



Comparative Physiological and Transcriptomic Analyses Reveal Altered Fe-Deficiency Responses in Tomato Epimutant Colorless Non-ripening

Wei Wei Chen^{1,2†}, Hui Hui Zhu^{2†}, Jia Yi Wang², Guang Hao Han¹, Ru Nan Huang¹, Yi Guo Hong^{1*} and Jian Li Yang^{2*}

¹ Research Centre for Plant RNA Signaling, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China, ² State Key Laboratory of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Vinay Kumar, Pune University, India

Reviewed by:

Jeeyon Jeong, Amherst College, United States Liangyu Liu, Capital Normal University, China

*Correspondence:

Jian Li Yang yangjianli@zju.edu.cn Yi Guo Hong yiguo.hong@hznu.edu.cn † These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 18 October 2021 Accepted: 27 December 2021 Published: 21 January 2022

Citation:

Chen WW, Zhu HH, Wang JY, Han GH, Huang RN, Hong YG and Yang JL (2022) Comparative Physiological and Transcriptomic Analyses Reveal Altered Fe-Deficiency Responses in Tomato Epimutant Colorless Non-ripening. Front. Plant Sci. 12:796893. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.796893

The mechanisms associated with the regulation of iron (Fe) homeostasis have been extensively examined, however, epigenetic regulation of these processes remains largely unknown. Here, we report that a naturally occurring epigenetic mutant, Colorless non-ripening (Cnr), displayed increased Fe-deficiency responses compared to its wild-type Ailsa Craig (AC). RNA-sequencing revealed that a total of 947 and 1,432 genes were up-regulated by Fe deficiency in AC and Cnr roots, respectively, while 923 and 1,432 genes were, respectively, down-regulated. Gene ontology analysis of differentially expressed genes showed that genes encoding enzymes, transporters, and transcription factors were preferentially affected by Fe deficiency. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway enrichment analysis revealed differential metabolic responses to Fe deficiency between AC and Cnr. Based on comparative transcriptomic analyses, 24 genes were identified as potential targets of Cnr epimutation, and many of them were found to be implicated in Fe homeostasis. By developing CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing SISPL-CNR knockout (KO) lines, we found that some Cnr-mediated Fedeficiency responsive genes showed similar expression patterns between SISPL-CNR KO plants and the Cnr epimutant. Moreover, both two KO lines displayed Fe-deficiencyinduced chlorosis more severe than AC plants. Additionally, the Cnr mutant displayed hypermethylation in the 286-bp epi-mutated region on the SISPL-CNR promoter, which contributes to repressed expression of SISPL-CNR when compared with AC plants. However, Fe-deficiency induced no change in DNA methylation both at the 286-bp epi-allele region and the entire region of SISPL-CNR gene. Taken together, using RNAsequencing and genetic approaches, we identified Fe-deficiency responsive genes in tomato roots, and demonstrated that SISPL-CNR is a novel regulator of Fe-deficiency responses in tomato, thereby, paving the way for further functional characterization and regulatory network dissection.

Keywords: tomato Cnr, Fe deficiency, Fe-deficiency responses, comparative transcriptome, Fe-deficiencyresponsive genes

INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe) is an essential microelement for higher plants, involved in many physiological and metabolic processes such as photosynthesis, substance metabolism, and respiration. Although Fe is abundantly present in the earth's crust, its bioavailability is quite low due to the insoluble form of ferric (Fe^{3+}) hydroxide in alkaline soils that occupy approximately 30% of the arable land worldwide (Guerinot and Yi, 1994). On the other hand, excess Fe is toxic to living organisms due to the production of reactive hydroxyl radicals (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1992). Therefore, plants have evolved complicated regulatory mechanisms to control Fe homeostasis.

When suffering from Fe deficiency, two strategies have been developed for higher plants to acquire Fe from rhizosphere. One is the reduction-based mechanism in dicot and non-graminaceous monocot (Strategy I), and the other is the chelation-based mechanism in graminaceous monocot (Strategy II) (Kobayashi and Nishizawa, 2012). In Strategy I plants, such as Arabidopsis and tomato, Fe³⁺ is first reduced by a plasma membrane-localized Ferric Reduction Oxidase 2 (FRO2) (Robinson et al., 1999), and then transported across the membrane into cells by Ironregulated Transporter 1 (IRT1) (Vert et al., 2002). However, Strategy II plants such as maize, rice, and barley secrete phytosiderophores to chelate Fe³⁺, which is then transported across the membrane by oligopeptide transporter Yellow-stripe 1 (YS1) in maize and its functional homolog OsYSL15 in rice (Curie et al., 2001; Inoue et al., 2009). In addition, Fe³⁺ solubilization is mediated by both H⁺-ATPase-dependent rhizosphere acidification and secretion of Fe³⁺-mobilizing coumarins (Santi and Schmidt, 2009; Tsai and Schmidt, 2017).

Intricate regulatory networks of gene expression controlled by transcription factors (TFs) is crucial for Fe-deficiency responses in plants. In Arabidopsis, Fe-efficiency reactions (FER)-like iron deficiency-induced TF (FIT) is the master regulator characterized to be implicated in the Fe homeostasis (Colangelo and Guerinot, 2004). While FIT, a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) TF, is transcriptionally regulated by Fe deficiency, it can interact with the subgroup Ib bHLH TFs to form heterodimers, which in turn activate the expression of IRT1 and FRO2 (Yuan et al., 2008). Interestingly, the transcripts of subgroup Ib bHLH TFs are also modulated by Fe deficiency and their transcription is directly modulated by subgroup IVc bHLH TFs (Zhang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2017). Recently, it was established that another bHLH TF, bHLH121, or URI, acts upstream of the Fe homeostasis network by interacting with subgroup IVc bHLH TFs to bind to the promoters of subgroup Ib bHLH TFs except FIT to activate their expression under Fe deficiency (Kim et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2020). In addition, protein phosphorylation has been identified to be involved in post-translational modification of FIT activity (Gratz et al., 2019, 2020).

To avoid toxicity with excess Fe, plants have developed feedback regulatory pathways to control Fe homeostasis. A pericycle-specific Fe-deficiency responsive bHLH TF, POPEYE (PYE), helps maintain Fe homeostasis by regulating the expression of Fe-homeostasis-related genes including NAS4, FRO3, and ZIF1 (Long et al., 2010). A member of hemerythrin E3 ligases, BRUTUS (BTS), functions as a putative Fe sensor and interacts with subgroup IVc bHLH TFs to mediate their degradation through 26S proteasome-induced ubiquitination, which in turn negatively regulates Fe-deficiency responses (Kobayashi et al., 2013; Selote et al., 2015). In the absence of ethylene or nitric oxide (NO), FIT was previously identified to be severely degraded via 26S proteasome (Lingam et al., 2011; Meiser et al., 2011), the pathway has been recently proposed to be mediated by two partially redundant E3 ubiquitin ligases, BRUTUS-LIKE1 (BTSL1) and BTSL2 (Rodríguez-Celma et al., 2019). Furthermore, a RING E3 ubiquitin ligase, IRT1 degradation factor1 (IDF1), ubiquitinates IRT1 to regulate its degradation, thus allowing plants to respond promptly to altering environmental Fe conditions (Shin et al., 2013).

