



# To New Beginnings: Riboproteogenomics Discovery of N-Terminal Proteoforms in *Arabidopsis Thaliana*

Patrick Willems<sup>1,2</sup>, Elvis Ndah<sup>3</sup>, Veronique Jonckheere<sup>3</sup>, Frank Van Breusegem<sup>1,2</sup> and Petra Van Damme<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium, <sup>2</sup> Vlaams Instituut voor Biotechnologie (VIB)-Center for Plant Systems Biology, Ghent, Belgium, <sup>3</sup> integrative Riboproteogenomics, Interactomics and Proteomics Unit, Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Carmela Giglione, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France

#### Reviewed by:

Mélisande Blein-Nicolas, INRA Centre Versailles-Grignon, France Olivier Namy, UMR9198 Institut de Biologie Intégrative de la Cellule (I2BC), France

> \***Correspondence:** Petra Van Damme

Petra.VanDamme@UGent.be

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Proteomics and Protein Structural Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 17 September 2021 Accepted: 18 November 2021 Published: 06 January 2022

#### Citation:

Willems P, Ndah E, Jonckheere V, Van Breusegem F and Van Damme P (2022) To New Beginnings: Riboproteogenomics Discovery of N-Terminal Proteoforms in Arabidopsis Thaliana. Front. Plant Sci. 12:778804. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.778804 Alternative translation initiation is a widespread event in biology that can shape multiple protein forms or proteoforms from a single gene. However, the respective contribution of alternative translation to protein complexity remains largely enigmatic. By complementary ribosome profiling and N-terminal proteomics (i.e., riboproteogenomics), we provide clear-cut evidence for ~90 N-terminal proteoform pairs shaped by (alternative) translation initiation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Next to several cases additionally confirmed by directed mutagenesis, identified alternative protein N-termini follow the enzymatic rules of cotranslational N-terminal protein acetylation and initiator methionine removal. In contrast to other eukaryotic models, N-terminal acetylation in plants cannot generally be considered as a proxy of translation initiation because of its posttranslational occurrence on mature proteolytic neo-termini (N-termini) localized in the chloroplast stroma. Quantification of N-terminal acetylation revealed differing co- vs. posttranslational N-terminal acetylation patterns. Intriguingly, our data additionally hints to alternative translation initiation serving as a common mechanism to supply protein copies in multiple cellular compartments, as alternative translation sites are often in close proximity to cleavage sites of Nterminal transit sequences of nuclear-encoded chloroplastic and mitochondrial proteins. Overall, riboproteogenomics screening enables the identification of (differential localized) N-terminal proteoforms raised upon alternative translation.

Keywords: translation initiation site, ribosome profiling, N-terminal proteomics, N-terminal acetylation, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, alternative translation initiation, chloroplast transit peptide, riboproteogenomics

### INTRODUCTION

Translation is a vital and tightly controlled cellular process involving translation initiation, elongation, termination, and ribosome recycling phases. Of these phases, translation initiation forms a major rate-limiting step that is strongly regulated in eukaryotes (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009; Shah et al., 2013). Efficient translation initiation typically requires a start codon to be embedded in a specific sequence context known as the Kozak motif (Kozak, 1986). However, the prediction of protein start sites is challenged by the occurrence of translation

1

initiation in non-AUG codons, leaky scanning, and internal ribosome entry sites (IRES) among others (Ingolia et al., 2011). Study on translation as well as translation initiation has been revolutionized by next-generation sequencing methods that analyze ribosomal occupancy. Using chemical inhibitors that halt ribosomes during translation initiation [e.g., lactimidomycin (LTM) (Lee et al., 2012)] or elongation phases [typically cycloheximide (CHX) (Ingolia et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012)], ribosome-protected mRNA fragments can be isolated and sequenced, a method designated ribosome profiling or Riboseq (Lee et al., 2012). Also, for the model plants Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), translation (initiation) landscapes have been mapped by means of Ribo-seq (Liu et al., 2013; Willems et al., 2017; Li and Liu, 2020). Strikingly, these studies revealed that at least half of the identified translation initiation sites (TIS) are miss- or unannotated, demonstrating the widespread occurrence of alternative TIS (aTIS) further increasing proteome complexity (Li and Liu, 2020). For instance, aTIS can give rise to distinct proteoforms encoded in-frame from a single gene (i.e., N-terminal extended or truncated proteoforms) that have specialized functions or display differences in subcellular localization of stability (Gawron et al., 2016; Fijalkowska et al., 2020; Li and Liu, 2020; Jonckheere and Van Damme, 2021). However, protein-level evidence of multiple proteoforms synthesized from such alternative TIS events is still in its infancy in plants.

Positional proteomics, and more specifically N-terminal proteomics, may offer orthogonal evidence of initiation of protein synthesis. In a previous study, we have enriched and identified N-terminal (Nt) peptides in Arabidopsis cell cultures by Nt Combined FRActional Diagonal Chromatography (COFRADIC) (Staes et al., 2011; Willems et al., 2017). Intriguingly, several identified Nt peptides matched Riboseq TIS in intergenic regions of the Arabidopsis genome, showing thus great promise to identify novel protein-coding genes (Willems et al., 2017). Protein N-termini frequently lack the initiator Met (iMet) and are subject to Nt acetylation (NTA) because of the consecutive co-translational actions of Met aminopeptidases (MetAPs) (Frottin et al., 2006; Jonckheere et al., 2018) and N-acetyltransferases (NATs) (Bienvenut et al., 2012) on nascent polypeptides. Next to Nt peptides matching TIS, protein N-termini can result from proteolytic processing of proteins (i.e., neo-N-termini). Intriguingly, in plants, NTA also occurs post-translationally on stromal protein N-termini generated upon removal of the chloroplast transit peptide (cTP) by the stromal processing peptidase (SPP) and possible further trimming by aminopeptidases (Wirtz et al., 2010; Rowland et al., 2015). In this study, riboproteogenomic screening revealed compelling evidence for the synthesis of multiple Nt proteoforms shaped by (alternative) translation initiation originating from 68 Arabidopsis genes with potentially diversifying functions and/or subcellular locations. More so, half of the coding sequences encoding such Nt proteoform pairs are predicted to shape cytosolic protein copies next to encoding plastid or mitochondrion-localized Nt proteoforms.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Ribo-Seq Analysis**

#### Genome Alignment and Ribosome Footprints

Ribosome profiling library generation and sequencing of Arabidopsis cell suspension cultures have been described previously (Willems et al., 2017). For Ribo-seq data analysis, reads were processed using the PROTEOFORMER pipeline (Crappe et al., 2015). The reads were trimmed for adaptor sequences using fastx\_clipper (version 0.0.14) and subsequently aligned to the Arabidopsis rRNA or tRNA allowing for two mismatches using STAR (version 2.5.1b) (Dobin et al., 2013). Reads aligning to these indices were discarded, and unmapped reads were aligned onto the Arabidopsis TAIR10 genome, also allowing for reads with, at most, two mismatches and mapping to a maximum of 16 locations within the genome. These tolerant-matching parameters were selected based on higher peptide identification rates of annotated proteins in the resulting Ribo-seq-based protein database (Crappe et al., 2015). P-site positioning of the ribosome was determined by using only footprints of length 26-34 bp. An offset of +12, +13, and +14 from the 5' end of the reads was used for reads of lengths 26-30, 31-33, and 34 bp, respectively. The positional scores represent the number of read alignment attributed to each genomic position.

#### Translation Initiation Site Calling

The mapped profiles from the initiating ribosomes, obtained after lactimidomycin (LTM) treatment, were found accumulated in AUG or near-cognate start codons using a  $\pm 1$  nt window (Ingolia et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). Profiles that did not map within this window relative to the first position of an assigned start codon were discarded. Furthermore, accumulation peak positions had to comply with a number of criteria in order to be withheld as a true TIS (Lee et al., 2012): (i) the identified TIS should have the maximal number of LTM reads within a window of seven nucleotides (i.e., 3 nt up- and down-stream of the P-site assignment), (ii) the combined number of ribosome footprints for the TIS should exceed a minimal profile count threshold (see below), and (iii) the TIS should have a difference in normalized reads between LTM-treated and CHX-treated samples ( $R_{LTM} - R_{CHX}$ ) equal or higher than a certain threshold, where:

$$R_{k} = \left(\frac{X_{k}}{N_{k}}\right) \times 10 \ (k = LTM \text{ or } CHX)$$
$$X_{k} = number \text{ of reads on position } X \text{ for data } k$$
$$N_{k} = \text{ total number of reads on transcript for data } k$$

This  $R_{LTM} - R_{CHX}$  represents a useful feature to discern translated TIS, with higher values indicating stronger translation initiation (LTM) read coverage compared to elongation (CHX) read coverage. The thresholds for TIS "minimum profile count" and  $R_{LTM} - R_{CHX}$  were optimized for database annotated [Araport11 (Cheng et al., 2017) or TAIR10] TIS (dbTIS) and selected based on the impact on the peptide identification rate in the matching proteomics datasets. We opted for a categorized approach based

on TIS localization: for dbTIS the abovementioned thresholds were set to five counts and a  $R_{LTM} - R_{CHX} \ge 0.01$ , respectively. dbTIS that did not comply with the aforementioned criteria were also taken into account if the annotated coding sequence (CDS) showed elongating ribosome occupancy. For other TIS categories, more stringent threshold settings were used in order to limit the number of false-positive Ribo-seq called TIS. More specifically, the thresholds for a TIS located in 5' and 3' leader sequences, or intergenic regions were set to 10 counts and a  $R_{LTM} - R_{CHX} \ge 0.05$ , while for a TIS located in the CDS downstream of a dbTIS, the thresholds were set to 15 counts and a  $R_{LTM} - R_{CHX} \ge 0.15$ , respectively. TIS that were non-compliant with these rules were discarded. Overall, applying these settings resulted in a total of 29,013 Ribo-seq called TIS (**Supplementary Dataset S1**).

#### Normalized Ribosome Footprint Density Plot

Ribosome footprints (RPFs) were normalized by dividing RPF counts by the average RPF counts over the CDS and 20 positions upstream and downstream in the respective leader sequences. CHX- and LTM-normalized densities were plotted for 14,220 and 13,421 genes, respectively, requiring at least 20 mapped CHX/LTM RPFs per gene.

# N-Terminal Proteomics—*Arabidopsis* Cell Suspension Cultures

Raw Nt proteomics data of Arabidopsis cell cultures acquired previously (Willems et al., 2017) were re-analyzed for comprehensive identification of Nt proteoforms. First, RAW files were converted to peak lists [Mascot Generic Format (MGF) files] using ThermoRawFileParser (Hulstaert et al., 2020). Resulting peak lists were searched with COMET (Eng et al., 2013) against a custom protein database (available at the Open Science Framework project "ajx5e" [https://osf. io/ajx5e/]) consisting of TAIR10 protein entries (such as splice forms) appended with novel proteoform sequences initiated from 15,741 Ribo-seq-called TIS not annotated in TAIR10 (Supplementary Dataset S1). It should be noted that 52 of these TIS were, however, annotated in the more recent Araport11 re-annotation (Cheng et al., 2017). Variable modifications for database searching included Nt light/heavy acetylation (+42.011 or 47.036 Da, respectively) and pyro-Glu formation from Gln (-17.026 Da). Fixed modifications were Met oxidation (+15.995 Da), Lys heavy acetylation (+47.036 Da), and Cys carbamidomethylation (+57.021 Da). For the respective datasets, Trypsin, Glu-C, Asp-N, and chymotrypsin were set as a digestive enzyme, specifying two missed cleavages for trypsin and three missed cleavages for all other enzymes. For the searches, no enzymatic digestion rules apply to the N-terminus of the searched peptides (Comet option "num\_enzyme\_termini = 9") to additionally enable the identification of database non-annotated Nt peptides. Percolator output files were processed by Percolator (version 3.05.0) (The et al., 2016) using the options "-Y -trainFDR 0.05 -testFDR 0.05" and resulting Nt peptide spectral identifications (PSM q-value  $\leq$  0.01) were parsed. In the overview list of protein N-termini reported (Supplementary Dataset S2), Nt peptides with an identical protein start position but varying at their C-termini because of digestion by different enzymes or missed cleavages were collapsed to the longest Nt peptide variant, thereby reducing redundancy and improving the uniqueness of peptide-to-protein assignments.

## N-Terminal Proteomics – Chloroplast – and Stromal-Enriched Fractions of Arabidopsis Leaves

#### Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

For the Nt proteomics analysis of the proteome content of enriched chloroplasts and stroma, Arabidopsis wild-type plants (ecotype Columbia-0) were grown at 21°C under short-day conditions (8-/16-h photoperiod) in a half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium (Duchefa, Haarlem, The Netherlands) containing 0.8% w/v sucrose. Intact chloroplasts and a fraction enriched for the stromal protein content were isolated from 4week-old plant leaves as described in Block et al. (2002), and single fractionation was performed to enrich for chloroplasts and chloroplast stroma. More specifically, the chloroplasts were harvested from the green interphase of a two-step Percoll gradient, and the stromal fraction was enriched by chloroplast breakage through resuspension of the chloroplastenriched pellet in a 4-mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> containing 10 mM MOPS (pH 7.6) buffer followed by top layer collection after sucrose gradient centrifugation.

#### N-Terminal COFRADIC and LC-MS/MS Analyses

Nt COFRADIC was essentially performed as described previously (Willems et al., 2017). The pellet enriched for intact chloroplasts were immediately resuspended in an ice-cold buffer [50 mm sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, 100 mm NaCl and 1 × cOmplete<sup>TM</sup>, and EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Roche, Basel, Switzerland)], left on ice for 10 min, and subjected to 3 freeze-thaw cycles. Supernatant was recovered by centrifugation at 16,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. In the case of stromal proteome, an additional buffer exchange (4 M guanidinium hydrochloride, 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5) of the top layer collected after sucrose gradient centrifugation was performed by making use of a Sephadex G-25 size-exclusion desalting column (PD-10, cat n°17-0851-01; GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Chicago, IL, United States).

In the case of isolated proteomes of enriched chloroplast or stromal fractions, two Nt COFRADIC proteome analyses were performed in parallel. More specifically, primary free amine modification of the isolated proteomes was either *in vitro* Nacetylated using an N-hydroxysuccinimide ester of <sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>acetate (heavy N-acetylation) or left unmodified. While *in vitro* heavy N-acetylation allows distinguishing between natural and *in vitro* NTA, it additionally allows assessing the degree or stoichiometry of NTA (Van Damme et al., 2011). Otherwise, omitting the *in vitro* N-acetylation step renders Lys susceptible to trypsin cleavage (otherwise blocked by acetylation) and could, thus, provide complementary Nt peptide evidence. Subsequent steps of the Nt COFRADIC procedure were performed as described previously (Willems et al., 2017). Reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) fractions enriched for protein N-termini were all introduced into the Ultimate 3000 (Dionex, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) in-line connected to an LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis was performed as described previously (Willems et al., 2017).

# Peptide Identification and Quantification of the Degree of Nt-Acetylation

Peak lists (Mascot Generic Format [MGF] files) were created from raw proteomic files using the Mascot Distiller software (version 2.3.2.0; Matrix Science, Boston, MA, United States). Where possible, grouping of spectra with 0.005 Da precursor tolerance was allowed with a maximum intermediate retention time of 30s and a maximum intermediate scan count of five. There was no de-isotoping, and the relative signalto-noise limit was set at two. These peak lists were then searched with the Mascot search engine (version 2.3; Matrix Science, Boston, MA, United States). Spectra were searched against the Arabidopsis TAIR10 proteome. In the case of plant proteomes labeled with heavy acetyl isotopes, Lys heavy acetylation (+47.036 Da), Cys carbamidomethylation (+57.021 Da), and Met oxidation (+15.995 Da) were set as fixed modifications. Variable modifications included Nt light/heavy acetylation (+42.011 or 47.036 Da) and pyro-Glu formation from Gln (-17.026 Da). In case no heavy acetyl labeling step was performed, no fixed Lys modification or variable Nt modification was searched. Mass tolerance on precursor ions was set to 10 ppm (with Mascot's C13 option set to 1) and on fragment ions to 0.5 Da. Endoproteinase semi-Arg-C/P (Arg-C specificity with Arg-Pro cleavage allowed) was set as enzyme in case of heavy Nacetylated samples (modified Lys), whereas trypsin/P specificity was selected in case of non-heavy N-acetylation samples; both allowing no missed cleavages. The peptide charge was set to 1+, 2+, and 3+, and instrument setting was put to ESI-TRAP. Only Nt peptides that were ranked one and scored above the threshold score, set at 99% confidence, have a minimum amino acid length of seven, and compliant with the rules of NTA or initiator methionine (iMet) processing were withheld (Helsens et al., 2011). Quantification of the degree of NTA was performed as described previously (Van Damme et al., 2011). All data management was performed in ms\_lims (Helsens et al., 2010).

