



Identification and Molecular Analysis of Putative Self-Incompatibility Ribonuclease Alleles in an Extreme Polyploid Species, *Prunus laurocerasus* L.

Júlia Halász1*, Anna Borbála Molnár1, Gulce Ilhan2, Sezai Ercisli2 and Attila Hegedűs1

¹ Group of Horticultural Plant Genetics, Department of Plant Biotechnology, Institute of Genetics and Biotechnology, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Budapest, Hungary, ² Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Carl J. Rothfels, University of California, Berkeley, United States

Reviewed by:

Daniel Potter, University of California, Davis, United States Boris Igic, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States Donia Abdallah, Tunis El Manar University, Tunisia

> *Correspondence: Júlia Halász genetics.prunus@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Systematics and Evolution, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 27 May 2021 Accepted: 30 August 2021 Published: 23 September 2021

Citation:

Halász J, Molnár AB, Ilhan G, Ercisli S and Hegedűs A (2021) Identification and Molecular Analysis of Putative Self-Incompatibility Ribonuclease Alleles in an Extreme Polyploid Species, Prunus laurocerasus L. Front. Plant Sci. 12:715414. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.715414 Cherry laurel (Prunus laurocerasus L.) is an extreme polyploid (2n = 22x) species of the Rosaceae family where gametophytic self-incompatibility (GSI) prevents inbreeding. This study was carried out to identify the S-ribonuclease alleles (S-RNases) of P. laurocerasus using PCR amplification of the first and second intron region of the S-RNase gene, cloning and sequencing. A total of 23 putative S-RNase alleles (S1-S20, S5m, S13m, and S_{18m}) were sequenced from the second (C2) to the fifth conserved region (C5), and they shared significant homology to other Prunus S-RNases. The length of the sequenced amplicons ranged from 505 to 1,544 bp, and similar sizes prevented the proper discrimination of some alleles based on PCR analysis. We have found three putatively non-functional alleles (S_{5m}, S_{18m}, and S₉) coding for truncated proteins. Although firm conclusions cannot be drawn, our data seem to support that heteroallelic pollen cannot induce self-compatibility in this polyploid Prunus species. The identities in the deduced amino acid sequences between the P. laurocerasus and other Prunus S-RNases ranged between 44 and 100%, without a discontinuity gap separating the identity percentages of trans-specific and more distantly related alleles. The phylogenetic position, the identities in nucleotide sequences of the second intron and in deduced amino acid sequences found one or more trans-specific alleles for all but S_{10} , S_{14} , S_{18} , and S_{20} cherry laurel RNases. The analysis of mutational frequencies in trans-specific allele pairs indicated the region RC4-C5 accepts the most amino acid replacements and hence it may contribute to allele-specificity. Our results form the basis of future studies to confirm the existence and function of the GSI system in this extreme polyploid species and the alleles identified will be also useful for phylogenetic studies of Prunus S-RNases as the number of S-RNase sequences was limited in the Racemose group of Prunus (where *P. laurocerasus* belongs to).

Keywords: cherry laurel, self-incompatibility, Prunus laurocerasus, S-allele, S-genotyping, S-ribonuclease, transspecific evolution

Abbreviations: AA, amino acid; GSI, gametophytic self-incompatibility; SC, self-compatibility; SI, self-incompatibility; S-RNase, S-ribonuclease.

INTRODUCTION

Gametophytic self-incompatibility (GSI) is a genetic mechanism that enables the plant to differentiate among pollen grains to be accepted or rejected for fertilization. Fertilization may only occur when the pollen S-allele is different from any of the S-alleles carried by the recipient pistil (McCubbin and Kao, 2000). The mechanism involves the interaction between pollen- and pistil-expressed proteins, both of which are encoded by a single polyallelic locus, the so-called S-locus. The protein accumulating in the stylar tissue is a ribonuclease enzyme called S-RNase (McClure et al., 1989), the pollen S-specificity is determined by an F-box containing protein (Tao and Iezzoni, 2010). The S-RNase is responsible for the rejection of the self-pollen by degrading the pollen RNA and consequently hindering the growth of the pollen tube (McClure et al., 1990). Mating selection in the Prunus genus (Rosaceae family) is known to operate by the GSI mechanism, and thus many economically significant fruitbearing species exhibit self-incompatibility (SI) (Ashkani and Rees, 2016; Sassa, 2016).

Prunus has five subgenera including Amygdalus, Cerasus, Prunus, Padus, and Laurocerasus (Rehder, 1940). Chin et al. (2013, 2014) discerned three clades within Prunus genus that were named after their typical inflorescence structures. The "Solitary" clade included the peaches, almonds, apricots, and plums; the "Corymbose" group comprised cherry species; and the "Racemose" clade is composed of the deciduous Padus (e.g., P. serotina Ehrh. and P. virginiana L.) and the evergreen Laurocerasus (e.g., cherry laurel, P. laurocerasus L.) subgenera. Cherry laurel, a member of the ultimate group, is a docosaploid species possessing exceptionally high chromosome number (2n = 22x = 176) that exceeds those of other Prunus species (Meurman, 1929).

Polyploidy may affect the function of the GSI mechanism in various ways (Makovics-Zsohár and Halász, 2016). In the tetraploid Solanaceae and Malinae accessions, the pollen grains carrying two different S-alleles can grow in styles expressing the same S-alleles (Luu et al., 2001; Adachi et al., 2009; Qi et al., 2011), a phenomenon called competitive interaction. It is explained by the non-self-recognition model where the pollen S-proteins (SLF or SFBB) from each haplotype detoxify all but self S-RNases (Fujii et al., 2016). Hence, the presence of two different haplotypes in the heteroallelic pollen will have the capacity to detoxify all S-RNases. A similar phenomenon was first detected in a tetraploid Prunus species, P. pseudocerasus Lindl. cultivar (Huang et al., 2008), and later assumed in two additional cultivars of the species (Gu et al., 2013). However, the GSI mechanism in another tetraploid Prunus species, the sour cherry (P. cerasus L.) has been reported to operate in a different way, according to the "one-allele-match" model, in which the cause of self-compatibility (SC) could be traced back to the accumulation of non-functional S-haplotypes, i.e., mutated S-haplotypes that do not function properly (Hauck et al., 2006; Tao and Iezzoni, 2010). In a tetraploid accession, two such nonfunctional S-haplotypes must be present in the pollen to achieve self-fertilization. However, when the pollen grain contains at least one fully functional S-haplotype that has a match in the pistil, SI prevails. The analysis of the S-locus of hexaploid *P. domestica* L. has been initiated recently (Abdallah et al., 2019; Fernandez i Marti et al., 2021).

The pollination biology of cherry laurel was studied by Sulusoglu and Cavusoglu (2014b). Three genotypes had final fruit set ratios ranging from 0.0 to 5.7%, suggesting *P. laurocerasus* to be self-incompatible. Turkish experts and farmers also supported the SI phenotype of many cultivated varieties based on the observations that monovarietal orchards did not set fruit (Sulusoglu and Cavusoglu, 2014a; and personal communication). However, further analyses are required to test the (in)compatibility phenotype of more cultivars.

Prunus species are predominantly self-incompatible (Tao and Iezzoni, 2010; Hegedűs et al., 2012) and polyploidy in itself does not induce SC in such species (Hauck et al., 2006; Nunes et al., 2006). If the genetic background of the GSI system in P. laurocerasus is similar to Malinae species, a great number of S-haplotypes may result in mainly SC accessions. In contrast, if it works similar to P. cerasus, at least a total of 11 non-functional S-alleles should be accumulated in the genome to generate SC pollen, and accessions are likely to be SI. Although mutations may occur relatively frequently, since polyploidy suppresses purifying selection pressure as loss-of-function mutations in polyploid plants do not break the SI barrier immediately (Tsukamoto et al., 2006). But the genomic composition and the interaction or segregation of chromosomes are currently unknown in this species; and hence, it is hard to predict how the S-locus will work in P. laurocerasus. Apomixis may provide an opportunity to bypass the barrier of SI and form seed. Nonetheless, those seeds are formed without fertilization and hence with very different genetic consequences. The observation that most apomictic plants are polyploids suggests that polyploidy may trigger apomixis (Hojsgaard and Hörandl, 2019). However, from 200 Prunus species, 34% were polyploid without evidence available for a single apomictic species in this genus (Vamosi and Dickinson, 2006). Apomixis does not appear either in polyploid cherries (Dickinson, 2018) or plums like tetraploid blackthorn (Yeboah Gyan and Woodell, 1987; Guitián et al., 1993) and hexaploid European plums (Dickinson, 2018).

Cherry laurel is an ornamental and fruit-bearing shrub indigenous to the countries surrounding the Black Sea in the Balkan Peninsula, Western Asia and the Anatolian Peninsula (Ercisli, 2004; Sulusoglu, 2011). Multifarious varieties can be found mainly in northern Turkey in the so-called Black Sea Region where cherry laurel is widespread both in the natural vegetation and in cultivated areas and local gardens. Its fruit is a drupe that is commonly consumed as foodstuff in Turkey (Sulusoglu, 2011), and contains a huge amount of several bioactive compounds (Celik et al., 2011). The fruit has a significant role in the local folk remedy practices and recent studies have confirmed its several curative effects (Orhan and Akkol, 2011), suggesting the economic value of this species and its popularity in cultivation will steadily increase in future. Thus, studies are required to identify high-yielding varieties with the best commercial potential to be used in future breeding programs (Akbulut et al., 2007; Sulusoglu, 2011).

Cherry laurel as a docosaploid species in the Racemose group of *Prunus* genus, can be also used to clarify the GSI function in polyploid *Prunus* species. However, it requires careful analysis to initiate such studies as the high ploidy level may interfere with *S*-allele identification and *S*-genotype assignment. This study was carried out to identify and characterize the first putative *S*-*RNase* alleles in *P. laurocerasus* and evaluate the application and efficiency of *S*-genotyping assays. The molecular analysis of the alleles was aimed to screen for mutations putatively rendering the proteins non-functional, this information might be important both for breeding perspectives and in order to understand the function of *S*-locus in polyploid *Prunus*. The information obtained in this study is also used to increase our knowledge on the evolutionary relationships of *S*-*RNase* alleles within *Prunus*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

The leaf samples were collected from 50 phenotypically different cherry laurel (*Prunus laurocerasus* L.) accessions at the Black Sea region, Turkey; transported to the lab, and stored at -80° C.

DNA Extraction and PCR Analysis for Initial Screening

Total genomic DNA was extracted from the leaf samples using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the enclosed protocols. Part of the *S-RNase* gene – between the conserved regions C2 and C5, including the 2nd intron – was amplified by conducting PCR using the consensus primers PaConsII-F and PaConsII-R designed by Sonneveld et al. (2003). For the analysis of *S-RNase* gene first intron region, the PaConsI-F and PaConsI-R2 primers were used following the PCR temperature profile published by Sonneveld et al. (2006).

Approximately 40-60 ng of genomic DNA was used for PCR amplification in a 12.5 µL reaction volume, containing $10 \times \text{DreamTag}^{\text{TM}}$ Green buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) with final concentrations of 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 0.4 µM of the adequate primers, and 0.625 U of DreamTaqTM DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The PCR amplification was carried out in a 2720-type thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) in accordance with the protocol described for the primers (Sonneveld et al., 2003). The PCR products of 2nd intron regions were separated by electrophoresis on 1% TBE agarose gel after ethidium bromide (EtBr) staining at 80 V for 45 min and then the DNA bands were visualized by UV illumination. The approximate fragment sizes were determined by comparison to the GeneRulerTM 1 kb DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The evaluation of 1st intron region was based on the fluorescently labelled (FAM) forward primer and the amplicons were run on an automated capillary sequencer, ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). ABI Peak Scanner 1.0 software and GS500 LIZ (Applied Biosystems) internal size standard were used for data analysis.

