnet, Chung, Lee, Yang and Kim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Gibberellic acid sensitive dwarf encodes an ARPC2 subunit that mediates gibberellic acid biosynthesis, effects to grain yield in rice

Tae Young Um<sup>1†</sup>, So Yeon Hong<sup>2†</sup>, Ji Sung Han<sup>2</sup>, Ki Hong Jung<sup>3</sup>, Sunok Moon<sup>3</sup>, Beom-Soon Choi<sup>4</sup>, Prakash Basnet<sup>1</sup>, Young Soo Chung<sup>2</sup>, Seon Woo Lee<sup>2</sup>, Won Tae Yang<sup>2\*</sup> and Doh Hoon Kim<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agriculture and Life Industry, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, Republic of Korea, <sup>2</sup>College of Life Science and Natural Resources, Dong-A University, Busan, Republic of Korea, <sup>3</sup>Graduate School of Green-Bio Science, Kyung Hee University, Yongin, Republic of Korea, <sup>4</sup>Research Institute, NBIT Co., Ltd., Chuncheon, Republic of Korea

The plant hormone gibberellic acid (GA) is important for plant growth and productivity. Actin-related proteins (ARPs) also play central roles in plant growth, including cell elongation and development. However, the relationships between ARPs and GA signaling and biosynthesis are not fully understood. Here, we isolated *OsGASD*, encoding an ARP subunit from rice (*Oryza sativa*), using the Ac/Ds knockout system. The *osgasd* knockout (Ko) mutation reduced GA3 content in shoots as well as plant growth and height. However, GA application restored the plant height of the *osgasd* Ko mutant to a height similar to that of the wild type (WT). Rice plants overexpressing *OsGASD* (Ox) showed increased plant height and grain yield compared to the WT. Transcriptome analysis of flag leaves of *OsGASD* Ox and *osgasd* Ko plants revealed that OsGASD regulates cell development and the expression of elongation-related genes. These observations suggest that *OsGASD* is involved in maintaining GA homeostasis to regulate plant development, thereby affecting rice growth and productivity.

### KEYWORDS

gibberellic acid, actin-related protein, Oryza sativa, rice growth, grain yield

## Introduction

Plant architecture is important for the productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa*), a major crop worldwide. Rice productivity is mainly determined by a few major factors such as plant height, number of panicles, filling rate, number of spikelets per panicle, total grain weight, thousand-grain weight, and grain size (Walter et al., 2009; Li and Li, 2016). The

most important of these is total grain weight, which is determined by grain size and filling rate. Grain size and filling rate in rice are controlled by several regulatory factors (Li et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2022). The development of tissues and organs such as flowers and leaves is closely related. Flag leaves provide nutrients *via* photosynthesis, which are crucial to floral organ and seed development (Saitoh et al., 2002; Fujita et al., 2013; Makino et al., 2022). For example, transgenic plants overexpressing *SPIKELET NUMBER (SPIKE)* showed increased flag leaf size and spikelet number, with a 13– 36% higher yield than wild-type (WT) plants. Plant morphology is determined by cell development, which strongly affects photosynthesis and metabolic activity (Fujita et al., 2013).

Actin is a conserved protein with important roles in various physiological processes (Diao and Huang, 2021). Actin-related proteins (ARPs) are chromatin-modifying complexes involved in diverse intracellular processes. The ARP2/3 complexes comprise various subunits (ARPC1 to ARPC5) that contribute to nucleation during plant cell wall synthesis (Pratap Sahi et al., 2018; García-González et al., 2020). ARPC2 is an important ARP2/3 subunit that interacts with filaments and microtubules in the cytoskeleton, thereby functioning in cell division and cell elongation (McCurdy et al., 2001; Havelková et al., 2015). The mutation of ARPC2 in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) affected the shapes of a variety of cell types and reduced total shoot growth (El-Assal et al., 2004). Plants deficient in ARP expression show developmental phenotypes including defects in leaves, stems, and floral organs (Kandasamy et al., 2005). Arabidopsis plants lacking ARPC2 expression show sharply reduced seed yield (Gachomo et al., 2014).

Gibberellic acids (GAs) are plant hormones with a wide range of developmental activities, including plant elongation (Kaufman, 1983; Harberd et al., 1998; Kende et al., 1998). GAdeficient plants were significantly shorter than the WT (Fridborg et al., 1999; Hedden and Phillips, 2000), but their height was restored to WT levels by applying GA3 (Lockhart, 1956). Recent progress has been made in identifying genes involved in GA metabolism and signaling pathways (Tuan et al., 2018). GA biosynthesis involves a series of pathways in which metabolic enzymes interact (Sakamoto et al., 2004). Copalyl diphosphate synthase (CPS), a terpene cyclase, catalyzes the first specific step of the GA biosynthesis pathway to produce ent-kaurene oxidase (Sun and Kamiya, 1994; Tudzynski et al., 1998; Sun, 2008). Morphology of CPS overexpressing-transgenic rice show similar to the WT (Sun and Kamiya, 1994), despite increased expression of the ent-kaurene gene (Fleet et al., 2003). Within the GA biosynthesis pathway, dioxygenases are important (Hedden and Phillips, 2000) for providing GA for various developmental processes (Rieu et al., 2008), and the total biosynthesis of bioactive GA is ultimately controlled by the dioxygenases GA3ox and GA20ox (Igielski and Kępczyńska, 2017). Different expression patterns of GA20ox contribute to phenotypic variation in Arabidopsis (Rieu et al., 2008), with GA20ox overexpression increasing the production of bioactive GAs, which influence plant growth (Huang et al., 1998). In rice, meanwhile, functionally deficient mutants of GA20ox show a typical dwarf phenotype (Barboza et al., 2013; Santoso et al., 2020).