## Generation of TIS-Mutagenized CDSs by Site-Directed Mutagenesis PCR Coupled With *in vitro* Transcription and Translation

pUNI51 vectors (Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center [ABRC], Columbus, OH, United States) encoding full-length isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 2 (IPP2, AT3G02780, stock number: U22155), plant UBX domain-containing protein 1 (PUX1, AT3G27310, stock number: U82310), and NAC domain-containing protein 14 (NAC014, AT1G33060, stock number: U88651) served as templates for site-directed PCR mutagenesis (QuickChange; Stratagene, San Diego, CA, United States). For cloning and propagation of pUNI51 vectors, the *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) strain PIR1 (One Shot<sup>TM</sup> PIR1 chemically competent *E. coli*, cat n°C101010; Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, United States)

was used using standard chemical transformation protocols (i.e., pUNI51 contains a conditional origin of replication derived from the R6K $\gamma$  plasmid that enables propagation only in bacterial hosts expressing the *pir* gene encoding the essential replication protein p) and with selection in the presence of 50 µg/ml kanamycin. Site-directed PCR mutagenesis was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions and using the primer pairs indicated in **Supplementary Table 1** to mutate the riboproteogenomics-identified ATG start codons to the Leu codon TTG. The correctness of all (mutant) cDNA insert sequences was confirmed by Sanger sequencing using the pUNI51 forward and reverse primers 5'-CTGTTGGTGTGTCT ATTAAATCG-3' (pUNI51-fwd) and 5'-TGGCTGGCAACTAG AAGGCAC-3' (pUNI51-rev), respectively.

To inspect translation products, the mutagenized constructs served as templates for in vitro coupled transcription/translation using a rabbit reticulocyte lysate system according to the manufacturer's instructions (TnT T3 Coupled Transcription/Translation Lysate System; Promega, Madison, WI, United States). More specifically, [<sup>35</sup>S] methionine-labeled translation products were generated using the TnT T3 RNA polymerase and 2  $\mu$ l of [<sup>35</sup>S] methionine (10  $\mu$ Ci/ml) per reaction. After 1 h of incubation at 30°C, and to stop the translation reaction,  $4 \mu l$  of the reaction mixture (50  $\mu l$  reaction mixture in total) was diluted 12.5-fold in 50 mM Tris (pH 8) and NuPAGE<sup>®</sup> LDS Sample Buffer (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, United States), and the samples were heated for 10 min at 70°C. The samples were separated on 4-12% or 12% NuPAGE® Bis-Tris gradient gels  $(1 \text{ mm} \times 12 \text{ well}; \text{Invitrogen}, \text{Waltham},$ MA, United States) using MOPS Buffer. Subsequently, proteins were transferred into a PVDF membrane, air-dried, and exposed to a film suitable for radiographic detection (ECL Hyperfilms; Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom).

## **Bioinformatic Data Analysis**

The stand-alone version of TargetP 2.0 (Almagro Armenteros et al., 2019) was used to predict cleavage sites of Nt sorting signals. iceLogo (Colaert et al., 2009) sequence motifs were generated on the online webserver (https://iomics.ugent.be/icelogoserver) using the precompiled Swiss-Prot composition of *Arabidopsis* as reference set. Nt peptide data stored in the Plant PTM Viewer (Willems et al., 2019) and NTerDB (https://n-terdb.i2bc.parissaclay.fr/) were cross-referenced to the Ribo-seq-called TIS data. Additionally, proteins were appointed a subcellular location based on SUBA4 consensus locations (Hooper et al., 2017).

## **Data Availability**

All mass spectrometry proteomics data and search results of *Arabidopsis* cell cultures have previously been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium *via* the PRIDE (Perez-Riverol et al., 2019) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD004896 (Willems et al., 2017). Ribo-seq sequencing data have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession GSE88790. The PROTEOFORMER Ribo-seq MySQL database and its derived protein FASTA database and the mass spectrometry proteomics data corresponding to the Nt proteomics data of chloroplast and stromal enriched fractions of *Arabidopsis* leaves are all

made available under the Open Science Framework project "ajx5e" (https://osf.io/ajx5e/).

### RESULTS

# Mapping the Translation Initiation Landscape of *Arabidopsis*

We previously used Ribo-seq and Nt proteomics data in a complementary fashion for delineating unannotated proteincoding ORFs in intergenic regions of Arabidopsis (Willems et al., 2017). Using the translation inhibitors lactimidomycin (LTM) and cycloheximide (CHX), ribosomes were halted to determine ribosome occupancy during translation initiation and elongation, respectively, thereby providing complementary evidence for unannotated protein start sites. Here, we repurposed the Ribo-seq data to discover alternative TIS (aTIS) within annotated protein-coding regions located downstream from database-annotated protein start sites (dbTIS) indicative of translation initiation events potentially giving rise to the expression of Nt proteoform pairs. As previously shown, a normalized distribution of ribosome footprints after CHX and LTM inhibition display strong signals at TAIR10-annotated starts and a triplet periodicity indicative of translation (Figure 1A). For instance, a strong LTM peak clearly and uniquely delineates the dbTIS of Arabidopsis CYTOCHROME C-1, while CHX coverage spans all three exonic regions (Figure 1B). Next, we used the high-quality CHX and LTM data (Figure 1A) for TIS calling in the Arabidopsis genome using PROTEOFORMER (Crappe et al., 2015) (see section Materials and methods). This resulted in the automated Ribo-seq-based calling of 29,013 TIS (Supplementary Dataset S1), including 13,324 TIS (46%) mappings to TAIR10/Araport11 dbTIS of 13,069 genes (Figure 1C). Besides the 71 TIS located in 3' leader sequences (so called 3' UTRs), 7,572 called TIS reside in the 5' leader sequences (5' UTRs) of 4,486 genes, corroborating the widespread occurrence of upstream ORF (uORF) translation events in Arabidopsis (Von Arnim et al., 2014; Niu et al., 2020). Interestingly, another 7,653 TIS were located in the CDSs of 2,818 genes, including possible downstream TIS (dTIS) that could potentially give rise to alternative, truncated Nt proteoforms in the case of in-frame dTIS. Considering an additional 393 TIS peaks residing outside of annotated genes, more than half of the called TIS (15,689 TIS) hint at database non-annotated TIS or alternative TIS (aTIS) (Figure 1C). These aTIS show substantial initiation at non-AUG, near cognate start codons (i.e., codons differing from AUG by a single nt). More specifically, besides 20.8% of AUG aTIS, initiation at near-cognate start codons, such as CUG (14.1%), AUC (12.6%), AUU (11.6%), and UUG (9.74%) was prevalent (Figure 1D). While a similar start codon usage of non-annotated TIS can be observed for the 5' and 3' leader sequences as well as the CDSs of annotated genes, a significant larger proportion of 169 AUG start codons (43%,  $\chi^2$  test p < 0.001) was apparent among the 393 TIS identified in so-called intergenic regions (Supplementary Figure 1). This category of TIS may reflect the protein-coding potential of pseudogenes or transposable elements, besides un-annotated TIS upstream of dbTIS giving rise to Nt extended proteoforms or, alternatively, the discovery of novel gene products as reported previously (Willems et al., 2017). Taken together, Ribo-seq TIS calling mapped a wealth of unannotated (54%), besides annotated, TIS (15,689 vs. 13,324 TIS, respectively), suggesting a widespread unexplored TIS landscape in Arabidopsis (Figures 1C,D).



FIGURE 1 | Ribosome sequencing (Ribo-seq) translation initiation site (TIS) calling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. (A) Normalized ribosome footprint (RPF) density in TAIR10 *Arabidopsis* annotated coding sequence (CDS, representative gene model) regions after treatment with cycloheximide (CHX, red), which halts translation elongation, and lactimidomycin (LTM, blue), which halts translation initiation. (B) CHX (red) and LTM (blue) read coverage for cytochrome C-1 (AT1G22840.1). (C) Location of TIS called by PROTEOFORMER with respect to annotated TAIR10 gene models (for overview, see **Supplementary Dataset S1**). (D) Start codon distribution for called alternative TIS (aTIS).

## N-Terminal Proteomics Provides Complementary Evidence for N-Terminally Truncated Proteoforms Shaped by Alternative Translation Initiation

To gain complementary evidence of protein synthesis supporting Ribo-seq-called TIS, we re-analyzed the positional proteomics of *Arabidopsis* cell suspension culture data previously generated (Willems et al., 2017). More precisely, these data concern Nt proteomics datasets of proteomes digested with either trypsin, chymotrypsin, or the endoproteinases Glu-C and Asp-N shown to increase the overall Nt proteome coverage of *Arabidopsis*. In addition to TAIR10 protein sequences, we supplemented our search database with (non-TAIR10) protein sequences corresponding to all *in silico* translations matching Ribo-seq-called TIS. In total, we identified Nt peptides matching 6,493 unique protein N-termini supported by at least two peptide-to-spectrum matches (PSMs;  $q \leq$ 

0.01) (**Supplementary Dataset S2**). Of these, 2,041 mapped N-termini (31.4%) corresponded to TAIR10 dbTIS (protein start position 1 or 2). Except for four protein N-termini (20 PSMs, 0.1%), all identified protein N-termini matching dbTIS were compliant with N-terminal methionine excision (NME) enzymatic specificity (Bienvenut et al., 2012) (**Figure 2A**, for number of PSMs see **Supplementary Figure 2**). Moreover, based on the number of (*in vitro*) Nt acetylated (NTA) peptides, NTA patterns corresponded with the known specificities and NTA efficiencies of major NAT (NatA, NatB, and NatC/E/F) enzymatic activities in eukaryotes (Ree et al., 2018) (**Figure 2A**). Taken together, the identified Nt peptides matching dbTIS abide known co-translational enzymatic hallmarks at protein N-termini, which highlights the high quality of the obtained N-terminal proteomic data.

Next to Nt peptides matching annotated TAIR10 N-termini (dbTIS), complementary Nt peptide was evidenced for 81





TABLE 1 | List of 68 N-terminal (Nt)-truncated proteoforms with matching riboproteogenomics evidence shaped by downstream alternative translation initiation sites (dTISs).

