



Monitoring of Salinity, Temperature, and Drought Stress in Grafted Watermelon Seedlings Using Chlorophyll Fluorescence

Yu Kyeong Shin¹, Shiva Ram Bhandari^{1,2*} and Jun Gu Lee^{1,2,3*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jung Eek Son, Seoul National University, South Korea

Reviewed by:

Weverton Pereira Rodrigues, Universidade Estadual da Região Tocantina do Maranhão (UEMASUL), Brazil Ilektra Sperdouli, Hellenic Agricultural Organisation (HAO), Greece Michael Moustakas, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

*Correspondence:

Jun Gu Lee jungu@jbnu.ac.kr Shiva Ram Bhandari shivarbhandari@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Technical Advances in Plant Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 30 September 2021 Accepted: 18 November 2021 Published: 22 December 2021

Citation:

Shin YK, Bhandari SR and Lee JG (2021) Monitoring of Salinity, Temperature, and Drought Stress in Grafted Watermelon Seedlings Using Chlorophyll Fluorescence. Front. Plant Sci. 12:786309. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.786309 ¹Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture & Life Sciences, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea, ²Core Research Institute of Intelligent Robots, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea, ³Institute of Agricultural Science & Technology, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea

Chlorophyll fluorescence (CF) is used to measure the physiological status of plants affected by biotic and abiotic stresses. Therefore, we aimed to identify the changes in CF parameters in grafted watermelon seedlings exposed to salt, drought, and high and low temperatures. Grafted watermelon seedlings at the true three-leaf stage were subjected to salinity levels (0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 mM) and temperature [low (8°C), moderate (24°C), and high (40°C)] stresses for 12 days under controlled environmental conditions independently. Eight CF parameters were measured at 2-day intervals using the FluorCam machine quenching protocol of the FluorCam machine. The seedlings were also exposed to drought stress for 3 days independent of salinity and temperature stress; CF parameters were measured at 1-day intervals. In addition, growth parameters, proline, and chlorophyll content were evaluated in all three experiments. The CF parameters were differentially influenced depending on the type and extent of the stress conditions. The results showed a notable effect of salinity levels on CF parameters, predominantly in maximum quantum yield (Fv/Fm), non-photochemical guenching (NPQ), the ratio of the fluorescence decrease (Rfd), and quantum yield of non-regulated energy dissipation in PSII [Y(NO)]. High temperature had significant effects on Rfd and NPQ, whereas low temperature showed significant results in most CF parameters: Fv/Fm, Y(NO), NPQ, Rfd, the efficiency of excitation capture of open photosystem II (PSII) center (Fv'/Fm'), and effective quantum yield of photochemical energy conversion in PSII [Y(PSII)]. Only NPQ and Rfd were significantly influenced by severe drought stress. Approximately, all the growth parameters were significantly influenced by the stress level. Proline content increased with an increase in stress levels in all three experiments, whereas the chlorophyll (a and b) content either decreased or increased depending upon the stressor. The results provided here may be useful for understanding the effect of abiotic stresses on CF parameters and the selection of index CF parameters to detect abiotic stresses in grafted watermelon seedlings.

Keywords: proline, abiotic stress, maximum quantum yield, growth parameter, chlorophyll

INTRODUCTION

Plants experience a range of stresses during their life cycle and exhibit physiological, biochemical, and molecular responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (Fahad et al., 2017; Nadeem et al., 2018). These stressors affect the plants negatively in different ways, depending on the extent and duration of the stress (Shin et al., 2020a; Giordano et al., 2021). The ultimate effects of stressors are reduction in growth by decreasing the photosynthesis rate, changes in bioactive compounds, and overall yield (Toscano et al., 2019; Giordano et al., 2021). Among the different abiotic stresses, salt, temperature, and drought stress are some of the important abiotic stresses experienced by plants during cultivation (Kalaji et al., 2016). The effects of each abiotic stress slightly differ from each other to some extent, although their ultimate effects are the reduction in growth via reduced photosynthesis rate, alteration in phytochemicals, and overall yield. The effects of stressors in plants have been studied in a range of plants at different stages of their life cycle (Bhandari et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020a,b).

Salinity stress disrupts membrane permeability and stomatal closure, and imbalances ion concentrations; this reduces the photosynthetic rate as well as the levels of photosynthetic pigments, growth, and yields by up to 20% worldwide (De Oliveira et al., 2013). Salinity stress influences the relationship between salinity level and water, stomatal closure, leaf wilting, premature aging of leaves due to salinity accumulation, and decreased growth and yield (Garg et al., 2020; Lotfi et al., 2020). Salinity stress can be due to either a short-term exposure or a long-term stress due to continuous nutrient and salinity accumulation in the rhizome, affecting growth and fruit production (Negrão et al., 2017). Plants may receive either high- or low-temperature stresses during cultivation; their effects are dependent on the plant genotypes (Korkmaz and Dufault, 2001; Hou et al., 2016; Rajametov et al., 2021). In particular, high-and low-temperature stress causes various physiological changes in plants, such as damage to the cellular structure of plants, reduction of chlorophyll levels, and deterioration of photosynthetic function (Garstka et al., 2007; Mattila et al., 2020). High temperatures severely affect the structure and functions of cell membranes, causing early bolting, dehydration of soil moisture content, and disruption of ion movement, which reduces photosynthesis and ~50% reduction in total yield in different crops (Fahad et al., 2017; Nadeem et al., 2018). Under high temperature stress, plants accumulate reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydrogen peroxide (Soengas et al., 2018; Hassan et al., 2020). Furthermore, the electron transport ability during photosynthesis is reduced, reducing the energy utilization capacity of photosystem II (Song et al., 2014). In contrast, excessively low temperatures are responsible for chilling injuries in plants and damage to the photosynthetic apparatus (Lee et al., 2021). Soil water content has a crucial role, and optimum water content is required for plant growth under normal conditions. A reduction in soil water content, causing drought stress in plants, disrupts the uptake of minerals and other essential nutrients (Yordanov et al., 2000). Sometimes, sudden changes in temperature levels during the life cycle of

plants cause thermal shock which may induce the expression of some genes which in turn results in the increased synthesis of some proteins: heat shock proteins (Gupta et al., 2010). These proteins are responsible to bind and stabilize misfolded proteins. Such proteins are also induced by the other stress factors such as osmotic potential, salinity, drought, and high intensity irradiations (Swindell et al., 2007). Furthermore, plants also undergo various in vitro phenotypic and in vivo physiological changes both internally and externally when subjected to drought stress, and activate multiple defense mechanisms for protection and survival (Yordanov et al., 2000; Kapoor et al., 2020; Tabassum et al., 2021). In addition, drought stress is known to limit photosynthetic activity (Shafiq et al., 2021). Drought stress caused by inadequate watering induces physiological changes in plants, such as cell dehydration, osmotic pressure imbalance, and plant growth retardation (Jia et al., 2021). Depending on abiotic stressors, such as salinity, drought, high, and low temperatures, plants show various phenotypic and physiological responses in vivo according to various crops, genetic resources, varieties, growth stages, and stress tolerance levels (He et al., 2018). Photo-inhibition caused by environmental stress on photosystem II is closely related to the photosynthetic performance of plants (Murata et al., 2007). Therefore, studying the effects of abiotic stress in plants is an important step in the production of high-yield and nutritionally improved crops (Fahad et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2020).

Both destructive and non-destructive methods have been used to detect abiotic stress and their responses in plants (Gorbe and Calatayud, 2012; Kalaji et al., 2016; Bhandari et al., 2018; Susič et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020b). Among them, chlorophyll fluorescence (*CF*) imaging is one of the most common non-destructive techniques that has been applied to detect abiotic stresses in a range of plants (Moustakas et al., 2021). The *CF* parameters provide information on the mechanical detail and extent of damage in plants due to stress. Protocols capable of measuring various chlorophyll fluorescence parameters include the chlorophyll fluorescence induction curve (OJIP), the Kautsky effect (chlorophyll a fluorescence induction), and quenching effects (Lichtenthaler and Babani, 2000; Zushi et al., 2012; Yao et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020a).

