Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Zhijian Chen, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, China

REVIEWED BY Yongjia Zhong, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, China Jinxiang Wang, South China Agricultural University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Junhua Ao junhuaao@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Crop and Product Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 14 August 2022 ACCEPTED 06 September 2022 PUBLISHED 23 September 2022

CITATION

Wu Q, Chen D, Zhou W, Zhang X and Ao J (2022) Long-term fertilization has different impacts on bacterial communities and phosphorus forms in sugarcane rhizosphere and bulk soils under low-P stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1019042. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1019042

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wu, Chen, Zhou, Zhang and Ao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Long-term fertilization has different impacts on bacterial communities and phosphorus forms in sugarcane rhizosphere and bulk soils under low-P stress

Qihua Wu, Diwen Chen, Wenling Zhou, Xingxing Zhang and Junhua Ao*

Institute of Nanfan & Seed Industry, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China

The application of phosphorus (P) fertilizer effectively improves soil P availability, but it also affects soil microbial communities. However, the responses of soil bacterial communities and P forms to long-term P fertilization, and the relationships of bacterial communities with soil P forms remain unclear in Pdeficient field. In this study, the impacts of different P fertilization treatments (chemical nitrogen and potassium (NK); chemical N, P and K (NPK); and NPK plus straw (NPKS)) on the bacterial communities and P forms in sugarcane rhizosphere (RS) and bulk soils (BS) were evaluated. Compared with the NK, the NPK and NPKS treatments significantly (P<0.05) increased the yield and quality characters of sugarcane, especially under NPKS. Additionally, P fertilization significantly increased the available P (AP), soluble inorganic P (Pi) and retained Pi in both the RS and BS, but they significantly increased the Chao1 and Shannon index only in the BS; and almost all these indices were significantly higher in the RS than in the BS. The bacterial community compositions were also significantly altered by P fertilization, with major changes in the RS and minor changes in the BS. The bacterial genera that were enriched in the sugarcane rhizosphere mainly included Bradyrhizobium, Rhodanobacter, Pseudolabrys, Conexibacter, and Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia, some of which potentially promote the plant growth. Compared to NK, functional groups involved in the cycling of carbon, N, and sulfur significantly increased or decreased with fertilizer P application. Moreover, the relative abundances of many bacterial species were significantly correlated with the soil P forms. In conclusion, long-term P fertilization altered bacterial structure and functions in P-deficient sugarcane soil, which could help the soil P cycling and suppling. The results provide useful information to stimulate the power of the microbes by fertilization measures to improve soil nutrients and crop production.

KEYWORDS

phosphorus, bacterial community, rhizosphere soil, bulk soil, sugarcane

Introduction

Phosphorus (P) is an essential macronutrient for plants and is also one of the most common yield-limiting factors. The total P content is large in most soils, but soil P availability is often low in agroecosystems because most of the P is present in forms that are not easily absorbed and used by plants (Yang et al., 2013). Fertilizer P input is an important means for ensuring the soil available P supply; however, P uptake by crops is usually less than 25%, and most of the P is believed to be fixed in the soil (Yan et al., 2008). Soil P exists in two major groups: inorganic P (Pi) and organic P (Po). Both Pi and Po have a variety of forms that differ in availability, and these P forms can be interconverted (Hou et al., 2016). The cycling of P can be affected by several complex soil properties, such as physical and chemical properties (Gama-Rodrigues et al., 2014), and biological processes, such as the activity of microorganisms and plant roots (Xu et al., 2022). Given the worldwide P limitations, an improved understanding of the factors affecting the soil P cycle and availability is needed for P management in agriculture.

To sustain crop yields in agroecosystems, the mineralization and mobilization of unavailable P to readily available P is a prerequisite. In addition to the role of plants, which can excrete acid phosphatase (ACP) and other enzymes, microorganisms, including bacteria and fungi, also play an important role in soil P cycling by excreting enzymes such as alkaline phosphatase and ACP (Zhang et al., 2021). In particular, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) are of great importance because they are a special group of microorganisms that can dissolve P in soils (Krey et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2022). Generally, the abundance of PSB or the genes involved in P metabolism is relatively high in P-limited environments due to selection pressures (Dai et al., 2018; Pastore et al., 2020; Ran et al., 2021). The mechanism for P solubilization by PSB is as follows: bacteria excrete enzymes such as phosphatases and phytases to mineralize organic P (Jones and Oburger, 2011), or they can release organic acids such as gluconic acid and citric acid to solubilize inorganic P (Richardson et al., 2009; Su et al., 2021). Furthermore, microbes can accelerate the recycling of nutrients in soils by decomposing organic matter such as plant leaves and roots (Maranguit et al., 2017). For instance, soils with high contents of organic matter usually exhibit high levels of P availability, which may be related to their higher biological activity (Zhang et al., 2021). Additionally, rock phosphate fertilizer combined with microbial inoculation is common in agriculture and can also affect the P status and P cycling in soils (Chauhan and Bagyaraj, 2015). Previous studies have indicated that the addition of Pseudoalteromonas sp. can increase the bioavailability of soil P (Liu et al., 2021) and that the inoculation of Bacillus subtilis with a reduction in phosphate fertilization can increase the total P accumulation in sugarcane (Rosa et al., 2020). Above all, changes in the abundances and

compositions of the soil bacterial community can influence P availability and cycling in soils. Despite its important role in agroecosystems, knowledge of the relationship between the bacterial community and P forms is still limited to highly weathered soils.

In agricultural practices, fertilization regimes have been found to influence bacterial communities and functions in different soil types (Fraser et al., 2015; Dai et al., 2018; Widdig et al., 2020). Mineral P fertilizer application reduced the bacterial diversity and changed the total bacterial community and the phoD-harbouring bacterial community in wheat rhizospheric soil (Liu et al., 2020) and reduced the abundance of enzymes related to P cycling in paddy soils (Samaddar et al., 2018). The combined application of inorganic fertilizers with organic fertilizers such as straw or manure increased bacterial richness (Jin et al., 2021) and obviously changed the microbial community structure (Wei et al., 2017). For example, compared with chemical fertilizer, straw return combined with chemical fertilizer increased the soil bacterial Chao1 and Shannon indices and increased the abundances of Proteobacteria and Chloroflexi (Jin et al., 2021). Shifts in the diversity and composition of a bacterial community can affect the role of the bacteria in soil P cycling (Samaddar et al., 2018). Numerous studies have shown that bacterial communities can be strongly affected by fertilization regimes; however, most of these studies focused only on bulk soils (Beauregard et al., 2010; Dai et al., 2018). The diversity indices and compositions of the bacterial community in rhizosphere soils are different from those in bulk soils due to direct or indirect contact of plant roots with soil (Pang et al., 2021; Ran et al., 2021). However, information on the differences in bacterial species richness and community composition between rhizosphere and bulk soils under different fertilization treatments is scarce.

Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) is an important sugar crop with large biomass, a long growth season and high nutrient requirements. In China, sugarcane is mainly cultivated in red and laterite soils, which usually have low organic carbon and P availability (Su et al., 2021). Moreover, long-term continuous chemical fertilizer application has led to soil acidification and changes in the microbial community structure (Mthimkhulu et al., 2016). These factors have severely restricted the sustainable development of the sugarcane industry. To develop highefficiency ecological agriculture, crop straw return to fields has been vigorously promoted by the Chinese government (Jiang et al., 2020). During the planting and harvesting of sugarcane, many leftovers, such as roots, stems and leaves, are produced; these plant tissues contain a large amount of carbon and other nutrients. Thus, returning the leftovers to fields is especially necessary to improve soil nutrients and increase sugarcane yield. This study collected bulk soils and rhizosphere soils from an established sugarcane field trial with low available P content under three different fertilization treatments (chemical nitrogen and potassium (NK); chemical nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (NPK); and NPK plus straw (NPKS, the straw in this study includes the leaves and tails of sugarcane)) and analysed edaphic factors, soil P forms and soil bacterial communities. The aim was to (1) identify the differences in soil P forms, soil bacterial diversity and community composition in the bulk and rhizosphere soils after long-term fertilization and (2) explore the correlation between soil bacterial and P forms. We hypothesized that P application can increase not only the contents of P forms but also the bacterial richness and diversity and that changes in rhizosphere soils (RS) are more obvious than those in bulk soils (BS); furthermore, variation in bacterial structure can affect the transformation of soil P forms. The purpose of this study was to seek better fertilization practices under low–P stress, which could help achieve a more sustainable sugarcane system in China.

Materials and methods

Site description and experimental design

A long-term field experiment (established in 2013) was conducted at the Wengyuan Sugarcane Base (E 113.94°, N 24.28°), Shaoguan city, Guangdong Province, China. The area has a subtropical moist monsoon climate, with an annual average temperature of 20.2° C and annual average precipitation of 1900 mm. The soil type is classified as red earth according to Chinese soil taxonomy and as a Eutric Cambisol according to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) soil taxonomy. At the beginning of the experiment, the soil physicochemical properties were as follows: pH, 5.21; SOM, 19.52 g kg⁻¹; total P (TP), 0.40 g kg⁻¹; available N (AN), 54.3 mg kg⁻¹; available P (AP), 4.9 mg kg⁻¹; and available potassium (AK), 41.6 mg kg⁻¹.

The field experiment was set up in 2013, and it was arranged in a randomized block design with three replicate plots. The area of each plot was 240 m² (24 m \times 10 m). In this study, the following three treatments were assessed: (1) N and K (NK); (2) N, P and K (NPK), and (3) NPK plus straw (NPKS). N, P and K were applied as urea, calcium superphosphate, and potassium chloride, respectively. The same amount of chemical N, P and K fertilizer was applied in each treatment, and the applications consisted of 400 kg N ha⁻¹, 210 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 270 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. In the NPKS treatment, the entire quantity of sugarcane straw (the straw in this study includes the leaves and tails of sugarcane) was incorporated into the soil, approximately 7.5 Mg hm⁻² year⁻¹. The contents of N, P, and K in the sugarcane straw were 0.9%, 0.2%, and 1.5%, respectively, so 67.5 kg N hm⁻², 15 kg P hm⁻², and 112.5 kg K hm⁻² were return to the soil every year. Thus, the NPKS treatments received greater amounts of N, P and K than the NK and NPK treatments because the added straw. Sugarcane (S. officinarum L.) was the only crop grown each year, and it was sown in March and harvested in January of the following year. Herbicides were used

to control weeds, and insecticides or chemicals were used to prevent pests and other diseases during sugarcane growth. The crops were harvested manually with sickles, the plants were cut close to the ground, and the harvested biomass was removed from the plots in the NK and NPK treatments. Sugarcane straw was incorporated into the soil only in the NPKS treatment.

Sugarcane parameter estimation

Five rows of sugarcane were randomly selected from each plot, twenty sugarcane plants were selected randomly in each row, and each plant's diameter and height were measured by tape and Vernier calliper. The average values of sugarcane plant height and stem diameter were calculated for each plot. Sucrose content was determined using the approach described by Jackson and Jayanthy (2014). Sugarcane production was measured as follows: in each plot, sugarcane was cut and harvested, the leaves and tails of sugarcane were removed (except in the NPKS treatment), and the actual harvest was measured and recorded. Then, the hectare yield was calculated.

Soil sampling and biogeochemical analysis

On January 9, 2020, after sugarcane harvest, soil samples were collected. RS samples were collected from the roots of several sugarcane plants. Soil loosely adhered to the root surface was removed by shaking, and then the tightly bound RS was removed by brushing. Samples of RS were thoroughly mixed to obtain a composite sample. At the same time, bulk soil (BS) samples were collected between rows of sugarcane at a depth of 0-20 cm. A BS sample was collected from each of the five points (near the RS samples) in each plot. A total of 18 samples were obtained. All the samples were passed through a 2-mm sieve and divided into multiple subsamples: one subsample was air-dried for soil property analysis, and the other subsamples were immediately stored at 4°C until ACP analysis was conducted or at -40°C until soil DNA extraction was carried out.

The soil pH value was measured by a pH metre (1:2.5 soil: water). SOM was measured by a digestion method. Total P was extracted with an acid solution (H_2SO_4 -HClO₄), and the available P was extracted with 0.03 M NH₄F-0.025 M HCl (Bray I test) and then measured using molybdenum blue colorimetry. Soil available N was determined using the alkaline diffusion method. Available K was extracted with 1 M NH₄OAc and then measured by a flame photometer (Page et al., 1982).

Soil soluble Pi was extracted with 0.5 M NaHCO₃ (pH 8.5, solution ratio 1:20). Partial extracts were digested with $K_2S_2O_8$ and NaOH in an autoclave at 120°C to measure the total soluble P. Soluble Po was determined from the difference between total soluble P and soluble Pi. Stable Pi was extracted with 0.5 M

 H_2SO_4 (solution ratio 1:25). For the total stable P, 2.0 g of airdried soil was calcined at 550°C in a muffle furnace for 1 h and then extracted with 50 ml of 0.5 M H_2SO_4 . The stable Po was calculated as the difference between the two extracts. The P in all the soil extracts was quantified by the colorimetric method (Murphy and Riley, 1962).

The activities of soil extracellular ACP were measured at 37° C and ph 6.5. ACP catalysed the hydrolysis of phenyl disodium phosphate into phenol and hydrogen disodium phosphate in an acidic environment, and the activity of ACP was calculated by measuring the phenol. Phosphatase activities are expressed in nmol phenol g-1 soil h-1.

Soil DNA extraction, sequencing and data analysis

Soil dna was extracted from 0.5 g of fresh soil samples using a powersoil dna isolation kit (mobio laboratories, carlsbad, ca, usa) following the instructions in the accompanying manual. The purity and quality of the genomic dna were checked on 0.8% agarose gels. The v3-v4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16s rrna gene was amplified with the primers 338f (5'actcctacgggaggcagcag-3') and 806r (5'-ggactachvgggtwtctaat-3') (Caporaso et al., 2012). Pcr was carried out on a mastercycler gradient (eppendorf, germany) using 25 µl reaction volumes containing 5 μ l 5 × reaction buffer, 5 μ l 5 × gc buffer, 2 μ l dntp (2 mm), 1 µl forward primer (10 µm), 1 µl reverse primer (10 µm), 2 µl dna template, 8.75 µl ddh2o, and 0.25 µl q5 dna polymerase. The cycling parameters were 98°c for 2 min, followed by 28 cycles of 98°c for 15 s, 55°c for 30 s and 72°c for 35 s, with a final extension at 72°c for 5 min. Three pcr products per sample were pooled to mitigate reaction-level pcr biases. The pcr products were purified using a qiaquick gel extraction kit (qiagen, germany), quantified using real-time pcr, and sequenced on an illumina miseq 300 pe platform at personal biotechnology company, shanghai.

