



1-Aminocyclopropane-1-Carboxylate Deaminase-Producing Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria Improve Drought Stress Tolerance in Grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.)

Bingbing Duan¹, Lin Li¹, Guoqiao Chen¹, Chenxing Su-Zhou¹, Yashan Li^{1,2}, Hasmik Merkeryan¹, Wei Liu³ and Xu Liu^{1,4*}

¹College of Enology, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China, ²School of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Chuxiong Normal University, Chuxiong, China, ³Horticulture Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chengdu, China, ⁴Ningxia Eastern Foot of Helan Mountain Wine Station, Northwest A&F University, Yinchuan, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Chiara Pastore, University of Bologna, Italy

Reviewed by:

Youry Pii, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Anabela Fernandes-Silva, Centre for the Research and Technology of Agro-Environmental and Biological Sciences (CITAB), Portugal

> *Correspondence: Xu Liu liuxu@nwafu.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 08 May 2021 Accepted: 06 August 2021 Published: 03 September 2021

Citation:

Duan B, Li L, Chen G, Su-Zhou C, Li Y, Merkeryan H, Liu W and Liu X (2021) 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-Carboxylate Deaminase-Producing Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria Improve Drought Stress Tolerance in Grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.). Front. Plant Sci. 12:706990. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.706990 Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) that produce 1-aminocyclopropane-1carboxylate (ACC) deaminase are capable of reducing limits to plant growth due to waterdeficient conditions. Here, seven PGPR strains that can produce ACC deaminase were successfully obtained from the rhizosphere soil of grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) in arid regions of China. The strains belonged to three different genera: Pseudomonas, Enterobacter, and Achromobacter, according to their 16S rDNA sequencing analysis. A drought tolerance experiment revealed two PGPR strains (DR3 and DR6) with exceptionally high phosphate solubilization, nitrogen fixation, indoleacetic acid (IAA), and exopolysaccharides secretion potential. Both strains were selected for use in a pot experiment to evaluate their growthpromoting effects on grapevines under drought conditions. Each of these two PGPRs and their mixed inoculation into grapevines were expected to alleviate the comprehensive growth inhibition of grapevines caused by drought stress. The mixed inoculation was hypothesized to elicit the best growth-promoting effects. Inoculation with the PGPRs not only enhanced the root-adhering soil/root tissue ratios and soil aggregate stability, but it also increased the nitrogen and phosphorus levels in the soil and plant leaves. Further, inoculation with PGPRs significantly altered the plant height, biomass of shoot and root organs, relative water contents, and net photosynthetic rate of leaves, enabling grapevines to better cope with drought. Moreover, the contents of IAA, abscisic acid, and malondialdehyde in these grapevines under drought stress were significantly changed by PGPRs. They indirectly affected biochemical and physiological properties of grapevines to alleviate their drought stress. Taken together, these results demonstrate that the DR3 and DR6 PGPRs might be useful for effectively weakening the growth inhibition caused by drought in grapevines. The strains might also be applied as effective bioinoculants to maintain the quality of wine grapes.

Keywords: 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase, drought stress, *Enterobacter* sp., plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria, *Pseudomonas* sp., *Vitis vinifera* L.

INTRODUCTION

With their continuous deterioration under global climate change, ecological systems are becoming seriously damaged. Drought, an environmental stress, is prevalent in arid regions, where it limits plant growth and threatens agricultural production (Vurukonda et al., 2016). As a root-borne stress, drought would normally lead to osmotic and oxidative stress, resulting in changed physiological, biochemical, and molecular properties of plants that jointly cause losses in crop production. In order to improve the drought tolerance of plants, various approaches have been explored, such as breeding drought-tolerant varieties and implementing water-saving irrigation (Evans and Sadler, 2008; Luo et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). Yet, due to their advanced technological requirements and high labor and costs, certain approaches are not easy to apply in practice. Therefore, enhancing the drought tolerance of plants via targeted physical and biological methods is becoming a hot research topic in agricultural science.

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) are bacterial groups obtained from rhizosphere soil. They can promote plant growth by means of biological control against soil-borne pathogens, biological nitrogen fixation, and root growth promotion (Pii et al., 2015). Therefore, they can cope with various environmental stresses and alleviate limitations to plant growth, effectively mitigating the loss of crop productivity. The growth-promoting effects of PGPRs on plants have been widely reported for many species (Mir et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Alemneh et al., 2021; Kalozoumis et al., 2021). Nevertheless, there are different genera of PGPRs as well as differences in the mechanisms by which they promote the growth of plants (Broadbent et al., 1977; Kaushal and Wani, 2016). PGPRs could directly and indirectly promote plant growth via nitrogen fixation, exopolysaccharides (EPS), and phytohormones (Vurukonda et al., 2016; Niu et al., 2018). Notably, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminaseproducing PGPRs, which are capable of hydrolyzing ACC to α -ketobutyrate and ammonia, could lower ethylene levels in plants and alleviate growth inhibition caused by excessive ethylene under environmental stressful conditions, drought in particular (Singh et al., 2015; Tiwari et al., 2018). Moreover, indoleacetic acid (IAA) is able to promote cell division and cell elongation, and thereby regulate root development and architecture (Spaepen et al., 2008; Naveed et al., 2015). The IAA content is reportedly increased after inoculation with PGPRs under environmental stress, and this alleviated exogenous stress incurred by the plants. In addition, EPS that attach to the surface of roots to form biofilms could protect these organs from drying out (Janczarek and Rachwał, 2013). Therefore, the mechanisms underpinning PGPRs' alleviation of environmental stress and promotion of plant growth may be complicated, entailing a combination of many pathways (Cohen et al., 2015; Ortiz et al., 2015).