In this current study, we used the Ac/Ds knockout system to generate new loss-of-function rice mutants with growth defects. By analyzing these mutants, we identified a novel gene encoding the ARPC2 subunit, which we named *gibberellic acid sensitive dwarf* (*GASD*). To investigate the role of *OsGASD* in plant development, we performed phenotypic and transcriptomic analysis of *OsGASD*-overexpressing (Ox) and *OsGASD* knockout (Ko) plants. Based on our findings, we propose that OsGASD is involved in the GA biosynthetic pathway and plays important roles in regulating plant growth and productivity. Our findings also shed light on the interactions between ARP genes and GAs.

## Materials and methods

### Plant materials and growth conditions

The rice cultivar Oryza sativa L. 'Dongjin' was used for all experiments including the establishment of Ac/Ds tagging system and screening of Ds insertion lines (Kolesnik et al., 2004). Rice plants were cultivated on MS media in a growth chamber (32°C, light/dark cycle of 16/8 h). After germination, plants were transferred to soil and grown in greenhouse. The daily high and low temperatures during the summer in the growing sites and in the greenhouse located at Dong-A University, Korea (128:96E/35:11N) were typically 32°C and 20°C, respectively. To construct OsGASD overexpression transgenic rice plants, the full length (1,035bp) of the OsGASD gene were inserted into pENTR<sup>TM</sup>/D-TOPO (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The recombination reaction between the entry and destination vectors was carried out using LR Clonase<sup>TM</sup> II enzyme mix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The destination vectors used pH7WG2D.1 and introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens (EHA105) by electroporation. Generation of OsGASD transgenic plants followed a previously described method (Yang et al., 2018).

### Analysis of GA content measurement

At the 30 days after germination, 10g of culms and leaves of wild type plant, *OsGASD* overexpression plant and *osgasd* knockout mutant were grounded into powder blender with liquid N2 and then extracted with 80% methanol three times. The extract was evaporated in a rotation vacuum evaporator at 40°C to remove methanol and adjusted to pH 2.8-3.0 using HCl,

and partitioned three times with equal volume of ethyl acetate. The combined substances dehydrate in vacuo at 42°C to remove ethyl acetate and then dissolved in 5mL 100% methanol, finally the solution was passed through chromatographed by HPLC (Hitachi, Chiyoda, Japan). The samples were chromatographed by HPLC on a C18 column (150 X 4.6 mm). Methanol - 2% acetic acid - water (40:40:20) solution passed column with the flow rate of 2mL/min and detecting wavelength of 254nm. Then 20uL of each sample was injected into the column and measured GA3 concentration.

### Histochemical analysis and cross section

To histochemical analysis since Ds line had a promoterless GUS for trapping the expression of Ds inserted endogenous gene, histochemical staining was performed to analyze of GUS expression (Jefferson et al., 1987). Different stages of leaves, panicles and roots were freshly collected from the mutants and wild type plants (as a negative control) and then treated with staining solution (0.02M 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-bb-D-glucuronide, 0.1M NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.25M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 5mM potassium ferricyanide, 5mM potassium ferrocyanide, 1.0% (v:v) Triton X-100, pH 7.0) and incubated overnight at 37°C. Cross section of leaf blades sample was fixed in FAA and then analysis was performed as previously described method (Bae et al., 2021).

## Mutant genotyping and inverse PCR

The genomic DNA was isolated using the DNA extract Kit (MN, Dueren, Germany) from the leaves of wild-type and mutant. It was confirmed that the T-DNA was introduced into the rice knockout mutant using PCR amplification. To confirm of homozygous mutant, two gene specific primer P1, P2 and the Ds specific primer P3 were used. The amplification program consisted of an initial step at 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles (30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 55°C, 1 min at 72°C), and a final step at 72°C for 10 min. To obtain the Ds flanking DNA sequences, inverse PCR (I-PCR) was performed using genomic DNA insolated and purified from the mutants and wild type plants (as a negative control). 1 µg genomic DNA was digested with NlaIII (10unit/ul (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA)) at 37°C for 2.5hrs. Digested DNA was purified and self-ligated using T4 DNA ligase (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) at 16°C for overnight. The following primers used to do the I-PCR is d 3' ends of Ds: Ds3-37, Ds3I-105, Ds3-4 and Ds3I-150. The amplification program

comprised 2 step, 1st PCR is an initial step at 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles (15 sec at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C, 2 min 30 sec at 72°C), and a final step at 72°C for 10 min. 2nd PCR is an initial step at 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles (30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 55°C, 1 min at 72°C), and a final step at 72°C for 5 min.

# Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction and transcriptome analysis

As previously reported, total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions for transcriptome analysis and quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis. First-strand cDNAs were synthesized using 1  $\mu$ g of total RNA with a cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan), to serve as the templates for RT-qPCR. To determine levels of gene expression, RT-qPCR was performed and values were automatically calculated using a CFX94 Real-time PCR Detection System and CFX Manager software (Bio-Rad. Hercules, CA, USA) following a standard protocol. The sequences of primers used in RT-qPCR analysis are provided in Supplementary Table S3.

The total RNA was purified with NucleoSpin RNA clean-up Kit (MN, Dueren, Germany) and transcriptome analysis was performed with novaseq (Macrogen, Seoul, Korea). After trimming the low-data sequence reads to the reference *Oryza sativa japonica* (Rice Annotation Project Database). The transcripts were assembled and normalized by Fragment Per Kilobase of transcript per Killion mapped reads (FPKM), and the DEGs in each *OsGASD* Ox plant versus NT and *osgasd* Ko mutant vs NT were selected by |log2 (fold change) |  $\geq$  1 and |log2 (fold change)|  $\leq$  -1 using a P value <0.05. The data set can be found at from SRA database with series accession number PRJNA867555 for RNA-sequencing data.