Watermelon (Citrullus lanatus) is a high-income economic crop, with 3.08 million hectares of cultivated area and 100 million tons of worldwide production (FAO, 2019). It has been found that the overall yield and fruit quality of watermelon are severely affected by biological and environmental stressors during cultivation (Toscano et al., 2019; Giordano et al., 2021). To solve this problem, the development of particular stressresistant cultivars has been pursued; however, it requires diverse strategies, sufficient time, and human resources (Bulgari et al., 2019). As an alternative, the use of stress-resistant stock cultivars and grafted seedlings for high quality and yield is steadily increasing (Kumar et al., 2017). As the use of grafted seedlings has increased in response to various stressors, the domestic seedling market is developing into specialized seedling production facilities with expertise in facility gardening, smart farms, and grafting machines (Kwack et al., 2021). The development of the seedling industry reflects various cultivation management

objectives, such as of soil-borne diseases in the growing environment, climate change, and increases in fruit production (Rahmatian et al., 2014; Spanò et al., 2020). Furthermore, seedling industries have been used small container (plug tray having different sizes) for the efficient production of seedlings as it occupies small space and cost effective. The various abiotic factors affecting grafted seedlings include lack of nutrients, salinity accumulation, drought, water, and high and low temperatures (Coskun et al., 2016; Nievola et al., 2017; Hussain et al., 2018). Both grafted and non-grafted seedlings have been used for watermelon cultivation; however, the use of grafted seedlings has been increasing because of their high yield (Lee et al., 2010). Several studies related to the effects of abiotic stress in watermelon have been performed (Yetişir and Uygur, 2009; Hou et al., 2016; Yanyan et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2020, 2021). However, the effects of salinity, temperature, and drought stresses independently in a single cultivar during a different treatment schedule have not been performed in detail.

Therefore, this study was performed to evaluate the effects of salt, temperature, and drought stress on *CF* parameters, photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a and b), stress-related compounds (proline), and growth performance in grafted watermelon seedlings during progressive exposure to the respective stressors, and to select possible index *CF* parameters for the detection of salt, temperature, and drought stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Seedling Preparation

For the preparation of watermelon (Citrullus lanatus) grafted seedlings, the scion cultivar 'Seo Tae Ja' (Asia Seed Co. Ltd., Seoul, South Korea) and stock cultivar 'Seol Jung Mae Plus' (Tae Seong Seed Co. Ltd., Yeonggwang, South Korea) which was resistant to temperature stress were used. The scion seeds were sowed in 128-cell plug trays $(54.4 \text{ cm} \times 28.2 \text{ cm} \times 5.4 \text{ cm})$; stock seeds were sowed in 40-cell plug trays $(54.4 \text{ cm} \times 28.2 \text{ cm} \times 5.4 \text{ cm})$ filled with bed soil (Chorok-i, Nongwoobio Co. Ltd., Suwon, South Korea). Grafted seedlings were made from the scion and stock using the single cotyledon grafting method, according to Hassell et al. (2008), and were used in experiments when the true leaves of the scion reached the three-leaf stage. Watermelon-grafted seedlings were grown by a professional seedling company (Sol-Rae Seedling Farm, Iksan, South Korea) in a greenhouse with the standard protocol developed for experimental plant materials until the three trueleaf stages.

Experimental Design and Growth Conditions

The experiment was performed under three different stress conditions: salt, temperature, and drought stress independently. A detailed experimental plan is presented in **Figure 1**. For the salt and temperature stress treatments, the grafted seedlings were grown in a closed light box $(120 \text{ cm} \times 65 \text{ cm} \times 45 \text{ cm}; l \times b \times h)$ under a fluorescent lamp (Philips, TLD 32 W/865RS)

with a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of $210 \pm 10 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$, 24/18°C (day/night) temperature, 14/10-h (day/night) photoperiod, and $60 \pm 3\%$ relative humidity for 3 days of acclimatization. In the salt stress treatment, 35 seedlings were used for each treatment. The seedlings were treated with five different NaCl concentrations (0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 mM). Three liters of the respective NaCl solution were kept in different trays before irrigation, and the seedlings were irrigated once a day for 10 min in the morning until the end of the experiment. The seedlings were grown under the same conditions for 12 days. Drought stress treatment followed the same conditions for acclimatization. For the drought stress experiment, one set of grafted seedlings was irrigated every day with the nutrient solution EC 1.5 dS⁻¹ using the sub-irrigation method for 20 min as the control (well-irrigated), whereas another set of seedlings was not irrigated (non-irrigation) after the start of the experiment for 3 days and assumed to be drought stressed. The conditions of the closed light box were similar to those of the salt stress experiment. The drought stress treatment was performed only for 3 days as the seedlings under drought stress showed permanent wilting symptoms with severe leaf deformation and stunted plant growth. In the temperature stress treatment, the seedlings were grown under the same light conditions, 24/20°C (day/night) temperature, 14/10-h (day/night) photoperiod, and non-controlled relative humidity for 3 days for acclimatization of the seedlings. The seedlings were then grown under three temperature conditions: low [8/4°C (day/ night)], moderate [24/20°C (day/night)], and high [40/36°C (day/night)] for 12 days at the same light conditions. Irrigation was performed daily in the morning using the sub-irrigation method. Three liters of water was kept in each tray and the plug tray was embedded for 20 min in every morning and transferred to the respective temperature controller system.

Measurement of Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters

An open FluorCam 800 (Photon System Instruments, Drasow, Czech Republic) was used for imaging of CF kinetics from the upper surface of all true leaves from the intact seedlings according to Shin et al. (2020b). Cool white 6,500K in the LED panels (130 mm×130 mm) was used as the light source at an angle of 45°. Seedlings were adapted in dark condition for 20 min before the measurement of CF parameters. The distance between the camera lens and the seedling canopy was maintained at 15-20 cm. In summary, eight CF parameters were assessed according to Shin et al. (2020b) using the following protocols: quenching act 2, shutter speed 20 μ s, sensitivity 20%, actinic light 240 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, and the saturating flash light $300 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$. Detailed information on each CF parameter is provided in Table 1. For the salinity and temperature stress treatments, seven watermelon-grafted seedlings (from 35 seedlings per treatment) were randomly selected for each time point (0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 days after the initiation of salinity stress) and used to measure the CF parameters. In contrast, the CF parameters were measured every day until day 3 of the drought stress initiation. Five seedlings (from 20 seedlings per treatment) were randomly selected for each



TABLE 1 | Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters used in this study.

Parameter	Formula	Description		
Fv/Fm	(Fm – F0)/Fm	Maximum quantum yield of PSII photochemistry measured in the dark- adapted state		
Fv'/Fm'	(Fm' – F0')/Fm'	Exciton transfer efficiency from antenna pigments to the reaction center of photosystem II (PSII) in the light-adapted state		
Y(PSII)	(Fm' – Fs)/Fm'	Effective quantum yield of photochemical energy conversion in PSII		
NPQ	(Fm – Fm')/Fm'	Non-photochemical quenching of maximum fluorescence		
qP	(Fm'-Fs)/(Fm'-F'0)	Photochemical quenching of PSII		
qN	(Fm – F'm)/(Fm – F'0)	Coefficient of non- photochemical quenching of variable fluorescence		
Y(NO)	1/[NPQ+1+qL(Fm/ F0-1)]	Quantum yield of non- regulated energy dissipation in PSII		
Rfd	(Fm-Fs)/Fs	Ratio of fluorescence decline		

measurement time (0, 1, 2, and 3 days) and used for the analysis of *CF* parameters.

Measurement of Growth Parameters and Soil Moisture Content

Growth parameters included the number of leaves, shoot fresh and dry weights of the scion, plant height of scion and stock, and root fresh weight. Plant height was measured using a set of digital calipers (CD-20APX; Mitutovo Co., Kanagawa, Japan) to evaluate the growth performance of the grafted seedlings. Fresh shoot and root weights were measured using a digital weighing machine (UX420H; Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). The relative water content of the soil in a single plug tray was measured by drying the soil samples at 105°C for 72h according to Shin et al. (2021a). The seedlings from 0, 6, and 12 of treatment time after measuring CF and growth parameters were collected separately, freeze-dried and used for chlorophyll and proline analysis in salinity and temperature stress treatments, whereas the samples from all the treatment times (0, 1, 2, and 3 days after the initiation of treatment) were used in the drought stress experiment. Seven seedlings from each treatment and time were mixed independently, freeze-dried, ground into a fine powder, and stored at -20° C for the analysis of chlorophyll and proline content.

Analysis of Chlorophyll and Proline Content

Chlorophyll a and b were measured according to Shin et al. (2020b) using a microplate reader (Multiskan Go; Thermo Scientific Inc.). Twenty milligrams of freeze-dried and finely

powdered samples were mixed with 10 ml MeOH (Avantor Performance Materials Co., Center Valley, PA, United States) for 2 h at room temperature (~25°C), and the aliquot was centrifuged at $2,400 \times g$ for 10 min. The supernatant was filtered using a $0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$ syringe filter, and the absorbance was measured at 652 and 665 nm using a microplate reader.