The wine grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) plant is a particularly important perennial fruit vine growing in arid regions of China, where its production is a major economic activity. It is well known that the quality of wine depends on the grape

berry's chemical composition, which is the outcome of interactions between fruiting vines and their biotic and abiotic factors in their environment, such as local climate and soil physicochemical properties (Novello et al., 2017; Mazzei et al., 2019). Drought disturbances in arid regions have seriously impacted the quality of wine grapes and restricted the development of the grape industry (You et al., 2020). The rhizobacteria associated with grapevines in arid regions are prone to water shortages, and so they may have adapted to drought stress conditions; if so, they could help their host plants also adapt to drought stress. Some researchers did report on PGPRs isolated from grapevine rhizosphere soil that improved the quality of wine grapes (Aballay et al., 2011). Therefore, to cope with drought stress, it is imperative we try to isolate PGPRs for use in wine grape cultivation in these regions, to enhance both the quality of grapes produced and increase the incomes of local wine growers.

Accordingly, this study had three objectives: (1) to isolate PGPRs with ACC deaminase activity from the rhizosphere soil of wine grapevines; (2) to evaluate the effects of inoculations of selected PGPRs upon grapevines' growth under imposed drought stress; and (3) to reveal the potential mechanisms of PGPRs' alleviation of drought stress for grapevines by taking a comprehensive perspective. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to determine the effect and mechanism of ACC deaminase-producing PGPRs on grapevines under drought stress. We hoped to find effective PGPR strains for consideration as biological fertilizers for ameliorating drought stress incurred by grapevines in arid regions, to enable the sustainable development of the grape industry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of Rhizobacteria With ACC Deaminase Activity

The rhizosphere soil used for the bacterial isolation was collected from 8-year-old vines of V. vinifera cv. Cabernet Sauvignon growing in the Xige winery on Helan Mountain, in Ningxia, China (38°3'44'N, 105°56'11'E), in 2018. Located in a BWk climate according to the Koppen-Geiger classification, this winery has a mean annual temperature of 10.8°C, maximum and minimum temperatures of 39°C and 21°C, respectively. The mean annual rainfall was 147 mm, which mainly distributed from June to September. The annual reference evapotranspiration was 1208.5 mm. The soil is loamy sand soil, classified as a Calcic Cambisol (FAO/UNESCO/ISRIC, 1988). The rhizosphere soils were obtained by gently shaking the plant roots; to isolate from them the bacteria with ACC deaminase activity, we followed Penrose and Glick's (2003) method. Briefly, 1g rhizosphere soil was inoculated in a 50-ml sterile DF medium, which contained 3 mm ACC as the sole nitrogen (N) source (Dworkin and Foster, 1958). Then, these media were incubated in a shaking incubator at 200 rpm and 28°C for 24 h. The ensuing isolates were kept for further use in Luria-Bertani slants (at 4°C).

Bacterial Identification Using a 16S rDNA Sequencing Analysis

For each of the seven ACC deaminase-producing strains obtained, the 16S ribosomal DNA gene was amplified by PCR using the primers 27f (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492r (5'-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') at the genus level (Niu et al., 2018). The 16S rRNA sequences were determined with an ABI3730-XL DNA sequencer (Sangon Biotechnology Ltd., Shanghai, China). The detailed steps are described by Zhang et al. (2020). The obtained sequences were aligned and analyzed by the BLAST algorithm for comparison with published sequences in the National Center for Biotechnology Information nucleotide database,¹ and submitted to GenBank. The phylogenetic tree based on16S rRNA sequencing analysis was constructed in MEGA v7.0, by using the neighbor-joining method applied to distance matrices.

Analysis of Drought Tolerance and Plant Growth-Promoting Traits of Isolated Strains

The drought tolerance experiment of the isolated strains was fully described by Zhang et al. (2020). To evaluate the drought tolerance of isolates, different concentrations of polyethylene glycol 6000 were added to nutrient broth medium to create water potentials of different gradients (0, -0.05, -0.30, and -0.49 MPa; Michel and Kaufmann, 1973). These media were inoculated with 1% bacterial strains isolated by ACC as the sole N sources and then incubated. Next, the growth-promoting traits of these strains were measured using the spectrophotometric method at 600 nm. Phosphate solubilization was measured using method of the Mo-blue (Watanabe and Olsen, 1965; Chen et al., 2006). Döbereiner's nitrogen-free medium was used to grow the bacterial strains to determine their putative N fixation ability (Day and Döbereiner, 1976; Cattelan et al., 1999). The IAA was detected using Salkowski's reagent (Hassan et al., 2014). The EPS was measured using the phenol-sulfuric acid method (Khan et al., 2017). The ACC deaminase activity was detected by the method of Penrose and Glick (2003), whereby the amount of α-ketobutyrate degraded from ACC by isolated strains is monitored. According to the Bradford (1976) method, the protein content of toluenized cells was estimated.

