



Effects of Light on Secondary Metabolite Biosynthesis in Medicinal Plants

Shuncang Zhang¹, Lei Zhang¹, Haiyan Zou¹, Lin Qiu¹, Yuwei Zheng¹, Dongfeng Yang^{2*} and Youping Wang^{1*}

¹College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China, ²Key Laboratory of Plant Secondary Metabolism and Regulation of Zhejiang Province, College of Life Sciences and Medicine, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Yongliang Liu, University of Kentucky, United States

Reviewed by:

Oksana Sytar, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine Miroslava Konstantinova Zhiponova, Sofia University, Bulgaria

*Correspondence:

Dongfeng Yang ydf807@sina.com Youping Wang wangyp@yzu.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Metabolism and Chemodiversity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 22 September 2021 Accepted: 17 November 2021 Published: 10 December 2021

Citation:

Zhang S, Zhang L, Zou H, Qiu L, Zheng Y, Yang D and Wang Y (2021) Effects of Light on Secondary Metabolite Biosynthesis in Medicinal Plants. Front. Plant Sci. 12:781236. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.781236 Secondary metabolites (SMs) found in medicinal plants are one of main sources of drugs, cosmetics, and health products. With the increase in demand for these bioactive compounds, improving the content and yield of SMs in medicinal plants has become increasingly important. The content and distribution of SMs in medicinal plants are closely related to environmental factors, especially light. In recent years, artificial light sources have been used in controlled environments for the production and conservation of medicinal germplasm. Therefore, it is essential to elucidate how light affects the accumulation of SMs in different plant species. Here, we systematically summarize recent advances in our understanding of the regulatory roles of light quality, light intensity, and photoperiod in the biosynthesis of three main types of SMs (polyphenols, alkaloids, and terpenoids), and the underlying mechanisms. This article provides a detailed overview of the role of light signaling pathways in SM biosynthesis, which will further promote the application of artificial light sources in medicinal plant production.

Keywords: secondary metabolites, medicinal plants, light quality, light intensity, photoperiod

INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants play pivotal roles in human development and have been used from the prehistoric times to present day. According to the World Health Organization, 80% of the human population in developing countries relies on traditional medicines, mostly plant-based drugs, for primary healthcare needs. At present, at least 25% of the drugs worldwide are directly or indirectly derived from medicinal plants, which remain the main source of drugs. For example, artemisinin, derived from *Artemisia annua*, is widely used for treating malaria (Ansari et al., 2013). *Ajuga bracteosa* is a high-value medicinal plant that has been recommended as a treatment for gout rheumatism, paralysis, and amenorrhea (Rukh et al., 2019). Secondary metabolites (SMs) serve as the material basis of the clinically curative effects of medicinal plants. SMs refer to small molecular organic compounds not directly involved in plant growth and development, but are essential for the long-term survival of plants (Fraenkel, 1959; Demain and Fang, 2000). Besides their medicinal uses, SMs are also widely used in cosmetics and healthcare products (Craker and Gardner, 2006; Mathur and Velpandian, 2009; Schmidt, 2012).

1

Biosynthesis and accumulation of SMs in medicinal plants are affected by environmental factors, such as water, light, temperature, soil properties, and chemical stress (Verma and Shukla, 2015; Li et al., 2020). Among these factors, light is reported to affect the accumulation of almost all types of SMs. Light quality, light intensity, and photoperiod affect the SM content of plants. For example, the composition and content of SMs in the same plant species vary greatly among different regions because of the variation in light conditions (Huang and Liu, 2015). Controlled growing systems using artificial lighting have been widely applied with the increasing demand for natural products. These systems are initially developed for the production of out of season crops and vegetables. In recently years, they are also used to enhance the SMs yields in medicinal plants (Askari-Khorasgani and Pessarakli, 2019; Moon et al., 2020; Malik et al., 2021). Artificial lights take important place in controlled growing systems and light emitting diodes (LEDs) are supposed to be optimal artificial light sources at present time (Jung et al., 2021). Compared with other types of lamps, such as fluorescent, high-pressure sodium (HPS), and metalhalide, LEDs show equivalent or higher luminous efficacy, lack of radiant heat, and longer lifespan (Loi et al., 2021). Besides, LED can also produce the monochromatic light wavelength and make it more convenient to change the light quality constitution in the controlled growing systems (Palmitessa et al., 2021). Understanding how light affects SM biosynthesis is essential for the cultivation of medicinal plants in a controlled environment as well as under open field conditions. In this review, we discuss the roles of light in the accumulation of different types of SMs, with the aim to identify the gaps in research and to provide a reference for the further investigation of the mechanism underlying light-mediated SM biosynthesis in medicinal plants.

MAIN SECONDARY METABOLITES IN MEDICINAL PLANTS

Based on their structures and biosynthetic pathways, plant SMs are mainly divided into polyphenols (phenolics), terpenoids, and alkaloids (Chiocchio et al., 2021). Polyphenols are a large and complex family of phytochemicals containing at least one aromatic ring and a hydroxyl group as functional derivatives. Over 8,000 polyphenols have been identified in plants to date. They are present in almost all plant species and have gained considerable attention because of their nutritional and pharmaceutical applications (Balasundram et al., 2006). According to the biosynthetic pathways, basic skeletons, and hydroxyl groups, polyphenols are categorized into different sub-classes, including coumarins, lignans, phenolic acids, flavonoids, and tannins (Huang and Liu, 2015; Chiocchio et al., 2021). Coumarins (C_6-C_3) are a class of lactones structurally constructed by a benzene ring fused to α -pyrone ring, such as aesculin, cnidium lactone, and alpha-Angelica lactone. Lignans [(C₆-C₃)₂] are phenolic dimers with a 2,3-dibenzylbutane skeleton, such as phyllanthin, arctiin, and podophyllotoxin. Phenolic acids present compounds containing a carboxylic group among the substituents on the benzene ring, including benzoic acid derivates (C_6-C_1) and hydroxycinnamic derivates (C₆-C₃). Flavonoids (C₆-C₃-C₆) refer to compounds consisting of two benzene rings linked by a short three carbon chain, such as chalcones, flavones, flavonols, dihydroflavones, dihydroflavonols, isoflavones, and dihydroisoflavones. Tannins are high molecular polyphenols polymerized by flavonoids units (condensed tannins) or gallic acid esterified with monosaccharide (hydrolysable tannins; King and Young, 1999). Figure 1A shows structures of some common bioactive polyphenols in medicinal plants, including three phenolic acids (salvianolic acid B, chlorogenic acid, and rosmarinic acid), two phenylethanol glycosides (verbascoside and salidroside), and one flavanone derivate (rutin). Polyphenols are biosynthesized via phenylpropanoid pathway in plants, they share a common upstream biosynthetic pathway derived from the shikimic acid pathway, but the downstream biosynthetic pathways of different polyphenols are distinct (Figure 1B; Dixon and Paiva, 1995).

Terpenoids are compounds with isoprene as the structural unit. According to the number of isoprene structural units, terpenoids are divided into five categories: monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, diterpenes, triterpenes, and tetraterpenes (Bohlmann et al., 1998). Figure 2A shows structures of some common bioactive terpenoids in medicinal plants, including sesquiterpene (artemisinin), three diterpenes one (cryptotanshinone, tanshinone II_A, and paclitaxel), and two triterpenes (cucurbitacin I and oleanolic acid). Terpenoids are synthesized via two parallel upstream pathways: the mevalonate pathway (MVA) and methylerythritol-4-phosphate pathway (MEP; da Silva et al., 2017). Acetyl-CoA acts as the precursor of terpenoids in the MVA pathway, whereas pyruvate and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (G3P) serve as precursors in the MEP pathway. These precursors are converted to isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) through a series of enzymatic reactions, which is then converted to its isomer dimethyl-allyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP) by isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase (IDI). Both DMAPP and IPP are converted to geranylgeranyl diphosphate (GGPP) by geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (GGPPS), and then, GGPP is used to produce diterpenes, tetraterpenes, and their derivatives (Newman and Chappell, 1999). DMAPP and IPP are also converted to farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) and geranyl diphosphate (GPP) by farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPPS) and geranyl diphosphate synthase (GPPS), respectively. Both FPP and GPP then act as precursors of monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and triterpenes (Figure 2B; Chappell, 1995; Bergman et al., 2019).

Alkaloids form a large class of heterocyclic nitrogen organic compounds, with over 10,000 isolated to date. Given their antitumor, antibacterial, and anti-inflammatory activities, alkaloids have been widely used for the production of medications (Jain et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021). Depending on their biosynthetic pathways and chemical structures, alkaloids are classified into five groups: terpene indole, benzylisoquinoline, tropine, purine, and pyrrolizidine alkaloids (Bhambhani et al., 2021). **Figure 3A** shows structures of some common bioactive alkaloids in medicinal plants, including three monoterpenoid indole alkaloids (MIAs; vindoline, vinblastine, and camptothecin),



one benzylisoquinoline alkaloid (berberine), and two tropine alkaloids (hyoscyamine and scopolamine). The accumulations of MIAs and tropane alkaloids have been reported to be affected by light. Biosynthetic pathways of MIAs and tropane alkaloids have been characterized. MIAs are synthesized *via* two parallel upstream pathways, generating tryptamine and secologanin, which are converted to strictosidine by strictosidine synthase (STR), and then to various MIAs, such as camptothecin, serpentine, and vinblastine (Herrmann, 1995; Radwanski et al., 1996; Huang et al., 2016). Ornithine and phenylalanine, the initial precursors of tropine alkaloids, are converted to littorine, which is then converted to anisodamine and scopolamine *via*



FIGURE 2 | Structures of some bioactive terpenoids in medicinal plants (A) and the effects of light quality on the transcripts of key enzyme genes in the biosynthetic pathways of terpenoids (B). The upward arrows indicate upregulation and the downward arrows indicate downregulation. The purple arrow, red arrow, and blue arrow indicate UV-B, red light, and blue light, respectively. AACT, acetyl-CoA acyltransferase; ADH1, alcohol dehydrogenase 1; ADS, amorpha-4,11-diene synthase; CMK, 4-diphosphocytidyl-2C-methyl-D-erythritol kinase; CPS, copalyl diphosphate synthase; CYP71AV1, cytochrome P450 71AV1; CYP76AH1, miltiradiene oxidase; DXR, 1-deoxy-D-lxylulose-5-phosphate reductoisomerase; DXS, 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase: FPPS. farnesyl diphosphate synthase; GGPPS, geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase; HDR, hydroxy-2methyl-2-(E)-butenyl 4-diphosphate reductase; HDS, hydroxymethylbutenyl diphosphate synthase; HMGR, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA reductase; HMGS, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutary-1 CoA synthase; IDI, isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase; KSL, kaurene synthase-like; MCT, 2-C-methyl-Derythritol-4-phosphate cytidylyltransferase; MDC, mevalonate 5-diphosphate decarboxylase; MDS, 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol-2,4-cyclodiphosphate synthase; MK, mevalonate kinase; PMK, phosphomevalonate kinase.

several enzymatic reactions (Figures 3B,C; Nguyen et al., 2015; Qiu et al., 2020).

LIGHT QUALITY

Light Spectra and Photoreceptors

Depending on the light wavelength, the solar spectrum is divided into three parts, namely ultraviolet light (200-400 nm; UV-A, 315-400 nm; UV-B, 280-320 nm; UV-C, 200-280 nm), visible light or photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; blue light, 400-500 nm; green light, 500-600 nm; red light, 600-700 nm), and far-red light (700-800 nm). Photoreceptors are indispensable for light sensing and light signal transduction in plants. To date, at least five kinds of photoreceptors have been identified in the model plant species Arabidopsis thaliana: (1) phytochromes (phyA-phyE), which mainly perceive red light and far-red light (Sharrock and Quail, 1989; Sullivan and Deng, 2003; Sanchez et al., 2020); (2) cryptochromes (crys), which mainly perceive blue light and UV-A. (3) phototropins (phots), which mainly perceive blue light (Gyula et al., 2003); (4) zeitlupes, which mainly perceive blue light and green light (450-520 nm; Somers et al., 2000); and (5) UV photoreceptor UVR8, which mainly perceives UV-B (280-315nm; Rizzini et al., 2011; Christie et al., 2012). Among the five phytochromes, phyA is the main photoreceptor that perceives far-red light, while phyB mainly perceives red light. Owing to these photoreceptors, plants can accurately detect changes in light wavelength, direction, intensity, and duration, and respond timely.