The method for analysis of proline content was adopted from Shin et al. (2020b). A total of 50 mg of freeze-dried and powdered samples was mixed in 5 ml of 3% aqueous sulfosalicyclic acid dehydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States), extracted for 1 h by shaking at 200 rpm, centrifuged $(2,400 \times g$ for 10 min), and filtered. The supernatant of the sample $(500 \,\mu\text{l})$, acetic acid from Sigma-Aldrich (500 µl), and acid ninhydrin from Sigma-Aldrich (500 µl) were mixed simultaneously in a 15-ml tube, kept in a water bath (at 95°C) for 1h, and cooled rapidly on ice for 10 min. After adding 1 ml of toluene (Sigma-Aldrich) to the supernatant, the mixture was vortexed and centrifuged at $2,400 \times g$ for 10 min. Thereafter, the toluene phase (200 µl) was added in a 96-well plate, and the absorbance was measured using a microplate reader at 520 nm. Proline content was quantified using a commercial L-proline (Sigma-Aldrich) standard with a linear range of $0-100 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1}$.

Statistical Analyses

The results of growth parameters and *CF* parameters in salinity and temperature stress treatments are reported as the mean of seven biological replications, whereas the results were reported as the mean of five biological replications in drought stress treatment. The chlorophyll and proline contents were reported as the mean of three replicates in all the three experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using RStudio ver. 4.0.2 (R Studio Desktop, Boston, MA, United States). Statistical analysis followed by Duncan's multiple range test was used to analyze the statistical differences among the mean values at p < 0.05. The interactive effect of respective treatments and treatment time were analyzed using a mixed model of one-way analysis of variance.

RESULTS

Effect of Salinity Level on Growth and *CF* Parameters

The effect of salinity level on growth parameters (number of leaves, plant height, shoot fresh weight, and root fresh weight) is presented in **Figures 2A–D**. The growth parameters were measured at 0, 6, and 12 days of the experiment. The visual appearance was poor at salinity levels of 150 and 200 mM (**Figure 3**). Growth parameters gradually decreased with increasing salinity levels during the progressive treatment. The effect of salinity level was highest at 12 days after treatment initiation. The number of leaves significantly decreased at all salinity levels compared to the control at day 12 of treatment. Plant height, shoot fresh weight, and root fresh weight were also significantly lower in the seedlings grown under salinity stress than in the control. The effect of salinity level on plant height was relatively higher than on other growth parameters.



The photochemical and non-photochemical quenching CF parameters measured at 2-day intervals were affected by salinity levels during the progressive treatment time (Figure 4). Except for Y(NO), all the other CF parameters decreased at higher salinity levels during the progressive treatment time. Fv/Fm, maximum quantum yield of PSII, showed non-significant changes until the end of the experiment (12 days of treatment time) at 0-, 50-, and 100-mM salinity levels. However, it decreased significantly at 150- and 200-mM salinity level from 8 day of treatment. Fv'/Fm' also decreased at higher salinity levels in the later stage of treatment time; however, the magnitude of the decrease was lower than that of Fv/Fm. NPQ, an important non-photochemical quenching parameter, was not affected at the 50-mM salinity level throughout the experiment. It showed a gradual decrease from 6, 8, and 10 days after treatment at salinity levels of 200, 150, and 100 mM, respectively. Y(NO), a component that indicates the effectiveness of the photo-protection mechanism, started to increase from 8 days after treatment



only at higher salinity levels (150 and 200 mM). Rfd also showed a similar changing pattern as in the NPQ at the respective salinity levels. qP, qN, and Y(PSII) also decreased with an increase in salinity level (>50 mM) from the 4 day of treatment. In summary, the results showed significant differences in all *CF* parameters according to salinity level, treatment duration, and their interactive effects (**Table 2**).

Effect of Temperature Stress on Growth and Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters

The effects of low, moderate, and high temperature on growth parameters at 0, 6, and 12 days of treatment are presented in **Figure 5**. All the growth parameters were lower in both the high and low temperature conditions compared to the control in respective treatment times. The total number

of leaves and root fresh weight were statistically higher at the end of the experiment than in the beginning in both moderate and high temperature conditions; however, it remained constant throughout the experimental time at low temperature. Plant height and shoot fresh weight increased under moderate and high temperature conditions, whereas they increased at 6 days of treatment time and decreased with further treatment time at low temperature. Among the three treatment conditions, low temperatures showed significantly lower values of growth parameters at the end of the experiment. Although the growth parameters were highly reduced in the low-temperature treatment, the visual appearance of seedlings was as good as that in seedlings at moderate temperature (Figure 6). The leaves of seedlings grown under higher temperatures showed vellow burning leaves.

The *CF* parameters were differentially affected by the temperature conditions. Among the three temperature conditions, low temperature showed the highest effect on all *CF* parameters (**Figure 7**). Fv/Fm values continuously decreased from 0.81 at 0 day to 0.45 at the end of the experiment under low temperature treatment; they remained steady throughout the treatment time in high- and moderate-temperature conditions. A similar decreasing pattern was also observed in Fv/Fm' and Y(PSII)



grown under different salt concentration levels during the progressive treatment time. Each plot point represents the mean ± SD of seven biological replicates. Refer **Table 1** for the description of each parameter.

values in the seedlings at low temperatures. Rfd and NPQ were significantly affected by both high and low temperatures, showing a gradual decrease during progressive treatment time. In contrast, qP and qN showed non-significant changes under all temperature conditions. Only low temperature had a significant effect on Y(NO) levels, which increased gradually from the beginning and were highest at the end of the experiment. Overall, the effect of temperature stress, treatment time, and their interaction showed significant results for nearly all the *CF* parameters except for qP (**Table 3**).

Effect of Drought Stress on Growth and Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters

The changes in soil water content and growth parameters of watermelon seedlings during the progressive treatment time (0-3 days after treatment) under control (well-irrigation) and drought-stress (no-irrigation) treatments are presented in Figure 8. The water content in the soil gradually decreased from 77% (1st day of treatment) to 37% (3rd day of treatment time) under drought stress. The shoot fresh weight and number of leaves were statistically lower in drought-stressed seedlings than in control seedlings on the 3rd day of the experiment. In contrast, plant height showed statistically similar values between control and drought stress groups at the respective treatment times, although the value was lower in droughtstressed seedlings than in the control at the end of the experiment. The shoot of the scion began to wither continuously after the initiation of drought stress, and the stock began to wilt on the 2nd day (Figure 9). On the 3rd day of drought stress, the seedlings had permanent wilting symptoms showing cotyledons and curling of first three true leaves downward and upward, respectively; therefore, further treatment was not performed.

Most of the *CF* parameters did not differ significantly between control and drought-stressed seedlings during the respective treatment times (**Figure 10**). Fv/Fm remained unchanged throughout the experiment between control and drought stress treatment, while Fv'/Fm', Y(NO), qN, and NPQ showed significant changes only at the end of the experiment (3^{rd} day of treatment time). In contrast, Y(PSII), qP, and Rfd in drought stressed seedlings showed some decrement when compared to

TABLE 2 | Summary of analysis of *CF* parameters of watermelon grafted seedlings at five salinity levels (0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 mM) and seven treatment times (0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 days).

Parameters	Salinity level (S)		Treatment time (T)		S×T	
	F-Value	Significance	F-Value	Significance	F-Value	Significance
Fv/Fm	33.83	888	23.45	***	9.04	***
Fv'/Fm'	16.107	***	19.26	***	6.84	***
Y(PSII)	63.04	***	68.74	***	7.37	***
NPQ	80.57	***	15.70	***	15.62	888
qN	62.88	888	15.09	***	12.10	888
qP	41.987	***	46.18	***	6.05	888
Rfd	73.12	***	56.85	***	12.99	888
Y(NO)	46.05	***	30.95	***	10.03	***

*** indicate significance at p < 0.001. Detailed information on each CF parameter is provided in **Table 1**.



FIGURE 5 | Changes in growth parameters (A–D), chlorophyll (E,F) and proline (G) content of grafted watermelon seedlings grown under moderate, high, and low temperatures during the progressive treatment time. Each bar represents the mean \pm SD of seven biological replicates in growth parameters, and three replicates in chlorophyll and proline content. Different letters within a figure indicate a significant difference at p < 0.05 by Duncan's multiple range test.

control seedlings at respective treatment time showing the statistically lower value at the end of the treatment time. At overall, except for Fv/Fm, all *CF* parameters were significantly affected by drought stress, treatment time, and their interaction (**Table 4**).