Pot Experiment Design

The pot experiment had a completely randomized design and was performed in a greenhouse from April to September in 2019 on the campus of Northwest A&F University, China $(34^{\circ}17'23'N, 108^{\circ}4'14'E)$. Environmental conditions in the greenhouse during the drought stress were as follows: air temperature of 26–32°C, solar radiation of 300–600 W/m², reference evapotranspiration of 3–5 mm/d, and vapor pressure deficit of 3.5–4.5 Kpa. Based on the above results, *Pseudomonas corrugata* (DR3) and *Enterobacter soli* (DR6) were selected for this pot experiment. Separate inoculums of *P. corrugata* (DR3) and *E. soli* (DR6) were prepared by diluting the cultures to

¹http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

a final concentration of 10^8 CFU/ml. The soil for the pot experiment was also collected in the vineyard of Xige winery and classified as sandy loam, and heat-sterilized at 121°C for 3 h (Barnawal et al., 2016b). Soil sterilization was applied to avoid interference of the native microbial communities and thus ameliorate colonizing efficiency of the inocula. Soil chemical properties for the pot experiment were as follows: pH of 8.03, organic matter (OM) content of 11.38 g/kg, total nitrogen (TN) content of 0.51 g/kg, total phosphorus (TP) content of 0.39 g/kg, and an available P (AP) content of 4.35 mg/kg.

For the bacterial inoculations, four treatments were implemented as follows: (1) *P. corrugate* alone (T1); (2) *E. soli* alone (T2); (3) a 1:1 volume mixture of *P. corrugata* and *E. soli* (T3); and (4) control without any bacteria (CK). For the drought treatment, plants were grown at two levels: at 75% field capacity [control, no drought stress (ND)] or 35% field capacity (drought stress, DS). Therefore, in this 2×4 factorial experiment, there was a total of 8 treatment combinations (4 bacterial inoculations × 2 drought conditions), with 15 replicates of each treatment. To apply ACC deaminase-producing bacteria *P. corrugate*, *E. soli*, and their mixture, a syringe was used, through which 150 ml of a given bacterial suspension was inoculated into the middle of a grapevine's root system. The control grapevines received 150 ml of ddH₂O with no bacteria.

The Cabernet Sauvignon (*V. vinifera*) grapevines were obtained from the commercial vineyard of Xixia King Industry Co. Ltd. in Ningxia. These grapevines were cultivated with the hardwood cuttings using a conventional cutting propagation method in the last year. A 1-year-old own-rooted Cabernet Sauvignon grapevine was planted in each polyethylene pot (25 cm diameter \times 30 cm height) as one replicate in early April. The plants were subjected to drought stress in early August. Daily weighing of the pots was used to regulate the water content, and any lost water was replenished at 18:00 every day. The imposed drought conditions lasted for 25 days, after which the soil and plant samples were collected for determination of the growth, physiological and biochemical characteristics of plants, and soil physicochemical properties to evaluate the plant growthpromoting effects of strains.

Sample Collection

After grapevine growth for 25 days under drought stress, plant photosynthetic characteristics were measured in early September. Then, soil and grapevines were all sampled for determination of various indices of soil and plants. Roots and shoots were separately collected by clipping grapevines at the soil surface and directly measured the plant height.

All roots were rinsed with distilled water for determination of root morphology. All the leaves were collected separately and divided into three subsamples: One sample was preserved in liquid nitrogen for antioxidant indicators, one sample was oven-dried at 75°C for leaf nutrients, and the third one that leaf at fifth or sixth nodes of shoots was for leaf relative water content (RWC). Soil samples were divided into two subsamples: One fresh soil sample was used to determine the potential activity of urease and alkaline phosphatase, and the other one sample was air-dried to measure the aggregate stability and nutrient contents.

Dependent Variables Measured

The root-adhering soil/root tissue (RAS/RT) ratio was determined, as described by Sandhya et al. (2009). Distilled water was used to separate the RAS, after which the roots were dried at 105°C. Soil aggregate stability was then determined according to the amounts of water-stable aggregates (Chaudhary and Kar, 1972; Sandhya et al., 2009). Aggregate stability was assessed according to the amounts of water-stable aggregates. Soil available nitrogen (AN) was quantified using a continuous flow injection analyzer (Seal Auto Analyzer 3-AA3; Zhang et al., 2016). Soil AP was determined by the molybdenum antimony colorimetric method, following the methodology used by Page et al. (1982). The potential activity of urease and alkaline phosphatase was, respectively, assayed using the phenol sodium standard colorimetric and disodium phenyl phosphate standard colorimetric method (Saiya-Cork et al., 2002).

Plant growth characteristics were analyzed by determining the height and stem diameters, biomass of shoot and root parts, root parameters, leaf nutrients, and RWC. Plant height and diameter were measured on a metric scale. To obtain the shoot and root biomass, they were weighed after being dried in a forced hot-air oven at 70°C for 2 days (Ryle et al., 1981). Root morphology was examined by scanning the roots on a flatbed scanner (EPSON, Perfection V-750), for which total root length, root surface area, and volume were obtained for each plant by analyzing the root images in WinRHIZO Arabidopsis 2017a software (WinRHIZO, RRID:SCR_017120; Oddiraju et al., 1996; Himmelbauer et al., 2004).

For leaf nutrients, nitrogen and phosphorus contents were quantified using the method described by Guo et al. (2005) and Chandra et al. (2019). Leaf sample (0.20g) was weighed and extracted with H₂SO₄-HClO₄. The content of TN was determined at 645 nm by indophenol blue colorimetry, and the content of TP was measured by molybdenum antimony colorimetry. RWC of leaves was determined using the methodology of Meher et al. (2018) and calculated according to Sharp et al. (1990). Briefly, a total of 10 leaves per treatment were weighed and immersed in distilled water at 4°C for 12h. The leaves were then dried the water on the surface and re-weighed as saturated fresh weight. The immersed leaves were placed in an aluminum box and baked at 105°C for 15 min, and then dried at 75°C to constant weight. When cool to room temperature, the leaves were weighed again to obtain dry weight.

