



Dissection of Closely Linked Quantitative Trait Locis Controlling Grain Size in Rice

Pao Xue¹, Yu-yu Chen^{1,2}, Xiao-xia Wen¹, Bei-fang Wang¹, Qin-qin Yang¹, Ke Gong¹, Yi-wei Kang¹, Lian-ping Sun¹, Ping Yu¹, Li-yong Cao¹, Ying-xin Zhang^{1*}, Xiao-deng Zhan^{1*} and Shi-hua Cheng^{1*}

¹ Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Super Rice Research, State Key Laboratory of Rice Biology, China National Rice Research Institute, Hangzhou, China, ² Department of Resource and Environment, Moutai Institute, Renhuai, China

Grain size is a key constituent of grain weight and appearance in rice. However, insufficient attention has been paid to the small-effect quantitative trait loci (QTLs) on the grain size. In the present study, residual heterozygous populations were developed for mapping two genetically linked small-effect QTLs for grain size. After the genotyping and the phenotyping of five successive generations, qGS7.1 was dissected into three QTLs and two were selected for further analysis. The qTGW7.2a was finally mapped into a 21.10 kb interval containing four annotated candidate genes. Transcript levels assay showed that the expression of the candidates $LOC_Os07g39490$ and the $LOC_Os07g39500$ were significantly reduced in the NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$. The cytological observation indicated that qTGW7.2a regulated the grain width through controlling the cell expansion. Using the same strategy, qTGW7.2b was fine-mapped into a 52.71 kb interval containing eight annotated candidate genes, showing a significant effect on the grain length and width with opposite allelic directions, but little on the grain weight. Our study provides new genetic resources for yield improvement and for fine-tuning of grain size in rice.

Keywords: rice, quantitative trait locus, grain size, small-effect, residual heterozygous population

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important staple crops which feeds half of the population of the world. Therefore, grain yield became a prime target for breeders. Grain yield is characterized by three components: panicle number, filled grain number per panicle, and grain weight. Grain weight is mainly determined by grain size, which simultaneously affects appearance (Zuo and Li, 2014). Thus, grain size is a primary target for yield improvement.

Grain length (GL) and grain width (GW) determine grain size, and both are complex traits controlled by the quantitative trait locus (QTL). To date, 20 grain size-related QTLs with large effects have been cloned and characterized. Several signals and regulatory pathways, controlling grain size and thousand-grain weight (TGW), have been identified in rice, such as the G-protein signaling pathway, the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway, the phytohormone signaling, and transcriptional regulators (Li and Li, 2016; Fan and Li, 2019). The GS3 and DEP1 encode G-protein γ -subunits and regulate the grain size and weight (Fan et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2009). The OsLG3b encodes a MADS-domain transcription factor OsMADS1, which acts as a key downstream effector of G-protein $\beta\gamma$ dimers in controlling grain size and weight via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (Song et al., 2007;

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Marcelino Perez De La Vega, Universidad de León, Spain

Reviewed by:

Sarla Neelamraju, Indian Institute of Rice Research (ICAR), India Jauhar Ali, International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines

*Correspondence:

Ying-xin Zhang zhangyingxin@caas.cn Xiao-deng Zhan zhanxiaodeng@caas.cn Shi-hua Cheng chengshihua@caas.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Breeding, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 29 October 2021 Accepted: 06 December 2021 Published: 20 January 2022

Citation:

Xue P, Chen Y-y, Wen X-x, Wang B-f, Yang Q-q, Gong K, Kang Y-w, Sun L-p, Yu P, Cao L-y, Zhang Y-x, Zhan X-d and Cheng S-h (2022) Dissection of Closely Linked Quantitative Trait Locis Controlling Grain Size in Rice. Front. Plant Sci. 12:804444. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.804444

1

Li et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2019). The OsMKKK10, OsMKK4/SMG1, and OsMAPK6 are involved in the MAPK signaling pathway (Duan et al., 2014; Liu S. Y. et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2018). The OsRac1 and GSN1 directly interact with OsMAPK6, and also inactivate and activate the OsMAPK6 via dephosphorylation and phosphorylation (Guo et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). Furthermore, ERECTA1 acts upstream of the OsMKKK10-OsMKK4-OsMPK6 cascade to control the spikelet number by regulating cytokinin metabolism in rice (Guo et al., 2020). Some proteins participate in the brassinosteroids (BR) signal pathway. Particularly, GW5 encodes a calmodulin-binding protein, GS5 encodes a putative serine carboxypeptidase, GL3.1 encodes a protein phosphatase kelch (PPKL), GS2 encodes transcription factor OsGRF4, and GSK2 kinase has multiple substrates that carry out various BR responses (Li et al., 2011; Qi et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). In addition, TGW6, BG1, GL3.3/TGW3/qTGW3, GSA1, and RBG1 are involved in the auxins signaling pathway (Ishimaru et al., 2013; Liu L. C. et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2018; Xia et al., 2018; Ying et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2020; Lo et al., 2020). The GNP1 encodes the GA20ox1, and at the same time, increases the grain number and yield by increasing cytokinin activity. The GW6 encodes a GA-regulated GAST family protein and positively regulates the grain width and weight through the gibberellins pathway (Wu et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2020). Additionally, many other major QTLs regulate the grain size and weight through the transcriptional levels, such as GW8, GL7/GW7, GW6a, GLW7, GL4, OsLG3, GS9, GL6, TGW2, and SG3 (Wang et al., 2012; Song et al., 2015; Wang S. K. et al., 2015; Wang Y. X. et al., 2015; Si et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017; Xiong et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2018; Wang A. H. et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020; Ruan et al., 2020).

Small-effect QTLs also play important roles in regulating the grain size and are widely utilized in commercial rice varieties (Kinoshita et al., 2017). Many QTLs with small-effects are responsible for quantitative genetic variation. These QTLs are often unexpected based on prior knowledge of the trait or correspond to computationally predicted genes (Mackay et al., 2009). Therefore, it is beneficial to validate these small-effect QTLs for breeding. In recent years, more than 400 small-effect QTLs for grain size and weight were reported (Huang et al., 2013). However, only a few were fine-mapped or cloned. The DTH2 encodes a CONSTANS-like protein that promotes heading by inducing the florigen genes Hd3a and RFLT1 (Wu et al., 2013). Notably, qTGW1.2b regulates grain weight which encodes a VQmotif protein OsVQ4 (Chan et al., 2020). A naturally varying QTL, the *qTGW12a*, which encodes the multidrug and the toxic compound extrusion (MATE) transporter, regulates grain weight in rice (Du et al., 2021). Validation and dissection of more smalleffect QTLs could provide a large number of the candidate genes and would be beneficial for establishing the network-controlling grain weight and grain size in rice.

The residual heterozygous method (Du et al., 2008) was mainly used for QTL mapping in this study. Residual heterozygote populations, the genotypic compositions are showing heterozygosity of the target region and high homozygosity in the background. The progeny population obtained by selfing is equal to the natural near-isogenic line (NIL)- F_2 population, which applies to the QTL validating, resolving, and fine-mapping. To date, a series of small-effect QTLs have been fine mapped using this method (Dong et al., 2018; Wang W. H. et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020).