Cloning and DNA Sequencing

The PCR products amplified by the PaConsII primer pair were chosen for cloning in case of ten cherry laurel genotypes, each having a unique pattern of differently sized bands. The most important aspects of the selection of samples used for sequencing were to identify as many alleles as possible and to check that fragments of the same size in different samples represent the same allele or not. Direct cloning of PCR products was carried out using pTZ57R/T vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The ligated plasmid vectors were transformed into JM109 Escherichia coli competent cells (Zymo Research Corp., Irvine, CA, United States). After the successfully transformed cells were visually selected by blue-white screening technique, the nucleotide sequences were determined for each fragment in both directions by using M13 sequencing primers. The differently sized plasmid DNA fragments were purified using the EZ-10 Spin Column Plasmid DNA kit (Bio Basic Inc., Markham, Canada) and then sequenced in an automated sequencer ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

DNA Sequence Analysis

DNA and deduced amino acid (AA) sequences were compared using the NCBI BLASTN 2.11.0 software (Altschul et al., 1990) and the ClustalW program (Thompson et al., 1994) using the PAM (Percent Accepted Point Mutation) similarity matrix. The non-conservative amino acid replacements were defined as having negative scores in the matrix (Patthy, 2008). The aligned sequences were manually edited and presented using the BioEdit program v.7.2.5 (Hall, 1999). A phylogenetic tree was created with MEGA program v.7.0.26 (Kumar et al., 2016) using the "Minimum Evolution" method (Rzhetsky and Nei, 1992). The percentages of replicate trees in which the associated S-RNase allele sequences clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) were given next to the branches (Felsenstein, 1985). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the JTT matrix-based method (Jones et al., 1992) and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. The rate variation among sites was modeled with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 0.8). The ME tree was searched using the Close-Neighbor-Interchange (CNI) algorithm (Nei and Kumar, 2000) at a search level of 1. The Neighborjoining algorithm (Saitou and Nei, 1987) was used to generate the initial tree. The analysis involved 78 amino acid sequences. All positions with less than 95% site coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 5% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. There was a total of 121 positions in the final dataset. Sequences of all the other Prunus species were obtained from the NCBI GenBank database.1

¹http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

RESULTS

S-Genotyping With Consensus Primers and Intron Polymorphism of the *Prunus laurocerasus* S-RNase

Since the second intron of the *Prunus S-RNase* is characterized by a considerable length polymorphism, the cherry laurel *S-RNase* alleles were first amplified using the consensus primers PaConsII-F and PaConsII-R designed by Sonneveld et al. (2003) for sweet cherry. The amplicons were separated on agarose gels. The PaConsII primers amplified the *S-RNase* gene in a total of 50 cherry laurel samples. The length of the amplicons ranged from approx. 500 to 3,000 bp and a maximum of seven bands were detected in a sample (**Figure 1**). It was remarkably lower than expected since owing to the high ploidy level of cherry laurel, a larger number of *S*-alleles was supposed to be amplified in each genotype. However, the thick and dense bands in several samples suggested the presence of more fragments with slightly different sizes.

The first intron of the *Prunus S-RNase* gene is smaller, and its length variations are also restricted compared to those of the second intron, but precise sizing on an automated DNA sequencer may provide information for *S*-genotyping. A subset of samples (1–23) was used to check if more alleles can be identified based on the first intron lengths. In this assay, 2–9 fragments/accession were detected, except sample 10 that did not amplify a single band. A total of 151 fragments were observed and assigned to 32 size categories, although some of those showed only 1-bp difference while other peaks had low intensity or showed traces of microsatellites, not allowing proper and reliable allele identification (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Allele Identification Based on DNA Sequencing

Since *S*-PCR assays detected fewer alleles than expected from the ploidy level of cherry laurel (i.e., up to 22 bands per sample), we had to clarify whether only a limited number of alleles were carried by *P. laurocerasus* accessions or several alleles had matching intron lengths, resulting in a complex band in the agarose gel that contains fragments of different putative *S*-*RNase* alleles. Hence, DNA sequencing was carried out and amplicons of 10 *P. laurocerasus* accessions were cloned into vector and sent for DNA sequencing. Two putative *S*-*RNase* sequences were also determined in samples 44 and 50, although their corresponding bands were not observed in gel patterns.

In the ten samples, a total of 23 distinct nucleotide sequences were determined (one to five fragments per genotype). Using the newly obtained sequences as query, we performed a homology analysis with the BLASTN search tool in the NCBI GenBank database. The results provided statistical support (*E*-values ranged between 0.0 and $7e^{-152}$) for the homology with previously published *Prunus S-RNases*, confirming the novel sequences obtained from cherry laurel were indeed fragments of *S-RNases*. Each of the new *S*-alleles was assigned a number, starting from S_1 to S_{20} , ascending in the order of their increasing size, with three being a putative mutant version of others (S_{5m} , S_{13m} , and S_{18m}). All DNA sequences were submitted to the NCBI GenBank database under the accession numbers MG593769-MG593775, MG595258-MG595259, MG601104-MG601115, and MG922592-MG922593 (**Table 1**).

DNA sequencing confirmed the presence of complex bands. In sample 5, the band under 750 bp contained S_5 and S_{5m} ; in sample 29, the band over 500 bp contained S_1 and S_2 ; and



TABLE 1 | The results of the BLASTN analysis of *Prunus laurocerasus* putative *S-RNase* alleles sequenced in this work, their corresponding NCBI nucleotide sequence database accession number, percentage of query coverage and identity, the *E*-value of alignment score and the closest homologs (species name, allele label, and accession number).

Allele	NCBI GenBank accession number	Coverage (%)	Identity (%)	E-value	The closest homolog (species and accession number)
S ₁	MG593769	98	97.8	0.0	Prunus speciosa S ₂₂ -RNase, AB289876
S ₂	MG593770	98	97.1	0.0	Prunus speciosa S ₃₄ -RNase, AB289887
		99	96.9	0.0	Prunus cerasus S ₃₅ , EU054327
		94	97.1	0.0	Prunus avium S ₂₇ , AY259112
S ₃	MG593771	99	99.7	0.0	Prunus avium S ₆ , EU077236
		100	99.1	0.0	Prunus avium S ₂₄ , AY259112
		100	98.1	0.0	Prunus virginiana S ₄ , JF907560
S ₄	MG593772	99	97.5	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₆₅ , JQ327151
		99	97.3	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₄₈ , HQ342878
		100	97.0	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₂₄ , HQ615602
		98	97.0	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₃₈ , GU586228
		95	97.9	0.0	Prunus tenella S1, DQ983373
		100	95.8	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₄ , AY587564
		91	98.0	0.0	Prunus mira S1, AB597200
		88	94.8	0.0	Prunus virginiana S ₂ , JF907558
S_5	MG593773	98	93.8	0.0	Prunus virginiana S $_5$, JQ627793
		98	87.1	0.0	Prunus domestica S _f , MW407938
S _{5m}	MG922592	98	93.5	0.0	Prunus virginiana S ₅ , JQ627793
S ₆	MG593774	99	94.0	0.0	Prunus spinosa S ₃₋₁ , DQ677584
		100	93.2	0.0	Prunus laurocerasus S ₈ , MG595258
		90	94.7	0.0	Prunus virginiana S ₆ , JF907562
		83	96.7	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₁₇ , EU516388
		47	97.6	8e-158	Prunus mume S ₁₁ , EU020118
		57	97.0	2e-154	Prunus mume S ₁₅ , EU020122
S7	MG593775	98	93.1	0.0	Prunus dulcis S ₅₅ , FN599511
		99	93.0	0.0	Prunus dulcis S ₄₀ , HQ622703
		99	92.6	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₆₆ , JQ327152
		98	92.0	0.0	Prunus webbii S _k , AM690360
S_8	MG595258	99	98.7	0.0	Prunus spinosa S _{3–1} , DQ677584
		100	93.2	0.0	Prunus laurocerasus S ₆ , MG593774
		89	93.8	0.0	Prunus virginiana S ₆ , JF907562
		83	98.0	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₁₇ , EU516388
S_9	MG595259	94	96.8	0.0	Prunus avium S ₁₃ , DQ385842
		56	98.5	8e-163	Prunus mume S ₁₁ , EU020118
		56	97.9	2e-159	Prunus mume S ₁₅ , EU020122
		57	99.4	2e-173	Prunus tenella S ₁₇ , KU167069
S ₁₀	MG601104	87	93.2	0.0	Prunus dulcis S ₅₄ , AY613341
		87	93.7	0.0	Prunus dulcis S ₆₃ , AY613919
		87	93.7	0.0	Prunus dulcis S ₅₆ , AY613343
		85	93.4	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₄₉ , HQ342879
		86	92.9	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₅₀ , HQ342880
		88	96.8	0.0	Prunus virginiana S7, JQ627795
S ₁₁	MG601105	99	98.4	0.0	Prunus speciosa S ₃ , AB289860
		99	97.5	0.0	Prunus virginiana S ₆ , JF907562
		96	97.0	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₄₀ , GU354239
S ₁₂	MG601106	99	94.1	0.0	Prunus speciosa S ₄₄ , AB289895
		99	93.1	0.0	Prunus simonii S1, EU376959
		95	93.1	0.0	Prunus salicina S _h , AB084148
S ₁₃	MG601107	93	99.7	0.0	Prunus avium S ₉ , AJ635270
S _{13m}	MG601108	93	93.2	0.0	Prunus avium S ₉ , AJ635270
S ₁₄	MG601109	92	96.3	2e-175	Prunus dulcis S ₉ , MH316092
S ₁₅	MG601110	100	93.8	0.0	Prunus spinosa S7, EU833958
S ₁₆	MG601111	70	93.4	2e-163	Prunus japonica S ₁ , EF635417
S ₁₇	MG601112	44	94.0	0.0	Prunus serotina S ₃ , MN098835
S ₁₈	MG601113	75	84.9	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S _C , DQ422947
S _{18m}	MG922593	65	85.0	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S _C , DQ422947
S ₁₉	MG601114	77	92.5	0.0	Prunus tenella S ₉ , DQ983370
		62	92.3	0.0	Prunus armeniaca S ₂₅ , EU037264
		76	94.1	0.0	Prunus dulcis S ₅₄ , FN599510
		29	98.0	5e-168	Prunus dulcis S _n , DQ093825
S ₂₀	MG601115	36	88.9	7e-152	Prunus armeniaca S ₁ , AY587561

the band at 1,500 bp in the same sample contained both S_{19} and S_{20} . In addition, the discrimination of the *S*-*RNase* alleles, S_3/S_4 ; $S_6/S_7/S_8/S_9/S_{10}/S_{11}$; S_{12}/S_{13} ; S_{14}/S_{15} ; S_{17}/S_{18} ; and S_{18m}/S_{20} is a challenging task as their bands were positioned into nearly identical regions of the agarose gel. DNA sequencing indicated that size variation among those practically indistinguishable fragments ranged between 0 (S_{17} and S_{18}) and 57 bp (S_6 and S_{11}) (**Figure 2**). For these reasons, we only assigned allele labels to the sequenced and reliably identified fragments shown in **Figure 1**.

