



Overexpression of Phosphoribosyl Pyrophosphate Synthase Enhances Resistance of *Chlamydomonas* to Ionizing Radiation

Sera Jung^{1,2†}, Kwang Min Koo^{1†}, Jaihyunk Ryu¹, Inwoo Baek¹, Soon-Jae Kwon¹, Jin-Baek Kim¹ and Joon-Woo Ahn^{1*}

¹ Advanced Radiation Technology Institute, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Jeongeup-si, South Korea, ² Advanced Process Technology and Fermentation Research Group, Research and Development Division, World Institute of Kimchi, Jeongeup-si, South Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Miroslav Obornik, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (ASCR), Czechia

Reviewed by:

Won-Joong Jeong, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (KRIBB), South Korea Jae-Hyeok Lee, University of British Columbia, Canada

*Correspondence:

Joon-Woo Ahn joon@kaeri.re.kr [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Marine and Freshwater Plants, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 03 June 2021 **Accepted:** 29 July 2021 **Published:** 26 August 2021

Citation:

Jung S, Koo KM, Ryu J, Baek I, Kwon S-J, Kim J-B and Ahn J-W (2021) Overexpression of Phosphoribosyl Pyrophosphate Synthase Enhances Resistance of Chlamydomonas to Ionizing Radiation. Front. Plant Sci. 12:719846. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.719846 The enzyme phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthase (PRPS) catalyzes the conversion of ribose 5-phosphate into phosphoribosyl diphosphate; the latter is a precursor of purine and pyrimidine nucleotides. Here, we investigated the function of PRPS from the single-celled green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* in its response to DNA damage from gamma radiation or the alkylating agent LiCI. *CrPRPS* transcripts were upregulated in cells treated with these agents. We generated *CrPRPS*-overexpressing transgenic lines to study the function of CrPRPS. When grown in culture with LiCI or exposed to gamma radiation, the transgenic cells grew faster and had a greater survival rate than wild-type cells. *CrPRPS* overexpression enhanced expression of genes associated with DNA damage response, namely *RAD51*, *RAD1*, and *LIG1*. We observed, from transcriptome analysis, upregulation of genes that code for key enzymes in purine metabolism, namely *ribonucleoside-diphosphate kinase*. We conclude that *CrPRPS* may affect DNA repair process via regulation of *de novo* nucleotide synthesis.

Keywords: phosphoribosyl diphosphate synthase (PRPS), ionizing radiation, transcriptome analysis, DNA damage response (DDR), DNA repair

INTRODUCTION

The enzyme phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthase (PRPS, EC 2.7.6.1) is an enzyme in the biosynthetic pathways of both purine and pyrimidine nucleotides, whether they are synthesized *de novo* or from salvage pathways. The enzyme catalyzes the conversion of ribose 5-phosphate to phosphoribosyl diphosphate (PRPP), a precursor of both purines and pyrimidines. The enzyme is a key intermediate for cellular metabolism of both carbon and nitrogen in living organisms (Hove-Jensen et al., 2016). Three classes of PRPS proteins have been identified based on their biochemical characteristics. Class I PRPSs, present in all living organisms, require Mg²⁺ and phosphate to function and are allosterically inhibited by adenosine diphosphate (ADP) (Krath and Hove-Jensen, 2001). Class II PRPSs, present in plants, are neither allosterically inhibited by ADP nor does their function dependent on phosphate (Krath and Hove-Jensen, 1999; Krath and Hove-Jensen, 2001). Both Class I and II PRPSs have been observed in *Arabidopsis*. A third class of PRPS,

1

Class III, was detected in the archaeon *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii*. The activity of Class III enzymes is dependent on phosphate but is not allosterically inhibited by ADP (Kadziola et al., 2005). Approximately 80% of the metabolic flux through PRPP flux is directed to purine and pyrimidine metabolism (Hove-Jensen, 1988). In cells, nucleotides act primarily as subunits of nucleic acids or as energy carriers [particularly adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and guanine triphosphate (GTP)]; they also serve as precursors to nucleotide cofactors (Moffatt and Ashihara, 2002). Nucleotide metabolism and the cell cycle are related in that purines provide the energy and cofactors for the cell cycle; they also sustain DNA fidelity during replication (Ben-Sahra et al., 2013).

Phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthase (PRPS) has diverse functions in plants and animals, as described in a number of studies that we mention below. When de novo synthesis of nucleotides was reduced in potato and tobacco plants, their growth was reduced (Schröder et al., 2005). Similarly, enhanced growth and biomass accumulation were observed in Arabidopsis and Nicotiana transgenic plants that overexpressed PRPS genes from the fungus Ashbya gossypii (Koslowsky et al., 2008). In humans, abnormal expression of PRPS has been implicated in many diseases, including cancer (Ben-Sahra et al., 2013; Jing et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). Suppression of PRPS expression in human neuroblastoma cells disrupted DNA synthesis and inhibited both neuroblastoma cell proliferation and tumor growth (Li et al., 2019). Mutation of PRPS1 in lymphoblastic leukemia cells increased their sensitivity to 5-fluorouracil, an inhibitor of nucleotide synthesis (Wang et al., 2018). Human colorectal cancer cells had greater expression of PRPS1 than normal cells and defective PRPS1 enzymatic activity caused arrest of the cell cycle and delayed cell proliferation in the cancer cells (Jing et al., 2019).

DNA damage can have various causes, including radiation and alkylating agents. Gamma radiation can damage DNA both directly and indirectly, the latter through the formation of free radicals. Lesions in the DNA associated with gamma radiation include single- and double-strand breaks (SSBs and DSBs, respectively), oxidized bases, and abasic sites (Cadet et al., 1999; Annex, 2000). Breaks in DNA are common. Mechanisms to repair SSBs include base excision repair, mismatch repair, and nucleotide excision repair. Repair of DSBs can be accomplished by one of two major pathways: homologous recombination or non-homologous end joining.