As an important commercial vegetable worldwide, changes in metabolic processes have been studied in tomato under Fe deficiency, where organic acids especially citrate production has been observed (López-Millán et al., 2009). It has been reported that Fe deficiency can induce NO accumulation, which functions downstream of auxin in inducing root branching to enhance Fe-deficiency tolerance in tomato cultivar Micro-Tom (Jin et al., 2011). However, so far, little is available for molecular basis of Fe-deficiency responses in tomato, although the first cloned regulatory gene characterized in Fe homeostasis was FER, a bHLH TF from tomato (Ling et al., 2002), and subsequently another five tomato bHLH TFs participated in Fe-deficiency responses have been reported (Sun et al., 2015).

Colorless non-ripening (Cnr) is a spontaneous epigenetic mutant, which performs normal growth and development, but produces fruits that cannot ripen and remain colorless. Genetic analysis indicated that the phenotype is caused by hypermethylated cytosines in a recessive allele at SISPL-CNR locus (Manning et al., 2006). However, a recent CRISPR/cas9 genome editing of SISPL-CNR failed to recreate the complete lack of ripening phenotype in the Cnr epimutant, questioning the requirement of SISPL-CNR during fruit ripening (Gao et al., 2019). Nonetheless, our previous study found that SISPL-CNR acts as a negative regulator by directly binding to the promoter of nitrate reductase (NR) to suppress its transcription and NR-mediated NO accumulation, which then contributes to Cadmium (Cd) uptake via IRT1 expression and enhanced Cd sensitivity in the Cnr epimutant (Chen et al., 2018b). Considering NO and IRT1 are important for Fe-deficiency responses as well, we were wondering whether and how Cnr epimutation is responsible for Fe-deficiency responses in tomato. In this study, via physiological and transcriptomic analyses of the Cnr epimutant upon Fe deficiency, we demonstrated that SlSPL-CNR epimutation is responsible for Fe-deficiency responses, and genes implicated in altered Fe-deficiency responses in the Cnr epimutant were identified. Collectively, we provided molecular bases of Fe-deficiency response mediated by Cnr epimutation in tomato.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

In this study, wild-type (WT) tomato [Solanum lycopersicum cv. Ailsa Craig (AC)] and the *Cnr* epimutant on the AC background (Manning et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2015a,b, 2018a,b) were used. Two independent *SISPL-CNR* knockout lines were generated from tomato transformation *via* the CRISPR/Cas9-induced genome editing system.

All tomato seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated on plates containing 1/5 Hoagland nutrient solution (pH 5.5) and 1% (w/v) agar in a greenhouse under controlled conditions (Chen et al., 2018b). The 1/5 Hoagland nutrient solution has the following composition (in μ M): Ca(NO₃)₂ (1,000), KNO₃ (1,000), MgSO₄ (400), NH₄H₂PO₄ (200), H₃BO₃ (3), ZnSO₄ (0.4), CuSO₄ (0.2), MnCl₂ (0.5), (NH₄)₆(Mo₇) (1), and FeEDTA (20), with pH 5.5 adjusted by 1 M KOH. After germination, when the primary roots were approximately 3-4 cm in length, uniform seedlings were transplanted to aerated hydroponics with 1/5 Hoagland nutrient solution. Two days later, the acclimatized seedlings were transferred to 1 L pots that contained media either with 20 mM FeNaEDTA (+Fe) or without iron (-Fe) for another 3 or 9 days. The treatment solution was refreshed every other day. Roots were harvested in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for RNA isolation.

CRISPR/Cas9-Induced Knock Out of SISPL-CNR in Tomato

To generate SISPL-CNR knockout transgenic plants, the online program CRISPR-PLANT¹ was used to select two specific sgRNAs that targeted the first exon and second intron on the genomic DNA of SISPL-CNR, respectively. The selected sgRNAs were then PCR-amplified and fused into the CRISPR/Cas9mediated knockout vector to generate pSlSPL-CNR-KO construct as previously described (Zhang et al., 2017). The recombinant vector was confirmed by Sanger sequencing and then introduced into AC by stable Agrobacterium-mediated leaf disk transformation as described by Yao et al. (2020). In brief, AC cotyledons were prepared and incubated in the Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 containing pSISPL-CNR-KO construct. After that, cotyledon explants were transferred to a selection medium for callus induction and shoot regeneration. Subsequently, regenerated shoots were separated from the original explants and transferred to a rooting medium for root development. For mutations induced by Cas9, genomic DNA was isolated from young leaves of transgenic lines, and PCR and Sanger sequencing were performed using primers flanking the target sites. Transgene-free plants were screened and double confirmed in the T1 and T2 generations. Two independent homozygous SISPL-CNR knockout lines named as KO1 and KO2 were selected for further analysis. For the off-target effects assay, nine putative off-target sites (Supplementary Table 3) were examined using the Cas-OFFinder² (Bae et al., 2014), and PCR products obtained from the edited plants were sequenced. The gene-specific primers used are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**.

Determination of Fe-Deficiency-Induced Chlorosis and Chlorophyll Synthesis

To explore the leaf chlorosis induced by Fe deficiency, seedlings of AC, *Cnr*, and KO lines were treated under +Fe or -Fe conditions, and then photographically recorded with a Nikon digital camera. For chlorophyll content determination, newly formed leaves were collected from AC, *Cnr*, and KO lines under +Fe or -Fe treatment, and then embedded in 80% acetone overnight in darkness with internal shaking every 4 h until the leaves are colorless. Subsequently, the absorbance values of the extracts were spectrophotometrically measured at 663 and 645 nm, and the total chlorophyll in each sample was recorded as chlorophyll content per gram fresh weight (FW), which was in accordance with a modified method as previously described (Robinson and Wellburn, 1991).

Root Morphological Analysis and Root Ferric Chelate Reductase Assay

After 7 days of Fe-deficient treatment, the whole tomato roots were excised and photographed by a Nikon digital camera. Meanwhile, observation of the root tip and the relative maturation zone patterns was performed by a CCD camera (Nikon Eclipse Ni microscope).

Measurement of the subsequent ferric chelate reductase (FCR) activity was performed based on a reported procedure (Grusak, 1995; Chen et al., 2010). Briefly, the whole tomato roots were excised and immersed in the FCR assay solution [0.5 mM CaSO₄, 0.1 mM MES, 0.1 mM BPDS, and 100 mM Fe-EDTA (pH 5.5)] at room temperature (approximately 25°C). After incubation for 1 h in dark, the absorbance of assay solutions was determined at 535 nm using a spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad, United States). FCR localization along the roots was carried out as previously described (Chen et al., 2010). The excised roots were embedded in plates containing 0.5 mM CaSO₄, 0.5 mM FeNaEDTA, and 0.5 mM ferrozine (pH 5.5), which was solidified with 0.75% (w/v) agarose. Roots were incubated in a dark room at 25°C for 20 min, and then the color patterns were photographed using a digital camera and a Nikon Eclipse Ni microscope with transmitted-light detector.