Effect of Salinity, Temperature, and Drought Stress on Chlorophyll and Proline Content

Chlorophyll and proline content were differentially affected by salinity, drought, and temperature stress. Content levels of both chlorophyll a and b decreased with increasing salinity levels and treatment time (**Figures 2E,F**). Chlorophyll a was decreased significantly with the increase of salinity level until 150 mM, and increased at 200 mM salinity level at 6 days of treatment time, while it continuously decreased with the increase of salinity level

at 12 days of treatment time. Chlorophyll b followed somewhat similar pattern as shown in chlorophyll content at 6 days of treatment time while it was statistically similar in higher concentration (150 and 200 mM) at 12 days of treatment time. Chlorophyll b was more sensitive to salinity levels than chlorophyll a. It showed 29 and 63% of decrement in 6 and 12 days of treatment time, respectively in 200 mM salinity level compared to the control. Temperature stress also had a significant effect on both chlorophyll a and b content. Seedlings exposed to both high and low temperature conditions had statistically lower chlorophyll content levels at both 6 and 12 days of treatment compared to the control (Figures 5E,F). However, they were differentially affected by high and low temperatures. High temperature showed a continuous decrease in levels of both chlorophylls as the treatment time progressed, whereas both chlorophylls content decreased at day 6 and was restored at day 12 of low temperature stress. The effect of high temperature stress on the chlorophyll content was lower than that of the salinity stress treatment showing only 13 and 14% of decrement in 6 and 12 days of treatment time, respectively. Levels of both chlorophyll a and b increased at day 12 of treatment at low temperatures when compared with those at high temperatures. Seedlings under drought stress showed significantly higher chlorophyll a content levels than the control at the 1st day and decreased from the 2nd day on, whereas chlorophyll b levels were lower in drought-stressed seedlings than in the control from the 1st day of treatment to the end of the experiment (Figures 8E,F).

The level of proline, an important stress indicator, increased with increases in salinity level at both 6 and 12 days of treatment time (Figure 2G), and the highest proline levels were found in the 200 mM-salinity level-treatment seedlings. Similarly, temperature stress also caused a significant change in proline content (Figure 5G). Plants subjected to both the high and low temperature treatment had higher proline content compared to the control at both the 6 and 12 day of treatment time (Figure 8G). High temperature stress showed the highest proline accumulation in both the 6 and 12 day of treatment time. Drought stress also resulted in higher proline content in stressed seedlings than in the control at different treatment times. Overall, the effect of each stressor, treatment time, and their interaction had significant effects on both chlorophyll and proline content (Table 5). Temperature stress showed the most significant changes (*F*-value: 5387; p > 0.001) in proline content within the stress levels of respective experiments, while both the chlorophyll a and b were highly affected by salinity stress than by the water and temperature stress.

DISCUSSION

Effect of Salt, Temperature, and Drought Stress on Growth Parameters

Plants experience many biotic and abiotic stresses during their life cycle. Salt, temperature, and drought stress are important abiotic stresses that have adverse effects on plant growth and productivity. This study summarizes the effects of salt, temperature, and drought stress on growth and *CF* parameters



FIGURE 6 | Changes in visual appearance of grafted watermelon seedlings grown under different temperature conditions during the progressive treatment time.

along with chlorophyll and proline content in grafted watermelon seedlings at three true-leaf stages. The results showed the differential effects of each stress on growth performance and the photosynthetic apparatus. The magnitude of the effect was dependent on the type of stress and the duration of seedling exposure to stress. We found a significant decrease in growth parameter values under all stress conditions, which was consistent with previous reports in a range of plants, including watermelon (Hou et al., 2016; Bhandari et al., 2018; Yanyan et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2021; Shin et al., 2021a).

Similar to several previous studies in various plants (Bhandari et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020a, 2021b), growth parameter values were significantly reduced in all three experiments. However, the magnitude of the variation was dependent on the stressor. There were clear differences between the control and salinitystressed seedlings. Changes in leaf phenotype and roots of plants subjected to salinity stress and a decrease in fresh shoot weight of scions were previously observed in watermelon, Arabidopsis, lettuce, and tomato (Kamanga et al., 2020; Rolly et al., 2020; Shin et al., 2020a; Song et al., 2020). In addition, the poor growth status showing leaf deformation and stunted seedling growth under drought stress conditions was similar to the previous report by Zhang et al. (2011) that might be due to physiological changes in the leaves, nodes, and stems, including decreased chlorophyll content and inhibition of photosynthesis due to lack of water (Zhang et al., 2019). Similar results have been reported for tomato, watermelon, and other plants (Omprakash et al., 2017; Moles et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). These results were similar to those of previous studies, which found that the chlorophyll content of plants affected by drought stress was reduced in watermelon, tomato, lettuce, and Arabidopsis affected by drought stress (Banks et al., 2018;

Yao et al., 2018; Malambane et al., 2021; Shin et al., 2021a). Our results also showed a decrease in growth parameters under high and low temperature conditions, which is in accordance with Hou et al. (2016). In addition, high-temperature and low-temperature stress causes damage to the cellular structure of plants, reduction of chlorophyll, and deterioration of photosynthetic function (Garstka et al., 2007; Mattila et al., 2020). The result showed more prominent effect of low temperature compared to the high temperature stress this was because the stock cultivar used in this study was resistant to





the high temperature. Phenotypic changes in the leaves and roots of plants subjected to low-temperature stress and a decrease in fresh shoot weight of scions were also observed in *Arabidopsis* and watermelon seedlings (Mattila et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2021).

Effect of Salt, Temperature, and Drought Stress on *CF* Parameters

CF analysis can sensitively detect changes in photosynthetic activities in plants and has been used to study the response of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses (Gorbe and Calatayud, 2012; Shin et al., 2020a,b, 2021a). CF has been widely used as a non-destructive evaluation technique to evaluate the photosynthetic level of plants under salinity stress (Morant-Manceau et al., 2004; Bhandari et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020b). However, the response to different stresses is highly dependent on the magnitude, type, and duration of stress undergone by the plants, and on plant genotypes. In this study, CF parameters responded differentially depending on the type of stress, although the general trends of some parameters were similar. Plants exposed to stressful conditions exhibited a decreasing trend photochemical quenching and an increase in in non-photochemical quenching parameters, although non-photochemical quenching parameters also decrease at severe stressful conditions (Murchie and Lawson, 2013).

Fv/Fm, an important photochemical quenching parameter that determines the maximum quantum efficiency under dark conditions, showed a similar value (~0.80) throughout the experimental period under control conditions in all three experiments. These results are consistent with a large number of other studies on unstressed plants (He et al., 2009; Bhandari et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020b, 2021a). Salt stress induced a decrease in Fv/Fm values, but the decrease was significant only at higher salinity levels after 8 days of treatment. Similar results were also previously found in a range of plants, including watermelon (Hou et al., 2016; Shin et al., 2020b). In accordance with Bhandari et al. (2018), we found a significant decrease in Fv/Fm values in seedlings grown under low temperature, whereas high temperature did not affect Fv/Fm until the end of the experiment, indicating that higher temperatures did not damage the primary photochemical reaction sites; stroma of chloroplasts and thylakoid lamellae which are the primary sites

TABLE 3 | Summary of analysis of *CF* parameter of watermelon grafted seedlings at three temperature levels (8/4°C, 24/20°C, and 40/36°C day/night temperature) and seven treatment times (0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 days).

Parameters	Temperature level (T)		Treatment time (T)		T×T	
	F-Value	Significance	F-Value	Significance	F-Value	Significance
Fv/Fm	310.56	848	13.81	***	11.71	888
Fv'/Fm'	393.25	888	12.27	***	9.39	888
Y(PSII)	28.54	888	5.57	***	2.47	*
NPQ	83.63	888	7.37	***	5.98	***
qN	66.59	848	5.08	***	4.56	888
aP	2.41	NS	2.72	*	1.46	NS
Rfd	77.74	848	11.73	***	3.94	888
Y(NO)	253.10	***	14.75	***	11.84	***

*, and *** indicate significance at p < 0.05, and p < 0.001, respectively. NS: non-significant. Detailed information on each CF parameter is provided in Table 1.



of heat injury (Wise et al., 2004). In the drought stress treatment, we found non-significant changes in Fv/Fm throughout the treatment period. Such differential effects on Fv/Fm by abiotic stresses have also been observed in a range of plants (Fahad et al., 2017; Giordano et al., 2021), however, this is the first report that provides information on Fv/Fm values under three stress factors at the same time.