### Phylogenetic and grain yield analysis

The BLAST sequence, flanking DNA sequence and Ds insertion site analysis programs from NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/) and Gramene web site (www.gramene.org/) were used to search for homologous gene. The multiple sequence alignments were performed with CLUSTAL W (http://www.genome.jp/tools/clustalw). Transgenic plants were generated and developed in GMO field located at Kyungpook National University, Korea (128:34 E/36:15N), and at the 40 days after

ripening stage, 30 indicate independent lines of transgenic plants were harvested and investigated major agricultural traits such as panicle length, internode length, plant height, panicle length, number of panicle, number of filled grain, number of spikelet per panicle, filling rate, total grain weight, 1,000 grain weight, seed length and width compared with wild-type as a control.

### Accession number

The sequence data from this article can be found in the Rice Annotation Project (RAP-DB) under the following accession numbers: GASD (Os04g0512300), CPS (Os02g0278700), KS (Os04g0611800), KO (Os06g0570100), KAO (Os06g0110000), GA20 (Os03g0856700), GA2 (Os05g0158600), GH3-9 (Os07g0576500), CCR5 (Os01g0283700), UFGT (Os06g0192100), KS8 (Os11g0474800), TEM1 (Os01g0141000), Grx\_C7 (Os01g0368900), and Actin1 (Os03g0718100).

### Results

## Isolation and characterization of a *osgasd* knock-out mutant

To study the functions of genes involved in rice development, we generated T-DNA insertion mutants by Ac/ Ds transposon insertion mutagenesis and selected a mutant with a dwarf phenotype. We then performed inverse PCR (see Materials and Methods for details) to identify the T-DNA insertion site in the mutant (Figure 1). Sequence analysis of the PCR products revealed that the DS element was located in the 5'UTR of the Os04g0512300 locus (Figure 1A). To select a homozygous mutant, we performed PCR using a Ds border primer (P3) paired with either a primer from near the 5' end of the Ds element (P1) or one from near the 5' end (P2). With the P1+P2 primers, we expected that genomic DNA from WT or heterozygous plants would to generate a PCR product, whereas genomic DNA from the mutants would not. In contrast, with the P1+P3 primers, the genomic DNA from mutants (both homozygote and heterozygote) was expected to generate a PCR product, whereas genomic DNA from the WT would not. Indeed, the osgasd homozygous mutants produced PCR products only using the P1+P3 primers, including the Ko mutants Ko1 and Ko2 (Figure 1A).

When we examined the transcript level of *OsGASD* (GenBank accession number KF741775) by RT-qPCR, both *osgasd* homozygous mutants (Ko1 and Ko2) showed suppressed expression of this gene compared to WT plants (Figure 1B). To confirm the T-DNA insertion in the mutants,

we performed histochemical staining for GUS activity, as a 35S:: *GUS* cassette was transformed into the plants along with the DS element, and detected staining at panicle neck nodes and spikelets of the *osgasd* but not WT plants (Figure 1C). When we grew plants of both genotypes, the young shoots of the *osgasd* homozygous mutant were shorter than those of the WT. These results indicate that *OsGASD* is important for shoot growth in young plants.

To investigate the function of OsGASD in rice, we analyzed the complete deduced amino acid sequence of OsGASD by performing a Conserved Domain Search Service (CD Search) and BLAST analysis (Figure S1). The OsGASD protein is predicted to contained a p34-Arc subunit of the Actin-related protein 2/3 complex (Arp2/3). We also analyzed homologs of GASD and putative ARPC2s in other species. OsGASD shared approximately 15-35% sequence identity with its homologs from Arabidopsis [Arabidopsis thaliana], cattle [Bos taurus], fruit fly [Drosophila melanogaster], nematode [Caenorhabditis elegans], and yeast [Saccharomyces cerevisiae]). AtARPC2B and OsARPC2B share C-terminal amino acids representing a conserved domain of ARPC2Bs. The subcellular localizations of ARPs are in the nucleus to perform their specific function. We investigated the subcellular localization of fluorescent proteintagged OsGASD and DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) that is nuclear staining dye using a rice protoplast transient expression system (Figure S2). OsGASD co-localized with DAPI. This indicates that OsGASD is nucleus localizing proteins. These results indicate that OsGASD encodes a ARPC2B protein that is involved in plant development.

## OsGASD expression is response to GA-mediated plant growth

Since the *osgasd* Ko mutant had a dwarf phenotype beginning at an early stage, we suspected that the function of OsGASD is response to phytohormones, which function as growth regulators. We analyzed the expression patterns of *OsGASD* in these mutants in various tissues in response to Gibberellic acid (GA3) (Figure 2 and Figure S2). The expression of *OsGASD* was higher in shoots than in roots in 30-, 60-, and 120-day-old rice (Figure 2A). Gibberellic acid (GA3) treatment increased the expression of *OsGASD* in shoots, but not in roots (Figure 2B). These results suggest that *OsGASD* is involved in GA response.

Therefore, we expected that the *osgasd* Ko mutant lacking *OsGASD* activity would exhibit some morphological changes in response to GA. We analyzed the sensitivity of these mutants and WT to GA treatment (Figure S3 and Figures 2C-F). In



inserted T-DNA (triangle) in the Os04g0512300 gene. Gene-specific (P1 and P2) and T-DNA left border (P3) primers used for PCR genotyping. WT indicates non-transgenic wild-type plants lacking a T-DNA insertion (PCR products amplified by only P1+P2). PCR products amplified with the indicated P1+P3 primer sets and both P1+P2 and P1+P3 were homozygous and heterozygous plants, respectively. M, DNA size marker. **(B)** RT-qPCR analysis showing transcript levels of *OsGASD* in the WT plant or *osgasd* mutants. Error bars indicate SD. OsActin1 (Os03g0718100) was used as an internal control and relative expression levels are shown in fold values. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the corresponding samples and their control (p-value<0.1, Student's t-test). Lines 1 (ko1) was used in this study. **(C)** Analysis of GUS staining in panicles neck node and spikelet of WT and *osgasd* knockout mutant which is carrying the P35S::GUS transgenes. **(D, E)** Comparison of shoot length in wild-type and *osgasd* knock mutant (Ko1). **(D)** Growth of wild-type plants and Ko1 mutants grown on MS media for 10 days (Scare bar = 1 cm).

response to GA spray treatment, 5-weeks-old *osgasd* Ko mutants increase of plant height compared to the WT (Figures S3A, B). The plant height of 14-week-old *osgasd* Ko mutants increased with increasing GA3 concentration, with the height of the *osgasd* Ko plants treated with 10 ppm GA3 being restored to level similar to those of WT plants (Figures 2C, D). We also analyzed internode elongation, panicle length, and tiller number in the *osgasd* Ko mutant (Figures 2E, F). With increasing GA3 concentration, the lengths of the first, second, third, fourth and fifth internodes in the mutant increased, while the panicle length was not significantly altered, and the tiller number decreased. These results, together with the expression pattern of *OsGASD* and the GA sensitivity of the *osgasd* Ko mutant, suggest that OsGASD function is related to GA biosynthesis during plant growth.