In a previous study, a set of backcross recombinant inbred lines, between the *indica* rice variety BG1 (Big Grain 1) and the *japonica* rice variety XLJ (Xiaolijing), were used for QTL mapping. There were significant differences in a wide range of traits, including the heading date (HD), plant height (PH), flag leaf length (FLL), flag leaf width (FLW), GL, GW, and TGW, between the two parents. A small-effect grain size QTL, the *qGS7.1*, which had considerable effect on GL, GW, and TGW has been identified on chromosome 7 (Xue et al., 2019). In the present study, the *qGS7.1* was dissected into three QTLs, named *qTGW7.1*, *qTGW7.2a*, and *qTGW7.2b*. Finally, the *qTGW7.2a* was located into a 21.10 kb region which controls the grain width and weight, while the *qTGW7.2b* was mapped to a 52.71 kb interval, which inversely affects the grain length and width, not grain weight.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

In the first run, two single plants with heterozygous regions of the qGS7.1 were selected. These plants were then developed into two BC₄F₇ populations consisting of 137 plants (R7) and 142 plants (R8) used for QTL validation and mapping. New polymorphic markers were designed and used to test genotypes of these populations.

In the second run, six resultant BC_4F_8 populations, R9 to R14, consisting of 189, 193, 198, 151, 116, and 213 plants, respectively, were developed from six residual heterozygous BC_4F_7 single plants with updated target regions. Then, the BC_4F_9 population containing 3,989 individuals derived from the R9 population was constructed and was used for selecting the recombinants.

In the third run for the QTL validation and mapping, eleven single plants were selected from the BC_4F_9 generation to develop eleven BC_4F_{10} populations, to be named R15 to R25, for a total of 794 plants.

In the fourth run, three homozygous NIL populations in the segregating region, namely, N1 to N3, were developed to validate the QTL. Two single plants without the qTGW7.2b target region were selected and selfed to develop two populations, named R26 and R27, which were made up of 209 and 223 plants, respectively. Meanwhile, a BC₄F₁₁ population, including 6,128 individuals derived from the R23 population, was constructed and used for further mapping.

In the fifth run, two single plants were selected from BC_4F_{11} plants in the XP7-12-XP7-23 interval to develop progeny

populations consisting of 233 (R28) and 98 (R29) plants for validation and fine-mapping of the qTGW7.2a. Later, two non-recombinants were selected from the R28 population and selfed to develop two NILs of the NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$ and the NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{XLJ}$ for traits measurement.

Field Experiments and Traits Measurement

Plants were grown at the field stations of the China National Rice Research Institute in Lingshui, Hainan province, and Fuyang, Zhejiang province. After harvesting, 300 dry seeds were randomly selected for measuring TGW (g), GL (mm), GW (mm), and the ratio of the grain length to width (RLW) using an automatic seed counting and analyzing instrument (Model SC-G, Wanshen Ltd., Hangzhou, China).

DNA Extraction and Molecular Markers Development

Total DNA was extracted from fresh leaf samples by the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Murray and Thompson, 1980). The PCR products were visualized on 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels by silver staining. A total of 31 polymorphic DNA markers were used (**Supplementary Table 1**).

RNA Extraction and Quantitative Reverse-Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction

Total RNA was extracted from rice panicles using RNAprep pure Plant Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China). Quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TAKARA, Dalian, China). Data analysis used the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method, while the *UBQ10* was used as the internal reference to normalize the gene expression (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The qRT-PCR primers used in this study are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**.

Cytological Observation

During the heading stage, young spikelet hulls of NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$ and NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{XLJ}$ were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 12 h at 4°C, and then dehydrated in serial graded ethanol (30, 50, 70, 80, 90, 95, and 100%) before finally preserved in 100% ethanol. The samples were dried in a Hitachi HCP-2 critical point drier, and the cell length and width of the inner glumes were observed by scanning electron microscopy (Hitachi SU-8010, Hitachi, Japan). ImageJ software was used to measure cell numbers and cell size.

Data Analysis

Three genotypes were obtained after genotyping this population. Two homozygous genotype plants which carried alleles from XLJ and BG1 were used to detect the phenotypic differences by Student's *t*-test. We deduce there was a QTL when p < 0.05. Subsequently, the heterozygous individual harboring the target QTL was used for developing a new residual heterozygous population.

All the analysis data, including the additive effect (A) and the proportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL (R^2),

were obtained from the Windows QTL Cartographer Version 2.5 software to estimate the genetic effects.

RESULTS

Validation and Mapping of qGS7.1

We have identified a grain size QTL, the qGS7.1, in the X7-9-RM351 interval on chromosome 7 (Figure 1A). For further validation and fine-mapping of the target region, 12 polymorphic markers were designed based on the sequence differences between BG1 and XLJ. Consequently, the RM21758 became the new boundary when all plants were homozygous for it. Meanwhile, the R7 and R8 populations were derived from two segregated single plants selected from the R1 population (Figure 1B) to validate the qGS7.1, and to exclude the nontarget interval in BC₄F₇ populations. Both showed a significant enhancement of GW, GL, and TGW between the two parental genotypic groups. These results showed that the *qGS7.1* with the XLJ allele have increased TGW, GL, and GW by 0.439-0.445 g, 0.075-0.082 mm, and 0.010-0.011 mm, and had R² values of 21.95-28.01, 25.40-30.35, and 8.31-8.91%, respectively (Table 1). The effects detected in the two populations were comparable and indicated that the qGS7.1 was located in the region between RM21758 and Chr07MM3011.

Dissection of *qGS7.1* Into Three Quantitative Trait Loci

Six progeny populations with sequential segregating regions jointly covering the qGS7.1 region (Figure 1C) were developed in BC₄F₈ populations. There were no significant differences in the R12 population (Table 2). In the remaining five populations, significant enhancements were discovered in XLJ alleles for TGW. The additive effects were -0.477, -0.670, -0.531, -0.265, and -0.180 g in R9, R10, R11, R13, and R14, respectively (Table 2). These results indicated that there were two hypotheses for the consistent allelic direction. Despite this, there were also significantly different effects among the five populations. Firstly, there were two QTLs for the TGW located in these populations. One was mapped in R9 but not in R13 and R14, while the other was segregated in R13 and R14 but not in R9. Both were located in R10 and R11, thus, the additive effects were higher in the two populations than those in the other populations. Secondly, only one QTL was segregated in these populations with highly unstable effects.

For GL, significant effects were detected in three populations (**Table 2**) with the enhancing alleles always derived from XLJ. However, there were no significant differences between the two parental genotypic groups in R13 and R14 populations. To sum up, it could be concluded that the *qGS7.1* was a composite of two independent QTLs (**Figure 1C**). The first QTL, named *qTGW7.1*, was located in the common segregating region of R13 and R14, with the allele from XLJ increasing the TGW and GW. The second QTL, named *qTGW7.2*, was located in the heterozygous interval in R9, with the allele from XLJ increasing the TGW, GL, and GW.



Finally, the qTGW7.2 was selected for further analysis. Eleven populations (R15-R25) were developed from 11 heterozygous individuals in BC₄F₉ populations (**Figure 1D**). Significant differences were found in the TGW, GL, and GW with the positive allele from XLJ in the R23, R24, and R25 populations. The genotypic effects were similar to

TABLE 1 | Validation of *qGS7.1* using two residual heterozygous populations in BC₄F₇.