Polyphenols

The production of polyphenols is significantly affected by light in medicinal plants. UV-B is an important part of the solar spectrum; however, excessive UV-B can damage plants. To deal with UV-B-induced stress and to improve their resilience to adverse conditions, plants synthesize various types of SMs (Schreiner et al., 2012; Kumari and Prasad, 2013; Takshak and Agrawal, 2019). Among these SMs, polyphenols, owing to their high antioxidant potential, play important roles in the acclimation of plants to UV-B (Takshak and Agrawal, 2019). The biosynthesis of bioactive polyphenols is affected by UV-B in many medicinal plants (Table 1; Rai et al., 2011; Pandey and Pandey-Rai, 2014; Takshak and Agrawal, 2014; Hamid et al., 2019). Takshak and Agrawal (2015) showed that anthocyanin contents of Coleus forskohlii plants treated with supplementary UV-B (ambient +0.042 Wm⁻²) were 1.34-, 1.67-, and 1.96-fold higher than that in control at 40, 70, and 100 days after transplantation (DAT), respectively. Zhang et al. (2017) investigated the effects of UV-B on SM accumulation in Prunella vulgaris and showed that UV-B radiation significantly increased the contents of total flavonoids, rosmarinic acid, caffeic acid, and hyperoside. In Rosmarinus officinalis plants treated with UV-B (0.359 Wm⁻²), the contents of rosmarinic acid and carnosic acid were 2.34and 1.78-fold higher, respectively, than that of the control (Luis et al., 2007). The total phenolic content of Cymbopogon citratus increased by 45% after the UV-B treatment (Kumari and Agrawal, 2010). In addition to UV-B, UV-A also affects the



FIGURE 3] Structures of some bloactive aikaloids in medicinal plants (**A**) and the effects of light quality on the transcripts of key enzyme genes in the blosynthetic pathways of monoterpenoid indole terpenoid alkaloids (**B**) and tropane alkaloids (**C**). The upward arrows indicate upregulation and the downward arrows indicate downregulation. The purple arrow, red arrow, and blue arrow indicate UV-B, red light, and blue light, respectively. *ArAT4*, aromatic amino acid aminotransferase 4; *AS*, anthranilate synthase; *CPR*, cytochrome P450 reductase; *CYP80F1*, cytochrome P450 80F1; *CYP80M3*, cytochrome P450 80 M3; *DAT*, 6-17-O-deacetylvindoline O-acetyltransferase; *D4H*, deacetoxyvindoline 4-hydroxylase; *GES*, geraniol synthase; *H6H*, hyoscyamine 6b-hydroxylase; *G10H*, geraniol-10-hydroxylase; *MPO*, N-methylputrescine oxidase; *ODC*, ornithine decarboxylase; *TPI*, tropinone reductase I; *T16H*, tabersonine 16-hydroxylase.

 TABLE 1 | The effects of light quality on the accumulation of phytochemicals in some medicinal plants.