The chlorophyll in leaves was extracted in 10 ml of 80% (v/v) acetone and then measured at the wavelengths of 663 nm and 645 nm by spectrometry, respectively (Calvo-Polanco et al., 2016). Plant photosynthetic characteristics of 10 leaves at sixth or seventh nodes of shoots for each treatment were also evaluated at 9:00–11:00 am on the day after drought stress was finished. Their photosynthetic rates (Pn) and stomatal conductance (Gs) were quantified with a portable photosynthesis system (LI-COR-6400, Lincoln, NE, United States). The flow rate of air was

 $750\,\mu mol/min.$ The light source was red and blue LEDs with $1800\,\mu mol/(m^2s)$ of light intensity. The water use efficiency (WUE) was calculated according to the photosynthetic parameters.

The phydetek-IAA and phydetek-abscisic acid (ABA) immunoassay kits (Agdia, Elkhart, IN, United States) were, respectively, used to determine the IAA and ABA contents of leaves. The contents of these two phytohormones were determined based on the manufacturer's protocol.

The grapevines were also used for an assessment of key antioxidant indicators, namely, their malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), and catalase (CAT) levels. MDA content was measured following Heath and Packer (1968). Briefly, leaves tissue (0.50 g) was added into 2 ml of 0.1% trichloroacetic acid (v/v) and ground into homogenate, and then was extracted with 5 ml of 0.5% thiobarbituric acid (v/v) solution. The extract solution was shaken, boiled at boiling water bath for 10 min. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting extraction was centrifuged, and then, the suspension was determined at the 532 nm and 600 nm on a spectrophotometer, respectively.

The SOD, POD, and CAT enzymes were extracted using the method described by Li et al. (2017). Briefly, leaves tissue (0.50g) was homogenized with precooled 1.5 ml of Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), containing 0.1% mercaptoethanol (v/v), and 5% sucrose (w/v). The homogenate was then centrifuged, and the supernatants of crude enzymes were used to determine the activity of SOD, POD, and CAT. SOD activity was determined by Beyer and Fridovich (1987). One unit of SOD activity was defined as the amount of enzyme required to inhibit the reduction rate of nitroblue tetrazolium by 50% at 25°C. POD activity was measured according to the previous method (Zhou and Leul, 1998). According to Beer and Sizer (1952), CAT activity was determined by monitoring the disappearance of H₂O₂ at 240 nm.

Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA was used to analyze the differences of the data with SPSS 19.0. Duncan's multiple range tests compared the means of these variables in a pairwise manner at a significant level of P < 0.05. The graphs were drawn in OriginPro 9.0.

RESULTS

Isolation and Identification of Bacterial Strains With ACC Deaminase Activity

Seven ACC deaminase-producing bacterial strains were obtained from the rhizosphere soil of grapevine. These isolated bacterial strains differed in their ability to produce ACC deaminase (**Table 1**). The ACC deaminase activity of these two stains, isolate DR3 and DR6, was higher than the left five stains. Notably, the ACC deaminase activity of isolate DR3 was $60.11 \,\mu$ mol α -KB/(mg Prh), which was about 50% higher than the $41.18 \,\mu$ mol α -KB/(mg Prh) produced by isolate DR6. Next, these seven ACC deaminase-producing

Isolate no.	Genera	Nearest-type strain	Accession no.	Similarity (%) of the 16S rRNA gene	ACC deaminase activity [μmol α-KB/(mg Prh)]
DR1	Pseudomonas fluorescens	GU358073	MK774791	99.72%	15.69±0.31g
DR2	Pseudomonas corrugata	MF077202	MK346043	99.86%	22.87±0.60e
DR3	P. corrugata	MK240442	MK411217	99.93%	60.11±0.94a
DR4	Pseudomonas frederiksbergensis	MK849865	MK611658	99.72%	26.21 ±0.66d
DR5	Pseudomonas frederiksbergensis	KU958696	MK611660	99.86%	34.25±0.64c
DR6	Enterobacter soli	JQ682636	MK611659	99.51%	41.18±0.93b
DR7	Achromobacter xylosoxidans	JQ659946	MK611665	99.72%	$19.39 \pm 0.45 f$

TABLE 1 | 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase activity of the isolated bacteria associated with grapevine rhizosphere soil.

Values are the mean ± SE (n=3). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan's multiple range test at the P<0.05 level.

bacterial strains were identified by the 16S rDNA sequencing analysis; their similarity with the closest known type strains was 99–100% (**Table 1**). The phylogenetic analysis revealed these strains belonged to three different genera: *Pseudomonas* (five isolates), *Enterobacter* (one isolate), and *Achromobacter* (one isolate; **Figure 1**).

Drought Tolerance, Plant Growth-Promoting Properties, and EPS Production of Isolates

Although all seven isolates had nitrogen fixing, phosphate solubilization, and IAA secretion abilities, the three isolates DR1, DR3, and DR6 were able to survive under drought conditions of –0.30 MPa water potential (**Table 2**). Furthermore, all strains except DR7 had the ability to secrete EPS, while DR3 and DR6 were distinguished by higher phosphate solubilization, nitrogen fixation, and EPS secretion potential (**Table 2**). For strain DR3, its phosphate dissolving capacity and EPS production were, respectively, 64.07 µg/ml and 9.43 mg/ mg protein, with slight lower corresponding values for strain DR6, at 58.97 µg/ml and 7.38 mg/mg protein. Further, DR3 and DR6 harbored the greatest IAA production ability (at 10.29 and 11.14 µg/ml, respectively). Hence, the DR3 and DR6 strains were used in the pot experiment.