Name	Marker interval	Trait ^a	Phenotype (Mean \pm SD) ^b		Р	Ac	R ² (%) ^d
			NIL ^{XLJ}	NIL ^{BG1}			
R7	RM21758-Chr07MM3011	TGW (g)	28.30 ± 0.579	27.43 ± 0.708	<0.0001	-0.445	21.95
		GL (mm)	8.897 ± 0.090	8.873 ± 0.091	< 0.0001	-0.082	30.35
		GW (mm)	2.704 ± 0.027	2.681 ± 0.029	0.0024	-0.011	8.31
		RLW	3.303 ± 0.038	3.271 ± 0.035	0.0009	-0.015	9.53
R8	RM21758-Chr07MM3011	TGW (g)	26.77 ± 0.675	25.86 ± 0.506	< 0.0001	-0.439	28.01
		GL (mm)	8.619 ± 0.088	8.478 ± 0.098	< 0.0001	-0.075	25.40
		GW (mm)	2.669 ± 0.028	2.650 ± 0.032	0.0143	-0.010	8.91
		RLW	3.240 ± 0.034	3.212 ± 0.042	0.0052	-0.014	7.06

^aTGW, thousand grain weight (g); GL, grain length (mm); GW, grain width (mm); RLW, the ratio of grain length to width.

^bNIL^{XLJ} and NIL^{BG1} are homozygous genotypes from XLJ and BG1 in the segregating region, respectively.

^cA, additive effect, measured as the genetic effect when an XLJ allele is replaced by a BG1 allele.

 $^{d}R^{2}$, proportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL.

TABLE 2 Dissection of qGS7.1 into two quantitative trait loci (QTLs) using six residual heterozygous populations in BC₄F₈.

Name	Marker interval	Trait ^a	Phenotype (mean \pm SD) ^b		Р	Ac	R ² (%) ^d
			NIL ^{XLJ}	NIL ^{BG1}			
R9	RM21858-Chr07MM3011	TGW (g)	24.84 ± 0.503	23.85 ± 0.440	<0.0001	-0.477	32.37
		GL (mm)	8.908 ± 0.070	8.803 ± 0.063	< 0.0001	-0.051	18.92
		GW (mm)	2.443 ± 0.035	2.417 ± 0.036	0.0026	-0.014	7.63
		RLW	3.659 ± 0.037	3.658 ± 0.051	0.9655		
R10	Chr07MM2673-Chr07MM3011	TGW (g)	25.42 ± 0.760	24.13 ± 0.807	< 0.0001	-0.670	26.54
		GL (mm)	8.996 ± 0.185	8.768 ± 0.188	< 0.0001	-0.114	14.27
		GW (mm)	2.482 ± 0.062	2.425 ± 0.062	< 0.0001	-0.029	12.63
		RLW	3.638 ± 0.073	3.632 ± 0.084	0.7261		
R11	InDel7-14-Chr07MM3011	TGW (g)	24.96 ± 0.676	23.89 ± 0.828	< 0.0001	-0.531	21.55
		GL (mm)	8.764 ± 0.100	8.640 ± 0.133	< 0.0001	-0.063	13.96
		GW (mm)	2.484 ± 0.036	2.443 ± 0.036	< 0.0001	-0.021	11.95
		RLW	3.542 ± 0.053	3.552 ± 0.050	0.3909		
R12	RM21758-Chr07mm2526	TGW (g)	23.68 ± 0.961	23.49 ± 0.715	0.3308		
		GL (mm)	8.815 ± 0.203	8.781 ± 0.182	0.4501		
		GW (mm)	2.493 ± 0.045	2.479 ± 0.039	0.1660		
		RLW	3.549 ± 0.067	3.555 ± 0.060	0.7012		
R13	RM21758-RM21858	TGW (g)	25.53 ± 0.641	25.01 ± 0.539	0.0006	-0.265	11.19
		GL (mm)	8.648 ± 0.187	8.633 ± 0.158	0.7100		
		GW (mm)	2.471 ± 0.072	2.410 ± 0.063	0.0005	-0.031	11.28
		RLW	3.513 ± 0.064	3.596 ± 0.068	< 0.0001	0.042	20.21
R14	RM21758-C7-10	TGW (g)	25.43 ± 0.573	25.06 ± 0.800	0.0080	-0.180	4.06
		GL (mm)	8.829 ± 0.132	8.791 ± 0.179	0.2181		
		GW (mm)	2.519 ± 0.057	2.479 ± 0.082	0.0052	-0.018	7.25
		RLW	3.519 ± 0.069	3.561 ± 0.057	0.0034	0.021	5.78

^aTGW, thousand grain weight (g); GL, grain length (mm); GW, grain width (mm); RLW, the ratio of grain length to width.

^bNIL^{XLJ} and NIL^{BG1} are homozygous genotypes from XLJ and BG1 in the segregating region, respectively.

^cA, additive effect, measured as the genetic effect when an XLJ allele is replaced by a BG1 allele.

 ${}^{d}R^{2}$, proportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL.

the qTGW7.2 (Table 3). In the R15 population, significant genotypic effects were detected in TGW and GW, but not in GL. In R21 and R22 populations, similar additive effects for GL were -0.050 and -0.053 mm, respectively explaining

the 8.32 and 20.81% of the genotypic variance in both populations. There was no significant difference in R16, R17, R18, R19, and R20 between the two parental genotypic groups (**Table 3**).

TABLE 3 | Dissection of qTGW7.2 into two QTLs using eleven residual heterozygous populations in BC₄F₁₀.