In this study, we investigated the function of PRPS from the single-celled green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Specifically, we were interested in its role in the cell's response to DNA damage (DNA damage response, DDR). Expression of *CrPRPS* was assessed after DNA damage from gamma radiation or an alkylating agent, LiCl, and the survival rate of the algal cells was measured. We generated *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines and compared them to wild-type (WT) algae to examine CrPRPS function. In addition, we performed transcriptome analysis to identify the molecular function of CrPRPS in purine metabolism and DDR. We believe this study is the

first characterization of CrPRPS role in the DDR of C. reinhardtii.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological Material and Growth Conditions

We used the single-celled green alga *C. reinhardtii* strain cc125 in this study. Cells $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ in 50 mL})$ were incubated in trisacetate-phosphate (TAP) medium and then cultured at 25°C with 150 rpm shaking under constant white light (40 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), unless noted otherwise. For NaCl treatment, TAP media containing 100, 200, and 300 mM NaCl were used. To identify effect of LiCl on *CrPRPS* expression in *C. reinhardtii*, 10, 20, and 30 mM of LiCl were utilized in TAP media. Threeday-old *C. reinhardtii* cells grown in TAP media were transferred to each TAP media containing different concentration of NaCl or LiCl. Samples were cultured for 24 h. For nitrogen-deplete condition, TAP without nitrogen (TAP-N; in which NH₄Cl in TAP was replaced with KCl) were used. Three-day-old cells grown in TAP media was transferred to TAP-N media and then cultured for 2 days.

Gamma Irradiation

Wild-type and *CrPRPS*-overexpressing cells were cultured for 3 days. Samples were irradiated with a gamma irradiator (⁶⁰Co, approximately 150 TBq; Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd., Ottawa, Ontario) for 2 h at the Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute. Samples were irradiated with either 80 or 200 Gy, which enabled us to assess dosage effects. Samples were harvested at 30 min after gamma irradiation.

RNA Isolation and Quantitative Reverse Transcription (RT)-PCR

For RNA isolation, *C. reinhardtii* cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 rpm for 5 min, resuspended in 1 mL Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, CA, United States), mixed for 10 min by vortexing, and incubated at room temperature for 5 min before centrifuging again. The supernatant was mixed with 250 μ L chloroform (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, United States) by vortexing for 2 min, combined with an equal volume of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1 v/v; Sigma-Aldrich, MO, United States), mixed for 2 min by vortexing, and finally mixed with an equal volume of isopropanol and incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The RNA pellet was collected by centrifugation and washed with 1 mL 70% ethanol.

For RT-PCR, cDNA synthesis was performed using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix (Invitrogen, CA, United States) according to manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative RT-PCR was carried out with SYBR Premix EX Taq II (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan) using CFX Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, CA, United States). Conditions for quantitative RT-PCR analysis were as follows: 40 cycles at 92°C for 20 s, 55–60°C for 20 s, and 72°C 20 s. Primer sequences were as follows: *TubA*, 5'-CTC GCT TCG CTT TGA CGG TG-3' and

3'-CGT GGT ACG CCT TCT CGG C-5'; CrPRPS, 5'-CTA TTT TAC ACG CCA GAC ACC-3' and 3'-ACA AAG AGA TCA GGA AAG CC-5'; RPA70a 2, 5'-GCA CGA CTT CAA CGG CAG-3' and 3'-GGT CAG GGA CTG CTT GGC-5'; RAD1, 5'-GGT GGA GCT GGT GAT GGT G-3' and 3'-CTT GCA GTG GCG GTA CTT GT-5'; RAD51a, 5'-GCC TGG TTG TGG ACA G-3' and 3'-GTT GGC CAC CTG ATT G-5'; LIG1, 5'-CGA CAC GTT CGA TGT GGT G-3' and 3'-GAG CTG CTC GCT GAA G-5'; Ku70, 5'-CAG GTG TCG GTG TGT TCG AC-3' and 3'-TCG CTC TCC CAC AGC TCC-5'. AK3, 5'-ACC CTG AAG GTC ATG ATT GC-3' and 5'-ATC TCC ACG ACC ACC TCA TC-3'; AK4, 5'-GCC AAG AAG CTG GAT GAG AT-3' and 5'-GGG CGA ACT TAA CGT GGT AG-3'; FHIT, 5'- AGG AGG TGT CAG ACC TGT GG-3' and 5'-TCG TCA TTC TTG GGG AAG TC-3'; GUCY1B, 5'-GGC AAC ATGA CG ACC TAC CT-3' and 5'-CCG ATG TTC TCA ACC GAC TT-3'; NDK1, 5'- CAC CGA GCA GAG CTA CAT CA-3' and 5'-GGA CAG GTC CTC GTA GTG CT-3'; NDK2, 5'-CTA CAA GGA TCT GGC CTC CA-3' and 5'-AGAGCCGTGGATCACGTTAC-3'; RRM1, 5'-AAC GAG TGC TTT GAG CCC TA-3' and 5'-GAT CTC CCA CAC CGT CTT GT-3'. TubA was used as an internal control for quantification. Quantification was

carried out using Bio-Rad CFX manager 3.1 program (Bio-Rad, CA, United States).

Vector Construction and Generation of *CrPRPS*-Overexpressing Transgenic Lines

The DNA sequence for *CrPRPS* was obtained from Phytozom¹ and specific primers of *CrPRPS* were designed for cloning. These contained the restriction enzyme sites *Hin*dIII and *Eco*RV. DNA fragments corresponding to *CrPRPS* cDNA were amplified using PCR. For generation of an overexpression construct, *CrPRPS* cDNA was inserted into the pCr102 vector using the restriction enzymes. For transformation of the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing construct into *C. reinhardtii*, cells in the mid-log phase $(3.0 \times 10^6$ cells mL⁻¹) that had been cultured in TAP media for 3 days were collected by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 5 min. Cells were resuspended in TAP medium containing 60 mM sucrose. Gene Pulser Cuvette (Bio-Rad, CA, United States) and plasmid DNA (1 µg) were prepared for electroporation. Cell samples

¹https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!info?alias=Org_Creinhardtii





(250 μ L) were mixed with plasmid DNA (1 μ g) in a cuvette and incubated for 5 min at 16°C. Electroporation was conducted at 750 volts, 25 uF, and 200 Ω resistance. After electroporation, the cells in the cuvette were incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Transformed cells were transferred to TAP media containing 60 mM sucrose to allow them to recover, then they were incubated for 24 h with shaking at 120 rpm under white light. Transgenic colonies were selected on TAP media containing 50 μ g mL⁻¹ of hygromycin.