In situ Measurement of Nitric Oxide Level in Tomato Roots

In situ NO level was determined by staining with DAF-FM DA according to our previous studies (Chen et al., 2010, 2018b). Briefly, root tips were loaded with 10 μ M DAF-FM DA in 20 mM HEPES/NaOH buffer (pH 7.4) for 30 min, and then NO fluorescence was recorded microscopically using a Nikon epi-fluorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ni, Japan). NO signal intensities of green fluorescence in the images were quantified using Photoshop software (Adobe Systems) as previously described (Guo and Crawford, 2005). Data are shown as the mean of fluorescence intensity relative to that of AC under Fe sufficiency.

¹http://crispr.hzau.edu.cn/CRISPR/

²http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder/

RNA Extraction and Quantitative RT-PCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted and cDNAs were synthesized using FastQuant RT Kit with gDNA Eraser (Tiangen). The quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) was carried out on a LightCycler480 machine (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland) using SYBR Green chemistry (Toyobo) with sequence-specific primers (**Supplementary Table 1**). The relative level of specific gene expression was calculated using the $^{\Delta \Delta}$ Ct method, which was normalized against the internal control *ACTIN* mRNA detected in the same sample as described previously (Chen et al., 2018b).

Transcriptome RNA-Sequencing

For RNA-sequencing, tomato seedlings of AC and *Cnr* epimutant were transferred into the Fe-sufficient (+Fe) or Fe-deficient (-Fe) media for 3 days, and then the whole root was harvested for RNA isolation. Approximately 5 μ g pooled RNA was used for TrueSeq library construction, and RNA-sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq Platform using the PE150 mode. Three biological replicates were performed for each treatment in repeated experiments. The high-quality reads from the RNA-sequencing raw data were mapped to the tomato reference genome (version SL2.50)³ using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were analyzed using DEGseq2 as described (Love et al., 2014). RNA-sequencing data are available as accession number PRJNA681103 in the NCBI SRA database.⁴

DNA Extraction and Targeted-Bisulfite Sequencing

DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) was used to isolate the genomic DNA from tomato roots harvested from AC and *Cnr* epimutant under +Fe or -Fe treatment for 3 days. After DNA integrity was checked by agarose-gel electrophoresis, approximately 500 ng of purified genomic DNA was bisulfite-converted using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The following target bisulfitesequencing PCR for the entire gene region of *SlSPL-CNR*, *SlIRT1;1*, *SlIRT1;2*, *SlbHLH066*, *SlS8H*, *Ring finger protein 38*, and *SlPIN5* was carried out using the Double PCR mixture (CoWin Bioscience) with gene-specific primers (Manning et al., 2006; **Supplementary Table 1**). The methylation information of cytosine (C) at various sites was collected for further in-house bioinformatics analyses (Manning et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2015b).

Statistical Analysis

Each experiment was carried out independently at least two times, and the resulting data given as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) were represented of at least ten biological replicates for FCR activity, NO accumulation, and chlorophyll content assays, while at least three biological replicates for transcriptional expression assays were related to RNA-sequencing and specific gene expression. All statistical analyses were performed by

³https://solgenomics.net

Tukey's test with $P \le 0.05$ considered to be statistically significant among different treatments (Tang and Zhang, 2013).

RESULTS

Colorless Non-ripening Epimutant Displayed Increased Responses to Fe Deficiency

It was previously found that a naturally occurring epigenetic mutant, Cnr, produced more NO in root apex compared to AC plants (Chen et al., 2018b). Since NO has been widely documented as being implicated in the Fe-deficiency response in plants (Romera et al., 2011), we were wondering whether this epigenetic mutation is responsible for Fe-deficiency response. Thus, NO production was determined using an NO-specific fluorescence probe DAF-FM DA (Figure 1A). Under Fesufficient condition, the fluorescence intensity in Cnr root apex was greater than that in AC. In comparison with their respective Fe-sufficient control roots, Fe deficiency induced the fluorescence intensity to increase by 2.22- and 1.85-fold in AC and Cnr roots, respectively. Interestingly, endogenous NO concentration was much greater in the Cnr epimutant than that in AC under Fe deficiency (Figure 1B). However, no obvious differences were observed in Fe-deficiency-induced chlorosis, chlorophyll content, as well as root morphology between AC and Cnr epimutant (Supplementary Figure 1). Since the induction of root FCR activity has been proved to be a rate-limiting step for Fe uptake in Strategy I plants (Connolly et al., 2003), root FCR activity was examined in both AC and Cnr plants under Fe deficiency. Notably, a significant enhancement of FCR activity was found both in AC and Cnr roots under Fe deficiency, and this Fe-deficiency-induced FCR activity was much higher in *Cnr* than that in AC (Figures 1C,D), indicating that Cnr is more sensitive to Fe deficiency than AC. These results suggest that Cnr epimutation is implicated in the Fe-deficiency responses in tomato.

Global Effects of Fe Deficiency on Gene Expression in Tomato Roots

To understand the underlying molecular bases of *Cnr*-dependent Fe-deficiency response in tomato, transcriptome analysis was carried out in both AC and *Cnr* roots subjected to either Fe-sufficient or Fe-deficient conditions for 3 days. As shown in **Supplementary Tables 4**, **12** samples were subjected to RNA-sequencing, and produced approximately 6.42 Gb data for each sample. The average genome mapping rate and average gene mapping rate were 91.46 and 77.58%, respectively. With three biological replicates for each treatment, the correlation coefficients showed acceptable reproducibility, indicating the high reliability of our RNA-sequencing data (**Supplementary Figure 2**).

Next, clean reads were aligned to the genome sequence using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), and gene expression levels of each sample were calculated using RSEM (Li and Dewey, 2011). The DEGs were identified with log2-fold change (FC) ≥ 1

⁴https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/



or log2 FC \leq -1 and with Q-value (adjusted P-value) < 0.05 by DEseq2 (Love et al., 2014). Thereby we identified 947 upregulated (**Supplementary Table 5**) and 923 down-regulated (**Supplementary Table 6**) genes in AC roots under Fe-deficiency condition (**Supplementary Figures 3A,B**). In contrast, a total of 1,432 up-regulated (**Supplementary Table 7**) and 1,852 downregulated (**Supplementary Table 8**) genes were identified to be affected by Fe deficiency in *Cnr* roots (**Supplementary Figures 3A,B**).

Gene ontology (GO) analysis of DEGs showed that in both AC and *Cnr*, genes assigned to "cellular process," "metabolic process," and "response to stimuli" are highly enriched in biological processes (**Supplementary Figures 3C,D**). In the cellular component category, genes belonging to "membrane," "membrane part," and "cell" are most abundant. In the molecular

function category, the highly enriched genes are implicated in "catalytic activity," "binding," and "transporter activity." These results indicate that genes encoding enzymes, transporters, and transcription factors were preferentially affected by Fe deficiency, consisting of a biological function of Fe as cofactors of enzymes. Enrichment analysis of KEGG pathways showed that genes involved in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, and photosynthesis were most represented in AC roots (**Supplementary Figure 4A**). However, genes involved in glutathione metabolism, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, and carbon metabolism were the most significantly enriched in *Cnr* roots (**Supplementary Figure 4B**). While these results suggest the possible pathways related to Fe-deficiency response in tomato, metabolic responses to Fe deficiency differed between AC and *Cnr*.