Image: statistic state Paysher U-R 1,2,3,ad,4 Flaconds Paysher Demain of Agnush,200 Control optic state Paysher U-R Paysher Pays	Species	Class	Light quality	Treatment time	Modulation	References
(2.8 W m ³) (2.1 W m ³) (2.8 W m ³) (2.1 W m ³) (2.8 W m ³) (2.1 W m ³) (2.8 W m ³) (2.1 W m ³) (2.8 W m ³) (2.1 W m ³) (2.8 W m ³) (2.1 W m ³)<	Artemisia annua	Polyphenol	UV-B	1, 2, 3 and 4 h	↑Flavonoids	Pandey and Pandey-Rai,
Calculation Calculation <thcalculation< th=""> <thcalculation< th=""></thcalculation<></thcalculation<>	Cymbopogon	Polyphenol	(2.8 W m ⁻²) UV-B	3 h d ⁻¹ for 80 days	↑Anthocyanin ↑Flavonoids	2014 Kumari and Agrawal, 2010
exclusion result Facial Faci	Fagopyrum	Polyphenol	(ambient+0.021 and +0.042 W m ⁻²) UV-B	24 h	tAnthocyanin	Tsurunaga et al. 2013
Heteropogen Polyshend U-H 3 h, d*for 10 days Tenns Hennice Hall, 2019 Pealla functescame Polyshend U-H 3 h Total phenola Youhdu at al., 2021 Pealla functescame Polyshend U-H 3 h Total phenola Youhdu at al., 2021 Peandels vidgeria Polyshend U-H 30 min d*for Total phenola Youhdu at al., 2021 Resmanne Polyshend U-H 30 min d*for Total phenola Zhang of al., 2017 Resmanne Polyshend U-H 1 days Total phenola Lis et al., 2021 Glandatia Polyshend U-H 1 h, d*for 5 days Total phenola Polyshend Lis et al., 2021 Glandatia Polyshend U-H 2 and 22 h Total phenola Polyshend Polyshend Polyshend U-H 2 and 22 h Total phenola Polyshend	esculentum		(890 W m ⁻²)	2	↑Rutin	
People function UV-B 3 h Proversion of the second seco	Heteropogon contortus	Polyphenol	UV-B (ambient+0.083 W m ⁻²)	3 h, d ⁻¹ for 110 days	↑ Iannins ↑Total phenolics	Hamid et al., 2019
Pundels udgans Polyphanol UVB 30 mm d ⁻¹ for 15 days 15 days Total favoration add 21 mm g et al., 2017 Roemanine Polyphanol UVB 16 days 16 days 10 days	Perilla frutescens	Polyphenol	UV-B (0.05 W m ⁻²)	3 h	↑Rosmarinic acid	Yoshida et al., 2021
Restanded Polyphenol UV-B 14 days Restanded code Restander 0.063 and 0.359 W m ⁻¹ 14 days Restander code Collegeeric code Luis et al., 2007 Sawie verticities Polyphenol UV-B 1, h d ⁻¹ for 5 days Total phenolics Restander code	Prunella vulgaris	Polyphenol	UV-B (0.35 W m ⁻²)	30 min d ⁻¹ for 15 days	↑Total flavonoids ↑Bosmarinic acid	Zhang et al., 2017
$ \begin{array}{c} \label{constraints} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Rosmarinus	Polyphenol		14 days	↑Caffeic acid	Luis et al., 2007
Salvia vericializata Polyphenol UV-B 1 h, d ⁻¹ for 5 days Total phenolos Charogene and Pai et al., 2021 Topaeeolum majus Polyphenol UV-B 2 and 22 h Tobacorpaeaolin Salvia vericializata Schreiner et al., 2009 Withinie sommitee Polyphenol UV-B 2 and 22 h Tobacorpaeaolin Schreiner et al., 2009 Withinie sommitee Polyphenol UV-B 3 h d ⁻¹ for 100 days Tobacorpaeaolin Schreiner et al., 2019 Crepis japonica Polyphenol UV-A 15 h d ⁻¹ for Gallec acid Constantino et al., 2013 Looris dentata Polyphenol UV-A 15 h d ⁻¹ for Total phenolos Looris dentata Polyphenol Looris dentata Looris denta Looris dentata Loo	officinalis	rolyphenol	$(0.063 \text{ and } 0.359 \text{ W m}^{-2})$		↑Carnosic acid	
Salva verticilitità Polyphenol UVB 1 h, d ⁻¹ for 5 day 10/brogenic acid Pizzi et al., 2021 Trapaeolum majus Polyphenol UVB 2 and 2 h 10/brogenic acid Schreiner et al., 2009 Withania sormifica Polyphenol (7.5e-4 and 1.5e-3 W m ⁻³) 3 h d ⁻¹ for 100 days Pathocyanins, acid Takahak and Agrawal, 2014 Crepis japonica Polyphenol (7.5e-4 and 1.5e-3 W m ⁻³) 5 h d ⁻¹ for 100 days Pathocyanins, acid Takahak and Agrawal, 2014 Crepis japonica Polyphenol (7.5e-4 and 1.5e-3 W m ⁻³) 60 days Totar openics acid Constantino et al., 2017 Levis dentata Polyphenol UVA 24 h d ⁻¹ for 3 days Total phenolics Levet al., 2013 Cichorium intybus Polyphenol UVA 4 h d ⁻¹ for 3 days Total phenolics Sytar et al., 2019 Cichorium intybus Polyphenol Bulo light followed by white light 14 h d ⁻¹ for 3 days Total phenolics Sytar et al., 2019 Cichorium intybus Polyphenol Crange light followed by white light 14 h d ⁻¹ for 3 days Total phenolics Sytar et al., 2019 Cichorium intybus Polyphenol Bulo light followed by white light 14 h d ⁻¹ for 3 days Total phenolics Sytar et al., 2014 Coracora indica Pol			(0.000 and 0.000 Willing)		↑Total phenolics	
Index sommaries Polyphenol UVB 2 and 2 A Polyphenol Konserver and a sommaries Polyphenol Case 4 and 1.5e a Vm ² Polyphenol Polyphenol </td <td>Salvia verticillata</td> <td>Polyphenol</td> <td>UV-B</td> <td rowspan="2">1 h, d^{-1} for 5 days</td> <td>↑Chlorogenic acid</td> <td>Rizi et al., 2021</td>	Salvia verticillata	Polyphenol	UV-B	1 h, d^{-1} for 5 days	↑Chlorogenic acid	Rizi et al., 2021
Topaeolum majus Polyphenol U-B 2 and 2 h Resmantion add Glocotopaeolino Resmantion add Glocotopaeolino Schwaner al., 2009 Withania sommifera Polyphenol (7.5e-4 and 1.5e-3W m ⁻²) 3 h d ⁻¹ for 100 day Anthocyanins, Company Takshak and Agrawal, 2014 Orepis japonica Polyphenol U-V-A 11.5 h d ⁻¹ for Chlorogenic add Constantion et al., 2017 Izeris dentata Polyphenol U-V-A 24 h d ⁻¹ for 3 day Total phonolics Colorogenic add Lee et al., 2013 Cichorium Intybus Polyphenol Bue light followed by white light 4 h d ⁻¹ for 3 day Total phonolics Colorogenic add Lee et al., 2013 Cichorium Intybus Polyphenol Bue light followed by white light 4 h d ⁻¹ for 3 day Total phonolics Lee et al., 2019 Cichorium Intybus Polyphenol Bue light followed by white light 4 h d ⁻¹ for 3 day Total phonolics Lee et al., 2019 Cichorium Intybus Fae light followed by white light 4 h d ⁻¹ for 10 for 3 day Total phonolics Lee et al., 2019 Cichorium Int ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ seggettybus Fae light followed by white light <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>(0.127 W m⁻²)</td><td>↑Caffeic acid</td><td></td></td<>			(0.127 W m ⁻²)		↑Caffeic acid	
Withania sommilesPolyphenol $(-5e-4 \text{ and } 1.5e-3 \text{ Wm}^{-9})$ $(-5e-4 \text{ and } 1.5e-3 \text{ Wm}^{-9})$ $(-7e-4 \text{ And } 1.5e-3 \text{ Mm}^{-9})$ $(-7e-$	Tropaeolum majus	Polyphenol	UV-B	2 and 22 h	↑Rosmarinic acid ↑Glucotropaeolin	Schreiner et al., 2009
Crepis / goonicaPolyphenol $(0.111 W m^2)$ Flavonoids2014Crepis / goonicaPolyphenolUVA60 daysChlorogenic acidConstantino et al., 2017Izeris dentataPolyphenolUVA24 h d ⁻¹ for 3 daysTotal iphenolicsLee et al., 2013Cichorium intybusPolyphenolBlue light followed by white light14 h d ⁻¹ for 3 days (blue light), 14 h d ⁻¹ Total iphenolics (Chorogenic acidSytar et al., 2019Cichorium intybusPolyphenolBlue light followed by white light14 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days (blue light), 14 h d ⁻¹ Chlorogenic acidSytar et al., 2019Cichorium intybusPolyphenolBlue light followed by white light14 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days (corange light followed by white light14 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days (corange light followed by white light14 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days (Chorogenic acidSytar et al., 2019Crange light followed by white light14 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days (corange light, 14 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h	Withania somnifera	Polyphenol	(7.5e-4 and 1.5e-3 W m ⁻²) UV-B	3 h d ⁻¹ for 100 days	↑Anthocyanins,	Takshak and Agrawal,
Creps japonica Polyphenol UV-A 11.5 h d ⁻¹ for 2 Constantino et al., 2017 (g-25 W m ⁻¹) 60 days Total phenolics Lae et al., 2013 Liveris dentata Polyphenol UV-A 24 h d ⁻¹ for 3 days Total phenolics Lae et al., 2013 Cichorium intybus Polyphenol Blue light followed by white light 14 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days Total phenolics Sytar et al., 2019 Cichorium intybus Polyphenol Blue light followed by white light 14 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days Colorage light, 14 h d ⁻¹ Corage light, 1			(0.111 W m ⁻²)		↑Flavonoids	2014
lxeris dentata Polyphenol UV-A 24 h-d ⁻¹ for 5 days Total phenolics Lee et al., 2013 Cichorium intybus Polyphenol Blue light followed by white light 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 3 days Total phenolics Sytar et al., 2019 Cichorium intybus Polyphenol Blue light followed by white light 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Chorogenic acid Sytar et al., 2019 (25 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Chorogenic acid Sytar et al., 2019 (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Chorogenic acid Sytar et al., 2019 (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Chorogenic acid Sytar et al., 2019 (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Chorogenic acid Sytar et al., 2019 (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Chorogenic acid Sytar et al., 2019 (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Chorogenic acid Sytar et al., 2019 (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Chorogenic acid Sytar et al., 2018 (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively	Crepis japonica	Polyphenol	UV-A (6–25 W m ⁻²)	11.5 h d ⁻¹ for 60 days	↑Caffeic acid ↑Chlorogenic acid	Constantino et al., 2017
Cichonum intybus Polyphenol Blue light followed by white light 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days "Total phenolics" Cichonum intybus Polyphenol Blue light followed by white light 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days "Charis caid" Sytar et al., 2019 Cichonum intybus Polyphenol Cistage index of the system of th	lxeris dentata	Polyphenol	UV-A	24 h·d ⁻¹ for 5 days	↑Total phenolics	Lee et al., 2013
Cichorium intybus Polyphenol Bule light followed by white light 14 h-d1* for 30 das (25 µmol m*2 s-1 and 320 µmol m*2 s-1, respective) 14 h-d1* for 30 das (25 µmol m*2 s-1 and 320 µmol m*2 s-1, respective) Cataric caid Cataric caid 12 orbitametin-3-(6*-acetyl)-glucoside 13 orbitametin-3-(6*-acetyl)-glucoside 13 orbitametin-3-(6*-acetyl)-glucoside 14 h-d1* for 30 das 12 orbitametin-3-glucoside 13 orbitametin-3-glucoside 13 orbitametin-3-glucoside 14 h-d1* for 30 das 13 orbitametin-3-glucoside 14 h-d1* for 30 das 14 h-d1* for 30 das 15 orbitametin-3-glucoside 14 h-d1* for 30 das 13 orbitametin-3-glucoside 14 h-d1* for 30 das 14 h-d1* for 30 das 15 orbitametin-3-glucoside 14 h-d1* for 30 das 14 h-d1* for 30 das 20 µmol m*2 s-1 and 320 µmol m*2 s-			UV-B	4 h·d ⁻¹ for 3 days	↑Total flavonoid	
Inginit Collade right, 14 mb Cafabric acid (25 µmol m² s⁻¹ and 320 µmol m² s⁻¹, respectively) for 27 days (white light) IA ampferol.3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside 18orhammetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside Isorhammetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside Isorhammetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside 19h Hod² for 27 days (Mite light) IA h·d²¹ for 30 days [Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside 19h Hod² for 27 days (Mite light) IA h·d²¹ for 27 days [Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside 20 µmol m² s⁻¹ and 320 µmol m² s⁻¹, respectively) IA h·d²¹ for 30 days [Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside ISorhammetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside 19h d² for 27 days [Mite light) IA h·d²¹ for 30 days [Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside ISorhammetin-3-glucoside 20 µmol m² s⁻¹ and light [A h·d²¹ for 30 days [Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside ISorhammetin-3-glucoside 19h d² light IA h·d²¹ for 30 days [Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside ISorhammetin-3-glucoside 19h d² light IA h·d²¹ for 30 days [Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside ISorhammetin-3-glucoside 19h d² light IA h·d²¹ for 30 days [Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside ISorhammetin-3-glucoside 19h d² light IA h·d²¹ for 30 days [Kaempferol-3	Cichorium intybus	Polyphenol	Blue light followed by white light (25 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively)	14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (blue light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light)	↑Total phenolics ↓Chlorogenic acid	Sytar et al., 2019
L2 pintor in "2" s ⁻¹ , respectively light Haempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively light Lsorhammetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside Orange light followed by white light 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days (orange light) Lsorhammetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) Haempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) Haempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside Isorhammetin-3-glucoside Lsorhammetin-3-glucoside Lsorhammetin-3-glucoside Isorhammetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside Lsorhammetin-3-glucoside Lsorhammetin-3-glucoside Isorhammetin-3-glucoside Lsorhammetin-3-glucoside Lsorhammetin-3-glucoside Ight 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Lsorhammetin-3-glucoside Lsorhammetin-3-glucoside Ight <t< td=""><td></td><td>↓Caftaric acid</td><td></td></t<>					↓Caftaric acid	
Drange light followed by white light 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (orange light), (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (orange light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) Hsorhannetin-3-glucoside (Droogenic acid Hsorhannetin-3-glucoside (S-acetyl)-glucoside Bed light followed by white light 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (verite light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light) Hsorhannetin-3-glucoside Hsorhannetin-3-glucoside Dracocephalum forrestii Polyphenol Blue light 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 32 days (white light) Ichlorogenic acid Weremczuk-Jezyna et al., 202 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Dracocephalum forrestii Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Ichlorogenic acid Weremczuk-Jezyna et al., 2019 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days Ichlorogenic acid Marentell Plumbagin Boonsnongcheep et al., 2019 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days Ichlorof the mole met al., 2018 Ichlorof the mole met al., 2018 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days Ichlorof the mole met al., 2018 Ichlorof the mole met al., 2018 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light					↑Kaempferol-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside	
Drange light followed by white light 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (orange light), (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light, 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 15 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 35 days (red light) 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 14 days 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 14 days 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 7 days 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 7 days 10 c-glycosyflavones Nam et al., 2018 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 7 days 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 7 days 10 c-glycosyflavones Nam et al., 2018 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 7 days 10 c-glycosyflavones Nam et al., 2018					↓lsorhamnetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside	
Drange light 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days 18 orhamnetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 220 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days 18 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days Red light followed by white 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ 16 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days 10 uercetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside Jornescrini Red light followed by white 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days 12 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 19 orhamnetin-3-glucoside Jornescrini (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 50 27 days (white 19 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 19 orhamnetin-3-glucoside Jornescrini (40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days 1Chlorogenic acid Weremczuk-Jezyna et al., Drosera indica Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days 18 orhamnetin caid 19 umbagin 2019 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 10 orientin 2019 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 10 orientin 10 orientin If thin If thin If thin			Orange light followed by white light (40 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively)	14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (orange light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light)	Chlorogenic acid	
(40 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) 15 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light) Dracocephalum forrestii Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days (40 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹), respectively) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days (15 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Polyphenol Blue light (115 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days (15 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days (15 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days (15 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days (10 reintin (Vitexin and its isomers) Nam et al., 2018					theoremotion 2 (6" acetul) glucoside	
320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) (white light) Isoman method-guodoside 120 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) (white light) IferuloyI-malate 120 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days (Duercetin-3-(6"-acetyl)-glucoside 190 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Isoman metin-3-glucoside 190 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 14 h-d ⁻¹ for 30 days Isoman metin-3-glucoside 190 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days 190 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days 180 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 190 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 100 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 190 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 100 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 191 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 100 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 192 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 100 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 191 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 100 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 191 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 100 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 191 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 100 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 191 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 100 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) </td <td></td> <td>Isorhamnetin 3 duposido</td> <td></td>					Isorhamnetin 3 duposido	
Preducy-matage LChlorogenic acid 12 Chlorogenic acid 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days 13 hr d ⁻¹ for 30 days 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 30 days 18 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 13 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 18 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days 18 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 13 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 18 orhamnetin-3-glucoside 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 16 for 35 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days 17 orsera indica Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days 18 orhamnetin-3 glucoside 17 prosera indica Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 17 prosera indica Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days 10 reintin 10 reintin 10 reintin 10 rein						
Ped light followed by white light 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively) 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white ight) 14 b·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white ight) 14 b·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white ight) 14 b·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white ight) 14 b·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white ight) 14 b·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white ight) 14 b·d ⁻¹ for 35 days (thorogenic acid Weremczuk-Jezyna et al., 201 Drosera indica Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) thori for 7 days (15 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days thori for 7 days (D ⁻¹ for 14 days (10 ⁻¹ for 1 ⁻¹ for 7 days) thori for 7 days (D ⁻¹ for 1 ⁻¹ for 7 days Nam et al., 2018 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days thori for 7 days thori for 7 days F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days thori for 7 days thori for 7 days thori for 7 days F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days thori for 7 days thori for 7 days						
Red light followed by white light 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ Jsorhamnetin-3-glucoside Dracocephalum for 25 ⁻¹ and 320 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively for 27 days (white light) Jsorhamnetin-3-glucoside Dracocephalum forrestii Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days †Chlorogenic acid Weremczuk-Jezyna et al., Drosera indica Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) †Salvianolic acid B 2021 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days †C-glycosylflavones Nam et al., 2018 F. esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days †C-glycosylflavones Nam et al., 2018 Yitexin and its isomers †Vitexin and its isomers †Vitexin and its isomers †Rutin						
Dracceephalum forrestii Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days ↑Chlorogenic acid Weremczuk-Jezyna et al., 2021 Drosera indica Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) ↑Salvianolic acid B 2021 F esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) ↑Plumbagin Boonsnongcheep et al., 2019 F esculentum Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days ↑C-glycosylflavones Nam et al., 2018 ↑Vitexin and its isomers ↑Vitexin and its isomers ↑Vitexin and its isomers ↑Rutin			Red light followed by white light (40 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ and 320 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , respectively)	14 h·d ⁻¹ for 30 days (red light), 14 h·d ⁻¹ for 27 days (white light)	↓Isorhamnetin-3-glucoside	
forrestii (40 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 1 Salvianolic acid B 2021 1Rosmarinic acid 1Rosmarinic acid 1Plumbagin 2019 201	Dracocephalum forrestii	Polyphenol	Blue light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days	↑Chlorogenic acid	Weremczuk-Jezyna et al., 2021
Drosera indica Polyphenol Blue light (115 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days (115 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days (16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days) ↑C-glycosylflavones ↑Orientin ↑Vitexin and its isomers Nam et al., 2018			(40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		†Salvianolic acid B	
(115 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) 2019 <i>F. esculentum</i> Polyphenol Blue light 16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days ↑C-glycosylflavones Nam et al., 2018 ↑Orientin ↑Vitexin and its isomers ↑Rutin	Drosera indica	Polyphenol	Blue light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days	↓Rosmarinic acid †Plumbagin	Boonsnongcheep et al.,
↑Vitexin and its isomers ↑Rutin	F. esculentum	Polyphenol	(115 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) Blue light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 7 days	†C-glycosylflavones †Orientin	2019 Nam et al., 2018
↑Rutin					↑Vitexin and its isomers	
					↑Rutin	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Species	Class	Light quality	Treatment time	Modulation	References
Ocimum basilicum	Polyphenol	Blue light	24 h d ⁻¹ for 35 days	↑Total phenolics	Nazir et al., 2020
Rhodiola imbricata	Polyphenol	(40–50 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Blue light	24 $h \cdot d^{-1}$ for 30 days	↑Total flavonoids ↑Total phenolics	Kapoor et al., 2018
		(25–27 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		↑Total flavonoids	
		B 1811		↑Salidroside	
		Red light	24 h·d⁻¹ for 30 days	↑Salidroside	
Salvia przewalskii	Polyphenol	(35–37 μmoi m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Blue light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 12 days	†Salvianolic acid A	Li, 2014
		(40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		†Salvianolic acid B	
				↑Rosmarinic acid	
		Red light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 12 days	↓Protocatechualdehyde †Salvianolic acid A	
		(40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		†Salvianolic acid B	
				↑Rosmarinic acid	
Sarcandra glabra	Polyphenol	Blue and red light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 60 days	†Protocatechualdehyde ↓Isofraxidin	Xie et al., 2021
		(80 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		↓Scopoletin	
Scutellaria	Polyphenol	Blue and red light	30 days	↓Rosmarinic acid †Baicalin	Stepanova et al., 2020
baicalensis		(80 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		↑Baicalein	
Verbena officinalis	Polyphenol	Blue light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 28 days	†Wogonin †Verbascoside	Kubica et al., 2020
Achyranthes	Terpenoid	(40 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) UV-B	2 h and 3 h	†lsoverbascoside †Oleanolic acid	Li et al., 2019
bidentata		(0.205 W m ⁻²)		↑Ecdysterone	
A. annua	Terpenoid	UV-B	30 min d ⁻¹ for	↑Artemisinin	Rai et al., 2011
		(0.047 W m ⁻²) UV-C	30 min d ⁻¹ for	↑Artemisinin	
A. annua	Terpenoid	(0.066 W m ⁻²) UV-B	1 h d ^{-1} for 10 days	↑Artemisinin	Pan et al., 2014
Salvia miltiorrhiza	Terpenoid	(0.017 W m ⁻²) UV-B	40 min	↑Total tanshinones	Wang et al., 2016
		(0.4 W m ⁻²)		↑Cryptotanshinone	
				↑Tanshinone II _A	
A. annua	Terpenoid	Blue and red light	24 h d ⁻¹ for 2 days	↑Tanshinone I ↑Artemisinin	Zhang et al., 2018b
		$(50 \pm 5 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1})$			
Dysphania ambrosioides	Terpenoid	Blue light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 40 days	↓Z-ascaridole	de Carvalho et al., 2020
Mentha canadensis	Terpenoid	(60 µmoi m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Blue and red light	6 h d ⁻¹ for 14 days	↑Pulegone	Ueda et al., 2021
		(6.7 and 7.1 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ ,		↑Menthofuran	
		respectively)		↑Menthol	
Perovskia	Terpenoid	Blue and red light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 60 days	↓α-Pinene	Ghaffari et al., 2019
atriplicifolia		(300 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		↑Camphene	
				†δ-3-Carene	
S. przewalskii	Terpenoid	Blue and red light	16 h d ⁻¹ for 12 days	↓Camphor ↑Dihydrotanshinone	Li, 2014
		(40 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		↑Cryptotanshinone	
				↑Tanshinone II _A	
				↓Tanshinone I	
Aquilaria agallocha	Terpenoid	Red light	24 h d ⁻¹ for 2 days	↑Cucurbitacin I	Kuo et al., 2015
		(~15 µmoi m² s⁻') Far-red light	24 h d ⁻¹ for 5 days	TOucurbitacin E ‡Cucurbitacin I	
		(~15 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		↓Cucurbitacin E	(O# 0