Name	Marker interval	Trait ^a	Phenotype (mean \pm SD) ^b		Р	Ac	R ² (%) ^d
			NIL ^{XLJ}	NIL ^{BG1}			
R15	Chr07MM2673-Chr07MM2978	TGW (g)	25.82 ± 0.596	24.61 ± 0.387	<0.0001	-0.604	42.48
		GL (mm)	9.100 ± 0.049	9.060 ± 0.057	0.1038		
		GW (mm)	2.534 ± 0.028	2.466 ± 0.022	< 0.0001	-0.034	49.12
		RLW	3.609 ± 0.040	3.692 ± 0.029	< 0.0001	0.041	42.01
R16	Chr07MM2673-Chr07MM2954	TGW (g)	25.32 ± 0.496	25.40 ± 0.349	0.523		
		GL (mm)	9.215 ± 0.061	9.254 ± 0.071	0.0753		
		GW (mm)	2.492 ± 0.030	2.494 ± 0.031	0.8173		
		RLW	3.716 ± 0.051	3.728 ± 0.056	0.5112		
R17	Chr07MM2673-RM21871	TGW (g)	25.64 ± 0.351	25.04 ± 0.381	0.0694		
		GL (mm)	9.113 ± 0.054	9.110 ± 0.062	0.8963		
		GW (mm)	2.530 ± 0.026	2.524 ± 0.033	0.5378		
		RLW	3.618 ± 0.028	3.626 ± 0.050	0.5416		
R18	Chr07MM2673-SSR-9	TGW (g)	26.27 ± 0.365	26.08 ± 0.447	0.2481		
		GL (mm)	9.077 ± 0.058	9.090 ± 0.060	0.5687		
		GW (mm)	2.528 ± 0.019	2.518 ± 0.023	0.2132		
		RLW	3.606 ± 0.035	3.626 ± 0.036	0.1482		
R19	INDEL-2-Chr07MM2954	TGW (g)	23.12 ± 0.578	22.80 ± 0.512	0.0918		
		GL (mm)	8.550 ± 0.068	8.529 ± 0.066	0.3695		
		GW (mm)	2.537 ± 0.031	2.524 ± 0.026	0.1766		
		RLW	3.385 ± 0.047	3.394 ± 0.025	0.4264		
R20	C7-10-Chr07MM2954	TGW (g)	22.74 ± 0.746	22.46 ± 0.916	0.4744		
		GL (mm)	8.582 ± 0.082	8.609 ± 0.077	0.4788		
		GW (mm)	2.544 ± 0.040	2.519 ± 0.047	0.2436		
		RLW	3.391 ± 0.041	3.435 ± 0.066	0.0924		
R21	XP7-28-RM21891	TGW (g)	24.42 ± 0.519	24.51 ± 0.464	0.5903		
		GL (mm)	9.298 ± 0.115	9.199 ± 0.110	0.0124	-0.050	8.32
		GW (mm)	2.503 ± 0.028	2.516 ± 0.030	0.2037		
		RLW	3.734 ± 0.040	3.674 ± 0.067	0.0037	-0.030	19.21
R22	Chr07MM2954-RM21891	TGW (g)	23.62 ± 0.619	23.38 ± 0.519	0.2168		
		GL (mm)	8.677 ± 0.050	8.572 ± 0.095	< 0.0001	-0.053	20.81
		GW (mm)	2.497 ± 0.029	2.493 ± 0.054	0.7792		
		RLW	3.489 ± 0.030	3.453 ± 0.054	0.0125	-0.018	6.62
R23	RM21866-RM21891	TGW (g)	27.10 ± 0.386	25.92 ± 0.383	< 0.0001	-0.558	53.04
		GL (mm)	9.406 ± 0.084	9.223 ± 0.080	< 0.0001	-0.087	42.21
		GW (mm)	2.566 ± 0.026	2.536 ± 0.040	0.0062	-0.011	13.18
		RLW	3.688 ± 0.032	3.655 ± 0.043	0.0122	-0.017	13.15
R24	C7-10-RM21891	TGW (g)	24.27 ± 0.464	23.26 ± 0.675	< 0.0001	-0.505	33.52
		GL (mm)	8.699 ± 0.048	8.570 ± 0.087	< 0.0001	-0.065	30.24
		GW (mm)	2.558 ± 0.036	2.519 ± 0.032	0.0027	-0.020	21.00
		RLW	3.416 ± 0.051	3.419 ± 0.028	0.8407		
R25	Chr07MM2870-RM21891	TGW (g)	26.40 ± 0.750	25.39 ± 0.356	0.0002	-0.504	34.58
		GL (mm)	9.276 ± 0.105	9.086 ± 0.066	< 0.0001	-0.095	41.04
		GW (mm)	2.560 ± 0.227	2.520 ± 0.029	0.0005	-0.020	23.75
		RLW	3.640 ± 0.031	3.622 ± 0.031	0.1229		

^aTGW, thousand grain weight (g); GL, grain length (mm); GW, grain width (mm); RLW, the ratio of grain length to width.

^cA, additive effect, measured as the genetic effect when an XLJ allele is replaced by a BG1 allele.

^dR², proportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL.

Combined with the separated graphical genotypes of R15 and R21 populations, the qTGW7.2 was dissected into two separate QTLs (**Figure 1D**). The first QTL, the qTGW7.2a, had

considerable effects on TGW and GW within a 53.96 kb region spanning XP7-12 to XP7-16. The second QTL, the qTGW7.2b, was located between Chr07MM2985 and RM21891, a 52.71 kb

^b NIL^{XLJ} and NIL^{BG1} are homozygous genotypes from XLJ and BG1 in the segregating region, respectively.

interval, and had affected the GL and RLW but had little effect on the TGW.

Three NIL populations (N1-N3) derived from the R15, R16, and R21 populations were developed to validate the function of the qTGW7.2a and the qTGW7.2b (**Supplementary Table 2**). Meanwhile, two progeny populations, R26 and R27, derived from two recombinants only containing a qTGW7.2a, were used in this study (**Supplementary Figure 2**). In the N1 population, significant genotypic effects were detected for TGW and GW, which was coincident with R26 and R27 populations. Highly significant genotypic effects were detected for GL, GW, and RLW in the N3 population. There was no significant difference in the N2 population (**Supplementary Table 2**).

As described above, the qGS7.1 was dissected into three independent QTLs. Primarily, the qTGW7.1 was located in a region flanked by RM21772 and RM21858. The XLJ allele increased the TGW by.018g and.265g, and also the GW by.018mm and.031mm (**Figure 1C** and **Table 2**). The qTGW7.2amainly controls TGW through regulating GW with enhancing alleles derived from XLJ. Simultaneously, the qTGW7.2b has affected the GL and GW in opposite ways with no significant effect on the TGW (**Supplementary Figure 3**). For further analysis, the qTGW7.2a was selected for its stable function and considerable effect.

Fine-Mapping *qTGW7.2a* Into a 21.10-kb Region

For further mapping of qTGW7.2a, we constructed a BC₄F₁₁ population consisting of 6,128 individuals. Two recombinants in the RM21871-XP7-23 interval were utilized to develop two progeny populations, R28 and R29. Highly significant phenotypic effects were detected in TGW and GW in the R28 population. The additive effects were 0.213 g and 0.013 mm, having R^2 of 11.29 and 9.70%, respectively. There were no significant differences in the R29 population (**Table 4**). According to the mapping results of the BC₄F₁₂ population, we mapped the qTGW7.2a to the 21.10 kb interval between Chr07MM2954 and XP7-16 (**Figure 1E**).

The qTGW7.2a increased the TGW and GW with the enhancing allele from XLJ as compared to grain size and weight between NIL-qTGW7.2aXLJ and NIL-qTGW7.2aBG1 (Figures 2A-E), which were derived from the R28 population. Additionally, compared with NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$, besides the flag leaf width, the NIL-qTGW7.2aXLJ showed comparable effects in the heading date, plant height, panicle number and length, grain number per panicle, and other agronomic traits (Figures 2F-P). Grain size is restricted by the size of the spikelet hull in rice, which is determined by both cell proliferation and expansion. Therefore, we compared the cell number and the cell size of the outer glume epidermal cells between NIL $qTGW7.2a^{XLJ}$ and NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$ (Figure 3A). There was no significant difference in cell number or cell length between NIL*qTGW7.2a^{XLJ}* and NIL-*qTGW7.2a^{BG1}* (Figures 3B,C). However, the cell width of NIL-qTGW7.2a^{XLJ} was significantly greater than NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$ (Figure 3D). These findings suggest that the grain size increase in NIL-qTGW7.2a^{XLJ} is predominantly due to the cell width expansion.

Candidate Genes of *qTGW7.2a* and *qTGW7.2b*

There are four open reading frames (*ORFs*) located in the region spanning *qTGW7.2a*. The *LOC_Os07g39470* encodes a rice GRAS family protein, CIGR2, which suppresses cell death in rice inoculated with a rice blast *via* activation of a Heat Shock Transcription Factor, OsHsf23 (Tanabe et al., 2016). The *LOC_Os07g39480* encodes WRKY78, a transcriptional factor that is involved in regulating plant height and seed size (Zhang et al., 2011). Lastly, the *LOC_Os07g39490* and *LOC_Os07g39500* are unknown functional proteins (**Supplementary Table 3**).