## OsGASD expression is involved in GA biosynthesis in plants

To explore the function of *OsGASD* in rice, we generated transgenic rice plants overexpressing this gene (*OsGASD* Ox; Figure S3) by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of callus, followed by antibiotic selection. We then performed RT-PCR analysis to identify the transgenic lines (Figure S3B). Lines 2, 4, and 7 were selected for functional analysis of *OsGASD*. These transgenic plants showed increased plant height and internode length compared to WT plants (Figures S3C-E).

To investigate function of *OsGASD*, we analyzed the GA3 concentrations and expression of GA biosynthesis genes in *OsGASD* Ox, *osgasd* Ko mutant, and WT plants (Figure 3). The *OsGASD* Ox plants exhibited increased GA3 content in



### FIGURE 2

Analysis of OsGASD expression and effect of osgasd knockout mutant morphology in response to GA. (A) RT-qPCR analysis shows expression patterns of OsGASD in shoot and root. (B) The transcript level of OsGASD is shown in response to GA. Ten-day-old wild-type seedlings (Dongjin) were treated with 100 µM GA3 for 15min. Control plants were not treated with GA (Con). Error bars indicate SD. OsActin1 (Os03g0718100) was used as an internal control and relative expression levels are shown in fold values. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the corresponding samples and their control (p-value<0.1, Student's t-test). (C-F) Growth of osgasd knockout mutant grown on green house. (C and D) At the panicle heading stage (14 weeks) of osgasd knockout mutant treated with the indicated concentration of GA for 1, 10, 20 mg/L (ppm) (C) GA<sub>3</sub> solution were sprayed with distilled water as control. Scale bar=15cm (D) Plant height measured seven days later for analysis. (E, F) P and PL indicated the panicle and panicle length. I to V indicated the corresponding internodes from top to bottom. Scale bar=10cm (E). Quantification of plant height (D), panicle and internodes length, and tiller number (F) in osgasd knockout mutant treated with GA (n>20). WT plants did not treat with GA. Error bars indicate SD. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the corresponding samples and their control (p-value<0.1, Student's t-test).



shoots, whereas the *osgasd* Ko mutant showed decreased GA3 content in these organs compared to WT plants (Figure 3A). However, the GA3 contents in the roots of *OsGASD* Ox and *osgasd* Ko plants did not significantly differ from those of the WT (Figure 3B), indicating that *OsGASD* is related to GA biosynthesis in shoots.

If OsGASD is involved in GA biosynthesis in shoots, we hypothesized that OsGASD affects the expression of GA biosynthesis gene, such as the genes encoding copalyl diphosphate synthase (CPS), ent-kaurene synthase (KS), ent-kaurenoic acid hydroxylase (KAO), GA 20 oxidase (GA20), and GA 2 oxidase (GA2). To address this issue, we analyzed the transcript levels of these GA biosynthesis genes in the shoots of young (10-day-old) OsGASD Ox and osgasd Ko plants (Figure 3C). KAO, GA20 and GA2 transcript levels were higher in OsGASD Ox plants than in WT plants. By contrast, KAO, GA20 and GA2 transcript levels were lower in osgasd Ko than in WT plants. These results point to an association between OsGASD expression and GA biosynthesis in plants.

## Overexpression of *OsGASD* enhances plant growth and grain yield

Since GAs are phytohormones that affect plant productivity, we expected that the expression of OsGASD would also affect rice productivity. Analysis of the morphology of whole plants, internodes, panicles, and grains from OsGASD Ox, osgasd Ko mutant, and WT plants revealed that OsGASD Ox showed increased plant and grain size, including increased plant height, internode length, panicle number, and grain weight, whereas the osgasd Ko mutants exhibited reduced plant and grain size (Figures 4A-F, L; Table S1). To further explore rice productivity, we analyzed agronomic traits including the number of panicles, filling rate, number of spikelets per panicle, total grain weight, and 1,000-grain weight (Figures 4G-K; Table S1). The number of panicles was approximately 40% lower in the osgasd Ko mutants compared to the WT plants. However, the number of panicles was not significantly elevated in OsGASD Ox plants. Total grain weight,



Expression of OsGASD affects agronomic traits under normal growth conditions. (A) Morphology of 25-week-old wild-type (WT), osgasd knockout mutant (Ko) and OsGASD-overexpressing (Ox) plants grown in the field. Scale bar = 15 cm (B) Images show the main panicles and internodes. P and PL indicated the panicle and panicle length. I to V indicated the corresponding internodes from top to bottom. Scale bar=10cm. (C) Images show the panicles with grains. The panicles shown were grain from a single main panicle. (D) Images show the size of the grains Ox and Ko indicate OsGASDoverexpressing and mutant plants, respectively. WT indicates wild-type plants. Scale bars = 15 cm in (A) 5cm in (B), 1cm in 0.2cm in (D). (E-K) The analysis of agronomic traits of the Ox and Ko plants grown in field. Plant height (E), internode length and panicle (F), panicle number (G), filling rate (H), number of spikelet per panicle (I), total grain weight (J) and thousand grain weight (K). (L) analysis of seed length and width of the Ox and Ko plants. Three independent lines of T4 OsGASD-Ox and -Ko plants were analyzed together with their wild-type counterparts grown in the same conditions. The values represent the percentage of the mean values (n = 30) listed in Tables S1. Mean values of WT controls were set at 100% as a reference. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the corresponding samples and their control (p-value<0.1, Student's t-test).