After the pcrs were carried out, the raw data were first screened and qualified and then separated using barcode sequences and trimmed with trimmomatic (version 0.36). Then, the dataset was analysed using qiime (v1.9). The sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (otus) by vsearch (v2.13.4) at a similarity level of 97%. The basic local alignment search tool (blast) (v2.6.0) was used to classify all the sequences into different taxonomic groups based on the silva database. Finally, based on the above analysis, alpha diversity indices (observed species, chao1, and shannon indices) were calculated by mothur software (version 1.30.1). Linear discriminant analysis (lda) coupled with effect size measurement analysis (lefse) was applied to search for statistically different biomarkers between different groups using lefse software. The relationships between soil microbial community structures and soil environmental factors were

analysed by redundancy analysis (rda) using canoco software v.4.5.

Data analysis

The ANOVA procedure in SAS (v9.2, SAS Institute Inc., USA) was used for the sugarcane parameter and soil property data analysis, and the least significant differences (LSD) test at P<0.05 was employed to assess the differences in the means of the three replicates of the different treatments. Pearson's correlation coefficients were employed to test the relationships between the agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane, soil properties and soil bacteria.

Results

Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane

The agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane were significantly affected by different P fertilization treatments. The sugarcane yield and stalk diameter results showed significant differences among the three fertilization treatments, following the order of NPKS>NPK>NK (Figures 1A, D; Supplementary Figure 1). The sugarcane yields in the NK treatment was 57.3×103 kg hm-2. Compared to NK, the sugarcane yields in



Agronomic and quality characters of sugarcane under different fertilization treatments. (A) Yield; (B) Stalk height; (C) Sucrose content; and (D) Stalk diameter. NK, chemical nitrogen and potassium; NPK, chemical nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium; NPKS, NPK plus straw. Different lowercase letters indicate significant difference among the treatments (P < 0.05).

the NPK and NPKS treatments increased by 49.5% and 72.6%, respectively. Compared with the NK treatment, the NPK and NPKS treatments significantly increased the sucrose content and stalk height (P < 0.05) (Figures 1B, C). Moreover, the stalk height was higher in the NPKS treatment than in the NPK treatment, whereas the sucrose content showed the reverse trend.

Soil biogeochemical properties in the BS and RS

Compared with the NK treatment (no P application), P application significantly increased the TP, AP and pH values in both the BS and RS (P < 0.05) (Table 1). The NPKS treatment had significantly higher SOM and AK than NK, while the NPK treatment had lower AN and ACP than the NK treatment. In addition, TP, AP, AN, and AK were significantly higher in the RS than in the BS, but there was no significant difference in pH, SOM or ACP between the BS and the RS.

Among the soil P forms, the soluble Pi, retained Pi and retained Po contents followed the order NPKS>NPK>NK in both the BS and RS (Figures 2A, C, D); however, the soluble Po contents were 10.9 mg kg⁻¹ in the BS and 11.1 mg kg⁻¹ in the RS in the NK treatment, which were significantly higher than those in NPK treatment, with 5.1 mg kg⁻¹ in the BS and 8.3 mg kg⁻¹ in the RS (Figure 2B). Moreover, the soluble Pi, soluble Po and retained Pi contents were higher in the RS than in the BS for all three treatments, but the retained Po was higher in the BS than in the RS in the NK and NPK treatments.

Diversity and composition of the bacterial community in the BS and RS

Based on metagenomic analysis, the NPK and NPKS treatments significantly increased the Chao1 index and Shannon index compared with NK in the BS (P<0.05), whereas there were no significant differences in the observed species or Chao1 index among the three treatments in the RS (Supplementary Table 1). Additionally, the NK treatment significantly increased the Chao1 index and Shannon index in the RS compared with the BS, while the NPKS treatment significantly decreased the Shannon index in the RS compared with the BS. Overall, there were no significant differences in the observed species and Chao1 indices between the BS and RS (Figures 3A, B), while the Shannon index was significantly increased in the RS compared with that in the BS (Figure 3C).

The dominant phylum in both the RS and BS was Proteobacteria, representing an average of 34.7% of all sequences, followed by Actinobacteria (23.7%), Chloroflexi (20.8%) and Acidobacteria (9.9%) (Figure 4A; Supplementary Table 2). In the RS, compared with the NK treatment, the P application treatments significantly changed the relative abundances of 5 of the 11 most abundant phyla (>1% at least one treatment), with a lower abundance of Gemmatimonadotates in the NPK treatment, lower abundances of Chloroflexi and WPS-2 in the NPKS treatment, and higher abundances of Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria in the NPKS treatment. However, in the BS, only NPKS significantly increased the relative abundances of Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia compared with those in the NK treatment. The 5 most abundant genera were *AD3*,

TABLE 1 Soil biogeochemical properties under different fertilization treatments.

| Soil | | Average | | |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| | NK | NPK | NPKS | |
| BS | 4.90c | 5.01b | 5.16a | 5.02A |
| RS | 4.67c | 4.82b | 5.02a | 4.83A |
| BS | 24.5b | 24.3b | 29.7a | 26.2A |
| RS | 24.7b | 24.2b | 33.4a | 27.3A |
| BS | 0.34c | 0.40b | 0.51a | 0.42B |
| RS | 0.39c | 0.49b | 0.66a | 0.51A |
| BS | 4.5c | 23.9b | 43.2a | 23.8B |
| RS | 7.8c | 36.4b | 55.7a | 33.3A |
| BS | 238.0a | 184.0b | 259.4a | 227.1B |
| RS | 365.4a | 280.8b | 340.5a | 328.9A |
| BS | 140.3b | 145.0b | 262.7a | 182.7B |
| RS | 225.3b | 209.3b | 410.7a | 281.8A |
| BS | 1576a | 1185b | 1505a | 1421A |
| RS | 1397a | 1269b | 1342a | 1336A |
| | Soil BS RS BS RS BS RS BS RS BS RS BS RS BS RS BS RS BS RS | Soil NK BS 4.90c RS 4.67c BS 24.5b RS 24.7b BS 0.34c RS 0.39c BS 4.5c RS 7.8c BS 238.0a RS 365.4a BS 140.3b RS 225.3b BS 1576a RS 1397a | Soil Treatment NK NPK BS 4.90c 5.01b RS 4.67c 4.82b BS 24.5b 24.3b RS 24.7b 24.2b BS 0.34c 0.40b RS 0.39c 0.49b BS 0.39c 0.49b BS 7.8c 36.4b BS 238.0a 184.0b RS 365.4a 280.8b BS 140.3b 145.0b RS 225.3b 209.3b BS 1576a 1185b RS 1397a 1269b | Soil Treatment NK NPK NPKS BS 4.90c 5.01b 5.16a RS 4.67c 4.82b 5.02a BS 24.5b 24.3b 29.7a RS 24.7b 24.2b 33.4a BS 0.34c 0.40b 0.51a RS 0.39c 0.49b 0.66a BS 4.5c 23.9b 43.2a RS 7.8c 36.4b 55.7a BS 238.0a 184.0b 259.4a RS 365.4a 280.8b 340.5a BS 140.3b 145.0b 262.7a RS 253.5b 209.3b 410.7a BS 1576a 1185b 1505a RS 1397a 1269b 1342a |

NK, chemical nitrogen and potassium; NPK, chemical nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium; NPKS, NPK plus straw. Different lowercase letters in the same row indicate significant differences among the treatments (P < 0.05). Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences between the bulk soil (BS) and rhizosphere (RS).



(rhizosphere soil) and bulk soil (BS).