TABLE 1 | Continued

Species	Class	Light quality	Treatment time	Modulation	References
Catharanthus	Alkaloid	UV-B	1 h	↑Strictosidine	Zhu et al., 2015
roseus		(13.45 W m ⁻²)		↑Vindoline	
				↑Catharanthine	
Clematis terniflora	Alkaloid	UV-B (1.208 W m ⁻²)	5 h	†Ajmalicine †(6-Hydroxyl-1H-indol-3-yl) carboxylic acid methyl ester	Gao et al., 2016
Mahonia bealei	Alkaloid	UV-B	6 h	↓Berberine	Zhang et al., 2014
		(1.208 W m ⁻²)		↓Palmatine	
W. somnifera	Alkaloid	UV-B	3 h d ⁻¹ for 100 days	↑Columbamine ↑Total alkaloids	Takshak and Agrawal, 2014
Camptotheca acuminata	Alkaloid	(ambient +0.042 W m ⁻²) Blue light (1.200 + 50 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	12 h d ⁻¹ for 45 days	↓Witnanolide A ↑Camptothecin	Liu et al., 2015
Psychotria leiocarpa	Alkaloid	Blue, red and far-red light	20 d	$\uparrow N, {}_{-\beta} {}_{-\mathfrak{v}}$ -glucopyranosyl vincosamide	Matsuura et al., 2016
C. roseus	Alkaloid	(30 μmoi m ⁻² s ⁻¹) Red light (150 μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	16h d ⁻¹ for 28days	†Vindoline †Catharanthine	Ohashi et al., 2013

The upward arrow in the table represents the increased content of the corresponding phytochemical, the downward arrow in the table represents the decreased content of the corresponding phytochemical.

production of polyphenols in some medicinal plants. For example, in *Crepis japonica*, treatment with UV-A (6–25 W m⁻²) significantly promoted the production of caffeic acid and chlorogenic acid (Constantino et al., 2017). In another study, a 3-day UV-A treatment of *Ixeris dentata* increased the total phenolic and total flavonoid contents by 60 and 40%, respectively, compared with the control (Lee et al., 2013).

The mechanism underlying the regulatory effects of UV-B on the synthesis of polyphenols is not fully understood. However, it has been confirmed that UV-B affects the activities of key polyphenol biosynthetic enzymes and/or transcript levels of the corresponding genes in many medicinal plants (Figure 1B). For example, supplemental UV-B radiation significantly improved the activities of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD), 4-coumaric acid: CoA ligase (4CL), CHI, and dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR), and enhanced the contents of flavonoids and phenolicp0s in the leaves of C. forskohlii and Withania somnifera (Takshak and Agrawal, 2014, 2015). In Sinopodophyllum hexandrum, treatment with UV-B (1.07 W·m⁻²) significantly decreased the content of podophyllotoxin as well as transcript levels of 12 related genes, including cinnamic acid 3-hydroxylase (C3H), caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase (CCoAMT), cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (CCR), CAD, dirigent protein oxidase (DPO), pinoresinol-lariciresinol reductase (PLR), secoisolariciresinol dehydrogenase (SDH), cytochrome P450 719A23 (CPY719A23), O-methyltransferase3 (OMT3), cytochrome P450 71CU1 (CYP71CU1), OMT1, and 2-oxoglutarate/Fe(II)-dependent dioxygenase (2-ODD; Lv et al., 2021). In Glycyrrhiza uralensis, Zhang et al. (2018a) showed that UV-B radiation stimulated the expression of several genes involved in the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway, such as cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase (C4H), PAL, CHS, CHI, and FLS. Wulff et al. (1999) reported that UV-B stimulated the accumulation of quercetin-3-glycoside and increased the expression of CHS in *Betula pendula*.

Blue and red light wavelengths are two important light qualities involved in plant growth and development. Both light qualities are widely recognized as effective elicitors that regulate the accumulation of bioactive compounds in medicinal plants (Table 1; Dou et al., 2017). Fazal et al. (2016a) investigated the effects of monochromatic blue, green, yellow, and red light wavelengths on the production of polyphenols in P. vulgaris calli, and found that the calli accumulated most total phenolics (23.9 mg g^{-1} DW) and total flavonoids (1.65 mg g^{-1} DW) under blue light. Similarly, Kapoor et al. (2018) revealed that the callus cultures of Rhodiola imbricata accumulated the highest amounts of salidroside, total phenolics, and total flavonoids under blue light compared with those under red light, green light, RGB (40% red:40% green:20% blue), and white light. Kubica et al. (2020) found that both blue light and red light significantly stimulated the accumulation of verbascoside compared with fluorescent lamps (control) in Verbena officinalis. Coumarins is an important class of phenols in medicinal plants. The biosynthesis and accumulation of coumarins are significantly affected by blue and red light. For instance, Xie et al. (2021) treated Sarcandra glabra seedlings with different monochromatic lights for 60 days and found that the content of fraxetin and 6-methylcoumarin in red light treated group was 45 and 16% of that in control (under white light), respectively. The content of these two coumarins in blue light treated group was 51 and 11% of that in control, respectively. Khurshid et al. (2020) revealed that blue and red light stimulated the accumulation of coumarins in callus culture of Eclipta alba. The content of coumarin, wedelolactone, and demethylwedelolactone in the red light treated group (40-50 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, 28 d) was 3.07-, 1.59-, and 1.59-fold of that in control (white light), respectively.

The content of these compounds in the blue light treated group was 2.24-, 1.43-, and 1.29-fold of that in control, respectively. Combined blue and red light are often used to improve the growth and SM content of medicinal plants simultaneously. For example, Lobiuc et al. (2017) cultured Ocimum basilicum seedlings under different light conditions, and found that the dry mass, rosmarinic acid, and caffeic acid contents of seedlings were 1.45-, 15-, and 4-fold higher under combined red and blue light (1R:2B), respectively, than under control (white) conditions. Zhang et al. (2020) treated Salvia miltiorrhiza seedlings with monochromatic blue light (B), monochromatic red light (R), and combined blue and red light, and showed that seedling growth and phenolic acid production were stimulated under 7R:3B. Wei et al. (2021) found that combined red and blue LED light (1.61R:1B) improved the growth and cannabidiol content of Cannabis sativa seedlings, and increased the aboveground plant biomass, flower biomass, and flower cannabidiol content by 15.2, 238, and 36.53%, respectively, compared with the control (Seedlings growth under highpressure sodium light). Silva et al. (2020) compared the effects of different light qualities on morphogenesis and SM production in Pfaffia glomerata and found that equal proportion of red and blue light (1R:1B) was the best light condition for the accumulation of biomass, anthocyanins, and 20-hydroxyecdisone.

Similar to UV-B, blue and red light wavelengths affect the production of phenylpropanoids by regulating the transcript levels of phenylpropanoid biosynthetic genes (Figure 1B; Hao et al., 2016; Alrifai et al., 2019). For example, Zhang et al. (2019b) found that the expression levels of PAL and 4CL, which are required for phlorizin synthesis, are correlated with phlorizin content under red and blue light in Lithocarpus polystachyus. Liu et al. (2018a) reported that blue light dramatically induced flavonoid biosynthesis in Cyclocarya paliurus leaves, and the flavonoid content was positively correlated with the transcript levels of PAL, 4CL, and CHS. MYB transcription factors and microRNAs are also involved in light-induced polyphenol biosynthesis in some medicinal plants. For example, in Fagopyrum tataricum, FtMYB16 directly binds to the promoter region of the flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3'H) gene under red and bule light to induce its expression and enhance the flavonoid content (Zhang et al., 2019a). In Dimocarpus longan, miR393, miR394, and miR395 act as positive regulators of epicatechin production under blue light (Li et al., 2018b).

Terpenoids

Biosynthesis of terpenoids in medicinal plants is closely related to light conditions (**Figure 2B**). Both UV and visible light act as important elicitors of terpenoid synthesis (**Table 1**; Zhang and Bjorn, 2009; Kawoosa et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2021). Among the different light qualities, UV-B is reported to promote the accumulation of terpenoids in many plant species (Takshak and Agrawal, 2019). For instance, in *C. citratus*, treatment with supplemental UV-B increased the total essential oil yield by 25.7% (Kumari and Agrawal, 2010). Artemisinin is a typical sesquiterpene lactone that has attracted considerable attention because of its widespread application in malaria treatment (Ansari et al., 2013). Several studies showed that UV-B treatment induces the biosynthesis of artemisinin and enhances the expression levels of key enzyme-encoding genes, such as 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA reductase (HMGR), 1-deoxy-Dxylulose-5-phosphate reductoisomerase (DXR), isopentenyl pyrophosphate isomerase (IPPi), FPS, amorpha-4, 11-diene synthase (ADS) gene, cytochrome P450 71AV1 (CYP71AV1), and dihydroartemisinic aldehyde reductase (RED1; Yin et al., 2008; Rai et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2014). Similarly, in S. miltiorrhiza hairy roots, treatment with UV-B (0.4 W m⁻²) increased the content of total tanshinones and the transcript levels of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase (SmDXS2) and copalyl diphosphate synthase (SmCPS) by 1.5-, 6.2-, and 7.3-fold, respectively, compared with the control (Wang et al., 2016).

Red and blue light are also effective regulators of terpenoid biosynthesis. Generally, red light enhances the accumulation of terpenoids, whereas blue light inhibits terpenoid biosynthesis. Kuo et al. (2015) planted Aquilaria agallocha seedlings under different light conditions and found that red light significantly enhanced the contents of cucurbitacin E and I in this species. Similarly, Wang et al. (2018) revealed that red light enhances the production of gypenoside and upregulates the expression of squalene synthase (SS) and squalene epoxidase (SE) genes in Gynostemma pentaphyllum. Chen et al. (2018) reported that red and blue light irradiation dramatically changes the accumulation of tanshinones in S. miltiorrhiza hairy roots; red light treatment upregulated the expression of SmHMGR, SmDXS2, SmDXR, SmGGPPS, SmCPS, and CYP76AH1 genes and increased the content of tanshinone II_A by 1.4-fold compared with the control, whereas blue light remarkedly suppressed tanshinone II_A biosynthesis and downregulated the expression of key tanshinone II_A biosynthesis genes. de Carvalho et al. (2020) treated nodal segments of Dysphania ambrosioides with different light qualities and showed that blue light inhibited the accumulation of Z-ascaridole in this herb. The effects of red and blue light on terpenoid production are species-specific. For example, both red light and blue light stimulated the biosynthesis of artemisinin and artemisinic acid in A. annua but decreased the production of essential oils in Melissa officinalis (Chen, 2017; Zhang et al., 2018b).

Alkaloids

UV-B is an effective elicitor of alkaloid production and has been confirmed to promote the biosynthesis of several kinds of alkaloids in medicinal plants (**Table 1**; Peebles et al., 2009; Akula and Ravishankar, 2011). Takshak and Agrawal (2015) revealed that supplementary UV-B (ambient +0.042 Wm⁻²) treatment increased the alkaloid content of leaves and roots of *C. forskohlii*. In *Clematis terniflora*, UV-B irradiation (1.208 W m⁻²), followed by dark incubation, increased the content of indole alkaloid (6-hydroxyl-1H-indol-3-yl) carboxylic acid methyl ester by 7-fold (Gao et al., 2016). Similarly, 6 h of UV-B irradiation (1.208 W m⁻²), followed by dark incubation, significantly enhanced the contents of protoberberine alkaloids, including berberine, jateorhizine, palmatine, and columbamine, in *Mahonia bealei* leaves (Zhang et al., 2014). Ramani and Jayabaskaran (2008) showed that



FIGURE 4 | Working model for light-mediated biosynthesis of polyphenols, terpenoids, and alkaloids in medicinal plants. Upon UV-B radiation, the UVR8 homodimer undergoes monomerization and interacts with COP1, which increases COP1 stability and induces *HY5* expression. HY5 regulates the expression of genes encoding transcription factors and/or key enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of SMs and affects the accumulation of SMs under UV-B. Under blue and red light, cryptochromes (cry1 and cry 2) and phytochromes (phyA and phyB) inhibit the E3 ligase activity of COP1, HY5 accumulates in nucleus and promotes the expression of transcription factor and/or key enzyme genes in the related biosynthetic pathways of SMs. Phytochromes may also affect the accumulation of alkaloids by mediating the function of PIF1 and PIF3 in some species. COP1, CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1; cry1 and cry2, cryptochrome 1 and cryptochrome 2; GATA1, GATA-type transcription factor 1; GSW1, GLANDULAR TRICHOME-SPECIFIC WRKY 1; HY5, LONG HYPOCOTYL 5; ORA, AP2/ERF type transcription factor; phyA and phyB, phytochrome A and phytochrome B; PIF1, phytochrome interacting factor 1; PIF3, phytochrome interacting factor 3; UVR8, UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8.

treatment of the suspension cultures of *Catharanthus roseus* with UV-B for 5 min increased the contents of catharanthine and vindoline by 3- and 12-fold, respectively. Many key enzymeencoding genes in the alkaloid biosynthetic pathways are UV-B inducible (**Figures 3B,C**; Takshak and Agrawal, 2019). For example, Zhu et al. (2015) exposed *C. roseus* to binary stress (enhanced UV-B followed by dark incubation), and found that most of structural genes in the alkaloid biosynthetic pathways were upregulated, among which *10-hydroxygeranioloxidoreductase* (*10-HGO*), *tabersonine 16-hydroxylase* (*T16H*), and *strictosidine synthase* (*STR*) genes were upregulated by approximately 2-, 4-, and 4-fold, respectively, compared with the control. Gao et al. (2016) reported that UV-B irradiation remarkedly stimulated the expression of upstream genes in the indole alkaloid biosynthetic pathways in *C. terniflora*. The expression of key genes in the tropane alkaloid biosynthetic pathway is also affected by UV-B. In *Anisodus luridus* hairy roots treated with UV-B (90 W m⁻²) for 24 h, transcript levels of *putrescine N-methyltransferase* (*PMT*), *tropinone reductase I* (*TRI*), *cytochrome P450 80F1* (*CYP80F1*), and *hyoscyamine 6b-hydroxylase* (*H6H*) genes were increased by 10-, 52-, 16-, and 9-fold, respectively, compared with the control (Qin et al., 2014).