Molecular Analysis of *Prunus laurocerasus S-RNase* Alleles

The binding sites of the primers defined the length of amplified region that covered most of the *Prunus S-RNase* gene, including the coding region from C2 to C5 conserved motifs and the 2nd intron. The lengths of the sequenced fragments ranged between 505 and 1,544 bp, the size polymorphism was mainly due to the differences in the 2nd intron length (81–1,116 bp). The shortest deduced AA sequence of the *S-RNase* alleles was composed of 32 AA residues that is due to a premature stop codon. All other sequences are predicted to contain 134–155 AA residues, the difference is mainly attributed to the indel region upstream of C5, although some single-nucleotide gaps were also observed within the RHV region and just downstream of RC4. The alignment of the deduced *S*-RNase AA sequences of the S_1-S_{20} , S_{5m} , S_{13m} , and S_{18m} alleles is shown in **Figure 3**.

Pairwise comparisons revealed that the nucleotide sequences of exons had an average similarity of 80.0% ranging from 64.0%

 $(S_{2}-$ and S_{5} -alleles) to 97.0% $(S_{6}-$ and S_{8} -alleles); whereas the deduced AA sequences of the S-RNases had an average identity of 69.1%, (**Supplementary Table 2**). The S_{2} - S_{5} and S_{6} - S_{8} allele pairs showed the lowest (52.9%) and highest (93.3%) sequence identities in their AA sequences, respectively.

Characterization of the Mutated Cherry Laurel S-RNases

Sequence variations were found in case of three newly identified *P. laurocerasus S-RNase* alleles (S_5 , S_{13} , and S_{18}), and we labeled them as S_{5m} , S_{13m} , and S_{18m} . The similarities between the wild-type and mutated alleles were 99.3, 99.2, and 97.8% for the allele pairs S_5/S_{5m} , S_{13}/S_{13m} , and S_{18}/S_{18m} , respectively. The nucleotide sequences of such *S*-allele pairs were rather similar with just a limited number of alterations due to putative mutations, mainly involving SNPs and indels (**Supplementary Figure 1**). The deduced AA sequences of the S_{13} and S_{13m} alleles were identical (**Figure 3**). Most of the differences, such as a 35-bp deletion, four microindels, and 10 SNPs, occurred within the 2nd intron region (**Supplementary Figure 1B**). In the coding region of the *S-RNase* gene, there were only three synonymous substitutions, which suggests the functional identity of the wild-type and mutated alleles.

Besides sequence alterations in the 2nd intron, the coding region of the S_{5m} and S_{18m} -RNases also carried mutations, some of which could be of serious consequence to the structure and function of the encoded proteins. In case of the S_{5m} -RNase, a single cytosine was deleted in the 3rd exon of the gene that



FIGURE 2 | Structure of the partial *Prunus laurocerasus* putative *S-RNase* alleles. Boxes and lines represent exons and introns, respectively (not to scale). The DNA sequence was determined between the C2 and C5 conserved regions, and the lengths of exon 2 and 3 (numbers in black) as well as the second intron (numbers in blue) are shown.