the number of filled grains, and 1,000-grain weight of OsGASDOx plants increased by approximately 27%, 30% and 13%, respectively, compared to WT plants. However, the filling rate was reduced in OsGASD Ox plants compared to WT plants. By contrast, the *osgasd* Ko mutants showed reduced values for all parameters compared to WT plants. Consequently, the total grain weight and total number of filled grains were higher in OsGASD Ox plants, but lower in *osgasd* Ko plants, compared to WT plants. Furthermore, the seed size and 1,000-grain weight differed among lines (Figures 4K, L). These results suggest that the increased grain yield in OsGASD Ox is largely due to increases in panicle length, number of filled grains, and grain weight, supporting the notion that the expression of OsGASDaffects the development of seeds that form in the panicle.

## OsGASD expression is involved in flag leaf development

Flag leaf development affects rice productivity (Saitoh et al., 2002; Acevedo-Siaca et al., 2021; Makino et al., 2022). If OsGASD is involved regulating rice productivity by influencing flag leaf development, we reasoned that altering *OsGASD* expression would affect the morphology of flag leaves. To investigate the role of *OsGASD* in flag leaf development, we analyzed the phenotypes of *OsGASD* Ox, *osgasd* Ko, and WT plants (Figures 5A-D). In 25-week-old plants, the length and width of flag leaves were both increased to approximately 2-fold in *OsGASD* Ox plants compared to WT plants, whereas *osgasd* Ko flag leaves were smaller than those of WT plants.

To further explore these effects, we analyzed the transcriptomes of flag leaves from OsGASD Ox, osgasd Ko, and WT plants at the mature stage (25-week-old plants) by transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis. An analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) indicated that 46 genes were upregulated in OsGASD Ox and downregulated in osgasd Ko compared to WT plants. Moreover, 122 genes were downregulated in OsGASD Ox and upregulated in osgasd Ko plants. To identify key genes, we examined the putative functions of the DEGs by Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis, finding that they included a number of genes related to plant development. We selected genes with previously reported functions in rice or homologous genes reported in Arabidopsis (Table S2). A previous study had indicated that plants overexpressing OsGH3-9, OsCCR5, and OsUFGT showed enhanced shoot growth and seed formation due to increased cell development and elongation (Nakazawa et al., 2001; Takase et al., 2004; Thévenin et al., 2011; Dong et al., 2020). OsKS8-, OsTEM1-, and OsGrx-C7-overexpressing plants showed phenotypes similar to those of the osgasd Ko mutants, such as a dwarf stature and poor plant growth (Fleet et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2004; El-Kereamy et al., 2015). To validated the expression patterns of these selected genes, we analyzed their expression patterns by RT-qPCR, obtaining results similar to the RNA-seq results (Figures 5E, F). OsCCR5, OsNCED3 and OsUFGT were upregulated in OsGASD Ox and downregulated in osgasd Ko. However, OsGH3-9 was upregulated in OsGASD Ox but was not downregulated in osgasd Ko (Figure 5E). OsKS8, OsTEM1 and OsGrx-C7 were downregulated in OsGASD Ox and upregulated in osgasd Ko (Figure 5E). These results indicate that OsGASD regulates the expression of plant development-related genes involved in flag leaf development and plant productivity, suggesting that it is helps control overall plant growth by regulating OsGASD-mediated GA biosynthesis.

## Discussion

ARP2/3 complex subunits are involved in shaping walled cells and branch structure in plants (Wasteneys and Galway, 2003; Kandasamy et al., 2005). For example, a knockout mutant of DISTORTED2 (DIS2), which has ARPC2 activity, has distorted trichomes (El-Din El-Assal et al., 2004). Here we identified the OsGASD gene, which encodes a homolog of ARPC2 in rice. In osgasd Ko lines, shoot growth was reduced, leading to a dwarf phenotype in plants during all stages of development. OsGASD was expressed at higher levels in shoots than in roots (Figures 1D, E, 2A, 4A,E). Furthermore, grain size and total grain weight were significantly higher in OsGASD Ox transgenic rice than in WT plants but lower in osgasd Ko mutants (Figure 4). Finally, the length and width of flag leaves were higher in OsGASD Ox plants compared to WT plants. Together, these results indicate that OsGASD is involved in shoot and seed development.

GAs are closely related to plant growth processes, such as seed and flower development, leaf expansion, and shoot elongation. We therefore analyzed OsGASD expression in response to GA, finding that it increased in shoots (but not roots) in response to GA treatment (Figure 2, S2). In addition, plant height and the lengths of panicles and internodes in the osgasd Ko mutant were restored to WT levels in response to GA treatment (Figures 2B-F). Furthermore, the shoots of OsGASD Ox plants had higher GA3 contents than WT shoots, whereas the shoots of the osgasd Ko mutant had lower GA3 content than WT shoots. However, GA3 contents in the roots of both OsGASD Ox and osgasd Ko plants were similar to those of WT plants (Figures 3A, B). These results suggest that OsGASD is involved in GA biosynthesis in rice shoots. In Arabidopsis, both AtCPS- and AtCPS/AtKS-overexpressing transgenic plants showed increased accumulation of ent-kaurene and entkaurenoic acid, two early intermediates in the GA biosynthetic pathway, but normal levels of bioactive GA (Fleet et al., 2003). Here, we analyzed the expression of key genes involved in GA biosynthesis, such CPS, KS, KO, KAO, GA20, and GA2. Whereas KO, KAO, GA20, and GA2 were upregulated in the shoots of