Chujaibacter, Acidothermus, WPS-2, and Conexibacter in both the RS (average of 6.88%, 4.60%, 5.80%, 3.68% and 2.70%, respectively, of all sequences) and the BS (average of 9.68%, 8.51%, 5.71%, 2.89% and 2.30%, respectively) (Figure 4B; Supplementary Table 3). Among the 16 most abundant genera (>1% at least one treatment), P application significantly changed the relative abundances of 7 genera in the RS, while it changed the relative abundances of 4 genera in the BS. Compared with NK, NPKS significantly increased the abundances of *Bradyrhizobium*, JG30-KF-AS9 and Subgroup_2 but decreased the abundance of Conexibacter in both the RS and BS; NPK increased the abundances of *WPS-2* and *1921-2* only in the RS.

Differential abundances of bacteria across fertilization treatments

The LEfSe tool was used to detect biomarkers from the phylum to the genus level across the different fertilization treatments. Cladograms presented different groups, and LDA scores \geq 3 were confirmed by LEfSe (Figures 5A, B). The numbers of species





enriched in the BS under NPKS (NPKSB) (69) and in the RS under NPKS (NPKSR) (37) were much higher than those in the RS under NK (NKR) (12), the BS under NK (NKB) (7) and the RS under NPK (NPKR) (6). Dependentiae and Gemmatimonadetes (phylum) were enriched in the NPKSB. Alphaproteobacteria was enriched in the NPKSR, and S0134_terrestrial_group (class) was enriched in the NKB. In the NPKSB, there were six enriched bacterial classes, of which Gemmatimonadetes and Deltaproteobacteria had the largest proportions. Furthermore, there were 24 genera enriched in the NPKSB, of which 12 belonged to Proteobacteria (6 genera), Chloroflexi (3 genera) and Acidobacteria (3 genera), and the 5 most abundant were Subgroup_2 (3.18%), JG30_KF_AS9 (2.66%), SC_I_84 (1.57%), OLB14 (0.78%), and Roseiarcus (0.70%). In the NPKSR, 19 genera were enriched, among which 13 belonged to Proteobacteria, including Bradyrhizobium, Rhodanobacter, Pseudolabrys and Pseudaminobacter. For the NPKR, Sporichthya, B21_WMSP1, 1921-2 and 1921-3 were enriched, and B21_WMSP1, 1921-2 and 1921-3 belonged to Chloroflexi. In the NKR, 9 genera were enriched, of which 4 belonged to Actinobacteria (including Conexibacter, Sinomonas and Geodermatophilus) and 3 belonged to Proteobacteria (Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia and Craurococcus). There were 3 genera enriched in the NKB: Arcticibacter, inhella, and S0134_terrestrial_group.

Association between the agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane, soil properties, and bacterial community

Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationship between soil bacterial community in RS and agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane (Table 2). At the phylum level, Acidobacteria was significantly (P<0.05) positively correlated with sugarcane yield, sucrose content, stalk

height and stalk diameter. In contrast, Bacteroidetes had a significant negative correlation with stalk diameter. At the genus level, *Bradyrhizobium* and *Subgroup_2* showed strong and positive correlations with sugarcane yield, stalk height and stalk diameter, whereas *Conexibacter* showed negative correlations with sugarcane yield and stalk diameter (P<0.05).

Redundancy analysis (RDA) was used to reveal relationships between the bacterial community and soil properties. We found that pH, SOM, TP, AP, AN and AK significantly affected the soil bacterial community (P<0.05) (Figure 6), indicating that these soil properties played important roles in structuring the microbial communities in both the BS and RS. Furthermore, pH, SOM AP, AN and AK significantly influenced the bacterial community in the BS (Supplementary Figure 2A), while pH, SOM, TP, AP and AK significantly influenced the bacterial community in the RS (Supplementary Figure 2B). This suggested that the influencing factors driving the soil bacterial community compositions were different between the BS and RS.

The treatments with fertilizer P strongly affected the relative abundances of the main phyla and genera (>1% at least one treatment) of soil bacteria compared with the treatment without P (Figure 4; Supplementary Tables 2, 3). The relationships among soil P forms, ACP associated with these main bacterial phyla and genera were analysed (Figure 7). At the phylum level, Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia were significantly positively correlated with all four P forms, and Gemmatimonadetes had a significant positive correlation with soluble Po (P<0.05). In contrast, WPS-2 had a significant negative correlation with all P forms, and Chloroflexi was negatively correlated with soluble P (Figures 7A, B). At the genus level, Bradyrhizobium, Subgroup_2 and JG30-KF-AS9 showed strong and positive correlations with all four P forms, whereas Conexibacter, WPS-2 and 1921-2 showed the opposite result (Figures 7C, D).

| Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane | | | Genus (relative abundance>1%) | Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Yield Sucrose Sta content hei | Stalk height | Stalk diameter | | Yield | Sucrose content | Stalk height | Stalk diameter | |
| -0.321 | 0.131 | -0.279 | -0.295 | Chujaibacter | -0.241 | -0.581 | -0.270 | -0.326 |
| 0.541 | 0.036 | 0.458 | 0.507 | Acidothermus | -0.601* | -0.439 | -0.417 | -0.446 |
| 0.802** | 0.599* | 0.763** | 0.864** | Bradyrhizobium | 0.733* | 0.427 | 0.734* | 0.732* |
| -0.340 | -0.513 | -0.286 | -0.334 | Bryobacter | 0.313 | 0.119 | 0.378 | 0.445 |
| -0.289 | 0.202 | -0.184 | -0.232 | Subgroup_2 | 0.757** | 0.465 | 0.721* | 0.792** |
| 0.185 | 0.305 | 0.035 | 0.048 | JG30-KF-AS9 | 0.709* | 0.285 | 0.564 | 0.647 |
| 0.566 | 0.216 | 0.395 | 0.466 | Acidibacter | 0.390 | 0.238 | 0.425 | 0.513 |
| -0.464 | -0.445 | -0.546 | -0.624* | Actinospica | -0.100 | -0.153 | -0.161 | -0.185 |
| -0.406 | -0.495 | -0.419 | -0.528 | HSB_OF53-F07 | 0.299 | 0.470 | 0.294 | 0.262 |
| -0.116 | -0.473 | -0.204 | -0.197 | FCPS473 | -0.245 | 0.151 | -0.241 | -0.292 |
| Gemmatimonadetes 0.333 -0.098 0.266 0.294 | 0.294 | WPS-2 | -0.289 | 0.202 | -0.184 | -0.232 | | |
| | | Conexibacter | -0.662* | -0.345 | -0.506 | -0.604* | | |
| | | AD3 | -0.503 | -0.059 | -0.427 | -0.424 | | |
| | | Sphingomonas | -0.155 | 0.040 | -0.146 | -0.231 | | |
| | | IMCC26256 | -0.310 | 0.006 | -0.156 | -0.247 | | |
| | | | | 1921_2 | -0.183 | 0.289 | -0.151 | -0.214 |
| | Agror Vield -0.321 0.541 0.802** -0.340 -0.289 0.185 0.566 -0.464 -0.406 -0.116 0.333 | Agronomic and quart Yield Sucrose content -0.321 0.131 0.541 0.036 0.802** 0.599* -0.340 -0.513 -0.289 0.202 0.185 0.305 0.566 0.216 -0.406 -0.445 -0.116 -0.473 0.333 -0.098 | Agronomic and quality charactsugarcane Yield Sucrose content Stalk height -0.321 0.131 -0.279 0.541 0.036 0.458 0.802** 0.599* 0.763** -0.340 -0.513 -0.286 -0.289 0.202 -0.184 0.185 0.305 0.035 0.566 0.216 0.395 -0.464 -0.445 -0.546 -0.406 -0.495 -0.419 -0.116 -0.473 -0.204 0.333 -0.098 0.266 | Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane Yield Sucrose content Stalk height Stalk diameter -0.321 0.131 -0.279 -0.295 0.541 0.036 0.458 0.507 0.802** 0.599* 0.763** 0.864** -0.340 -0.513 -0.286 -0.334 -0.289 0.202 -0.184 -0.232 0.185 0.305 0.035 0.048 0.566 0.216 0.395 0.466 -0.464 -0.445 -0.546 -0.624* -0.406 -0.495 -0.419 -0.528 -0.116 -0.473 -0.204 -0.197 0.333 -0.098 0.266 0.294 | Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane Genus (relative abundance>1%) Yield Sucrose content Stalk height Stalk diameter Genus (relative abundance>1%) -0.321 0.131 -0.279 -0.295 Chujaibacter 0.541 0.036 0.458 0.507 Acidothermus 0.802** 0.599* 0.763** 0.864** Bradyrhizobium -0.340 -0.513 -0.286 -0.334 Bryobacter -0.289 0.202 -0.184 -0.232 Subgroup_2 0.185 0.305 0.035 0.0466 Acidibacter -0.464 -0.445 -0.546 -0.624* Actinospica -0.406 -0.495 -0.419 -0.528 HSB_OF53-F07 -0.116 -0.473 -0.204 -0.197 FCPS473 0.333 -0.098 0.266 0.294 WPS-2 Conexibacter AD3 Sphingomonas IMCC26256 1921_2 192_2 -2 -2 | Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane Genus (relative abundance>1%) Agronomic abundance>1%) Yield Sucrose content Stalk height Stalk diameter Vield -0.321 0.131 -0.279 -0.295 Chujaibacter -0.241 0.541 0.036 0.458 0.507 Acidothermus -0.601* 0.802** 0.599* 0.763** 0.864** Bradyrhizobium 0.733* -0.340 -0.513 -0.286 -0.334 Bryobacter 0.313 -0.289 0.202 -0.184 -0.232 Subgroup_2 0.757** 0.185 0.305 0.035 0.048 JG30-KF-AS9 0.709* 0.566 0.216 0.395 0.466 Acidibacter 0.390 -0.464 -0.445 -0.546 -0.624* Actinospica -0.100 -0.406 -0.495 -0.419 -0.528 HSB_OF53-F07 0.299 -0.116 -0.473 -0.204 -0.197 FCPS473 -0.245 0.333 -0.098 0.266 0.294 WPS-2 -0.289 <td< td=""><td>Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcaneGenus (relative abundance>1%)Agronomic and quality sugarcaneYieldSucrose contentStalk heightStalk diameterWieldSucrose content-0.3210.131-0.279-0.295Chujaibacter-0.241-0.5810.5410.0360.4580.507Acidothermus-0.601*-0.4390.802**0.599*0.763**0.864**Bradyrhizobium0.733*0.427-0.340-0.513-0.286-0.334Bryobacter0.3130.119-0.2890.202-0.184-0.232Subgroup_20.757**0.4650.1850.3050.0350.048JG30-KF-AS90.709*0.2850.5660.2160.3950.466Acidibacter0.3900.238-0.464-0.445-0.546-0.624*Actinospica-0.100-0.153-0.406-0.495-0.419-0.528HSB_OF53-F070.2990.470-0.116-0.473-0.204-0.197FCPS473-0.2450.1510.333-0.0980.2660.294WPS-2-0.2890.202Conexibacter-0.300-0.593-0.059Sphingomonas-0.1550.040IMCC26256-0.3100.0061921_2-0.1830.289</td><td>Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcaneGenus (relative abundance>1%)Agronomic and quality charac sugarcaneYieldSucrose contentStalk heightStalk diameterGenus (relative abundance>1%)Agronomic and quality charac sugarcane-0.3210.131-0.279-0.295Chujaibacter-0.241-0.581-0.2700.5410.0360.4580.507Acidothermus-0.601*-0.439-0.4170.802**0.599*0.763**0.864**Bradyrhizobium0.733*0.4270.734*-0.340-0.513-0.286-0.334Bryobacter0.3130.1190.378-0.2890.202-0.184-0.232Subgroup_20.757**0.4650.721*0.1850.3050.0350.048JG30-KF-AS90.709*0.2850.5640.5660.2160.3950.466Acidibacter0.3900.2380.425-0.464-0.445-0.546-0.624*Actinospica-0.100-0.153-0.161-0.406-0.495-0.419-0.528HSB_OF53-F070.2990.4700.294-0.116-0.473-0.204-0.197FCPS473-0.2450.151-0.2410.333-0.0980.2660.294WPS-2-0.2890.202-0.184Conexibacter-0.662*-0.345-0.506AD3-0.503-0.059-0.427.5Sphingomonas-0.1550.040-0.146IMCC26256<td< td=""></td<></td></td<> | Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcaneGenus (relative abundance>1%)Agronomic and quality sugarcaneYieldSucrose contentStalk heightStalk diameterWieldSucrose content-0.3210.131-0.279-0.295Chujaibacter-0.241-0.5810.5410.0360.4580.507Acidothermus-0.601*-0.4390.802**0.599*0.763**0.864**Bradyrhizobium0.733*0.427-0.340-0.513-0.286-0.334Bryobacter0.3130.119-0.2890.202-0.184-0.232Subgroup_20.757**0.4650.1850.3050.0350.048JG30-KF-AS90.709*0.2850.5660.2160.3950.466Acidibacter0.3900.238-0.464-0.445-0.546-0.624*Actinospica-0.100-0.153-0.406-0.495-0.419-0.528HSB_OF53-F070.2990.470-0.116-0.473-0.204-0.197FCPS473-0.2450.1510.333-0.0980.2660.294WPS-2-0.2890.202Conexibacter-0.300-0.593-0.059Sphingomonas-0.1550.040IMCC26256-0.3100.0061921_2-0.1830.289 | Agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcaneGenus (relative abundance>1%)Agronomic and quality charac sugarcaneYieldSucrose contentStalk heightStalk diameterGenus (relative abundance>1%)Agronomic and quality charac sugarcane-0.3210.131-0.279-0.295Chujaibacter-0.241-0.581-0.2700.5410.0360.4580.507Acidothermus-0.601*-0.439-0.4170.802**0.599*0.763**0.864**Bradyrhizobium0.733*0.4270.734*-0.340-0.513-0.286-0.334Bryobacter0.3130.1190.378-0.2890.202-0.184-0.232Subgroup_20.757**0.4650.721*0.1850.3050.0350.048JG30-KF-AS90.709*0.2850.5640.5660.2160.3950.466Acidibacter0.3900.2380.425-0.464-0.445-0.546-0.624*Actinospica-0.100-0.153-0.161-0.406-0.495-0.419-0.528HSB_OF53-F070.2990.4700.294-0.116-0.473-0.204-0.197FCPS473-0.2450.151-0.2410.333-0.0980.2660.294WPS-2-0.2890.202-0.184Conexibacter-0.662*-0.345-0.506AD3-0.503-0.059-0.427.5Sphingomonas-0.1550.040-0.146IMCC26256 <td< td=""></td<> |

TABLE 2 Pearson's correlation coefficient between soil bacterial community in rhizosphere soil (RS) and agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane.

Bold values highlighted the significant relationships. *indicates P<0.05 and ** indicates P<0.01.