Sequences of the genome sequence in four genes between the NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{XLJ}$ and NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$ were compared. Mutations were found at 61, 47, 43, and 77 sites of the four genes, respectively (Supplementary Tables 4-7). Two synonymous SNPs were detected in LOC_Os07g39470, indicating that there were no differences between the two alleles. For LOC_Os07g39480, there were four polymorphic sites, three of which were synonymous and one 3-bp deletion in the XLJ allele, resulting in a serine deletion. For LOC_Os07g39490, three SNPs include one synonymous and two non-synonymous were detected between two NILs, resulting in two amino acids substituted; especially, a 2-bp deletion in NIL-qTGW7.2a^{BG1} led to the terminal 62 residues were truncated. Finally, there were 16 SNP variations in LOC_Os07g39500 between two NILs, including twelve non-synonymous mutations and a premature stop codon at T784C in the BG1 allele. However, there were three fragment deletions/insertions in the promoter and one at the 5'-UTR region of LOC_Os07g39470. One fragment deletions/insertion of LOC_Os07g39480 was at its promoter (Supplementary Tables 6, 7).

Subsequently, the expression levels of four candidates in the panicles of NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{XLJ}$ and NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$ were analyzed (**Figure 4**). The expression levels of the $LOC_Os07g39490$ and the $LOC_Os07g39500$ were significantly higher in NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{XLJ}$ than in NIL- $qTGW7.2a^{BG1}$, while there were no significant differences between the two NILs in the $LOC_Os07g39470$ and the $LOC_Os07g39480$.

According to the Gramene Database¹, there are eight candidate genes in the *qTGW7.2b* region (**Supplementary Table 8**). One of them encodes proteins with known functional domains. The *LOC_Os07g39750* encodes acetylcholinesterase relating to the positive regulation of gravitropic response in rice seedlings (Yamamoto et al., 2015). Four of them encode putative functional proteins, including *LOC_Os07g39740*, which encodes a GDSL-like lipase/acyl hydrolase, *LOC_Os07g39780* for a SUMO-activating enzyme subunit 2, *LOC_Os07g39810*, which encodes a protein that belongs to the lipase class 3 family. The remaining annotated genes *LOC_Os07g39760*, *LOC_Os07g39770*, and *LOC_Os07g39790* are for unknown functional proteins.

Sequence comparisons of the eight annotated genes between NIL- $qTGW7.2b^{XLJ}$ and NIL- $qTGW7.2b^{BG1}$ were performed. Thirty-eight variants were found of the $LOC_0s07g39810$

¹https://www.gramene.org/

TABLE 4 | Fine-mapping of qTGW7.2a using two residual heterozygous populations in BC₄F₁₂.

Ac	R ² (%) ^d
-0.012	20.72
0.012	18.97
	-0.012 0.012

^aTGW, thousand grain weight (g); GL, grain length (mm); GW, grain width (mm); RLW, the ratio of grain length to width.

^bNIL^{XLJ} and NIL^{BG1} are homozygous genotypes from XLJ and BG1 in the segregating region, respectively.

^cA, additive effect, measured as the genetic effect when an XLJ allele is replaced by a BG1 allele.

 ${}^{d}R^{2}$, proportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL.



FIGURE 2 | *qTGW7.2a* regulates grain width and weight. (A) Grain phenotypes of rice NIL plants. Bar = 1 cm. (B) Comparison of thousand grain weight.
(C) Comparison of grain length. (D) Comparison of grain width. (E) Comparison of the ratio of grain length to width. (F) Comparison of plant height. (G) Comparison of heading date. (H) Comparison of flag leaf length. (I) Comparison of flag leaf width. (J) Comparison of panicle number. (K) Comparison of panicle length.
(L) Comparison of number of primary branches. (M) Comparison of secondary branches. (N) Comparison of grain number per panicle. (O) Comparison of grain setting rate. (P) Comparison of grain yield per plant. Data are given as mean ± SD. Student's *t*-test was used to generate *P* value; ***P* < 0.01; red dot, the number of plants for traits measurement.

8



generate *P* value; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

(Supplementary Table 9). No variants were detected in the sequences of $LOC_Os07g39760$ and $LOC_Os07g39770$ and in the 2-6 sites of the other five genes (Supplementary Table 10), but few of them occurred in the coding sequence (CDS). For $LOC_Os07g39790$, three mutations were identified, including the C2088G and the T2233C synonymous substitution, as well as a three-nucleotide insertion in NIL- $qTGW7.2b^{XLJ}$. For the other four genes, most SNPs were detected in the promoter and terminator regions.

DISCUSSION

Grain size is jointly regulated by large-effect and small-effect QTLs. Remarkable progress has been achieved by the discovery of large-effect QTLs affecting the yield and quality in recent years. Notably, rarely have small-effect QTLs been cloned in rice (Chan et al., 2020; Du et al., 2021). It is recognized that the complex traits, especially as grain yield and its component traits, were controlled by many genes. However, in recent years, the number of the cloned large-effect QTLs is decreasing. Small-effect QTL does not have the same effect as the large-effect QTL,

but compared with the large-effect QTL, the small-effect QTL usually affects a single trait rather than the multiple agronomic traits or, even, the adverse changes. So, the small-effect QTLs could be selected and be used to fine-tune the function of a large-effect QTL, thereby making the varieties fitter to the market demand. Aggregation of small-effect QTLs with the same genetic direction may even show greater phenotypic changes. Moreover, molecular characterization of these small-effect QTLs, which have a direct and consistent influence on grain yield, would be beneficial to establish a regulatory network for rice grain development. These indicate that if we pay more attention to the small-effect QTLs, it is possible to detect some dominant alleles that have not been selected, and they will have more potential applications in breeding.

In the present study, the qGS7.1 has increased the TGW, GL, and GW by 3.17, 0.27, and 0.86%, respectively, with the enhancing allele derived from XLJ (**Table 1**). Then, the qGS7.1 is dissected into three closely linked QTLs, qTGW7.1, qTGW7.2a, and qTGW7.2b. The latter two small-effect QTLs, regulating grain size and grain shape, were identified and fine-mapped. Grain size and grain size is the main factor affecting the grain

weight, grain shape mainly influences grain preference and may not be related to grain weight (Dong et al., 2018). qTGW7.2awas limited between Chr07MM2954 and XP7-16 with a 21.10 kb interval, affecting grain width and weight. qTGW7.2b, which inversely affects gra in length and width, was mapped into the 52.71 kb region between Chr07MM2985 and RM21891.

Small-effect QTLs were susceptible to environmental and genetic background. However, the effects of a genetic context could be counteracted under a highly homozygous genetic context. All the populations used in this study were derived from a single plant of high generation BC₄F₆ populations with the same background and were cultivated in Fuyang and Lingshui following the chronological order. The qTGW7.2a could be detected in both environments, but the effects on TGW and GW were not stable. The additive effects on TGW and GW increased by XLJ allele were in the range of 0.213 to 0.604 g and 0.013 to 0.034 mm in the two environments, respectively (Tables 3, 4 and Supplementary Table 2). Especially for qTGW7.2b, in R21 and R22 populations, the qTGW7.2b regulates the grain length and has little influence on the grain width and grain weight. Despite this, in the N3 population, the qTGW7.2b was detected affecting the grain length and grain width with opposite allelic directions and had little effect on grain weight (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 2). These results suggested that small-effect QTLs could be steadily detected using the residual heterozygous method, but the effects of QTL could be affected by environmental interaction.