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80
S1	WPSNYSNHAWVANCI	PIRFN-NSI	PRLETKLKIS	WPNVESGNYTD	FWEREWNKH	GTCSEOTLD	OYFERSHD	IWNAYN 79
S2	WPSNYSKNAWVANCN	GTRFS-NSI	FALKSKLKRS	WPDVESGNDTD	FWGREWNKH	GTCSEQTLD	EIYFQRSHI	IWKAFN 79
S 3	WPSNYSNPFMPSNCI	GFQFK-RII!	PQLRSKLQTS	WPDVESGNDTK	FWESEWNKH	GTCSKETLNG	MQYFERSYA	MWMSYN 79
S4	WPSNYSNPTRPSNCV	GSHFNESKIS	PQLISKLRIS	WPDVESGNDTQ	FWEGEWNKH	G <mark>KCSQE</mark> KLNÇ	MQYFERSHE	MWMSYN 80
S 5	WPSNYSNPIMPSNON	GSKFEIRKV	PQLRSELEIS	WPDV <mark>V</mark> SGSD <mark>IN</mark>	FWEGEWNKH	GRCSEQTLNG	MQYFERSHE	MW <mark>N</mark> SHN 80
s5m	WPSNYSNPTMPSNON	GSKFEIRKV	PQLRSELEIS	WPDVVSGSDIN	FWEGEWNKH	GRCSEQTLNG	MQYFERSHE	MWNSHN 80
S6	WPSNYSNPKMPSNCI	GSQFNESRV	PELREKLEIS	WPDVESGNDTK	FWEGEWNKH	GTCSERILNÇ	QMQYFQRS <mark>Q</mark> A	MWKSHN 80
S7	WPSNYSNPRKPSNON	GSRFNFTKV	PQLRNKLKIS	WPDVECGNDTK	FWEGEWNKH	GTCSEERLNÇ	MQYFERSHN	MWMSYD 80
S8	WPSNYSNPKMPSNC	GSQFNESRV	PYLCPKLKIS	WPDVESGNDTK	FWEGEWNKH	GTCSERILNO	MQYFQQSQA	MWRSHN 80
S9	WPSNYSNPRKPSNO	GSQFDDRKV	THERSOLKES	WPDVEGGNDTK	FWEGEWNKH	GRESEQTING	FORFERSHE	MWNSYN 80
SIU	WPSNYSNPRMPSNO	IGSQENESNI		WPDVESGNDIN	FWEREWNKH	GRUSEQTLNG	MQYEKKSHE	IWSSHN 80
S11 C12	WPSNISNPIMPSNON	GIQFK-RIL	VDDI DEVI VICI	WPDVESGDDTK	FWEGEWNKH	GRESEQTING	MOVEEDAVE	MWIAPN 79
S12 C12	WPSNISNPIIPSNON	CROFNDSKU	VDKI DCKI VDCI	NDDVESCNDTR	EWEGEWINKH	CHCSEQIDING	OVERDOUE	
61.2m	WPSNISNPIMPSNCI	CSOFNDSKV.	A DEL DELL'ENTER	NDDVESCNDTR	FWEGEWINKH	CKCSERTING	VEED CUE	
S13m	WDSNVSNDTKDSNC	CARFLARKI	DKLONKLKIS	NDUESCNDTR	FWEGEWNKH	GTCSEFELNC	MOVEERSHE	MWYSHN 80
\$15	WPSNYSNPTMPSNON	GTRERMONT	PYLRSKMKTA	WPDVESGNDTR	FWEREWNKH	GTCSERIUN	MOYFERSTAL	MWKSHN 80
S16	WPSNYSNPKMPSNON	GSRENETKV	PRIRSKLATS	WPDVESGNDTK	FWEGEWSKH	GTCSERTIN	MOYFORSHE	MWNEHN 80
S17	WPSNYSNPTRPSNCT	GSOFDGRKT	PEMRSKLKTS	WPDVESGNDTK	FWESEWNKH	GTCSVERLNO	MOYFERSHE	MWISHN 80
S18	WPSNYSNPEMPSNOM	GSOFNETKV	POLREKLKRS	NPNVESGDDTK	FWEGEWNKH	GTCSEOTLN	MOYFERSHAL	MWYSHN 80
S18m	WPSIIOTOGCPVIAW	GRNLTLRKC	LNCGSN*				~	32
S19	WPSNYSNPRKPSNON	GLOFLARKVS	PRLRSKLKIS	WPNVESDNDTK	FWEHEWNKH	GTCSOETLN	OFFERSHE	MWMSYN 80
s20	WPSNYSNPTTPSNCI	GSPFNESNIS	PKLRSKLKIS	WPDVESGNDTK	FWEGEWNKH	GTCSEETLD	SOYFARSCA	EWNIRN 80
								- —_L
	C2 90	100	110	120	130	140	150	
					1 1			
S1	ITNILKRAKILFTGO	K-WDYSDIVS	SPIKTATRKME	ALRCKEDPTLP	 KNHN	· · · · · · · · <u> </u>	OLLHEVVIV	M 141
S1 S2	ITNILKRAKILFTGG ITNILONAKILFTGS	K-WDYSDIVS K-WDYADIAS	SPIK <mark>TAIRKM</mark> E SPIKTAT TKM E	ALRCKPDPTLP ALRCKPDPTQP	 KNHN KNPNN	 ISH LTMSH	QLLHEVVIV QLLHEVVIC	M 141 Y 144
S1 S2 S3	ITNILKRAKILFTGC ITNILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT	K-WDYSDIVS K-WDYADIAS QTWKYSDIV	SPIKTAIRKME SPIKTATTKME APIKAATKRTP:	ALRCKPDPTLP ALRCKPDPTQP LLRCKQD	KNHN KNPNN	ISH LTMSH KNT	IQLLHEVVLV IQLLHEVVLC VLLHEVVLC	 M 141 Y 144 Y 134
S1 S2 S3 S4	ITNILKRAKILFTGG ITNILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITDILKNASIVFHPT	K-WDYSDIVS K-WDYADIA QTWKYSDIV QTWTYSDIVS	SPIKTA <mark>I</mark> RKMF SPIKTATTKMF SPIKAATKRTP SAIK <mark>SK</mark> TQRTP	ALRCKPDPTLP ALRCKPDPTQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDPAPN	KNHN KNPNN K	ISH LTMSH KNT NAPN	QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC	M 141 Y 144 Y 134 Y 142
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5	ITN ILKEAK ILFTGG ITN ILQNAK ILFTGS ITEILKNASIVHPT ITD ILKNASIVHPT ITEILKNASIVHPT	K-WDYSDIVS SK-WDYADIA QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV	SPIKTAIRKME SPIKTATTKME APIKAATKRTP AIK <mark>SK</mark> TORTP APIKAATKRTP	ALRCKEDETLP ALRCKEDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDFAPN LLRCKRDFAPN LLRCKTIFAQP	KNHN KNPNN K	ISH LTMSH KNT NAPN SAQTKSGPKH	QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC	141 Y 144 Y 134 Y 142 F 149
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m	ITN ILKEAK ILFTGG ITN ILQNAK ILFTGS ITEILKNASIVHPT ITD ILKNASIVHPT ITEILKNASIVHPT ITEILKNASIVHPT	K WDYSDIVS SK WDYADIAS CTWKYSDIV CTWKYSDIVS CTWKYSDIV CTWKYSDIV	SPIKTAIRKYP SPIKTATTKMP APIKAATKRTP AIKSKTORTP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP	ALRCKEDETLP ALRCKEDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDFAPN LLRCKTIFAQP LLRCKTIQLSL	KNHN KNPNN K KRH RDIQHRLRA	ISH LTMSH KNT SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMKW	QLLHEVVLV QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC VYFVM	141 Y 144 Y 134 Y 142 F 149 149
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6	ITN ILKEAK ILETGG ITN ILQNAK ILETGS ITEILKNASIVEHPT ITD ILKNASIVEHPT ITEILKNASIVEHPT ITEILKNASIVEHPT ISEILKNASIVERPT	K W YSDIV SK W YADIA QTWKYSDIV QTWYSDIV QTWYSDIV QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV RTWYYSDIV	SPIKTATRKMP SPIKTATTKMP APIKAATKRTP AIKSKTORTP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDFAPN LLRCKTLFAQP LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT-	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLRA(ISH LTMSH KNT NAPN SAQTKSGPKH GRSLSCYMKW	QLLHEVVLC	141 Y 144 Y 134 Y 142 F 149 I 149 F 135
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7	ITN ILKEAK ILETGG ITN ILQNAK ILETGS ITEILKNASIVEHPT ITD ILKNASIVEHPT ITEILKNASIVEHPT ITEILKNASIVEHPT ISEILKNASIVERPT ITEILKNASIVEHPT	K W YSDIV K W YADI QTW YSDIV QTW YSDIV QTW YSDIV QTW YSDIV QTW YSDIV RTW YSDIV QTW YDIV QTW YDIV	SPIKTATRKMP SPIKTATTKMP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP	ALRCKPDETLP ALRCKPDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDEAPN LLRCKTIEAQP LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKS	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLRA(ISI LTMSI NAPN SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMKW 	QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC	141 Y 144 Y 134 Y 142 F 149 I 149 F 135 F 135
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8	ITN ILKEAKILETGG ITN ILQNAKILETGS ITEILKNASIVEHPT ITD ILKNASIVEHPT ITEILKNASIVEHPT ITEILKNASIVEHPT ISEILKNASIVEHPT ISEILKNASIVEHPT	K WDYSDIV K WDYADI QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV RTWYSDIV QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV QTWKYSDIV	SPIKTATRKME SPIKTATTKME APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP	ALRCKPDETLP ALRCKPDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDEAPN LLRCKTIEAQP LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKS LLRCKYDKS	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLRA(ISH KNT NAPN SAQTKSGPKH GRSLSCYMKW	QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC	141 Y 144 Y 134 Y 142 F 149 I 149 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9	ITNILKFAKILFTGG ITNILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITDILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV K WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV RTWT YSDIV QTWK YBDIV QTWK YBDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWF YSDIV QTWF YSDIV	SPIKTATRKMP SPIKTATTKMP APIKAATKRTP AIKSKTORTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP	ALRCKPDETLP ALRCKPDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDEAPN LLRCKTIEAQP LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKS LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT-	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T	ISH KNT- NAPN SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMKW	QLLHEVVIQ QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVHC	<pre>141 144 144 134 142 142 149 149 149 149 135 135 135 135 135 137 140</pre>
\$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$5 \$5 \$5 \$5 \$6 \$7 \$8 \$9 \$10	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ITEVLKNASIVFNTK ITN ILENAÇIVFNAT	K WD YSDIV K WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV RTWT YSDIV QTWK YBDIV QTWK YBDIV QTWF YSDIV QTWF YSDIV QTWF YSDIV QTWF YSDIV QTWF YSDIV	SPIKTATIRKME SPIKTATIKME APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKGATGRTP SPIKGATGRTP	ALRCKPDETLP ALRCKPDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDEAPN LLRCKTIEAQP LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKRDEATN LLRCKSVETQT	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T	ISH KNT- SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMKK 	QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVEC QLLHEVVEC	<pre>141 144 144 134 142 142 149 149 149 149 135 135 135 135 135 137 137 137</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5 S5 S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ITEVLKNASIVFNTK ITN ILENAÇIVFNAT ITKILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV K WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV CRWK YSDIV CRWK YSDIV QTWN YSDIV	SPIKTATRKMP SPIKTATTKMP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKCATGRTP SPIKAATNTTP APIKTATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDEAPN LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDATN LLRCKSVETQT	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T	ISH KNT SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMK FAQPKSLPK KTQI	QLLHEVVIQ QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC GLLHEVVLC	<pre>141 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ITEVLKNASIVFNTK ITN ILENAÇIVFNAT ITKILKNASIVFPT	K WD YSDIV K WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV CRWK YSDIV QTWN YSDIV QTWN YSDIV QTWN YSDIV	SPIKTATRKMP SPIKTATTKMP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKGATGRTP SPIKAATNTTP APIKTATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDEAPN LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDATN LLRCKSVETQT LLRCKYD LLRCKYD LLRCRNEAYN	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T	ISH KNT SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMK FAQPKSLPK KKTQI 	QLLHEVVIQ QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC ILLHEVVIC JLLHEVVIC	<pre>141 144 144 134 142 149 149 149 149 149 135 135 135 135 135 137 137 149 136 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S12	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ITEVLKNASIVFNTK ITN ILENAÇIVFNAT ITKILKNASIVFAT ITN ILKNASIVFAT	K WD YSDIV X WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWN YSDIV QTWN YSDIV QTWY YSDIV QTWF YSDIV QTWS YADIV	SPIKTATIRKYP SPIKTATIRKYP APIKAATKRTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKGATGRTP SPIKAATNTTP APIKTATKRTP NIKAYTORTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDEAPN LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKSVETQT LLRCKYD LLRCRNEAYN LLRCRQD	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T KSQ KSG	ISH LTMSH NAPN SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMK FAQPKSLPK KKTQI NN	QLLHEVVIQ QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC GLLHEVVIC GLLHEVVIC GLLHEVVIC	<pre>141 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S13m	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ITEVLKNASIVFHPT ITKILKNASIVFHPT ITKILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV X WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWF YSDIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YADIV	SPIKTATIRKYP SPIKTATIRKYP PIKAATKRTP PIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKCATGRTP SPIKAATNTTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKRDEAPN LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKYD LLRCKYD LLRCRQD LLRCRQD	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T KSQ KSG	ISH KNT- SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMK FAQPKSLPK KTQI KTQI KN	QLLHEVVIQ QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC GLLHEVVIC ILLHEVVIC GLLHEVVIC FELLHEVVIC	<pre>141 Y 144 Y 144 Y 134 Y 142 F 149 I 149 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 136 F 142 F 135 F 135 F 135</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S13m S14 S15	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV K WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YSDIV QTWS YSDIV	SPIKTATIKNE SPIKTATIKNE PIKAATKRTP PIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKAATNTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKQD LLRCKTDEAPN LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTQKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKYD LLRCRQD LLRCRQD LLRCKYDKT-	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA KSQ KSG KSG	ISH KNT- SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMK FAQPKSLPK KTQI KN KN	QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC ELLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC	<pre>141 141 144 144 144 142 149 149 149 149 135 135 135 135 137 137 149 149 135 135 135 135 135 135 135 135</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13m S14 S15 S15	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITK ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV K WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YSDIV QTWS YSDIV QTWS YSDIV QTWS YSDIV	SPIKTATIKNE SPIKTATIKNE PIKTATKRTP PIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDEAPN LLRCKTLEAQP LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKYDKT- LLRCKGC	KNHN	ISH KNT- SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMK KKTQI KKTQI KKTQI KN KN	QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC	<pre>141 141 144 144 144 142 149 149 149 149 135 135 135 135 137 137 137 137 136 F 136 F 136 F 135 F 135 F 135 Y 135 F 135 Y 135 F 135 Y 135 F 135 Y 135 F 135</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S14 S15 S16 S17	ITNILKRAKILFTGG ITNILQNAKILFTGS ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITDILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ISEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT ITEILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV K WD YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWN YSDIV QTWS YSDIV	SPIKTATRKYP SPIKTATIKNP PIKAATKRTP PIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDEAPN LLRCKTLGAQP LLRCKTLGLSL LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDATN LLRCKYDATN LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA KSQ KSG KSG N N	ISH KNT- SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMK GRSLSCYMK FAQPKSLPK KTQI KTQI KTQI KN KN KN KN KN	QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLHEVVIC	<pre>141 141 144 144 142 149 149 149 149 149 135 135 135 135 137 137 137 137 136 F 142 F 136 F 142 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 135 F 141 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 141 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 141 F 141 F</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S14 S15 S16 S17 S18	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV K WD YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWK YSDIV 'QTWS YSDIV 'QTWS YSDIV 'QTWS YSDIV 'QTWF YSDIV 'QTWF YSDIV 'QTWF YSDIV 'QTWF YSDIV 'QTWF YSDIV 'QTWF YSDIV	SPIKTATIKNE SPIKTATIKNE SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDEAPN LLRCKTLGAQP LLRCKTLGLSL LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKTDFATN LLRCKTDFATN LLRCKTDFATN LLRCKTDFATN LLRCKTDFAQP LLRCKFGFKQ	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA KSQ KSQ KSG KSG KSG KSG KSG	ISH KNT- SAQTKSGPKI GRSLSCYMK GRSLSCYMK FAQPKSLPK FAQPKSLPK KTQI KTQI KN KSQPKSÇAMI SGP-	QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC	<pre>141 141 144 144 144 142 149 149 149 149 149 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 136 F 142 F 135 F 135 F 135 Y 135 F 141 F 138 F 141 F 148 F 148 F</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S14 S16 S17 S18 S18m	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV W WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YSDIV QTWS YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV	SPIKTATIRKE SPIKTATIKE AIKSKTØRTP AIKSKTØRTP AIKSKTØRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP SPIKATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDEAPN LLRCKTLEAQP LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDATN LLRCKYDATN LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD	KNHN	ISH KNT- SAQTKSGPKH GRSLSCYMKW 	QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC	<pre>141 141 144 144 144 144 144 144 149 149</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S14 S15 S16 S17 S18 S18m S19	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITK ILKNASIVFHPT ITK ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV W WD YADI QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YADIV QTWS YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV QTWK YSDIV	SPIKTATIRKE SPIKTATIKE AIKSKTØRTP AIKSKTØRTP AIKSKTØRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDEAPN LLRCKTLGAQP LLRCKTLGLSL LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDATN LLRCKYDATN LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD LLRCKQD	KNHN	ISH 	QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC	<pre>141 Y 144 Y 144 Y 142 F 149 I 149 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 137 F 149 F 136 F 142 F 135 F 135 F 135 Y 135 Y 135 Y 135 Y 135 Y 135 Y 135 F 141 F 141 F 141 F 142 F 141 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 149 F 135 F 1</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S14 S14 S15 S16 S17 S18 S18 m S19 S20	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITTE ILKNASIVFHPT	K WD YSDIV WW YSDIV QTW YSDIV	SPIKTATIRKE SPIKTATIKE AIKSKTØRTP AIKSKTØRTP AIKSKTØRTP APIKAATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDEAPN LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTQLSL LLRCKTDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKT- LLRCKYDTQT LLRCKYDTQT LLRCKGD LLRCKGD LLRCKGD LLRCKFDETC LLRCKFDETKP FLRCRRDESQP	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T	ISH ITMSH 	QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC QLLHEVVIC	<pre>141 141 144 144 144 149 149 149</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S13m S14 S15 S16 S17 S18 S18m S19 S20	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFFT ITT ILKNASIVFST ITN ILKNASIVFST	K W YSDIV W YSDIV YT W YSDIV YT W YSDIV YT W YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YSDIV YTW YSDIV YTW YSDIV YSDIV YTW YSDIV YSDIV YTW YSDIV YSDIV YTW YSDIV YSDIV YTW YSDIV YSDIV YTW YSDIV YS	SPIKTATIRKE SPIKTATIKE AIKSKTQRTP AIKSKTQRTP AIKSKTQRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATGRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDEAPN LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKT- LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKYDFATN LLRCKFDEAQN LLRCKFDEAQN LLRCKFDETKP LLRCKFDEAQN LLRCKFDESQP LLRCKFDESQP	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T KSQ KSQ KSG KSG KSG KSG KSG K K K K K K K K K K	ISH ITMSH NAPN SAQTKSGPKH GRSLSCYMKW 	QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC	<pre>141 Y 144 Y 144 Y 142 F 149 I 149 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 135 F 149 F 149 F 136 F 142 F 135 F 135 Y 135 Y 135 Y 135 Y 135 Y 135 Y 138 Y 155 F 141 F 138 Y 155 F 141 F 138 Y 142 Y 142</pre>
S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S5m S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S13m S14 S15 S16 S17 S18 S18m S19 S20	ITN ILKRAKILFTGG ITN ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITD ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ISE ILKNASIVFHPT ITE ILKNASIVFHPT ITT ILKNASIVFSPT ITN ILKNASIVFSPT ITH ILKNASIVFSPT	K W YSDIV W W YADI QTW YSDIV QTW YSDIV YS	SPIKTATIRME SPIKTATIME AIKSKTØRTP AIKSKTØRTP AIKSKTØRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKTATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP SPIKAATKRTP	ALRCKFDETLP ALRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDETQP LLRCKFDEAPN LLRCKTLQLSL LLRCKTQLSL LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKKT- LLRCKYDKT- LLRCKYDTQT LLRCKYDTQT LLRCKYDTQT LLRCKYDTQT LLRCKFDETQF LLRCKFDETKP LLRCKFDETKP LLRCKFDETKP LLRCKFDETKP LLRCKFDETKP	KNHN KNPNN KRH RDIQHRLFA T	ISH ITMSH NAPN SAQTKSGPKH GRSLSCYMKW 	QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC QLLHEVVLC	<pre>141 141 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144</pre>