Identification of Gene Homologs Associated With Fe-Deficiency Response in Tomato

Whilst substantial progress has been made toward identifying and characterizing genes implicated in Fe-deficiency response in Arabidopsis, little is known about how tomato responds to Fe deficiency at the genome-wide level. Therefore, we identified tomato genes homologous to known Fe-deficiency-responsive genes in Arabidopsis (Supplementary Table 9). This included genes encoding transcription factors, transporters, coumarin biosynthesis, Fe storage, and others. Among TFs, we found that, similar to Arabidopsis, the transcription level of FER and subgroup Ib bHLH TFs were induced by Fe deficiency, while genes encoding subgroup IVc bHLH TFs, that is, SlbHLH104, SlbHLH115, and SlILR3, were mostly not responding to Fe deficiency at the transcriptional level, with exception of SIILR3. Two genes homologous to Arabidopsis ILR3 were identified. While one (Solyc07g064040) was not transcriptionally responding to Fe deficiency, the other (Solyc07g052670) was induced. Furthermore, we identified 16 transporter genes implicated in Fe uptake, translocation from roots to shoots, and internal mobilization, whose expression was mostly observed to be differentially regulated by Fe deficiency. However, genes involved in Fe storage, that is, genes encoding ferritin and the vacuolar iron transporter family proteins, were detected to be mostly down-regulated by Fe deficiency. These results provided a base for future functional characterization of genes related to Fe-deficiency response in tomato.

In a previous study, we found that the expression of SlNR (Solyc11g013810) was higher in Cnr than AC (Chen et al., 2018b). Here, in our RNA-sequencing data, we also found that the expression level of SINR was induced by 1.76-fold in Cnr roots by comparison with AC under the Fe-sufficient condition (Supplementary Tables 5, 6). Considering nitrate reductase is one of the pathways for NO production in plants and NO was found to be more abundant in Cnr under Fe-sufficient conditions, we were interested to know whether SlNR is responsible for Fe-deficiency-induced NO production. While the expression of SINR was found to be highly expressed in Cnr roots than that in AC roots, Fe deficiency resulted in the down-regulation of SlNR expression in AC, although its expression was slightly upregulated in the Cnr epimutant (Supplementary Tables 5, 6), suggesting that SlNR is not involved in NO production in response of tomato to Fe deficiency. This result is consistent with a previous report that nitric oxide synthase (NOS) but not NR is involved in NO accumulation under Fe deficiency in tomato (Jin et al., 2011).

Identification of *Colorless Non-ripening*-Mediated Fe-Deficiency-Responsive Genes in Tomato

To find *Cnr*-mediated Fe-deficiency responsive genes, we performed comparative transcriptome analyses between AC and *Cnr* with two Fe conditions (+Fe and -Fe). To this end,

we extracted DEGs whose expression was induced by more than 2-folds (log₂ FC > 1 and Q-value < 0.05). Under such threshold, there are, respectively, 220 and 246 genes significantly induced by Fe deficiency in Cnr (Cnr-Fe) and AC (AC-Fe) (Supplementary Tables 4, 5). Since the Cnr epimutant displayed an increased response to Fe deficiency when in comparison with AC plants (Figure 1), Cnr epimutation could be related to the increase of gene expression. Among 89 Fedeficiency responsive genes that overlapped between AC and Cnr, 21 showed induced expression in the Cnr epimutant under Fe-sufficient condition (Figure 2A), which were regarded as constitutively Cnr-induced Fe-deficiency-responsive genes. Interestingly, many of these genes are involved in Fe homeostasis. This included genes that are implicated in Fe uptake such as Solyc02g069200 (SlIRT1;1), Solyc02g069190 (SlIRT1;2), and Solyc01g094910 (SlFRO2), and genes that are implicated in Fe mobilization such as Solyc12g088970 (cytochrome P450 82C4), Solyc11g045520 (SlS8H), and SlNramp3, and genes related to transcription regulation such as SlbHLH066 and SlbHLH067 involved in interacting with as well as activating FER. In line with these results, our previous report indicated that the Cnr epimutant resulted in the constitutive up-regulation of SlIRT1 by comparison with AC plants (Chen et al., 2018b).

Next, we identified genes whose expression could be further enhanced by Cnr epimutation under Fe deficiency. Among 762 Cnr-epimutation-regulated genes under Fe-sufficient condition (Cnr + Fe > AC + Fe), 57 genes were regulated by Fe deficiency in AC roots, and the expression of 4 genes could be further induced by Fe deficiency in Cnr roots (Figure 2B). They are Solyc01g068410 homologous to Arabidopsis PIN5, Solyc11g005840 coding for cysteine desulfurase, Solyc00g020840 homologous to Arabidopsis FRO4, and Solyc08g062570 coding for glutathione S-transferase. It is noteworthy that Solyc08g062570 was observed in both analyses. Therefore, a total of 24 genes were found to be the potential targets of Cnr epimutation (Figure 2C). GO analysis showed that these genes are mainly related to ion transport, membrane, and ion binding in biological process, cellular component, and molecular function, respectively (Supplementary Figure 5). Furthermore, to confirm that the expression regulation of these Fe-deficiency-responsive genes is truly dependent on Cnr epimutation, RT-qPCR analysis was performed to validate their differential expression under Fe-sufficient condition, and 6 out of 24 genes were selected to find that the expression of all the six genes was higher in the Cnr epimutant than that in AC plants (Figures 3A-F), suggesting that our RNA-sequencing and gene identification are highly reliable.

Is SISPL-CNR Responsible for Colorless Non-ripening-Mediated Fe-Deficiency Response?

A previous study suggested that the colorless non-ripening phenotype of the *Cnr* epimutant was ascribed to the hypermethylation of a 286-bp promoter region, resulting in a decrease of LeSPL-CNR expression in the fruits (Manning et al., 2006). This prompted us to investigate whether increased



Fe-deficiency responses in *Cnr* are connected with the DNA methylation changes in *Cnr* roots. Targeted-bisulfite sequencing was used to investigate the effect of Fe deficiency on cytosine methylation in the promoter and gene body region of both *SlSPL-CNR* and 6 selected potential *Cnr*-mediated Fe-deficiency-responsive genes. There was no significant change in methylation on the overall region of *SlSPL-CNR* gene (including 286-bp epi-mutated region on its promoter in both AC and *Cnr* roots under Fe deficiency compared with that under Fe sufficiency

(Figure 4A). It is noteworthy that, in comparison to AC, *Cnr* exhibited highly methylated cytosine residues in the 286-bp epiallele region of the *SlSPL-CNR* promoter regardless of Fe status (Figure 4B), which contribute to the inhibition of the *SlSPL-CNR* expression in *Cnr* (Manning et al., 2006). However, there were no discernable differences in DNA methylation between AC and *Cnr* for all 6 selected genes except *SlIRT1;1* (Supplementary Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 2). In the 115-bp epiallele region of the *SlIRT1;1* promoter, *Cnr* showed a