RAS/RT Ratio, Soil Aggregate Stability, Soil Nutrient Contents, and Related Enzyme Activity in Pot Experiment

Drought stress significantly increased both the RAS/RT ratio and soil aggregate stability (**Table 3**). Moreover, PGPRs also positively influenced the RAS/RT ratio and soil aggregate stability. Under drought, the RAS/RT ratios of treatments with inoculations of T1, T2, and T3 were, respectively, increased by 25.52, 29.35, and 56.47% over the control group; their corresponding soil aggregate stabilities were increased by 19.65, 22.66, and 39.68%. The RAS/RT ratios and soil aggregate stability were significantly higher in the mixed inoculation treatment (T3) than with the inoculation of either bacterial strain alone (p < 0.05).

The soil available N and available P contents were decreased significantly by drought stress, but this was alleviated after inoculation with PGPRs (**Table 3**). Compared with the control (CK) under drought stress conditions, the AN and AP

contents under inoculation with PGPRs were increased considerably, by 27.80–93.50% and 20.38–81.84%, respectively. The soil AN content (39.61 mg/kg) and AP content (4.72 mg/kg) under the T3 inoculation exceeded those in the other treatments (p < 0.05), under both non-drought and drought stress conditions. Similar patterns characterized the urease and alkaline phosphatase responses (**Table 3**). Under drought, the urease activity of the T1, T2, and T3 inoculation treatment increased by 53.41, 59.09, and 123.86%, respectively; the corresponding increases for alkaline phosphatase activity were 54.24, 84.67, and 164.57%. Thus, inoculating the rhizosphere with PGPRs can increase the local availability of soil nutrients and reduce the impact of a drought condition on grapevines.

Growth and Photosynthetic Characteristics Responses of Grapevines

Plant height, shoot, root, and leaf growth parameters were all decreased by drought stress (**Table 4**). However, these negative effects of drought stress were ameliorated after inoculation with different strains, especially the mixed inoculation (T3). Plant height at mixed inoculation (T3) under no drought stress and drought stress were 73.84 cm and 69.46 cm, respectively. Compared with the control, the inoculation of the PGPR strains under drought stress increased plant height by 12.30–32.68%; moreover, the dry weight of shoot and root components was, respectively, increased by 19.84–36.64%, and 28.03–64.74%. In addition, the strains significantly improved the diameter of grapevines' shoot, as well as the length, surface area, volume, and activity of their roots. In particular, the T3 treatment increased the root activity by 115.12%, directly affecting plant growth and nutrient contents.

The growth and photosynthetic characteristics of grapevines were markedly decreased while under drought stress (**Tables 4** and 5), whereas inoculation of the strains was able to effectively counter this impact. Leaf total nitrogen, total phosphorus, RWC, chlorophyll contents, Pn, Gs, and WUE were all increased at treatments with inoculations of the strains by 50.77–110.99%, 68.99–220.25%, 15.17–31.07%, 31.63–61.22%, 30.04–61.31%, 52.89–198.10%, and 41.67–103.13%, respectively, when compared with the control under drought stress. Among the three treatments, the mixed inoculation (T3) best improved the growth and photosynthetic characteristics of grapevines.



TABLE 2 | Plant growth-promoting characteristics of the isolates from grapevine rhizosphere soil.

Strain	Drought tolerance (OD ₆₀₀ at -0.30 MPa)	Indoleacetic acid (IAA) production (µg/ ml)	Nitrogen fixation	Phosphorus- solubilizing circle (mm)	Phosphate dissolving (µg/ml)	Exopolysaccharides production (mg/mg protein)
DR1	0.25	4.46±0.27f	+	6.51±0.29d	49.37±0.39e	4.10
DR2	-	8.33±0.44cd	+	7.62±0.30d	52.25±0.64d	4.44
DR3	1.05	10.29±0.21b	+	14.17±0.08a	64.07±0.79a	9.43
DR4	_	9.08±0.20c	+	9.91±0.24c	57.06±0.48c	4.16
DR5	_	8.07±0.13d	+	7.36±0.13d	51.89±0.33d	3.52
DR6	0.84	11.14±0.11a	+	11.65±0.91b	58.97±0.17b	7.38
DR7	-	5.44±0.41e	+	7.60±0.11d	51.84±0.28d	-

Values are the mean ± SE (n=3). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan's multiple range test at the P<0.05 level.

IAA and ABA Contents

Drought stress and the bacterial strains' inoculation significantly influenced the phytohormones, IAA, and ABA of grapevines (**Figure 2**). Their IAA content was significantly decreased by drought stress, but it was significantly 18.9–78.8% and 55.2–131.4% higher in the PGPR inoculation treatments than the controls without and with drought stress, respectively. Among the inoculation treatments under both non-drought and drought stress conditions, the IAA content of grapevines inoculated with T3—the mixed inoculation of *P. corrugate* and *E. soli*—was always the highest, while their ABA contents were the lowest. However, the ABA content of grapevines

was significantly increased by drought stress. Compared with the control under drought stress, ABA contents of inoculation treatments T1 (*P. corrugata*), T2 (*E. soli*), and T3 (*P. corrugate* and *E. soli*) were reduced by 9.94, 11.54, and 35.42%, respectively.