#### FIGURE 5

Analysis of flag leaf morphology and plant development related transcript level in *osgasd* knockout mutant and *OsGASD*-overexpressing transgenic plants. (A, B) Morphology of flag leaf in wild-type (WT), *osgasd* knockout mutant (Ko) and *OsGASD*-overexpressing (Ox) plants grown in the field. Image show flag leaf (A) and flag leaf surface (B). Scale bar = 1cm (A) and 0.5cm (B). (C, D) Length  $^{\odot}$  and width (D) of flag leaf in WT, *osgasd* Ko and *OsGASD*-Ox plants. Error bars indicate SD (n = 20). (E, F) Analysis of plant development related genes expression in WT, Ko and Ox plants. The transcripts were selected by transcriptome analysis in flag leaf of *osgasd* Ko, *OsGASD*-Ox and WT plants. RT-qPCR analysis shows up-regulated (E) and down-regulated (F) transcriptional expression levels. The gene name and accession liseted in Tables S2. Error bars indicate SD. OsActin1 (Os03g0718100) was used as an internal control and relative expression levels are shown in fold values. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the corresponding samples and their control (p-value<0.1, Student's t-test).

young *OsGASD* Ox plants, the expression of *CPS* and *KS* was not significantly altered (Figure 3C). These results indicate that OsGASD mediates the accumulation of bioactive GA in young shoots during development.

Moreover, we analyzed the transcriptomes of OsGASD Ox and osgasd Ko flag leaves by RNA-seq to explore the relationship between OsGASD expression and yield. The transcript levels of cell-development- and elongation-related genes were altered in these plants compared to the WT. Specifically, OsGH3-9, OsCCR5, and OsUFGT were upregulated in OsGASD Ox plants, whereas OsKS8, OsTEM1 and OsGrx-C7 were downregulated (Figures 5E, F). Together, these findings suggest that the OsGASD gene is important for GA biosynthesis and the development of rice shoots.

Previous studies have reported that plant growth processes, including cell elongation, were affected by the overexpression of the auxin-responsive GH3 gene (Nakazawa et al., 2001), and its loss of function led to increased hypocotyl elongation (Takase et al., 2004). The cinnamoyl CoA reductase (CCR) is important role in lignin systhesis, which is involed in cell wall development and stress defense system in plant.suppression or absence of cCCR in Arabidopsis resulted in dwarfism and decreased floral organ formation (Thévenin et al., 2011, and Cui et al., 2022). Transgenic rice overexpressing GSA1, encoding a UDPglucosyltransferase (UFGT), showed larger grain size than WT plants (Dong et al., 2020). The overexpression of AtCPS and AtKS increased bioactive GA contents and limited plant growth and development (Fleet et al., 2003). Overexpression of RAV1 negatively affected leaf structure, indicating that altering the expression of this gene changes leaf structure (Hu et al., 2004). Transgenic rice plants overexpressing the glutaredoxin gene GRX6 showed reduced grain yield and plant height but significantly increased grain size and weight (El-Kereamy et al., 2015).

However, it is not yet understood how the ARPC2 subunit OsGASD regulates the expression GA biosynthesis genes and cell-development-related genes. Based on the current findings, we propose that rice OsGASD regulates shoot development and grain size by regulating the expression of GA biosynthesis genes, thereby conferring high productivity.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

## Author contributions

TU, SH, WY, DK designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. SH, WY and TU performed most of the experiments. JH and B-SC, PB performed part of the experiments. YC, SL, SM and KJ discussed and commented on the results and the manuscripts. All authors read and discussed the manuscript. WY and DK provided funding for research work as corresponding author. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This work was supported by the Dong-A University research fund.

## Conflict of interest

Author BC was employed by NBIT Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.1027688/full#supplementary-material

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Analysis of amino acid between *OsGASD* and ARPC2s of other species. The red shade indicates conserved C-terminal domain of ARPC2B in Arabidopsis and rice. The underline indicates C-terminal alpha-helix of 43 residues of ARPC2s that is interacted with *ARPC4* (p20). *OsGASD* (KF741775), *AtDIS2* (BT005308), *AtARPC2B* (NM\_179877), *OsARPC2A* (AP003709), *OsARPC2B* (CAE03390), B. taurus *BtARPC2* (1K8K\_D), D. melanogaster *DmARPC2* (NP\_610033), C. elegans *CeARPC2* (NP\_741088) and S. cerevisiae ScARPC2 (NP\_014433)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Subcellular localization of OsGASD. Transient expression of 35S-GFP and 35S-OsGASD-GFP fusions construct in protoplast were carried out to determine the subcellular localization. Scale bars indicate 10  $\mu m.$ 

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

Analysis of *osgasd* knockout mutant and WT in response to GA. (A, B) Growth of *osgasd* mutant and WT plants incubated on soil for 5-weeks-old (bar=10cm). WT (A, C) and *osgad* mutant (B, D) were sprayed once 5 days

with 1, 10, 20 mg/L of GA3 or distilled water (0 ppm) or control plant were not treated with GA and water (Con). **(C, D)** All treated plants were measured height of plant. Each treated group had 15 plants and experiments were repeated three times. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the corresponding samples and their control (p-value<0.1, Student's t-test).