Similar to UV-B, blue and red light are also reported to stimulate the accumulation of alkaloids as well as the transcript levels of related genes (Figures 3B,C; Takshak and Agrawal, 2019). Li et al. (2021) revealed that blue light irradiation (100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 30 d) dramatically increased the production of galanthamine, lycorine, and lycoramine as well as the expression of norbelladine synthase (NBS), OMT, and CYP96T in Lycoris longituba. Liu et al. (2015) treated Camptotheca acuminata seedlings with different light conditions and showed that blue light $(1,200\pm50 \text{ }\mu\text{mol }\text{m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}, 45 \text{ d})$ promoted the camptothecin content, tryptophan decarboxylase (TDC) and tryptophan synthase (TSB) activities, and TSB, TDC1, and TDC2 transcript levels. Matsuura et al. (2016) reported that blue light is more beneficial for the production of the monoterpene indole alkaloid N,β-D-glucopyranosyl vincosamide than other light qualities in Psychotria leiocarp. Red light is considered as another regulator of alkaloid biosynthesis, and its function is dependent on phytochromes as well as secondary messengers (G protein and CaM; Aerts and De Luca, 1992; Wang et al., 2010). In C. roseus, red light induces vindoline production by increasing the expression of the transcription factor gene GATA1 and vindoline pathway genes T16H2, tabersonine-3-oxygenase (T3O), tabersonine-3-reductase (T3R), desacetoxyvindoline-4-hydroxylase (D4H), and DAT. In the dark, PIF1 suppresses the expression of the abovementioned genes, which dramatically decreases the accumulation of vindoline (Liu et al., 2019). Similarly, red light treatment (150 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) significantly enhanced the concentration and yield of vindoline and catharanthine in C. roseus seedlings (Ohashi et al., 2013).

LIGHT INTENSITY

Optimal light conditions required for growth and development differ among different medicinal plant species. According to their sunlight intensity requirements, medicinal plants are classified into three types: heliophytes, sciophytes, and intermediates. Similar to other physiological processes, the accumulation of SMs in medicinal plants is significantly affected by light intensity (Chen et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020). Generally, high light intensity promotes SM production in heliophytes, such as Ginkgo biloba (Xu et al., 2014), Lonicera japonica (Fang et al., 2020), Tabernaemontana pachysiphon (Hoft et al., 1996), and Andrographis paniculata (Saravanan et al., 2008), while low light intensity promotes SM production in sciophytes, such as Glechoma longituba (Zhang et al., 2015), Changium smyrnioides (Wang et al., 2017), Polygonum minus (Mohd Yusof et al., 2021), and Panax ginseng (Jung et al., 2020). Concentration and yield are two important parameters that should be considered for SM production in medicinal plants. For example, Li et al. (2018a) reported that 30 and 50% sunlight are better light conditions than 10 and 100% sunlight, for total alkaloid production in Mahonia breviracema, as the former light intensities result in higher biomass. Similarly, in G. longituba, the concentration and yield of ursolic acid and oleanolic acid were stimulated under 33% sunlight and 16% sunlight, respectively, compared with other light intensities (Zhang et al., 2015).

Light intensity also affects the chemical composition of medicinal plants. For instance, Xu et al. (2020) fond that moderate shade (38.8% of the control) promoted the accumulation of C_6C_1 - and C_6C_3 -type phenolics, while severe shading (16.9%) of the control) stimulated the accumulation of C₆C₃C₆-type phenolics in Eleutherococcus senticosus. Santos Lazzarini et al. (2018) treated Lippia gracilis with different light intensities and showed that the chemical composition of seedlings in the 26 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ group was more complex, with more monoterpene hydrocarbons and less aromatic monoterpenes, than those in other treated groups. In M. breviracema, light intensity had different effects on the production of alkaloids and essential oils; alkaloid content was significantly enhanced in the 30 and 50% sunlight groups, while the accumulation of essential oils increased linearly with the increase in light intensity, reaching maximum levels in the full (100%) sunlight group (Li et al., 2018a).

PHOTOPERIOD

Photoperiod is one of the critical environmental factors that regulate the growth and development of medicinal plants and is often closely related to other environmental factors, such as latitude, slope direction, and seasonal changes (Liebelt et al., 2019). Depending on the day length or amount of light required for flowering, medicinal plants are classified into long-day, short-day, and intermediate-day plants (Huang and Liu, 2015). Different plant species adapt to changes in the photoperiod through various physiological modifications, one of which is by altering the accumulation of SMs (Moyo et al., 2014; Zahir et al., 2014; Liebelt et al., 2019).

In many medicinal plants, photoperiod promotes the accumulation of SMs. For example, Fazal et al. (2016b) optimized the conditions required for P. vulgaris suspension culture and found that the biomass and SM content were higher under 18-h light/12-h dark (18L/12D), 16L/14D, and 14L/16D photoperiods compared with the control (16L/8D). Kumar et al. (2020) found that Basella rubra callus cultures under the 16:8 h photoperiod produced the highest amount of phenolics compared with those under continuous light and continuous dark conditions. Wu et al. (2007) studied the effects of photoperiod on the growth and caffeic acid derivative content of the adventitious root cultures of Echinacea purpurea, and found that the accumulation of caffeic acid derivatives was optimum in cultures grown under 3-h light/21-h dark conditions. There are also many studies which report that continuous light or continuous dark is more efficiency to stimulate the bioactive compounds biosynthesis compared with photoperiod in some medicinal plants. For instance, de Castro et al. (2019) found that 24-h d⁻¹ light was the best light condition for enhancing the growth and essential oil content of Lippia alba seedlings grown in vitro. Anjum et al. (2017) treated the cell cultures of Linum usitatissimum with different photoperiods, and showed that continuous dark conditions led to the greatest increase in total phenolics (116.85 mg L⁻¹) and total flavonoids (37.05 mg L⁻¹). Photoperiod also affects the chemical composition of medicinal plants. Tusevski et al. (2013) found that hairy roots of *Hypericum perforatum* cultured under 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod showed *de novo* biosynthesis of two phenolic acids, three flavonol glycosides, and five xanthones compared those cultured under continuous dark. Fonseca et al. (2006) revealed that dark incubation decreased the content of parthenolide and increased the content of total phenolics in *Tanacetum parthenium*, while photoperiod showed an opposite effect on the accumulation of these compounds.

SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVES

In this review, we summarized the regulatory roles of light quality, light intensity, and photoperiod in SM accumulation in medicinal plants and the known mechanisms underlying these roles (Figure 4). Generally, when plants are exposed to UV-B radiation, the UVR8 homodimer undergoes monomerization and interacts with CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1 (COP1), which increases COP1 stability and induces LONG HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5) expression. HY5 is a core transcription factor in the light signaling pathway that regulates expression of genes encoding transcription factors or key enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of SMs, to affect the accumulation of SMs under UV-B (Gangappa and Botto, 2016; Liu et al., 2018b; Yang et al., 2018). Phytochromes (phyA and phyB) and cryptochromes (cry1 and cry2) regulate the accumulation of SMs in a different way (Hemm et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2006; Li et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2021a). These photoreceptors interact with COP1 or SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA-105 (SPA) in a light-dependent manner and inhibit the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of the COP1-SPA complex. Under dark conditions, COP1 enters the nucleus and mediates the degradation of the HY5 protein through the 26S proteasome. Under blue, red, and far-red light conditions, HY5 functions its roles normally and promotes the production of SMs. In A. annua, HY5 binds to the promoters of some transcription factor genes, such as AaWRKY9, GLANDULAR TRICHOME-SPECIFIC WRKY 1 (AaGSW1), and AaORA, to upregulates their expression. These transcription factors then stimulate the expression of related biosynthetic genes and enhance the content of artemisinin (Hao et al., 2019; Fu et al., 2021b). HY5 can also affect the artemisinin biosynthesis by directly regulate the expression of biosynthetic genes in A. annua (Zhou et al., 2015). Phytochrome Interacting Factors (PIFs) also mediate the biosynthesis of SMs in many plant species. In C. roseus, under dark conditions, PIF1 binds to the promoters of *deacetylvindoline-4-O-acetyltransferase* (DAT) and GATA-type transcription factor gene GATA1, thus repressing the expression of target genes and decreasing the content of vindoline. Under red light, PIF1 is degraded, which stimulates the accumulation of vindoline (Liu et al., 2019). PIF3 upregulates the expression of ADS, CYP71AV1, artemisinic aldehyde Δ 11(13) reductase (DBR2), and aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1), and dramatically increases the content of artemisinin under light in A. annua (Zhang et al., 2019c). AaMYB15 is reported as a negative regulator of artemisinin, it binds to the promoter of AaORA and inhibits the biosynthesis of artemisinin under light in A. annua (Wu et al., 2021).

As an indispensable environmental factor, light affects the contents and yields of almost all kinds of phytochemicals in medicinal plants. The responses of plants to light are species-specific, and the effects of light on SM biosynthesis are distinct among different species. Moderate UV-B and blue light irradiation improve the accumulation of phytochemicals in many medicinal plants. Compared with UV-B and blue light, red light promotes the growth and development of some medicinal plants and therefore is more efficient in enhancing the yield of target compounds. In nature, light intensity and photoperiod often act together with other environmental factors, either synergistically or antagonistically, to regulate SM biosynthesis in medicinal plants. The regulatory mechanisms underlying the effects of light intensity and photoperiod on SM biosynthesis have not yet been fully elucidated.

With the increasing demand for natural bioactive compounds, many environmentally controlled systems with artificial light sources have been used for the cultivation of medicinal plants. Light conditions optimal for SM production in different plant species have been determined, and light-responsive genes involved in the corresponding biosynthetic pathways have been characterized. However, the intact light signaling pathways in almost all medicinal plants remain unclear. Besides, the regulatory roles of light in plants are complex. Understanding how light systematically regulates the SM content and growth of medicinal plants, simultaneously affects the content and yield of target compounds is a challenge that should be tackled in the future.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SZ, DY, and YW conceptualized and designed the work, collected, analyzed, and interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. LZ and HZ collected the data and contributed to critical revision of the manuscript. LQ, YZ, and HZ approved the final version to be published. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31700257), Key project at central government level: The ability establishment of sustainable use for valuable Chinese medicine resources (2060302), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LR21H280002).