FIGURE 3 | Expression analysis of *Cnr*-mediated Fe-deficiency-responsive genes by RT-qPCR. (**A–F**) Roots of AC, *Cnr*, and two *SISPL-CNR* knockout plants (KO1 and KO2) grown in Fe-sufficient solution were harvested for RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis. *ACTIN* was used as an internal control to normalize expression. Data are means \pm SD (n = 3 for biological repeats). Different letters indicate significant differences among AC, *Cnr* and two KO lines under +Fe treatment by Tukey's test at $P \le 0.05$ level. (**G,H**) Targeted bisulfite sequencing reveals changes of DNA methylation at the *SIIRT1;1* gene promoter (**G**) and the 115-bp epi-allele region of *SIIRT1;1* promoter (**H**) in AC and *Cnr* roots after 3 days under +Fe condition, respectively. (**I**) The methylation levels of the epi-allele in the promoter region of *SIIRT1;1* as shown in panel (**H**).

higher methylation level than AC under Fe-sufficient condition (Figures 3G-I), suggesting that DNA methylation changes are unlikely to be directly related to altered transcriptional changes

of these six genes. Therefore, we wanted to know whether *SlSPL*-*CNR* is attributable to *Cnr*-mediated Fe-deficiency responses in tomato roots. For this purpose, two specific target sites,



sgRNA1 and sgRNA2, were designed for *SISPL-CNR* gene, and two independent transgene-free lines were generated using the CRISPR/Cas9 system (**Figure 5A**). There is a large DNA fragment deleted including parts of both sgRNAs and 160 bp DNA sequence between two sgRNAs in KO1, while there are 9 bp deletion in sgRNA1 and 2 bp deletion in sgRNA2 in KO2 (**Figure 5A**). Moreover, no mutations were detected in all nine potential off-target sites, suggesting that mutagenesis generated at the designed target sites is of high specificity (**Supplementary Table 3**). Consistent with a previous report (Gao et al., 2019), two *SISPL-CNR* KO lines were found to only display delayed ripening phenotype in comparison to AC, which is in contrast to *Cnr* epimutant (**Figure 5B**).

Next, we compared the expression of *Cnr*-mediated Fedeficiency-responsive genes in *Cnr* to that of *SlSPL-CNR* KO lines by RT-qPCR. While the expression levels of *SlS8H* (Solyc11g045520) and *Ring finger protein 38* (Solyc10g081780) in both KO lines were similar to those of *Cnr* epimutant, the expression of *SlPIN5* (Solyc01g068410) was not affected by *SlSPL-CNR* impairment in comparison with AC plants. On the other hand, the expression of *SlIRT1;1* (Solyc02g069190), *SlIRT1;2* (Solyc02g069200), and *SlbHLH066* (Solyc10g079650) was higher in both KO lines than AC plants, although their expression remains significantly lower than *Cnr* epimutant (**Figure 3**), implying that SlSPL-CNR might be partially responsible for *Cnr*mediated Fe-deficiency responses in tomato.

To further confirm the involvement of *SlSPL-CNR* in Fe-deficiency responses, we compared Fe-deficiency-induced

chlorosis between AC and two KO lines. After treatment for 9 days, although no significant difference in chlorophyll content was observed among AC and two KO lines under Fe-sufficient condition, the newly formed leaves were more severely chlorotic with less chlorophyll content in both KO lines when compared with AC plants (**Figures 5C,D**), which was in agreement with the greater up-regulation of Fe-deficiency-responsive genes in the *Cnr* epimutant under Fe-deficient condition.

DISCUSSION

The tomato Cnr is a naturally occurring epimutant, containing hypermethylated cytosines in the promoter region of an SBP box TF, which was thought to be associated with the colorless nonripening phenotype of fruits (Manning et al., 2006). A previous report, however, suggested that many ripening gene promoters became hypermethylated in Cnr, suggesting that Cnr epimutation must have a wider effect on the epigenome of tomato (Zhong et al., 2013). In this study, we reported the involvement of Cnr in Fe-deficiency responses in tomato. This is supported by the findings that Cnr produced more NO compared to AC plants (Figure 1A), which has been regarded as an important signaling molecule implicated in triggering Fe-deficiency response in plants (Chen et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013). Consistently, we previously found that *Cnr* was more sensitive to Cd stress than AC plants due to intensified accumulation of NO (Chen et al., 2018b). Furthermore, Cnr roots displayed a higher activity of



FIGURE 5 | Construction of *SISPL-CNR* knockout (KO) mutants and relative physiological responses under Fe-deficiency. (A) Schematic illustration of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutation pattern in *SISPL-CNR* gene. The two sgRNAs target sites in *SISPL-CNR* coding sequence (138–160 bp for sgRNA1, 325–347 bp for sgRNA2), related PAM sites and CRISPR edited sites are represented in blue, red, and green, respectively. *Via* Sanger sequencing, KO1 displayed a frame-shift type mutation with 196-bp deletion located at 146–341 bp between two target sites, while KO2 displayed an in-frame type mutation with 9-bp deletion located in the second target site, respectively. (B) Fruit phenotypes of AC, two independent KO lines KO1 and KO2. Br, break. Bar = 1 cm. (C) Fe-deficiency-induced chlorosis in newly formed leaves of AC and two KO lines after 9 days under +Fe or –Fe condition. (D) Chlorophyll content in newly formed leaves of AC and two KO lines when compared with AC under Fe deficiency (Tukey's test, $P \le 0.05$, as indicated by asterisks). Data are shown as means \pm SD (n = 4 for biological repeats).

FCR than AC roots under Fe-deficiency condition (**Figure 1C**). Finally, the expression of some featured Fe-deficiency-responsive genes was induced in the *Cnr* epimutant under Fe-sufficient condition (**Figure 2**). Our comparative transcriptome analyses revealed that there are 24 genes whose transcription levels were increased in the *Cnr* mutant (**Figure 2**). It is noteworthy that many of these genes are known to be crucial for Fe homeostasis in plants (**Supplementary Figure 5**), that is, SIFRO2 is responsible for the reduction of Fe at the root surface, and SIIRT1 is the major Fe²⁺ transporter. Therefore, *Cnr*

epimutation has pleiotropic effects on both development and stress response.

The available evidence suggests that epigenetic modifications such as histone modification and DNA methylation play important roles in plants responding to nutrient stresses (Secco et al., 2017). For example, extensive global remodeling of DNA methylation associated with gene expression has been observed upon Pi starvation in Arabidopsis (Yong-Villalobos et al., 2015). Several members of the histone deacetylase (HDAC) family were found to be implicated in both cell length and expression regulation of some Pi-responsive genes under Pi-deficient condition (Chen C. Y. et al., 2015). Recently, a histone deacetylase complex1 (HDC1) was reported to be negatively involved in Pi-starvation response in Arabidopsis and the loss-of-function mutant hdc1 showed increased sensitivity to Pi starvation (Xu et al., 2020). The regulatory roles of histone posttranslational modifications in Fe homeostasis have been previously reported. For example, Fan et al. (2014) reported that Shk1-binding protein1-mediated histone arginine 3 (H4R3) demethylation negatively regulates Fe homeostasis by affecting the expression of some subgroup Ib bHLH genes and Fe-uptake processes. GENERAL CONTROL NON-REPRESSED PROTEIN5 (GCN5) mediates histone3 lysine 9 and lysine 14 acetylation of FRD3, which promotes its transcription activation, and consequently Fe translocation from roots to shoots (Xing et al., 2015). PRC2-mediated H3K27me3 (histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation) modulated the expression of FIT-dependent genes under iron deficiency (Park et al., 2019). Recently, NRF2/ELF8 controls the expression of the root-specific gene GRF11 through H3K4me3 and maintains the Fe-uptake machinery (Singh et al., 2021). Taking into account that the *Cnr* epimutant displayed increased sensitivity to Fe-deficiency response (Figure 1), it is interesting to envision whether epigenetic modifications, especially DNA methylation, play potential roles in Fe-deficiency responses.

