frontiers | Frontiers in Plant Science

TYPE Correction
PUBLISHED 26 September 2022
DOI 10.3389/fpls.2022.1011533

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Tommaso Frioni,
Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Piacenza, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE
Ignacio Buesa
igbuepue@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 04 August 2022
ACCEPTED 09 September 2022
PUBLISHED 26 September 2022

CITATION
Buesa I, Pérez-Pérez JG, Visconti F, Strah R, Intrigliolo DS, Bonet L, Gruden K, Pompe-Novak M and de Paz JM (2022) Corrigendum: Physiological and transcriptional responses to saline irrigation of young 'Tempranillo' vines grafted onto different rootstocks.
Front. Plant Sci. 13:1011533.
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1011533

Corrigendum: Physiological and transcriptional responses to saline irrigation of young 'Tempranillo' vines grafted onto different rootstocks

Ignacio Buesa[1,2,3]*, Juan G. Pérez-Pérez[1], Fernando Visconti[1,4], Rebeka Strah[5,6], Diego S. Intrigliolo[4], Luis Bonet[1], Kristina Gruden[5], Maruša Pompe-Novak[5,7] and Jose M. de Paz[1]

[1]Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, Centro para el Desarrollo de la Agricultura Sostenible, Unidad Asociada al CSIC "Riego en la AgriculturaMediterránea", Valencia, Spain, [2]Ecophysiologie et Génomique Fonctionnelle de la Vigne, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Institut des Sciences de la Vigne et du Vin, Villenave d'Ornon, France, [3]Research Group on Plant Biology Under Mediterranean Conditions, Department of Biology, University of the Balearic Islands, Palma, Spain, [4]Centro de Investigaciones sobre Desertificación, Departmento de Ecología (CSIC, UV, GV), Valencia, Spain, [5]Department of Biotechnology and Systems Biology, National Institute of Biology, Ljubljana, Slovenia, [6]Jožef Stefan International Postgraduate School Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia, [7]School for Viticulture and Enology, University of Nova Gorica, Vipava, Slovenia

KEYWORDS

osmotic adjustment, gas exchange, gene expression, water relations, *Vitis vinifera L.* (grapevine), salinity tolerance

A Corrigendum on

Physiological and transcriptional responses to saline irrigation of young 'Tempranillo' vines grafted onto different rootstocks.

by Buesa I, Pérez-Pérez JG, Visconti F, Strah R, Intrigliolo DS, Bonet L, Gruden K, Pompe-Novak M and de Paz JM (2022) Front. Plant Sci. 13:866053. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.866053

In the original article, there was an error. In Table 2 the units shown for chlorides (Cl) are not correct. To solve this problem, we include the Table transforming the units to those of the rest of the elements.

A correction has been made to Table 2:

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

10.3389/fpls.2022.1011533

TABLE 2 Leaf area index (LAI) and leaf nutritional status in leaf blades from *Vitis vinifera* (L.) cv. Tempranillo grafted onto M1, M4 and 1103-Paulsen (1P) rootstocks subjected to different water quality (C; control and S; saline irrigation) on DOY 233 of 2019 in Valencia, Spain.

Factors	Treatment	LAI (m^2 m^{-2})	N (g $100g^{-1}$)	Cl (g $100g^{-1}$)	Ca (g $100g^{-1}$)	K (g $100g^{-1}$)	Na (g $100g^{-1}$)	Mg (g $100g^{-1}$)	K/Ca	K/Na
R	1P	1.8	2.26b	0.75a	2.01a	0.73	0.003a	0.41ab	0.37b	353.0b
	M1	1.8	2.12ab	1.35b	2.36b	0.65	0.004b	0.39a	0.28a	185.5a
	M4	1.9	2.07a	1.24b	1.94a	0.66	0.003a	0.46b	0.35ab	260.8ab
WQ	Control	2.0b	2.15	0.67a	1.93a	0.74b	0.003	0.40a	0.40	287.7a
	Saline	1.7a	2.15	1.54b	2.28b	0.61a	0.003	0.44b	0.44	245.1b
Interaction R × WQ	1P C	1.8	2.33	0.54	1.9	0.77	0.004abc	0.40	0.42	313.7
	1P S	1.8	2.19	0.94	2.1	0.68	0.002a	0.42	0.32	392.3
	M1 C	2.1	2.08	0.79	2.2	0.72	0.004bc	0.36	0.33	235.3
	M1 S	1.5	2.16	1.89	2.5	0.59	0.005c	0.43	0.24	135.6
	M4 C	2.0	2.04	0.68	1.7	0.74	0.003ab	0.44	0.44	314.2
	M4 S	1.8	2.10	1.79	2.2	0.58	0.003abc	0.48	0.27	207.3
Rootstock		0.89	**0.04**	**<0.01**	**<0.001**	0.25	**0.02**	**0.05**	**0.04**	**0.05**
Water Quality		**0.03**	0.99	**<0.001**	**<0.001**	**<0.01**	0.98	**0.04**	**<0.001**	0.42
R × WQ		0.08	0.33	0.09	0.49	0.72	**0.05**	0.61	0.49	0.27

Data are averages of 6, 9 and 3 determinations per rootstock, water quality and rootstock per water quality respectively. For each parameter, letters denote significant differences between treatments at $p < 0.05$ (Duncan test). The statistical significance effect of the rootstock (R), water quality (WQ) and their interaction are also indicated by means of the p-values from the ANOVAs. Significance of effects in bold denotes statistically significant differences at $p < 0.05$.

Publisher's note