The analysis of bacterial functions

The potential functions of the bacteria communities were predicted by FAPROTAX (Figure 8). The results showed that those functional groups are mainly linked to biogeochemical carbon (C), N, and sulfur (S) metabolism. The functional groups involved in cellulolysis were significantly increased in NPK while reduced in NPKS in both BS and RS compared with NK (P<0.05). The relative abundances of functional groups methanol oxidation was increased in NPK and reduced in



Frontiers in Plant Science



NPKS in both BS and RS, while functional groups methylotrophy were increased in NPK and reduced in NPKS only in RS. The P fertilization increased the relative abundances of functional groups anoxygenic_photoautotrophy_S_oxidizing and anoxygenic_photoautotrophy in both BS and RS.

N-cycling functional groups were also affected by different P fertilization treatments. The NPK and NPKS decreased the relative

abundance of ureolysis and nitrate reduction functional groups in both BS and RS. The aerobic_nitrite_oxidation, nitrate_respiration and nitrogen_respiration groups increased in NPK and NPKS compared with NK in both BS and RS. The NPK and NPKS increased the relative abundances of functional groups nitrification only in BS, while increased the nitrogen_fixation only in RS. However, other functional groups such as nitrate_denitrification,



FIGURE 7

Correlation analysis for the content of soil P froms, soil acid phosphatase and the relative abundance of bacterial at the phylum (A, B) and genus (C, D) level. NK, chemical nitrogen and potassium; NPK, chemical nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium; NPKS, NPK plus straw. B and R represent the BS and RS samples, respectively. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.

09



nitrite_denitrification, denitrification, and nitrite_respiration were not significantly different among the three fertilization treatments.

Discussion

Microorganisms are sensitive to agricultural practices such as fertilization and tillage, and they can adapt to new environments quickly (Dash et al., 2013; Ran et al., 2021). In the BS, after 7 years of fertilization, P fertilization simultaneously increased the bacterial richness (Chao1 index) and diversity (Shannon index), which supported our first hypothesis. Similarly, phosphate fertilizer addition has been found can increase the abundance of bacteria (16S rRNA gene copies) in an intercropped field (Tang et al., 2016). In the RS, in contrast to the BS, the bacterial Shannon index in the NPKS treatment was significantly lower than that in the NK treatment, while the observed species and richness were not influenced by P addition (Supplementary Table 1). Long-term P fertilization has also been found to significantly decrease the observed OTU number and bacterial diversity in wheat rhizosphere soils that have available P deficiencies (Liu et al., 2020). However, several studies have reported that P application does not significantly affect the bacterial observed OTUs and Shannon index (Ran et al., 2021) or bacterial community compositions (Li et al., 2019). Differences in these results may be due to the different rates and types of P fertilizer applications, soil conditions, and plant types (Samaddar et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). Furthermore, our results revealed that the Shannon index was significantly higher in RS than in the BS, while there were no significant differences in the Chao1 or observed species indices between the BS and RS (Figure 3). Previous studies have reported lower bacterial Chao1

and Shannon indices in the RS than in the BS (Schlatter et al., 2020; Ran et al., 2021); there were no noticeable differences in soil bacterial ACE and Shannon indices between the RS and BS (Pang et al., 2021). Large differences in soil properties, organic compounds secreted by sugarcane, and the complex interaction of plant roots with soil can cause differences in soil microorganisms between RS and BS.

The soil bacterial compositions were also strongly affected by fertilizer P application, with more significant differences in the species in the RS than in the BS (Figure 4; Supplementary Tables 2, 3). This could be due to environmental factors that shaping the rhizosphere bacterial compositions was more complicated than those in the bulk soil (Schlatter et al., 2020). Similarly, it has been shown that the bacterial community shifts more in the RS than in the BS for wheat (Donn et al., 2015; Ran et al., 2021). At the phylum and genus levels, there were significant differences in the compositions between the NK and P addition (NPK and NPKS) treatments. For instance, compared with the NK treatment, the NPKS treatment significantly increased the relative abundances of Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria in the RS, whereas the NPK treatment decreased the abundance of Gemmatimonadetes in the RS. Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria and Gemmatimonadetes were the dominant phyla in the BS and RS of sugarcane. These abundant bacterial taxa have different life histories and functions, and dramatic changes in these phyla could influence soil nutrients and plant growth (Donn et al., 2015; Walters et al., 2018; Teng et al., 2018). Correlation analysis showed that Acidobacteria was significantly (P<0.05) positively correlated with all the agronomic and quality characteristics of sugarcane in our study (Table 2). At the genus level, the NPKS treatment significantly increased the abundances of Bradyrhizobium, JG30*KF-AS9* and *Subgroup_2* but decreased that of *Conexibacter* in the RS and BS compared with the NK treatment. *Bradyrhizobium* and *Subgroup_2* was positively correlated with sugarcane yield, stalk height and stalk diameter, whereas *Conexibacter* showed the opposite trend. These results suggest that long-term P addition can alter the abundance of bacteria that affect nutrient cycling under low-P stress, which may alter the available nutrient supply and sugarcane growth. In fact, higher soil available N, available P and sugarcane yields were observed in the NPK and NPKS treatments than those in the NK treatment.

LEfSe analysis revealed that, compared with the bulk soil, a large number of bacterial genera were highly enriched in the sugarcane rhizosphere, mainly Bradyrhizobium, Rhodanobacter, Pseudolabrys, Pseudaminobacter, 1921-2, Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia, Conexibacter, Sinomonas and Geodermatophilus. Most of these sugarcane rhizosphere communities belong to a relatively small number of microbial taxa within the phyla Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Chloroflexi, consistent with previous findings (Donn et al., 2015; Schlatter et al., 2020). Yang et al. (2021) also have found that Bradyrhizobium, 1921-2 and Conexibacter were the dominant bacteria under different N fertilization treatments in sugarcane fields in China. Bradyrhizobium is a nitrogen fixer, and it has also been found to promote rock phosphate dissolution (Halder et al., 1990) and inhibit plant disease (Pang et al., 2021). The abundances of Pseudolabrys have been found increased in fertilization treatments that lacked P (Eo and Park, 2016). Conexibacter is believed to contribute to carbon cycling in soil environments and has the potential to reduce nitrate to nitrite (Deng et al., 2014). Rhodanobacter can reduce nitrate in the environment, and Rhodanobacter has been found to thrive under conditions of high nitrate and uranium contents and low pH (Green et al., 2012). Low pH values and high nitrate contents can cause selective pressure on the bacterial community, which explains the low bacterial diversity and high abundance of Rhodanobacter in the NPKSR (Supplementary Table 1 and Figure 5). Above all, enrichment of Bradyrhizobium, Conexibacter, Pseudolabrys and Rhodanobacter in the sugarcane rhizosphere can affect the cycling of carbon, N and P. The bacteria Sinomonas and Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia are plant growth promoters with the ability to provide phytohormones and important enzymes (Verbon and Liberman, 2016); the high abundance of these bacteria NKR can be explained by the beneficial plant-soil feedback under low-P stress conditions.