REFERENCES

- Aerts, R. J., and De Luca, V. (1992). Phytochrome is involved in the lightregulation of vindoline biosynthesis in *Catharanthus. Plant Physiol.* 100, 1029–1032. doi: 10.1104/pp.100.2.1029
- Akula, R., and Ravishankar, G. A. (2011). Influence of abiotic stress signals on secondary metabolites in plants. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 6, 1720–1731. doi: 10.4161/psb.6.11.17613
- Alrifai, O., Hao, X. M., Marcone, M. F., and Tsao, R. (2019). Current review of the modulatory effects of LED lights on photosynthesis of secondary metabolites and future perspectives of microgreen vegetables. J. Agric. Food Chem. 67, 6075–6090. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b00819
- Anjum, S., Abbasi, B. H., Doussot, J., Favre-Reguillon, A., and Hano, C. (2017). Effects of photoperiod regimes and ultraviolet-C radiations on biosynthesis of industrially important lignans and neolignans in cell cultures of *Linum usitatissimum* L. (Flax). J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 167, 216–227. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2017.01.006
- Ansari, M. T., Saify, Z. S., Sultana, N., Ahmad, I., Saeed-Ul-Hassan, S., Tariq, I., et al. (2013). Malaria and artemisinin derivatives: an updated review. *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.* 13, 1879–1902. doi: 10.2174/13895575113136660097
- Askari-Khorasgani, O., and Pessarakli, M. (2019). Shifting saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) culture from traditional farmland to controlled environment (greenhouse) condition to avoid the negative impact of climate changes and increase its productivity. *J. Plant Nutr.* 42, 2642–2665. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2019.1659348
- Balasundram, N., Sundram, K., and Samman, S. (2006). Phenolic compounds in plants and agri-industrial by-products: antioxidant activity, occurrence, and potential uses. *Food Chem.* 99, 191–203. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.07.042
- Bergman, M. E., Davis, B., and Phillips, M. A. (2019). Medically useful plant terpenoids: biosynthesis, occurrence, and mechanism of action. *Molecules* 24:3961. doi: 10.3390/molecules24213961
- Bhambhani, S., Kondhare, K. R., and Giri, A. P. (2021). Diversity in chemical structures and biological properties of plant alkaloids. *Molecules* 26:3374. doi: 10.3390/molecules26113374
- Bohlmann, J., Meyer-Gauen, G., and Croteau, R. (1998). Plant terpenoid synthases: molecular biology and phylogenetic analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 95, 4126–4133. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.8.4126
- Boonsnongcheep, P., Sae-foo, W., Banpakoat, K., Channarong, S., Chitsaithan, S., Uafua, P., et al. (2019). Artificial color light sources and precursor feeding enhance plumbagin production of the carnivorous plants *Drosera burmannii* and *Drosera indica*. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 199:111628. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2019.111628
- Chappell, J. (1995). Biochemistry and molecular biology of the isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 46, 521–547. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.46.060195.002513
- Chen, Z. J. (2017). Effect of red and blue light quality on the content of essential oil of *Melissa officinalis* L. master thesis. Guangzhou: South China Agricultural University.
- Chen, I.-G. J., Lee, M.-S., Lin, M.-K., Ko, C.-Y., and Chang, W.-T. (2018). Blue light decreases tanshinone IIA content in *Salvia miltiorrhiza* hairy roots via genes regulation. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 183, 164–171. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2018.04.013
- Chen, D. Q., Li, Z. Y., Pan, R. C., and Wang, X. J. (2006). Anthocyanin accumulation mediated by blue light and cytokinin in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 48, 420–425. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2006.00234.x
- Chen, C. L., Luo, X. H., Jin, G. R., Cheng, Z., Pan, X. Y., Zhu, G. L., et al. (2017). Shading effect on survival, growth, and contents of secondary metabolites in micropropagated *Anoectochilus* plantlets. *Braz. J. Bot.* 40, 599–607. doi: 10.1007/s40415-017-0365-4
- Chiocchio, I., Mandrone, M., Tomasi, P., Marincich, L., and Poli, F. (2021). Plant secondary metabolites: an opportunity for circular economy. *Molecules* 26:495. doi: 10.3390/molecules26020495
- Christie, J. M., Arvai, A. S., Baxter, K. J., Heilmann, M., Pratt, A. J., O'Hara, A., et al. (2012). Plant UVR8 photoreceptor senses UV-B by tryptophan-mediated disruption of cross-dimer salt bridges. *Science* 335, 1492–1496. doi: 10.1126/ science.1218091
- Constantino, L. F. D., Nascimento, L. B. D., Casanova, L. M., Moreira, N. D., Menezes, E. A., Esteves, R. L., et al. (2017). Responses of *Crepis japonica*

induced by supplemental blue light and UV-A radiation. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 16, 238–245. doi: 10.1039/C6PP00343E

- Craker, L. E., and Gardner, Z. E. (2006). "Medicinal plants and tomorrow's pharmacy" in *Medicinal and Aromatic Plants: Agricultural, Commercial, Ecological, Legal, Pharmacological and Social Aspects.* eds. R. J. Bogers, L. E. Craker and D. Lange (New York, NY: Springer), 29–41.
- da Silva, W. M. C., Vilar, D. J., Souza, D. D., Walter, M., de Holanda, M. T., and Brigido, M. D. (2017). A terpenoid metabolic network modelled as graph database. *Int. J. Data Min. Bioinf.* 18, 74–90. doi: 10.1504/IJDMB.2017.086103
- de Carvalho, A. A., Bertolucci, S. K. V., Honorato, A. D., Rocha, T. T., Silva, S. T., and Pinto, J. (2020). Influence of light spectra and elicitors on growth and ascaridole content using in vitro cultures of *Dysphania ambrosioides L. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 143, 277–290. doi: 10.1007/s11240-020-01892-5
- de Castro, K. M., Batista, D. S., Fortini, E. A., Silva, T. D., Felipe, S. H. S., Fernandes, A. M., et al. (2019). Photoperiod modulates growth, morphoanatomy, and linalool content in *Lippia alba* L. (Verbenaceae) cultured in vitro. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 139, 139–153. doi: 10.1007/s11240-019-01672-w
- Demain, A. L., and Fang, A. (2000). The natural functions of secondary metabolites. *Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol.* 69, 1–39. doi: 10.1007/3-540-44964-7_1
- Dixon, R. A., and Paiva, N. L. (1995). Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism. *Plant Cell* 7, 1085–1097. doi: 10.2307/3870059
- Dou, H. J., Niu, G. H., Gu, M. M., and Masabni, J. G. (2017). Effects of light quality on growth and phytonutrient accumulation of herbs under controlled environments. *Horticulturae* 3:36. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae3020036
- Fang, H. L., Qi, X. W., Li, Y. M., Yu, X., Xu, D. B., Liang, C. Y., et al. (2020). De novo transcriptomic analysis of light-induced flavonoid pathway, transcription factors in the flower buds of *Lonicera japonica*. *Trees* 34, 267–283. doi: 10.1007/s00468-019-01916-4
- Fazal, H., Abbasi, B. H., Ahmad, N., Ali, S. S., Akbar, F., and Kanwal, F. (2016a). Correlation of different spectral lights with biomass accumulation and production of antioxidant secondary metabolites in callus cultures of medicinally important *Prunella vulgaris* L. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 159, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2016.03.008
- Fazal, H., Abbasi, B. H., Ahmad, N., Ali, M., and Ali, S. (2016b). Sucrose induced osmotic stress and photoperiod regimes enhanced the biomass and production of antioxidant secondary metabolites in shake-flask suspension cultures of *Prunella vulgaris* L. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 124, 573–581. doi: 10.1007/s11240-015-0915-z
- Fonseca, J. M., Rushing, J. W., Rajapakse, N. C., Thomas, R. L., and Riley, M. B. (2006). Potential implications of medicinal plant production in controlled environments: the case of feverfew (*Tanacetum parthenium*). *HortScience* 41, 531–535. doi: 10.21273/HORTSCI.41.3.531
- Fraenkel, G. S. (1959). The raison d'être of secondary plant substances. *Science* 129, 1466–1470. doi: 10.1126/science.129.3361.1466
- Fu, X. Q., He, Y. L., Li, L., Zhao, L. M., Wang, Y. T., Qian, H. M., et al. (2021a). Overexpression of blue light receptor *AaCRY1* improves artemisinin content in *Artemisia annua* L. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* 68, 338–344. doi: 10.1002/bab.1931
- Fu, X. Q., Peng, B. W., Hassani, D., Xie, L. H., Liu, H., Li, Y. P., et al. (2021b). AaWRKY9 contributes to light- and jasmonate-mediated to regulate the biosynthesis of artemisinin in *Artemisia annua*. *New Phytol.* 231, 1858–1874. doi: 10.1111/nph.17453
- Gangappa, S. N., and Botto, J. F. (2016). The multifaceted roles of HY5 in plant growth and development. *Mol. Plant* 9, 1353–1365. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2016.07.002
- Gao, C. X., Yang, B. X., Zhang, D. D., Chen, M., and Tian, J. K. (2016). Enhanced metabolic process to indole alkaloids in *Clematis terniflora* DC after exposure to high level of UV-B irradiation followed by the dark. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16:231. doi: 10.1186/s12870-016-0920-3
- Ghaffari, Z., Rahimmalek, M., and Sabzalian, M. R. (2019). Variation in the primary and secondary metabolites derived from the isoprenoid pathway in the *Perovskia* species in response to different wavelengths generated by light emitting diodes (LEDs). *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 140:111592. doi: 10.1016/j. indcrop.2019.111592
- Gyula, N., Schafer, E., and Nagy, F. (2003). Light perception and signalling in higher plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 6, 446–452. doi: 10.1016/ S1369-5266(03)00082-7
- Hamid, A., Singh, S., Agrawal, M., and Agrawal, S. B. (2019). *Heteropogon* contortus BL-1 (Pilli grass) and elevated UV-B radiation: the role of

growth, physiological, and biochemical traits in determining forage productivity and quality. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 95, 572–580. doi: 10.1111/ php.12990

- Hao, X. Y., Li, L. T., Hu, Y. R., Zhou, C., Wang, X. C., Wang, L., et al. (2016). Transcriptomic analysis of the effects of three different light treatments on the biosynthesis of characteristic compounds in the tea plant by RNA-Seq. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 12, 1–10. doi: 10.1007/s11295-016-1071-2
- Hao, X. L., Zhong, Y. J., Nutzmann, H.-W., Fu, X. Q., Yan, T. X., Shen, Q., et al. (2019). Light-induced artemisinin biosynthesis is regulated by the bZIP transcription factor AaHY5 in *Artemisia annual. Plant Cell Physiol.* 60, 1747–1760. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcz084
- Hemm, M. R., Rider, S. D., Ogas, J., Murry, D. J., and Chapple, C. (2004). Light induces phenylpropanoid metabolism in *Arabidopsis* roots. *Plant J.* 38, 765–778. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02089.x
- Herrmann, K. M. (1995). The shikimate pathway: early steps in the biosynthesis of aromatic compounds. *Plant Cell* 7, 907–919. doi: 10.2307/3870046
- Hoft, M., Verpoorte, R., and Beck, E. (1996). Growth and alkaloid contents in leaves of *Tabernaemontana pachysiphon* Stapf (Apocynaceae) as influenced by light intensity, water and nutrient supply. *Oecologia* 107, 160–169. doi: 10.1007/BF00327899
- Huang, L. Q., and Liu, C. X. (2015). "Biosynthesis and regulation of secondary metabolites in medicinal plants" in *Molecular Pharmacognosy.* eds. Y. Bao and L. Y. Cao. 3rd ed (Beijing: Science Press), 251–252.
- Huang, Y. X., Tan, H. X., Guo, Z. Y., Wu, X. X., Zhang, Q. L., Zhang, L., et al. (2016). The biosynthesis and genetic engineering of bioactive indole alkaloids in plants. J. Plant Biol. 59, 203–214. doi: 10.1007/s12374-016-0032-5
- Jain, C., Khatana, S., and Vijayvergia, R. (2019). Bioactive of secondary metabolites of various plants: a review. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* 10, 494–504. doi: 10.13040/ ijpsr.0975-8232.10(2).494-04
- Jung, W.-S., Chung, I.-M., Hwang, M. H., Kim, S.-H., Yu, C. Y., and Ghimire, B. K. (2021). Application of light-emitting diodes for improving the nutritional quality and bioactive compound levels of some crops and medicinal plants. *Molecules* 26:1477. doi: 10.3390/molecules26051477
- Jung, J. H., Kim, H. Y., Kim, H. S., and Jung, S. H. (2020). Transcriptome analysis of *Panax ginseng* response to high light stress. J. Ginseng Res. 44, 312–320. doi: 10.1016/j.jgr.2018.12.009
- Kapoor, S., Raghuvanshi, R., Bhardwaj, P., Sood, H., Saxena, S., and Chaurasia, O. P. (2018). Influence of light quality on growth, secondary metabolites production and antioxidant activity in callus culture of *Rhodiola imbricata* Edgew. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 183, 258–265. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2018.04.018
- Kawoosa, T., Singh, H., Kumar, A., Sharma, S. K., Devi, K., Dutt, S., et al. (2010). Light and temperature regulated terpene biosynthesis: hepatoprotective monoterpene picroside accumulation in *Picrorhiza kurrooa. Funct. Integr. Genomics* 10, 393–404. doi: 10.1007/s10142-009-0152-9
- Khurshid, R., Ullah, M. A., Tungmunnithum, D., Drouet, S., Shah, M., Zaeem, A., et al. (2020). Lights triggered differential accumulation of antioxidant and antidiabetic secondary metabolites in callus culture of *Eclipta alba L. PLoS One* 15:e0233963. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0233963
- King, A., and Young, G. (1999). Characteristics and occurrence of phenolic phytochemicals. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 99, 213–218. doi: 10.1016/ s0002-8223(99)00051-6
- Kubica, P., Szopa, A., Prokopiuk, B., Komsta, L., Pawlowska, B., and Ekiert, H. (2020). The influence of light quality on the production of bioactive metabolites - verbascoside, isoverbascoside and phenolic acids and the content of photosynthetic pigments in biomass of *Verbena officinalis* L. cultured in vitro. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 203:111768. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2019.111768
- Kumar, S. S., Arya, M., Mahadevappa, P., and Giridhar, P. (2020). Influence of photoperiod on growth, bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity in callus cultures of *Basella rubra L. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 209:111937. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2020.111937
- Kumari, R., and Agrawal, S. B. (2010). Supplemental UV-B induced changes in leaf morphology, physiology and secondary metabolites of an Indian aromatic plant *Cymbopogon citratus* (D.C.) Staph under natural field conditions. *Int. J. Environ. Stud.* 67, 655–675. doi: 10.1080/00207233.2010.513828
- Kumari, R., and Prasad, M. N. V. (2013). "Medicinal plant active compounds produced by UV-B exposure" in *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews*. ed. E. Lichtfouse (Dordrecht: Springer-Verlag), 225–254.