The other photochemical quenching parameters [Fv'/Fm', Y(PSII), and qP] were also affected when exposed to stress conditions. All of them exhibited similar trends as in the case of Fv/Fm, although the magnitude was different, showing lower values when exposed to higher salt stress (>50 mM). In the case of temperature stress treatment, we found significantly lower values of Fv'/Fm' and Y(PSII) from days 2 and 4 of treatment onward, respectively. In contrast, qP showed non-significant changes. Furthermore, only Y(PSII) values

exhibited significant differences between control and droughtstressed seedlings, and even in that case, the difference was statistically significant at the end of the experiment. The decrease in the Fv'/Fm' values in the seedlings grown under lower temperatures might be due to chilling injury caused by cold stress under the given conditions (Hou et al., 2016). All the photo chemical quenching parameters were affected by temperature stress suggesting the disturbed homeostasis *via* the modification in carbon metabolism enzymes, starch accumulation, and sucrose synthesis, by down regulating the genes in carbohydrate metabolism (Ruan et al., 2010).

Values of the non-photochemical quenching parameters NPQ and qN decreased with increasing salinity level and treatment time. In this case, we also found a significant effect at higher salinity levels (>50 mM). The adverse effect was observed at 150- and 200-mM salinity levels. In the second experiment with temperature stress conditions, both the high and low temperatures had non-significant effects on qN when compared to the control at the respective treatment time. In contrast, both high and low temperatures exhibited significant differences in NPQ values at the respective treatment times. NPQ levels generally increase under stressful conditions and decrease under severe stressful conditions (Murchie and Lawson, 2013), and the magnitude of changes depends on the plant species and the level of stress (Yao et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020a). Seedlings grown under high salinity level and low and high temperatures showed a significant decrease in NPQ levels when compared to control seedlings during the respective treatment time, indicating a reduction in the heat dissipation capacity, limitations of CO₂ assimilation, and imbalance of photochemical activity in photosystem II (Huang et al., 2019). In addition, our results also indicated the incapacity of the protection mechanism due to senescence for the downregulation during those periods (Ors et al., 2021). Furthermore, non-significant changes in NPQ levels until the end of the experiment under drought conditions indicated that the photochemical activity in the photosystem was not severely influenced until that period.

Y(NO) is an important CF parameter that indicates the effectives of the photo-protective mechanisms (NPQ) in plants. The increase in Y(NO) levels at a higher salinity levels and at lower temperature conditions implied that the seedlings received extreme stress during the experiment, and the NPQ was decreased under extreme stress conditions (Murchie and Lawson, 2013; Huang et al., 2019). These results are consistent with previous reports on watermelon and tomato seedlings (Hou et al., 2016; Shin et al., 2020a). Similar to the effects on NPQ, Y(NO) levels also exhibited non-significant changes until the 2nd day of treatment time in drought stress treatment, implying that the photosynthetic activity was normal until that period. Rfd, an indicator of plant vitality under stressful conditions (Murchie and Lawson, 2013), showed a significant decrease in high salinity levels from day 4 of the experiment on salinity stress experiment. Our results were consistent with those of Shin et al. (2020b), who also found a significant decrease in Rfd levels in tomato seedlings exposed to extreme salinity stress. Both the high-and low-temperature stress also showed a significant decrease in Rfd levels from the beginning



FIGURE 9 | Changes in visual appearance of grafted watermelon seedlings grown under control and drought stress conditions during the progressive treatment time.

of the experiment; however, low temperature had a more prominent effect on Rfd levels, in accordance with Hou et al. (2016). Drought stress had minimal effect only at the end of the experiment, suggesting that the photosynthetic protective mechanism of watermelon seedlings is affected only under extreme drought conditions (soil water level < 40%). NPQ, which was the common parameter significantly affected by each of the stressor, is presented as a representative chlorophyll fluorescence images to understand the spatial heterogeneity (**Figure 11**). The results showed the obvious spatial variation in *CF* images but differently depending upon the stressor.

The response of *CF* parameters to salt, temperature, and drought stress conditions were different from each other. Fv/ Fm, NPQ, Rfd, and Y(NO) showed significant changes during the progressive treatment time at higher salinity levels, suggesting the possible use of these parameters to detect salinity stress. Only two *CF* parameters; Rfd and NPQ were significantly affected under high temperature conditions even the cultivar was high-temperature resistant, while six parameters [Fv/Fm, Fv'/Fm', Rfd, Y(NO), Y(PSII), and NPQ] were affected significantly under low temperature conditions. So, two parameters (Rfd and NPQ) can be used to detect low-and high-temperature stress, as these parameters decreased significantly from the beginning of the experiment under both temperature conditions. Furthermore, Rfd and NPQ levels decreased significantly at the end of the experiment in the drought stress treatment and could be considered for detecting drought stress. Further studies on seedlings of many watermelon genotypes exposed to long-term stress and higher light conditions might be required to detect the effect of genotype, and the impact of initial severe stress as genotype is also a key factor for the tolerance of stress conditions.

Effect of Salt, Temperature, and Drought Stress on Chlorophyll and Proline Content

Proline, one of the osmoprotectants (glycine, proline, betaine, and soluble sugars) is an important compatible solute found in plants (Farooq et al., 2008). It is generally elevated in large amounts in plants under stressful conditions. It is found in plants in small quantities even under non-stressed conditions (Trovato et al., 2008; Gupta et al., 2014). It helps to maintain membrane integrity by maintaining turgor pressure to sustain the growth of the plant (Gupta et al., 2014). The level of proline content is highly dependent on plant genotypes and stressors (Nikolaeva et al., 2010). Proline increased with the increase in stress level in all three experiments compared to the respective control seedlings, and the magnitude of increment was dependent on the stressor. We found a significant increase in proline content with increasing salinity and progressive treatment time. The highest proline levels were found in the seedlings treated with the highest salinity level, similar to previous reports on lettuce, tomato, and citrus (Shin et al., 2020a,b; Martínez-Cuenca et al., 2021). The elevation of proline levels at

different salinity levels indicated that seedlings grown at the salinity level experienced high stress levels. Our results showed that the seedlings grown under both high and low temperatures had statistically higher proline content than the control at both 6 and 12 days of treatment. However, the highest proline content was observed at high temperatures, which is consistent with previous results in paprika seedlings (Bhandari et al., 2018). The over accumulation of proline under high temperature stress was responsible to regulate the osmotic activities and protect cellular structure by maintain the membrane stability and by buffering



grown under control and drought stress conditions during the progressive treatment time. Each plot point represents the mean \pm SD of seven biological replicates. Refer to **Table 1** for the description of each parameter.

the cellular redox potential (Farooq et al., 2008). So the status of the plants was maintained with normal photosynthesis showing non-significant changes in Fv/Fm. Similarly, seedlings experiencing drought stress also showed statistically higher proline content; however, the magnitude of increase was lower than that in previous reports (Li et al., 2019; Shin et al., 2021a), which might be due to the difference in genotypes and less treatment time. These results suggest that a responsive mechanism exists due to salt, temperature, and drought stress in grafted watermelon seedlings, which along with other compounds also helps to generate an efficient antioxidant system to cope with ROS species, increase the protein stability, and stabilize the structure of the membrane bilayer (Mirzaei et al., 2012). Comparative analysis showed that the highest proline accumulation and its fluctuation occurred under temperature stress, followed by salinity stress and drought stress.

Chlorophylls are photosynthetic pigments that are responsible for the photosynthetic efficiency of plants and are ultimately responsible for primary production (Gitelson et al., 2003). Chlorophyll a and b levels were measured in all three experiments, and we found significant changes in the levels of both chlorophylls with increasing stress levels. The levels of both chlorophyll a and b decreased with increasing salinity levels during the progressive treatment time, consistent with previous reports in different vegetables, including watermelon (Taïbi et al., 2016; Yanyan et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020a, 2021a). The lower content levels of the chlorophylls at higher salinity levels was probably due to damage to the chloroplast membrane and structure, increased activity of chlorophyllase, and photo-oxidation of chlorophyll due to the excessive accumulation of salt in the soil (Taffouo et al., 2010; Silveira and Carvalho, 2016). Temperature stress treatment showed a somewhat different accumulation pattern of chlorophylls, and the effect was lower than that of salinity stress. As watermelon is a thermophilic crop, it is less affected at high temperatures than at low temperatures, and seedlings grown at low temperatures exhibited relatively higher chlorophyll content than those grown at high temperatures. Our results were consistent with previous reports by Hou et al. (2016), who also found lower chlorophyll content in watermelon seedlings grown at cold temperatures. This is because plants exposed to low temperatures experience chilling

TABLE 4 | Summary of analysis of *CF* parameters of watermelon grafted seedlings at two water levels (well-irrigated and non-irrigated) and four treatment times (0, 1, 2, and 3 days).