TRIM     Markaboliam       AT1G14810     Mal-fINA synthesise (WM2)     Markab     Ac-Si       AT1G14810     Gl-FRAA synthesise (WM2)     Markab     Ac-MD       AT1G1428880     Gl-FRAA synthesise (MTRS-1)     Marta     Ac-MD       AT1G1420180     Gl-FRAA synthesise (MTRS-1)     Marta     Ac-MD       AT1G14200     FRAAm 23 (TR20)     Marta     Ac-AD       AT1G14200     FRAAm 23 (TR20)     Marta     Ac-MD       AT1G142010     FRAAm Phytrosoftense & (TRMAF)     Marta     Ac-MD       AT1G14801     FRAAm Phytrosoftense & (TRMAF)     Marta     Ac-MD       AT1G14801     Relation (MARC014) (NAO14)     Marta     Ac-MD       AT1G14910     Relation (MARC014) (NAO114)     Marta     Ac-MD       AT1G14910     Relation (MARC014) (NAO114) </th <th>Gene locus</th> <th>Description</th> <th>dTISposition</th> <th>N-terminus</th>	Gene locus	Description	dTISposition	N-terminus
ATG01000MetA agnitutase (IVM2)MetAAc-MGATG00000Up/HAA synthetase (ATRPS-1)MetG1Ac-MGATG010000Theorop(HINA synthetase (ATRPS-1)MetG1Ac-MGATG00000IPMA entithetase (ATRPS-1)MetG2Ac-MGATG00000IPMA entithetase (ATRPS-1)MetG2Ac-MGATG00000IPMA entithetase (ITM4F)MetG2Ac-MGATG00000IPMA entithetase (ITM4F)MetG2Ac-MGATG00000IPMA entithetase (ITM4F)MetG2Ac-MGATG00000IPMA entithetase (ITM4F)MetG2Ac-MGATG000000IPMA entithetase (ITM4F)MetG2Ac-MGATG000000IPMA entithetase (ITM4F)MetG2Ac-MGATG000000IPMA entithetase (ITM4F)MetG1Ac-MGATG000000Balando to senaization 1 (RD1)MetG1Ac-MGATG0000000Balando to senaization 1 (RD1)MetG3MetG4ATG0000000Balando to senaization 1 (RD1)MetG3MetG4ATG00000000000Balando to senaization 1 (RD1)MetG3MetG4ATG000000000000000000000000000000000000	tRNA metabolism			
ATIC039880Qing-FRAk symbolizes (AFRPS-1)Meta17Ac.MDATB35027830Timsonyl-FRAk symbolizes (AFRPS-1)Met31Ac.MDATG520830TIMSON_23(TIC2)Met52Ac.MDATG520830LINA Nethylanaferse A (TIRAK)Met33Ac.MDATG505030LINA Nethylanaferse A (TIRAK)Met32Ac.MDATG505030NAO 014 (NAO14)Met37Met37Ac.MDATG50500Balle halle to varnatization (TIRT)Met32Ac.MDATG50500Balle halle to varnatization (TIRT)Met31Ac.MDATG507201Balle halle to varnatization (TIRT)Met31Ac.MDATG507201Balle halle halle halle (TIRT)Met31Met31ATG507202Balle halle halle hall (MBD1)Met71Met73ATG507203Balle halle hall (MBD1)Met71Met74ATG507204Balle halle hall (MBD1)Met73Met74ATG507205Balle halle hall (MBD1)Met73Met74ATG507207Balle halle hall (MBD1)Met74Met74ATG507207Balle halle hall (MBD1)Met74Met74ATG507207Balle halle hall (MBD1)Met74Met74ATG507207Balle halle hall (MBD1)Met74Met74ATG507207Balle halle halle hall (MBD1)Met74Met74ATG507207Balle halle hal	AT1G14610	Val-tRNA synthetase (TWN2)	Met45	Ac-S
ATBG1710bqsdFBA synbases (ATRES-1)Met3AcAATGG2780TRAVA-PAP synbases (THRFS)Met32AcAATGG27802 (*FNA photphates (THRFS)Met32AcAATGG3580CRAVA photphates (TRMF)Met37AcAATGG3580RIA mathytiansferas 0 (TRMF)Met37AcAATGG3580RIA mathytiansferas 0 (TRMF)Met37AcAATGG3580RIA mathytiansferas 0 (TRMF)Met37AcAATGG3580NAC 014 (NAC014)Met18AcAATGG220Balated to veradization 1 (RTV1)Met13AcAATGG220Metry CPG-balang domain 1 (MD1)Met7Met3MetryATGG220Metry CPG-balang domain 1 (MD1)Met7MetryMetryATGG2700Bibosomal protein 15% (RPS) 5AMetry CPG-balangMetryMetryATGG3200Bibosomal protein 15% (RPS) 5AMetry CPG-balangMetryMetryATGG3200Bibosomal protein 15% (RPS) 5AMetryMetryMetryATGG3200Bibosomal protein 15% (RPS) 5AMetryMetryMetryATGG3200Bibosomal protein 15% (RPS) 5AMetryMetryMetryATGG3200Proteinophytes of mutaes family proteinMetryMetryMetryATGG3200Proteinophytes of mutaes family proteinMet24AcMMetryATGG3200Proteinophytes of mutaes family proteinMet24AcMMetryATGG3200Methytes of mutaes family proteinMet24AcMMetryATGG3200Methytes of m	AT1G29880	Gly-tRNA synthetase	Met40	Ac-MD
AFEG2080AThrony-IRNA synthetian (THRS)MedS2Ac-MEAT1026453DPNAse 23 (TRS2)MedS2Ac-MEAT1026050IRNA methytransferase 4F (TIMAF)MedS2Ac-MEAT10205060IRNA methytransferase 4F (TIMAF)MedS2MedS2AT10205070IRNA methytransferase 4F (TIMAF)MedS2MedS2AT10203070Methytransferase 3F (TIMAF)MedS2MedS2AT10203070Methytransferase 3F (TIMAF)MedS3Ac-MEAT10203070Methytransferase 3F (TIMAF)MedS3Ac-MEAT10203070Methytransferase 3F (TIMAF)MedS3Ac-MEAT10203070Basic hak-kop-hek (HLHAG)MedS3Ac-MEAT102037072Basic hak-kop-hek (HLHAG)MedS3MedS3AT102037072Basic hak-kop-hek (HLHAG)MedS3MedS3AT10203701Ribosomal protein STA (RPS1FA)MedS3MedS3AT10203702Ribosomal protein STA (RPS1FA)MedS3MedS3AT10370701Ribosomal protein STA (RPS1FA)MedS3MedS3AT103670313800Protephoglocratin mutase family proteinMedS3MedS3AT103670313800Protephoglocratin mutase family proteinMedS3MedS3MedS3AT10367031Notapethoglocratin mutase family proteinMedS3MedS3MedS3AT10367031Notapethoglocratin mutase family proteinMedS3MedS3MedS3MedS3AT10367031Notapethoglocratin mutase family protein 3 (PG1)MedS3MedS3MedS3MedS3AT10367040	AT3G11710	Lys-tRNA synthetase (ATKRS-1)	Met17	Ac-MD
ATG2010UNA02 20 (F22)Met32Ac-MEAT2034533021 FINA phosphotomelesseMet33Ac-MDAT1620050ENA methyltensderase 47 (FINAF)Met32Ac-MDAT1630310INAN methyltensderase 37 (FINA)Met32Ac-MDAT1630300NA0 014 (MACO14)Met12Ac-MDAT1632300NA0 014 (MACO14)Met13Ac-MDAT1632300Relate fick loop-metic (JRU-H0Q)Met13Ac-MDAT1632245MethyCPB-choing domain 1 (MBD1)Met23MethyAT6020760Relate fick loop-metic (JRU-H0Q)Met23MethyAT6020760Relosomal poten STA (JPS TSA)MethyMethyAT16123010Relosomal poten STA (JPS TSA)MethyMethyAT1613012302Relosomal poten STA (JPS TSA)MethyMethyAT1613012302Relosomal poten STA (JPS TSA)MethyMethyAT1613012302Relosomal poten STA (JPS TSA)MethyMethyAT1613012302Relosomal poten STA (JPS TSA)MethyAc-MEAT1613012302Relosomal poten STA (JPS TSA)MethyAc-ME <td>AT5G26830</td> <td>Threonyl-tRNA synthetase (THRRS)</td> <td>Met34</td> <td>Ac-A</td>	AT5G26830	Threonyl-tRNA synthetase (THRRS)	Met34	Ac-A
AI293302' IPNA phaghdravidenaMid32Ac-MEAT1606660IPNA methylansfersa 4 [FIM4P)Mid32Net-MRAT1603670MAD14 (MACD14)Mid12Ac-MEAT1633080NAD14 (MACD14)Mid12Ac-MEAT1634480Related to vernalization 11 (ITTV1)Met3Ac-MEAT1627210Basic hela-koop-heik (Hil-HBG)Mid12Met3AT1627270Basic hela-koop-heik (Hil-HBG)Mid12Met3AT1627270Basic hela-koop-heik (Hil-HBG)Mid23Met3AT1627720Basic hela-koop-heik (Hil-HBG)Mid23Met3AT1607720Basic hela-koop-heik (Hil-HBG)Mid23Met3AT1607720Basic hela-koop-heik (Hil-HBG)Mid23Met3AT1607720Ribosomal protein (SIA (FIPS1SA)Mad3Met3AT1607120Ribosomal protein (SIA (FIPS1SA)Mad24Met3AT1607120Potable 3-hydroxylacutyratis dehydrogeneseMet24Ac-MEAT1617140Nitriasei 1 (NT1)Mar7Ac-SAT1617140Potable 3-hydroxylacutyratis dehydrogeneseMet24Ac-MEAT1607110Met24Ac-MEAc-MEAT1607020Norphoglocerate mutase tamiy proteinMet34Ac-MEAT1607800Norphoglocerate mutase tamiy proteinMet41Ac-MEAT1607800Norphoglocerate mutase tamiy proteinMet43Ac-MEAT1607800Norphoglocerate mutase tamiy proteinMet41Ac-MEAT1607800Norphoglocerate mutase tamiy proteinMet41Ac-ME <td>AT1G52160</td> <td>tRNAse Z3 (TRZ3)</td> <td>Met52</td> <td>Ac-ME</td>	AT1G52160	tRNAse Z3 (TRZ3)	Met52	Ac-ME
AT100800INA methylansferase 4F (TRMAF)MetA90Ac-MEAT1030810INA-Methylansferase 4F (TRMAF)Met320Neh,-MRAT1030800NAC 014 (MAC014)Met120Ac-TAT1030800NAC 014 (MAC014)Met120Met130Ac-MEAT10304801Basic halk-kop-helix (b4LH80)Met18Ac-MEAT1022710Basic halk-kop-helix (b4LH80)Met18Met17AT6027230Methyl-CPC-bundig domain 1 (MBD1)Met230Neh,-MRAT1020320Ribosornal PNA pocossing 4 (PRP4)Met23MethylAT1030300Ribosornal protein S15A (PPS15A)Met18MethylAT10131801, AT1071600, AT1071600Ribosornal protein S15A (PPS15A)MethylMethylAT10131801, AT1071600, AT1071600Ribosornal protein S15A (PPS15A)MethylMethylAT1011801, AT1071600, AT1071600, AT1071600Ribosornal protein S15A (PPS15A)MethylMethylAT1011801, AT1071600, AT107	AT2G45330	2' tRNA phosphotransferase	Met33	Ac-MD
AT1038010IPNA methyltransferase 0 (FRM6)Med29Methyltransferase 0 (FRM6)Tarasention tactor, DNA-bindingNAC 014 (NAC014)Meth2Ac-MCAT10349400Related to wernization 1 (FTV1)Meth23Ac-MDAT1047210Belated to wernization 1 (FTV1)Meth3Ac-MDAT1672201BithMethyl-CPG-binding domain 1 (MBD1)Met7Met7AT506720.BithMethyl-CPG-binding domain 1 (MBD1)Met7Met3AT105820.Ribosomal (FMA processing 4 (FRP4)Met3Met5-RAT105820.Ribosomal protein S15A (PR515A)Th105(ACO)MetMet5-RAT105820.Ribosomal protein L5 family proteinArg25/AGOMetMet5-RAT105820.Ribosomal protein L5 family proteinArg22/AGOMetMet5-RAT105820.Ribosomal protein L5 family proteinMet22Ac-MEAT105820.BitPA-2Met23Ac-MEAT105820.Met24Ac-MEAc-MEAT105820.Met24Ac-MEAc-MEAT105820.Met24Ac-MEAc-MEAT105820.Meta9Meta9Ac-MEAT105820.Meta9Meta9Ac-MEAT105820.Meta9Meta9Ac-MEAT105820.Meta9Meta9Ac-MEAT105820.Meta9Meta9Ac-MEAT105820.Meta9Meta9Ac-MEAT1057800.Meta9Meta9Ac-MEAT105820.Meta9Meta9Ac-MEAT105820.Meta9Meta9Ac-M	AT1G06560	tRNA methyltransferase 4F (TRM4F)	Met37	Ac-ME
TransportUnitableUnitableUnitableAT1633060Ne1041 (AR014) (AR014) (AR014)Mel12Ac-M2AT1634960Belach lakesalizatin 1 (RTV1)Mel12Ac-M2AT1622720Basch neik-sop-heik (bHL/H80)Mel13Ne13AT16227210Basch neik-sop-heik (bHL/H80)Mel13Ne13AT16227210Basch neik-sop-heik (bHL/H80)Mel23Ne13AT1603070Biosomal protein STA (RPP4)Mel23Ne13AT100380Ribosomal protein STA (RPP4)Mel3Ne13AT16136270: AT1674050, AT1674050Ribosomal protein L8 family proteinArg24,AG3MetNe13AT16154270: AT1674051, AT1674050Ribosomal protein L8 family proteinMel23Ne13AT16154270: AT1674051, AT1674050Nibosomal protein L8 family proteinMel23Ac-MEAT16154270: AT1674051, AT1674050Nibosomal protein L8 family proteinMel23Ac-MEAT16154270: AT1674051, AT1674050Nibosomal protein datase family proteinMel23Ac-MEAT162470: AT1674051, AT1674050Nibrise 1 (MT1)Mel74Ac-MEAT165470: AT1674050Nibrise 1 (MT1)M	AT1G36310	tRNA methyltransferase 9 (TRM9)	Met29	NH <sub>2</sub> -MR
AT1G33080     NAC 014 (NAC014)     Met12     Ac-T       AT1G34800     Risted to versitization (RTV1)     Mel3     Ac-MD       AT1G32210     Bisted to versitization (RTV1)     Mel3     Ac-MD       AT4022214     Methy-CPC-binding domain 1 (MBD1)     Mel7     Mel-AM       AT5067220     BM1     Mel23     Mel-AM       AT1003560     Ribosonal Protein ISSA (RPS15A)     Th105(ACG)->Mel     Mel-A       AT1007707 (XT3046040)     Ribosonal protein ISSA (RPS15A)     Th105(ACG)->Mel     Mel-A       AT1037207 (XT3046040)     Ribosonal protein ISSA (RPS15A)     Th105(ACG)->Mel     Mel-A       AT10474207 (XT3046040)     Ribosonal protein IS fan (RPS15A)     Th105(ACG)->Mel     Mel-A       AT1037207 (XT3046040)     Ribosonal protein IS fan (RPS15A)     Th105(ACG)->Mel     Mel-A       AT1037207 (XT3046040)     Nethosonal protein IS fan (RPS15A)     Mel2     Ac-ME       AT10371180     Probabio Shydrox/sbobutyrate dehydrogenase     Mel2     Ac-ME       AT16501400     Nethosonal protein IS fan (RPD1)     Mel3     Ac-ME       AT1650200     Nethospholycoreta mutase family protein     Mel43 <td< td=""><td>Transcription factor, DNA-binding</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Transcription factor, DNA-binding			
AT1G39480Related to venalization 1 (RTV1)Mel3Ac-MDAT1G72010Basic hele/toop-haix (MLH90)Mel70Mel70Mel7AT4022745BM1Mel70Mel70Mel70Mel70AT607200BM0Mel70Mel70Mel70Mel70AT100300Rbosomal RNA processing 4 (RPP4)Mel61Mel70Mel70AT100300Rbosomal protein S16A (RPS15A)Mel70Mel70Mel70AT1054200, AT1G74000Bbosomal protein L6 family proteinArg25(AGG)-MelMel70Mel70AT1051300CPDabl0 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenaseMel72Ac-MEAT1051400, AT1G74000Ntrilase 1 (NT1)Mel70Ac-MEAT1051400, AT1G74000Phosptoplycerate mutase family proteinMel43Ac-MEAT105140, AT1051400, ACCelerated of dehydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenaseMel70Ac-MEAT105140, AT1051400Phosptoplycerate mutase family proteinMel43Ac-MEAT105140, ACCelerated of dehxelphoreate scinarease 2 (PP2)Mel43Ac-MEAT10502780Accelerated of dehxelphoreate scinarease 2 (PP2)Mel50Ac-MEAT1050300Coclerated of dehxelphoreate scinarease 2 (PP2)Mel50Ac-MEAT1050400Bopentery diphosphate-dependent mates family proteinMel50Ac-MEAT1050500Coclerated of dehxelphoreate andreaseMel70Ac-MEAT1050500Coclerated of dehxelphoreate andreaseMel70Mel70Ac-MEAT1050500Coclerated of dehxelphoreate andreaseMel70Mel70Ac-	AT1G33060	NAC 014 (NAC014)	Met12	Ac-T
AT1632210     Basic halk-loop-helix (bHLH66)     Met17     Net_H       AT4622245     Methy-CPG-binding doman 1 (MBD)     Me7     NH_+NI       AT6607220     BIM     Me17     Me17     NH_+NI       AT6067260     Ribosomal protein S15A (FRP515A)     Thr105(ACG)Met     NH_+G       AT1037767, AT646040     Ribosomal protein S15A (FRP515A)     Thr105(ACG)Met     NH_+G       AT1034260, AT1674060     Ribosomal protein L6 family protein     Arg25(AGG)Met     NH_+G       AT1034270, AT301920     Brobsomal protein L6 family protein     Mag2(AGG)Met     NH_+G       AT1034270, AT301920     Probable 3-hydrosysobulyrate dehydrogenasa     Me12     Ac-ME       AT1034200     Probable 3-hydrosysobulyrate dehydrogenasa     Me12     Ac-ME       AT1036280     Probable 0-hydrosysobulyrate dehydrogenasa     Me12     Ac-ME       AT1036280     Probable 0-hydrosysobulyrate dehydrogenasa     Me12     Ac-ME       AT1636280     Probable 0-hydrosysobulyrate dehydrogenasa     Me12     Ac-ME       AT1636280     Probable 0-hydrosysobulyrate dehydrosenase (IPP1)     Me13     Ac-ME       AT16362700     Acoderated	AT1G49480	Related to vernalization1 1 (RTV1)	Met3	Ac-MD
AH 6622745Methyl-CPG-binding domain 1 (MED1)MeT7MH2-MATIG627201BIM1Meth2Meth2Meth2ATIG637202BIM1Ribosomal protein STAG (RPS15A)Meth3Meth3ATIG07702, AT3G406401Ribosomal protein STAG (RPS15A)Meth3Meth3Meth3ATIG163805, ATIG740500BIbosomal protein STAG (RPS15A)Meth3Meth3Meth3ATIG42024, AT3G405401BIbosomal protein Isfanik proteinMetp3Meth3Meth3ATIG42024, AT3G4050, ATIG74050BIbosomal protein Isfanik proteinMetp3Ac-MEATIG171800Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG371804Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG371804Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG37040Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG370504Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG57180Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG571804Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG571804Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG571804Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG571804Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG571804Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3Ac-MEATIG571804Probable 3-hydroxylexbuhyste dehydrogenaseMetf3<	AT1G72210	Basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH96)	Met18	Ac-ME
AT5667220     BIM1     Met23     N+2-T       Transition	AT4G22745	Methyl-CPG-binding domain 1 (MBD1)	Met7	NH <sub>2</sub> -MN
Taniation     Name       AT1000380     Ribosomal PNA processing 4 (RRP4)     Mel3     Mi4_s/M       AT100777.075040040     Ribosomal protein LS family protein     Mrd5A     Mrd5A       AT1018540; AT1G74050; AT1G74050     Ribosomal protein LS family protein     Arg26/AG(s)->Met     Mt4-2       AT104270; AT3G19320     Elf-A-2     Arg26/AG(s)->Met     Mt4-2       AT1047110     Med2     Ac-ME       AT137140     Ntriaes 1 (NIT)     Met7     Ac-ME       AT3360440     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Med3     Ac-ME       AT3360440     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met34     Ac-ME       AT3360400     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met34     Ac-ME       AT3360400     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met34     Ac-ME       AT3360400     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met34     Ac-T       AT4307000     Acoderated cel death (ACD2)     Met34     Ac-T       AT430700     Acoderated cel death (ACD2)     Met34     Ac-A       AT503870     Acoderated cel death (ACD2)     Met34     Ac-A	AT5G67220	BIM1	Met23	NH <sub>2</sub> -T
AT1603380Ribosomal Proteen S15A (RPS15A)Met3Met3Met4-RAT160770; AT5674060; AT1674060; Ribosomal protein S15A (RPS15A)Th105402(); AT1674060; AT1674060;Ntbs-SAT16164270; AT3613920EIF4A-2Arg22(AGG)MetNtbs-SAT1664270; AT3613920EIF4A-2Arg22(AGG)MetNtbs-SAT1617100Probable S-hydroxyisobulyrate dehydrogenaseMet2Ac-MEAT1637180Ntbriase 1 (NT1)Met7Ac-MEAT3644010Ntbriase 1 (NT1)Met7Ac-MEAT365820Prosphoglycerate mutase family proteinMet82Ac-MEAT3616440Isopentery diphosphate isomerase 1 (IPP1)Met5Ac-TAT363000Accelerate cell death (ACD2)Met61Ac-TAT630100NAD(P)/KX dehydrataseMet61Ac-AAT563000Accelerate cell death (ACD2)Met19Ac-AAT563000Accelerate cell death (ACD2)Met19Ac-AAT563000Histriad family protein 3 (PGL3)Met19Ac-AAT563000Formyletrinydrolate phosphate-dependent transforaseMet30Met30AT563000Sommated gutatione amidaseMet63Ac-AAT5613000Sommated gutatione amidaseMet63Met63AT661300Acylphosphatase family protein 3 (PGL3)Met63Met64AT6613000Sommated gutatione amidaseMet63Met63AT6613000Acylphosphatase family fortein and family proteinMet63Met63AT6613000Acylphosphatase family fortein amidaseMet63Met64<	Translation			_
ATIG0770; ATG3G40040     Ribosomal protein S15A RPS15A)     Th105(ACG)->Met     NH2-T       ATIG16200; ATIG740500     Ribosomal protein L6 family protein     Arg25(AGG)->Met     NH2-S       ATIG163207; ATG303200     ElF4-2     Arg52(AGG)->Met     NH2-S       ATIG174050; ATIG740500; ATIG740500     Probable 3-hydroxylobulyrate dehydrogenase     Met22     Arg52(AGG)->Met       ATIG35200     Probable 3-hydroxylobulyrate dehydrogenase     Met24     Ac-ME       ATIG56280-0     Prosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met24     Ac-ME       ATIG56280-0     Prosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met24     Ac-ME       ATIG50280-0     Iscoperatery diphosphate isomerase 1 (PP1)     Met52     Ac-T       ATIG302700     Accelerated cell death (ACO2)     Met11     Ac-ME       ATIG502800     Accelerated cell death (ACO2)     Met13     Ac-T       ATIG302700     Accelerated cell death (ACO2)     Met14     Ac-T       ATIG502800     Accelerated cell death (ACO2)     Met13     Ac-T       ATIG302700     Accelerated cell death (ACO2)     Met30     Met2-G       ATIG5038700     AcoProphoglociater protasin yforta <td>AT1G03360</td> <td>Ribosomal RNA processing 4 (RRP4)</td> <td>Met3</td> <td>NH2-MR</td>	AT1G03360	Ribosomal RNA processing 4 (RRP4)	Met3	NH2-MR
AT1G18540; AT1G74050; AT1G74050     Bibosonal protein L6 family protein     Arg25(AGG)->Met     NH <sub>2</sub> -S       AT1G4270; AT3G13920     EIF4A-2     Arg52(AGG)->Met     NH <sub>2</sub> -S       Metabolism      Ac-ME     Ac-ME       AT1G41190     Probable 3-hydroxyisobulyrate dehydrogenase     Met22     Ac-ME       AT3G44310     Nirrilase 1 (NIT1)     Met7     Ac-ME       AT3G60400     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met84     Ac-ME       AT3G604010     Nophoglycerate mutase family protein     Met62     Ac-ME       AT3G60400     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met62     Ac-ME       AT3G60400     Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 2 (IPP2)     Met62     Ac-ME       AT3G60400     NAD/PI/K4 dehydratase     Met61     Ac-A       AT3G63400     Acoderated cell death (ACD2)     Met61     Ac-A       AT3G63490     Alf family protein 3 (IPGLP1)     Met61     Ac-A       AT3G63490     Alf family protein 3 (IPGLP1)     Met61     Ac-A       AT3G63490     Alf family protein 3 (IPGLP1)     Met13     Ac-A       AT3G64900     a-amatdase<	AT1G07770; AT3G46040	Ribosomal protein S15A (RPS15A)	Thr105(ACG)->Met	NH2-T
AT1654270; AT3G13920     EIF4A-2     Arg52/AGG)->Met     NH2-G       Metabolism	AT1G18540; AT1G74050; AT1G74060	Ribosomal protein L6 family protein	Arg25(AGG)->Met	NH <sub>2</sub> -S
Metabolism     Ketabolism       AT1G71180     Probable 3-hydroxylsobutyrate dehydrogenase     Met22     Ac-ME       AT3G44310     Nitriase 1 (NT1)     Met7     Ac-S       AT3G644310     Nitriase 1 (NT1)     Met7     Ac-S       AT3G60440     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met43     Ac-ME       AT3G60440     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met43     Ac-ME       AT3G602400     Isopenteryl diphosphate isomerase 1 (IPP1)     Met52     Ac-T       AT3G02780     Isopenteryl diphosphate isomerase 2 (IPP2)     Met52     Ac-ME       AT4G37000     Accelerated cell death (ACD2)     Met41     Ac-ME       AT5G19150     NAD(P)HX dehydratase     Met45     Ac-S       AT5G6490     HiS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)     Met70     Ac-A       AT5G63890     HiS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)     Met19     Ac-A       AT1G7670     Pridoxal prosphate-depandent transferase     Met43     M-H_/Ac-MK       AT1G7670     Berninated glutathione amidase     Met43     M-H_/Ac-TM       AT1G67870     Genycosyl hydrolase family     Met63     Ac-	AT1G54270: AT3G13920	EIF4A-2	Arg52(AGG)->Met	NH2-G
ATG71180     Probable 3-hydroxylosbutyrate dehydrogenase     Met22     Ac-ME       AT3G44310     Nitriase 1 (NIT)     Met7     Ac-S       AT1G558260     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met43     Ac-ME       AT3G66440     Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein     Met30     Ac-ME       AT3G02780     Isopenteryl diphosphate isomerase 1 (PP1)     Met50     Ac-T       AT4G37000     Acolerate cell death (ACD2)     Met14     Ac-ME       AT5G54400     NAD(P)HX dehydratase     Met70     Ac-A       AT5G3400     Acheryloconlactonase 3 (PGL9)     Met61     Ac-T       AT5G54400     Achylopylocate phosphate somerase 1 (PGLP1)     Met70     Ac-A       AT5G54500     Achylopylotate phosphate somerase 3 (PGL9)     Met63     Ac-T       AT5G54690     Histidnol dehydrogenase (HDH)     Met18     Met7-ME       AT16G7100     Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferase     Met63     Ac-A       AT16G7100     Achylopsphatase 1 (PGLP1)     Met63     Ac-A       AT16G7100     Deaminated glutathione anidase     Met61     Ac-A       AT5G413050     Ac	Metabolism		3- ( ,	2