FIGURE 3 Alignment of deduced partial amino acid sequences of the newly identified *Prunus laurocerasus* putative S-RNase alleles, S_1 – S_{20} , and the three mutant alleles (S_{5m} , S_{13m} , and S_{18m}). The conserved (C2, C3, RC4, and C5) and the hypervariable region (RHV), characteristic of rosaceous S-RNases are boxed according to Ushijima et al. (1998). The position of the 2nd intron is indicated by an arrow. Partially conserved and highly polymorphic residues are shown against gray and black background colors, respectively.

results in a frame-shift mutation just upstream of the C5 region (**Supplementary Figure 1A**) and hence the protein is likely to lack this conserved region (**Figure 3**). Another frame-shift mutation was detected in the S_{18m} -RNase nucleotide sequence

due to the loss of an adenine nucleotide (**Supplementary Figure 1C**). This mutation resulted in an abnormal amino acid sequence downstream of C2 that leads to a premature stop codon. Hence, this allele, if transcribed, encodes a putatively truncated protein lacking a major part of the RNase enzyme including the RHV, C3, RC4, and C5 regions (**Figure 3**). Other sequence alterations occurred frequently in both the intron and exon regions of this allele. The *P. laurocerasus* S_9 -*RNase* allele carries an A-to-G change at the penultimate nucleotide position of the 3' splice site of the second intron, that may affect intron splicing efficiency.

Phylogenetic Analysis and Evidence for Trans-Specific Evolution

The newly identified cherry laurel S-RNase sequences were clarified to be homologous to other Prunus S-RNases (Table 1). Since identities between the pairs of deduced AA sequences were always lower than those of nucleotide sequences (Supplementary Table 2), we performed a BLASTP search in the NCBI protein database using the deduced AA sequence of cherry laurel S-ribonuclease as a query. The E-values confirmed homology to Prunus S-RNases, and the identity ratios ranged between 81 and 100% (Supplementary Table 3). Some P. laurocerasus S-RNase proteins (S₂, S₃, S₄, S₅, S₇, S₈, S₉, S₁₁, S₁₂, S₁₃, S₁₇, and S₁₉) showed identities over 96% to one or more database sequences, others $(S_1,$ S_6 , S_{10} , and S_{15}) showed identities slightly lower than 96%, while the remaining alleles (S_{14} , S_{16} , S_{18} , and S_{20}) were characterized by smaller identities to database sequences, ranging from 80 to 94%. Though the second intron of the same S-RNase alleles showed more pronounced divergence, some of them were also highly similar (their identities ranged from 17.9 to 99.8%).

Considerable identities were found between some of the newly identified cherry laurel (Plau) S-alleles and their closest homologs. The amino acid sequences of S₃ and S₁₃ were identical to sweet cherry (Pav) S₆ and S₉-RNases, respectively, with the only difference being a single nucleotide substitution in the second intron region in both cases. However, the sequence of Pav-S₉ available in the database was considerably shorter than Plau-S13 determined by us. Besides Pav-S6, Plau-S3 was also similar to Pav-S₂₄ and Pvirg-S₄. The two sweet cherry alleles were reported to be different only in the C1-C2 region (Wünsch and Hormaza, 2004). Interestingly, Plau-S₃ is identical to both alleles in the C2-C5 region. Their introns are also nearly identical with a single base difference. The Pvirg-S₄ had three amino acid replacements, a 4-bp insertion and a single base substitution in the second intron compared to other alleles in this group. It supports their common evolutionary origin.

The *Plau-S*₉-RNase shared 99.2% identity with *Pav-S*₁₃, with a single conservative amino acid replacement. The *Plau-S*₁₁-RNase showed 98.5% identity with a *P. speciosa* (Koidz.) Ingram (*Pspec*) S_3 -RNase both in the deduced amino acid and second intron sequences. Apricot (*Parm*) S_{40} -RNase was somewhat less similar to *Plau-S*₁₁. *Plau-S*₁ and *Pspec-S*₂₂ differed in only four amino acids and two nucleotides in the 2nd intron confirming their close relationship.

The *Plau-S*₄-RNase showed identities over 98% to 5 *P. armeniaca* L. alleles (*Parm-S*₄, *S*₂₄, *S*₃₈, *S*₄₈, and *S*₆₅), while their second intron sequence shared identities over 90%. The allele-specificity of *Parm-S*₂₄, *S*₃₈, and *S*₄₈ should be checked as they have identical 2nd intron sequences and almost identical

AA sequences. *P. tenella* (*Pten*) S_1 and especially *P. mira* (*Pmir*) S_1 were the most similar to *Plau-S*₄. They were also very similar to the AA sequence of *Parm-S*₄ and S_{65} , although their introns showed more differences. The *P. virginiana* (*Pvirg*) S_2 shared 94% identity with *Plau-S*₄ in their deduced amino acid sequences while their introns were rather dissimilar (29% identity). At least some *P. armeniaca*, the *P. tenella* and *P. mira* S_1 -alleles might be trans-specific as their AA and intron sequences were more than 96% identical. However, the *Pvirg-S*₂ is similar but seems not to belong to this trans-specific group, what is further supported by the fact that two AA replacements were evident in the RHV region.

The *Plau-S*₂-, *S*₅-, *S*₇-, *S*₁₂-, *S*₁₅-, *S*₁₇-, and *S*₁₉-alleles showed considerable identities in their deduced AA sequences to their closest homologs while their introns were more disparate, with identities ranging between 20 and 94%. Plau-S2 and Pav-S27 showed only 31% identity in their 2nd intron sequences due to a 93-bp insertion and several SNPs. The sweet cherry allele also differs from Pspec-S₃₄ and Pcer-S₃₅, while Plau-S₂ is in a more distant phylogenetic position. Plau-S10 had 95.9% identity to Pvirg-S₇, exceeding the similarity shared with some P. armeniaca and P. dulcis (Mill.) D. A. Webb (Pdul) alleles. Their introns were rather different. The Plau-S17 was also found to be 99.2% identical to the P. serotina (Pser) S₃ allele; however, the comparison was made based on a shorter alignment and the introns were rather dissimilar. The Plau-S₆ and Plau-S₈-RNases shared 93 and 87% similarities in AA and intron sequences, respectively, and were also similar to specific P. virginiana, P. spinosa L., P. mume (Sieb.) Sieb. and Zucc., and P. armeniaca S-RNases. Within this clade, Plau-S₈ and P. spinosa (Pspi) S_{3-1} were the most similar sequences supporting their relationships, while Pvirg-S₆ was nearly at an equal distance from both $Plau-S_6$ and S_8 , and mainly their introns differed with Pvirg-S₆ having many indels.

The pairs of the *Plau-S*₁₄, *S*₁₈, and *S*₂₀-RNase alleles and their respective closest homologs showed identities of 80–90% in their deduced AA sequences and their 2nd introns were also considerably dissimilar (18–63%), arguing against their transspecific origin, which was also confirmed by their position in the phylogenetic tree (e.g., *Plau-S*₂₀ and *Parm-S*₁) (**Figure 4**). Those alleles share more distant evolutionary relationships. The *Plau-S*₁₆-RNase allele and its closest homolog in the database (*P. japonica S*₁) requires further analysis as their introns matched in 64% of the nucleotides and the deduced AA sequence of *P. japonica S*₁-allele was only available between C2–C3, allowing the comparison of the alleles only on a shorter region.

The evolutionary history of *P. laurocerasus* and other *Prunus S*-RNases (including the most similar database sequences to each of the identified cherry laurel alleles and some arbitrarily chosen sequences that were available from C2 to C5) was inferred from an alignment of the deduced AA sequences and using the Minimum Evolution method (**Figure 4**). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length equals to 5.22 is shown with bootstrap values higher than 50%. *P. laurocerasus* sequences clustered with *S*-RNase alleles of other species and the most clades received significant bootstrap support. The similarities among sequences in the alignment ranged from 44.4 (*Pav-S*₉ and *Pcer-S*₃₅) to 100% (*Plau-S*₃ and *Pav-S*₆; *Pmum-S*₁₁ and



FIGURE 4 Phylogenetic tree of the new *P. laurocerasus* and other *Prunus S*-RNase sequences constructed using the Minimum Evolution method with the indication of the bootstrap values (%, n = 1,000). Black, blue, and maroon colors represent distinct groups of Solitary, Corymbose, and Racemose groups within *Prunus*, respectively. The labels of novel cherry laurel *S*-alleles are framed. The accession numbers of the sequences used in the analysis can be found in **Supplementary Table 3**.

Parm- S_{17} ; *Psal*- S_h and *P. simonii* Carr. S_1 , and *Pdul*- S_n and *Pten*- S_9) (**Supplementary Table 4**). The phylogenetic tree clustered the cherry laurel *S*-RNases with their closest homologs according to their shared similarity, while greater branch lengths indicated the evolutionary distances between four alleles (S_{10} , S_{14} , S_{18} , and S_{20}) and their closest homologs having lower identities in both their deduced AA and intron sequences. The *Plau*- S_{20} allele did not cluster with its closest homolog (**Figure 4**). For S_{16} and S_{17} , the AA sequences of their database homologs were shorter and hence their phylogenetic relationships cannot be confirmed or ruled out.

The *Plau-S*₅, *S*₁₀, and *S*₁₇-RNase sequences positioned closer to sequences in the "Racemose" group than to the sequences in the "Solitary" group. In contrast, the *Plau-S*₄ was closer to apricot (belonging to the "Solitary" group) sequences than the *Pvirg-S*₂. The *S*₉ and *S*₁₁-alleles clustered with sequences both from the "Corymbose" and "Solitary" groups and in those clades *P. laurocerasus* sequences were closer to the "Corymbose" than the "Solitary" alleles.

A total of 237 mutations were detected among the 55 pairs of sequences analysed in this study, 33% of which was non-conservative AA replacements. A limited number of AA replacements was identified in the conserved regions C3 and RC4 (C2 and C5 were not studied as only partial sequences were available) of the S-RNase protein, most of which occurred in the RC4. The RHV contained 16% of all the mutations. The regions between known structural motifs, C2-RHV, RHV-C3, C3-RC4, and RC4-C5 accumulated 8, 8, 17, and 43% of the total amount of mutations. The amino acid replacements and the non-conservative replacements were the most frequent in the RC4-C5, C3-RC4, and RHV regions (**Figure 5**, the original data can be seen in **Supplementary Table 5**).

DISCUSSION

Allele Number and Genotyping Efficiency

The size of amplicons including the 2nd intron region of the *S*-*RNase* gene ranged from 500 to 3,000 bp, and its polymorphism was mainly attributable to intron size variations. The 2nd intron of *Prunus S-RNase* alleles has been long known to be highly polymorphic in its length (Tao et al., 1999; Tamura et al., 2000); and it could be also used for the *S*-genotyping of *P. laurocerasus*. Similar size ranges were detected in other species, such as almond (80–2,872 bp, Ortega et al., 2006), apricot (500–2,800 bp, Halász et al., 2007), and sweet cherry (577–2,385 bp, Sonneveld et al., 2003).