- Kuo, T. C. Y., Chen, C. H., Chen, S. H., Lu, I. H., Chu, M. J., Huang, L. C., et al. (2015). The effect of red light and far-red light conditions on secondary metabolism in Agarwood. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15:139. doi: 10.1186/s12870-015-0537-y
- Lee, M., Son, J. E., and Oh, M. (2013). Growth and phenolic content of sowthistle grown in a closed-type plant production system with a UV-A or UV-B lamp. *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.* 54, 492–500. doi: 10.1007/ s13580-013-0097-8
- Li, L. (2014). The influence of elicitor on the accumulation of several metabolites in the seedling *Salvia przewalskii* Maxim. master thesis. Ya'an: Sichuan Agricultural University.
- Li, J., Han, X., Wang, C., Tang, L., Zhang, W., and Qi, W. (2019). The response of Achyranthes bidentata Blume to short-term UV-B exposure. Russ. J. Plant Physiol. 66, 160–170. doi: 10.1134/S1021443719010096
- Li, T., Jia, K. P., Lian, H. L., Yang, X., Li, L., and Yang, H. Q. (2014). Jasmonic acid enhancement of anthocyanin accumulation is dependent on phytochrome A signaling pathway under far-red light in *Arabidopsis. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 454, 78–83. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.10.059
- Li, Y. Q., Kong, D. X., Fu, Y., Sussman, M. R., and Wu, H. (2020). The effect of developmental and environmental factors on secondary metabolites in medicinal plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 148, 80–89. doi: 10.1016/j. plaphy.2020.01.006
- Li, Y. Q., Kong, D. X., Liang, H. L., and Wu, H. (2018a). Alkaloid content and essential oil composition of *Mahonia breviracema* cultivated under different light environments. *J. Appl. Bot. Food Qual.* 91, 171–179. doi: 10.5073/jabfq.2018.091.023
- Li, H. S., Lin, Y. L., Chen, X. H., Bai, Y., Wang, C. Q., Xu, X. P., et al. (2018b). Effects of blue light on flavonoid accumulation linked to the expression of miR393, miR394 and miR395 in longan embryogenic calli. *PLoS One* 13:e0191444. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0191444
- Li, Q. Z., Xu, J. X., Yang, L. Y., Sun, Y., Zhou, X. H., Zheng, Y. H., et al. (2021). LED light quality affect growth, alkaloids contents, and expressions of amaryllidaceae alkaloids biosynthetic pathway genes in *Lycoris longituba*. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* doi: 10.1007/s00344-021-10298-2, [Epub ahead of print].
- Liebelt, D. J., Jordan, J. T., and Doherty, C. J. (2019). Only a matter of time: the impact of daily and seasonal rhythms on phytochemicals. *Phytochem. Rev.* 18, 1409–1433. doi: 10.1007/s11101-019-09617-z
- Liu, Y., Fang, S. Z., Yang, W. X., Shang, X. L., and Fu, X. X. (2018a). Light quality affects flavonoid production and related gene expression in *Cyclocarya paliurus*. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 179, 66–73. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2018.01.002
- Liu, L. L., Li, Y. Y., She, G. B., Zhang, X. C., Jordan, B., Chen, Q., et al. (2018b). Metabolite profiling and transcriptomic analyses reveal an essential role of UVR8-mediated signal transduction pathway in regulating flavonoid biosynthesis in tea plants (*Camellia sinensis*) in response to shading. *BMC Plant Biol.* 18:233. doi: 10.1186/s12870-018-1440-0
- Liu, Y. L., Patra, B., Pattanaik, S., Wang, Y., and Yuan, L. (2019). GATA and phytochrome interacting factor transcription factors regulate light-induced vindoline biosynthesis in *Catharanthus roseus*. *Plant Physiol*. 180, 1336–1350. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00489
- Liu, Y., Song, L. L., Yu, W. W., Hu, Y. Y., Ma, X. H., Wu, J. S., et al. (2015). Light quality modifies camptothecin production and gene expression of biosynthesis in *Camptotheca acuminata* Decne seedlings. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 66, 137–143. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.12.046
- Lobiuc, A., Vasilache, V., Pintilie, O., Stoleru, T., Burducea, M., Oroian, M., et al. (2017). Blue and red LED illumination improves growth and bioactive compounds contents in acyanic and cyanic *Ocimum basilicum* L. microgreens. *Molecules* 22:2111. doi: 10.3390/molecules22122111
- Loi, M., Villani, A., Paciolla, F., Mule, G., and Paciolla, C. (2021). Challenges and opportunities of light-emitting diode (LED) as key to modulate antioxidant compounds in plants. A review. *Antioxidants* 10:42. doi: 10.3390/ antiox10010042
- Luis, J. C., Perez, R. M., and Gonzalez, F. V. (2007). UV-B radiation effects on foliar concentrations of rosmarinic and carnosic acids in rosemary plants. *Food Chem.* 101, 1211–1215. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.03.023
- Lv, M., Su, H. Y., Li, M. L., Yang, D. L., Yao, R. Y., Li, M. F., et al. (2021). Effect of UV-B radiation on growth, flavonoid and podophyllotoxin accumulation, and related gene expression in *Sinopodophyllum hexandrum*. *Plant Biol.* 23, 202–209. doi: 10.1111/plb.13226

- Malik, M., Velechovsky, J., and Tlustos, P. (2021). The overview of existing knowledge on medical cannabis plants growing. *Plant Soil Environ.* 67, 425–442. doi: 10.17221/96/2021-PSE
- Mathur, R., and Velpandian, T. (2009). Medicinal plant-based health products: where is the medicinal constituent? *Indian J. Pharmacol.* 41, 205–206. doi: 10.4103/0253-7613.56063
- Matsuura, H. N., Fragoso, V., Paranhos, J. T., Rau, M. R., and Fett-Neto, A. G. (2016). The bioactive monoterpene indole alkaloid N,β-D-glucopyranosyl vincosamide is regulated by irradiance quality and development in *Psychotria leiocarpa. Ind. Crop. Prod.* 86, 210–218. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.03.050
- Mohd Yusof, F. F., Yaacob, J. S., Osman, N., Ibrahim, M. H., Wan-Mohtar, W. A. A. Q. I., Berahim, Z., et al. (2021). Shading effects on leaf gas exchange, leaf pigments and secondary metabolites of *Polygonum minus* Huds., an aromatic medicinal herb. *Plan. Theory* 10:608. doi: 10.3390/plants10030608
- Moon, K.-B., Park, J.-S., Park, Y.-I., Song, I.-J., Lee, H.-J., Cho, H. S., et al. (2020). Development of systems for the production of plant-derived biopharmaceuticals. *Plan. Theory* 9:30. doi: 10.3390/plants9010030
- Moyo, M., Koetle, M. J., and Van Staden, J. (2014). Photoperiod and plant growth regulator combinations influence growth and physiological responses in *Pelargonium sidoides* DC. In Vitro Cell. Dev. Pl. 50, 487–492. doi: 10.1007/ s11627-014-9594-4
- Nam, T. G., Kim, D.-O., and Eom, S. H. (2018). Effects of light sources on major flavonoids and antioxidant activity in common buckwheat sprouts. *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* 27, 169–176. doi: 10.1007/s10068-017-0204-1
- Nazir, M., Ullah, M. A., Younas, M., Siddiquah, A., Shah, M., Giglioli-Guivarc'h, N., et al. (2020). Light-mediated biosynthesis of phenylpropanoid metabolites and antioxidant potential in callus cultures of purple basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L. var purpurascens). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 142, 107–120. doi: 10.1007/s11240-020-01844-z
- Newman, J. D., and Chappell, J. (1999). Isoprenoid biosynthesis in plants: Carbon partitioning within the cytoplasmic pathway. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 34, 95–106. doi: 10.1080/10409239991209228
- Nguyen, T. K. O., Jamali, A., Lanoue, A., Gontier, E., and Dauwe, R. (2015). Unravelling the architecture and dynamics of tropane alkaloid biosynthesis pathways using metabolite correlation networks. *Phytochemistry* 116, 94–103. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.03.005
- Ohashi, K. K., Fukuyama, T., Nakai, A., Usami, H., Ono, E., and Watanabe, H. (2013). Growth and alkaloids production in *Madagascar periwinkle* plants grown under red LED. *IFAC Proc. Vol.* 46, 274–277. doi: 10.3182/20130327-3-JP-3017.00063
- Palmitessa, O. D., Pantaleo, M. A., and Santamaria, P. (2021). Applications and development of LEDs as supplementary lighting for tomato at different latitudes. *Agronomy* 11:835. doi: 10.3390/agronomy11050835
- Pan, W. S., Zheng, L. P., Tian, H., Li, W. Y., and Wang, J. W. (2014). Transcriptome responses involved in artemisinin production in *Artemisia annua* L. under UV-B radiation. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 140, 292–300. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2014.08.013
- Pandey, N., and Pandey-Rai, S. (2014). Short term UV-B radiation-mediated transcriptional responses and altered secondary metabolism of in vitro propagated plantlets of Artemisia annua L. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. 116, 371–385. doi: 10.1007/s11240-013-0413-0
- Peebles, C. A. M., Shanks, J. V., and San, K.-Y. (2009). The role of the octadecanoid pathway in the production of terpenoid indole alkaloids in *Catharanthus roseus* hairy roots under normal and UV-B stress conditions. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 103, 1248–1254. doi: 10.1002/bit.22350
- Qin, B. F., Ma, L. L., Wang, Y. X., Chen, M., Lan, X. Z., Wu, N. B., et al. (2014). Effects of acetylsalicylic acid and UV-B on gene expression and tropane alkaloid biosynthesis in hairy root cultures of *Anisodus luridus*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult*. 117, 483–490. doi: 10.1007/s11240-014-0454-z
- Qiu, F., Zeng, J. L., Wang, J., Huang, J. P., Zhou, W., Yang, C. X., et al. (2020). Functional genomics analysis reveals two novel genes required for littorine biosynthesis. *New Phytol.* 225, 1906–1914. doi: 10.1111/nph.16317
- Radwanski, E. R., Barczak, A. J., and Last, R. L. (1996). Characterization of tryptophan synthase alpha subunit mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 253, 353–361. doi: 10.1007/pl00008602
- Rai, R., Meena, R. P., Smita, S. S., Shukla, A., Rai, S. K., and Pandey-Rai, S. (2011). UV-B and UV-C pre-treatments induce physiological changes and artemisinin biosynthesis in *Artemisia annua* L. - an antimalarial plant. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 105, 216–225. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2011.09.004