Measurement of Cell Growth and Survival Rate

Cell growth rate was measured for the WT control and *CrPRPS*overexpressing transgenic lines cultured with or without 30 mM LiCl in the medium; LiCl served as an alkylating agent. Cell density was used to assess growth rate and was measured as the OD at 750 nm taken every 24 h after inoculation with a UV spectrophotometer (UV-1800; Shimadz, Kyoto, Japan). Cell survival was assessed for irradiated cells and was determined by colony number. Approximately 300 cells were spread onto a solid TAP medium plate and colonies were counted at day 10 after spreading.

Transcriptome Analysis

Two biological replicates of samples were prepared for transcriptome analysis. RNA isolation was performed as

described above. Transcriptome analysis was performed as described by Koo et al. (2017b). Briefly, mRNA-Seq paired-end libraries were constructed using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States), and the KAPA library quantification kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, United States) was utilized for quantification of the library according to the manufacturer's instruction. The cDNA libraries were sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq2000 (Illumina). For short-read mapping, reads were mapped to reference transcripts using the bowtie software (Langmead et al., 2009).

Statistical Analyses

One-way analyses (ANOVA) were carried out for statistical analyses of quantitative RT-PCR and plant growth measurement using R program (version 3.6.1). For transcriptome analysis, DEseq program was used.

RESULTS

Expression of *CrPRPS* in *C. reinhardtii* in Response to Stress

We analyzed expression of CrPRPS in WT C. reinhardtii with quantitative RT-PCR in response to several types of







from one of three independent transgenic lines (lines 3, 4, and 9) that overexpressed phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthase (*CrPRPS*), as measured by OD. Cells were exposed to: (**A**) No stress (media only) or (**B**) 30 mM LiCl in the media. (Data represent the mean of three replicates \pm SD; statistical analysis was carried out by one-way ANOVA; p < 0.05).

stress, specifically gamma irradiation, nitrogen depletion, and treatment with NaCl and LiCl. As determined by the transcript levels relative to untreated algal cells, *CrPRPS* was induced after exposure to either 80 or 200 Gy gamma radiation (**Figure 1A**). Transcript levels were increased approximately 2.6- and 1.4-fold over the control when cells were exposed to 200 and 80 Gy gamma radiation, respectively. Treatment of the cells with LiCl also increased the transcript level of *CrPRPS* (**Figure 1B**) compared to the control cells, by more than 2-fold after exposure to all concentrations of LiCl (10–30 mM). In contrast, when we treated the cells with NaCl, we observed more than a 4-fold decrease in the transcript level of *CrPRPS* at all concentrations tested (**Figure 1C**) compared to the untreated cells. The level of *CrPRPS* transcripts was also slightly less in nitrogen-depleted cells than in cells grown in normal nitrogen levels (Figure 1D).

Generation of *PRPS*-Overexpressing Transgenic Lines

We generated transgenic lines of *C. reinhardtii* that overexpressed *CrPRPS* compared to the WT strain cc125. **Figure 2A** shows the structure of the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing construct in which *CrPRPS* is controlled by the *psaD* promoter and terminator. We used genomic PCR to confirm the insertion of the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing construct into the genome of the transgenic lines (**Figure 2B**) and selected three transgenic lines that had the correct PCR products amplified by P1 and P2 primers. These were the independent transgenic lines designated line 3, 4, and 9; all had considerable overexpression of *CrPRPS*: approximately 45-, 24-, and 53-fold, compared to the WT, respectively (**Figure 2C**).

CrPRPS Overexpression in Transgenic Lines of C. *reinhardtii* Confers Resistance to LiCI and Gamma Irradiation

We measured the growth of WT cells and cells of the transgenic lines with and without various stresses. There were no significant differences in growth between WT and cells from the transgenic lines in the absence of stress (grown on standard conditions in TAP media) (**Figure 3A**). However, cells of the *CrPRPS*overexpressing lines grew faster than the WT cells when the media contained 30 mM LiCl (**Figure 3B**). In contrast, there was no significant difference in the growth of WT and transgenic cells when the media were depleted of nitrogen (data not shown).

Survival rates of cells from the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines were measured after 80 and 200 Gy gamma irradiation. More of the cells from the transgenic lines survived the 80 Gy radiation treatment than the WT cells (**Figure 4**): lines 3, 4, and 9 had survival rates of 68, 81, and 73%, respectively, compared with the WT survival rate of 56%. When the dosage of gamma radiation was greater, 200 Gy, there was no significant differences in cell survival rates between the transgenic lines and the control.

Overexpression of *CrPRPS*-Overexpression in *C. reinhardtii* Enhanced Expression of Genes Involved in DDR

We examined the molecular mechanism of *CrPRPS* on the cells' response to DNA damage. To this end, the levels of expression of the genes associated with DDR were assessed. In normal, unstressed conditions, the expression levels of *RAD1* were approximately 2.2–6.1-fold greater in the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines than in the control (**Figure 5A**) and were also greater than in the control following either dose of gamma radiation.

The expression of replication protein A 70 kDa DNAbinding subunit (*RPA70a*) was upregulated from approximately 2.7- to 7-fold in the *CrPRPS* overexpressing lines compared



to the WT under normal conditions without gamma irradiation (**Figure 5B**). Expression was greater in all three lines following gamma irradiation than in the WT. Similarly, we detected transcriptional induction of *RAD51a* in the *CrPRPS* overexpressing lines compared to the WT control, with and without gamma irradiation (**Figure 5C**). In addition, transcript levels of both *DNA ligase 1 (Lig1)* and *Ku70* were greater in the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines than in the WT under both gamma-irradiated and non-irradiated conditions with the exception of *Ku70* expression at 80 Gy (**Figures 5D,E**).

