



# S1-bZIP Transcription Factors Play Important Roles in the Regulation of Fruit Quality and Stress Response

Hong Wang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Yunting Zhang<sup>2,3†</sup>, Ayla Norris<sup>4</sup> and Cai-Zhong Jiang<sup>2,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Horticultural Crop Genetic Improvement, Institute of Pomology, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of California at Davis, Davis, CA, United States, <sup>3</sup> College of Horticulture, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, China, <sup>4</sup> Crops Pathology and Genetics Research Unit, United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Davis, CA, United States

Sugar metabolism not only determines fruit sweetness and quality but also acts as signaling molecules to substantially connect with other primary metabolic processes and, therefore, modulates plant growth and development, fruit ripening, and stress response. The basic region/leucine zipper motif (bZIP) transcription factor family is ubiquitous in eukaryotes and plays a diverse array of biological functions in plants. Among the bZIP family members, the smallest bZIP subgroup, S1-bZIP, is a unique one, due to the conserved upstream open reading frames (uORFs) in the 5' leader region of their mRNA. The translated small peptides from these uORFs are suggested to mediate Sucrose-Induced Repression of Translation (SIRT), an important mechanism to maintain sucrose homeostasis in plants. Here, we review recent research on the evolution, sequence features, and biological functions of this bZIP subgroup. S1bZIPs play important roles in fruit quality, abiotic and biotic stress responses, plant growth and development, and other metabolite biosynthesis by acting as signaling hubs through dimerization with the subgroup C-bZIPs and other cofactors like SnRK1 to coordinate the expression of downstream genes. Direction for further research and genetic engineering of S1-bZIPs in plants is suggested for the improvement of quality and safety traits of fruit.

Keywords: uORF, amino acid metabolism, sugar metabolism, biotic and abiotic stress, plant growth and development

# INTRODUCTION

Plants have developed diverse mechanisms to regulate their biological and metabolic processes via transcription factor (TF) regulatory networks (Riechmann et al., 2000). Among the TF families, the basic leucine zipper (bZIP) family is present in all eukaryotes and is one of the largest and most diverse TF groups in higher plants. There are about four times more bZIP genes in the *Arabidopsis* genome than in the genomes of other model organisms such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Caenorhabditis elegans*, and *Drosophila melanogaster* (Riechmann et al., 2000). Large numbers of bZIP TF family members have been found in many plant species including rice (Nijhawan et al., 2008), maize (Wei et al., 2012), tomato (Li D. et al., 2015), common wheat (Li X. et al., 2015), sorghum (Wang et al., 2011), soybean (Liao et al., 2008), banana (Hu et al., 2016a), cassava (Hu et al., 2016b), grape (Liu J. et al., 2014), peach (Wang et al., 2015), strawberry (Wang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2022), apple (Wang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016), rapeseed (Zhou et al., 2017), radish (Fan et al., 2019), cucumber (Baloglu et al., 2014), tea plant (Xue et al., 2018), sweet potato

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Pei Xu, China Jiliang University, China

#### Reviewed by:

Chong Cai, China Jiliang University, China Rakesh Srivastava, National Botanical Research Institute (CSIR), India

#### \*Correspondence:

Cai-Zhong Jiang cjiang@ucdavis.edu; caizhong.jiang@usda.gov

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Crop and Product Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 27 October 2021 Accepted: 20 December 2021 Published: 14 January 2022

#### Citation:

Wang H, Zhang Y, Norris A and Jiang C-Z (2022) S1-bZIP Transcription Factors Play Important Roles in the Regulation of Fruit Quality and Stress Response. Front. Plant Sci. 12:802802. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.802802

1

(Yang Y. et al., 2019), watermelon/melon (Unel et al., 2019), Chinese jujube (Zhang et al., 2020a), pepper (Gai et al., 2020), Chinese pear (Manzoor et al., 2021), poplar (Zhao et al., 2021), quinoa (Li et al., 2020) and plum (Li et al., 2021).

The bZIP family is phylogenetically categorized into different groups, with different species having various members of homologs. For example, the Arabidopsis AtbZIP family members were systematically classified into 10 groups (A-I and S) based on conserved motifs (Jakoby et al., 2002). Subsequently, a more complete classification was expanded into 13 groups, designated as A-J, M, and S (Corrêa et al., 2008). The tomato SlbZIPs were classified as nine clades (Li D. et al., 2015). The cucumber CsbZIPs and sorghum SbbZIPs were separately categorized into six and seven groups (Wang et al., 2011; Baloglu et al., 2014). The bZIP family in both rice and maize has 11 groups which are the same as castor bean (Nijhawan et al., 2008; Wei et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2014). The plum PmbZIP proteins were divided into 12 groups (Li et al., 2021). Chinese pear PbbZIPs were categorized into 13 groups (Manzoor et al., 2021). Several interspecies clustering studies indicate that the S group found in Arabidopsis has especially high homology across different species (Li D. et al., 2015; Li et al., 2020; Manzoor et al., 2021), although some clades might be specific to Arabidopsis compared to peach, strawberry, and apple (Wang et al., 2015).

These classifications, phylogeny, and homology analyses define the possible biological roles of bZIPs in green plant evolution (Corrêa et al., 2008). Basic leucine zipper TFs orchestrate a diverse array of functions in multiple biological processes including flower development (Chuang et al., 1999; Walsh and Freeling, 1999; Strathmann et al., 2001; Abe et al., 2005; Wigge et al., 2005; Muszynski et al., 2006; Romera-Branchat et al., 2020) and pollen development (Gibalová et al., 2009; Iven et al., 2010), seed maturation (Izawa et al., 1994; Toh et al., 2012; Zinsmeister et al., 2016; Jain et al., 2018), senescence (Smykowski et al., 2015), light signaling (Chen et al., 2013; Abbas et al., 2014; Xu, 2020), anthocyanin and chlorophyll biosynthesis (An et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020), nutrient signaling (Dröge-Laser and Weiste, 2018; Pedrotti et al., 2018; Yang Z. et al., 2019), hormone signaling such as salicylic acid, ABA, ethylene, auxin, and cytokinin (Singh et al., 2002; Li et al., 2011; Weiste and Dröge-Laser, 2014; Zong et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018; Lv et al., 2019; Srivastava et al., 2019), sugar signaling (Kang et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2011; Thalor et al., 2012; Sagor et al., 2016), and abiotic/biotic stress signaling (Tsugama et al., 2012, 2016; Alves et al., 2013; Zong et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019; Yang J. et al., 2019; Carianopol et al., 2020) in plants.

Group S is the largest bZIP subgroup in several species such as *Arabidopsis* (Jakoby et al., 2002) and safflower (Li et al., 2020) and comprises three to four even smaller subgroups. In this review, we focus on the well-studied S1-bZIP subgroup, whose members contain unique conserved upstream open reading frames (uORFs) in the 5' region of their transcripts and play important regulatory roles in many metabolic processes relating to fruit quality and stress responses. Our review aims to provide perspectives for further surveying the biological function, exploring regulatory mechanisms, and genome engineering the S1-bZIPs to obtain desirable traits for quality improvement in horticultural plants.

## CLASSIFICATION AND STRUCTURE OF S1-bZIPs

Of the AtbZIPs, the 17 members of the S group are further separated into three subgroups based on homology: S1, S2, and S3 (Ehlert et al., 2006). The S1 subgroup (S1-bZIP) in Arabidopsis contains five members: AtbZIP1, -2, -11, -44, and -53. Recent studies indicate that other species, including many horticultural plants, also have multiple members of the S1bZIP subgroup (Figure 1A and Supplementary Table 1). Like other bZIP members, those in the S1 subgroup are characterized by a conserved bZIP domain, composed of two functionally distinct motifs (a basic region and a leucine zipper) located on a contiguous  $\alpha$ -helix. The basic region of -18 amino acids contains, sequentially, a nuclear localization signal and an invariant N-x7-R/K-x9 motif for DNA binding. This motif preferentially binds to the A-box, C-box, and G-box of target promoters which contain DNA sequences with an ACGT core (Jakoby et al., 2002; Dröge-Laser et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021). The leucine zipper comprises a heptad repeat of leucines or other numerous hydrophobic amino acids (L-x<sub>6</sub>-L-x<sub>6</sub>-L) (Figure 1B). Compared to other groups, members of the S group include the extraordinarily high number of eight hydrophobic amino acid repeats (Ehlert et al., 2006; Dröge-Laser et al., 2018) (Figure 1B). The two subunits form a zipper structure that binds DNA to form dimers through interactions with the hydrophobic sides of the helices (Jakoby et al., 2002). Of three S subgroups, only members of the S1 subgroup show specific heterodimerization with C group bZIP proteins (C-bZIPs), whereas weak homodimerization within members of the S1 subgroup is detected (Ehlert et al., 2006; Peviani et al., 2016). Phylogenetic analysis between S1 and C group bZIPs from angiosperms, gymnosperms, mosses, and algae suggests that the S1 and C groups evolved from a proto-S/C bZIP in algae species that homodimerized, which has since diverged into heterodimerizing pairs prior to the evolution of seeds plants (Peviani et al., 2016).