MDA Content and Antioxidant Enzymes Activity

Drought stress caused a significant increment in MDA content and augmentation in the activity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, POD, and CAT; **Figure 3**). Compared with the non-inoculated grapevines, the MDA content decreased by 12.7–25.9%, and

Drought stress	Treatment	RAS/RT	Aggregate stability (%)	Available N (mg/kg)	Available P (mg/kg)	Urease (mg/g)	Alkaline phosphatase (mg/kg)
ND	СК	$23.52 \pm 0.70d$	34.75±0.84d	30.96±0.84c	4.23±0.11d	1.34±0.07c	14.75±0.84d
	T1	30.27±1.13c	42.56±2.04c	38.71±1.61b	5.47±0.28b	1.82±0.18b	22.56±1.61c
	T2	31.17±2.59c	42.95±0.87c	39.04±0.87b	$5.67 \pm 0.08b$	1.91±0.04b	$25.95 \pm 0.87b$
	T3	32.02±1.26c	49.66±2.64b	47.90±0.91a	6.52±0.13a	2.67±0.04a	37.66±0.91a
DS	CK	33.15±0.97c	43.20±1.17c	20.47±0.66e	$2.60 \pm 0.06 f$	0.88±0.08d	9.20±0.66e
	T1	41.61±1.87b	$51.69 \pm 1.90b$	26.16±0.94d	3.13±0.05e	1.35±0.19c	14.19±0.94d
	T2	42.88±1.70b	$52.99 \pm 2.19b$	30.97±1.04c	$3.31 \pm 0.06e$	1.40±0.04c	16.99±1.04d
	T3	51.87±2.73a	60.34±1.45a	$39.61 \pm 0.88b$	$4.72 \pm 0.08c$	1.97±0.12b	$24.34\pm0.88bc$

TABLE 3 | Effects of inoculation with ACC deaminase-producing plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) on root-adhering soil/root tissue (RAS/RT) ratio, soil aggregate stability, available N, available P and enzyme activities under non-drought (ND) and drought stress (DS) conditions.

Values are the mean ± SE (n=3). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan's multiple range test at the P<0.05 level.

the SOD, POD, and CAT activities improved by 11.8–74.1%, 44.2–118.4%, and 28.5–103.6%, respectively. Under drought, the MDA content was significantly decreased by the PGPR inoculations, reaching its lowest value, 23.98 nmol/g, under T3. Conversely, antioxidant enzymes (SOD, POD, and CAT) activities were significantly increased after the inoculation with PGPRs, attaining their highest values under T3. These results showed that PGPR inoculations could reduce the accumulation of MDA in leaves of grapevines under drought conditions, thereby lessening the severity of incurred membrane damage and improving their drought resistance.

Soil and Plant Growth Properties Associated With the Phytohormones and MDA

The phytohormones IAA and ABA, and MDA, each had significantly positive correlations with the grapevines' root and shoot properties and photosynthetic characteristics, and the soil properties (**Table 6**). Yet, only SOD, POD, and CAT were positively correlated with soil properties. Specifically, IAA was positively correlated with the dry weight of root as well as the dry weight of shoot and the R/S ratio (**Figure 4**). In addition, IAA content was also positively correlated with the soil AN and AP contents. By contrast, ABA was negatively correlated with the dry weight of root and shoot, the R/S ratio as well as Gs, and also AN and AP. Lastly, MDA was negatively correlated with RWC.

DISCUSSION

The PGPRs with ACC deaminase activity could reduce ethylene's inhibition of plant growth and induce plant stress resistance *via* phytohormone signaling pathways, thus positively impacting plant growth and alleviating abiotic stresses, like drought. Unsurprisingly, such PGPRs are sought/isolated and widely studied for various crops to help them cope with adverse growing conditions. In this study, a total of seven ACC deaminase-possessing strains from grapevine rhizosphere soil in the arid region were isolated. Their ACC deaminase activities

varied almost 4-fold, from 15.69 to $60.11 \,\mu\text{mol} \,\alpha\text{-KB/(mgPrh)}$. The levels of ACC deaminase activity measured in our study are generally higher than those of strains isolated from rhizosphere soil of other crops, such as $1.89-39.40 \,\mu\text{mol} \,\alpha\text{-KB}$ / (mg Pr h) in foxtail millet (Niu et al., 2018) and 1.82-41.58 µmol α -KB/(mgPrh) in wheat (Ansari et al., 2021). These differences may be due to the different species studied, as well as the degree of stress incurred by the host plants (Niu et al., 2018), given that an environmental stress likely induces the strain to develop tolerance to that stress (Hoffmann and Hercus, 2000). More than 20 genera of rhizosphere bacteria are now known to harbor potential plant disease prevention and growth promotion benefits, such as Pseudomonas, Bacillus (Broadbent et al., 1977), Agrobacterium, and Eriwinia. Among these genera, Pseudomonas is the dominant genus, accounting for 60-93% of the PGPRs identified to date. Further, the genera of isolated strains mainly depend on the species identity of their host plants (Gontia-Mishra et al., 2017; Niu et al., 2018); for example, Pseudomonas and Arthrobacter were isolated from rhizosphere soil of millet, and likewise Acinetobacter in addition to Bacillus from that of wheat (Timmusk et al., 2014; Gontia-Mishra et al., 2017). Among the seven isolated strains in our study, at a frequency of 74.1%, Pseudomonas clearly was the dominant class in the rhizosphere soil of grapevine. Further, of the seven strains, two strains (P. corrugata DR3 and E. soli DR6) not only could survive under the -0.3 MPa condition but also featured the greatest ACC deaminase activity (DR3: 60.11 µmol α -KB/(mg Pr h); DR6: 41.18 μ mol α -KB/(mg Pr h)). This key trait could, to a considerable extent, relieve the ethylene stress to plants induced by drought, along with positively affecting their growth under drought conditions. Therefore, these two strains were used in the pot experiment to test the effects of PGPR on grapevines and discern their mechanisms.