Transcriptome Analysis for Purine Metabolism in *CrPRPS*-Overexpressing Transgenic Lines

Expressional changes of genes involved in purine metabolism were determined in *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines by transcriptome analysis (**Figure 6**). Three homologous genes of *nucleotide-diphosphate kinase* (*NDK*), homologous genes of *adenylate kinase* (*AK*), and *ribonucleoside-diphosphate pyrophosphokinase subunit M1* (*RRM1*) were all transcriptionally upregulated in the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines compared to the WT control (**Figure 6**). In the transcriptome analysis, we also observed the induction of *CrPRPS* expression in cells of the transgenic lines (**Figure 6**). This confirms our earlier result (**Figure 2C**), in which we detected higher transcript levels for *CrPRPS* in the transgenic lines than in the control cells.

Based on the transcriptome study, we also observed fewer *guanylate cyclase soluble subunit beta* (*GUCY1B*) and *adenylate cyclase* (*AC*) transcripts in the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines

than in the WT (**Figure 6**). Annotated transcripts from the transgenic lines by transcriptome analysis are shown in **Supplementary Table 1**.

Confirmation of Gene Expression on Purine Metabolism in *CrPRPS*-Overexpressing Transgenic Lines

Differential expression of genes such as *AKs*, *NDKs*, and *RRM1* on purine metabolism were identified by the transcriptome analysis (**Figure 6**). Expression levels of these genes were determined in *CrPRPS*-overexpressing transgenic lines before and after gamma irradiation by quantitative RT-PCR. Under non-irradiation condition, transcript levels of *AK3* were induced over 2-fold in *CrPRPS*-overexpressing transgenic lines (**Figure 7A**). *AK4* and *RRM1* showed over 1.8-fold induction. In addition, upregulation of *NDK2* transcript was detected by CrPRPS overexpression (**Figure 7A**). *FHIT* was upregulated over 3.8-fold in both transgenic lines. After both 80 and 200 Gy gamma irradiation, expression levels of all genes were enhanced in comparison with the non-irradiated samples (**Figures 7B,C**).

DISCUSSION

Phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthase is an important enzyme in nucleotide synthesis in all living organisms. It has been implicated in many aspects of metabolism, including maintaining the integrity of DNA during replication and repair after damage. However, the specific function of PRPS on the DDR has not been identified. Here, we have chosen to study the role of this enzyme



in DDR in the single-celled green alga *C. reinhardtii*. To aid this study, we generated transgenic algal lines that overexpressed *CrPRPS* (Figure 2).

We first determined that the transcript level of *CrPRPS* was increased by a DNA damaging agent, gamma radiation, and by a purported DNA damaging agent, LiCl (Figure 1). Gamma

radiation causes DNA damage via direct and indirect action (Desouky et al., 2015). The molecular mechanism of LiCl action is not completely understood, but it is proposed to act as a DNA-damaging agent (Duffy et al., 2014; Stampone et al., 2020). From this result, we suggest that the DNA damage upregulates the transcription of *CrPRPS*.