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4

Generation of transgenic rice plants overexpressing *OsGASD* gene. (A) Structure of the construct is show. LB, left border; RB, right border, HPT,

## References

Acevedo-Siaca, L. G., Coe, R., Quick., W. P., and Long, S. P. (2021). Variation between rice accessions in photosynthetic induction in flag leaves and underlying mechanisms. *J. Exp. Bot.* 72 (4), 1282–1294. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa520

Bae, K. D., Um, T. Y., Yang, W. T., Park, T. H., Hong, S. Y., Kim, K. M., et al. (2021). Characterization of dwarf and narrow leaf (dnl-4) mutant in rice. *Plant Signaling Behav.* 16 (2), 1849490. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2020.1849490

Barboza, L., Effgen, S., Alonso-Blanco, C., Kooke, R., Keurentjes, J. J. B., Koornneef, M., et al. (2013). Arabidopsis semidwarfs evolved from independent mutations in GA200x1, ortholog to green revolution dwarf alleles in rice and barley. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 15818–15823. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1314979110

Cui, W., Zhuang, Z., Jiang, P., Pan, J., Zhao, G., Xu, S., et al. (2022). Characterization, expression profiling, and biochemical analyses of the cinnamoyl-CoA reductase gene family for lignin synthesis in alfalfa plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23 (14), 7762. doi: 10.3390/ijms23147762

Diao, M., and Huang, S. (2021). An update on the role of the actin cytoskeleton in plasmodesmata: A focus on formins. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2021.647123

Dong, N. Q., Sun, Y., Guo, T., Shi, C. L., Zhang, Y. M., Kan, Y., et al. (2020). UDP-Glucosyltransferase regulates grain size and abiotic stress tolerance associated with metabolic flux redirection in rice. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 2629. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16403-5

El-Assal, S. E. D., Le, J., Basu, D., Mallery, E. L., and Szymanski, D. B. (2004). Distorted2 encodes an ARPC2 subunit of the putative arabidopsis ARP2/3 complex. *Plant J.* 38, 526–538. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02065.x

El-Kereamy, A., Bi, Y. M., Mahmood, K., Ranathunge, K., Yaish, M. W., Nambara, E., et al. (2015). Overexpression of the CC-type glutaredoxin, OsGRX6 affects hormone and nitrogen status in rice plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00934

Fleet, C. M., Yamaguchi, S., Hanada, A., Kawaide, H., David, C. J., Kamiya, Y., et al. (2003). Overexpression of AtCPS and AtKS in arabidopsis confers increased ent-kaurene production but no increase in bioactive gibberellins. *Plant Physiol.* 132, 830–839. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.021725

Fridborg, I., Kuusk, S., Moritz, T., and Sundberg, E. (1999). The arabidopsis dwarf mutant shi exhibits reduced gibberellin responses conferred by overexpression of a new putative zinc finger protein. *Plant Cell.* 11, 1019–1031. doi: 10.1105/tpc.11.6.1019

Fujita, D., Trijatmiko, K. R., Tagle, A. G., Sapasap, M. V., Koide, Y., Sasaki, K., et al. (2013). NAL1 allele from a rice landrace greatly increases yield in modern indica cultivars. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 110 (51), 20431–20436. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1310790110

Gachomo, E. W., Baptiste, L. J., Kefela, T., Saidel, W. M., and Kotchoni, S. O. (2014). The arabidopsis CURVY1 (CVY1) gene encoding a novel receptor-like protein kinase regulates cell morphogenesis, flowering time and seed production. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14, 1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12870-014-0221-7

García-González, J., Kebrlová, Š., Semerák, M., Lacek, J., Kotannal Baby, I., Petrášek, J., et al. (2020). Arp2/3 complex is required for auxin-driven cell expansion through regulation of auxin transporter homeostasis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00486

Harberd, N. P., King, K. E., Carol, P., Cowling, R. J., Peng, J., and Richards, D. E. (1998) Gibberellin: Inhibitor of an inhibitor of...? *BioEssays* 20, 1001–1008. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1521-1878(199812)20:12<1001

Havelková, L., Nanda, G., Martinek, J., Bellinvia, E., Sikorová, L., Šlajcherová, K., et al. (2015). Arp2/3 complex subunit ARPC2 binds to microtubules. *Plant Sci.* 241, 96–108. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.10.001 hygromycin phosphotransferase. Northern blot analysis **(B)** of the *OsGASD* gene in the transgenic rice. Red boxes mark lines 2, 4 and 7 which were used in this study. **(C-E)** Analysis of overexpression of *OsGASD* plant (Ox) and *osgasd* knockout mutant (Ko1) phenotypes. **(C)** Morphology of 10-day-old wild-type (WT), overexpression of *OsGASD* plant (Ox) and *osgasd* knockout mutant (Ko1). Comparison of plant height **(D)** and internode length **(E)** were measured of the WT, Ox plants and Ko1 mutant. Error bars indicate SD. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the corresponding samples and their control (p-value<0.1, Student's t-test). Scale bar=1cm

Hedden, P., and Phillips, A. L. (2000). Gibberellin metabolism: New insights revealed by the genes. *Trends Plant Sci.* 5, 523–530. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01790-8

Huang, S., Raman, A. S., Ream, J. E., Fujiwara, H., Eric Cerny, R., and Brown, S. M. (1998). Overexpression of 20-oxidase confers a gibberellin-overproduction phenotype in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 118, 773–781. doi: 10.1104/pp.118.3.773

Hu, Y. X., Wang, Y. H., Liu, X. F., and Li, J. Y. (2004). Arabidopsis RAV1 is down-regulated by brassinosteroid and may act as a negative regulator during plant development. *Cell Res.* 14, 8–15. doi: 10.1038/sj.cr.7290197

Igielski, R., and Kępczyńska, E. (2017). Gene expression and metabolite profiling of gibberellin biosynthesis during induction of somatic embryogenesis in medicago truncatula gaertn. *PloS One* 12, 1–30. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0182055

Jefferson, R. A., Kavanagh, T. A., and Bevan, M. W. (1987). Gus Fusions: betaglucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *EMBO J.* 20 (13), 3901–3907. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1987.tb02730.x

Jiang, H., Zhang, A., Liu, X., and Chen, J. (2022). Grain size associated genes and the molecular regulatory mechanism in rice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23 (6), 3169. doi: 10.3390/ijms23063169

Kandasamy, M. K., McKinney, E. C., Deal, R. B., and Meagher, R. B. (2005). Arabidopsis ARP7 is an essential actin-related protein required for normal embryogenesis, plant architecture, and floral organ abscission. *Plant Physiol.* 138, 2019–2032. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.065326

Kaufman, P. B. (1983). The role of gibberellins in plant cell elongation. CRC. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 1, 23–47. doi: 10.1080/07352688309382170