We detected a relatively limited number of fragments compared to the polyploid genome of *P. laurocerasus*. Fewer than expected alleles in samples can be attributed to several reasons. Preferential amplification was reported to be a major contributor to the failure of the amplification of certain alleles relative to others (Walsh et al., 1992). Also, a polyploid plant can have multiple genomic copies of a single allele that might be observed as a thick, intense band on the agarose gel. All such factors can considerably decrease the number of detected fragments. Furthermore, it is hard to identify a distinct allele when two or



more fragments are nearly equal in their lengths and thus cannot be separated from each other on the agarose gel (Sonneveld et al., 2003; Nantongo et al., 2016).

Although the first two options could not be checked, DNA sequencing of the amplicons confirmed the presence of fragments representing different S-alleles and having almost identical or very similar sizes. The gel was run for long time to allow separation but size differences under 60 bp could not be reliably resolved, not to speak about the alleles S_{17} and S_{18} that were identical in size (1,232 bp) while their nucleotide and deduced AA sequences showed considerable differences with only 82.4 and 72.3% pairwise identities, respectively. Fragments with seemingly identical sizes are the most frequently considered to represent a single allele, a strategy that is reliably used in diploid Prunus species. However, some difficulties were also reported in diploid species (Sonneveld et al., 2003; Ortega et al., 2005; Halász et al., 2007), our results confirmed that the most S-RNase alleles cannot be identified and discriminated based on intron length polymorphism in an extreme polyploid species. The size of the first intron region was known to be less variable compared to 2nd intron, what has been also observed in P. laurocerasus S-RNase alleles. In conclusion, the reliable identification of S-alleles requires DNA sequencing or other assays (e.g., allele-specific PCR or digestion with allele-specific restriction endonucleases, segregation analysis).

The seemingly large number of 23 distinct putative *S-RNases* in cherry laurel is not unprecedented, since up to now more than 30 *S*-alleles have been identified in several other *Prunus* species, including apricot, almond, or sweet cherry (Cachi et al., 2017; Herrera et al., 2018; Gómez et al., 2019). In contrast, peach, a generally SC species was reported to have only four *S*-alleles (Hanada et al., 2014). The *S*-allele polymorphism is crucially important for a SI species to increase the chance of mating (Schueler et al., 2006). Therefore, the number of *S*-alleles may suggest that a functional GSI system operates in cherry laurel.

Putatively Non-functional S-RNases

Three pairs of alleles showed only minor differences and we labeled those alleles with the same number as their non-mutated pair and the letter "m," indicating it is a stylar-part mutant. Two of the three mutated alleles (S_{5m} and S_{18m} -RNases) were

found to contain a single nucleotide deletion in the coding region of the gene, which is likely to induce a frame-shift mutation and the formation of a premature termination codon. The deduced amino acid sequence of the S_{5m}-RNase lacked the C5 conserved region. The replacement of a cysteine residue in the peach S2m-RNase by tyrosine prevented the accumulation of the RNase protein in the stylar tissue and resulted in the loss of ribonuclease activity (Tao et al., 2007). It confirms the C5 region is important for the structural stability and enzyme activity of RNase proteins. The P. salicina Lindl. S_C-RNase (ABG36936) allele was found to have a similar microdeletion upstream of C5, although no information was available for its function. Since P. salicina is a diploid species, the phenotypic consequences of such mutations might be easily checked. The S_{18m}-RNase putatively lacks a major part of the coding regions resulting in the loss of RHV to C5 regions. Since those regions are involved in enzyme catalysis, the formation of protein fold and allelespecificity (Ioerger et al., 1991; Ushijima et al., 1998), this protein is unlikely to be functional.

Another mutation was found in the *P. laurocerasus S*₉-*RNase* allele that carries an alteration in the 3' splice site of 2nd intron. An A-to-G substitution at the penultimate position of the intron changes the AG canonical 3' splice site to GG. The AG dinucleotide is highly conserved at the acceptor splice site, and all such changes described previously resulted in intron retention or the activation of the nearby cryptic 3' splice sites both in human and plant genes (McNellis et al., 1994; Harteveld et al., 1996). The unspliced mRNA of the *S*₉-*RNase* will lead to the addition of 13 novel amino acids before a new stop codon (TAA) is reached. Such a truncated protein would be surely non-functional because of the shortage of important structural regions. The possible activation of all putative cryptic splicing sites would also result in stop codons and the formation of truncated proteins that might be checked by cDNA sequencing.

We have identified three putatively non-functional alleles, and further analyses are likely to detect more. The S-locus mutations inducing SC in *Prunus* more frequently affect the pollen Shaplotype-specific F-box (SFB) gene than the stylar expressed S-RNases (Hegedűs et al., 2012; Socias et al., 2015). A detailed study (cDNA sequencing and identification of SFB-alleles) may help finding additional SC haplotypes. If similarly to *P. cerasus*, the one-allele-match model was responsible for the breakdown of GSI (Hauck et al., 2006), only the accessions having a minimum of eleven non-functional S-haplotypes would be SC in this docosaploid species, presenting a small chance of being selfcompatible. An alternative hypothesis involves that SC is induced by the heteroallelic pollen, similarly to what was hypothesized in P. pseudocerasus but to this day it has not yet been validated (Huang et al., 2008; Gu et al., 2013). If segregation were disomic, the increasing chromosome number would result in the increase of chance to be SC. Considering the number of S-alleles detected in this study, the formation of heteroallelic and hence SC pollen should be frequent. However, the S-allele number detected in the present study and published data support P. laurocerasus is self-incompatible (Sulusoglu and Cavusoglu, 2014a,b), what suggests SC is most likely achieved according to the one-allelematch model in P. laurocerasus, similarly to P. cerasus (Hauck et al., 2006). In addition, another tetraploid species, P. spinosa was also shown to carry four different S-haplotypes while being SI (Nunes et al., 2006). The heteroallelic pollen induced SC is only consistent with the non-self-recognition model, while in Prunus, a self-recognition mechanism has been described (Fujii et al., 2016). However, new experiments are needed to confirm that the low fruit set after self-pollination is not explained by other phenomena (e.g., inbreeding depression) (Ortega et al., 2010) and the S-genotyped accessions are in fact SI. Hence, our results do not allow to make firm conclusions on the genetic model controlling the breakdown of SI in this polyploid species but provide the early information that will be useful for future clarification.

The Evolution of *S-RNase* Alleles in *Prunus*

The identities among nucleotide sequences were higher than those among the deduced amino acid sequences, similarly to studies in other *Prunus S*-RNases (Gu et al., 2012). The *S*-RNase sequence identities observed in cherry laurel were very similar to those of almond *S*-RNases, varying from 46.6 to 93.9% at the amino acid level (Ortega et al., 2006). However, similarly to former studies we could also find some interspecific identities exceeding the intraspecific identities between *P. laurocerasus S*-RNases (52.9–93.3%) and reaching 100% identity in two cases (**Supplementary Table 3**). For 12 *P. laurocerasus S*-RNases we have found one or more homologs sharing identities over 96%, 4 others had slightly lower identities to their closest homologs and 4 *P. laurocerasus S*-RNase alleles had only homologs with markedly lower identities (81–93%).

The higher inter- than intraspecific identities among *S*-RNase alleles can be explained by their probable common origin preceding the separation of the evolutionary lineages of studied species. This pattern is called trans-specific evolution and has been described many times for *S*-RNase alleles (Ioerger et al., 1990; Ushijima et al., 1998; Igic and Kohn, 2001; Sutherland et al., 2008). Right after the speciation event, however, the trans-specific alleles start to evolve separately from each other in sexually isolated descendant species. This implies that the earlier the two sequences separated, the more time they had to accumulate

independent mutations, and hence, the more they would differ. Alternatively, the fact that two separate species share a common S-RNase may also be explained by introgressive hybridization. However, it is not feasible for *P. laurocerasus* since crosses between a docosaploid and diploid or tetraploid species (e.g., *P. avium* L., *P. speciosa*) would result in the dramatic change of ploidy levels.

Sutherland et al. (2008) identified six pairs of trans-specific Prunus S-RNases and detected a large discontinuity of 11% separating the AA identity ranges of trans-specific (96-100%) and other alleles (71-85%). The sequence identity matrix obtained from the alignment of 20 P. laurocerasus and 58 other S-RNase alleles declared the identities ranged between 44 and 100%, and the whole range was evenly covered by pairwise identity percentage values. It suggests the identification of a great number of Prunus S-RNases since 2008 (and especially from the less studied Racemose group) can help to have a more nuanced and reliable outcome of evolutionary inferences. The evolution of S-RNase alleles is an ongoing process and the more alleles we identify make the picture more complex. Nonetheless, the loss of a discontinuity gap in identity percentages between the trans-specific and other alleles also makes the recognition of trans-specific alleles more complicated.

We used a multi-approach analysis encompassing phylogenetic studies and the determination of identity percentages among deduced amino acid and nucleotide sequences of the *S-RNase* gene and its 2nd intron, respectively. For *Plau-S*₁-*S*₉, *S*₁₁-*S*₁₃, *S*₁₅, *S*₁₇, and *S*₁₉, data indicate they are trans-specific to one or all their closest homologs found in the database. In general, introns were more different than the deduced AA sequences. The identity percentages of the 2nd intron regions were lower than 94% for *Plau-S*₂, *S*₅, *S*₆, *S*₇, *S*₉, *S*₁₂, *S*₁₅, *S*₁₇, and *S*₁₉. This discrepancy is mainly due to the frequency of indels and base substitutions in the coding and non-coding regions of a gene and confirms these *S*-RNases are functional since introns that are under weaker constraints are more rapidly changing over time (Patthy, 2008).

Mutations occurring in the RHV region of the S-RNase gene suggest different allele-specificity of the related alleles (Matton et al., 1997; Ushijima et al., 1998). In some cases (e.g., Plau-S₄ and Pvirg-S₂) it provides further support against the trans-specific origin of the alleles, although mutations may have occurred after speciation, as well. However, in this case the fact that P. virginiana also belongs to the Racemose group together with P. laurocerasus, the common origin of their alleles should be reflected by a position closer to each other on the phylogenetic tree than to any alleles in species of the Solitary group. All these considerations can help us decide whether the alleles are transspecific or not. However, the effects of polyploidy should be also considered. Plau-S₂ seemed to be trans-specific to both Pcer-S₃₅ and Pspec-S₃₄ but not to Pav-S₂₇. The differences were evident in the amino acid sequences, but introns were even more different. Pcer-S₃₅ is an allele of the tetraploid species, sour cherry, and this allele putatively derived from the ground cherry, another tetraploid species. P. speciosa is also tetraploid and P. laurocerasus is docosaploid and hence the difference between the alleles of the P. avium and the polyploid species might be attributed to

the accelerated evolution of paralogous gene copies in polyploid species (Tsukamoto et al., 2006), so their trans-specific origin cannot be ruled out.

The *Plau-S*₆ and *S*₈ shared considerable similarity and hence their closest homologs found in the database were also identical. They differed in 9 AAs, two of which located in the RHV region. It suggests the two alleles are related but may have different allelespecificity. It should be checked by controlled pollination but *P. laurocerasus* is an extreme polyploid species, and it is hard to predict the effect polyploidy might have on the result of crosses, as it was mentioned by Sutherland et al. (2008) in case of crosses with the hexaploid *P. domestica*.

The Mutational Frequency in Different Regions of the *S-RNase* Gene

The analysis of closely related S-RNase alleles indicated that most of the AA replacements (43%) occurred between the RC4 and C5 regions, following the regions between C3 and RC4 (18%) and RHV (16%). Interestingly, such regions are corresponding to the PS3, PS2, and PS1 regions in S-RNases of subtribe Malinae, respectively, where positive selection is likely to operate and contribute to S-allele-specificity (Ishimizu et al., 1998).