Parameters	Water level (W)		Treatment time (T)		W×T	
	F-Value	Significance	F-Value	Significance	F-Value	Significance
Fv/Fm	2.93	NS	1.11	NS	0.82	NS
Fv'/Fm'	8.25	**	17.58	***	6.51	**
Y(PSII)	89.41	***	3.82	*	21.22	***
NPQ	15.16	***	32.80	***	17.95	848
aN	39.51	***	57.78	***	41.52	***
aP	86.86	***	6.30	**	22.35	***
Rfd	68.04	***	26.91	***	23.86	***
Y(NO)	39.22	***	15.50	***	22.35	***

*, **, and *** indicate significance at p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, respectively. NS: non-significant. Detailed information on each CF parameter is provided in Table 1.

Treatment Parameter Stress level (S) Measurement treatment time (T) S×T **F-Value** Significance F-Value Significance F-Value Significance *** *** *** Chl a 9,147 7,891 1875 *** *** *** Salt Chl b 697.41 492.61 64.66 *** Proline 963.06 62.02 11.93 *** *** 888 Chl a 36.09 68.58 98.83 *** Drought Chl b 12.13 45.45 37.76 *** *** *** Proline 889.36 285 41 61.56 *** *** *** Chl a 572 203.4 532 *** *** *** Temperature Chl b 73.41 44.46 97.33 *** *** Proline 5387 5 *** 399.7 469.2

TABLE 5 | Summary of analysis of chlorophyll and proline of watermelon grafted seedlings at various salinity, drought, and temperature levels, and multiple treatment times.

** and *** indicate significance at p<0.01 and p<0.001, respectively. Chl: chlorophyll.



FIGURE 11 | Representative chlorophyll fluorescence images of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) under the salinity, drought, and temperature stress treatment in different time after each stress initiation in watermelon seedlings.

injury, which enhances ion imbalance, reduction in antioxidant activity, and low chlorophyll content (Lu et al., 2020; Mlinarić et al., 2021). Chlorophyll content in drought-stressed seedlings was least affected among the three treatment types, although significant changes were observed between control and drought-stressed seedlings during the respective treatment schedule. In the drought stress experiment, the treatment was conducted for only 3 days as the status of the seedling was poor after that period. Previous reports also showed that chlorophyll levels in plants decrease after severe stress; however, some authors have reported an increase in chlorophyll content for some time and decrement after exposure to severe stress conditions (Partelli et al., 2009; Bhandari et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2020b, 2021a).

CONCLUSION

This study showed the potential of using CF imaging to detect abiotic stressors (salinity, high and low temperature, and drought) in grafted watermelon seedlings (Figure 11). The response of the seedlings to various abiotic stresses was observed through changes in CF and growth parameters, chlorophyll, and proline content. The changes were dependent on the type of stressor and duration. Most CF parameters were affected only at the higher salinity stress (>50 mM), with the most influential parameters being Fv/Fm, NPQ, Rfd, and Y(NO) increased during the progressive treatment time. Low temperature had a prominent effect on nearly all the CF parameters compared to the high temperature stress, suggesting that the low temperature caused more severe photo-inhibition of photosynthesis than high temperature. Drought stress had a similar effect on CF parameters as in the high-temperature stress, showing significant changes only in the Rfd and NPO. Altogether, NPO and Rfd could be used as index parameters for the detection of three abiotic stresses. In general, values of all the growth parameters reduced, chlorophyll content levels were decreased or increased depending upon the stressor, and proline content was increased in the seedlings exposed to each stressor. These results imply that photosynthetic activity, growth performance, and chlorophyll and proline content are differentially affected by

REFERENCES

- Banks, J. M. (2018). Chlorophyll fluorescence as a tool to identify drought stress in acer genotypes. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 155, 118–127. doi: 10.1016/j. envexpbot.2018.06.022
- Bhandari, S. R., Kim, Y. H., and Lee, J. G. (2018). Detection of temperature stress using chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and stress-related chlorophyll and proline content in paprika (*Capsicum annuum* L.) seedlings. *Hortic. Sci. Technol.* 36, 619–629. doi: 10.12972/kjhst.20180062
- Bulgari, R., Franzoni, G., and Ferrante, A. (2019). Biostimulants application in horticultural crops under abiotic stress conditions. *Agronomy* 9:306. doi: 10.3390/agronomy9060306
- Coskun, D., Britto, D. T., Huynh, W. Q., and Kronzucker, H. J. (2016). The role of silicon in higher plants under salinity and drought stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1072. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01072
- De Oliveira, A. B., Alencar, N. L. M., and Gomes-Filho, E. (2013). "Comparison between the water and salt stress effects on plant growth and development," in *Responses of Organisms to Water Stress.* ed. S. Akinci (London, UK: IntechOpen), 67–94.
- Fahad, S., Bajwa, A. A., Nazir, U., Anjum, S. A., Farooq, A., Zohaib, A., et al. (2017). Crop production under drought and heat stress: plant responses and management options. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1147. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01147
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2009). Agricultural Statistical Database for 2019. Available: http://www.fao.org/faostat/ en/#data/QCL (Accessed September 15, 2021).
- Farooq, M., Basra, S., Wahid, A., Cheema, Z., Cheema, M., and Khaliq, A. (2008). Physiological role of exogenously applied glycinebetaine to improve

each stressor and their magnitude, which might be useful for the effective detection of each stress during the production process of watermelon-grafted seedlings. Furthermore, research on open environmental conditions having high light condition and combined application of stressors are required for understanding the comparative and synergetic effects of these stressors, respectively in photosynthetic and growth parameters in watermelon seedlings.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YKS designed and performed the experiments, statistically analyzed and interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript. SRB designed the experiment, analyzed and interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript. JGL conceived the project, designed the experiment, and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research was funded by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) by the Ministry of Education (no. 2019R1A6A1A09031717).

drought tolerance in fine grain aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Agron. Crop Sci. 194, 325–333. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2008. 00323.x

- Garg, A., Bordoloi, S., Ganesan, S. P., Sekharan, S., and Sahoo, L. (2020). A relook into plant wilting: observational evidence based on unsaturated soil– plant-photosynthesis interaction. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1–15. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-020-78893-z
- Garstka, M., Venema, J. H., Rumak, I., Gieczewska, K., Rosiak, M., Koziol-Lipinska, J., et al. (2007). Contrasting effect of dark-chilling on chloroplast structure and arrangement of chlorophyll-protein complexes in pea and tomato: plants with a different susceptibility to non-freezing temperature. *Planta* 226, 1165–1181. doi: 10.1007/s00425-007-0562-7
- Giordano, M., Petropoulos, S. A., and Rouphael, Y. (2021). Response and defence mechanisms of vegetable crops against drought, heat and salinity stress. *Agriculture* 11:463. doi: 10.3390/agriculture11050463
- Gitelson, A. A., Gritz, Y., and Merzlyak, M. N. (2003). Relationships between leaf chlorophyll content and spectral reflectance and algorithms for nondestructive chlorophyll assessment in higher plant leaves. J. Plant Physiol. 160, 271–282. doi: 10.1078/0176-1617-00887
- Gorbe, E., and Calatayud, A. (2012). Applications of chlorophyll fluorescence imaging technique in horticultural research: a review. *Sci. Hortic.* 138, 24–35. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2012.02.002
- Gupta, S. C., Sharma, A., Mishra, M., Mishra, R. K., and Chowdhuri, D. K. (2010). Heat shock proteins in toxicology: how close and how far? *Life Sci.* 86, 377–384. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2009.12.015
- Gupta, N., Thind, S. K., and Bains, N. S. (2014). Glycine betaine application modifies biochemical attributes of osmotic adjustment in drought stressed wheat. *Plant Growth Regul.* 72, 221–228. doi: 10.1007/s10725-013-9853-0