Among QTLs with large effects, GW2, GS5, GW5/GSE5, and GW6 are those which regulate grain weight through controlling grain width (Song et al., 2007; Li et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2015; Duan et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2020). In our study, the qTGW7.2a has increased the grain width and weight but did not influence the other agronomic traits. These suggest that the qTGW7.2a could be used for yield improvement.

For large-effect QTLs, the following have similar effects with qTGW7.2b on grain length and width that regulate grain shape: GL7/GW7, GW8, and GS9 (Wang et al., 2012; Wang S. K. et al., 2015; Wang Y. X. et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2018). In the XLJ allele, the qTGW7.2b increased the grain length but decreased the grain width. This result has little effect on grain weight and, therefore, indicated that the qTGW7.2b could be used to fine-tune the grain size.

Four annotated genes were found in the 21.1 kb interval covering the qTGW7.2a. Firstly, the LOC_Os07g39470 encodes CIGR2 belonging to the rice GRAS family, and members of this family encode transcriptional regulators with functions in a wide range of signaling mechanisms, such as growth and development, hormone signaling, and plant defense (Tanabe et al., 2016). However, there were only two synonymous SNPs between the CIGR2 alleles. Secondly, the LOC_Os07g39480 encodes a transcriptional factor, the WRKY78, which was involved in regulating the plant height and seed size. Knocking down of the WRKY78 led to a semi-dwarf and small seed phenotype by reducing the cell length (Zhang et al., 2011). However, in addition to the three SNPs showing synonymous mutation, there was just one serine deletion in the CDS of NIL-qTGW7.2a^{XLJ}. The expression level of the WRKY78 was comparable between the two NILs. Combined with the fragment deletions/insertion of the LOC_Os07g39470 and the LOC_Os07g39480, these results may be due to how the deletions/insertion at the promoter region were not the cis-acting element and does not affect their mRNA expression level. Previous studies showed that the coding region introduced a premature stop codon resulting in premature termination of translation could influence grain size, such as GW2, GS3, qLGY3/GW3p6, WTG1, OsMAPK6, TGW6, and GL6 (Fan et al., 2006; Song et al., 2007; Ishimaru et al., 2013; Liu S. Y. et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; Wang A. H. et al., 2019; Wang C. S. et al., 2019). In our study, the LOC_Os07g39490 and the LOC_Os07g39500 encode hypothetical proteins. In its coding region, a non-synonymous mutation existed as a premature stop codon and prevents the transcription of a mature protein in NIL-qTGW7.2a^{BG1}. Therefore, more studies in the gene editing, such as CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis and gene overexpression, need to be done to confirm the gene for the qTGW7.2a.

The 52.71 kb region surrounding the qTGW7.2b contained eight annotated genes. For two annotated genes, LOC_Os07g39760 and *LOC_Os07g39770*, no variants between NIL-*qTGW7.2b^{XLJ}* and NIL-*qTGW7.2b^{BG1}* were detected. For four other annotated genes, LOC_Os07g39740, LOC_Os07g39750, LOC_Os07g39780, and LOC_Os07g39800, only a few SNPs were detected at the beginning of promoter regions and the terminator regions, and no variants were detected in the coding domain sequence. For the LOC_Os07g39780, there were two synonymous mutations and a Ser insertion detected in the NIL-qTGW7.2bXLJ. For the remaining annotated gene, the LOC_Os07g39810, which encodes an expression protein, three long fragment insertions in the promoter region, and an insertion of nine nucleotides or three amino acids were detected in the NIL-qTGW7.2bXLJ. Work is underway to test whether LOC_Os07g39780 or LOC_Os07g39810 is the gene underlying the QTL *qTGW7.2b* for grain shape.

CONCLUSION

Two small-effect QTLs for grain size and grain shape, qTGW7.2a and qTGW7.2b, were fine-mapped in this study. qTGW7.2a was limited to a 21.10 kb region containing four genes. This QTL regulates grain width and weight, which has potential for yield improvement. The qTGW7.2b, which inversely regulates grain length and width, was within a 52.71 kb interval. This QTL has potential for fine-tuning the grain shape and the grain appearance. These results provide a basis for QTL cloning and offer new resources for the yield and quality improvement.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PX performed most of the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. Y-YC contributed to sequencing and constructed the populations. X-XW, B-FW, and Q-QY analyzed

the data collection the phenotypes and revised the manuscript. KG and Y-WK conducted the field trials. L-PS, PY, L-YC, Y-XZ, X-DZ, and S-HC designed the experiments, supervised and completed the writing, and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by Projects of International Cooperation NSFC (31961143016), Science and Technology

REFERENCES

- Chan, A. N., Wang, L. L., Zhu, Y. J., Fan, Y. Y., Zhuang, J. Y., and Zhang, Z. H. (2020). Identification through fine mapping and verification using CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis for a minor QTL controlling grain weight in rice. *Theoret. Appl. Genet.* 1, 327–337. doi: 10.1007/s00122-020-03699-6
- Dong, N. Q., Sun, Y., Guo, T., Shi, C. L., Zhang, Y. M., Kan, Y., et al. (2020). UDPglucosyltransferase regulates grain size and abiotic stress tolerance associated with metabolic flux redirection in rice. *Nat. Commun.* 11:2629. doi: 10.1038/ s41467-020-16403-5
- Dong, Q., Zhang, Z. H., Wang, L. L., Zhu, Y. J., Fan, Y. Y., Mou, T. M., et al. (2018). Dissection and fine-mapping of two QTL for grain size linked in a 460-kb region on chromosome 1 of rice. *Rice* 11:44. doi: 10.1186/s12284-018-0236-z
- Du, J. H., Fan, Y. Y., Wang, L., and Zhuang, J. Y. (2008). Dissection of QTLs for yield traits by using near isogenic lines derived from residual heterozygous lines in rice. *Chin. J. Rice Sci.* 1, 1–7.
- Du, Z. X., Huang, Z., Li, J. B., Bao, J. Z., Tu, H., Zeng, C. H., et al. (2021). QTGW12a, a naturally varying QTL, regulates grain weight in rice. *Theoret. Appl. Genet.* 134, 2767–2776. doi: 10.1007/s00122-021-03857-4
- Duan, P. G., Rao, Y. C., Zeng, D. L., Yang, Y. L., Xu, R., Zhang, B. L., et al. (2014). SMALL GRAIN 1, which encodes a mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4, influences grain size in rice. *Plant J.* 77, 547–557. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12405
- Duan, P. G., Xu, J. S., Zeng, D. L., Zhang, B. L., Geng, M. F., Zhang, G. Z., et al. (2017). Natural variation in the promoter of *GSE5* contributes to grain size diversity in rice. *Mol. Plant* 10, 685–694. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2017.03.009
- Fan, C. C., Xing, Y. Z., Mao, H. L., Lu, T. T., Han, B., Xu, C. G., et al. (2006). GS3, a major QTL for grain length and weight and minor QTL for grain width and thickness in rice, encodes a putative transmembrane protein. *Theoret. Appl. Genet.* 112, 1164–1171. doi: 10.1007/s00122-006-0218-1
- Fan, Y. W., and Li, Y. B. (2019). Molecular, cellular and Yin-Yang regulation of grain size and number in rice. *Mol. Breed.* 39, 1–25. doi: 10.1007/s11032-019-1078-0
- Guo, T., Chen, K., Dong, N. Q., Shi, C. L., Ye, W. W., Gao, J. P., et al. (2018). GRAIN SIZE and NUMBER1 negatively regulates the OsMKKK10-OsMKK4-OsMPK6 cascade to coordinate the trade-off between grain number per panicle and grain size in rice. Plant Cell 30, 871–888. doi: 10.1105/tpc.17.0 0959
- Guo, T., Lu, Z. Q., Shan, J. X., Ye, W. W., Dong, N. Q., and Lin, H. X. (2020). *ERECTA1* acts upstream of the OsMKKK10-OsMKK4-OsMPK6 cascade to control spikelet number by regulating cytokinin metabolism in rice. *Plant Cell* 32, 2763–2779. doi: 10.1105/tpc.20.00351
- Hu, J., Wang, Y. X., Fang, Y. X., Zeng, L. J., Xu, J., Yu, H. P., et al. (2015). A rare allele of GS2 enhances grain size and grain yield in rice. *Mol. Plant* 8, 1455–1465. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2015.07.002
- Hu, Z. J., Lu, S. J., Wang, M. J., He, H. H., Sun, L., Wang, H. R., et al. (2018). A novel QTL qTGW3 encodes the GSK3/SHAGGY-Like Kinase OsGSK5/OsSK41 that interacts with OsARF4 to negatively regulate grain size and weight in rice. *Mol. Plant* 11, 736–749. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2018.03.005
- Huang, K., Wang, D. K., Duan, P. G., Zhang, B. L., Xu, R., Li, N., et al. (2017). WIDE and THICK GRAIN 1, which encodes an otubain-like protease with deubiquitination activity, influences grain size and shape in rice. *Plant J.* 91, 849–860. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13613