## UNIQUE UPSTREAM OPEN READING FRAME STRUCTURE AND TRANSLATIONAL REGULATION MECHANISM OF S1-bZIPs

Besides their common structural features, S1-bZIPs are unique in that they have an unusually long 5'-leader sequence in the upstream region of the main open reading frame (mORF) of the mRNA. This leader sequence contains several upstream open reading frames (uORFs) that encode small peptides (Dröge-Laser et al., 2018). Among those, the second uORF is conserved and encodes a Sucrose Control peptide (SC-peptide) of 28 residues, which regulates the translation of the mORF and reduces protein expression through a mechanism known as Sucrose-Induced Repression of Translation (SIRT), which contributes to sucrose





homeostasis in the cells (Wiese et al., 2004; Rahmani et al., 2009). Here, we summarize uORFs of the S1-bZIP subgroup from different horticultural plants, including banana (Hu et al.,

2016a), grape (Liu J. et al., 2014), apple (Wang et al., 2015), peach (Wang et al., 2015), cucumber (Baloglu et al., 2014), strawberry (Baloglu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2022), petunia



FIGURE 3 | Multiple levels of regulation and biological function of S1-bZIPs. (A) Translation regulation of S1-bZIPs by SIRT. SIRT: Sucrose-induced repression of translation. (B) Biological function and target genes regulated by S1-bZIP. The regulated target genes by S1-bZIP (white) or heterodimers of S1-bZIPs and C-bZIPs (gray). Gene names: *ASN1, ASPARAGINE SYNTHETASE 1; ProDH, Proline Dehydrogenase; TRE1, Trehalase 1; TPP5/-6, trehalose-phosphate phosphatase 5/-6; SPP, sucrose-phosphatase; SPS1/-2, sucrose-phosphate synthase 1/-2; HXK1, hexokinase 1; DAHPS, 3-Deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase; SK1, shikimate kinase 1; EPSPS, 5-enolpyruv/shikimate 3-phosphate synthase; CS, chorismate synthase; BCAT2, BRANCHED-CHAIN AMINO ACID TRANSAMINASE2; TAT7, TYROSINE AMINOTRANSFERA- SE7; IPT5b, Isopentenyltransferase 5b; NHX1, Na+/H+exchanger 1; COR413-TM1, cold acclimation protein; CBF1/-3, C-repeat/DRE binding factor 1/-3; ADA2b: transcriptional adapter ADA2b; IAA4-1/4-2/-3, INDOL-3-ACETIC ACID INDUCIBLE 4-1/4-2/-3; SHY2, SHORT HYPOCOTYL 2; GH3.3, Indole-3-acetic acid-amido synthetase; NIN88, Defective invertase; 2S2, SEED STORAGE ALBUMIN; CRU3, CRUCIFERIN 3; MAN7, endo-beta-mannase 7; P, phosphorylation.* 

(Sun et al., 2017), and white pear (Wu et al., 2013) (**Figure 2** and **Supplementary Table 1**).

The regulation of gene expression involves different layers, including transcriptional and translational controls (van der Horst et al., 2020). Compared with transcriptional regulation, translational control allows more immediate responses to adjust protein expression and reprogram metabolism upon cellular signals or environmental stimuli (Jorgensen and Dorantes-Acosta, 2012; Chen et al., 2020). The translation process of mRNA includes four major steps: initiation, elongation, termination, and ribosome re-initiation (van der Horst et al., 2020). Translation initiation is the major step that determines the rate of protein biosynthesis and is regulated by multiple mechanisms (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009; Jackson et al., 2010; Hinnebusch et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019; van der Horst et al., 2020). uORFs have been suggested to play a critical role in regulating the translation of the mORF (Morris and Geballe, 2000; Kochetov, 2008; Ruiz-Orera and Albà, 2019). uORFs of S1-bZIPs are involved in the translational regulation in a SIRT manner (Jorgensen and Dorantes-Acosta, 2012; von Arnim et al., 2014). The SC-peptide encoded by the uORF in the 5'leader region of AtbZIP11 is capable of repressing translation of the subsequent mORF in the presence of sucrose (Rahmani et al., 2009). High sucrose levels enhance ribosome stalling on the uORF, which results in poor translation of the mORF (Rook et al., 1998; Hummel et al., 2009; Peviani et al., 2016; Merchante et al., 2017; van der Horst et al., 2020) (Figure 3A). The members of the Arabidopsis S1-bZIP subfamily show similar responses to sucrose. Translation of AtbZIP1, AtbZIP2, AtbZIP11, AtbZIP44 and AtbZIP53 is downregulated by sucrose (Rook et al., 1998; Price et al., 2004; Kang et al., 2010). Transgenic seedlings with 35S:bZIP11 5' leader::LUC show significantly reduced luciferase activities when treated with sucrose while those incubated in media lacking sucrose show two- to three-fold higher luciferase activities (Rahmani et al., 2009). SIRT-mediating S1-bZIP orthologs exist in all seed plants (Peviani et al., 2016).

Previous research showed that amino acids such as serine, leucine, and tyrosine in the conserved peptide of uORF are essential for SIRT (Rahmani et al., 2009). However, it has been shown that expressing the gymnosperm 5'uORF sequence, which only contains the conserved leucine and tyrosine in Arabidopsis cells efficiently mediates the translational repression of the LUC reporter gene in response to sucrose (Peviani et al., 2016). This study suggests that the SIRT mechanism most likely depends on structural conformation, but not on recognition of specific sequence motifs (Peviani et al., 2016). Recently, interesting research conducted using gene-editing technology in strawberry demonstrated that uORFs are involved in regulating protein translation efficiency and sucrose content (Xing et al., 2020) (Figure 3A). In the study, to manipulate the SC-uORF of FvebZIPs1.1, the start codons of the uORF and the codons encoding a conserved pair of amino acid arginine within the SCpeptide were edited using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Mutations in the start codons and the conserved C-terminal region of the SC-peptide significantly reduced translation of the SC-uORF. This consequently enhanced the translation efficiency of the downstream mORF. Seven novel alleles with C-to-T substitutions and small deletions within the uORF were identified. To test if phenotypic effects were additive in heterozygous and biallelic plants, 4000 T1 seedlings were generated by crossing the biallelic and homozygous T0 mutants to each other and to wild type. 35 novel genotypes were obtained in T1 and inherited in T2 generation. In comparison with wild-type fruits, the mutants had significantly higher levels of fructose, glucose, and total sugar contents, demonstrating that engineering the conserved SCuORF of FvebZIPs1.1 can increase the sugar content in strawberry (Xing et al., 2020). In addition, the citric acid content was slightly lower in the homozygous mutants than that in wild type. A continuum of gradual increase of sugar contents was generated in T1 by combining heterozygous, homozygous, and biallelic mutants, and inherited in T2 generation by propagating stolons of these T1 mutants, therefore confirming the transmissibility of novel genotypes and phenotypes from T1 to T2 by asexual propagation (Xing et al., 2020). Given that sugars can modulate multiple growth and development processes, the agricultural traits including leaf shapes, leaf areas, plant height, growth rates, pollination, fruit size and fruit weight were further evaluated in FvebZIPs1.1 uORF mutants. Remarkably, editing SC-uORF does not severely impair plant growth. The agricultural traits in FvebZIPs1.1 uORF mutants were similar to wild-type (Xing et al., 2020), whereas impaired phenotypes and retarded growth are observed in transgenic lines with the overexpression of AtbZIP11, tbz17, and FvbZIP11 mORF (Ma et al., 2011; Thalor et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2022). Taken together, this suggests a broad application of editing uORFs of S1-bZIPs for quality improvement in horticultural plants.

## S1-bZIPs AFFECT AMINO ACID METABOLISM

Amino acids are not only involved in plant response to stress but also influence fruit flavor (Keutgen and Pawelzik,