Gene name	CrPRPS-4	<i>p</i> -val	<i>q</i> -val	CrPRPS-9	<i>p</i> -val	<i>q</i> -val	Gene name	CrPRPS-4	<i>p</i> -val	<i>q</i> -val	CrPRPS-9	<i>p</i> -val	<i>q</i> -val
5NT	-0.24	0.11	0.02	-0.34	0.22	0.12	IMPDH	-0.02	0.88	0.94	-0.12	0.42	0.68
5NT	0.00	0.97	1.00	-0.05	0.73	0.89	ITPA	0.48	0.00	0.01	0.50	0.00	0.01
5NT	-0.32	0.04	0.10	-0.24	0.13	0.34	NDK	-0.23	0.08	0.16	0.00	0.99	1.00
add	0.14	0.51	0.65	-0.10	0.68	0.86	NDK	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.01	0.08
add	-0.13	0.61	0.74	0.02	0.91	0.98	NDK	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.02	0.11
ADE2	0.08	0.56	0.70	0.16	0.29	0.55	NDK	-0.14	0.34	0.49	-0.43	0.00	0.03
AC	0.01	0.97	1.00	0.01	0.96	1.00	NDK	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.06	0.22
AC	-0.82	0.00	0.00	-0.25	0.00	0.01	NTPCR	0.06	0.71	0.82	0.01	0.94	1.00
AC	-0.34	0.01	0.03	-0.14	0.27	0.54	NTPCR	-0.08	0.64	0.77	-0.23	0.22	0.47
AC	-1.55	0.01	0.03	-0.74	0.27	0.54	nudF	-0.19	0.17	0.29	-0.14	0.29	0.55
AK	0.26	0.22	0.36	0.19	0.37	0.64	PDE1	-0.10	0.42	0.57	-0.02	0.90	0.98
Ak	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	PDE1	-0.47	0.00	0.00	-0.05	0.68	0.86
AK	1.28	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.10	0.29	PDE1	-0.23	0.11	0.21	-0.14	0.33	0.59
ADK	-0.33	0.01	0.04	-0.16	0.21	0.46	PDE9	-0.60	0.00	0.00	-0.33	0.02	0.10
allB	-0.15	0.43	0.58	-0.36	0.07	0.23	PFAS	-0.15	0.22	0.36	-0.11	0.36	0.62
AMPD	-0.41	0.00	0.01	-0.12	0.33	0.59	pgm	-0.34	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.82	0.94
ANPRA	-0.43	0.00	0.00	-0.25	0.04	0.18	pgm	0.34	0.02	0.07	0.25	0.10	0.28
ANPRA	0.03	0.85	0.92	0.01	0.98	1.00	PK	-0.71	0.00	0.00	-0.34	0.01	0.05
APRT	0.35	0.03	0.07	0.12	0.47	0.72	РК	-0.31	0.01	0.05	-0.16	0.23	0.48
APY	0.23	0.14	0.25	-0.25	0.13	0.33	ppnN	0.12	0.38	0.53	0.06	0.68	0.86
APY	0.24	0.12	0.23	-0.25	0.13	0.34	CrPRPS	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.00	0.00
cpdP	0.30	0.01	0.04	0.21	0.08	0.25	purA	-0.11	0.44	0.59	-0.40	0.01	0.04
cpdP	-0.27	0.03	0.08	-0.18	0.16	0.38	, purB	-0.19	0.22	0.35	-0.07	0.65	0.84
cpdP	-0.20	0.10	0.20	-0.15	0.21	0.46	, purC	0.17	0.24	0.38	-0.03	0.88	0.97
, cpdP	0.09	0.52	0.66	0.18	0.16	0.38	, purD	0.30	0.11	0.21	0.12	0.54	0.77
, cpdP	-0.28	0.04	0.09	-0.03	0.80	0.93	, purF	0.24	0.08	0.17	0.16	0.27	0.54
cpdP	-0.69	0.03	0.09	-0.53	0.80	0.93	purH	-0.27	0.05	0.11	-0.24	0.09	0.26
cysC	0.40	0.01	0.04	0.08	0.62	0.82	purM	-0.07	0.61	0.74	-0.18	0.16	0.39
FHIT	1.16	0.15	0.26	0.54	0.58	0.80	RRM1	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.00
gmk	-0.67	0.00	0.00	-0.70	0.00	0.00	RRM2	-0.44	0.00	0.01	-0.48	0.00	0.01
gmk	0.18	0.25	0.39	0.10	0.52	0.76	RRM2	0.13	0.43	0.57	-0.08	0.61	0.82
guaA	0.42	0.00	0.01	0.19	0.19	0.43	sat	0.07	0.54	0.68	0.12	0.31	0.58
- guaD	-0.67	0.00	0.00	-0.76	0.00	0.00	sat	-0.02	0.90	0.95	-0.01	0.93	0.99
GC	-0.41	0.01	0.03	-1.81	0.00	0.00	surE	-0.93	0.01	0.04	0.09	0.83	0.94
GC	-0.48	0.01	0.04	-0.85	0.00	0.00	TTHL	0.30	0.17	0.29	0.20	0.39	0.65
GUCY1B	-0.33	0.03	0.08	-0.30	0.05	0.21	uaZ	-0.32	0.02	0.05	-0.15	0.27	0.53
GUCY1B	-0.47	0.03	0.08	-0.37	0.05	0.21	XDH	0.19	0.12	0.23	0.66	0.00	0.00
GUCY1B	-1.19	0.00	0.00	-0.55	0.10	0.28	yjjX	-0.24	0.12	0.22	-0.23	0.13	0.34
GUCY1B	-1.38	0.00	0.00	-0.73	0.04	0.18	yjjX	-0.20	0.37	0.52	-0.22	0.32	0.59
HPRT1	0.53	0.00	0.01	0.51	0.00	0.02	viiX	-0.17	0.45	0.60	-0.37	0.10	0.29

FIGURE 6 Comparative transcriptome analysis for genes associated with purine metabolism in *C. reinhardtii* cells, either wild type (strain cc125) or from transgenic lines that overexpressed phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthase (*CrPRPS*). Values indicate changes (log₂). Statistical analysis was performed using DEseq program. Gene information was listed in **Supplementary Table 2**. 5*NT*; 5'-nucleotidase, add; adenosine deaminase, *ADE2*; phosphoribosylaminoimidazole carboxylase, *AC*; adenylate cyclase, *AK*; adenylate kinase, *ADK*; adenosine kinase, allB; allantoinase, *AMPD*; *AMP* deaminase, *ANPRA*; atrial natriuretic peptide receptor *A*, *APRT*; adenine phosphoribosyltransferase, *APY*; apyrase, cpdP; 3',5'-cyclic-nucleotide phosphodiesterase, cysC; adenylylsulfate kinase, *FHIT*; bis(5'-adenosyl)-triphosphatase, gmk; guanylate kinase, guaA; GMP synthase, guaD; guanine deaminase, GC; guanylate cyclase, GUCY1B; guanylate cyclase soluble subunit beta, hprT; hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase, *IMPDH*; *IMP* dehydrogenase, *ITPA*; inosine triphosphate pyrophosphatase, ndk; nucleoside-triphosphatase, *NTPCR*; nucleoside-triphosphatase, *PDE1*; calcium-dependent 3',5'-cyclic nucleotide phosphoribosylaminoimidazole cafMP-specific 3',5'-cyclic phosphodiesterase 9, *PFAS*; phosphoribosylformylglycinamidine synthase, purC; phosphoribosylaminoimidazole-succinocarboxamide synthase, purA; adenylosuccinate synthase, purB; adenylosuccinate lyase, purC; phosphoribosylaminoimidazole-succinocarboxamide formyltransferase, purA; biosphoribosylfaminoimidazole-succinocarboxamide synthase, purA; sufate adenylyltransferase, surE; 5'-nucleotidase, TTHL; 5-hydroxyisourate hydrolase, uaZ; urate oxidase, XDH; xanthine dehydrogenase/oxidase, yjjX; inosine/xanthosine triphosphatase.

Repair of DNA damage induced by radiation or genotoxic agents is affected by purine and pyrimidine precursors in human cells (Cleaver, 1973). Purine metabolism also regulates DNA

repair in glioblastoma (Peifer et al., 2012). Given this background, we hypothesized that the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing cells would be more resistant to DNA damage by radiation than WT cells.



(Data represent the mean of three replicates \pm SD; statistical analysis was carried out by one-way ANOVA; **ho < 0.01).



We tested this by exposing the cells to gamma radiation and measuring the cell survival rate. The irradiated transgenic cells did, indeed, have enhanced survival to irradiation than the control cells (**Figure 4**). We suggest that *CrPRPS* overexpression in *C. reinhardtii* enhances purine metabolism and consequently enhances resistance to gamma radiation.