- Hassan, A. H., Hozzein, W. N., Mousa, A. S., Rabie, W., Alkhalifah, D. H. M., Selim, S., et al. (2020). Heat stress as an innovative approach to enhance the antioxidant production in pseudooceanicola and bacillus isolates. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-72054-y
- Hassell, R. L., Memmott, F., and Liere, D. G. (2008). Grafting methods for watermelon production. *HortScience* 43, 1677–1679. doi: 10.21273/ HORTSCI.43.6.1677
- He, M., He, C.-Q., and Ding, N.-Z. (2018). Abiotic stresses: general defenses of land plants and chances for engineering multistress tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:1771. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01771
- He, Y., Zhu, Z., Yang, J., Ni, X., and Zhu, B. (2009). Grafting increases the salt tolerance of tomato by improvement of photosynthesis and enhancement of antioxidant enzymes activity. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 66, 270–278. doi: 10.1016/j. envexpbot.2009.02.007
- Hou, W., Sun, A., Chen, H., Yang, F., Pan, J., and Guan, M. (2016). Effects of chilling and high temperatures on photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence in leaves of watermelon seedlings. *Biol. Plant.* 60, 148–154. doi: 10.1007/s10535-015-0575-1
- Huang, B., Chen, Y.-E., Zhao, Y.-Q., Ding, C.-B., Liao, J.-Q., Hu, C., et al. (2019). Exogenous melatonin alleviates oxidative damages and protects photosystem ii in maize seedlings under drought stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:677. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00677
- Hussain, H. A., Hussain, S., Khaliq, A., Ashraf, U., Anjum, S. A., Men, S., et al. (2018). Chilling and drought stresses in crop plants: implications, cross talk, and potential management opportunities. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:393. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00393
- Jia, X., Mao, K., Wang, P., Wang, Y., Jia, X., Huo, L., et al. (2021). Overexpression of mdatg8i improves water use efficiency in transgenic apple by modulating photosynthesis, osmotic balance, and autophagic activity under moderate water deficit. *Hortic. Res.* 8:81. doi: 10.1038/s41438-021-00521-2
- Kalaji, H. M., Jajoo, A., Oukarroum, A., Brestic, M., Zivcak, M., Samborska, I. A., et al. (2016). Chlorophyll a fluorescence as a tool to monitor physiological status of plants under abiotic stress conditions. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 38:102. doi: 10.1007/s11738-016-2113-y
- Kamanga, R. M., Echigo, K., Yodoya, K., Mekawy, A. M. M., and Ueda, A. (2020). Salinity acclimation ameliorates salt stress in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) seedlings by triggering a cascade of physiological processes in the leaves. *Sci. Hortic.* 270:109434. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2020. 109434
- Kapoor, D., Bhardwaj, S., Landi, M., Sharma, A., Ramakrishnan, M., and Sharma, A. (2020). The impact of drought in plant metabolism: how to exploit tolerance mechanisms to increase crop production. *Appl. Sci.* 10:5692. doi: 10.3390/app10165692
- Korkmaz, A., and Dufault, R. J. (2001). Developmental consequences of cold temperature stress at transplanting on seedling and field growth and yield.
 I. Watermelon. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 126, 404–409. doi: 10.21273/ JASHS.126.4.404
- Kumar, P., Rouphael, Y., Cardarelli, M., and Colla, G. (2017). Vegetable grafting as a tool to improve drought resistance and water use efficiency. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1130. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01130
- Kwack, Y., An, S., and Kim, S. K. (2021). Development of growth model for grafted hot pepper seedlings as affected by air temperature and light intensity. *Sustainability* 13:5895. doi: 10.3390/su13115895
- Lee, J.-M., Kubota, C., Tsao, S., Bie, Z., Echevarria, P. H., Morra, L., et al. (2010). Current status of vegetable grafting: diffusion, grafting techniques, automation. *Sci. Hortic.* 127, 93–105. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010. 08.003
- Lee, H. J., Lee, J. H., Wi, S., Jang, Y., An, S., Choi, C. K., et al. (2021). Exogenously applied glutamic acid confers improved yield through increased photosynthesis efficiency and antioxidant defense system under chilling stress condition in *Solanum lycopersicum* L. Cv. Dotaerang dia. *Sci. Hortic.* 277:109817. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109817
- Li, H., Mo, Y., Cui, Q., Yang, X., Guo, Y., Wei, C., et al. (2019). Transcriptomic and physiological analyses reveal drought adaptation strategies in droughttolerant and-susceptible watermelon genotypes. *Plant Sci.* 278, 32–43. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.10.016
- Liang, G., Liu, J., Zhang, J., and Guo, J. (2020). Effects of drought stress on photosynthetic and physiological parameters of tomato. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 145, 12–17. doi: 10.21273/JASHS04725-19

- Lichtenthaler, H. K., and Babani, F. (2000). Detection of photosynthetic activity and water stressby imaging the red chlorophyll fluorescence. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 38, 889–895. doi: 10.1016/S0981-9428(00)01199-2
- Lotfi, R., Ghassemi-Golezani, K., and Pessarakli, M. (2020). Salicylic acid regulates photosynthetic electron transfer and stomatal conductance of mung bean (*Vigna radiata L.*) under salinity stress. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 26:101635. doi: 10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101635
- Lu, J., Nawaz, M. A., Wei, N., Cheng, F., and Bie, Z. (2020). Suboptimal temperature acclimation enhances chilling tolerance by improving photosynthetic adaptability and osmoregulation ability in watermelon. *Hortic. Plant J.* 6, 49–60. doi: 10.1016/j.hpj.2020.01.001
- Lu, J., Shireen, F., Cheng, F., and Bie, Z. (2021). High relative humidity improve chilling tolerance by maintaining leaf water potential in watermelon seedlings. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 166, 818–826. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.06.037
- Malambane, G., Batlang, U., Ramolekwa, K., Tsujimoto, H., and Akashi, K. (2021). Growth chamber and field evaluation of physiological factors of two watermelon genotypes. *Plant Stress* 2:100017. doi: 10.1016/j. stress.2021.100017
- Martínez-Cuenca, M.-R., Primo-Capella, A., and Forner-Giner, M. A. (2021). Screening of 'king'mandarin (*Citrus nobilis* Lour)× *Poncirus trifoliata* ((L.) raf.) hybrids as salt stress-tolerant citrus rootstocks. *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.* 62, 337–351. doi: 10.1007/s13580-020-00291-1
- Mattila, H., Mishra, K. B., Kuusisto, I., Mishra, A., Novotná, K., Šebela, D., et al. (2020). Effects of low temperature on photoinhibition and singlet oxygen production in four natural accessions of arabidopsis. *Planta* 252:19. doi: 10.1007/s00425-020-03423-0
- Mirzaei, M., Pascovici, D., Atwell, B. J., and Haynes, P. A. (2012). Differential regulation of aquaporins, small GTPases and V-ATPases proteins in rice leaves subjected to drought stress and recovery. *Proteomics* 12, 864–877. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201100389
- Mlinarić, S., Cesar, V., and Lepeduš, H. (2021). Antioxidative response and photosynthetic regulatory mechanisms in common fig leaves after short-term chilling stress. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 178, 315–327. doi: 10.1111/aab.12671
- Moles, T. M., Mariotti, L., De Pedro, L. F., Guglielminetti, L., Picciarelli, P., and Scartazza, A. (2018). Drought induced changes of leaf-to-root relationships in two tomato genotypes. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 128, 24–31. doi: 10.1016/j. plaphy.2018.05.008
- Morant-Manceau, A., Pradier, E., and Tremblin, G. (2004). Osmotic adjustment, gas exchanges and chlorophyll fluorescence of a hexaploid triticale and its parental species under salt stress. J. Plant Physiol. 161, 25–33. doi: 10.1078/0176-1617-00963
- Moustakas, M., Calatayud, A., and Guidi, L. (2021). Editorial: Chlorophyll fluorescence imaging analysis in biotic and abiotic stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12:658500. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.658500
- Murata, N., Takahashi, S., Nishiyama, Y., and Allakhverdiev, S. I. (2007). Photoinhibition of photosystem II under environmental stress. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg*, 1767, 414–421. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2006.11.019
- Murchie, E. H., and Lawson, T. (2013). Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis: A guide to good practice and understanding some new applications. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 3983–3998. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert208
- Nadeem, M., Li, J., Wang, M., Shah, L., Lu, S., Wang, X., et al. (2018). Unraveling field crops sensitivity to heat stress:mechanisms, approaches, and future prospects. *Agronomy* 8:128. doi: 10.3390/agronomy8070128
- Negrão, S., Schmöckel, S., and Tester, M. (2017). Evaluating physiological responses of plants to salinity stress. *Ann. Bot.* 119, 1–11. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcw191
- Nievola, C. C., Carvalho, C. P., Carvalho, V., and Rodrigues, E. (2017). Rapid responses of plants to temperature changes. *Temperature* 4, 371–405. doi: 10.1080/23328940.2017.1377812
- Nikolaeva, M., Maevskaya, S., Shugaev, A., and Bukhov, N. (2010). Effect of drought on chlorophyll content and antioxidant enzyme activities in leaves of three wheat cultivars varying in productivity. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 57, 87–95. doi: 10.1134/S1021443710010127
- Omprakash, Gobu, R., Bisen, P., Baghel, M., and Chourasia, K. N. (2017). Resistance/tolerance mechanism under water deficit (drought) condition in plants. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 6, 66–78. doi: 10.20546/ ijcmas.2017.604.009
- Ors, S., Ekinci, M., Yildirim, E., Sahin, U., Turan, M., and Dursun, A. (2021). Interactive effects of salinity and drought stress on photosynthetic characteristics

and physiology of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.) seedlings. S. Afr. J. Bot. 137, 335-339. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2020.10.031