2008). For example, asparagine is present in almost all fruits and determines fruit flavor and quality in a concentrationdependent manner (Aisala et al., 2020). Glutamate is responsible for "umami" or savory taste (Lindemann, 2001). Glycine, alanine, serine, threonine, proline, glutamine, and lysine are highly correlated with sweetness (Sagor et al., 2016), while phenylalanine and tyrosine are bitter (Belitz et al., 2001). The molecular taste receptor, found in humans and rodents, responds to asparagine and aspartic acid (Nelson et al., 2002). Asparagine is considered to serve as a nitrogen storage molecule and synthesized at night under low-carbon conditions (Lam et al., 1994; Hanson et al., 2008). Asparagine and glutamate are synthesized from aspartate and glutamine through ASPARAGINE SYNTHETASE1 (ASN) (Lam et al., 1994; Hanson et al., 2008). A high sugar content represses the expression of ASN and reduces asparagine content (Lam et al., 1994). Likewise, proline levels change in response to energy levels. PROLINE DEHYDROGENASE (ProDH) converts proline to glutamate (Hayashi et al., 2000). Recent studies demonstrate that S1-bZIPs directly regulate the expression of ProDH and ASN1 via binding to the C-boxes, ACT motifs (ACTCAT), and G-boxes in their promoters, thereby influencing amino acid metabolism (Weltmeier et al., 2006; Hanson et al., 2008; Dietrich et al., 2011). Overexpression of tbz17 mORF in tobacco significantly induces the expression of ASN, whereas silencing of tbz17 represses the expression of ProDH and ASN (Thalor et al., 2012). One of the target genes of AtbZIP53 is ProDH2 (Satoh et al., 2004). Overexpression of SlbZIP1 and AtbZIP11 mORFs in the transgenic tomato and Arabidopsis significantly up-regulates the expression of ASN1 and ProDH2 and affects amino acid contents (Hanson et al., 2008; Dietrich et al., 2011; Thalor et al., 2012; Sagor et al., 2016). For example, overexpression of SlbZIP1 increases the content of alanine, aspartic acid, glutamate, serine, threonine, tyrosine, and total amino acid content. Energy deprivation induces the expression of ASN1 and ProDH, which contributes to the recycling of amino acids to mitigate deficits of carbon, nitrogen, and energy (Dietrich et al., 2011). Many amino acid catabolism related genes induced by AtbZIP11 are largely repressed by treatments with sugar (Hanson et al., 2008). Moreover, under highsucrose conditions, the translation of AtbZIP11 is inhibited via a uORF (Hanson et al., 2008; Yamashita et al., 2017). These findings indicate that ASN1 and ProDH are ultimately regulated in a sugar-dependent manner, with AtbZIP11 acting as the link between sugar signaling and amino acid/nitrogen metabolism (Hanson et al., 2008). Additionally, AtbZIP1 and AtbZIP53 are also involved in modulating amino acid metabolism during stress responses (Baena-González et al., 2007; Hartmann et al., 2015). In Arabidopsis, it has been demonstrated that AtbZIP53 preferentially forms heterodimers with group C-bZIP members like AtbZIP9, AtbZIP10, and AtbZIP25 for controlling the gene expression of ASN1 and ProDH (Weltmeier et al., 2006; Garg et al., 2019) (Figure 3B). However, the interacting partners between the S1- and C-bZIPs are not identified in many other crops and need to be investigated in the future.

#### S1-bZIPs AFFECT SUGAR METABOLISM

Overexpression of S1-bZIP mORFs induces sugar-related gene expression and increases sugar content (Figure 3B). Previous studies have shown that overexpression of tbz17 and SlbZIP1 mORF up-regulates the expression level of genes encoding sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) and sucrose phosphate phosphatase (SPP), whereas silencing tbz17 down-regulates the expression of these genes (Thalor et al., 2012). Knockout and overexpression of AtbZIP1 affects sugar-responsive gene expression (Kang et al., 2010). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that constitutive expression of the S1-bZIP1s such as tbz17 and AtbZIP11 mORF significantly increases the sucrose concentration in transgenic lines (Ma et al., 2011; Thalor et al., 2012). Interestingly, the contents of glucose and fructose were significantly increased and the citric acid content was significantly decreased in transgenic plants with overexpression AtbZIP11 (Ma et al., 2011). The induction of the AtbZIP11 mORF also results in the up-regulation of genes associated with the metabolism of trehalose, myo-inositol and raffinose. Transgenic Arabidopsis lines overexpressing AtbZIP11 showed decreased contents of the trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P), limiting the plant's ability to use available sugars, thereby slowing plant growth. This growth inhibition in Arabidopsis cannot be reversed by the exogenous application of metabolizable sugars such as glucose and sucrose (Ma et al., 2011). The use of the fruit-specific E8 promoter to drive overexpression of SlbZIP1 increases the sugar contents in tomato while avoiding growth impairment (Sagor et al., 2016). Remarkably, sucrose contents were approximately sixfold higher in transgenic lines with approximately 1.5-fold higher fructose, glucose, and total sugar contents than in wild type. Similar effects such as significantly increased glucose and fructose contents and significantly reduced citric acid content were observed in mutants with enhanced FvebZIP1.1 mORF protein expression due to the uORFs mutation (Xing et al., 2020). In a recent study, heterologous overexpression of strawberry FvbZIP11 affects fruit quality and flavor in tomato (Zhang et al., 2022). In comparison with wild type, the total soluble solid was significantly increased at the breaker, pink and red ripe stages in three transgenic tomato lines. The soluble sugar (SS) content was significantly accumulated at 30-50 days after anthesis in transgenic line 6. In addition, the titratable acid content (TTA) was significantly reduced at 30 days after anthesis, while SS/TTA ratio was significantly increased from 20 to 50 days after anthesis in the transgenic tomato line (Zhang et al., 2022). Taken together, these studies demonstrate that the S1-bZIPs play important roles in the regulation of sugar metabolism for quality improvement in plants.

## REGULATORY ROLES OF S1-bZIPs IN RESPONSE TO BIOTIC AND ABIOTIC STRESSES

S1-bZIPs play an essential role in plant adaptation to unfavorable conditions (Alves et al., 2013; Sornaraj et al., 2016; Noman et al., 2017) (Figure 3B). It has been documented

that S1-bZIPs play important roles in plant innate immunity, especially against attack by various pathogens (Lee et al., 2002; Alves et al., 2013), and in response to abiotic stresses, such as cold (Shimizu et al., 2005; Kobayashi et al., 2008), drought (Ditzer and Bartels, 2006; Shekhawat and Ganapathi, 2014), and salinity (Hartmann et al., 2015). It has been demonstrated that the C-/S1-bZIP-SnRK1 complex participates in the reprogramming of primary metabolism related to carbohydrate and amino acid and induces salt stress tolerance through ABA-independent signaling in Arabidopsis roots (Hartmann et al., 2015). Similarly, C-/S1-bZIP-SnRK1 signaling is involved in defenses against biotic stresses, which are also energy-consuming processes that require metabolic readjustment in plants (Hulsmans et al., 2016). Research in our laboratory has suggested that petunia PhOBF1, a homolog of AtbZIP11, is involved in plant defenses against a wide range of viral pathogens (Sun et al., 2017). In the study, silencing PhOBF1 resulted in the reduction of RNA silencing-related gene expression, including RNA-dependent RNA polymerases, Dicer-like RNase III enzymes, and Argonaut. PhOBF1-RNAi plants displayed a compromised resistance to tobacco rattle virus (TRV) and tobacco mosaic virus (TMV). On the other hand, overexpression of PhOBF1 in petunia enhances resistance to these virus infections. Interestingly, PhOBF1-silenced petunia lines produced much lower levels of the compounds associated with the shikimate and phenylpropanoid pathways such as free salicylic acid (SA), salicylic acid glucoside, and phenylalanine, but much higher levels of those were detected in PhOBF1 overexpressing plants (Sun et al., 2017). Intriguingly, PhbZIP44, a paralog of *PhOBF1* appears to be unable to participate in this antiviral process, suggesting functional diversity and specificity among the S1-bZIPs (Sun et al., 2017).

In Arabidopsis, S1-bZIPs AtbZIP11/ATB2, AtbZIP44, AtbZIP2/GBF5, and AtbZIP53 can bind to a 6-bp cis-acting element (ACTCAT) located in the promoter of ProDH (Satoh et al., 2004), which is responsive to hypoosmolarity and proline. AtbZIP53 directly and strongly promotes hypoosmolarityinduced transcription of ProDH, which is enhanced by the synergistic interplay between AtbZIP53 and the group C member AtbZIP10 (Satoh et al., 2004; Weltmeier et al., 2006). Analysis of transcriptome data has revealed the complexity of the response to abiotic stresses by S1-AtbZIPs. For instance, the transcript level of AtbZIP53 was found to be strongly up-regulated by salt stress in roots and by osmotic stress in green tissues. Cold, osmotic, and salt elicitors were found to remarkably increase the expression of AtbZIP1 in roots and AtbZIP11 in green tissues but inhibit the expression of AtbZIP2 in green tissues. AtbZIP44 shows a solid and specific response to cold stress in the root and to salinity in green tissues (Kilian et al., 2007; Weltmeier et al., 2009). The expression of AtbZIP1 in roots was significantly induced by salt treatment. Arabidopsis bzip1 bzip53 double mutant reprograms carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism to help roots adapt to salt stress. Furthermore, AtbZIP1 binds the promoter of BCAT2 and TAT7 and plays a role as a signalling module of SnRK1-bZIP1 under salt stress. This pathway is independent of ABA-SnRK2-AREB signaling pathways, whereas bZIP53 transcription partially depends on the SnRK2/AREB pathway (Hartmann et al., 2015). In tomato, SlbZIP1 increases