We examined the expression patterns of several DNA repair genes. The RAD9/RAD1/HUS1 complex is a DNA damage sensor that, together with the kinase ATR, responds to stalled replication forks (Griffith et al., 2002). **Figure 5A** shows that *CrPRPS*overexpressing cells had greater *RAD1* expression than a WT control whether or not they received gamma irradiation. We also assessed the transcript levels of *RPA70A*, *RAD51*a, and *Ku70*. Like the expression of *RAD1*, these genes were all significantly induced in the *CrPRPS*-overexpressing transgenic lines before and after gamma irradiation (**Figures 5B,C,E**). They have at least partially described roles in DNA repair, as briefly described below. RPA, the single-strand binding protein, is involved in DNA repair and replication (Aklilu et al., 2014). In *Arabidopsis*, *RPA70* deletion increased sensitivity to replication stress from hydroxyurea, and its expression was induced by DNA damage (Takashi et al., 2009). Koo et al. (2017a) studied DNA damage repair genes in C. reinhardtii. When the algal cells were exposed to gamma irradiation, they observed that two paralogs of RPA70s were transcriptionally induced and that RAD51a and Ku70 expression were enhanced. RAD51 is important in homologous recombination repair and the Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer binds to DNA double strand break ends for non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) repair. More generally, it has been noted that nucleotide metabolism and cell cycle progression are correlated. Purines are involved in the maintenance of DNA fidelity during replication (Ben-Sahra et al., 2013). Jing et al. (2019) reported cyclin-dependent kinase 1-dependent activation of PRPS1and loss of PRPS1 enzymatic activity arrested cell cycle progression and reduced cell proliferation. Based on this background and our results, it may be that CrPRPS overexpression modulates replication stress and DDR in C. reinhardtii.

Phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthase is a key enzyme in purine metabolism, including its biosynthesis. Purines have diverse cellular functions, including energy metabolism and signaling pathways (Cordell et al., 2008; Guimarães and Londesborough, 2008). From our transcriptome and quantitative RT-PCR data, we conclude that expression of *AKs* and *RRM1* were induced in *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines (**Figures 6**, 7). AK is an essential enzyme for nucleotide synthesis in purine metabolism and required for reversible interconversion of ATP and AMP to two ADP (Tükenmez et al., 2016). During cell division, AK also supplies energy and metabolic signaling (Dzeja et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). Ribonucleotide reductase is a heterotetramer, composed of two large RRM1 subunits and two small RRM2 subunits. Ribonucleotide reductase is an essential enzyme for catalyzing *de novo* synthesis of deoxyribonucleosides prior to DNA synthesis (Parker et al., 1995). Sagawa et al. (2017) reported that RRM1 knockdown led to induction of genes involved in both DDR and the p53 pathway. Furthermore, cell-cycle-dependent phosphorylation of RRM1 enhanced ribonucleotide reductase activity (Shu et al., 2020).

In the present study, we found transcriptional upregulations of NDKs in CrPRPS-overexpressing C. reinhardtii lines via transcriptome analysis and quantitative RT-PCR (Figures 6, 7). NDKs are well-conserved enzymes in all living organisms and catalyze the exchange of phosphate between di- and tri-phosphate nucleosides (Agarwal et al., 1978). A major role of NDKs is to maintain an adequate supply of triphosphates for DNA and RNA synthesis (Hama et al., 1991). NDKs are multifunctional proteins: human NDKs (NME-H1 and NME-H2) have DNA binding activities and may be transcription factors (Puts et al., 2018), and there are reports that that NME-H1 is related to repair of DNA damage induced by gamma radiation, UV, or bleomycin (Yoon et al., 2005; Jarrett et al., 2012; Radić et al., 2020). In addition, many studies have reported that NDKs interact with, and cleave, DNA, so they may be associated with DNA processing (Kumar et al., 2005; Hammargren et al., 2007; Miranda et al., 2008).

Interestingly, downregulations of *AC* transcripts were observed in *CrPRPS*-overexpressing lines (**Figure 6**). AC catalyzes the conversion of ATP to cyclic AMP (Zhang et al., 1997). cAMP signaling inhibited repair of gamma radiationinduced DNA damage via enhancing degradation of XRCC1 in lung cancer cells (Cho and Juhnn, 2012). Furthermore, induction of cAMP level activated cAMP-dependent protein kinase, which resulted in inhibition of DNA damage repair in fission yeast (Lee et al., 2001). Therefore, reduction of *AC* transcript indicates activation of DNA repair in *CrPRPS*-overexpressing *C*. *reinhardtii* lines.

If we consider our results from this study in the light of this evidence, we conclude that the transcriptional inductions of components in purine metabolism resulting from *CrPRPS* overexpression activates purine metabolism in *C. reinhardtii*, and that these downstream components of CrPRPS in purine metabolism are correlated with DDR and cell cycle regulation.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal, R. P., Robison, B., and Parks, R. E. (1978). Nucleoside diphosphokinase from human erythrocytes. *Methods Enzymol.* 51, 376–386. doi: 10.1016/s0076-6879(78)51051-3
- Aklilu, B. B., Soderquist, R. S., and Culligan, K. M. (2014). Genetic analysis of the replication protein a large subunit family in *Arabidopsis* reveals unique and

We suggest that CrPRPS plays a key role in DDR during cell cycle progression.