Considering that the phenotype of Cnr fruits is ascribed to DNA hypermethylation of many ripening gene promoters, it is reasonable to deduce that differential expression patterns of Cnr-mediated Fe-deficiency-responsive genes are associated with DNA methylation changes. The study from tomato fruits demonstrated that CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3) responsible for methylation maintenance at CHG context is required for the somatic inheritance of the Cnr epimutant (Chen et al., 2015b), provided further evidence that Cnr epimutation is closely associated with DNA methylation change. However, it is worth noting that, in fruits, Cnr epimutation was frequently related to DNA hypermethylation which is typically associated with inactive transcription (Zhong et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015b). Indeed, we also found that the Cnr epimutation contributes to DNA hypermethylation similar to that in the promoter of SlIRT1;1, but the hypermethylation was associated with active transcription contrary to the regulation partners in Cnr fruits (Figure 3). Interestingly, Cnr epimutation did result in hypermethylation at the 286-bp epi-allele region of SlSPL-CNR promoter in Fe-sufficient roots, however, Fe deficiency induced no significant changes in DNA methylation both at 286 bp epi-mutated region and the entire SISPL-CNR gene (Figure 4), implying that the induction of some Fe-deficiency-responsive genes in the Cnr epimutant might be the secondary effects of Cnr epimutation. Therefore, it is of importance to perform the methylome analysis to further reveal the epigenetic mechanisms of Cnr-mediated Fe-deficiency response in tomato.

The colorless immature phenotype of *Cnr* has previously ascribed to the expression depression of *SlSPL-CNR* (Manning et al., 2006). However, mutation of *SlSPL-CNR via* the CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing showed that *SlSPL-CNR* has a minor role in controlling fruit ripening (Gao et al., 2019). By developing the similar knockout mutant *via* the CRISPR/Cas9

genome editing technique, we also found that functional impairment of SlSPL-CNR failed to phenocopy Cnr (Figure 5B). Thus, a similar question arises on the role of SISPL-CNR in mediating the expression of Fe-deficiency-responsive genes. In this study, we demonstrated that SISPL-CNR might be in part responsible for Cnr-mediated expression regulation of Fedeficiency-responsive genes. Our RT-qPCR analysis revealed that the expression profiles of some Cnr-mediated genes were similar between Cnr and SISPL-CNR knockout lines (Figure 3). In addition, SISPL-CNR knockout lines displayed more severe chlorosis than AC plants under Fe deficiency (Figures 5C,D). It seems that SISPL-CNR could function as a transcriptional suppressor because the expression of SlS8H was upregulated in both knockout lines. This suggestion was also supported by the previous report that SISPL-CNR can bind to the promoter of SINR and repress its transcription (Chen et al., 2018b).

Although tomato is a model plant for fruit development, less attention was paid to nutrient stress responses by using tomato as a model. Bioinformatic studies have focused on the identification of gene families in response to diverse biotic and abiotic stresses. For example, we previously characterized NAC TF gene family and Acyl-activating enzyme gene family in tomato in response to Al stress (Jin et al., 2020, 2021). Sun et al. (2015) analyzed bHLH gene family and identified several bHLH gene homologs to Arabidopsis bHLH Fe-deficiency-responsive genes. However, transcriptome analysis of tomato under Fe deficiency has not yet been reported. In the present study, we identified genes potentially implicated in tomato Fe-deficiency responses according to Arabidopsis homologous gene analysis (Supplementary Table 9). These results for the first time pave the way for future functional characterization and regulatory network dissection of Fe-deficiency signaling in tomato.

In summary, we revealed that a naturally occurring epigenetic mutant *Cnr* showed an increased response to Fe deficiency, as evidenced by the accumulation of NO, greater FCR activity, and enhanced gene expression. Furthermore, we identified potential target genes of the *Cnr* epimutant by comparative transcriptome analysis. We proposed that *Cnr* epimutation resulted in the inhibition of *SISPL-CNR* that represses the expression of genes related to Fe homeostasis, thereby enhancing Fe-deficiency responses. Altogether, we provided molecular bases of Fe-deficiency responses in tomato, which will be helpful for in-depth functional characterization of genes critical for Fe-deficiency response in tomato.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) BioProject database under accession number PRJNA681103.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WC and HZ performed the experiments and carried out the data analysis. JW, GH, and RH were involved in bioinformatics

analysis. JY and YH initiated and conceived this study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by grants from the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (LZ22C150001 and LY19C150006), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31601765), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019M652064), and the China Scholarship Council ([2016]3035).

REFERENCES

- Bae, S., Park, J., and Kim, J. S. (2014). Cas-OFFinder: a fast and versatile algorithm that searches for potential off-target sites of Cas9 RNA-guided endonucleases. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1473–1475. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu048
- Chen, C. Y., Wu, K., and Schmidt, W. (2015). The histone deacetylase HDA19 controls root cell elongation and modulates a subset of phosphate starvation responses in Arabidopsis. *Sci. Rep.* 5:15708. doi: 10.1038/srep15708
- Chen, W. W., Jin, J. F., Lou, H. Q., Liu, L., Kochian, L. V., and Yang, J. L. (2018b). LeSPL-CNR negatively regulates Cd acquisition through repressing nitrate reductase-mediated nitric oxide production in tomato. *Planta* 248, 893–907. doi: 10.1007/s00425-018-2949-z
- Chen, W. W., Kong, J. H., Lai, T. F., Manning, K., Wu, C. Q., Wang, Y., et al. (2015a). Tuning LeSPL-CNR expression by SlymiR157 affects tomato fruit ripening. *Sci. Rep.* 5:7852. doi: 10.1038/srep07852
- Chen, W. W., Kong, J. H., Qin, C., Yu, S., Tan, J. J., Chen, Y. R., et al. (2015b). Requirement of CHROMOMETHYLASE3 for somatic inheritance of the spontaneous tomato epimutation Colourless non-ripening. *Sci. Rep.* 5:9192. doi: 10.1038/srep09192
- Chen, W. W., Yang, J. L., Qin, C., Jin, C. W., Mo, J. H., Ye, T., et al. (2010). Nitric oxide acts downstream of auxin to trigger root ferric-chelate reductase activity in response to iron deficiency in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 154, 810–819. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.161109
- Chen, W. W., Yu, Z. M., Kong, J. H., Wang, H., Li, Y. C., Zhao, M., et al. (2018a). Comparative WGBS identifies genes that influence non-ripe phenotype in tomato epimutant *Colourless non-ripening. Sci. China Life Sci.* 61, 244–252. doi: 10.1007/s11427-017-9206-5
- Colangelo, E. P., and Guerinot, M. L. (2004). The essential basic helix-loophelix protein FIT1 is required for the iron deficiency response. *Plant Cell* 16, 3400–3412. doi: 10.1105/tpc.104.024315
- Connolly, E. L., Campbell, N. H., Grotz, N., Prichard, C. L., and Guerinot, M. L. (2003). Overexpression of the FRO2 ferric chelate reductase confers tolerance to growth on low iron and uncovers posttranscriptional control. *Plant Physiol.* 133, 1102–1110. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.025122
- Curie, C., Panaviene, Z., Loulergue, C., Dellaporta, S. L., Briat, J. F., and Walker, E. L. (2001). Maize yellow stripe1 encodes a membrane protein directly involved in Fe(III) uptake. *Nature* 409, 346–349. doi: 10.1038/350 53080
- Fan, H. J., Zhang, Z. L., Wang, N., Cui, Y., Sun, H., Liu, Y., et al. (2014). SKB1/PRMT5-mediated histone H4R3 dimethylation of Ib subgroup bHLH genes negatively regulates iron homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 77, 209–221. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12380
- Gao, F., Robe, K., Bettembourg, M., Navarro, N., Rofidal, V., Santoni, V., et al. (2020). The transcription factor bHLH121 interacts with bHLH105 (ILR3) and its closest homologs to regulate iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 32, 508–524. doi: 10.1105/tpc.19.00541
- Gao, Y., Zhu, N., Zhu, X. F., Wu, M., Jiang, C. Z., Grierson, D., et al. (2019). Diversity and redundancy of the ripening regulatory networks revealed by the fruitENCODE and the new CRISPR/Cas9 CNR and NOR mutants. *Hortic. Res.* 6:39. doi: 10.1038/s41438-019-0122-x