In this study, drought decreased the plant height, the dry matter and diameter of shoots, the root length and volume, and leaf nutrient and photosynthetic characteristics, thus impairing the growth of grapevines. These results are supported by other findings that drought can alter physiological, biochemical, and molecular processes in plants, leading to productivity losses (Kaushal and Wani, 2016). Some studies reported that a PGPR inoculation could modulate key

Stress Com DW* (g) Diameter DW (g) Length Surface Volume Activity (l.g/g·h) TN* (g/kg) TP* (g/kg) RWC ⁴ (%) ND CK 593.33±1.17de 5.79±0.04c 4.45±0.21c 4.99±0.13cd 3,192±83c 706±9c 10.42±0.43d 6.3.81±1.32d 13.69±0.07f 2.43±0.16d 87.79±0.03c ND CK 593.33±1.17de 5.79±0.04c 4.45±0.21c 4.99±0.13cd 3,192±83c 706±9c 10.42±0.43d 6.381±1.32d 13.69±0.07f 2.43±0.16d 87.79±0.03c T1 662.5±1.51bc 6.94±0.50b 5.43±0.17b 3,302±92bc 767±21b 15.62±0.72b 75.96±4.74c 19.71±0.18d 3.54±0.06c 87.79±0.03c T2 682.29±1.21b 7.02±0.38b 5.56±0.16b 5.99±0.22a 3,43±54a 863±14a 20.98±0.692 17.25±1.73b 87.21±1.03b 2.04±0.04c 4.33±0.16c 87.778±0.036 T2 88.29±1.16f 4.94±0.02b 5.99±0.23a 7.70±0.22a 3,43±54a 863±1.42a 20.97±0.04a 6.40±0.0.34a 2.93±0	Drought .	Treatments	Plant height	ЧS	oot			Root				Leaf	
ND CK 59:33±1.17de 5.79±0.04c 4.45±0.21c 4.99±0.13cd 3,192±83c 706±9c 10.42±0.43d 6.381±1.32d 13.699±0.07f 2.43±0.15d 80.29±0.15d 80.29±0.07f 2.43±0.15d 80.29±0.15d 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05 87.10±0.05	stress		(cm)	DW ^a (g)	Diameter (mm)	DW (g)	Length (cm)	Surface area (cm²)	Volume (cm ³)	Activity (µg/g·h)	TN ^b (g/kg)	TP° (g/kg)	RWC ⁴ (%)
T1 66.25±1.51bc 6.84±0.50b 5.43±0.14b 5.84±0.17b 3,302±92bc 767±21b 15.62±0.72b 75.96±4.74c 19.71±0.18d 3.54±0.16c 87.10±0.65 T2 68.29±1.21b 7.02±0.38b 5.56±0.16b 5.99±0.29b 3,465±57b 789±8b 17.25±1.73b 87.21±1.03b 22.04±0.04c 4.93±0.46b 87.78±0.80 T3 7.3.84±1.81a 8.04±0.21a 6.18±0.23a 7.70±0.22a 3,843±54a 863±14a 20.98±0.69a 103.18±1.52a 29.92±0.04a 6.40±0.34a 92.39±0.75 DS CK 52.35±1.15f 4.94±0.00d 3.67±0.12d 3.46±0.07f 2,277±85e 508±5f 6.76±0.47e 29.97±0.62f 10.101±0.08g 1.58±0.26e 62.48±0.81 DS CK 52.35±1.16f 4.94±0.00d 3.67±0.12d 2.45±0.16c 4.73±0.52d 2.588±56d 566±10e 10.38±0.47d 11.01±0.08g 1.58±0.26e 62.48±0.016 DS CK 53.79±1.47e 6.88±0.05b 4.52±0.18c 2.47±0.24d 2.67±0.03d 1.58±0.04e 6.76±0.04c 4.93±0.26e 62.48±0.05f 1.06±0.17e 2.67±0.042d 1.66±0.013e 2.67±0.02d	QN	X	59.33±1.17de	5.79±0.04c	4.45±0.21c	4.99±0.13cd	3,192±83c	706±9c	10.42±0.43d	63.81±1.32d	13.69±0.07f	2.43±0.15d	80.29±0.760
T2 68.29±1.21b 7.02±0.38b 5.56±0.16b 5.99±0.29b 3,465±57b 789±8b 17.25±1.73b 87.21±1.03b 22.04±0.04c 4.93±0.46b 87.78±0.80 T3 7.3.84±1.81a 8.04±0.21a 6.18±0.23a 7.70±0.22a 3,843±54a 863±14a 20.98±0.69a 103.18±1.52a 29.92±0.04a 6.40±0.34a 92.39±0.75 DS CK 52.35±1.15f 4.94±0.09d 3.67±0.12d 3.46±0.07f 2,277±85e 508±5f 6.76±0.47e 29.97±0.62f 11.01±0.08g 1.58±0.26e 62.48±0.081 T1 58.79±1.16f 4.94±0.09d 3.67±0.12d 3.46±0.07f 2,277±85e 508±5f 6.76±0.47e 29.97±0.62f 11.01±0.08g 1.58±0.26e 62.48±0.081 T2 58.79±1.147e 6.88±0.05b 4.52±0.18c 4.43±0.25d 2,588±56d 566±10e 10.38±0.44d 