Changes in environmental factors, such as pH, organic carbon, and available N, can directly alter the soil microbial communities in different ecosystems (Pang et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021). In this study, RDA revealed that pH, SOM, TP, AP, AN and AK significantly influenced the soil bacterial communities in the BS and RS (Figure 6). Our results were consistent with previous findings in sugarcane, which showed

that organic carbon, AP and pH determined soil bacterial dissimilarities (Pang et al., 2021). These studies suggested that soil AP and SOM were the dominant drivers of changes in the total bacterial community structure in the sugarcane field. Additionally, soil bacterial species also showed a close relationship with the soil P forms; for example, Proteobacteria and Bradyrhizobium were significantly and positively correlated with soluble Po, whereas Conexibacter and 1921-2 was significantly and negatively correlated with retained Po (Figure 7). Proteobacteria have been reported to express ACP and promote the mineralization of retained P, and Bradyrhizobium was significantly and positively correlated with soluble Po (Zhang et al., 2021). Conexibacter is believed to contribute to carbon cycling in soil ecosystems (Seki et al., 2012), which may simultaneously influence soil P cycling. Moreover, the soluble Po contents were higher in the NK treatment than NPK in both the RS and BS, which may be due to the enrichment of Proteobacteria in the NKR (Zhang et al., 2021) and the enrichment of Arcticibacter, a PSB, in the NKB. The retained Po contents were lower in the RS than in the BS in the NK and NPK treatments, likely due to Conexibacter enrichment in the NK treatment and 1921-2 enrichment in the NPK treatment in the sugarcane rhizosphere. These results suggested that variations in bacterial compositions can affect soil P cycling (Teng et al., 2018).

FAPROTAX can provide useful information on bacterial community functions and was widely used in soil research (Louca et al., 2016). The relative abundances of functional groups cellulolysis and methanol_oxidation were increased in NPK while reduced in NPKS in both BS and RS compared with NK, which might related to the higher proportion of WPS-2 in NPK and the lower proportion of WPS-2 in NPKS than that in NK (Yang et al., 2021) (Supplementary Table 3). The relative abundance of functional groups anoxygenic_photoautotrophy_S_oxidizing and anoxygenic_photoautotrophy increased with NPK and NPKS might be dependent on the high proportion of Cyanobacteria in these two treatments. The NPK and NPKS treatments decreased the relative abundance of ureolysis and nitrate reduction functional groups compared with NK, which might due to the lower abundance of Conexibacter in P fertilization treatments than that in NK (Deng et al., 2014). However, further work is needed to compare the different roles of fertilization treatments on bacterial community function between BS and RS.

Conclusions

After 7 years of the same fertilizer regime, P application significantly increased sugarcane yield and quality characteristics compared with those in the NK treatment, and soil TP, soluble Pi and retained Pi in both the RS and BS increased. Although the main phyla and genera of the bacterial communities were similar between the BS and RS, the compositions and abundances of the bacteria were significantly different and affected by P fertilization, with more obvious changes in the RS than in the BS. A significant number of bacterial genera were enriched in the sugarcane rhizosphere, most of which are potential benefit for the plant growth. The P fertilization treatments also significantly increased or decreased the functional groups involved in the cycling of C, N, and S compared with NK. Additionally, many bacterial species were significantly correlated with soil P forms, indicating that soil bacteria played an important role in soil P cycling. These results revealed the different effects of long-term P fertilization on soil nutrients and bacterial communities in sugarcane soil under low-P stress. They also provided new insights to stimulate the power of the microbes by agricultural measures to improve soil nutrients and crop production.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.20500110.v3.

Author contributions

QW: conceptualization, methodology, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing, and funding acquisition. DC, WZ: methodology, formal analysis, and data curation. XZ: conceptualization, methodology. JA: conceptualization, supervision, and funding acquisition. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

Beauregard, M. S., Hamel, C., Atul-Nayyar, ., and St-Arnaud, M. (2010). Longterm phosphorus fertilization impacts soil fungal and bacterial diversity but not AM fungal community in alfalfa. *Microb. Ecol.* 59, 379–389. doi: 10.1007/s00248-009-9583-z

Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N., et al. (2012). Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J.* 6, 1621–1624. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.8

Chauhan, H., and Bagyaraj, D. (2015). Inoculation with selected microbial consortia not only enhances growth and yield of French bean but also reduces fertilizer application under field condition. *Sci. Hortic.* 197, 411–446. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.10.001

Dai, Z., Su, W., Chen, H., Barberán, A., and Xu, J. (2018). Long-term nitrogen fertilization decreases bacterial diversity and favors the growth of actinobacteria and proteobacteria in agro-ecosystems across the globe. *Global Change Biol.* 24, 3452–3461. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14163

Dash, H. R., Mangwani, N., Chakraborty, J., Kumari, S., and Das, S. (2013). Marine bacteria: Potential candidates for enhanced bioremediation. appl. *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 97, 561–571. doi: 10.1007/s00253-012-4584-0

Deng, J., Gu, Y., Zhang, J., Xue, K., Qin, Y., Yuan, M., et al. (2015). Shifts of tundra bacterial and archaeal communities along a permafrost thaw gradient in Alaska. *Mol. Ecol.* 24, 222–234. doi: 10.1111/mec.13015

Funding

This work is supported by the GDAS Special Project of Science and Technology Development [2019GDASYL-0103033, 2020GDASYL-20200103059], the National Key R&D Program of China [2020YFD1000600] and the China Agricultural Research System of MOF and MARA [CARS-170203].

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.1019042/full#supplementary-material

Donn, S., Kirkegaard, J. A., Perera, G., Richardson, A. E., and Watt, M. (2015). Evolution of bacterial communities in the wheat crop rhizosphere. *Environ. Microbiol.* 17, 610–621. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12452

Eo, J., and Park, K. C. (2016). Long-term effects of imbalanced fertilization on the composition and diversity of soil bacterial community. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 231, 176–182. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2016.06.039

Fraser, T. D., Lynch, D. H., Bent, E., Entz, M. H., and Dunfield, K. E. (2015). Soil bacterial phoD gene abundance and expression in response to applied phosphorus and long-term management. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 88, 137–147. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.04.014

Gama-Rodrigues, A. C., Sales, M. V. S., Silva, P. S. D., Comerford, N. B., and Cropper, W. P. (2014). An exploratory analysis of phosphorus transformations in tropical soils using structural equation modeling. *Biogeochemistry* 118 (1-3), 453–469. doi: 10.1007/s10533-013-9946-x

Green, S. J., Prakash, O., Jasrotia, P., Overholt, W. A., Cardenas, E., Hubbard, D., et al. (2012). Denitrifying bacteria from the genus rhodanobacter dominate bacterial communities in the highly contaminated subsurface of a nuclear legacy waste site. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 1039–1047. doi: 10.1128/AEM.06435-11

Halder, A. K., Mishra, A. K., Bhattacharyya, P., and Chakrabartty, P. K. (1990). Solubilization of rock phosphate by rhizobium and bradyrhizobium. *J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol.* 36, 81–92. doi: 10.2323/jgam.36.81 Hou, E., Chen, C., Kuang, Y., Zhang, Y., Heenan, M., and Wen, D. (2016). A structural equation model analysis of phosphorus transformations in global unfertilized and uncultivated soils. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 30, 1300–1309. doi: 10.1002/2016GB005371

Jackson, B., and Jayanthy, T. (2014). Determination of sucrose in raw sugarcane juice by microwave method. *Indian J. Sci. Technol.* 7, 566–570. doi: 10.17485/ijst/2014/v7i5.10

Jiang, W., Yan, T., and Chen, B. (2020). Impact of media channels and social interactions on the adoption of straw return by Chinese farmers. *Sci. Total Environ.* 756, 144078. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144078

Jin, Y., Li, X., Cai, Y., Hu, H., Liu, Y., Fu, S., et al. (2021). Effects of straw returning with chemical fertilizer on soil enzyme activities and microbial community structure in rice-rape rotation. *Environ. Sci.* 42 (8), 3985–3996. doi: 10.13227/j.hjkxt.202012077