salt tolerance by increasing the gene expression related to ABA biosynthesis and signal transduction (Zhu et al., 2018). In response to water deficiency, two cucumber S1-bZIP member (CsbZIP6 and CsbZIP30) transcripts accumulated in the root but decreased in leaves (Baloglu et al., 2014). Likewise, in sweet potato, the expression of *IbbZIP1* is highly induced by treatments with NaCl and ABA. Abiotic stress-related genes are significantly up-regulated in the transgenic Arabidopsis overexpressing IbbZIP1, suggesting the role of IbbZIP1 in salt and drought tolerance (Kang et al., 2019). In apple, an S1-bZIP, MdbZIP80, has been shown to negatively regulate cytokinin-mediated drought and salt tolerance (Feng et al., 2021). This study shows that MdbZIP80 specifically heterodimerizes with C-bZIPs MdbZIP2 and MdbZIP39. The formed C-/S1-bZIP complex then binds to the ACTCAT motif in the promoter of MdIPT5b, a gene encoding the rate-limiting enzyme isopentenyltransferase in the cytokinin biosynthesis pathway, thereby suppressing its expression. This leads to drought and salt stress response through the cytokinin pathway by delaying drought-induced premature leaf senescence by reducing oxidative damage and maintaining plant growth (Feng et al., 2021). Another study demonstrates that low temperature stress induces *mlipl5* expression, and the protein subsequently binds to the promoter region of Adh1 (Kusano et al., 1995). Interestingly, mechanical damage in tea leaves leads to the activation of S1-bZIPs such as CsbZIP2, -11, -14, -16, -20, -21, -28 and -30 (Xue et al., 2018). Overall, it appears that the expression levels of these S1-bZIPs respond to stress signals in a tissue-specific manner. The members of S1-bZIP share partially redundant functions but play a role in unique regulatory mechanisms. Generally, the S1- and C-AtbZIPs heterodimerize to mediate stress signal transduction cascades. For example, S1-bZIP AtbZIP53 forms heterodimers with group C-bZIP members such as AtbZIP10 or AtbZIP25 and increases DNA binding activity, resulting in strong activation of the target genes. These heterodimers can also form tertiary complexes with the non-bZIP protein ABI3 (ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE 3) to play a synergistic role in target gene expression (Alonso et al., 2009; Weltmeier et al., 2009); however, it needs to be demonstrated whether other members of S1-bZIP such as AtbZIP1 heterodimers are formed under stress conditions (Hartmann et al., 2015).

The S1-bZIP gene low-temperature-induced protein 19 (lip19) is significantly induced by low temperature in monocots (Shimizu et al., 2005; Kobayashi et al., 2008; Cai et al., 2018). The LIP19 protein appears to be unable to form homodimers and bind to DNA in rice (Kobayashi et al., 2008). However, the counterpart of LIP19 proteins in maize and wheat can form homodimers and bind to cis-elements in DNA sequences (Kobayashi et al., 2008; Cai et al., 2018). The WLIP19 can heterodimerize with wheat TaOBF1, another low temperature-responsive S1-bZIP member. The stable heterodimerization between LIP19-type and OBF1-type proteins seems to induce the expression of target genes in response to different abiotic stresses, especially cold stress (Shimizu et al., 2005; Kobayashi et al., 2008; Cai et al., 2018). However, there is no definitive evidence showing that the formation of heterodimers or homodimers between WLIP19 and TaOBF1 directly affects the expression of the downstream

stress-responsive genes including COR (cold-responsive) and LEA (late embryogenesis-abundant) genes (Lee et al., 2002). Recent research indicates that a group C-bZIP TabZIP6 dimerizes with WLIP19, TaOBF1, or itself and then binds to the promoters of genes encoding CBFs (C-repeat binding factors), resulting in inhibition of their expression. These dimers can also inhibit the expression of some COR genes (Liu C. et al., 2014). Rice S1-bZIP plays a vital role in ABA-mediated drought and salt stress response. One of the S1-bZIPs, OsbZIP71, appears to be able to form homodimers and heterodimers with group C-bZIP members OsbZIP15, OsbZIP20, OsbZIP33, and OsbZIP88. It has been speculated that these heterodimers help OsbZIP71 bind to the promoters of its target genes, OsNHX1, and COR413-TM1 because OsbZIP71 on its own has weak DNA-binding activity to the G-box element and no transcriptional activation activity (Liu C. et al., 2014). Thus, the interplay between C-group and S1-subgroup is proposed to affect plant response to stress.

## BIOLOGICAL ROLES OF S1-bZIPs AS REGULATORS OF PLANT GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

Plant growth and development are tightly interlinked with the control of metabolism, especially energy homeostasis. Transient energy deprivation causes plants to adjust their metabolism to adapt to daily light/dark cycles and unpredictable environmental changes. It has been proposed that the Snf1related kinase 1 (SnRK1) and Target of Rapamycin (TOR) kinase function to reprogram plant metabolism in response to the energy status (Baena-González et al., 2007; Hulsmans et al., 2016). Evidence suggests that SnRK1 mediates the phosphorylation of S1-bZIPs to control plant growth and development under starvation and nutrient-replete conditions (Lastdrager et al., 2014) (Figure 3B). As the transcriptional regulators downstream of SnRK1, AtbZIP11 can directly control a subset of SnRK1-dependent genes via binding to G-box elements in their promoter regions (Pedrotti et al., 2018). Furthermore, heterodimerization between group C- and S1bZIPs is enhanced by the phosphorylation of group C-bZIPs by SnRK1. Phosphorylation of AtbZIP63 provides the structural basis for forming the AtbZIP63-AtbZIP1-SnRK1/AtbZIP63-AtbZIP11-SnRK1 complex and ultimately leads to the adjustment of metabolism to ensure plant survival under low energy conditions (Pedrotti et al., 2018). Notably, the formation of the complex is dependent on the SnRK1-specific phosphorylation sites, which are pivotal for the function of AtbZIP1 and AtbZIP53 (Hartmann et al., 2015). Additionally, the identification of many SnRK1-independent genes regulated by AtbZIP11 indicates a function of AtbZIP11 beyond SnRK1 signaling (Dröge-Laser et al., 2018). It seems that heterodimers within the C-/S1bZIP network function as a hub to integrate SnRK1-dependent and -independent signals to adjust growth/development and stress responses (Mair et al., 2015). Recent studies showed that S1-bZIPs regulate the root apical meristem size through controlling polar auxin flux (Weiste et al., 2017). Under lowenergy conditions, AtbZIP2, AtbZIP11, and AtbZIP44 directly activate the transcription of *INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID PROTEIN* 3/SHORT HYPOCOTYL 2 (IAA3/SHY2), a negative regulator of auxin signaling, which leads to the down-regulation of *PIN-FORMED (PIN)* genes, limiting polar auxin transport to the root tip and blocking auxin-driven primary root growth (Weiste et al., 2017).

S1-bZIPs play essential roles in plant growth and development, especially seed maturation, root growth, and flower development (Figure 3B). For example, the transcript abundance of AtbZIP53 is markedly induced during the late stages of seed development (Weltmeier et al., 2009). AtbZIP53 enhances the gene expression associated with seed maturation by specific heterodimerization with group C-bZIPs (Alonso et al., 2009). AtbZIP11 and AtbZIP44 play a role in embryogenesis. AtbZIP44 shows high transcript levels at the early stage of seed development and is involved in micropylar endosperm loosening and seed coat rupture via its interaction with the promoter of AtMAN7 (Weltmeier et al., 2009). The atbzip44 knock-out mutant shows slower germination and reduced expression of AtMAN7 (Iglesias-Fernández et al., 2013). In Populus, the binding of poplar bZIP53 to the promoter of IAA4-1 and IAA4-2 inhibits adventitious root development (Zhang et al., 2020b). In horticultural plants, three S1-bZIP members (VvbZIP07, 14, and 47) are highly expressed in grape seed (Liu J. et al., 2014), but their regulatory mechanisms have yet to be elucidated. Other studies have shown that S1-bZIPs are related to floral development. For example, CsbZIP-06 is highly expressed in female cucumber flowers and ovaries (Baloglu et al., 2014). Transgenic lines overexpressing mORF of BZI-4 show reduced flower size and impaired pollen development (Iven et al., 2010). Overexpressing AtbZIP1, AtbZIP53, tbz17, MusabZIP53, and FvbZIP11 shortened internode length, and stunted vegetative growth (Alonso et al., 2009; Dietrich et al., 2011; Thalor et al., 2012; Shekhawat and Ganapathi, 2014). FabZIPs1.1 and FvbZIP11 have been shown to be involved in fruit ripening in strawberry (Chen et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022). Banana MabZIP91 and MabZIP104, which belong to S1-bZIP subgroup, showed high transcript abundance during fruit development and ripening (Chen et al., 2020). These studies illustrate the various roles of S1-bZIPs as a regulator of plant growth and development (Figure 3B).

## CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The S1-bZIP subgroup, with their functional diversity in all plants, reflects their importance as regulators. The literature covered in this review suggests that the small but unique and crucial S1-bZIP transcription factors play essential roles in the balance of carbon and amino acid metabolism, plant growth and development, and stress responses (**Figure 3**). S1-bZIPs also play important roles in regulating fruit quality and stress response. Through heterodimerization with group C-bZIPs, S1-bZIPs orchestrate an array of downstream transcriptional and metabolic control. However the C group bZIP dimerization partners of many S1-bZIPs have yet to be identified. The S1-bZIPs regulate sugar signaling and amino acid metabolism

under energy-deprived conditions, which involves the Sucrose Induced Repression of Translation mechanism of the uORFs and through interaction with the SnRK1 pathway. However, further research is needed to explore whether and how SnRK1 and TOR kinase interact with C- and S1-bZIPs complex. The SC-uORF negatively regulates the translation of S1-bZIP mORFs and, in turn, downstream targets of the S1-bZIPs, which further affect fruit quality and other metabolite biosynthesis. Evidence suggests that overexpression of S1-bZIP mORFs significantly increased the fruit sugar content and sweetness, showing the potential for improvement of fruit quality (Thalor et al., 2012; Sagor et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022). In addition, functional diversity and specificity among the S1-bZIPs need to be further defined. Using substitution of conserved amino acid residues in the DNA-binding domain could be a useful approach to clarify specific interconnections among S1-bZIPs and their dimerization partners in horticultural plants (Garg et al., 2019). Using CRISPR technology to create indel mutations in uORF start codons or enhancing the expression of S1-bZIPs using fruitspecific promoters could provide broad applications to control the levels of sucrose and other nutrients for the improvement of the quality of fruits, vegetables, and flowers, and to improve stress response without the detrimental effects on plant growth and development in horticultural plants (Corrêa et al., 2008; Shipman et al., 2021).

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

HW, YZ, AN, and C-ZJ collected data and wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# FUNDING

This work was partially supported by the General Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31872078), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) CRIS project 2032-21000-025-00D, and Jiangsu Agricultural Science and Technology Independent Innovation Fund [CX(20)2020]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and analysis.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Yujing Shi (Bioatgcn Biotechnologies Co. Ltd., Nanjing, China) for providing bioinformatics analysis.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 802802/full#supplementary-material

9-33

doi: 10.1038/s42003-020-0866-8 Chen, D., Xu, G., Tang, W., Jing, Y., Ji, Q., Fei, Z., et al. (2013). Antagonistic basic helix-loop-helix/bZIP transcription factors form transcriptional modules that integrate light and reactive oxygen species signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25, 1657-1673. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.104869

Abbas, N., Maurya, J. P., Senapati, D., Gangappa, S. N., and Chattopadhyay,

S. (2014). Arabidopsis CAM7 and HY5 physically interact and

directly bind to the HY5 promoter to regulate its expression and

thereby promote photomorphogenesis. Plant Cell 26, 1036-1052.

(2005). FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the floral pathway integrator

A., et al. (2020). Linking volatile and non-volatile compounds to sensory

profiles and consumer liking of wild edible Nordic mushrooms. Food Chem.

(2009). A pivotal role of the basic leucine zipper transcription factor bZIP53

in the regulation of Arabidopsis seed maturation gene expression based on

heterodimerization and protein complex formation. Plant Cell 21, 1747-1761.

Fietto, L. G. (2013). Plant bZIP transcription factors responsive to pathogens: a

transcription factor MdHY5 regulates anthocyanin accumulation and nitrate

Alves, M. S., Dadalto, S. P., Gonçalves, A. B., De Souza, G. B., Barros, V. A., and

An, J., Qu, F., Yao, J., Wang, X., You, C., Wang, X., et al. (2017). The bZIP

Baena-González, E., Rolland, F., Thevelein, J. M., and Sheen, J. (2007). A central integrator of transcription networks in plant stress and energy signaling. Nature

Baloglu, M. C., Eldem, V., Hajyzadeh, M., and Unver, T. (2014). Genome-wide analysis of the bZIP transcription factors in cucumber. PLoS One 9:e96014.

Belitz, H. D., Grosch, W., and Schieberle, P. (2001). Lehrbuch der

Cai, W., Yang, Y., Wang, W., Guo, G., Liu, W., and Bi, C. (2018). Overexpression

Lebensmittelchemie: 1.2 Aminosäuren, 5th Edn. Berlin: Springer Verlag,

of a wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) bZIP transcription factor gene, TabZIP6,

decreased the freezing tolerance of transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings by down-

regulating the expression of CBFs. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 124, 100-111. doi:

S. (2020). An abscisic acid-responsive protein interaction network for sucrose

non-fermenting related kinase1 in abiotic stress response. Commun. Biol. 3:145.

Carianopol, C. S., Chan, A. L., Dong, S., Provart, N. J., Lumba, S., and Gazzarrini,

review. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14, 7815-7828. doi: 10.3390/ijms14047815

assimilation in apple. Hort. Res. 4:17023. doi: 10.1038/hortres.2017.23

Abe, M., Kobayashi, Y., Yamamoto, S., Daimon, Y., Yamaguchi, A., Ikeda, Y., et al.

FT at the shoot apex. Science 309, 1052-1056. doi: 10.1126/science.1115983

Aisala, H., Manninen, H., Laaksonen, T., Linderborg, K. M., Myoda, T., Hopia,

Alonso, R., Oñate-Sánchez, L., Weltmeier, F., Ehlert, A., Diaz, I., Dietrich, K., et al.

304:125403. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125403

- Chen, Q., Tang, Y., Wang, Y., Sun, B., Chen, T., Lei, D., et al. (2020). Enhance sucrose accumulation in strawberry fruits by eliminating the translational repression of FabZIPs1.1. Sci. Hortic. 259:108850. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2019. 108850
- Chenna, R., Sugawara, H., Koike, T., Lopez, R., Gibson, T. J., Higgins, D. G., et al. (2003). Multiple sequence alignment with the Clustal series of programs. Nueleic Acid Res. 31, 3479-3500. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg500
- Chuang, C. F., Running, M. P., Williams, R. W., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1999). The PERIANTHIA gene encodes a bZIP protein involved in the determination of floral organ number in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genes Dev. 13, 334-344. doi: 10.1101/gad.13.3.334
- Corrêa, L. G. G., Riaño-Pachón, D. M., Schrago, C. G., Dos Santos, R. V., Mueller-Roeber, B., and Vincentz, M. (2008). The role of bZIP transcription factors in green plant evolution: adaptive features emerging from four founder genes. PLoS One 3:e2944. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002944
- Dietrich, K., Weltmeier, F., Ehlert, A., Weiste, C., Stahl, M., Harter, K., et al. (2011). Heterodimers of the Arabidopsis transcription factors bZIP1 and bZIP53 reprogram amino acid metabolism during low energy stress. Plant Cell 23, 381-395. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.075390
- Ditzer, A., and Bartels, D. (2006). Identification of a dehydration and ABAresponsive promoter regulon and isolation of corresponding DNA binding

proteins for the group 4 LEA gene CpC2 from C. plantagineum. Plant Mol. Biol. 61, 643-663. doi: 10.1007/s11103-006-0038-3