Innovation Project of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS-ASTIP-2013-CNRRI), and Fundamental Research Funds for Central Public Welfare Research Institutes of Chinese Rice Research Institute (CPSIBRF-CNRRI-202102).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 804444/full#supplementary-material

- Huang, R. Y., Jiang, L. R., Zheng, J. S., Wang, T. S., Wang, H. C., Huang, Y. M., et al. (2013). Genetic bases of rice grain shape: So many genes, so little known. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 218–226. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.11.001
- Huang, X. Z., Qian, Q., Liu, Z. B., Sun, H. Y., He, S. Y., Luo, D., et al. (2009). Natural variation at the *DEP1* locus enhances grain yield in rice. *Nat. Genet.* 41, 494–497. doi: 10.1038/ng.352
- Ishimaru, K., Hirotsu, N., Madoka, Y., Murakami, N., Hara, N., Onodera, H., et al. (2013). Loss of function of the IAA-glucose hydrolase gene *TGW6* enhances rice grain weight and increases yield. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 707–711. doi: 10.1038/ng.2612
- Kinoshita, N., Kato, M., Koyasaki, K., Kawashima, T., Nishimura, T., Hirayama, Y., et al. (2017). Identification of quantitative trait loci for rice grain quality and yield-related traits in two closely related *Oryza sativa L*. Subsp. *japonica* cultivars grown near the northernmost limit for rice paddy cultivation. *Breed. Sci.* 67, 191–206. doi: 10.1270/jsbbs.16155
- Li, J., Chu, H. W., Zhang, Y. H., Mou, T. M., Wu, C. Y., Zhang, Q. F., et al. (2012). The rice *HGW* gene encodes a ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domain protein that regulates heading date and grain weight. *PLoS One* 7:e34231. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034231
- Li, N., and Li, Y. H. (2016). Signaling pathways of seed size control in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 33, 23–32. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016.05.008
- Li, Q. P., Lu, L., Liu, H. Y., Bai, X. F., Zhou, X., Wu, B., et al. (2020). A minor QTL, SG3, encoding an R2R3-MYB protein, negatively controls grain length in rice. *Theoret. Appl. Genet.* 133, 2387–2399. doi: 10.1007/s00122-020-03606-z
- Li, Y. B., Fan, C. C., Xing, Y. Z., Jiang, Y. H., Luo, L. J., Sun, L., et al. (2011). Natural variation in GS5 plays an important role in regulating grain size and yield in rice. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 1266–1269. doi: 10.1038/ng.977
- Liu, J. F., Chen, J., Zheng, X. M., Wu, F. Q., Lin, Q. B., Heng, Y. Q., et al. (2017). GW5 acts in the brassinosteroid signalling pathway to regulate grain width and weight in rice. Nat. Plants 3:17043. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.43
- Liu, L. C., Tong, H. N., Xiao, Y. H., Che, R. H., Xu, F., Hu, B., et al. (2015). Activation of *Big Grain1* significantly improves grain size by regulating auxin transport in rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 112, 11102–11107. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1512748112
- Liu, Q., Han, R. X., Wu, K., Zhang, J. Q., Ye, Y. F., Wang, S. S., et al. (2018). G-protein βγ subunits determine grain size through interaction with MADSdomain transcription factors in rice. *Nat. Commun.* 9:852. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03047-9
- Liu, S. Y., Hua, L., Dong, S. J., Chen, H. Q., Zhu, X. D., Jiang, J. E., et al. (2015). OsMAPK6, a mitogen-activated protein kinase, influences rice grain size and biomass production. *Plant J.* 84, 672–681. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13025
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Lo, S. F., Cheng, M. L., Hsing, Y. I. C., Chen, Y. S., Lee, K. W., Hong, Y. F., et al. (2020). *Rice Big Grain 1* promotes cell division to enhance organ development, stress tolerance and grain yield. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 18, 1969–1983. doi: 10.1111/ pbi.13357
- Mackay, T. F. C., Stone, E. A., and Ayroles, J. F. (2009). The genetics of quantitative traits: Challenges and prospects. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 10, 565–577. doi: 10.1038/ nrg2612
- Murray, M. G., and Thompson, W. F. (1980). Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 4321–4325.