Jones, D., and Oburger, E. (2011). Phosphorus in action: Solubilization of phosphorus by soil microorganisms. 169–198 Springer-Verlag Berlin S Heidelberg. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-15271-9_7

Krey, T., Vassilev, N., Baum, C., and Eichler-Löbermann, B. (2013). Effects of long-term phosphorus application and plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria on maize phosphorus nutrition under field conditions. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 55, 124–130. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.12.007

Li, P., Shen, C., Jiang, L., Feng, Z., and Fang, J. (2019). Difference in soil bacterial community composition depends on forest type rather than nitrogen and phosphorus additions in tropical montane rainforests. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 55, 313–323. doi: 10.1007/s00374-019-01349-8

Liu, J., Ma, Q., Hui, X., Ran, J., and Wang, Z. (2020). Long-term high-p fertilizer input decreased the total bacterial diversity but not phoD-harboring bacteria in wheat rhizosphere soil with available-p deficiency. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 149, 107918. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107918

Liu, Z., Shang, H., Han, F., Zhang, M., Li, Q., and Zhou, W. (2021). Improvement of nitrogen and phosphorus availability by pseudoalteromonas sp. during salt-washing in saline-alkali soil. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 168, 104117. doi: 10.1016/ j.apsoil.2021.104117

Louca, S., Parfrey, L. W., and Doebeli, M. (2016). Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science* 353 (6305), 1272–1277. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4507

Maranguit, D., Guillaume, T., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2017). Land-use change affects phosphorus fractions in highly weathered tropical soils. *Catena* 149, 385–393. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2016.10.010

Mthimkhulu, S., Podwojewski, P., Hughes, J., Titshall, L., and Van Antwerpen, R. (2016). The effect of 72 years of sugarcane residues and fertilizer management on soil physico-chemical properties. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 225, 54–61. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2016.04.002

Murphy, J., and Riley, J. P. (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 27, 31–36. doi: 10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5

Page, A. L., Miller, R. H., and Keeney, D. R. (1982). *Methods of soil analysis: Chemical and microbiological properties* (USA: American Society of Agronomy, Soil Science Society of America). 1460–1466

Pang, Z., Fallah, N., Weng, P., Zhou, Y., Tang, X., Tayyab, M., et al. (2021). Sugarcane-peanut intercropping system enhances bacteria abundance, diversity, and sugarcane parameters in rhizospheric and bulk soils. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.815129

Pastore, G., Kernchen, S., and Spohn, M. (2020). Microbial solubilization of silicon and phosphorus from bedrock in relation to abundance of phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria in temperate forest soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 151, 108050. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108050

Ran, J., Liu, X., Hui, X., Ma, Q., and Liu, J. (2021). Differentiating bacterial community responses to long-term phosphorus fertilization in wheat bulk and rhizosphere soils on the loess plateau. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 166, 104090. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104090

Richardson, A. E., Barea, J. M., Mcneill, A. M., and Prigent-Combaret, C. (2009). Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. *Plant Soil* 321, 305–339. doi: 10.1007/s11104-009-9895-2 Rosa, P., Mortinho, E. S., Jalal, A., Galindo, F. S., and Filho, M. (2020). Inoculation with growth-promoting bacteria associated with the reduction of phosphate fertilization in sugarcane. *Front. Env. Sci.* 32. doi: 10.3389/ fenvs.2020.00032

Samaddar, S., Chatterjee, P., Truu, J., Anandham, R., Kim, S., and Sa, T. (2018). Long-term phosphorus limitation changes the bacterial community structure and functioning in paddy soils. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 134, 111–115. doi: 10.1016/ j.apsoil.2018.10.016

Schlatter, D. C., Yin, C., Hulbert, S., and Paulitz, T. C. (2020). Core rhizosphere microbiomes of dryland wheat are influenced by location and land use history. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 86, 02135–02119. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02135-19

Seki, T., Matsumoto, A., Shimada, R., Inahashi, Y., Ōmura, S., and Takahashi, Y. (2012). Conexibacter arvalis sp. nov., isolated from a cultivated field soil sample. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Micr.* 62, 2400–2404. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.036095-0

Su, M., Meng, L., Zhao, L., Tang, Y., Qiu, J., Tian, D., et al. (2021). Phosphorus deficiency in soils with red color: Insights from the interactions between minerals and microorganisms. *Geoderma* 404, 115311. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115311

Tang, X., Placella, S. A., Daydé, F., Bernard, L., Robin, A., Journet, E. P., et al. (2016). Phosphorus availability and microbial community in the rhizosphere of intercropped cereal and legume along a p-fertilizer gradient. *Plant Soil* 407, 119–134. doi: 10.1007/s11104-016-2949-3

Teng, Z., Zhu, Y., Li, M., and Whelan, M. J. (2018). Microbial community composition and activity controls phosphorus transformation in rhizosphere soils of the yeyahu wetland in Beijing, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 629, 1266–1277. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.115

Verbon, E. H., and Liberman, L. M. (2016). Beneficial microbes affect endogenous mechanisms controlling root development. *Trends Plant Sci.* 21, 218–229. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2016.01.013

Walters, W. A., Jin, Z., Youngblut, N., Wallace, J. G., Sutter, J., Zhang, W., et al. (2018). Large-Scale replicated field study of maize rhizosphere identifies heritable microbes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115, 7368–7373. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1800918115

Wei, M., Hu, G., Wang, H., Bai, E., Lou, Y., Zhang, A., et al. (2017). 35 years of manure and chemical fertilizer application alters soil microbial community composition in a fluvo-aquic soil in northern China. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 82, 27–34. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2017.08.002

Widdig, M., Heintz-Buschart, A., Schleuss, P. M., Guhr, A., Borer, E. T., Seabloom, E. W., et al. (2020). Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus addition on microbial community composition and element cycling in a grassland soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 151, 108041. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108041

Xu, Y., Ge, X., Zhou, B., Lei, L., and Xiao, W. (2022). Variations in rhizosphere soil total phosphorus and bioavailable phosphorus with respect to the stand age in pinus massoniana lamb. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 939683. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.939683

Yang, X., Post, W., Thornton, P., and Jain, A. (2013). The distribution of soil phosphorus for global biogeochemical modeling. *Biogeosciences* 10, 2525–2537. doi: 10.5194/bg-10-2525-2013

Yang, S., Xiao, J., Liang, T., He, W., and Tan, H. (2021). Response of soil biological properties and bacterial diversity to different levels of nitrogen application in sugarcane fields. *AMB Express* 11, 021–01331. doi: 10.1186/s13568-021-01331-4

Yan, X., Jin, J., He, P., and Liang, M. (2008). Recent advances in technology of increasing fertilizer use efficiency. *Scientia Agricultura Sin.* 41, 450–459. doi: 10.3724/SPJ.1005.2008.01083

Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Wang, S., Umbreen, S., and Zhou, C. (2021). Soil phosphorus fractionation and its association with soil phosphate-solubilizing bacteria in a chronosequence of vegetation restoration. *Ecol. Eng.* 164, 106208. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2021.106208

Zhang, D., Zhang, Y., Zhao, Z., Xu, S., Cai, S., Zhu, H., et al. (2022). Carbonphosphorus coupling governs microbial effects on nutrient acquisition strategies by four crops. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 924154. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.924154

Zhao, Y., Mao, X., Zhang, M., Yang, W., Di, H., Ma, L., et al. (2021). The application of bacillus megaterium alters soil microbial community composition, bioavailability of soil phosphorus and potassium, and cucumber growth in the plastic shed system of north China. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 307, 107236. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2020.107236