Kende, H., Knaap, E., and Cho, H. T. (1998). Deepwater rice: A model plant to study stem elongation. *Plant Physiol.* 118, 1105–1110. doi: 10.1104/pp.118.4.1105

Kolesnik, T., Szeverenyi, I., Bachmann, D., Kumar, C. S., Jiang, S., Ramamoorthy, R., et al. (2004). Establishing an efficient Ac/Ds tagging system in rice: large-scale analysis of ds flanking sequences. *Plant J.* 37 (2), 301–314. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01948.x

Li, N., and Li, Y. (2016). Signaling pathways of seed size control in plants. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 33, 23–32. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016.05.008

Li, N., Xu, R., Duan, P., and Li, Y. (2018). Control of grain size in rice. *Plant Reprod.* 31 (3), 237–251. doi: 10.1007/s00497-018-0333-6

Lockhart, J. A. (1956). Reversal of the light inhibition of pea stem growth by the gibberellins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 42, 841–848. doi: 10.1073/pnas.42.11.841

Makino, Y., Hirooka, Y., Homma, K., Kondo, R., Liu, T. S., Tang, L., et al. (2022). Effect of flag leaf length of erect panicle rice on the canopy structure and biomass production after heading. *Plant Prod Sci.* 25 (1), 1–10. doi: 10.1080/1343943X.2021.1908152

McCurdy, D. W., Kovar, D. R., and Staiger, C. J. (2001). Actin and actin-binding proteins in higher plants. *Protoplasma* 215, 89–104. doi: 10.1007/BF01280306

Nakazawa, M., Yabe, N., Ichikawa, T., Yamamoto, Y. Y., Yoshizumi, T., Hasunuma, K., et al. (2001). DFL1, an auxin-responsive GH3 gene homologue, negatively regulates shoot cell elongation and lateral root formation, and positively regulates the light response of hypocotyl length. *Plant J.* 25, 213–221. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2001.00957.x

Pratap Sahi, V., Cifrová, P., Garciá-González, J., Kotannal Baby, I., Mouillé, G., Gineau, E., et al. (2018). Arabidopsis thaliana plants lacking the ARP2/3 complex show defects in cell wall assembly and auxin distribution. *Ann. Bot.* 122, 777–789. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcx178

Rieu, I., Ruiz-Rivero, O., Fernandez-Garcia, N., Griffiths, J., Powers, S. J., Gong, F., et al. (2008). The gibberellin biosynthetic genes AtGA200x1 and AtGA200x2 act, partially redundantly, to promote growth and development throughout the arabidopsis life cycle. *Plant J.* 53, 488–504. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03356.x

Saitoh, K., Yonetani, K., Murota, T., and Kuroda, T. (2002). Effects of flag leaves and panicles on light interception and canopy photosynthesis in high-yielding rice cultivars. *Plant prod. sci.* 5 (4), 275–280. doi: 10.1626/pps.5.275

Sakamoto, T., Miyura, K., Itoh, H., Tatsumi, T., Ueguchi-Tanaka, M., Ishiyama, K., et al. (2004). Erratum: An overview of gibberellin metabolism enzyme genes and their related mutants in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 135, 1863. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.900115

Santoso, T. J., Trijatmiko, K. R., Char, S. N., Yang, B., and Wang, K. (2020). Targeted mutation of GA200x-2 gene using CRISPR/Cas9 system generated semidwarf phenotype in rice. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 482(1):012027. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/482/1/012027

Shi, C. L., Dong, N. Q., Guo, T., Ye, W. W., Shan, J. X., and Lin, H. X. (2020). A quantitative trait locus GW6 controls rice grain size and yield through the gibberellin pathway. *Plant J.* 103 (3), 1174–1188. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14793

Sun, T. (2008). Gibberellin metabolism, perception and signaling pathways in arabidopsis. Arab. B. 6, e0103. doi: 10.1199/tab.0103

Sun, T. P., and Kamiya, Y. (1994). The arabidopsis GA1 locus encodes the cyclase ent-kaurene synthetase a of gibberellin biosynthesis. *Plant Cell.* 6, 1509–1518. doi: 10.2307/3869986

Takase, T., Nakazawa, M., Ishikawa, A., Kawashima, M., Ichikawa, T., Takahashi, N., et al. (2004). ydk1-d, an auxin-responsive GH3 mutant that is

involved in hypocotyl and root elongation. *Plant J.* 37, 471-483. doi: 10.1046/ j.1365-313X.2003.01973.x

Thévenin, J., Pollet, B., Letarnec, B., Saulnier, L., Gissot, L., Maia-Grondard, A., et al. (2011). The simultaneous repression of CCR and CAD, two enzymes of the lignin biosynthetic pathway, results in sterility and dwarfism in arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol. Plant* 4, 70–82. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssq045

Tuan, P. A., Kumar, R., Rehal, P. K., Toora, P. K., and Ayele, B. T. (2018). Molecular mechanisms underlying abscisic acid/gibberellin balance in the control of seed dormancy and germination in cereals. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00668

Tudzynski, B., Kawaide, H., and Kamiya, Y. (1998). Gibberellin biosynthesis in gibberella fujikuroi: Cloning and characterization of the copalyl diphosphate synthase gene. *Curr. Genet.* 34, 234–240. doi: 10.1007/s002940050392

Walter, A., Silk, W. K., and Schurr, U. (2009). Environmental effects on spatial and temporal patterns of leaf and root growth. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 60, 279–304. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092819

Wasteneys, G. O., and Galway, M. E. (2003). Remodeling the cytoskeleton for growth and form: an overview with some new views. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 54 (1), 691–722. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.134818

Yang, W. T., Back, D., Yun, D. J., Lee, K. S., Hong, S. Y., Bae, K. D., et al. (2018). Rice OsMYB5P improves plant phosphate acquisition by regulation of phosphate transporter. *PloS One* 13 (3), e0194628. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194628