AT3G44310Nirliase 1 (NT1)Met7A-SAT1G54280Phosphoglycerate mutase family proteinMet3Ac-MEAT3G60440Phosphoglycerate mutase family proteinMet24Ac-MEAT3G602400Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 1 (IPP1)Met50Ac-TAT3G02780Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 2 (IPP2)Met11Ac-MEAT3G02780Accelerated cell death (ACD2)Met11Ac-MEAT4G37000AcPosphogluconlactonase 3 (PGL3)Met70Ac-AAT5G24400S-Phosphogluconlactonase 3 (PGL4)Met54Ac-AAT3G56480Histid family protein 3 (HT3)Met9Ac-AAT3G56480Histid family protein 3 (HT3)Met14Ac-AAT5G12040Phosphogluconlactonase 4 (PGLP1)Met13Met3AT5G12040Phosphogluconlactonase 4 (PGLP1)Met3Met3AT5G13050S-Formylterahydrofolate cycloligase (S-FCL)Met3Met3AT5G13050Acythosphate-dependent transferaseMet6Met3AT4G08790Deaminated glutahione amidaseMet63Met3AT5G13070Met3-dependent protein MydrolaseMet63Met3-CAT5G13070Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet8Met3AT5G13070Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63Met3-CAT5G14970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63Met3-CAT5G14970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63Met3-CAT5G14970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet84Met7-CAT5G1	AT1G71180	Probable 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase	Met22	Ac-ME
AT1G58280Phosphoglycerate mutase family proteinMet43Ac-MEAT3G60440Phosphoglycerate mutase family proteinMet24Ac-MEAT5G16440Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 1 (IPP1)Met50Ac-TAT3G02780Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 2 (IPP2)Met41Ac-MEAT4G37000Accelerated cell death (ACD2)Met41Ac-MEAT5G19150NAD(P)HX dehydrataseMet43Ac-MEAT5G36700P-Phosphoglycolate phosphates 1 (PGLP1)Met50Ac-AAT3G56490HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G63890HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G7670Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferaseMet41Ac-TAT5G63890HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G13050S-Formytterahydrofolac cycoligase (5-FCL)Met63NH2/Ac-MEAT5G13050ac-amidaseMet63Ac-AAT5G13870Metal deputatipone amidaseMet63Ac-AAT5G1870Metal-dependent protein hydrolase family 91 proteinMet83NH2/Ac-MEAT5G1870Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet83NH2/Ac-MEAT3G1020Naki hydrolase family 91 proteinMet84NH2/Ac-MEAT3G1020Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet83NH2/Ac-MEAT3G1020Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet83NH2/Ac-MEAT3G1020Maki hydrolase family 91 proteinMet84NH2/Ac-MEAT3G1400Maki hydrolase family 91 protein	AT3G44310	Nitrilase 1 (NIT1)	Met7	Ac-S
AT3G60440Phosphoglycerate mutase family proteinMet24Ac-MEAT5G16440Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 1 (IPP1)Met69Ac-TAT3G02780Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 2 (IPP2)Met52Ac-TAT4G37000Accelerated cell death (ACD2)Met41Ac-MEAT5G19150NDI(PI-K dehydrataseMet70Ac-AAT5G364006-Phosphogluconolactonase 3 (PGL9)Met70Ac-AAT5G364001HS triad family protein 3 (HT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G63800Histidinol dehydrogenase (HDP1)Met18Ac-AAT5G30300Pidioxal phosphate-dependent transferaseMet41Ac-TAT5G13050S-Formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met43NH2/Ac-SAT4G03700Deaminated glutathione amidaseMet23Ac-AAT5G30370Gayosy hydrolase family B troteinMet63Ac-SAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63NH2/ACAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63NH2/ACAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet23NH2/ACAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63NH2/ACAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet23NH2/ACAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet23NH2/ACAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet23NH2/ACAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet23NH2/ACAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet33 <td>AT1G58280</td> <td>Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein</td> <td>Met43</td> <td>Ac-ME</td>	AT1G58280	Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein	Met43	Ac-ME
AF3G16440Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 1 (PP1)Met59Ac-7AT3G02780Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 2 (PP2)Met52Ac-7AT4G37000Accelerated cell daeth (ACD2)Met41Ac-MEAT5G19150NAD(P)HX dehydrataseMet63Ac-3AT5G2400C-Phosphogluconleatonase 3 (PGL3)Met70Ac-3AT3G365400Phosphogluconleatonase 3 (PGL9)Met19Ac-4AT3G56490HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Met70AT5G36300HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Met70AT5G13050S-formyltetrahydroflate cycloligase (S-FCL)Met18Met70AT5G13050S-formyltetrahydroflate cycloligase (S-FCL)Met63Met70AT5G130370Gaeinated glutathione amidaseMet29Met7AT5G130370Glycosyl hydrolase familyMet63Met70AT5G13050Glycosyl hydrolase familyMet64Met70AT5G130370Met1-geneder protein hydrolaseMet82Met70AT5G1400Met1-geneder protein hydrolaseMet80Met70AT5G1400Mathydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)Met80Met70AT403050MethApt/EFK kinasekinase 1 (MEK1)Met81Ac-4AT403050MetApt/EFK kinasekinase 1 (MEK1)Met81Ac-4AT403050MAP/EFK kinasekinase 1 (MEK1)Met81Met40AT403050MAP/EFK kinasekinase 1 (MEK1)Met81Ac-MEAT403050MAP/EFK kinasekinase 1 (MEK1)Met81Met40AT403050MAP/EFK kin	AT3G60440	Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein	Met24	Ac-ME
AT3G02780Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 2 (IPP2)Met52Ac-TAT4G37000Accelerated cell death (ACD2)Met41Ac-MEAT5G19150NAD(P)HX dehydrataseMet53Ac-SAT5G244006-Phosphogluconolactonaes 0 (PGL9)Met54Ac-SAT5G367002-Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 1 (PGLP1)Met54Ac-AAT3G56490IIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G36300Histidinol dehydrogenase (HDH)Met18NH-JAC-MKAT5G13050S-Formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met43NH-JAC-MAT5G13050S-Formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met63Ac-AAT5G0370Oeamiated glutathione amidaseMet09Ac-AAT5G0370Deaminated glutathione amidaseMet29Ac-AAT5G0370Okylopophatase family 81 proteinMet66NH-JAC-MAT5G13050Nuthydrolase family 81 proteinMet63NH-JAC-MAT3G1620Nuthydrolase family 81 proteinMet61NH-JAC-MAT3G10820Nuthydrolase family 81 proteinMet63NH-JAC-MAT3G10820Nuthydrolase I subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu8(CTG)->MetNH-JAC-MAT4G08500MaN/FERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G1200NHA/FERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G1200NHA/FERK kinasekinase 1 (NEKK1)Met3M-M-KAT4G08500MAHSC1-Hile small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met3Met3M-H/AC-MKATG30110Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1	AT5G16440	Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 1 (IPP1)	Met59	Ac-T
Af4G37000Accelerated cell death (ACD2)Met41Ac-MEAT5G19150NAD(P)HX dehydrataseMet43Ac-SAT5G244006-Phosphogluconolactonase 3 (PGL3)Met70Ac-AAT5G367002-Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 1 (PGLP1)Met54Ac-AAT3G66490Hist ind ramily protein 3 (HT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G3390Histinol dehydrogenase (HDH)Met13Ac-AAT5G130506-Formytletrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met13Ac-AAT5G130506-Formytletrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met63Ac-AAT4G08790Deaminated glutathione amidaseMet63Ac-AAT5G130506-govyhosphatase familyMet64Met2/Ac-SAT5G13870Glycosyh dydralase family 81 proteinMet64Met2-AAT5G1390Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63Met2-AAT5G13870Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet61Met2-AAT5G13870Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet61Met2-AAT5G1390Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet61Met2-AAT5G1390Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet61Met2-AAT5G1390Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet61Met2-AAT5G1390Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet61Met2-AAT5G1390Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet7AMet7AAT5G190Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet7AMet7AAT5G190Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet7AMet7AAT6G	AT3G02780	Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase 2 (IPP2)	Met52	Ac-T
AT5G19150NAD(P)HX dehydrataseMet45Ac-SAT5G244006-Phosphogluconolactonase 3 (PGL3)Met70Ac-AAT5G367002-Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 1 (PGLP1)Met54Ac-TAT3G56490HIS triad family protein 3 (HT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G36800HIS triad family protein 3 (HT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G36300Formylterahydrogenase (HDH)Met13Met14AT5G1305S-Formylterahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met43Met2/Ac-TAT5G1305S-Formylterahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met63Ac-AAT5G1305S-Formylterahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met63Met2/Ac-TAT5G1305S-Formylterahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met63Met2/Ac-TAT5G1305Galychynterahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met63Met2/Ac-TAT5G1305Metahydrogenase (1000000000000000000000000000000000000	AT4G37000	Accelerated cell death (ACD2)	Met41	Ac-ME
AT5G244006-Phosphogluconolactonae 3 (PGL3)Met70Ac-AAT5G367002-Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 1 (PGLP1)Met54Ac-TAT3G56490HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G3380Histidnoi dehydrogenase (HDH)Met19Met17AT1G77670Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferaseMet41Ac-TAT5G130505-Formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met63Ac-AAT5G13050o-arnidasMet63Ac-AAT5G13070Deaminated glutathione amidaseMet63Ac-AAT5G13870Acylphosphatase familyMet63Met7AT5G15870Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 proteinMet63Met7AT3G1620Meta-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63Met7AT3G1620Meta-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63Met7AT3G1620Meta-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63Met7AT3G1020Meta-dependent protein hydrolaseMet83Met7AT4Q08500Maler Apk/KErK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met83Ac-MEAT5G1120Midu-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G1201Midu-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Met74AT5G1202Midu-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Met74AT4008500MetAMetAMet74Met74AT5G1202Midu-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Met74AT5G1202Midu-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Met74	AT5G19150	NAD(P)HX dehydratase	Met45	Ac-S
ATSG367002-Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 1 (PGLP1)Met54Ac-TAT3G56490HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G36390Histidinol dehydrogenase (HDH)Met18MH2/Ac-MKAT1G77670Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferaseMet41Ac-TAT5G130505-Formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met43MH2/Ac-SAT4G08790w-amidaseMet63Ac-AAT4G08790Deaminated glutathione amidaseMet64MH2/Ac-SAT5G13050Glycosyl hydrolase familyMet66MH2/Ac-SAT5G1370Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 proteinMet63Ac-AAT5G14970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet63MH2-AcAT3G10620Nubic hydrolase family 81 proteinMet64MH2-AcAT3G10620Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet54Ac-SAT4G08300Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet84MH2/Ac-TAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinaselinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MEAT3G12200MAPK/ERK kinaselinase 1 (MEKK1)Met83MH2/Ac-MEAT5G1880MAPK/ERK kinaselinase 7 (Nek7)Met3MH2/Ac-MEAT5G1800Milane amil phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met3Met3MH2/Ac-MEDegradation.proteolysis.and ubiquitinationMilos Nic/Ac-MEMH2/Ac-MEME4/Ac-MEAT2G3010Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L(GPL40A)Met84MH2/Ac-MEAT400850MathuseMet3MH2/Ac-MEMH2/Ac-MEAT5G180MEM2MEMet3 <td>AT5G24400</td> <td>6-Phosphogluconolactonase 3 (PGL3)</td> <td>Met70</td> <td>Ac-A</td>	AT5G24400	6-Phosphogluconolactonase 3 (PGL3)	Met70	Ac-A
AT3G56490HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)Met19Ac-AAT5G63890Histidinol dehydrogenase (HDH)Met18NH2/Ac-MKAT1G77670Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferaseMet41Ac-TAT5G130505-Formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met43NH2/Ac-SAT5G12040ω-amidaseMet63Ac-AAT4G08790Deaminated glutathione amidaseMet63Ac-AAT5G13050Acylphosphatase familyMet66NH2/Ac-TAT5G15870Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 proteinMet66NH2/Ac-TAT5G15870Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet28NH2-AAT3G10620Nudix hydrolase family 91 proteinMet66NH2/Ac-TAT3G300Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu61(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G1200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met83Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met43Mc45Degradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinationLiojutin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-TAT2G3010Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-MKAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2/Ac-MK	AT5G36700	2-Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 1 (PGLP1)	Met54	Ac-T
AT5G63890Histidinol dehydrogenase (HDH)Met18NH2/Ac-MKAT1G77670Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferaseMet41Ac-TAT5G130505-Formytterahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)Met43NH2/Ac-SAT5G12040	AT3G56490	HIS triad family protein 3 (HIT3)	Met19	Ac-A
AT1G77670     Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferase     Met41     Ac-T       AT5G13050     5-Formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)     Met43     NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-S       AT5G12040     -arnidase     Met63     Ac-A       AT4G08790     Dearninated glutathione arnidase     Met29     Ac-A       AT5G13050     Acylphosphatase family     Met66     NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-T       AT5G03370     Acylphosphatase family 81 protein     Met66     NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-T       AT5G15870     Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 protein     Met66     NH <sub>2</sub> -Ac       AT3G10620     Ndat hydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)     Met50     Ac-ME       Phosphorylation     Vadix hydrolase ll subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)     Leu81(CTG)->Met     NH <sub>2</sub> -Ac       AT4G08500     MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)     Met8     Ac-MK       AT3G12200     NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)     Met3     Ac-ME       AT5G11860     SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)     Met45     Met_Ac-ME       AT2G30110     Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)     Met63     MH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-A       AT2G30110     Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)     Met84     MH <sub>2</sub> -AC-M	AT5G63890	Histidinol dehydrogenase (HDH)	Met18	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-MK
AT5G13050     5-Formyftetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)     Met43     NHa/Ac-S       AT5G12040	AT1G77670	Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferase	Met41	Ac-T
AT5G12040ω-amidaseMet63Ac-AAT4G08790Deaminated glutathione amidaseMet29Ac-AAT5G03370Acylphosphatase familyMet66NH2/Ac-TAT5G15870Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 proteinMet45Ac-SAT5G11870Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet28NH2-AAT3G10620Nudix hydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)Met56Ac-MEPhosphorylationNH2-AAT1G43900Protein phosphatase 2C family proteinLeu61(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT2G3070Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu85(CTG)->MetAc-AAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G12200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-TAT2G30110Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2/Ac-A	AT5G13050	5-Formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase (5-FCL)	Met43	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-S
AT4G08790Deaminated glutathione amidaseMet29Ac-AAT5G03370Acylphosphatase familyMet66NH2/Ac-TAT5G15870Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 proteinMet45Ac-SAT5G1970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet28NH2-AAT3G10620Nudix hydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)Met50Ac-MEPhosphorylationVotin phosphatase 2C family proteinLeu61(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT1G43900Protein phosphatase 2C family proteinLeu61(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT2G23070Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu65(CTG)->MetAc-MKAT3G12200MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-TAT2G30110Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G30110Ubiquitin-foS ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2/Ac-M	AT5G12040	ω-amidase	Met63	Ac-A
AT5G03370Acylphosphatase familyMet66NH2/Ac-TAT5G15870Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 proteinMet45Ac-SAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet28NH2-AAT3G10620Nudix hydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)Met56Ac-MEPhosphorylationNH2/Ac-TAT1G43900Protein phosphatase 2C family proteinLeu61(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT2G23070Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu85(CTG)->MetAc-AAT4608500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G112200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-TAT2G30110Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2/Ac-M	AT4G08790	Deaminated glutathione amidase	Met29	Ac-A
AT5G15870Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 proteinMet45Ac-SAT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet28MH2-AAT3G10620Nudix hydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)Met56Ac-MEPhosphorylationEue11(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT1G43900Protein phosphatase 2C family proteinLeu61(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT2G23070Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu85(CTG)->MetAc-AAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MEAT3G112200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-TMAT2G30110Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2-ML	AT5G03370	Acylphosphatase family	Met66	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-T
AT5G41970Metal-dependent protein hydrolaseMet28NH2 -AAT3G10620Nudix hydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)Met56Ac-MEPhosphorylation </td <td>AT5G15870</td> <td>Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 protein</td> <td>Met45</td> <td>Ac-S</td>	AT5G15870	Glycosyl hydrolase family 81 protein	Met45	Ac-S
AT3G10620Nudix hydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)Met56Ac-MEPhosphorylationAT1G43900Protein phosphatase 2C family proteinLeu61(CTG)->MetNH₂/Ac-TAT2G23070Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu85(CTG)->MetAc-AAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G12200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH₂/Ac-MKDegradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinationUbiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH₂/Ac-ARAT2G30170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH₂-ML	AT5G41970	Metal-dependent protein hydrolase	Met28	NH <sub>2</sub> -A
PhosphorylationAT1G43900Protein phosphatase 2C family proteinLeu61(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT2G23070Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu85(CTG)->MetAc-AAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G12200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-MKDegradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinationUbiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2-ML	AT3G10620	Nudix hydrolase homolog 26 (NUDX26)	Met56	Ac-ME
AT1G43900Protein phosphatase 2C family proteinLeu61(CTG)->MetNH2/Ac-TAT2G23070Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu85(CTG)->MetAc-AAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G12200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-MKDegradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinationUbiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2-ML	Phosphorylation			
AT2G23070Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)Leu85(CTG)->MetAc-AAT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G12200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-MKDegradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinationJubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2-ML	AT1G43900	Protein phosphatase 2C family protein	Leu61(CTG)->Met	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-T
AT4G08500MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)Met8Ac-MKAT3G12200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-MKDegradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinationUbiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G30170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2-ML	AT2G23070	Casein kinase II subunit alpha-4 (CKA4)	Leu85(CTG)->Met	Ac-A
AT3G12200NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)Met3Ac-MEAT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-MKDegradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinationAT2G30110Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AKAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2-ML	AT4G08500	MAPK/ERK kinasekinase 1 (MEKK1)	Met8	Ac-MK
AT5G11860SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)Met45NH2/Ac-MKDegradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinationAT2G30110Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2-ML	AT3G12200	NIMA-related kinase 7 (Nek7)	Met3	Ac-ME
Degradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitination Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1) Met63 NH2/Ac-A   AT2G36170 Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A) Met84 NH2-ML	AT5G11860	SCP1-like small phosphatase 5 (SSP5)	Met45	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-MK
AT2G30110Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)Met63NH2/Ac-AAT2G36170Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)Met84NH2-ML	Degradation, proteolysis, and ubiquitinati	ion		-
AT2G36170 Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A) Met84 NH <sub>2</sub> -ML	AT2G30110	Ubiquitin-activating enzyme 1 (UBA1)	Met63	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-A
	AT2G36170	Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-1 (RPL40A)	Met84	NH2-ML