- Dröge-Laser, W., and Weiste, C. (2018). The C/S1 bZIP Network: a regulatory hub orchestrating plant energy homeostasis. Trends Plant Sci. 23, 422-433. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2018.02.003
- Dröge-Laser, W., Snoek, B. L., Snel, B., and Weiste, C. (2018). The Arabidopsis bZIP transcription factor family-an update. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 45, 36-49. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2018.05.001
- Ehlert, A., Weltmeier, F., Wang, X., Mayer, C. S., Smeekens, S., Vicente-Carbajosa, L. et al. (2006). Two-hybrid protein-protein interaction analysis in Arabidopsis protoplasts: establishment of a heterodimerization map of group C and group S bZIP transcription factors. Plant J. 46, 890-900. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006. 02731.x
- Fan, L., Xu, L., Wang, Y., Tang, M., and Liu, L. (2019). Genome- and transcriptomewide characterization of bZIP gene family identifies potential members involved in abiotic stress response and anthocyanin Aiosynthesis in Radish (Raphanus sativus L.). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20:E6334. doi: 10.3390/ijms20246334
- Feng, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, G., Gan, Z., Gao, M., Lv, J., et al. (2021). Group-C/S1 bZIP heterodimers regulate MdIPT5b to negatively modulate drought tolerance in apple species. Plant J. 107, 399-417. doi: 10.1111/tpj.15296
- Gai, W., Ma, X., Qiao, Y., Shi, B., Ul Haq, S., Li, Q., et al. (2020). Characterization of the bZIP transcription factor family in pepper (Capsicum annuum L.): CabZIP25 positively modulates the salt tolerance. Front. Plant Sci. 11:139. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00139
- Garg, A., Kirchler, T., Fillinger, S., Wanke, F., Stadelhofer, B., Stahl, M., et al. (2019). Targeted manipulation of bZIP53 DNA-binding properties influences Arabidopsis metabolism and growth. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 5659-5671. doi: 10.1093/ ixb/erz309
- Gibalová, A., Renák, D., Matczuk, K., Dupl'áková, N., Cháb, D., Twell, D., et al. (2009). AtbZIP34 is required for Arabidopsis pollen wall patterning and the control of several metabolic pathways in developing pollen. Plant Mol. Biol. 70, 581-601. doi: 10.1007/s11103-009-9493-v
- Hanson, J., Hanssen, M., Wiese, A., Hendriks, M. M. W. B., and Smeekens, S. (2008). The sucrose regulated transcription factor bZIP11 affects amino acid metabolism by regulating the expression of ASPARAGINE SYNTHETASE1 and PROLINE DEHYDROGENASE2. Plant J. 53, 935-949. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03385.x
- Hartmann, L., Pedrotti, L., Weiste, C., Fekete, A., Schierstaedt, J., Göttler, J., et al. (2015). Crosstalk between two bZIP signaling pathways orchestrates salt-induced metabolic reprogramming in Arabidopsis roots. Plant Cell 27, 2244-2260. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00163
- Hayashi, F., Ichino, T., Osanai, M., and Wada, K. (2000). Oscillation and regulation of proline content by P5CS and ProDH gene expressions in the light/dark cycles in Arabidopsis thaliana L. Plant Cell Physiol. 41, 1096-1101. doi: 10.1093/pcp/ pcd036
- Hinnebusch, A. G., Ivanov, I. P., and Sonenberg, N. (2016). Translational control by 5'-untranslated regions of eukaryotic mRNAs. Science 352, 1413-1416. doi: 10.1126/science.aad9868
- Hu, W., Wang, L., Tie, W., Yan, Y., Ding, Z., Liu, J., et al. (2016a). Genomewide analyses of the bZIP family reveal their involvement in the development, ripening and abiotic stress response in banana. Sci. Rep. 6:30203. doi: 10.1038/ srep30203
- Hu, W., Yang, H., Yan, Y., Wei, Y., Tie, W., Ding, Z., et al. (2016b). Genome-wide characterization and analysis of bZIP transcription factor gene family related to abiotic stress in cassava. Sci. Rep. 6:22783. doi: 10.1038/srep22783
- Hulsmans, S., Rodriguez, M., De Coninck, B., and Rolland, F. (2016). The SnRK1 energy sensor in plant biotic interactions. Trends Plant Sci. 21, 648-661. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2016.04.008
- Hummel, M., Rahmani, F., Smeekens, S., and Hanson, J. (2009). Sucrose-mediated translational control. Ann. Bot. 104, 1-7. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcp086
- Iglesias-Fernández, R., Barrero-Sicilia, C., Carrillo-Barral, N., Oñate-Sánchez, L., and Carbonero, P. (2013). Arabidopsis thaliana bZIP44: a transcription factor affecting seed germination and expression of the mannanase-encoding gene AtMAN7. Plant J. 74, 767-780. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12162
- Iven, T., Strathmann, A., Böttner, S., Zwafink, T., Heinekamp, T., Guivarc'h, A., et al. (2010). Homo- and heterodimers of tobacco bZIP proteins counteract as positive or negative regulators of transcription during pollen development. Plant J. 63, 155-166. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04230.x

REFERENCES

doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.122515

doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.062968

448, 938-942. doi: 10.1038/nature06069

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096014

10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.01.008

- Izawa, T., Foster, R., Nakajima, M., Shimamoto, K., and Chua, N. H. (1994). The rice bZIP transcriptional activator RITA-1 is highly expressed during seed development. *Plant Cell* 6, 1277–1287. doi: 10.1105/tpc.6.9.1277
- Jackson, R. J., Hellen, C. U. T., and Pestova, T. V. (2010). The mechanism of eukaryotic translation initiation and principles of its regulation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11, 113–127. doi: 10.1038/nrm2838
- Jain, P., Shah, K., and Rishi, V. (2018). Potential in vitro and ex vivo targeting of bZIP53 involved in stress response and seed maturation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by five designed peptide inhibitors. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Proteins Proteom.* 1866, 1249–1259. doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2018.09.007
- Jakoby, M., Weisshaar, B., Dröge-Laser, W., Vicente-Carbajosa, J., Tiedemann, J., Kroj, T., et al. (2002). bZIP transcription factors in *Arabidopsis*. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7, 106–111. doi: 10.1016/s1360-1385(01)02223-3
- Jin, Z., Xu, W., and Liu, A. (2014). Genomic surveys and expression analysis of bZIP gene family in castor bean (*Ricinus communis L.*). *Planta* 239, 299–312. doi: 10.1007/s00425-013-1979-9
- Jorgensen, R. A., and Dorantes-Acosta, A. E. (2012). Conserved peptide upstream open reading frames are associated with regulatory genes in angiosperms. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:191. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00191
- Kang, C., Zhai, H., He, S., Zhao, N., and Liu, Q. (2019). A novel sweet potato bZIP transcription factor gene, *IbbZIP1*, is involved in salt and drought tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Rep.* 38, 1373–1382. doi: 10.1007/s00299-019-02441-x
- Kang, S. G., Price, J., Lin, P., Hong, J. C., and Jang, J. (2010). The Arabidopsis bZIP1 transcription factor is involved in sugar signaling, protein networking, and DNA binding. Mol. Plant 3, 361–373. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssp115
- Keutgen, A. J., and Pawelzik, E. (2008). Contribution of amino acids to strawberry fruit quality and their relevance as stress indicators under NaCl salinity. *Food Chem.* 111, 642–647. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.04.032
- Kilian, J., Whitehead, D., Horak, J., Wanke, D., Weinl, S., Batistic, O., et al. (2007). The AtGenExpress global stress expression data set: protocols, evaluation and model data analysis of UV-B light, drought and cold stress responses. *Plant J.* 50, 347–363. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03052.x
- Kobayashi, F., Maeta, E., Terashima, A., Kawaura, K., Ogihara, Y., and Takumi, S. (2008). Development of abiotic stress tolerance via bZIP-type transcription factor LIP19 in common wheat. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59, 891–905. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ ern014
- Kochetov, A. V. (2008). Alternative translation start sites and hidden coding potential of eukaryotic mRNAs. *Bioessays* 30, 683–691. doi: 10.1002/bies.20771
- Kusano, T., Berberich, T., Harada, M., Suzuki, N., and Sugawara, K. (1995). A maize DNA-binding factor with a bZIP motif is induced by low temperature. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 248, 507–517. doi: 10.1007/BF02423445
- Lam, H. M., Peng, S. S., and Coruzzi, G. M. (1994). Metabolic regulation of the gene encoding glutamine-dependent asparagine synthetase in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiol*. 106, 1347–1357. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.4.1347
- Lastdrager, J., Hanson, J., and Smeekens, S. (2014). Sugar signals and the control of plant growth and development. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 799–807. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert474
- Lee, S. J., Lee, M. Y., Yi, S. Y., Oh, S. K., Choi, S. H., Her, N. H., et al. (2002). PPI1: a novel pathogen-induced basic region-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor from pepper. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 15, 540–548. doi: 10.1094/MPMI. 2002.15.6.540
- Li, D., Fu, F., Zhang, H., and Song, F. (2015). Genome-wide systematic characterization of the bZIP transcriptional factor family in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *BMC Genomics* 16:771. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1990-6
- Li, F., Liu, J., Guo, X., Yin, L., Zhang, H., and Wen, R. (2020). Genome-wide survey, characterization, and expression analysis of bZIP transcription factors in *Chenopodium quinoa*. *BMC Plant Biol*. 20:405. doi: 10.1186/s12870-020-02620-z
- Li, P., Zheng, T., Li, L., Wang, J., Cheng, T., and Zhang, Q. (2021). Genomewide investigation of the bZIP transcription factor gene family in *Prunus mume:* classification, evolution, expression profile and low-temperature stress responses. *Hort. Plant J.* 15:281. doi: 10.1016/j.hpj.2021.01.009
- Li, Q., Jia, R., Dou, W., Qi, J., Qin, X., Fu, Y., et al. (2019). CsBZIP40, a BZIP transcription factor in sweet orange, plays a positive regulatory role in citrus bacterial canker response and tolerance. *PLoS One* 14:e0223498. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0223498
- Li, X., Gao, S., Tang, Y., Li, L., Zhang, F., Feng, B., et al. (2015). Genome-wide identification and evolutionary analyses of bZIP transcription factors in wheat

and its relatives and expression profiles of anther development related *TabZIP* genes. *BMC Genomics* 16:976. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-2196-7