CONCLUSION

Based on our results, we propose a model for activation of purine metabolism by *CrPRPS* overexpression (**Figure 8**). According to our model, *CrPRPS* overexpression results in inductions of *RRM*, *NDK*, and *AK* expression, which enhances *de novo* nucleotide synthesis. This study supports the idea that *CrPRPS* may be a key regulator for DDR via regulation of purine metabolism in *C. reinhardtii*. This is the first report of the role of CrPRPS in DDR in the green alga *C. reinhardtii*.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) BioProject database under accession number PRJNA738545 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/bioproject/PRJNA738545).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J-WA wrote the manuscript, analyzed transcriptome data, and arranged all data. SJ generated transgenic lines. KMK and SJ carried out RT-PCR analysis and helped to design experiments. KMK performed transcriptome analysis. IB helped to analyze transcriptome data. J-BK and S-JK interpreted data. All authors contributed revision of the manuscript, read, and approved the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the research program of Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Republic of Korea. This funding body did not play any role in the design of this study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 719846/full#supplementary-material

overlapping roles in DNA repair, meiosis and DNA replication. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 3104–3118. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1292

- Annex, I. (2000). Epidemiological Evaluation of Radiation-Induced Cancer. New York, NY: United Nations.
- Ben-Sahra, I., Howell, J. J., Asara, J. M., and Manning, B. D. (2013). Stimulation of de novo pyrimidine synthesis by growth signaling through mTOR and S6K1. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 339, 1323–1328. doi: 10.1126/science.1228792

- Cadet, J., Delatour, T., Douki, T., Gasparutto, D., Pouget, J.-P., Ravanat, J.-L., et al. (1999). Hydroxyl radicals and DNA base damage. *Mutat. Res.* 424, 9–21. doi: 10.1016/S0027-5107(99)00004-4
- Cho, E.-A., and Juhnn, Y.-S. (2012). The cAMP signaling system inhibits the repair of γ-ray-induced DNA damage by promoting Epac1-mediated proteasomal degradation of XRCC1 protein in human lung cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 422, 256–262. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.04.139
- Cleaver, J. E. (1973). DNA repair with purines and pyrimidines in radiationand carcinogen-damaged normal and xeroderma pigmentosum human cells. *Cancer Res.* 33:362.
- Cordell, R. L., Hill, S. J., Ortori, C. A., and Barrett, D. A. (2008). Quantitative profiling of nucleotides and related phosphate-containing metabolites in cultured mammalian cells by liquid chromatography tandem electrospray mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 871, 115–124. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2008.07.005
- Desouky, O., Ding, N., and Zhou, G. (2015). Targeted and non-targeted effects of ionizing radiation. J. Radiat. Res. Appl. Sci. 8, 247–254. doi: 10.1016/j.jrras.2015. 03.003
- Duffy, D. J., Krstic, A., Schwarzl, T., Higgins, D. G., and Kolch, W. (2014). GSK3 inhibitors regulate &It;em>mycn&It;/em> mrna levels and reduce neuroblastoma cell viability through multiple mechanisms, including p53 and wnt signaling. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 13, 454–67. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-0560-T
- Dzeja, P. P., Chung, S., Faustino, R. S., Behfar, A., and Terzic, A. (2011). Developmental enhancement of adenylate kinase-AMPK metabolic signaling axis supports stem cell cardiac differentiation. *PLoS One.* 6:e19300. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0019300
- Griffith, J. D., Lindsey-Boltz, L. A., and Sancar, A. (2002). Structures of the human Rad17-replication factor c and checkpoint Rad 9-1-1 complexes visualized by glycerol spray/low voltage microscopy. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 15233–15236. doi: 10.1074/jbc.C200129200
- Guimarães, P. M. R., and Londesborough, J. (2008). The adenylate energy charge and specific fermentation rate of brewer's yeasts fermenting high-and very high-gravity worts. *Yeast* 25, 47–58. doi: 10.1002/yea.1556
- Hama, H., Almaula, N., Lerner, C. G., Inouye, S., and Inouye, M. (1991). Nucleoside diphosphate kinase from *Escherichia coli*; its overproduction and sequence comparison with eukaryotic enzymes. *Gene* 105, 31–36. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(91)90510-I
- Hammargren, J., Salinas, T., Maréchal-Drouard, L., and Knorpp, C. (2007). The pea mitochondrial nucleoside diphosphate kinase cleaves DNA and RNA. *FEBS Lett.* 581, 3507–3511. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2007.06.062
- Hove-Jensen, B. (1988). Mutation in the phosphoribosylpyrophosphate synthetase gene (*prs*) that results in simultaneous requirements for purine and pyrimidine nucleosides, nicotinamide nucleotide, histidine, and tryptophan in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol*. 170, 1148–1152. doi: 10.1128/jb.170.3.1148-1152.1988
- Hove-Jensen, B., Andersen, K. R., Kilstrup, M., Martinussen, J., Switzer, R. L., and Willemoës, M. (2016). Phosphoribosyl Diphosphate (*PRPP*): biosynthesis, enzymology, utilization, and metabolic significance. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol.Rev.* 81, e40–e16. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00040-16
- Jarrett, S. G., Novak, M., Dabernat, S., Daniel, J.-Y., Mellon, I., Zhang, Q., et al. (2012). Metastasis suppressor NM23-H1 promotes repair of UV-induced DNA damage and suppresses UV-induced melanomagenesis. *Cancer Res.* 72, 133– 143. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1795
- Jing, X., Wang, X.-j, Zhang, T., Zhu, W., Fang, Y., Wu, H., et al. (2019). Cell-cycledependent phosphorylation of PRPS1 fuels nucleotide synthesis and promotes tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res.* 79, 4650–4664. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.can-18-2486
- Kadziola, A., Jepsen, C. H., Johansson, E., McGuire, J., Larsen, S., and Hove-Jensen, B. (2005). Novel Class III phosphoribosyl diphosphate synthase: structure and properties of the tetrameric, phosphate-activated, non-allosterically inhibited enzyme from *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii*. J. Mol. Biol. 354, 815–828. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2005.10.001
- Koo, K. M., Jung, S., Kim, J.-B., Kim, S. H., Kwon, S. J., Jeong, W.-J., et al. (2017a). Effect of ionizing radiation on the DNA damage response in *Chlamydomonas* reinhardtii. Genes Genomics 39, 63–75. doi: 10.1007/s13258-016-0472-9
- Koo, K. M., Jung, S., Lee, B. S., Kim, J.-B., Jo, Y. D., Choi, H.-I., et al. (2017b). The mechanism of starch over-accumulation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*

high-starch mutants identified by comparative transcriptome analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:858. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00858