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 | Continued

Gene locus	Description	dTISposition	N-terminus
AT5G46210	Cullin4 (CUL4)	Met26	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-MK
AT2G45170	Autophagy 8E (ATG8E)	Met9	Ac-MD
AT4G30920	Leucine aminopeptidase 2 (LAP2)	Met57	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-A
AT1G76140	Prolyl endopeptidase	Met65	Ac-G
Reduction-oxidation processes			
AT1G60950	Ferredoxin-2 (FD2)	Met52	NH <sub>2</sub> -A
AT2G17420	NADPH-dependent TRX reductase A (NTRA)	Met49	Ac-ME
AT4G19880	Glutathione S-transferase family protein	Met32	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-A
AT5G27380	Glutathione synthetase 2 (GSH2)	Met62	Ac-ME
AT2G47730	Glutathione S-transferase phi 8 (GSTF8)	Met49	Ac-A
AT4G11600	Glutathione peroxidase 6 (GPX6)	Met64	Ac-A
AT1G66240	Homolog of anti-oxidant 1 (ATX1)	Met31	Ac-S
AT1G55805	BolA-like family protein	Met52	Ac-S
Nuclear processes (DNA repair, histone modific	ations,)		
AT3G23100	Homolog of X-ray repair cross complementing 4(XRCC4)	Met17	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-V
AT2G19640	ASH1-related protein 2 (ASHR2)	lle2(ATA)->Met	Ac-MN
AT5G61140	U5 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein helicase	Met72	NH <sub>2</sub> /Ac-ML
Others			
AT5G66675	Protein of unknown function (DUF677)	Met5	Ac-MF
AT5G14540	FLOE1	Met18	Ac-MD
AT3G27310	Plant UBX domain-containing protein 1 (PUX1)	Met22	Ac-ME
AT1G71840	WD-40 repeat family protein	Met10	Ac-MN
AT4G13940	Adenosylhomocysteinase 1 (SAHH)	Leu57(TTG)->Met	NH <sub>2</sub> -S
AT2G39080	NAD(P)-binding Rossmann-fold superfamily protein	Met59	Ac-A
AT2G43290	Calmodulin-like 5 (CML5)	Met47	Ac-ML
AT3G47590	Alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein	Met50	Ac-MD
AT1G53280	Protein DJ-1 homolog B (DJ1B)	Met48	Ac-S

For each gene locus, a description and the respective dTIS position (in the main protein isoform) were given, and in the case of translation at near-cognate start codons, the codon/position was provided. The identified NTA (Ac-) and/or Nt-free (NH<sub>2</sub>-) N-terminus was displayed with the two ultimate Nt residues. Genes were assigned to categories based on their function. For more detailed information, (see **Supplementary Dataset 2**).

Ribo-seq-called aTIS (Supplementary Dataset S2; Figure 2B). The majority of these aTIS (i.e., 72 out of 81 aTIS [88.9%]), corresponded to in-frame dTIS in TAIR10 CDS, thus pointing to Nt-truncated proteoforms (Figure 2B). In addition, four identified N-termini matched an aTIS residing in the 5' UTR reflecting uORF or 5' leader translation. Lastly, five Ntermini matched TIS of intergenic ORFs within the so-called pseudogenes described previously (Willems et al., 2017). Hence, in addition to Ribo-seq evidence, Nt proteomics indicates the common translation of Nt-truncated proteoforms initiated from dTIS within TAIR10 CDSs. Of the 72 N-termini identified pointing to dTIS, four N-termini were, however, identified (16 PSMs, 2.9%) that did not comply with the enzymatic rules of NME, as they exposed large, bulky residues (Ile, Lys, Glu, or Gln). In addition, these four N-termini were initiated from nearcognate start codons and, hence, are more likely to represent possible neo-N-termini and, thus, false positive TIS matches and were not considered further. All the 68 other N-termini identified matching Ribo-seq-called dTIS (529 PSMs, 97.1%) were NMEcompliant and showed NTA patterns consistent with the NAT enzymatic rules (Figure 2C). Similar proportions of (modified)

N-termini were observed, except for relatively higher proportions of Ac-Thr (7.7% dTIS vs. 2.1% dbTIS) and unmodified Ser N-termini (2.6% dTIS vs. 0.2% dbTIS) ( $\chi^2$  test adjusted p < 0.05). Taken together, these Nt peptide identifications and their modification status provide unambiguous complementary proteomics and Ribo-seq proof of the translation of Nt-truncated proteoforms from dTIS for the 68 genes (Table 1). Next to two AGG, two CTG, and a single ATA, ACG, and TTG nearcognate dTIS, AUG was expectedly the most frequent start codon (61/68) among the dTIS (Supplementary Dataset S2). Next to the matching Nt proteomics Arabidopsis cell culture data, we cross-referenced publicly available Nt peptide data of Arabidopsis stored in the Plant PTM Viewer (Willems et al., 2019) and NTerDB (https://n-terdb.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr) with the Ribo-seq-called dTIS identified in this study. Overall, Nt peptide evidence was also found for 42 out of 68 dTIS-indicative Ntermini in various Arabidopsis studies, and 34 of these were identified as NTA (Supplementary Dataset S2). An additional 20 Nt peptides identified in various plant tissues matched the Ribo-seq-called dTIS not identified in our Nt COFRADIC analyses (Supplementary Dataset S3). Moreover, all of them

were initiated from AUG start codons, and all abided to the NME and NTA enzymatic rules, with 17/20 being NTA except for a Val-starting N-terminus known to be frequently unmodified or partially NTA, and an MP- and MK-starting N-terminus representative of a substrate refractory toward NTA (Goetze et al., 2009) and a typical partial NTA NatC/NatF substrate (**Figure 2A**). Hence, taking additional advantage of publicly available Nt proteomics data, we were able to obtain univocal riboproteogenomics proof of 88 dTIS-initiated Nt truncated proteoforms, many of which were expressed in a variety of *Arabidopsis* tissues.

## In vitro Translation Confirms the Expression of Riboproteogenomics-Discovered Nt-Truncated Proteoforms by Alternative Translation Initiation

To further support alternative translation initiation at in-frame dTIS as a source for the generation of N-terminal proteoform(s) (pairs), we tested if the mutagenesis of annotated TAIR10 TIS (dbTIS) and/or Ribo-seq-called dTIS would result in altered proteoform expression by in vitro coupled transcription and translation (TnT). First, we tested ISOPENTENYL DIPHOSPHATE ISOMERASE2 (IPP2), for which Ribo-seq LTM evidence suggests translation initiation from both the annotated dbTIS and dTIS possibly resulting in the expression of an IPP2 proteoform pair (Figure 3A, green and orange arrowheads, respectively). Alternative translation initiation from a downstream AUG start codon (Met53) indeed resulted in the translation of a 52 AA Nt-truncated proteoform besides the translation of the full-length annotated protein (Figure 3A). In addition, protein synthesis from the identified dTIS was evidenced by three unique NTA peptides (18 PSMs), "TDTK DAGMDAVQR" (trypsin-digested), "TDTKDAGMDAVQRR L" (chymotrypsin-digested), and "TDTKDAGMDAVQRRLFE" (Glu-C-digested), and tryptic Nt peptide evidence previously reported in four Arabidopsis studies (Venne et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015, 2018; Mielke et al., 2021). Mutation of the dTIS corresponding to the N-terminus starting at AA position 52 and the dbTIS of IPP2 (resulting in M1 or M52>L mutation) resulted in the exclusive expression of the Nt proteoform translated from the non-mutated TIS (Figure 3A). Second, TnT analysis of the UBX DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN1 (PUX1) was performed, for which a dTIS matching AA position 22 was identified in our riboproteogenomics analysis (Figure 3B). Also here, three unique NTA peptides matching the dTIS were identified (19 PSMs), further supported by Nt peptide evidence obtained in three other Arabidopsis studies (Bienvenut et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015, 2018). Furthermore, mutation of the M1 or M22 encoding codons led to exclusive Nt proteoform expression initiated at the dTIS (26 kDa) or dbTIS (29 kDa), respectively. Lastly, we mutated an identified dTIS matching AA position 12 in the transcription factor NAC DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN14 (NAC014) (Figure 3C) supported by a single NTA peptide (2 PSMs). Next to observing Nt proteoform expression starting at M1 and M13 (74 and 72 kDa, respectively), multiple lower weight proteoforms from possible cryptic downstream TIS increased in abundance upon mutation of TIS-encoding M1 and M13. Taken together, the co-expression of at least two Nt proteoforms (proteoform pair) could be observed for *IPP2*, *PUX1*, and *NAC014 in vivo* (Ribo-seq and Nt peptide evidence) and *in vitro* (TnT). Besides, mutations of TIS further confirm downstream translation initiation, likely *via* leaky ribosome scanning, giving rise to the expression of Nt-truncated proteoforms and, thus, the possible (co-)expression of proteoform pairs from a single CDS.