The RHV was first reported to be responsible for allelespecificity (Ushijima et al., 1998). The function of this region was also confirmed using transgenic Solanum chacoense plants (Matton et al., 1997), and it means if alleles had a common origin, the accumulation of amino acid replacements in this region might lead to the change of allele-specificity. It is rather interesting to observe that the regions between C3 and RC4 accumulated even a bit larger number of accepted mutations than RHV. However, the most striking feature in mutational frequency was the 43% of all accepted mutations occurring between RC4 and C5. This region contains an indel with a varying number of AAs ranging from 6 to 25 in the newly identified P. laurocerasus S-RNases. This region forms a loop in the 3D protein structure (Fernandez i Marti et al., 2012) that is in general tolerant to indel mutations (Patthy, 2008). The indel events might be frequent in this part of the gene since there is no purifying selection acting against them.

In addition, PS3 (that corresponds to the region between RC4 and C5 in *Prunus S*-RNases) was also a region in the RNases of subtribe Malinae where positive selection is likely, indicating its putative involvement in allele-specificity. It was further supported by Ortega et al. (2006) who reported on six of ten AA differences in the RC4 and C5 regions of almond S_{11} - and S_{24} -alleles. The ratio of non-conservative amino acid replacements was the highest in the RHV region (50%), followed by RC4-C5 (33%) and C3-RC4 (28%). Furthermore, in the analysis of 88 *Prunus S-RNase* sequences, Vieira et al. (2007) identified 28 amino acid sites under positive selection, most of which (9) occurred in the region RC4-C5, followed by 5 and 4 sites in C3-RC4 and RHV. Our results support that the region just upstream of C5 can have a contribution to allele-specificity of *Prunus S-RNase* gene.

This study provides the first insight into the SI system of cherry laurel by identifying a set of its putative *S-RNase* allele

sequences. Our results are based on the specificity of the alleles used and statistically significant homology to other Prunus Salleles. The identified S-RNase alleles are considered putative because the mechanisms like retroposition or unequal crossing over can result in non-functional paralog gene copies. Although the first can be excluded as our sequences have kept their second intron, experimental confirmation (to check if alleles co-segregate with SI phenotypes) will be required for no-doubt clarification of S-RNase function. At least, three non-functional S-RNases were also identified that might be useful in further studies to clarify the genetics of self-(in)compatibility in this polyploid Prunus species. Our data are consistent with the presumed SI of this species and seem to support the one-allelematch model of transition to SC. The information on the Sgenotypes may be a key factor in the breeding process of new cherry laurel cultivars suitable for mass fruit production. The availability of cherry laurel S-RNase allele sequences may also facilitate the design of allele-specific primers or other assays. Significant similarities and trans-specific origin of the new cherry laurel and other Prunus S-RNases have been revealed, which could make the molecular evolutionary analyses more precise, especially by providing data for the less studied Racemose group of Prunus phylogeny.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ **Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JH and AM performed the molecular analyses and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. GI and SE provided the plant material and information on studied plants. AH performed the bioinformatic studies and wrote parts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the NKFI K_128874 project and the Ministry for Innovation and Technology within the framework of the Thematic Excellence Programme 2020-Institutional Excellence Subprogram (TKP2020-IKA-12) for research on plant breeding and plant protection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to N. Makovics-Zsohár for her technical assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 715414/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | Alignment of the genomic DNA sequences of the wild-type and mutated allele pairs of *Prunus laurocerasus S-RNases*. A: S_5 and S_{5m} ; B: S_{13} and S_{13m} ; and C: S_{18} and S_{18m} *RNase* alleles. The 5' and 3' ends of the *S-RNase* 2nd intron are indicated by a blue arrow and a blot, respectively. The differences, such as indels, and SNPs are highlighted in black.

Supplementary Table 1 | Sizes of the amplification products of PCR analysis conducted using the PaConsI-F (labeled with FAM) and PaConsI-R2 consensus primers, amplifying the first intron of the *Prunus laurocerasus S-RNase* gene. The amplicons were run on an automated capillary sequencer, ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer. The ABI Peak Scanner 1.0 software and GS500 LIZ (Applied Biosystems) internal size standard were used for data analysis.

Supplementary Table 2 | Percentage (%) of the pairwise identities of the deduced amino acid sequences (upper right half) and nucleotide sequences (lower

REFERENCES

- Abdallah, D., Baraket, G., Perez, V., Ben Mustapha, S., Salhi-Hannachi, A., and Hormaza, J. I. (2019). Analysis of self-incompatibility and genetic diversity in diploid and hexaploid plum genotypes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:896. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2019.00896
- Adachi, Y., Komori, S., Hoshikawa, Y., Tanaka, N., Abe, K., Bessho, H., et al. (2009). Characteristics of fruiting and pollen tube growth of apple autotetraploid cultivars showing self-compatibility. *J. Jpn. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 78, 402–409. doi: 10.2503/jjshs1.78.402
- Akbulut, M., Macit, I., Ercisli, S., and Koc, A. (2007). Evaluation of 28 cherry laurel (*Laurocerasus officinalis*) genotypes in the Black Sea region, Turkey. *New Zeal. J. Crop. Hort.* 35, 463–465. doi: 10.1080/01140670709510215
- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
- Ashkani, J., and Rees, D. J. G. (2016). A comprehensive study of molecular evolution at the self-incompatibility locus of Rosaceae. J. Mol. Evol. 82, 128–145. doi: 10.1007/s00239-015-9726-4
- Cachi, A. M., Wünsch, A., Vilanova, A., Guàrdia, M., Ciordia, M., and Aletà, N. (2017). S-locus diversity and cross-compatibility of wild *Prunus avium* for timber breeding. *Plant Breed.* 136, 126–131. doi: 10.1111/pbr.12450
- Celik, F., Ercisli, S., Yilmaz, S. O., and Hegedus, A. (2011). Estimation of certain physical and chemical fruit characteristics of various cherry laurel (*Laurocerasus officinalis* Roem.) genotypes. *HortScience* 46, 924–927. doi: 10. 21273/HORTSCI.46.6.924
- Chin, S. W., Lutz, S., Wen, J., and Potter, D. (2013). The bitter and the sweet: inference of homology and evolution of leaf glands in *Prunus* (Rosaceae) through anatomy, micromorphology, and ancestral-character state reconstruction. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* 174, 27–46. doi: 10.1086/668219
- Chin, S., Shaw, J., Haberle, R., Wen, J., and Potter, D. (2014). Diversification of almonds, peaches, plums and cherries – Molecular systematics and biogeographic history of *Prunus* (Rosaceae). *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol.* 76, 34–48. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.02.024
- Dickinson, T. A. (2018). Sex and Rosaceae apomicts. *Taxon* 67, 1093–1107. doi: 10.12705/676.7
- Ercisli, S. (2004). A short review of the fruit germplasm resources of Turkey. Genet. Resour. Crop Ev. 51, 419–435. doi: 10.1023/B:GRES.0000023458.601 38.79
- Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39, 783–791. doi: 10.2307/2408678
- Fernandez i Marti, A., Castro, S., DeJong, T. M., and Dodd, R. S. (2021). Evaluation of the S-locus in *Prunus domestica*, characterization, phylogeny

left half) of *Prunus laurocerasus* partial *S-RNase* alleles. The putatively truncated protein sequences of the S_{5m} - and S_{18m} -alleles were not included in the analysis.

Supplementary Table 3 | The results of the BLASTP analysis performed on the deduced amino acid sequence of *Prunus laurocerasus* putative *S-RNase* alleles determined in this work, their corresponding NCBI Protein database accession number, percentage of query coverage and identity, the *E*-value of alignment score and the closest homologs (species name, allele label and accession number).

Supplementary Table 4 | Pairwise identity (%) matrix of the deduced amino acid sequences of 20 partial *Prunus laurocerasus* and 58 other *Prunus S-RNase* alleles.

Supplementary Table 5 | Sequence identity percentages of the 2nd intron and deduced amino acid sequences of *Prunus laurocerasus* and their most similar *Prunus S*-RNases. Green and red background color indicate great and small identities, respectively. Intron identity values are missing when only the mRNA sequence was available and hence, the comparison was not feasible. The asterisk (*) indicates the database sequence was shorter or deficient. Amino acid replacements are shown in different regions of the *S*-RNase protein and amino acid positions. Orange and blue color show mutations occurring in a single sequence or group of sequences, respectively.

and 3D modelling. *PLoS One* 16:e0251305. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.025 1305

- Fernandez i Marti, A., Wirthensohn, M., Alonso, J., and Hrmova, M. (2012). Molecular modeling of S-RNases involved in almond self-incompatibility. *Front. Plant Sci* 3:139. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00139
- Fujii, S., Kubo, K. I., and Takayama, S. (2016). Non-self-and self-recognition models in plant self-incompatibility. *Nat. Plants* 2, 1–9. doi: 10.1038/nplants. 2016.130
- Gómez, E. M., Dicenta, F., Batlle, I., Romero, A., and Ortega, E. (2019). Crossincompatibility in the cultivated almond (*Prunus dulcis*): Updating, revision and correction. *Sci. Hortic. Amst.* 245, 218–223. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.09. 054
- Gu, C., Wu, J., Zhang, S. J., Yang, Y. N., Wu, H. Q., Tao, S. T., et al. (2012). Characterization of the S-RNase genomic DNA allele sequence in Prunus speciosa and P. pseudocerasus. *Sci. Hortic. Amst.* 144, 93–101.
- Gu, C., Liu, Q. Z., Yang, Y. N., Zhang, S. J., Khan, M. A., Wu, J., et al. (2013). Inheritance of hetero-diploid pollen S-haplotype in self-compatible tetraploid Chinese cherry (Prunus pseudocerasus Lindl). *PLoS One* 8:e61219. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0061219
- Guitián, J., Guitián, P., and Sánchez, J. M. (1993). Reproductive biology of two Prunus species (Rosaceae) in the northwest iberian peninsula. Plant Syst. Evol. 185, 153–165. doi: 10.1007/BF00937654
- Halász, J., Pedryc, A., and Hegedűs, A. (2007). Origin and dissemination of the pollen-part mutated SC haplotype which confers self-compatibility in apricot (*Prunus armeniaca*). *New Phytol.* 176, 792–803.
- Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.* 41, 95–98.
- Hanada, T., Watari, A., Kibe, T., Yamane, H., Wünsch, A., Gradziel, T. M., et al. (2014). Two novel self-compatible S haplotypes in peach (*Prunus persica*). J. Jpn. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 83, 203–213. doi: 10.2503/jjshs1.CH-099
- Harteveld, C. L., Heister, J. G. A. M., Giordano, P. C., Batelaan, D., Delft, P. V., Haak, H. L., et al. (1996). An IVS1-116 ($A \rightarrow G$) acceptor splice site mutation in the $\alpha 2$ globin gene causing α + thalassaemia in two Dutch families. *Brit. J. Haemat.* 95, 461–466. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.1996.d01-1926.x
- Hauck, N. R., Yamane, H., Tao, R., and Iezzoni, A. F. (2006). Accumulation of non-functional S-haplotypes results in the breakdown of gametophytic selfincompatibility in tetraploid Prunus. *Genetics* 172, 1191–1198. doi: 10.1534/ genetics.105.049395
- Hegedűs, A., Lénárt, J., and Halász, J. (2012). Sexual incompatibility in Rosaceae fruit tree species: molecular interactions and evolutionary dynamics. *Biol. Plant.* 56, 201–209. doi: 10.1007/s10535-012-0077-3