- Koslowsky, S., Riegler, H., Bergmüller, E., and Zrenner, R. (2008). Higher biomass accumulation by increasing phosphoribosylpyrophosphate synthetase activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 6, 281–294. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2007.00314.x
- Krath, B. N., and Hove-Jensen, B. (1999). Organellar and cytosolic localization of four phosphoribosyl diphosphate synthase isozymes in spinach. *Plant Physiol.* 119, 497–506. doi: 10.1104/pp.119.2.497
- Krath, B. N., and Hove-Jensen, B. (2001). Class II recombinant phosphoribosyl diphosphate synthase from spinach: phosphate independence and diphosphoryl donor specificity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 17851–17856. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M010172200
- Kumar, P., Verma, A., Saini, A. K., Chopra, P., Chakraborti, P. K., Singh, Y., et al. (2005). Nucleoside diphosphate kinase from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* cleaves single strand DNA within the human c-myc promoter in an enzymecatalyzed reaction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, 2707–2714. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gki568
- Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S. L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* 10:R25. doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25
- Lee, C. H., Sidik, K., and Chin, K. V. (2001). Role of cAMP-dependent protein kinase in the regulation of DNA repair. *Cancer Lett* 169, 51–58. doi: 10.1016/ s0304-3835(01)00558-4
- Li, J., Ye, J., Zhu, S., and Cui, H. (2019). Down-regulation of phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthetase 1 inhibits neuroblastoma cell proliferation. *Cells* 8:955. doi: 10.3390/cells8090955
- Miranda, M. R., Canepa, G. E., Bouvier, L. A., and Pereira, C. A. (2008). *Trypanosoma cruzi* nucleoside diphosphate kinase 1 (*TcNDPK1*) has a broad nuclease activity. *Parasitology* 135, 1661–1666. doi: 10.1017/ S0031182008005106
- Moffatt, B. A., and Ashihara, H. (2002). Purine and pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis and metabolism. *Arabidopsis Book* 1:e0018. doi: 10.1199/tab.0018
- Parker, N. J., Begley, C. G., and Fox, R. M. (1995). Human gene for the large subunit of ribonucleotide reductase (*RRM1*): functional analysis of the promoter. *Genomics* 27, 280–285. doi: 10.1006/geno.1995.1043
- Peifer, S., Barduhn, T., Zimmet, S., Volmer, D. A., Heinzle, E., and Schneider, K. (2012). Metabolic engineering of the purine biosynthetic pathway in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* results in increased intracellular pool sizes of IMP and hypoxanthine. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 11:138. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-11-138
- Puts, G. S., Leonard, M. K., Pamidimukkala, N. V., Snyder, D. E., and Kaetzel, D. M. (2018). Nuclear functions of NME proteins. *Lab. Invest.* 98, 211–218. doi: 10.1038/labinvest.2017.109
- Radić, M., Šoštar, M., Weber, I., Ćetković, H., Slade, N., and Herak Bosnar, M. (2020). The subcellular localization and oligomerization preferences of NME1/NME2 upon radiation-induced DNA Damage. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:2363. doi: 10.3390/ijms21072363
- Sagawa, M., Ohguchi, H., Harada, T., Samur, M. K., Tai, Y.-T., Munshi, N. C., et al. (2017). Ribonucleotide reductase catalytic subunit m1 (RRM1) as a novel therapeutic target in multiple myeloma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 23:5225. doi: 10.1158/ 1078-0432.CCR-17-0263
- Schröder, M., Giermann, N., and Zrenner, R. (2005). Functional analysis of the pyrimidine *de Novo* synthesis pathway in *Solanaceous* species. *Plant Physiol.* 138:1926. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.063693
- Shu, Z., Li, Z., Huang, H., Chen, Y., Fan, J., Yu, L., et al. (2020). Cell-cycledependent phosphorylation of RRM1 ensures efficient DNA replication and regulates cancer vulnerability to ATR inhibition. *Oncogene* 39, 5721–5733. doi: 10.1038/s41388-020-01403-y
- Stampone, E., Bencivenga, D., Barone, C., Aulitto, A., Verace, F., Della Ragione, F., et al. (2020). High dosage lithium treatment induces DNA damage and p57(*Kip2*) decrease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:1169. doi: 10.3390/ijms21031169
- Takashi, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Tanaka, K., and Tamura, K. (2009). Arabidopsis replication protein a 70a is required for DNA damage response and telomere length homeostasis. Plant Cell Physiol. 50, 1965–1976. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcp140
- Tükenmez, H., Magnussen, H. M., Kovermann, M., Byström, A., and Wolf-Watz, M. (2016). Linkage between Fitness of *Yeast* cells and adenylate kinase catalysis. *PLoS One* 11:e0163115. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163115

- Wang, D., Chen, Y., Fang, H., Zheng, L., Li, Y., Yang, F., et al. (2018). Increase of PRPP enhances chemosensitivity of PRPS1 mutant acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells to 5-Fluorouracil. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 22, 6202–6212. doi: 10.1111/ jcmm.13907
- Yoon, J.-H., Singh, P., Lee, D.-H., Qiu, J., Cai, S., O'Connor, T. R., et al. (2005). Characterization of the 3' -> 5' exonuclease activity found in human nucleoside diphosphate kinase 1 (*NDK1*) and several of its homologues. *Biochemistry* 44, 15774–15786. doi: 10.1021/bi0515974
- Zhang, G., Liu, Y., Ruoho, A. E., and Hurley, J. H. (1997). Structure of the adenylyl cyclase catalytic core. *Nature* 386, 247–253. doi: 10.1038/386 247a0
- Zhang, S., Nemutlu, E., Terzic, A., and Dzeja, P. (2014). "Adenylate kinase isoform network: a major hub in cell energetics and metabolic signaling," in Systems Biology of Metabolic and Signaling Networks: Energy, Mass and Information Transfer, eds M. A. Aon, V. Saks, and U. Schlattner (Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg), 145–162.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Jung, Koo, Ryu, Baek, Kwon, Kim and Ahn. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.