## N-Terminal Acetylation Patterns of Chloroplast N-Terminal Proteoforms Differ From Protein N-Termini Raised by Translation Initiation

Of the 2,135 identified NTA N-termini (at least one PSM), 426 (20%) N-termini were not directly indicative of translation initiation, as they did not match dbTIS or Ribo-seq-called dTIS (Supplementary Dataset 2). Plotting the number of PSMs of identified NTA N-termini according to their start position in the protein sequence clearly shows, besides dbTIS density (protein position 1/2), increased density in the Nt protein region (e.g., position < 100) (Supplementary Figure 3A). Exceptionally, in photosynthetic species, after processing of their sorting signals, chloroplastic localized proteins can be post-translationally NTA by GCN5-related N-acetyltransferase (GNAT) domain-containing proteins, which show diverse NAT substrate specificities (Rowland et al., 2015; Bienvenut et al., 2020). In accordance, the protein position of 185 of the 426 non-TIS-called NTA N-termini (43%) deviated <5 AA residues from the TargetP 2.0 (Almagro Armenteros et al., 2019). SPP predicted cleavage site corresponding to the removal of the cTP (Figure 4A). However, 149 out of 185 of these NTA peptides also had corresponding Nt-free peptide identifications, which, in the case of Ala and Ser N-termini, were more abundant when considering PSMs opposed to Val and Thr Ntermini (Figure 4B, left). Furthermore, 332 additional N-termini matching SPP cleavages (and with 513 N-termini matching cTP cleavages identified in total) solely were identified as Nt-free (Supplementary Dataset S2; Figure 4B, right). Aside from predicted cTP, the start position of another 25 NTA Ntermini deviated <5 AA residues from predicted mitochondrial transit peptide (mTP) cleavage sites, while none matched signal peptide and luminal transit peptide predicted cleavages (Supplementary Figure 3B). As no NTA enzymatic activity is currently known in mitochondria (Giglione and Meinnel, 2021), these may hint at ambiguous targeting peptides representing cTP instead of mTP sequences or, alternatively, point to dual protein localization explained by the expression of distinct Nt-modified proteoforms (e.g., the NTA and Nt-free proteoform variants localizing in the chloroplasts and mitochondria, respectively).

To relate our *Arabidopsis* cell culture findings with *in vivo* degrees of NTA and to confirm the location of NTA neo-N-termini indicative of post-translational cTP-processing in the chloroplast, we additionally performed Nt COFRADIC analyses on proteomes of enriched chloroplasts and corresponding



FIGURE 3 | *In vitro* coupled transcription and translation (TnT) of TIS-mutagenized expression constructs confirm translation of the by riboproteogenomics-identified Nt proteoforms. Control and TIS-mutagenized pUNI51 constructs encoding the identified Nt proteoforms of (A) *ISOPENTENYL DIPHOSPHATE ISOMERASE2 (IPP2*, AT3G02780), (B) plant *UBX DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN1 (PUX1*, AT3G27310), and (C) *NAC DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN14 (NAC014*, AT1G33060). (Left) Genome views showing CHX and LTM strand-specific positional Ribo-seq data (red). LTM peaks corresponding to Ribo-seq-called dbTIS and dTIS were indicated by green and orange arrowheads, respectively. The vertical orange line indicates the position of the riboproteogenomic-matched dTIS. Nt peptides matching the aTIS event were plotted as red rectangles. In the case of *PUX1*, the Nt peptides span an exon-exon junction (right) (TIS mutagenized) Nt proteoform-encoding constructs were *in vitro* transcribed and translated. Following sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and electroblotting, radiolabeled proteins were visualized by radiography. Assignments of the translation products corresponding to translation at the TAIR10-annotated TIS (green flag, M1) and from the identified dTIS (orange flag) were verified by mutating their respective ATG start codons to the (near-cognate start) Leu-encoding codon TTG. In each case, theoretical molecular weights of the identified Nt proteoforms are indicated.

stromal protein fractions from Arabidopsis leaves. Both fractions were processed in two different ways. First, as performed for cell cultures (Willems et al., 2017), heavy <sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>-acetate labeling was performed in vitro, which enables quantitative determination of the degree of in vivo NTA, equaling the ratio of light over heavy NTA peptide precursor intensity ( $\Delta$  5 Da) (Van Damme et al., 2011, 2013). Second, another Nt COFRADIC analysis was performed where the *in vitro*<sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>-acetate labeling step was omitted. This leaves non-NTA peptide N-termini unmodified, thereby solely enriching in vivo NTA N-termini. In total, 311 natural NTA peptides were identified in the chloroplast and 848 in stroma, the latter enriched for natural NTA peptides by serving a sink of cTP-processed NTA neo-N-termini, with 127 NTA peptides common to both (Supplementary Dataset S4). A total of 297 (35%) NTA peptides correspond to cTP cleavage processing events (within the P5-P5' region of predicted cTP cleavage sites). Of these, 103 NTA peptides were previously identified in Arabidopsis cell cultures and, thus, corroborate

post-translational NTA in the chloroplast as additional NTA source in the riboproteogenomics analysis. In addition, 26 NTA peptides matched mTP cleavage sites, of which the corresponding Nt proteoforms are likely dual localized or incorrectly predicted by TargetP 2.0 as indicated before. As trimming of neo-N-termini by aminopeptidases is prevalent in the chloroplast (Rowland et al., 2015), we used the likely primary SPP cleavage site by selecting the most upstream NTA neo-N-terminus with a start position in the P5-P5' region of predicted cTP/mTP cleavages of proteins assigned a chloroplastic consensus localization according to the subcellular localization database for Arabidopsis proteins SUBA4 (Hooper et al., 2017). This resulted in 194 NTA representative neo-N-termini, with frequent occurrences of Cys, Ala, Arg, and Met in the P1 position and Ala, Ser, and Val in P1' (Figure 4C). While Cys, Ala, and Met were observed earlier at P1 of neo-N-termini generated upon cTP cleavage in Arabidopsis, Arg and other basic residue occupancies were suggested earlier by considering a high-confident subset of recombinant primary



**FIGURE 4** Differences in N-terminal acetylation patterns of N-terminal proteoforms arising from stromal processing peptidase (SPP) processing or (alternative) translation initiation. (A) PSMs of NTA peptides identified in cell cultures in function of the deviation to the chloroplast transit peptide (cTP) P1' cleavage site predicted by TargetP 2.0 (Almagro Armenteros et al., 2019). The orange rectangle reflects the P5–P5' predicted region, considered in this study to assign neo-N-termini indicative of cTP cleavage. (B) Number of identified PSMs of identified NTA [and corresponding Nt-free (<sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>-Ac), if any] Nt peptides matching considered cTP cleavages [see panel (A)] in the case of N-termini exclusively identified as Nt-free (right) or identified by at least one PSM as NTA (left). Only Ala, Ser, Thr, and Val neo-N-termini (unmber indicated above bar) were plotted, as these represent the majority of cTP cleavage neo-N-termini (3,739/4,322 PSMs, 87%). (C) iceLogo (Colaert et al., 2009) motifs of cleavage motifs (P5–P5') with an Nt peptide start position deviating maximally five residues of the predicted chloroplast or mitochondrial transit peptide (cTP/mTP) cleavage site (Almagro Armenteros et al., 2019) and with a SUBA4 chloroplast consensus location (Hooper et al., 2017). In case multiple cleavage sites were identified within a 5-residue window, the most upstream neo-N-termini according to their NAT specificity profiles. N-termini matching NatA, NatB, or NAtC/E/F specificities are indicated in orange, blue, and green, respectively, while stromal protein NTA is indicated in gray. Only Nt residue(s) with at least five data points were plotted; for full overview (see **Supplementary Dataset S4**).

SPP cleavage sites (Rowland et al., 2015). In addition, enrichment of Ala and Cys at P1, as well as Val and Ile at P3, matches the characteristic SPP P3–P1' cleavage motif reported before, (V/I)-X-(A/C) $\downarrow$ A (Gavel and Von Heijne, 1990). Despite SPP cleavage site hallmarks, it is, however, likely that aminopeptidase activity still complicates delineation of primary SPP cleavage motifs (**Figure 4C**).

Next to SPP cleavage events, and while rationally fewer than in global N-terminome analyses, 503 NTA peptides matching annotated protein N-termini (position 1 or 2) were also identified. Besides the 17 annotated protein N-termini of chloroplast-encoded genes, the remainder are from cytosolic origin and were likely identified as impurities in the chloroplast isolation procedure as also evidenced by their general greater cellular abundance. For all NTA peptides, the degrees of NTA were calculated (**Supplementary Dataset S4**) and plotted for dbTIS-indicative protein N-termini (position 1 or 2). The degree of NTA largely agrees with the earlier observed PSM counts of protein N-termini in cell cultures (**Figure 2A**) and known enzymatic NAT efficiencies (**Figure 4D**, left), with high degrees of NTA of Ser and Ala N-termini (average 94.6% and 80.3%, respectively) [NatA substrates (Linster et al., 2015)] and MD/ME/MN/MQ N-termini (NatB) (Van Damme et al., 2012). For Thr N-termini, there seems to be a bimodal distribution of high and low NTA N-termini, while the degree of NTA is generally low for Gly (21.4% NTA on average), Val (1.4%)

(NatA) and MK (5.4%) N-termini (NatF) (Van Damme et al., 2011), and, as expected, Pro N-termini were essentially Nt-free (Goetze et al., 2009). Next, we inspected the degree of posttranslational NTA of all unique stromal neo-N-termini. Sufficient NTA peptide identifications were evident for Ala, Ser, Val, and Thr neo-N-termini, clearly showing a differing degree of NTA compared to annotated protein N-termini. While the NTA degree was generally lower in case of Ser and Ala (36.3 and 34.9%, respectively), it was higher for Val (60.3%) (Figure 4D, right), and the distribution of Thr was rather centered as compared to the bimodal distribution observed for annotated protein N-termini. Similar co- and post-translational NTA degrees of (neo-)Ntermini were evident in both the chloroplast fractions analyzed, albeit with less observations in case of whole chloroplasts (Supplementary Figure 4). In addition, this quantitative NTA analysis is in line with observed NTA and Nt-free PSM identifications in cell cultures (Figure 4B, left). The deviating NTA patterns of stromal neo-N-termini vs. translation-indicative Ala, Ser, Thr, and Val N-termini likely reflect differing enzymatic specificities and efficiencies of the responsible chloroplast GNAT as compared to cytosolic NatA; the latter NAT is responsible for the co-translational NTA of Ala, Ser, Thr, and Val N-termini. Taking together, post-translational NTA in the chloroplast forms a major source of NTA peptides in plants and shows different enzymatic preferences, and thus NTA patterns, compared to cotranslational NTA. These results are in line with previous reports (Rowland et al., 2015; Bienvenut et al., 2020).

## Alternative Translation Initiation Governing Dual Localization of Cytosolic and Organellar N-Terminal Proteoforms

Thus far, we have shown that NTA peptides matching internal protein start positions may either result from protein synthesis at dTIS (because of co-translational NTA) (Figure 2C) or, alternatively, represent mature neo-N-termini of nuclearencoded chloroplastic proteins that were post-translational NTA (Figures 4B,D). However, in some cases, it is complicated to attribute NTA peptides to either process. For instance, Met residues are well-represented in the P1 position of stromal NTA neo-N-termini (30/150 sites [20%]), therefore, representing possible TIS (Figure 4C) and 34 out of 68 (50%) proteins with a dTIS called and matching Nt peptide evidence had predicted TargetP 2.0 cleavage sites (17 cTP, 16 mTP, and single luTP). This is significantly higher as expected, since cTP/mTP/luTP are predicted for approximately 10% of the TAIR10 proteome by TargetP 2.0. Moreover, for the majority of these proteins (26 or 76%), the predicted TargetP 2.0 cleavage site deviated <10 residues from the corresponding dTIS called (Supplementary Dataset S2). For instance, the Ribo-seqcalled dTIS of IPP2 (Figure 3A) exactly matched a predicted cTP cleavage with M52 at P1. Hence, NTA either occurred co- or posttranslational in the cytosol or chloroplast stroma, respectively, or both scenarios could have occurred. In line, for its close homolog IPP1, NTA peptides were identified in cell cultures starting at Ala60 and Ser53, corresponding respectively to a Ribo-seq-called dTIS at Met59 and a predicted cTP cleavage site at position 54 (Figure 5A, top). Moreover, (neo-)N-termini matching the by Ribo-seq-identified dTIS (Thr60 starting N-terminus) or cTP cleavages (Ala53, Ser55, and Ala56 starting N-termini) were found in the chloroplast leaf extracts. In chloroplast stroma, an NTA degree of 80% was identified for Ala53, whereas lower percentages of NTA were observed for Ser55 (28%) and Ala56 (27%) (Figure 5A, bottom; Supplementary Dataset S4). Hence, the presumably primary SPP-exposed Ala53 neo-N-terminus shows a higher NTA degree compared to its downstream, aminopeptidaseprocessed proteoforms, possibly due to the kinetics of ensuing Nt modifications. In addition, the highest degree of NTA was observed in the case of the Thr60 (91%) Nt peptide raised by alternative translation initiation at the dTIS corresponding to Met59 and subsequent co-translational removal of this iMet by the action of MetAPs. Confirming our results, for both IPP homologous genes, a long and short transcript has previously been discovered in Arabidopsis because of alternative transcription start sites, encoding full-length proteins targeted to mitochondria or chloroplasts, or Nt truncated cytosolic proteoforms (Phillips et al., 2008). In addition, Nt peptides supporting dTIS as well as organellar import processing were also found for  $\omega$ -amidase (AT5G12040.1). In fact, an alternative start codon corresponding to Met63 was suggested earlier as a possible dTIS (Zhang and Marsolais, 2014) and here verified in cell cultures by Ribo-seq and NTA peptide evidence matching the iMet-processed N-terminus at Ala64 (Figure 5B, left). In addition, Ala64 was predicted as a cTP cleavage site and was found to be partial NTA (76%) in stromal fractions (Figure 5B, right). In addition, we identified an NTA peptide upstream at Ser61 in the stromal fractions, likely representing the primary exposed SPP cleavage site that was NTA to a lower extent (37%). This NTA percentage is in line with the ratio of Nt-free (16) over NTA PSMs (9) for the neo-N-terminus identified in cell cultures (Figure 5B, left). Taken together, downstream in-frame translation start sites are frequently found for proteins targeted to the chloroplast and/or mitochondrion and dTIS thereby function as a (regulatory) mechanism for acquiring functional protein copies in the cytosol (i.e., translated proteoforms lacking signal sequences of their full-length counterparts) as well as in organelles (i.e., translated proteoforms encompassing signal sequences). Moreover, the degree of NTA can provide an additional cue to distinguish Nt proteoforms originating from alternative translation or organellar processing.