- Li, Y., Meng, D., Li, M., and Cheng, L. (2016). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the bZIP gene family in apple (*Malus domestica*). Tree Genet. Genomes 12:82. doi: 10.1007/s11295-016-1043-6
- Li, Z., Zhang, L., Yu, Y., Quan, R., Zhang, Z., Zhang, H., et al. (2011). The ethylene response factor AtERF11 that is transcriptionally modulated by the bZIP transcription factor HY5 is a crucial repressor for ethylene biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis. Plant J.* 68, 88–99. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04670.x
- Liao, Y., Zou, H., Wei, W., Hao, Y., Tian, A., Huang, J., et al. (2008). Soybean GmbZIP44, GmbZIP62 and GmbZIP78 genes function as negative regulator of ABA signaling and confer salt and freezing tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis. Planta 228, 225–240. doi: 10.1007/s00425-008-0731-3
- Lindemann, B. (2001). Receptors and transduction in taste. *Nature* 412, 219–225. doi: 10.1038/35093032
- Liu, C., Mao, B., Ou, S., Wang, W., Liu, L., Wu, Y., et al. (2014). OsbZIP71, a bZIP transcription factor, confers salinity and drought tolerance in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 84, 19–36. doi: 10.1007/s11103-013-0115-3
- Liu, J., Chen, N., Chen, F., Cai, B., Dal Santo, S., Tornielli, G. B., et al. (2014). Genome-wide analysis and expression profile of the bZIP transcription factor gene family in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*). *BMC Genomics* 15:281. doi: 10.1186/ 1471-2164-15-281
- Lv, Z., Guo, Z., Zhang, L., Zhang, F., Jiang, W., Shen, Q., et al. (2019). Interaction of bZIP transcription factor TGA6 with salicylic acid signaling modulates artemisinin biosynthesis in *Artemisia annua*. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 3969–3979. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erz166
- Ma, J., Hanssen, M., Lundgren, K., Hernández, L., Delatte, T., Ehlert, A., et al. (2011). The sucrose-regulated *Arabidopsis* transcription factor bZIP11 reprograms metabolism and regulates trehalose metabolism. *New Phytol.* 191, 733–745. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03735.x
- Mair, A., Pedrotti, L., Wurzinger, B., Anrather, D., Simeunovic, A., Weiste, C., et al. (2015). SnRK1-triggered switch of bZIP63 dimerization mediates the low-energy response in plants. *eLife* 4:e05828. doi: 10.7554/eLife.05828
- Manzoor, M. A., Manzoor, M. M., Li, G., Abdullah, M., Han, W., Wenlong, H., et al. (2021). Genome-wide identification and characterization of bZIP transcription factors and their expression profile under abiotic stresses in Chinese pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri*). *BMC Plant Biol*. 21:413. doi: 10.1186/s12870-021-03191-3
- Merchante, C., Stepanova, A. N., and Alonso, J. M. (2017). Translation regulation in plants: an interesting past, an exciting present and a promising future. *Plant* J. 90, 628–653. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13520
- Morris, D. R., and Geballe, A. P. (2000). Upstream open reading frames as regulators of mRNA translation. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 20, 8635–8642. doi: 10.1128/ MCB.20.23.8635-8642.2000
- Muszynski, M. G., Dam, T., Li, B., Shirbroun, D. M., Hou, Z., Bruggemann, E., et al. (2006). *Delayed flowering1* encodes a basic leucine zipper protein that mediates floral inductive signals at the shoot apex in maize. *Plant Physiol.* 14, 1523–1536. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.088815
- Nelson, G., Chandrashekar, J., Hoon, M. A., Feng, L., Zhao, G., Ryba, N. J. P., et al. (2002). An amino-acid taste receptor. *Nature* 416, 199–202. doi: 10.1038/ nature726
- Nijhawan, A., Jain, M., Tyagi, A. K., and Khurana, J. P. (2008). Genomic survey and gene expression analysis of the basic leucine zipper transcription factor family in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 146, 333–350. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.112821
- Noman, A., Liu, Z., Aqeel, M., Zainab, M., Khan, M. I., Hussain, A., et al. (2017). Basic leucine zipper domain transcription factors: the vanguards in plant immunity. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 39, 1779–1791. doi: 10.1007/s10529-017-2431-1
- Pedrotti, L., Weiste, C., Nägele, T., Wolf, E., Lorenzin, F., Dietrich, K., et al. (2018). Snf1-RELATED KINASE1-controlled C/S1-bZIP signaling activates alternative mitochondrial metabolic pathways to ensure plant survival in extended darkness. *Plant Cell* 30, 495–509. doi: 10.1105/tpc.17.00414
- Peviani, A., Lastdrager, J., Hanson, J., and Snel, B. (2016). The phylogeny of C/S1 bZIP transcription factors reveals a shared algal ancestry and the preangiosperm translational regulation of S1 transcripts. *Sci. Rep.* 6:30444. doi: 10.1038/srep30444
- Price, J., Laxmi, A., St Martin, S. K., and Jang, J. (2004). Global transcription profiling reveals multiple sugar signal transduction mechanisms in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 16, 2128–2150. doi: 10.1105/tpc.104.022616

- Rahmani, F., Hummel, M., Schuurmans, J., Wiese-Klinkenberg, A., Smeekens, S., and Hanson, J. (2009). Sucrose control of translation mediated by an upstream open reading frame-encoded peptide. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1356–1367. doi: 10. 1104/pp.109.136036
- Riechmann, J. L., Heard, J., Martin, G., Reuber, L., Jiang, C., Keddie, J., et al. (2000). *Arabidopsis* transcription factors: genome-wide comparative analysis among eukaryotes. *Science* 290, 2105–2110. doi: 10.1126/science.290.5499.2105
- Romera-Branchat, M., Severing, E., Pocard, C., Ohr, H., Vincent, C., Née, G., et al. (2020). Functional divergence of the *Arabidopsis* florigen-interacting bZIP transcription factors FD and FDP. *Cell Rep.* 31:107717. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep. 2020.107717
- Rook, F., Gerrits, N., Kortstee, A., Van Kampen, M., Borrias, M., Weisbeek, P., et al. (1998). Sucrose-specific signalling represses translation of the *Arabidopsis ATB2* bZIP transcription factor gene. *Plant J.* 15, 253–263. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x. 1998.00205.x
- Ruiz-Orera, J., and Albà, M. M. (2019). Translation of small open reading frames: roles in regulation and evolutionary innovation. *Trends Genet.* 35, 186–198. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2018.12.003
- Sagor, G. H. M., Berberich, T., Tanaka, S., Nishiyama, M., Kanayama, Y., Kojima, S., et al. (2016). A novel strategy to produce sweeter tomato fruits with high sugar contents by fruit-specific expression of a single bZIP transcription factor gene. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 14, 1116–1126. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12480
- Satoh, R., Fujita, Y., Nakashima, K., Shinozaki, K., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2004). A novel subgroup of bZIP proteins functions as transcriptional activators in hypoosmolarity-responsive expression of the *ProDH* gene in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol.* 45, 309–317. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pch036
- Shekhawat, U. K. S., and Ganapathi, T. R. (2014). Transgenic banana plants overexpressing *MusabZIP53* display severe growth retardation with enhanced sucrose and polyphenol oxidase activity. *Plant Cell Tiss. Organ. Cult.* 116, 387–402. doi: 10.1007/s11240-013-0414-z
- Shimizu, H., Sato, K., Berberich, T., Miyazaki, A., Ozaki, R., Imai, R., et al. (2005). LIP19, a basic region leucine zipper protein, is a Fos-like molecular switch in the cold signaling of rice plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 46, 1623–1634. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pc1178
- Shipman, E. N., Yu, J., Zhou, J., Albornoz, K., and Beckles, D. M. (2021). Can gene editing reduce postharvest waste and loss of fruit, vegetables, and ornamentals? *Hortic. Res.* 8:1. doi: 10.1038/s41438-020-00428-4
- Singh, K., Foley, R. C., and Oñate-Sánchez, L. (2002). Transcription factors in plant defense and stress responses. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 46, 1623–1634.
- Smykowski, A., Fischer, S. M., and Zentgraf, U. (2015). Phosphorylation affects DNA-binding of the senescence-regulating bZIP transcription factor GBF1. *Plants (Basel)* 4, 691–709. doi: 10.3390/plants4030691
- Sonenberg, N., and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2009). Regulation of translation initiation in eukaryotes: mechanisms and biological targets. *Cell* 136, 731–745. doi: 10. 1016/j.cell.2009.01.042
- Sornaraj, P., Luang, S., Lopato, S., and Hrmova, M. (2016). Basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors involved in abiotic stresses: a molecular model of a wheat bZIP factor and implications of its structure in function. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1860, 46–56. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2015. 10.014
- Srivastava, A. K., Dutta, S., and Chattopadhyay, S. (2019). MYC2 regulates ARR16, a component of cytokinin signaling pathways, in Arabidopsis seedling development. *Plant Direct*. 3:e00177. doi: 10.1002/pld3.177
- Strathmann, A., Kuhlmann, M., Heinekamp, T., and Dröge-Laser, W. (2001). BZI-1 specifically heterodimerises with the tobacco bZIP transcription factors BZI-2, BZI-3/TBZF and BZI-4, and is functionally involved in flower development. *Plant J.* 28, 397–408. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2001.01164.x
- Sun, D., Li, S., Niu, L., Reid, M. S., Zhang, Y., and Jiang, C. (2017). *PhOBF1*, a petunia ocs element binding factor, plays an important role in antiviral RNA silencing. *J. Exp. Bot.* 68, 915–930. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw490
- Thalor, S. K., Berberich, T., Lee, S. S., Yang, S. H., Zhu, X., Imai, R., et al. (2012). Deregulation of sucrose-controlled translation of a bZIP-type transcription factor results in sucrose accumulation in leaves. *PLoS One* 7:e33111. doi: 10. 1371/journal.pone.0033111
- Toh, S., Mccourt, P., and Tsuchiya, Y. (2012). HY5 is involved in strigolactonedependent seed germination in *Arabidopsis. Plant Signal. Behav.* 7, 556–558. doi: 10.4161/psb.19839