- Qi, P., Lin, Y. S., Song, X. J., Shen, J. B., Huang, W., Shan, J. X., et al. (2012). The novel quantitative trait locus *GL3.1* controls rice grain size and yield by regulating Cyclin-T1;3. *Cell Res.* 22, 1666–1680.
- Ruan, B. P., Shang, L. U., Zhang, B., Hu, J., Wang, Y. X., Lin, H., et al. (2020). Natural variation in the promoter of *TGW2* determines grain width and weight in rice. *New Phytol.* 227, 629–640. doi: 10.1111/nph.16540
- Shi, C. L., Dong, N. Q., Guo, T., Ye, W. W., Shan, J. X., and Lin, H. X. (2020). A quantitative trait locus GW6 controls rice grain size and yield through the gibberellin pathway. *Plant J.* 103, 1174–1188. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14793
- Shi, C. L., Ren, Y. L., Liu, L. L., Wang, F., Zhang, H., Tian, P., et al. (2019). Ubiquitin specific protease 15 has an important role in regulating grain width and size in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 180, 381–391. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00065
- Si, L. Z., Chen, J. Y., Huang, X. H., Gong, H., Luo, J. H., Hou, Q. Q., et al. (2016). OsSPL13 controls grain size in cultivated rice. Nat. Genet. 48, 447–456. doi: 10.1038/ng.3518
- Song, X. J., Huang, W., Shi, M., Zhu, M. Z., and Lin, H. X. (2007). A QTL for rice grain width and weight encodes a previously unknown RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase. *Nat. Genet.* 39, 623–630. doi: 10.1038/ng2014
- Song, X. J., Kuroha, T., Ayano, M., Furuta, T., Nagai, K., Komeda, N., et al. (2015). Rare allele of a previously unidentified histone H4 acetyltransferase enhances grain weight, yield, and plant biomass in rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 112, 76–81. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1421127112
- Tanabe, S., Onodera, H., Hara, N., Ishii-Minami, N., Day, B., Fujisawa, Y., et al. (2016). The elicitor-responsive gene for a GRAS family protein, *CIGR2*, suppresses cell death in rice inoculated with rice blast fungus via activation of a heat shock transcription factor, *OsHsf23. Biosci. Biotech. Bioch.* 80, 145–151. doi: 10.1080/09168451.2015.1075866
- Wang, A. H., Hou, Q. Q., Si, L. Z., Huang, X. H., Luo, J. H., Lu, D. F., et al. (2019). The PLATZ transcription factor *GL6* affects grain length and number in Rice. *Plant Physiol.* 180, 2077–2090. doi: 10.1104/pp.18.01574
- Wang, C. S., Tang, S. C., Zhan, Q. L., Hou, Q. Q., Zhao, Y., Zhao, Q., et al. (2019). Dissecting a heterotic gene through GradedPool-Seq mapping informs a rice-improvement strategy. *Nat. Commun.* 10:2982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-11017-y
- Wang, S. K., Li, S., Liu, Q., Wu, K., Zhang, J. Q., Wang, S. S., et al. (2015). The OsSPL16-GW7 regulatory module determines grain shape and simultaneously improves rice yield and grain quality. Nat. Genet. 47, 949–954. doi: 10.1038/ng. 3352
- Wang, S. K., Wu, K., Yuan, Q. B., Liu, X. Y., Liu, Z. B., Lin, X. Y., et al. (2012). Control of grain size, shape and quality by *OsSPL16* in rice. *Nat. Genet.* 44, 950–954. doi: 10.1038/ng.2327
- Wang, W. H., Wang, L. L., Zhu, Y. J., Fan, Y. Y., and Zhuang, J. Y. (2019). Finemapping of *qTGW1.2a*, a quantitative trait Locus for 1000-grain weight in rice. *Rice Sci.* 4, 220–228.
- Wang, Y. X., Xiong, G. S., Hu, J., Jiang, L., Yu, H., Xu, J., et al. (2015). Copy number variation at the *GL7* locus contributes to grain size diversity in rice. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 944–948. doi: 10.1038/ng.3346
- Wu, W. G., Liu, X. Y., Wang, M. H., Meyer, R. S., Luo, X. J., Ndjiondjop, M., et al. (2017). A single-nucleotide polymorphism causes smaller grain size and loss of seed shattering during African rice domestication. *Nat. Plants* 3:17064. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.64
- Wu, W. X., Zheng, X. M., Lu, G. W., Zhong, Z. Z., Gao, H., Chen, L. P., et al. (2013). Association of functional nucleotide polymorphisms at *DTH2* with the northward expansion of rice cultivation in Asia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 8, 2775–2780. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1213962110
- Wu, Y., Wang, Y., Mi, X. F., Shan, J. X., Li, X. M., Xu, J. L., et al. (2016). The QTL GNP1 encodes GA200x1, which increases grain number and yield by increasing cytokinin activity in rice panicle meristems. PLoS Genet. 12:e1006386. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006386
- Xia, D., Zhou, H., Liu, R. J., Dan, W. H., Li, P. B., Wu, B., et al. (2018). *GL3.3*, a novel QTL encoding a GSK3/SHAGGY-like Kinase, epistatically interacts

with GS3 to produce extra-long grains in Rice. Mol. Plant 11, 754–756. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2018.03.006

- Xiong, H. Y., Yu, J. P., Miao, J. L., Li, J. J., Zhang, H. L., Wang, X., et al. (2018). Natural variation in OsLG3 increases drought tolerance in Rice by inducing ROS scavenging. *Plant Physiol.* 178, 451–467. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.01492
- Xu, C. J., Liu, Y., Li, Y. B., Xu, X. D., Xu, C. G., Li, X. H., et al. (2015). Differential expression of GS5 regulates grain size in rice. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 2611–2623. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv058
- Xue, P., Zhang, Y. X., Lou, X. Y., Zhu, A. K., Chen, Y. Y., Sun, B., et al. (2019). Mapping and genetic validation of a grain size QTL qGS7.1 in rice (Oryza sativa L.). J. Integrat. Agricult. 8, 1838–1850. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(18)62113-6
- Yamamoto, K., Shida, S., Honda, Y., Shono, M., Miyake, H., Oguri, S., et al. (2015). Overexpression of acetylcholinesterase gene in rice results in enhancement of shoot gravitropism. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 465, 488–493. doi: 10. 1016/j.bbrc.2015.08.044
- Ying, J. Z., Ma, M., Bai, C., Huang, X. H., Liu, J. L., Fan, Y. Y., et al. (2018). TGW3, a major QTL that negatively modulates grain length and weight in rice. Mol. Plant 11, 750–753. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2018.03.007
- Yu, J. P., Miao, J. L., Zhang, Z. Y., Xiong, H. Y., Zhu, X. Y., Sun, X. M., et al. (2018). Alternative splicing of OsLG3b controls grain length and yield in *japonica* rice. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 16, 1667–1678. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12903
- Yu, J. P., Xiong, H. Y., Zhu, X. Y., Zhang, H. L., Li, H. H., Miao, J. L., et al. (2017). OsLG3 contributing to rice grain length and yield was mined by Ho-LAMap. BMC Biol. 15:28. doi: 10.1186/s12915-017-0365-7
- Zhang, C. Q., Xu, Y., Lu, Y., Yu, H. X., Gu, M. H., and Liu, Q. Q. (2011). The WRKY transcription factor OsWRKY78 regulates stem elongation and seed development in rice. *Planta* 234, 541–554. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1423-y
- Zhang, H., Zhu, Y. J., Zhu, A. D., Fan, Y. Y., Huang, T. X., Zhang, J. F., et al. (2020). Fine-mapping of *qTGW2*, a quantitative trait locus for grain weight in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *PeerJ* 8:e8679. doi: 10.7717/peerj.8679
- Zhang, Y., Xiong, Y., Liu, R. Y., Xue, H. W., and Yang, Z. B. (2019). The Rho-family GTPase OsRac1 controls rice grain size and yield by regulating cell division. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 116, 16121–16126. doi: 10.1073/pnas.190232 1116
- Zhao, D. S., Li, Q. F., Zhang, C. Q., Zhang, C., Yang, Q. Q., Pan, L. X., et al. (2018). GS9 acts as a transcriptional activator to regulate rice grain shape and appearance quality. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1240. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03616-y
- Zhu, Y. J., Zhang, Z. H., Chen, J. Y., Fan, Y. Y., Mou, T. M., Tang, S. Q., et al. (2019).
 Fine mapping of *qTGW10-20.8*, a QTL having important contribution to grain weight variation in rice. *Crop J.* 7, 587–597. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2019.01.006
- Zuo, J. R., and Li, J. Y. (2014). Molecular genetic dissection of quantitative trait loci regulating rice grain size. Annu. Rev. Genet. 48, 99–118. doi: 10.1146/annurevgenet-120213-092138

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Xue, Chen, Wen, Wang, Yang, Gong, Kang, Sun, Yu, Cao, Zhang, Zhan and Cheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.