## DISCUSSION

Ribosome sequencing (Ribo-seq) represents a powerful technique to empirically determine translated regions in the genome, and has revealed yet an unexplored proteome complexity. Using inhibitors that halt ribosomes during translation initiation, TIS can be uncovered on a genome-wide scale (Ingolia et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). Obtained Ribo-seq coverage can be exploited by machine learning algorithms for delineating ORFs (Crappe et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017; Verbruggen et al., 2019). Subsequently, translation products



**FIGURE 5** | Riboproteogenomic evidence indicative of proteolytic signal peptide processing and alternative TIS usage. Ribo-seq coverage and PSM counts of identified Nt peptides were plotted for the first exonic region of [(**A**), top] *IPP1* (AT5G16440) and [(**B**), left] ω-amidase (AT5G12040). Genome view showing CHX and LTM strand-specific positional Ribo-seq data (red). Vertical lines indicate identified dTIS (orange) and the CTP predicted cleavage site (dark green, dotted line) (Almagro Armenteros et al., 2019). LTM peaks corresponding to Ribo-seq-called dbTIS and dTIS were indicated by green and orange arrowheads, respectively. The PSM counts for the *in vivo* NTA (yellow) and *in vitro*<sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>-NTA (i.e., *in vivo* Nt-free) (black) N-termini are plotted. MS1 spectra of uniquely identified NTA peptides originating from [(**A**), bottom] *IPP1* (AT5G16440.1) and [(**B**), right] ω-amidase (AT5G12040.1). Isotopic envelopes matching the *in vivo* NTA (green) and *in vitro* <sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> ounterparts are shown for different NTA Nt peptides identified (peptide on top, bold residues). The calculated degree of NTA (%) was indicated together with the corresponding Nt residue. Predicted cTP cleavage motifs (P2–P1) preceding the identified Nt peptide sequences as well as the initiator Met (iMet) of riboproteogenomic-mapped dTIS (orange) were indicated in the sequence. All peptide precursors had a 2+ charge, and precursor *m/z* values are indicated.

from the ORFs proposed by Ribo-seq can be matched by proteomics data, designated here as riboproteogenomics, further providing unequivocal proof of protein synthesis. Furthermore, positional proteomic approaches that enrich for Nt peptides are especially complementary, as they can confirm TIS mapped by Ribo-seq, thereby serving as proxies of translation initiation. Such confirmation is of prime importance in proteogenomics and gene annotation, where control for false positive identifications is a major issue (Nesvizhskii, 2014). In our previous survey, we have utilized a riboproteogenomics approach for identifying intergenic protein start sites in the *Arabidopsis* genome (Willems et al., 2017). In this study, we focused on a TIS located internally in the protein CDS and in-frame with annotated TIS, i.e., downstream TIS (dTIS) that can give rise to Nt-truncated proteoforms. To delineate translated ORFs and assign TIS in *Arabidopsis*, we used PROTEOFORMER (Crappe et al., 2015), overall resulting in 29,013 Ribo-seq-called TIS. Of these, 13,324 (45.9%) corresponded to TAIR10/Araport11annotated TIS. With reference to dbTIS, there were 135 and 52 TIS solely mapping either TAIR10 or Araport11 start codons, respectively (**Supplementary Dataset S1**), highlighting the inconsistencies related to TIS calling in automated gene annotation algorithms that typically are biased toward AUG start codons, and selection of the longest ORF (Saeys et al.,

2007). For instance, it is not excluded that some TIS reported as dTIS in this study might represent unassigned dbTIS. Conversely, annotated TIS might represent dTIS in case of Nt extended proteoforms. Of interest, some genes with alternatively spliced transcripts had multiple annotated TIS supported by Ribo-seq, with 14 genes having two annotated TIS with more than 20 LTM reads (Supplementary Dataset S1). For instance, an uncharacterized transmembrane gene AT2G04360 showed LTM peaks at two TIS matching translation initiation on different transcripts (Supplementary Figure 5). Next to the Ribo-seq-aided identification of translation initiation at dbTIS, a vast, unannotated TIS landscape was discovered in Arabidopsis with high proportions (~80%) of non-AUG start codons (Figures 1B,C). This finding is in line with recent Ribo-seq reports on Solanum lycopersicum (tomato) that similarly employed LTM for halting initiating ribosomes (Li and Liu, 2020). Such TIS frequently point to uORF translation, as 7,572 called TIS resided in so-called 5' UTRs, corroborating earlier observations that uORFs are found in 35 to 50% of the Arabidopsis genes (Von Arnim et al., 2014; Niu et al., 2020). Occasionally, such events may point to missed exonic annotation in available transcript structures (Willems et al., 2017). The regulatory role of uORF translation and the translation of novel, intergenic ORFs were addressed before in Arabidopsis (Liu et al., 2013; Willems et al., 2017) and not further considered here. Instead, we focused on the 7,653 called TIS located downstream and in-frame within the CDS of 2,818 genes (Supplementary Dataset S1), representing possible dTIS that could give rise to Nt-truncated proteoforms. A previous Ribo-seq profiling in Arabidopsis seedlings used increased CHX ribosome footprint density typically observed at start codons (also apparent in this study, Figure 1A) to suggest 35 plausible dTIS in 31 genes (Liu et al., 2013). Note that we observed an asymmetric distribution for both CHX and LTM footprints in genes, with decreasing density toward the 3' end of genes (Figure 1A), which has also been observed (to some extent) in other Riboseq profiling studies (Ingolia et al., 2009; Li and Liu, 2020). Noteworthy, of the 35 plausible dTIS suggested in Arabidopsis seedlings (by making use of increased CHX ribosome footprint densities at starts) (Liu et al., 2013), 18 dTIS (> 50%) were also called in our study. This included a dTIS corresponding to Met64 of glutathione peroxidase 6 (GPX6) (Supplementary Figure 6A), a protein that was shown before to be dual localized to mitochondria and cytosol, thus further pointing to alternative translation initiation and the expression of multiple Nt proteoforms as the origin of this observed phenomena (Attacha et al., 2017). All considering, the large agreement with earlier reported dTIS (Liu et al., 2013) besides matching Nt peptide evidence is indicative of the validity of our TIS calling strategy.

In the next phase, PROTEOFORMER (Crappe et al., 2015) was used to generate a Ribo-seq-based custom protein database. Here, Ribo-seq alignment settings and TIS calling thresholds were optimized to maximize the peptide identification rate of annotated proteins (see section Materials and Methods). For additional proof of translation initiation at dTIS and

resulting Nt proteoform expression, Nt peptide identifications can further corroborate Ribo-seq-mapped TIS. Furthermore, because of co-translational NME and NTA occurring on nascent peptide chains, known enzymatic specificities associated with translation initiation can be used as a biological filter to select Nt peptides indicative of translation initiation (Willems et al., 2017). Next to abiding NME enzymatic rules, the identification of dbTIS-indicative NTA Nt peptides in Arabidopsis cell cultures (Figure 2A) and their degree of NTA (Figure 4D) are well in-line with known eukaryotic NAT specificities and activities reported on protein N-termini (Linster et al., 2015). Of the 72 identified N-termini matching identified dTIS events in Arabidopsis cell cultures, 68 followed NME and NTA rules (Table 1). Hence, strengthening the confidence that translation initiation at dTIS results in translation of Nt-truncated proteoforms. It should be noted that it in some cases, it is possible that dbTIS were incorrectly annotated, and that the identified "dTIS" actually might represent the correct TIS. For instance, Met17 likely represents the actual start site of the DNA repair protein homolog XRCC4 (AT3G23100) given the absence of preceding Ribo-seq signal and lack of peptide evidence in the Arabidopsis Peptide Atlas (Van Wijk et al., 2021) despite theoretical likely identifiable tryptic peptides (Supplementary Figure 7). In contrast, the majority of genes (62/68) with a dTIS called did have a matching Ribo-seq-called dbTIS (Supplementary Dataset S1), and for seven genes, Nt peptides were identified matching both the dTIS and dbTIS, thus indicative of protein translation of both Nt proteoforms. Cross-referencing public Nt proteomic data stored in the Plant PTM Viewer (Willems et al., 2019) and NTerDB (https://n-terdb.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/) confirmed translation initiation at 42 dTIS (Supplementary Dataset S2) while providing additional Nt peptide evidence for an additional 20 Ribo-seq-supported dTIS (Supplementary Dataset S3). Note that in our study we only considered dTIS proteoforms supported by proteomics. It stands to reason that dTIS events with solely Ribo-seq evidence inform more generally on true Nttruncated proteoforms, the majority of which, however, remain non-identified by proteomics because of multiple plausible reasons, such as MS incompatibility (e.g., precursor mass outside detectable m/z range, suboptimal ionization, low abundance, and/or high hydrophobicity) or downstream processing (e.g., removal of signal peptide sequences).

While complementary Ribo-seq and Nt peptide evidence are clear hallmarks of dTIS events, the functional relevance of Nt proteoforms resulting from downstream translation initiation, and especially the possible expression of Nt proteoform pairs in plants remains largely unexplored. The expression of some of the by riboproteogenomics-discovered proteoform pairs was additionally confirmed by *in vitro* coupled transcription and translation (TnT) (**Figure 3**). In fact, in case of the identified dTIS (Met45) of an NAD(P)HX dehydratase (AT5G19150), translation of an Nt truncated proteoform was previously confirmed using a similar mutagenesis approach (Niehaus et al., 2014). Furthermore, while full-length NAD(P)HX dehydratase was shown to be targeted to the chloroplast and mitochondria, the Nt-truncated proteoform was localized in the cytosol

(Niehaus et al., 2014). Besides, several other of the 68 dTIS (Table 1) were already reported reported or suggested to give rise to Nt-truncated proteoforms and/or dual localization (see Supplementary Table 2). Similar to the Nt proteoform pairs of the IPP homologs (Phillips et al., 2008), multiple GSTF8 and GSH2 transcription start sites (TSSs) resulted in alternative transcripts, encoding cTP-containing proteoforms targeted to the chloroplast and Nt-truncated cytosolic proteoforms lacking a cTP (Wachter et al., 2005; Thatcher et al., 2007). In addition to alternative TSSs, leaky scanning can give rise to protein synthesis from dTIS. This translational regulation has already been reported to occur in the case of multiple Arabidopsis aminoacyltRNA synthetases (aaRSs), and to serve as a mechanism for dual protein localization to the mitochondria and cytosol (Garin et al., 2020). In this study, we obtained riboproteogenomics data for three such aaRSs, namely, ValRS (AT1G14610), ThrRS (AT5G26830), and GlyRS (AT1G29880) (Souciet et al., 1999; Duchene et al., 2001). Intriguingly, we also identified dTIS for other tRNA metabolic enzymes (Table 1). For instance, a dTIS (Met52) for the tRNase Z3 (AT1G52160) was identified (Supplementary Figure 6B), an enzyme with a reported dual nuclear and mitochondrial localization (Canino et al., 2009). Similarly, for yet another tRNA-metabolic enzyme, the 2' tRNA phosphatase (AT2G45330), a dTIS (Met33) bypassed the predicted mTP (Supplementary Figure 6C). As such, tRNAmetabolic genes are likely subject to alternative subcellular localization via dTIS, similar to what was reported for aaRSs in Arabidopsis (Souciet et al., 1999; Duchene et al., 2001). Interestingly, several identified dTIS mapped to metabolic enzymes, which might, thus, serve as an effective mechanism to partition enzymes between compartments, thereby addressing metabolic needs in different organelles. Lastly, previous reports have shown conservation of dTIS events in multiple species (Bazykin and Kochetov, 2011; Guirimand et al., 2012; Van Damme et al., 2014; Zhang and Marsolais, 2014). For instance, the  $\omega$ -amidase (AT5G12040.1) initiated at Met63 and identified in this study (Figure 5B) is suspected to match a dTIS governing cytosolic and mitochondrial localization based on its human ortholog Nt proteoforms reported (Zhang and Marsolais, 2014). The evolutionary conservation of dTIS can be intriguing, especially in plants that underwent chloroplast to nucleus gene transfer, as, for instance, the conserved Arabidopsis IPP dTIS correspond to the dbTIS of the IPP orthologs in the green algae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and lycophyte Selaginella moellendorfii (Supplementary Figure 8).

Next to translation initiation-indicative Nt peptides, a large number of Nt peptides reporting on proteolytic cleavages (neo-N-termini) are typically identified in Nt proteomic experiments (Perrar et al., 2019). In this study, when considering all neo-N-terminal *Arabidopsis* peptides identified and a maximal deviation  $\leq$  5 AA residues of TargetP2.0 predicted cleavages, more than 1,000 unique N-terminal sorting signal processing sites (1,043) were identified (**Supplementary Datasets S2, S4**). Intriguingly, neo-N-termini exposed by SPP can be posttranslational NTA (Zybailov et al., 2008; Bienvenut et al., 2012, 2020; Rowland et al., 2015). Thereby, aside from NTA peptides originating from protein synthesis, in plants, NTA

peptides frequently match stromal neo-N-termini (Figure 4A), and of the 1,043 unique N-terminal sorting signal processing sites identified, 437 were identified with at least 1 NTA PSM. To quantitively study the degree of NTA in plant chloroplasts, a quantitative NTA study on chloroplast and protein stromal-enriched fractions was performed to determine the stoichiometry of in vivo NTA (%) of annotated protein N-termini and stromal neo-N-termini (Supplementary Dataset S4). This analysis revealed a differing degree of NTA associated with cotranslational vs. post-translational NTA accompanying protein synthesis and chloroplast import, respectively. Intriguingly, the extent of NTA of Val neo-N-termini in the stroma drastically exceeds that of native protein N-termini (Figure 4D). This is in line with a previous report (Rowland et al., 2015) and is likely attributed to differing specificity and efficiency of choroplastic vs. cytosolic NATs (Bienvenut et al., 2020). Of interest, recombinant GNAT2 showed the highest preference for Thr and Val residues (Bienvenut et al., 2020), perhaps at least partially accounting for the higher degree of NTA on Val neo-N-termini (Figure 4D). As such, in the case of close proximity of dTIS and cTP cleavage site predictions, NTA features could additionally aid to distinguish between the co- and post-translational origins of NTA peptides, although further experimental evidence would be required to validate their origin. Interestingly, NME-compliant NTA N-termini of two chloroplast-encoded annotated protein N-termini (i.e., Thr2 of PHOTOSYSTEM II REACTION CENTER PROTEIN L (PSBL) and Ala2 of PHOTOSYNTHETIC ELECTRON TRANSFER A (PETA) (Supplementary Dataset S4) were also identified as being partial NTA and further supported by public N-terminomics data for PSBL (Soh et al., 2020). Also noteworthy is that while the NTA patterns of dTISindicative N-termini appear largely similar to those of dbTISindicative N-termini, for instance, a 100% NTA for the GlytRNA synthetase dTIS at Met40 that has an "MD" N-terminus (Supplementary Table 3), for other dTIS-indicative N-termini, a lower degree of NTA might possibly be explained by the gradual loss of associated NATs during leaky ribosome scanning. Furthermore, in the case of  $\omega$ -amidase (Figure 5B), the predicted cTP cleavage site coincides with the dTIS, and the readout of NTA can, thus, be a read-out of both generated N-termini.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

PW and PVD conceived the research. VJ and PVD conducted the experiments. PVD performed the proteomics. PW, EN, and PVD analyzed the data. PW, FV, and PVD wrote the article. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### FUNDING

This study was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (PROPHECY grant agreement no. 803972 to PVD), the Research Foundation-Flanders (Junior Postdoctoral fellowship grant no. 12T1722N to PW) and the Research Foundation-Flanders-Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (Excellence of Science project no. 30829584 to FV).