- Partelli, F. L., Vieira, H. D., Viana, A. P., Batista-Santos, P., Rodrigues, A. P., Leitão, A. E., et al. (2009). Low temperature impact on photosynthetic parameters of coffee genotypes. *Pesqui. Agropecu. Bras.* 44, 1404–1415. doi: 10.1590/S0100-204X2009001100006
- Rahmatian, A., Delshad, M., and Salehi, R. (2014). Effect of grafting on growth, yield and fruit quality of single and double stemmed tomato plants grown hydroponically. *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.* 55, 115–119. doi: 10.1007/ s13580-014-0167-6
- Rajametov, S. N., Yang, E. Y., Jeong, H. B., Cho, M. C., Chae, S. Y., and Paudel, N. (2021). Heat treatment in two tomato cultivars: A study of the effect on physiological and growth recovery. *Horticulturae* 7:119. doi: 10.3390/ horticulturae7050119
- Rolly, N. K., Imran, Q. M., Lee, I.-J., and Yun, B.-W. (2020). Salinity stressmediated suppression of expression of salt overly sensitive signaling pathway genes suggests negative regulation by atbzip62 transcription factor in *Arabidopsis* thaliana. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21:1726. doi: 10.3390/ijms21051726
- Ruan, Y.-L., Jin, Y., Yang, Y.-J., Li, G.-J., and Boyer, J. S. (2010). Sugar input, metabolism, and signaling mediated by invertase: roles in development, yield potential, and response to drought and heat. *Mol. Plant* 3, 942–955. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssq044
- Shafiq, B. A., Nawaz, F., Majeed, S., Aurangzaib, M., Al Mamun, A., Ahsan, M., et al. (2021). Sulfate-based fertilizers regulate nutrient uptake, photosynthetic gas exchange, and enzymatic antioxidants to increase sunflower growth and yield under drought stress. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 21, 2229–2241. doi: 10.1007/ s42729-021-00516-x
- Shin, Y. K., Bhandari, S. R., Cho, M. C., and Lee, J. G. (2020a). Evaluation of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and proline content in tomato seedlings grown under different salt stress conditions. *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.* 61, 433–443. doi: 10.1007/s13580-020-00231-z
- Shin, Y. K., Bhandari, S. R., Jo, J. S., Song, J. W., Cho, M. C., Yang, E. Y., et al. (2020b). Response to salt stress in lettuce: changes in chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, phytochemical contents, and antioxidant activities. *Agronomy* 10:1627. doi: 10.3390/agronomy10111627
- Shin, Y. K., Bhandari, S. R., Jo, J. S., Song, J. W., and Lee, J. G. (2021a). Effect of drought stress on chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, phytochemical contents, and antioxidant activities in lettuce seedlings. *Horticulturae* 7:238. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae7080238
- Shin, Y. K., Jo, J. S., Cho, M.-C., Yang, E.-Y., Ahn, Y. K., and Lee, J. G. (2021b). Application of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters to diagnose salinity tolerance in the seedling of tomato genetic resources. *J. Bio-Env. Con.* 30, 165–173. doi: 10.12791/KSBEC.2021.30.2.165
- Silveira, J. A., and Carvalho, F. E. (2016). Proteomics, photosynthesis and salt resistance in crops: An integrative view. J. Proteome 143, 24–35. doi: 10.1016/j. jprot.2016.03.013
- Soengas, P., Rodríguez, V. M., Velasco, P., and Cartea, M. E. (2018). Effect of temperature stress on antioxidant defenses in *Brassica oleracea*. ACS Omega. 3, 5237–5243. doi: 10.1021/acsomega.8b00242
- Song, Y., Chen, Q., Ci, D., Shao, X., and Zhang, D. (2014). Effects of high temperature on photosynthesis and related gene expression in poplar. BMC Plant Biol. 14:111. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-111
- Song, Q., Joshi, M., and Joshi, V. (2020). Transcriptomic analysis of short-term salt stress response in watermelon seedlings. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:6036. doi: 10.3390/ijms21176036
- Spanò, R., Ferrara, M., Gallitelli, D., and Mascia, T. (2020). The role of grafting in the resistance of tomato to viruses. *Plan. Theory* 9:1042. doi: 10.3390/ plants9081042
- Susič, N., Žibrat, U., Širca, S., Strajnar, P., Razinger, J., Knapič, M., et al. (2018). Discrimination between abiotic and biotic drought stress in tomatoes using hyperspectral imaging. *Sens. Actuators B: Chem.* 273, 842–852. doi: 10.1016/j. snb.2018.06.121
- Swindell, W. R., Huebner, M., and Weber, A. P. (2007). Transcriptonal profiling of Arabidopsis heat shock proteins and transcription factors reveals extensive overlap between heat and non-heat stress response pathways. *BMC Genomics* 8:125. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-8-125

- Tabassum, S., Ossola, A., Marchin, R., Ellsworth, D. S., and Leishman, M. (2021). Assessing the relationship between trait-based and horticultural classifications of plant responses to drought. Urban For. Urban Green. 61:127109. doi: 10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127109
- Taffouo, V. D., Nouck, A. H., Dibong, S. D., and Amougou, A. (2010). Effects of salinity stress on seedlings growth, mineral nutrients and total chlorophyll of some tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* L.) cultivars. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 9. 5366–5372. doi: 10.5897/AJB10.798
- Taïbi, K., Taïbi, F., Abderrahim, L. A., Ennajah, A., Belkhodja, M., and Mulet, J. M. (2016). Effect of salt stress on growth, chlorophyll content, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant defence systems in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. S. Afr. J. Bot. 105, 306–312. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2016.03.011
- Toscano, S., Trivellini, A., Cocetta, G., Bulgari, R., Francini, A., Romano, D., et al. (2019). Effect of preharvest abiotic stresses on the accumulation of bioactive compounds in horticultural produce. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:1212. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01212
- Trovato, M., Mattioli, R., and Costantino, P. (2008). Multiple roles of proline in plant stress tolerance and development. *Rend. Lincei* 19, 325–346. doi: 10.1007/s12210-008-0022-8
- Wise, R., Olson, A., Schrader, S., and Sharkey, T. (2004). Electron transport is the functional limitation of photosynthesis in field-grown pima cotton plants at high temperature. *Plant Cell Environ.* 27, 717–724. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-3040.2004.01171.x
- Yanyan, Y., Shuoshuo, W., Min, W., Biao, G., and Qinghua, S. (2018). Effect of different rootstocks on the salt stress tolerance in watermelon seedlings. *Hortic. Plant J.* 4, 239–249. doi: 10.1016/j.hpj.2018.08.003
- Yao, J., Sun, D., Cen, H., Xu, H., Weng, H., Yuan, F., et al. (2018). Phenotyping of *Arabidopsis* drought stress response using kinetic chlorophyll fluorescence and multicolor fluorescence imaging. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:603. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2018.00603
- Yetişir, H., and Uygur, V. (2009). Plant growth and mineral element content of different gourd species and watermelon under salinity stress. *Turk. J. Agric. For.* 33, 65–77. doi: 10.3906/tar-0805-23
- Yordanov, I., Velikova, V., and Tsonev, T. (2000). Plant responses to drought, acclimation, and stress tolerance. *Photosynthetica* 38, 171–186. doi: 10.1023/A:1007201411474
- Zhang, H., Gong, G., Guo, S., Ren, Y., Xu, Y., and Ling, K. S. (2011). Screening the USDA watermelon germplasm collection for drought tolerance at the seedling stage. *Amer. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 46, 1245–1248. doi: 10.21273/ HORTSCI.46.9.1245
- Zhang, F., Zhu, K., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z., Lu, F., Yu, H., et al. (2019). Changes in photosynthetic and chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics of sorghum under drought and waterlogging stress. *Photosynthetica* 57, 1156–1164. doi: 10.32615/ps.2019.136
- Zushi, K., Kajiwara, S., and Matsuzoe, N. (2012). Chlorophyll a fluorescence ojip transient as a tool to characterize and evaluate response to heat and chilling stress in tomato leaf and fruit. *Sci. Hortic.* 148, 39–46. doi: 10.1016/j. scienta.2012.09.022

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Shin, Bhandari and Lee. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.