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Qijun Chen (China Agricultural University, China) for his kind gift of the CRISPR/cas9-mediated gene knockout vector.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 796893/full#supplementary-material

- Gratz, R., Brumbarova, T., Ivanov, R., Trofimov, K., Tünnermann, L., Ochoa-Fernandez, R., et al. (2020). Phospho-mutant activity assays provide evidence for alternative phospho-regulation pathways of the transcription factor FER-LIKE IRON DEFICIENCY-INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR. *New Phytol.* 225, 250–267. doi: 10.1111/nph.16168
- Gratz, R., Manishankar, P., Ivanov, R., Koster, P., Mohr, I., Trofimov, K., et al. (2019). CIPK11-Dependent Phosphorylation Modulates FIT Activity to Promote Arabidopsis Iron Acquisition in Response to Calcium Signaling. *Dev Cell* 48, 726–740. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2019.01.006
- Grusak, M. A. (1995). Whole-root iron(III)-reductase activity throughout the life cycle of iron-grown Pisum sativum L. (Fabaceae): relevance to the iron nutrition of developing seeds. *Planta* 197, 111–117. doi: 10.1007/BF00239946
- Guerinot, M. L., and Yi, Y. (1994). Iron: nutritious, noxious, and not readily available. *Plant Physiol.* 104, 815–820. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.3.815
- Guo, F. Q., and Crawford, N. M. (2005). Arabidopsis nitric oxide synthase1 is targeted to mitochondria and protects against oxidative damage and darkinduced senescence. *Plant Cell* 17, 3436–3450. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.037770
- Halliwell, B., and Gutteridge, J. M. C. (1992). Biologically relevant metal iondependent hydroxyl radical generation. *FEBS Lett.* 307, 108–112. doi: 10.1016/ 0014-5793(92)80911-y
- Inoue, H., Kobayashi, T., Nozoye, T., Takahashi, M., Kakei, Y., Suzuki, K., et al. (2009). Rice OsYSL15 is an iron-regulated iron(III)-deoxymugineic acid transporter expressed in the roots and is essential for iron uptake in early growth of the seedlings. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 3470–3479. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M806042200
- Jin, C. W., Du, S. T., Shamsi, I. H., Luo, B. F., and Lin, X. Y. (2011). NO synthasegenerated NO acts downstream of auxin in regulating Fe-deficiency-induced root branching that enhances Fe-deficiency tolerance in tomato plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 3875–3884. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err078
- Jin, J. F., He, Q. Y., Li, P. F., Lou, H. Q., Chen, W. W., and Yang, J. L., (2021). Genome-wide identification and gene expression analysis of acyl-activating enzymes superfamily in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) under aluminum stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12:754147. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021. 754147
- Jin, J. F., Wang, Z. Q., He, Q. Y., Wang, J. Y., Li, P. F., Xu, J. M., et al. (2020). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the NAC transcription factor family in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) during aluminum stress. *BMC Genomics* 21:288. doi: 10.1186/s12864-020-6689-7
- Kim, S. A., LaCroix, I. S., Gerber, S. A., and Guerinot, M. L. (2019). The iron deficiency response in Arabidopsis thaliana requires the phosphorylated transcription factor URI. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 24933–24942. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1916892116
- Kobayashi, T., Nagasaka, S., Senoura, T., Itai, R. N., Nakanishi, H., and Nishizawa, N. K. (2013). Iron-binding haemerythrin RING ubiquitin ligases regulate plant iron responses and accumulation. *Nat. Commun.* 4:2792. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms3792
- Kobayashi, T., and Nishizawa, N. K. (2012). Iron uptake, translocation, and regulation in higher plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 63, 131–152. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042811-105522
- Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357–359. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923