### REFERENCES

- Almagro Armenteros, J. J., Salvatore, M., Emanuelsson, O., Winther, O., Von Heijne, G., Elofsson, A., et al. (2019). Detecting sequence signals in targeting peptides using deep learning. *Life Sci Alliance* 2:e201900429. doi: 10.26508/lsa.201900429
- Attacha, S., Solbach, D., Bela, K., Moseler, A., Wagner, S., Schwarzlander, M., et al. (2017). Glutathione peroxidase-like enzymes cover five distinct cell compartments and membrane surfaces in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Environ*. 40, 1281–1295. doi: 10.1111/pce.12919
- Bazykin, G. A., and Kochetov, A. V. (2011). Alternative translation start sites are conserved in eukaryotic genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 567–577. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq806
- Bienvenut, W. V., Brunje, A., Boyer, J. B., Muhlenbeck, J. S., Bernal, G., Lassowskat, I., et al. (2020). Dual lysine and N-terminal acetyltransferases reveal the complexity underpinning protein acetylation. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 16:e9464. doi: 10.15252/msb.20209464
- Bienvenut, W. V., Sumpton, D., Martinez, A., Lilla, S., Espagne, C., Meinnel, T., et al. (2012). Comparative large scale characterization of plant versus mammal proteins reveals similar and idiosyncratic N-alpha-acetylation features. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 11:M111015131. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M111.015131
- Block, M. A., Tewari, A. K., Albrieux, C., Marechal, E., and Joyard, J. (2002). The plant S-adenosyl-L-methionine:Mg-protoporphyrin IX methyltransferase is located in both envelope and thylakoid chloroplast membranes. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 269, 240–248. doi: 10.1046/j.0014-2956.2001.02643.x
- Canino, G., Bocian, E., Barbezier, N., Echeverria, M., Forner, J., Binder, S., et al. (2009). Arabidopsis encodes four tRNase Z enzymes. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1494–1502. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.137950
- Cheng, C. Y., Krishnakumar, V., Chan, A. P., Thibaud-Nissen, F., Schobel, S., and Town, C. D. (2017). Araport11: a complete reannotation of the Arabidopsis thaliana reference genome. *Plant J.* 89, 789–804. doi: 10.1111/tpj. 13415
- Colaert, N., Helsens, K., Martens, L., Vandekerckhove, J., and Gevaert, K. (2009). Improved visualization of protein consensus sequences by iceLogo. *Nat. Methods* 6, 786–787. doi: 10.1038/nmeth1109-786
- Crappe, J., Ndah, E., Koch, A., Steyaert, S., Gawron, D., De Keulenaer, S., et al. (2015). PROTEOFORMER: deep proteome coverage through ribosome profiling and MS integration. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43:e29. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1283
- Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., et al. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29, 15–21. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635
- Duchene, A. M., Peeters, N., Dietrich, A., Cosset, A., Small, I. D., and Wintz, H. (2001). Overlapping destinations for two dual targeted glycyl-tRNA synthetases in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Phaseolus vulgaris. J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 15275–15283. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M011525200
- Eng, J. K., Jahan, T. A., and Hoopmann, M. R. (2013). Comet: an opensource MS/MS sequence database search tool. *Proteomics* 13, 22–24. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201200439
- Fijalkowska, D., Fijalkowski, I., Willems, P., and Van Damme, P. (2020). Bacterial riboproteogenomics: the era of N-terminal proteoform existence revealed. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 44, 418–431. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fu aa013

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Veronique Storme for her help with the statistical analyses.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 778804/full#supplementary-material

- Frottin, F., Martinez, A., Peynot, P., Mitra, S., Holz, R. C., Giglione, C., et al. (2006). The proteomics of N-terminal methionine cleavage. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 5, 2336–2349. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M600225-MCP200
- Garin, S., Levi, O., Cohen, B., Golani-Armon, A., and Arava, Y. S. (2020). Localization and RNA Binding of Mitochondrial Aminoacyl tRNA Synthetases. *Genes (Basel)* 11:1185. doi: 10.3390/genes11101185
- Gavel, Y., and Von Heijne, G. (1990). A conserved cleavage-site motif in chloroplast transit peptides. *FEBS Lett.* 261, 455–458. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(90)80614-O
- Gawron, D., Ndah, E., Gevaert, K., and Van Damme, P. (2016). Positional proteomics reveals differences in N-terminal proteoform stability. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 12:858. doi: 10.15252/msb.20156662
- Giglione, C., and Meinnel, T. (2021). Evolution-driven versatility of N terminal acetylation in photoautotrophs. *Trends Plant Sci.* 26, 375–391. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2020.11.012
- Goetze, S., Qeli, E., Mosimann, C., Staes, A., Gerrits, B., Roschitzki, B., et al. (2009). Identification and functional characterization of N-terminally acetylated proteins in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Biol.* 7:e1000236. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000236
- Guirimand, G., Guihur, A., Phillips, M. A., Oudin, A., Glevarec, G., Melin, C., et al. (2012). A single gene encodes isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase isoforms targeted to plastids, mitochondria and peroxisomes in *Catharanthus roseus*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 79, 443–459. doi: 10.1007/s11103-012-9923-0
- Helsens, K., Colaert, N., Barsnes, H., Muth, T., Flikka, K., Staes, A., et al. (2010). ms\_lims, a simple yet powerful open source laboratory information management system for MS-driven proteomics. *Proteomics* 10, 1261–1264. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200900409
- Helsens, K., Van Damme, P., Degroeve, S., Martens, L., Arnesen, T., Vandekerckhove, J., et al. (2011). Bioinformatics analysis of a Saccharomyces cerevisiae N-terminal proteome provides evidence of alternative translation initiation and post-translational N-terminal acetylation. J. Proteome Res. 10, 3578–3589. doi: 10.1021/pr2002325
- Hooper, C. M., Castleden, I. R., Tanz, S. K., Aryamanesh, N., and Millar, A. H. (2017). SUBA4: the interactive data analysis centre for Arabidopsis subcellular protein locations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D1064–D1074. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1041
- Hulstaert, N., Shofstahl, J., Sachsenberg, T., Walzer, M., Barsnes, H., Martens, L., et al. (2020). ThermoRawFileParser: modular, scalable, and cross-platform RAW file conversion. J. Proteome Res. 19, 537–542. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00328
- Ingolia, N. T., Ghaemmaghami, S., Newman, J. R., and Weissman, J. S. (2009). Genome-wide analysis *in vivo* of translation with nucleotide resolution using ribosome profiling. *Science* 324, 218–223. doi: 10.1126/science.1168978
- Ingolia, N. T., Lareau, L. F., and Weissman, J. S. (2011). Ribosome profiling of mouse embryonic stem cells reveals the complexity and dynamics of mammalian proteomes. *Cell* 147, 789–802. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.002
- Jonckheere, V., Fijalkowska, D., and Van Damme, P. (2018). Omics assisted N-terminal proteoform and protein expression profiling on methionine aminopeptidase 1 (MetAP1) deletion. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 17, 694–708. doi: 10.1074/mcp.RA117.000360
- Jonckheere, V., and Van Damme, P. (2021). N-terminal acetyltransferase Naa40p whereabouts put into N-terminal proteoform perspective. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22:3690. doi: 10.3390/ijms22073690

- Kozak, M. (1986). Point mutations define a sequence flanking the AUG initiator codon that modulates translation by eukaryotic ribosomes. *Cell* 44, 283–292. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(86)90762-2
- Lee, S., Liu, B., Lee, S., Huang, S. X., Shen, B., and Qian, S. B. (2012). Global mapping of translation initiation sites in mammalian cells at singlenucleotide resolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 109, E2424–E2432. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1207846109
- Li, Y. R., and Liu, M. J. (2020). Prevalence of alternative AUG and non-AUG translation initiators and their regulatory effects across plants. *Genome Res.* 30, 1418–1433. doi: 10.1101/gr.261834.120
- Linster, E., Stephan, I., Bienvenut, W. V., Maple-Grodem, J., Myklebust, L. M., Huber, M., et al. (2015). Downregulation of N-terminal acetylation triggers ABA-mediated drought responses in Arabidopsis. *Nat. Commun.* 6:7640. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8640
- Liu, M. J., Wu, S. H., Wu, J. F., Lin, W. D., Wu, Y. C., Tsai, T. Y., et al. (2013). Translational landscape of photomorphogenic Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25, 3699–3710. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.114769
- Mielke, K., Wagner, R., Mishra, L. S., Demir, F., Perrar, A., Huesgen, P. F., et al. (2021). Abundance of metalloprotease FtsH12 modulates chloroplast development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Exp. Bot. 72, 3455–3473. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa550
- Nesvizhskii, A. I. (2014). Proteogenomics: concepts, applications and computational strategies. *Nat. Methods* 11, 1114–1125. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3144
- Niehaus, T. D., Richardson, L. G., Gidda, S. K., Elbadawi-Sidhu, M., Meissen, J. K., Mullen, R. T., et al. (2014). Plants utilize a highly conserved system for repair of NADH and NADPH hydrates. *Plant Physiol.* 165, 52–61. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.236539
- Niu, R., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Y., Mou, R., Tang, Z., Wang, Z., et al. (2020). uORFlight: a vehicle toward uORF-mediated translational regulation mechanisms in eukaryotes. *Database (Oxford)* (2020) 2020:baaa007. doi: 10.1093/database/baaa007
- Perez-Riverol, Y., Csordas, A., Bai, J., Bernal-Llinares, M., Hewapathirana, S., Kundu, D. J., et al. (2019). The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D442–D450. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1106
- Perrar, A., Dissmeyer, N., and Huesgen, P. F. (2019). New beginnings and new ends: methods for large-scale characterization of protein termini and their use in plant biology. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 2021–2038. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erz104
- Phillips, M. A., D'auria, J. C., Gershenzon, J., and Pichersky, E. (2008). The Arabidopsis thaliana type I Isopentenyl Diphosphate Isomerases are targeted to multiple subcellular compartments and have overlapping functions in isoprenoid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* 20, 677–696. doi: 10.1105/tpc.107.053926
- Ree, R., Varland, S., and Arnesen, T. (2018). Spotlight on protein N-terminal acetylation. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 50, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s12276-018-0116-z
- Rowland, E., Kim, J., Bhuiyan, N. H., and Van Wijk, K. J. (2015). The Arabidopsis chloroplast stromal N-terminome: complexities of aminoterminal protein maturation and stability. *Plant Physiol.* 169, 1881–1896. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01214
- Saeys, Y., Abeel, T., Degroeve, S., and Van De Peer, Y. (2007). Translation initiation site prediction on a genomic scale: beauty in simplicity. *Bioinformatics* 23, i418–i423. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm177
- Shah, P., Ding, Y., Niemczyk, M., Kudla, G., and Plotkin, J. B. (2013). Rate-limiting steps in yeast protein translation. *Cell* 153, 1589–1601. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.049
- Soh, W. T., Demir, F., Dall, E., Perrar, A., Dahms, S. O., Kuppusamy, M., et al. (2020). ExteNDing proteome coverage with legumain as a highly specific digestion protease. *Anal. Chem.* 92, 2961–2971. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.9b03604
- Sonenberg, N., and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2009). Regulation of translation initiation in eukaryotes: mechanisms and biological targets. *Cell* 136, 731–745. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.042
- Souciet, G., Menand, B., Ovesna, J., Cosset, A., Dietrich, A., and Wintz, H. (1999). Characterization of two bifunctional Arabdopsis thaliana genes coding for mitochondrial and cytosolic forms of valyl-tRNA synthetase and threonyltRNA synthetase by alternative use of two in-frame AUGs. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 266, 848–854. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1327.1999.00922.x

- Staes, A., Impens, F., Van Damme, P., Ruttens, B., Goethals, M., Demol, H., et al. (2011). Selecting protein N-terminal peptides by combined fractional diagonal chromatography. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 1130–1141. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2011.355
- Thatcher, L. F., Carrie, C., Andersson, C. R., Sivasithamparam, K., Whelan, J., and Singh, K. B. (2007). Differential gene expression and subcellular targeting of Arabidopsis glutathione S-transferase F8 is achieved through alternative transcription start sites. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 28915–28928. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M702207200
- The, M., Maccoss, M. J., Noble, W. S., and Kall, L. (2016). Fast and accurate protein false discovery rates on large-scale proteomics data sets with percolator 3.0. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 27, 1719–1727. doi: 10.1007/s13361-016-1460-7
- Van Damme, P., Arnesen, T., Ruttens, B., and Gevaert, K. (2013). Ingel N-acetylation for the quantification of the degree of protein *in vivo* N-terminal acetylation. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 981, 115–126. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-305-3\_9
- Van Damme, P., Gawron, D., Van Criekinge, W., and Menschaert, G. (2014). Nterminal proteomics and ribosome profiling provide a comprehensive view of the alternative translation initiation landscape in mice and men. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 13, 1245–1261. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M113.036442
- Van Damme, P., Hole, K., Pimenta-Marques, A., Helsens, K., Vandekerckhove, J., Martinho, R. G., et al. (2011). NatF contributes to an evolutionary shift in protein N-terminal acetylation and is important for normal chromosome segregation. *PLoS Genet*. 7:e1002169. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002169
- Van Damme, P., Lasa, M., Polevoda, B., Gazquez, C., Elosegui-Artola, A., Kim, D. S., et al. (2012). N-terminal acetylome analyses and functional insights of the N-terminal acetyltransferase NatB. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A*. 109, 12449–12454. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1210303109
- Van Wijk, K. J., Leppert, T., Sun, Q., Boguraev, S. S., Sun, Z., Mendoza, L., et al. (2021). The Arabidopsis PeptideAtlas: harnessing worldwide proteomics data to create a comprehensive community proteomics resource. *Plant Cell.* doi: 10.1101/2021.05.03.442425
- Venne, A. S., Solari, F. A., Faden, F., Paretti, T., Dissmeyer, N., and Zahedi, R. P. (2015). An improved workflow for quantitative N-terminal charge-based fractional diagonal chromatography (ChaFRADIC) to study proteolytic events in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proteomics* 15, 2458–2469. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201500014
- Verbruggen, S., Ndah, E., Van Criekinge, W., Gessulat, S., Kuster, B., Wilhelm, M., et al. (2019). PROTEOFORMER 2.0: further developments in the ribosome profiling-assisted proteogenomic hunt for new proteoforms. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 18, S126–S140. doi: 10.1074/mcp.RA118.001218
- Von Arnim, A. G., Jia, Q., and Vaughn, J. N. (2014). Regulation of plant translation by upstream open reading frames. *Plant Sci.* 214, 1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.09.006
- Wachter, A., Wolf, S., Steininger, H., Bogs, J., and Rausch, T. (2005). Differential targeting of GSH1 and GSH2 is achieved by multiple transcription initiation: implications for the compartmentation of glutathione biosynthesis in the Brassicaceae. *Plant J.* 41, 15–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004. 02269.x
- Willems, P., Horne, A., Van Parys, T., Goormachtig, S., De Smet, I., Botzki, A., et al. (2019). The Plant PTM Viewer, a central resource for exploring plant protein modifications. *Plant J.* 99, 752–762. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14345
- Willems, P., Ndah, E., Jonckheere, V., Stael, S., Sticker, A., Martens, L., et al. (2017). N-terminal proteomics assisted profiling of the unexplored translation initiation landscape in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 16, 1064–1080. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M116.066662
- Wirtz, M., Heeg, C., Samami, A. A., Ruppert, T., and Hell, R. (2010). Enzymes of cysteine synthesis show extensive and conserved modifications patterns that include N(alpha)-terminal acetylation. *Amino Acids* 39, 1077–1086. doi: 10.1007/s00726-010-0694-0
- Zhang, H., Deery, M. J., Gannon, L., Powers, S. J., Lilley, K. S., and Theodoulou, F. L. (2015). Quantitative proteomics analysis of the Arg/N-end rule pathway of targeted degradation in Arabidopsis roots. *Proteomics* 15, 2447–2457. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201400530
- Zhang, H., Gannon, L., Hassall, K. L., Deery, M. J., Gibbs, D. J., Holdsworth, M. J., et al. (2018). N-terminomics reveals control of Arabidopsis seed storage proteins and proteases by the Arg/N-end rule pathway. *New Phytol* 218, 1106–1126. doi: 10.1111/nph.14909

Zhang, Q., and Marsolais, F. (2014). Identification and characterization of omegaamidase as an enzyme metabolically linked to asparagine transamination in Arabidopsis. *Phytochemistry* 99, 36–43. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.12.020

Zhang, S., Hu, H., Jiang, T., Zhang, L., and Zeng, J. (2017). TITER: predicting translation initiation sites by deep learning. *Bioinformatics* 33, i234-i242. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx247

Zybailov, B., Rutschow, H., Friso, G., Rudella, A., Emanuelsson, O., Sun, Q., et al. (2008). Sorting signals, N-terminal modifications and abundance of the chloroplast proteome. *PLoS One* 3, e1994. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001994

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Willems, Ndah, Jonckheere, Van Breusegem and Van Damme. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.