- Ramani, S., and Jayabaskaran, C. (2008). Enhanced catharanthine and vindoline production in suspension cultures of *Catharanthus roseus* by ultraviolet-B light. *J. Mol. Signal.* 3:9. doi: 10.1186/1750-2187-3-9
- Rizi, M. R., Azizi, A., Sayyari, M., Mirzaie-Asl, A., and Conti, L. (2021). Increased phenylpropanoids production in UV-B irradiated Salvia verticillata as a consequence of altered genes expression in young leaves. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 167, 174–184. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.07.037
- Rizzini, L., Favory, J. J., Cloix, C., Faggionato, D., O'Hara, A., Kaiserli, E., et al. (2011). Perception of UV-B by the *Arabidopsis* UVR8 protein. *Science* 332, 103–106. doi: 10.1126/science.1200660
- Rukh, G., Ahmad, N., Rab, A., Ahmad, N., Fazal, H., Akbar, F., et al. (2019). Photo-dependent somatic embryogenesis from non-embryogenic calli and its polyphenolics content in high-valued medicinal plant of *Ajuga bracteosa. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 190, 59–65. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2018.11.012
- Sanchez, S. E., Rugnone, M. L., and Kay, S. A. (2020). Light perception: a matter of time. *Mol. Plant* 13, 363–385. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.02.006
- Santos Lazzarini, L. E., Vilela Bertolucci, S. K., Pacheco, F. V., dos Santos, J., Silva, S. T., de Carvalho, A. A., et al. (2018). Quality and intensity of light affect *Lippia gracilis* Schauer plant growth and volatile compounds in vitro. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 135, 367–379. doi: 10.1007/ s11240-018-1470-1
- Saravanan, R., Krishti, S., Gajbhiye, N. A., and Maiti, S. (2008). Influence of light intensity on gas exchange, herbage yield and andrographolide content in *Andrographis paniculata* (Nees.). *Indian J. Hortic.* 65, 220–225. doi: 10.1007/ s10658-007-9256-z
- Schmidt, B. M. (2012). Responsible use of medicinal plants for cosmetics. HortScience 47, 985–991. doi: 10.21273/HORTSCI.47.8.985
- Schreiner, M., Krumbein, A., Mewis, I., Ulrichs, C., and Huyskens-Keil, S. (2009). Short-term and moderate UV-B radiation effects on secondary plant metabolism in different organs of nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus* L.). *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 10, 93–96. doi: 10.1016/j.ifset.2008.10.001
- Schreiner, M., Mewis, I., Huyskens-Keil, S., Jansen, M. A. K., Zrenner, R., Winkler, J. B., et al. (2012). UV-B-induced secondary plant metabolites potential benefits for plant and human health. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 31, 229–240. doi: 10.1080/07352689.2012.664979
- Sharrock, R. A., and Quail, P. H. (1989). Novel phytochrome sequences in Arabidopsis thaliana: structure, evolution, and differential expression of a plant regulatory photoreceptor family. Genes Dev. 3, 1745–1757. doi: 10.1101/gad.3.11.1745
- Silva, T. D., Batista, D. S., Fortini, E. A., de Castro, K. M., Sousa Felipe, S. H., Fernandes, A. M., et al. (2020). Blue and red light affects morphogenesis and 20-hydroxyecdisone content of in vitro *Pfaffia glomerata* accessions. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 203:111761. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2019.111761
- Somers, D. E., Schultz, T. F., Milnamow, M., and Kay, S. A. (2000). ZEITLUPE encodes a novel clock-associated PAS protein from *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* 101, 319–329. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80841-7
- Stepanova, A. Y., Solov'yova, A. I., and Salamaikina, S. A. (2020). Influence of spectral light composition on flavones formation in callus culture of *Scutellaria baicalensis* Georgi. *Pharmacogn. Mag.* 16, 156–160. doi: 10.4103/ pm.pm_280_19
- Sullivan, J. A., and Deng, X. W. (2003). From seed to seed: the role of photoreceptors in *Arabidopsis* development. *Dev. Biol.* 260, 289–297. doi: 10.1016/S0012-1606(03)00212-4
- Sytar, O., Zivcak, M., Neugart, S., Toutounchi, P. M., and Brestic, M. (2019). Precultivation of young seedlings under different color shades modifies the accumulation of phenolic compounds in *Cichorium* leaves in later growth phases. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 165, 30–38. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot. 2019.05.018
- Takshak, S., and Agrawal, S. B. (2014). Secondary metabolites and phenylpropanoid pathway enzymes as influenced under supplemental ultraviolet-B radiation in Withania somnifera Dunal, an indigenous medicinal plant. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 140, 332–343. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2014.08.011
- Takshak, S., and Agrawal, S. B. (2015). Defence strategies adopted by the medicinal plant *Coleus forskohlii* against supplemental ultraviolet-B radiation: augmentation of secondary metabolites and antioxidants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 97, 124–138. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2015.09.018
- Takshak, S., and Agrawal, S. B. (2019). Defense potential of secondary metabolites in medicinal plants under UV-B stress. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 193, 51–88. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2019.02.002

- Tsurunaga, Y., Takahashi, T., Katsube, T., Kudo, A., Kuramitsu, O., Ishiwata, M., et al. (2013). Effects of UV-B irradiation on the levels of anthocyanin, rutin and radical scavenging activity of buckwheat sprouts. *Food Chem.* 141, 552–556. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.03.032
- Tusevski, O., Stanoeva, J. P., Stefova, M., and Simic, S. G. (2013). Phenolic profile of dark-grown and photoperiod-exposed *Hypericum perforatum* L. hairy root cultures. *Sci. World J.* 2013:602752. doi: 10.1155/2013/602752
- Ueda, T., Murata, M., and Yokawa, K. (2021). Single wavelengths of LED light supplement promote the biosynthesis of major cyclic monoterpenes in Japanese mint. *Plan. Theory* 10:1420. doi: 10.3390/plants10071420
- Verma, N., and Shukla, S. (2015). Impact of various factors responsible for fluctuation in plant secondary metabolites. J. Appl. Res. Med. Aromat. Plants 2, 105–113. doi: 10.1016/j.jarmap.2015.09.002
- Wang, C.-L., Guo, Q.-S., Zhu, Z.-B., and Cheng, B.-X. (2017). Physiological characteristics, dry matter, and active component accumulation patterns of *Changium smyrnioides* in response to a light intensity gradient. *Pharm. Biol.* 55, 581–589. doi: 10.1080/13880209.2016.1263345
- Wang, T., Tian, X. R., Wu, X. Y., Luo, Z., Li, G., Peng, X. L., et al. (2018). Effect of light quality on total gypenosides accumulation and related key enzyme gene expression in *Gynostemma pentaphyllum. Chin. Herb. Med.* 10, 34–39. doi: 10.1016/j.chmed.2017.12.004
- Wang, W. T., Zhang, J. W., Di, W., Tao, S. H., and Wu, B. (2010). Relation between light qualities and accumulation of steroidal glycoalkaloids as well as signal molecule in cell in potato tubers. *Acta Agron. Sin.* 36, 629–635. doi: 10.3724/SPJ.1006.2010.00629
- Wang, C. H., Zheng, L. P., Tian, H., and Wang, J. W. (2016). Synergistic effects of ultraviolet-B and methyl jasmonate on tanshinone biosynthesis in *Salvia miltiorrhiza* hairy roots. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 159, 93–100. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2016.01.012
- Wei, X. Y., Zhao, X. L., Long, S. H., Xiao, Q. M., Guo, Y. A., Qiu, C. S., et al. (2021). Wavelengths of LED light affect the growth and cannabidiol content in *Cannabis sativa L. Ind. Crop. Prod.* 165:113433. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113433
- Weremczuk-Jezyna, I., Hnatuszko-Konka, K., Lebelt, L., and Grzegorczyk-Karolak, I. (2021). The protective function and modification of secondary metabolite accumulation in response to light stress in Dracocephalum forrestii shoots. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22. doi: 10.3390/ijms22157965
- Wu, Z., Li, L., Liu, H., Yan, X., Ma, Y. N., Li, Y. P., et al. (2021). AaMYB15, an R2R3-MYB TF in Artemisia annua, acts as a negative regulator of artemisinin biosynthesis. *Plant Sci.* 308:110920. doi: 10.1016/j. plantsci.2021.110920
- Wu, C. H., Murthy, H. N., Hahn, E. J., and Paek, K. Y. (2007). Enhanced production of caftaric acid, chlorogenic acid and cichoric acid in suspension cultures of *Echinacea purpurea* by the manipulation of incubation temperature and photoperiod. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 36, 301–303. doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2007.02.024
- Wulff, A., Anttonen, S., Pellinen, R., Savonen, E. M., Sutinen, M. L., Heller, W., et al. (1999). Birch (*Betula pendula* Roth.) responses to high UV-B radiation. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 4, 77–88.
- Xie, D. J., Tarin, M. W. K., Chen, L. Y., Ren, K., Yang, D. M., Zhou, C. C., et al. (2021). Consequences of LED lights on root morphological traits and compounds accumulation in *Sarcandra glabra* seedlings. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22:7179. doi: 10.3390/ijms22137179
- Xu, Y., Wang, G. B., Cao, F. L., Zhu, C. C., Wang, G. Y., and El-Kassaby, Y. A. (2014). Light intensity affects the growth and flavonol biosynthesis of Ginkgo (*Ginkgo biloba* L.). New For. 45, 765–776. doi: 10.1007/ s11056-014-9435-7
- Xu, M. Y., Wu, K. X., Liu, Y., Liu, J., and Tang, Z. H. (2020). Effects of light intensity on the growth, photosynthetic characteristics, and secondary metabolites of *Eleutherococcus senticosus* Harms. *Photosynthetica* 58, 881–889. doi: 10.32615/ps.2020.045
- Yang, Y. J., Yang, X. L., Jang, Z. F., Chen, Z. H., Ruo, X. J., Jin, W. Y., et al. (2018). UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 from *Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat (CmUVR8) plays important roles in UV-B signal transduction and UV-B-induced accumulation of flavonoids. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:955. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00955
- Yin, L. L., Zhao, C., Huang, Y., Yang, R. Y., and Zeng, Q. P. (2008). Abiotic stress-induced expression of artemisinin biosynthesis genes in *Artemisia* annua L. Chin. J. Appl. Environ. Biol. 14, 1–5. doi: 10.3724/SPJ.1035.2008.00091
- Yoshida, H., Nishikawa, T., Hikosaka, S., and Goto, E. (2021). Effects of nocturnal UV-B irradiation on growth, flowering, and phytochemical concentration in leaves of greenhouse-grown red perilla. *Plants-Basel* 10. doi: 10.3390/plants10061252

- Zahir, A., Abbasi, B. H., Adil, M., Anjum, S., Zia, M., and Ihsan-ul, H. (2014). Synergistic effects of drought stress and photoperiods on phenology and secondary metabolism of *Silybum marianum*. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 174, 693–707. doi: 10.1007/s12010-014-1098-5
- Zhang, W. J., and Bjorn, L. O. (2009). The effect of ultraviolet radiation on the accumulation of medicinal compounds in plants. *Fitoterapia* 80, 207–218. doi: 10.1016/j.fitote.2009.02.006
- Zhang, X. R., Chen, Y. H., Guo, Q. S., Wang, W. M., Liu, L., Fan, J., et al. (2017). Short-term UV-B radiation effects on morphology, physiological traits and accumulation of bioactive compounds in *Prunella vulgaris L. J. Plant Interact.* 12, 348–354. doi: 10.1080/17429145.2017.1365179
- Zhang, X., Ding, X., Ji, Y., Wang, S., Chen, Y., Luo, J., et al. (2018a). Measurement of metabolite variations and analysis of related gene expression in Chinese liquorice (*Glycyrrhiza uralensis*) plants under UV-B irradiation. *Sci. Rep.* 8:6144. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24284-4
- Zhang, L. X., Guo, Q. S., Chang, Q. S., Zhu, Z. B., Liu, L., and Chen, Y. H. (2015). Chloroplast ultrastructure, photosynthesis and accumulation of secondary metabolites in *Glechoma longituba* in response to irradiance. *Photosynthetica* 53, 144–153. doi: 10.1007/s11099-015-0092-7
- Zhang, D., Jiang, C. L., Huang, C. H., Wen, D., Lu, J. N., Chen, S., et al. (2019a). The light-induced transcription factor FtMYB116 promotes accumulation of rutin in *Fagopyrum tataricum*. *Plant Cell Environ*. 42, 1340–1351. doi: 10.1111/pce.13470
- Zhang, Y., Lin, L., Long, Y., Guo, H., Wang, Z., Cui, M., et al. (2019b). Comprehensive transcriptome analysis revealed the effects of the light quality, light intensity, and photoperiod on phlorizin accumulation in *Lithocarpus polystachyus* Rehd. *Forests* 10:995. doi: 10.3390/f10110995
- Zhang, S. C., Ma, J. Q., Zou, H. Y., Zhang, L., Li, S. H., and Wang, Y. P. (2020). The combination of blue and red LED light improves growth and phenolic acid contents in *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 158:112959. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112959
- Zhang, J., Morris-Natschke, S. L., Ma, D., Shang, X.-F., Yang, C.-J., Liu, Y.-Q., et al. (2021). Biologically active indolizidine alkaloids. *Med. Res. Rev.* 41, 928–960. doi: 10.1002/med.21747
- Zhang, D., Sun, W., Shi, Y. H., Wu, L., Zhang, T. Y., and Xiang, L. (2018b). Red and blue light promote the accumulation of artemisinin in *Artemisia annua* L. *Molecules* 23:1329. doi: 10.3390/molecules23061329
- Zhang, Q. Z., Wu, N. Y., Jian, D. Q., Jiang, R. Q., Yang, C. X., Lan, X. Z., et al. (2019c). Overexpression of *AaPIF3* promotes artemisinin production in *Artemisia annua*. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 138:111476. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111476
- Zhang, L., Zhu, W., Zhang, Y. Z., Yang, B. X., Fu, Z. R., Li, X. M., et al. (2014). Proteomics analysis of *Mahonia bealei* leaves with induction of alkaloids via combinatorial peptide ligand libraries. *J. Proteome* 110, 59–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2014.07.036
- Zhou, F., Sun, T. H., Zhao, L., Pan, X. W., and Lu, S. (2015). The bZIP transcription factor HY5 interacts with the promoter of the monoterpene synthase gene QH6 in modulating its rhythmic expression. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:304. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00304
- Zhu, W., Yang, B. X., Komatsu, S., Lu, X. P., Li, X. M., and Tian, J. K. (2015). Binary stress induces an increase in indole alkaloid biosynthesis in *Catharanthus roseus. Front. Plant Sci.* 6:582. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00582

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Zhang, Zhang, Zou, Qiu, Zheng, Yang and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.