- Li, B., and Dewey, C. N. (2011). RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinform*. 12:323. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-12-323
- Li, X. L., Zhang, H. M., Ai, Q., Liang, G., and Yu, D. Q. (2016). Two bHLH transcription factors, bHLH34 and bHLH104, regulate iron homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiol*. 170, 2478–2493. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01827
- Liang, G., Zhang, H. M., Li, X. L., Ai, Q., and Yu, D. Q. (2017). bHLH transcription factor bHLH115 regulates iron homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 1743–1755. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx043
- Ling, H. Q., Bauer, P., Bereczky, Z., Keller, B., and Ganal, M. (2002). The tomato fer gene encoding a bHLH protein controls iron-uptake responses in roots. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 13938–13943. doi: 10.1073/pnas.212448699
- Lingam, S., Mohrbacher, J., Brumbarova, T., Potuschak, T., Fink-Straube, C., Blondet, E., et al. (2011). Interaction between the bHLH transcription factor FIT and ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3/ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3-LIKE1 reveals molecular linkage between the regulation of iron acquisition and ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 23, 1815–1829. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.084715
- Long, T. A., Tsukagoshi, H., Busch, W., Lahner, B., Salt, D. E., and Benfey, P. N. (2010). The bHLH transcription factor POPEYE regulates response to iron deficiency in Arabidopsis roots. *Plant Cell* 22, 2219–2236. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110. 074096
- López-Millán, A. F., Morales, F., Gogorcena, Y., Abadía, A., and Abadía, J. (2009). Metabolic responses in iron deficient tomato plants. J. Plant Physiol. 166, 375–384. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2008.06.011
- Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Manning, K., Tör, M., Poole, M., Hong, Y., Thompson, A. J., King, G. J., et al. (2006). A naturally occurring epigenetic mutation in a gene encoding an SBPbox transcription factor inhibits tomato fruit ripening. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 948–952. doi: 10.1038/ng1841
- Meiser, J., Lingam, S., and Bauer, P. (2011). Posttranslational regulation of the iron deficiency basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor FIT is affected by iron and nitric oxide. *Plant Physiol.* 157, 2154–2166. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.183285
- Park, E. Y., Tsuyuki, K. M., Hu, F. L., Lee, J., and Jeong, J. (2019). PRC2-mediated H3K27me3 contributes to transcriptional regulation of FIT-dependent iron deficiency response. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:627. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00627
- Robinson, D. C., and Wellburn, A. R. (1991). Seasonal changes in the pigments of Norway spruce. Picea abies (L.) Karst, and the influence of summer ozone exposures. *New Phytol.* 119, 251–259. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1991.tb01028.x
- Robinson, N. J., Procter, C. M., Connolly, E. L., and Guerinot, M. L. (1999). A ferric-chelate reductase for iron uptake from soils. *Nature* 397, 694–697. doi: 10.1038/17800
- Rodríguez-Celma, J., Connorton, J. M., Kruse, I., Green, R. T., Franceschetti, M., Chen, Y. T., et al. (2019). Arabidopsis BRUTUS-LIKE E3 ligases negatively regulate iron uptake by targeting transcription factor FIT for recycling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 17584–17591. doi: 10.1073/pnas.190797 1116
- Romera, F. J., García, M. J., Alcántara, E., and Pérez-Vicente, R. (2011). Latest findings about the interplay of auxin, ethylene and nitric oxide in the regulation of Fe deficiency responses by Strategy I plants. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 6, 167–170. doi: 10.4161/psb.6.1.14111
- Santi, S., and Schmidt, W. (2009). Dissecting iron deficiency-induced proton extrusion in Arabidopsis roots. *New Phytol.* 183, 1072–1084. doi: 10.1111/j. 1469-8137.2009.02908.x
- Secco, D., Whelan, J., Rouached, H., and Lister, R. (2017). Nutrient stress-induced chromatin changes in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 39, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi. 2017.04.001
- Selote, D., Samira, R., Matthiadis, A., Gillikin, J. W., and Long, T. A. (2015). Iron-binding E3 ligase mediates iron response in plants by targeting basic helixloop-helix transcription factors. *Plant Physiol.* 167, 273–286. doi: 10.1104/pp. 114.250837
- Shin, L. J., Lo, J. C., Chen, G. H., Callis, J., Fu, H., and Yeh, K. C. (2013). IRT1 degradation factor1, a ring E3 ubiquitin ligase, regulates the degradation of iron-regulated transporter1 in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25, 3039–3051. doi: 10. 1105/tpc.113.115212
- Singh, S., Kailasam, S., Lo, J. C., and Yeh, K. C. (2021). Histone H3 lysine4 trimethylation-regulated GRF11 expression is essential for the iron-deficiency

response in Arabidopsis thaliana. New Phytol. 230, 244-258. doi: 10.1111/nph. 17130

- Sun, H., Fan, H. J., and Ling, H. Q. (2015). Genome-wide identification and characterization of the bHLH gene family in tomato. *BMC Genomics* 16:9. doi: 10.1186/s12864-014-1209-2
- Tang, Q. Y., and Zhang, C. X. (2013). Data Processing System (DPS) software with experimental design, statistical analysis and data mining developed for use in entomological research. *Insect Sci.* 20, 254–260. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2012. 01519.x
- Tsai, H. H., and Schmidt, W. (2017). Mobilization of iron by plant-borne coumarins. Trends Plant Sci. 22, 538–548. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017.03.008
- Vert, G., Grotz, N., Dédaldéchamp, F., Gaymard, F., Guerinot, M. L., Briat, J. F., et al. (2002). IRT1, an Arabidopsis transporter essential for iron uptake from the soil and for plant growth. *Plant Cell* 14, 1223–1233. doi: 10.1105/tpc.001388
- Xing, J. W., Wang, T. Y., Liu, Z. S., Xu, J. Q., Yao, Y. Y., Hu, Z. R., et al. (2015). GENERAL CONTROL NONREPRESSED PROTEIN5-mediated histone acetylation of FERRIC REDUCTASE DEFECTIVE3 contributes to iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 168, 1309–1320. doi: 10.1104/pp.15. 00397
- Xu, J. M., Wang, Z. Q., Wang, J. Y., Li, P. F., Jin, J. F., Chen, W. W., et al. (2020). Low phosphate represses histone deacetylase complex1 to regulate root system architecture remodeling in Arabidopsis. *New Phytol.* 225, 1732–1745. doi: 10.1111/nph.16264
- Yang, J. L., Chen, W. W., Chen, L. Q., Qin, C., Jin, C. W., Shi, Y. Z., et al. (2013). The 14-3-3 protein GENERAL REGULATORY FACTOR11 (GRF11) acts downstream of nitric oxide to regulate iron acquisition in Arabidopsis thaliana. *New Phytol*. 197, 815–824. doi: 10.1111/nph.12057
- Yao, M. Q., Chen, W. W., Kong, J. H., Zhang, X., Shi, N. N., Zhong, S. L., et al. (2020). METHYLTRANSFERASE1 and ripening modulate vivipary during tomato fruit development. *Plant Physiol.* 183, 1883–1897. doi: 10.1104/pp.20. 00499
- Yong-Villalobos, L., González-Morales, S. I., Wrobel, K., Gutiérrez-Alanis, D., Cervantes-Peréz, S. A., Hayano-Kanashiro, C., et al. (2015). Methylome analysis reveals an important role for epigenetic changes in the regulation of the Arabidopsis response to phosphate starvation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 112, E7293–E7302. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1522301112
- Yuan, Y., Wu, H., Wang, N., Li, J., Zhao, W., Du, J., et al. (2008). FIT interacts with AtbHLH38 and AtbHLH39 in regulating iron uptake gene expression for iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *Cell Res.* 18, 385–397. doi: 10.1038/cr.2008.26
- Zhang, H. Y., Wang, X. H., Dong, L., Wang, Z. P., Liu, B., Lv, J., et al. (2017). MISSA 2.0: an updated synthetic biology toolbox for assembly of orthogonal CRISPR/Cas systems. *Sci. Rep.* 7:41993. doi: 10.1038/srep41993
- Zhang, J., Liu, B., Li, M. S., Feng, D. R., Jin, H. L., Wang, P., et al. (2015). The bHLH transcription factor bHLH104 interacts with IAA-LEUCINE RESISTANT3 and modulates iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 27, 787–805. doi: 10. 1105/tpc.114.132704
- Zhong, S. L., Fei, Z. J., Chen, Y. R., Zheng, Y., Huang, M. Y., Vrebalov, J., et al. (2013). Single-base resolution methylomes of tomato fruit development reveal epigenome modifications associated with ripening. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 154–159. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2462

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Chen, Zhu, Wang, Han, Huang, Hong and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.