- Herrera, S., Rodrigo, J., Hormaza, J. I., and Lora, J. (2018). Identification of self-incompatibility alleles by specific PCR analysis and S-RNase sequencing in apricot. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:3612. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018. 00527
- Hojsgaard, D., and Hörandl, E. (2019). The rise of apomixis in natural plant populations. Front. Plant Sci. 10:358. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00358
- Huang, S. X., Wu, H. Q., Li, Y. R., Wu, J., Zhang, S. J., Heng, W., et al. (2008). Competitive interaction between two functional S-haplotypes confer self-compatibility on tetraploid Chinese cherry (Prunus pseudocerasus Lindl. CV. Nanjing Chuisi). *Plant Cell Rep.* 27, 1075–1085.
- Igic, B., and Kohn, J. R. (2001). Evolutionary relationships among selfincompatibility RNases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 13167–13171.
- Ioerger, T. R., Clark, A. G., and Kao, T. H. (1990). Polymorphism at the selfincompatibility locus in Solanaceae predates speciation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 87, 9732–9735.
- Ioerger, T. R., Gohlke, J. R., Xu, B., and Kao, T. H. (1991). Primary structural features of the self-incompatibility protein in Solanaceae. *Sex. Plant Reprod.* 4, 81–87. doi: 10.1007/BF00196492
- Ishimizu, T., Endo, T., Yamaguchi-Kabata, Y., Nakamura, K. T., Sakiyama, F., and Norioka, S. (1998). Identification of regions in which positive selection may operate in S-RNase of Rosaceae: implication for S-allele-specific recognition sites in S-RNase. *Febs Lett.* 440, 337–342. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(98) 01470-7
- Jones, D. T., Taylor, W. R., and Thornton, J. M. (1992). The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences. *Comput. Appl. Biosci.* 8, 275–282. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/8.3.275
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Luu, D. T., Qin, X., Laublin, G., Yang, Q., Morse, D., and Cappadocia, M. (2001). Rejection of S-heteroallelic pollen by a dual-specific S-RNase in Solanum chacoense predicts a multimeric SI pollen component. *Genetics* 159, 329–335. doi: 10.3410/f.1001028.19705
- Makovics-Zsohár, N., and Halász, J. (2016). Self-incompatibility system in polyploid fruit tree species A review. *Int. J. Plant Reprod. Biol.* 8, 24–33. doi: 10.14787/ijprb.2016 8.1.1-0
- Matton, D. P., Maes, O., Laublin, G., Xike, Q., Bertrand, C., Morse, D., et al. (1997). Hypervariable domains of self-incompatibility RNases mediate allele-specific pollen recognition. *Plant Cell* 9, 1757–1766. doi: 10.1105/tpc.9.10.1757
- McClure, B. A., Gray, J. E., Anderson, M. A., and Clarke, A. E. (1990). Selfincompatibility in *Nicotiana alata* involves degradation of pollen rRNA. *Nature* 347, 757–760. doi: 10.1038/347757a0
- McClure, B. A., Haring, V., Ebert, P. R., Anderson, M. A., Simpson, R. J., Sakiyama, F., et al. (1989). Style self-incompatibility gene products of Nicotiana alata are ribonucleases. *Nature* 342, 955–957. doi: 10.1038/342955a0
- McCubbin, A. G., and Kao, T. (2000). Molecular recognition and response in pollen and pistil interactions. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 16, 333–364. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.cellbio.16.1.333
- McNellis, T. W., Von Arnim, A. G., Araki, T., Komeda, Y., Miséra, S., and Deng, X. W. (1994). Genetic and molecular analysis of an allelic series of cop1 mutants suggests functional roles for the multiple protein domains. *Plant Cell* 6, 487–500. doi: 10.1105/tpc.6.4.487
- Meurman, O. (1929). Prunus laurocerasus L., a species showing high polyploidy. J. Genet. 21, 85–94. doi: 10.1007/BF02983360
- Nantongo, J. S., Eilu, G., Geburek, T., Schueler, S., and Konrad, H. (2016). Detection of self incompatibility genotypes in *Prunus africana*: characterization, evolution and spatial analysis. *PLoS One* 11:e0155638. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155638
- Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2000). *Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Nunes, M. D., Santos, R. A., Ferreira, S. M., Vieira, J., and Vieira, C. P. (2006). Variability patterns and positively selected sites at the gametophytic selfincompatibility pollen SFB gene in a wild self-incompatible Prunus spinosa (Rosaceae) population. *New Phytol.* 172, 577–587. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137. 2006.01838.x
- Orhan, I. E., and Akkol, E. K. (2011). Estimation of neuroprotective effects of Laurocerasus officinalis Roem. (cherry laurel) by in vitro methods. Food Res. Int. 44, 818–822. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2011.01.037

- Ortega, E., Martínez-García, P. J., Dicenta, F., and Egea, J. (2010). Disruption of endosperm development: an inbreeding effect in almond (*Prunus dulcis*). Sex. *Plant Reprod.* 23, 135–140. doi: 10.1007/s00497-009-0117-0
- Ortega, E., Radovan, I. B., Sargent, D. J., and Tobutt, K. R. (2006). Analysis of S-RNase alleles of almond (*Prunus dulcis*): characterization of new sequences, resolution of synonyms and evidence of intragenic recombination. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 276, 413–426. doi: 10.1007/s00438-006-0146-4
- Ortega, E., Sutherland, B. G., Dicenta, F., Boskovic, R., and Tobutt, K. R. (2005). Determination of incompatibility genotypes in almond using first and second intron consensus primers: detection of new S alleles and correction of reported S genotypes. *Plant Breed.* 124, 188–196. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2004.01 058.x
- Patthy, L. (2008). Protein Evolution, 2nd Edn. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Qi, Y. J., Wu, H. Q., Cao, Y. F., Wu, J., Tao, S. T., and Zhang, S. L. (2011). Heteroallelic diploid pollen led to self-compatibility in tetraploid cultivar 'Sha 01' (*Pyrus sinkiangensis* Yü). *Tree Genet. Genomes* 7, 685–695. doi: 10.1007/ s11295-011-0366-6
- Rehder, A. (1940). Manual of Cultivated Trees and Shrubs Hardy in North America Exclusive of the Subtropical and Warmer Temperate Regions, 2nd Edn. New York, NY: MacMillan.
- Rzhetsky, A., and Nei, M. (1992). A simple method for estimating and testing minimum evolution trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 9, 945–967. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a040771
- Saitou, N., and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406–425. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454
- Sassa, H. (2016). Molecular mechanism of the S-RNase-based gametophytic selfincompatibility in fruit trees of Rosaceae. *Breed. Sci.* 66, 116–121. doi: 10.1270/ jsbbs.66.116
- Schueler, S., Tusch, A., and Scholz, F. (2006). Comparative analysis of the withinpopulation genetic structure in wild cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) at the selfincompatibility locus and nuclear microsatellites. *Mol. Ecol* 15, 3231–3243. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03029.x
- Socias Rafel i Company, Kodad O., Fernandez i Martí, A., and Alonso, J. M. (2015). Mutations conferring self-compatibility in *Prunus* species: from deletions and insertions to epigenetic alterations. *Sci. Hortic. Amst.* 192, 125–131. doi: 10. 1016/j.scienta.2015.05.029
- Sonneveld, T., Robbins, T. P., and Tobutt, K. R. (2006). Improved discrimination of self-incompatibility S-RNase alleles in cherry and high throughput genotyping by automated sizing of first intron polymerase chain reaction products. *Plant Breed* 125, 305–307. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0523.200601 205.x
- Sonneveld, T., Tobutt, K. R., and Robbins, T. P. (2003). Allele-specific PCR detection of sweet cherry self-incompatibility (S) alleles S1 to S16 using consensus and allele-specific primers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 107, 1059–1070. doi: 10.1007/s00122-003-1274-4
- Sulusoglu, M. (2011). The cherry laurel (*Prunus laurocerasus* L.) tree selection. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 6, 3574–3582. doi: 10.5897/AJAR11.901
- Sulusoglu, M., and Cavusoglu, A. (2014a). In vitro pollen viability and pollen germination in cherry laurel (*Prunus laurocerasus L.*). Sci. World J 2014:657123. doi: 10.1155/2014/657123
- Sulusoglu, M., and Cavusoglu, A. (2014b). Pollination biology of cherry laurel and pollinizer effects on fruit characteristics. *YYU Tar. Bil. Derg.* 24, 280–289. doi: 10.29133/yyutbd.236262
- Sutherland, B. G., Tobutt, K. R., and Robbins, T. P. (2008). Trans-specific S-RNase and SFB alleles in Prunus self-incompatibility haplotypes. *Mol. Gen. Genom.* 279, 95–106. doi: 10.1007/s00438-007-0300-7
- Tao, R., Watari, A., Hanada, T., Habu, T., Yaegaki, H., Yamaguchi, M., et al. (2007). Self-compatible peach (Prunus persica) has mutant versions of the S haplotypes found in self-incompatible Prunus species. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 63, 109–123.
- Tamura, M., Ushijima, K., Sassa, H., Hirano, H., Tao, R., Gradziel, T. M., et al. (2000). Identification of self-incompatibility genotypes of almond by allele-specific PCR analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 101, 344–349. doi: 10.1007/ s001220051489
- Tao, R., and Iezzoni, A. F. (2010). The S-RNase-based gametophytic selfincompatibility system in *Prunus* exhibits distinct genetic and molecular features. Sci. Hortic. Amst. 124, 423–433. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.01.025

- Tao, R., Yamane, H., Sugiura, A., Murayama, H., Sassa, H., and Mori, H. (1999). Molecular typing of S-alleles through identification, characterization and cDNA cloning for S-RNases in sweet cherry. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 124, 224–233. doi: 10.21273/JASHS.124.3.224
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673–4680. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673
- Tsukamoto, T., Hauck, N. R., Tao, R., Jiang, N., and Iezzoni, A. F. (2006). Molecular characterization of three non-functional S-haplotypes in sour cherry (*Prunus* cerasus). Plant Mol. Biol 62:371. doi: 10.1007/s11103-006-9026-x
- Ushijima, K., Sassa, H., Tao, R., Yamane, H., Dandekar, A. M., Gradziel, T. M., et al. (1998). Cloning and characterization of cDNAs encoding S-RNases from almond (*Prunus dulcis*): primary structural features and sequence diversity of the S-RNases in Rosaceae. *Mol. Gen. Genom.* 260, 261–268. doi: 10.1007/ s004380050894
- Vamosi, J. C., and Dickinson, T. A. (2006). Polyploidy and diversification: a phylogenetic investigation in Rosaceae. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* 167, 349–358. doi: 10.1086/499251
- Vieira, J., Morales-Hojas, R., Santos, R. A., and Vieira, C. P. (2007). Different positively selected sites at the gametophytic self-incompatibility pistil S-RNase gene in the Solanaceae and Rosaceae (*Prunus, Pyrus*, and *Malus*). J. Mol. Evol. 65, 175–185. doi: 10.1007/s00239-006-0285-6
- Walsh, P. S., Erlich, H. A., and Higuchi, R. (1992). Preferential PCR amplification of alleles: mechanisms and solutions. *Genome Res.* 1, 241–250. doi: 10.1101/gr. 1.4.241

- Wünsch, A., and Hormaza, J. I. (2004). Cloning and characterization of genomic DNA sequences of four self-incompatibility alleles in sweet cherry (*Prunus avium L.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 108, 299–305. doi: 10.1007/s00122-003-1418-6
- Yeboah Gyan, K., and Woodell, S. J. (1987). Flowering phenology, flower colour and mode of reproduction of *Prunus spinosa* L. (Blackthorn); *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq. (Hawthorn); *Rosa canina* L. (Dog Rose); and *Rubus fruticosus* L. (Bramble) in Oxfordshire, England. *Funct. Ecol.* 1, 261–268. doi: 10.2307/ 2389429

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Halász, Molnár, Ilhan, Ercisli and Hegedűs. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.