- Tsugama, D., Liu, S., and Takano, T. (2012). A bZIP protein, VIP1, is a regulator of osmosensory signaling in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 159, 144–155. doi: 10. 1104/pp.112.197020
- Tsugama, D., Liu, S., and Takano, T. (2016). The bZIP protein VIP1 is involved in touch responses in *Arabidopsis* roots. *Plant Physiol.* 171, 1355–1365. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.00256
- Unel, N. M., Cetin, F., Karaca, Y., Celik Altunoglu, Y., and Baloglu, M. C. (2019). Comparative identification, characterization, and expression analysis of bZIP gene family members in watermelon and melon genomes. *Plant Growth Regul.* 87, 227–243. doi: 10.1007/s10725-018-0465-6
- van der Horst, S., Filipovska, T., Hanson, J., and Smeekens, S. (2020). Metabolite control of translation by conserved peptide uORFs: the ribosome as a metabolite multisensor. *Plant Physiol.* 182, 110–122. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00940
- von Arnim, A. G., Jia, Q., and Vaughn, J. N. (2014). Regulation of plant translation by upstream open reading frames. *Plant Sci.* 214, 1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci. 2013.09.006
- Walsh, J., and Freeling, M. (1999). The *liguleless2* gene of maize functions during the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive shoot apex. *Plant J.* 19, 489–495. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1999.00541.x
- Wang, J., Zhou, J., Zhang, B., Vanitha, J., Ramachandran, S., and Jiang, S. (2011). Genome-wide expansion and expression divergence of the basic leucine zipper transcription factors in higher plants with an emphasis on sorghum. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 53, 212–231. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.01017.x
- Wang, X., Zhong, Y., Cheng, Z., and Xiong, J. (2015). Divergence of the bZIP gene family in strawberry, peach, and apple suggests multiple modes of gene evolution after duplication. *Int. J. Genomics* 2015:536943. doi: 10.1155/2015/ 536943
- Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Zhao, Y., Yang, J., He, Y., Li, G., et al. (2020). Transcription factor PyHY5 binds to the promoters of *PyWD40* and *PyMYB10* and regulates its expression in red pear 'Yunhongli No. 1'. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 154, 665–674. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.07.008
- Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M., and Barton, G. J. (2009). Jalview Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1189–1191. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033
- Wei, K., Chen, J., Wang, Y., Chen, Y., Chen, S., Lin, Y., et al. (2012). Genomewide analysis of bZIP-encoding genes in maize. DNA Res. 19, 463–476. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dss026
- Weiste, C., and Dröge-Laser, W. (2014). The Arabidopsis transcription factor bZIP11 activates auxin-mediated transcription by recruiting the histone acetylation machinery. Nat. Commun. 5:3883. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4883
- Weiste, C., Pedrotti, L., Selvanayagam, J., Muralidhara, P., Fröschel, C., Novák, O., et al. (2017). The Arabidopsis bZIP11 transcription factor links low-energy signalling to auxin-mediated control of primary root growth. PLoS Genet. 13:e1006607. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006607
- Weltmeier, F., Ehlert, A., Mayer, C. S., Dietrich, K., Wang, X., Schütze, K., et al. (2006). Combinatorial control of *Arabidopsis* proline dehydrogenase transcription by specific heterodimerisation of bZIP transcription factors. *EMBO J.* 25, 3133–3143. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601206
- Weltmeier, F., Rahmani, F., Ehlert, A., Dietrich, K., Schütze, K., Wang, X., et al. (2009). Expression patterns within the *Arabidopsis* C/S1 bZIP transcription factor network: availability of heterodimerization partners controls gene expression during stress response and development. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 69, 107– 119. doi: 10.1007/s11103-008-9410-9
- Wiese, A., Elzinga, N., Wobbes, B., and Smeekens, S. (2004). A conserved upstream open reading frame mediates sucrose-induced repression of translation. *Plant Cell* 16, 1717–1729. doi: 10.1105/tpc.019349
- Wigge, P. A., Kim, M. C., Jaeger, K. E., Busch, W., Schmid, M., Lohmann, J. U., et al. (2005). Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in *Arabidopsis. Science* 309, 1056–1059. doi: 10.1126/science.1114358
- Wu, J., Wang, Z., Shi, Z., Zhang, S., Ming, R., Zhu, S., et al. (2013). The genome of the pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd.). *Genome Res.* 23, 396–408. doi: 10.1101/ gr.144311.112
- Xing, S., Chen, K., Zhu, H., Zhang, R., Zhang, H., Li, B., et al. (2020). Fine-tuning sugar content in strawberry. *Genome Biol.* 21:230. doi: 10.1186/s13059-020-02146-5
- Xu, C., Cao, H., Zhang, Q., Wang, H., Xin, W., Xu, E., et al. (2018). Control of auxin-induced callus formation by bZIP59-LBD complex in *Arabidopsis* regeneration. *Nat. Plants* 4, 108–115. doi: 10.1038/s41477-017-0095-4

- Xu, D. (2020). COP1 and BBXs-HY5-mediated light signal transduction in plants. New Phytol. 228, 1748–1753. doi: 10.1111/nph.16296
- Xue, Y., Zhang, Z., Wang, L., Yu, Y., Xiao, J., Hou, J., et al. (2018). Genomewide systematic characterization and its regulatory expression reprogramming process of the bZIP transcription factors during trauma response in *Camellia sinensis. Can. J. For. Res.* 48, 1279–1291. doi: 10.1139/cjfr-2018-0135
- Yamashita, Y., Takamatsu, S., Glasbrenner, M., Becker, T., Naito, S., and Beckmann, R. (2017). Sucrose sensing through nascent peptide-meditated ribosome stalling at the stop codon of *Arabidopsis bZIP11* uORF2. *FEBS Lett.* 591, 1266–1277. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12634
- Yang, J., Wang, M., Li, W., He, X., Teng, W., Ma, W., et al. (2019). Reducing expression of a nitrate-responsive bZIP transcription factor increases grain yield and N use in wheat. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 17, 1823–1833. doi: 10.1111/pbi. 13103
- Yang, Y., Li, J., Li, H., Yang, Y., Guang, Y., and Zhou, Y. (2019). The bZIP gene family in watermelon: genome-wide identification and expression analysis under cold stress and root-knot nematode infection. *PeerJ* 7:e7878. doi: 10. 7717/peerj.7878
- Yang, Z., Sun, J., Chen, Y., Zhu, P., Zhang, L., Wu, S., et al. (2019). Genome-wide identification, structural and gene expression analysis of the bZIP transcription factor family in sweet potato wild relative *Ipomoea trifida*. BMC Genet. 20:41. doi: 10.1186/s12863-019-0743-y
- Zhang, H., Wang, Y., and Lu, J. (2019). Function and evolution of upstream ORFs in eukaryotes. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 44, 782–794. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2019.03.002
- Zhang, Y., Gao, W., Li, H., Wang, Y., Li, D., Xue, C., et al. (2020a). Genome-wide analysis of the bZIP gene family in Chinese jujube (*Ziziphus jujuba Mill.*). *BMC Genomics* 21:483. doi: 10.1186/s12864-020-06890-7
- Zhang, Y., Li, S., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., Lin, Y., Li, M., et al. (2022). Heterologous overexpression of strawberry bZIP11 induces sugar accumulation and inhibits plant growth of tomato. *Sci. Hortic.* 292:110634. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2021. 110634
- Zhang, Y., Yang, X., Cao, P., Xiao, Z., Zhan, C., Liu, M., et al. (2020b). The *bZIP53-IAA4* module inhibits adventitious root development in *Populus. J. Exp. Bot.* 71, 3485–3498. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa096

- Zhao, K., Chen, S., Yao, W., Cheng, Z., Zhou, B., and Jiang, T. (2021). Genomewide analysis and expression profile of the bZIP gene family in poplar. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21:122. doi: 10.1186/s12870-021-02879-w
- Zhou, Y., Xu, D., Jia, L., Huang, X., Ma, G., Wang, S., et al. (2017). Genomewide identification and structural analysis of bZIP transcription factor genes in *Brassica napus. Genes* 8:E288. doi: 10.3390/genes8100288
- Zhu, M., Meng, X., Cai, J., Li, G., Dong, T., and Li, Z. (2018). Basic leucine zipper transcription factor SlbZIP1 mediates salt and drought stress tolerance in tomato. *BMC Plant Biol.* 18:83. doi: 10.1186/s12870-018-1299-0
- Zinsmeister, J., Lalanne, D., Terrasson, E., Chatelain, E., Vandecasteele, C., Vu, B. L., et al. (2016). ABI5 is a regulator of seed maturation and longevity in legumes. *Plant Cell* 28, 2735–2754. doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00470
- Zong, W., Tang, N., Yang, J., Peng, L., Ma, S., Xu, Y., et al. (2016). Feedback regulation of ABA signaling and biosynthesis by a bZIP transcription factor targets drought-resistance-related genes. *Plant Physiol.* 171, 2810–2825. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.00469

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Wang, Zhang, Norris and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.