51.01±0.03e 2.67±0.28d 71.96±0.56 5.67±0.304 3.96±0.12e 7456±0.56 5.67±0.33d 5.67±0.31de 19.65±0.04d 3.96±0.12c 74.50±1.04 T2 63.46±1.198b 6.75±0.038 5.39±0.17bc 3,199±104c 705±8c 74.50±1.22d 23.23±0.12b <td< td=""><td></td><td>T1</td><td>66.25±1.51bc</td><td>$6.84 \pm 0.50b$</td><td>5.43±0.14b</td><td>$5.84 \pm 0.17b$</td><td>$3,302 \pm 92bc$</td><td>767±21b</td><td>$15.62 \pm 0.72b$</td><td>75.96±4.74c</td><td>19.71 ±0.18d</td><td>3.54±0.16c</td><td>87.10±0.65b</td></td<>		T1	66.25±1.51bc	$6.84 \pm 0.50b$	5.43±0.14b	$5.84 \pm 0.17b$	$3,302 \pm 92bc$	767±21b	$15.62 \pm 0.72b$	75.96±4.74c	19.71 ±0.18d	3.54±0.16c	87.10±0.65b
T3 73.84±1.81a 8.04±0.21a 6.18±0.22a 7,70±0.22a 3,843±54a 863±14a 20.98±0.69a 103.18±1.52a 29.92±0.04a 6.40±0.34a 92.39±0.07b DS CK 52.35±1.15f 4.94±0.09d 3.67±0.12d 3.46±0.07f 2,277±85e 508±5f 6.76±0.47e 29.97±0.62f 11.01±0.08g 1.58±0.26e 62.48±0.081 T1 58.79±1.47e 6.68±0.05b 4.52±0.18c 4.43±0.52d 2,588±56d 566±10e 10.38±0.44d 51.03±3.85e 16.60±0.19e 2.67±0.28d 71.96±0.56 T2 63.40±1.21cd 5.92±0.15c 4.68±0.17c 4.79±0.24d 2,715±51d 636±14d 10.57±0.33d 57.27±3.91de 19.65±0.04d 3.96±0.12c 74.50±1.04 T2 63.46±1.98b 6.75±0.08b 5.39±0.12b 5.70±0.17bc 3,199±104c 705±8c 13.07±0.33d 57.27±3.91de 19.65±0.04d 3.96±0.12c 74.50±1.04 T3 69.46±1.98b 6.75±0.08b 5.39±0.12b 5.70±0.17bc 3,199±104c 705±8c 13.07±0.33d 57.27±3.91de 19.65±0.04d 3.96±0.12c 74.50±1.04 T4 63.46±1.198b 6.75±0		T2	68.29±1.21b	$7.02 \pm 0.38b$	5.56±0.16b	$5.99 \pm 0.29b$	3,465±57b	789±8b	17.25±1.73b	87.21±1.03b	$22.04 \pm 0.04c$	$4.93 \pm 0.46b$	87.78±0.80b
DS CK 52.35±1.15f 4.94±0.09d 3.67±0.12d 3.46±0.07f 2,277±85e 508±5f 6.76±0.47e 29.97±0.62f 1.1.01±0.08g 1.58±0.26e 62.48±0.01 T1 58.79±1.47e 6.688±0.05b 4.52±0.18c 4.43±0.52d 2,588±56d 566±10e 10.38±0.44d 51.03±3.85e 16.60±0.19e 2.67±0.28d 71.96±0.56 T2 63.40±1.21cd 5.92±0.15c 4.68±0.17c 4.79±0.24d 2,715±51d 636±14d 10.57±0.33d 57.27±3.91de 19.65±0.04d 3.98±0.12c 74.50±1.04 T2 63.40±1.21cd 5.92±0.17c 4.79±0.12b 5.70±0.17bc 3,199±104c 705±8c 13.07±0.33d 57.27±3.91de 19.65±0.04d 3.98±0.12c 74.50±1.04 T3 69.46±1.98b 6.75±0.08b 5.39±0.12b 5.70±0.17bc 3,199±104c 705±8c 13.07±0.33c 64.47±1.22d 23.23±0.12b 5.00±0.30b 81.89±1.24		Т3	73.84±1.81a	8.04±0.21a	6.18±0.23a	7.70±0.22a	3,843±54a	863±14a	20.98±0.69a	103.18±1.52a	29.92±0.04a	6.40±0.34a	92.39±0.75a
T1 58.79±1.47e 6.68±0.05b 4.52±0.18c 4.43±0.52d 2,588±56d 566±10e 10.38±0.4d 51.03±3.85e 16.60±0.19e 2.67±0.28d 71.96±0.56 T2 63.40±1.21cd 5.92±0.15c 4.68±0.17c 4.79±0.24d 2,715±51d 636±14d 10.57±0.33d 57.27±3.91de 19.65±0.04d 3.96±0.12c 74.50±1.04 T3 69.46±1.98b 6.75±0.08b 5.39±0.12b 5.70±0.17bc 3,199±104c 705±8c 13.07±0.33c 67.47±1.22d 23.23±0.12b 5.06±0.30b 81.89±1.24	DS	ХO	52.35±1.15f	4.94±0.09d	3.67±0.12d	$3.46 \pm 0.07f$	2,277±85e	508±5f	$6.76 \pm 0.47e$	29.97±0.62f	11.01±0.08g	$1.58 \pm 0.26e$	62.48±0.81e
T2 63.40±1.21cd 5.92±0.15c 4.68±0.17c 4.79±0.24d 2,715±51d 636±14d 10.57±0.33d 57.27±3.91de 19.65±0.04d 3.96±0.12c 74.50±1.04 T3 69.46±1.98b 6.75±0.08b 5.39±0.12b 5.70±0.17bc 3,199±104c 705±8c 13.07±0.33c 64.47±1.22d 23.23±0.12b 5.06±0.30b 81.89±1.24		T1	58.79±1.47e	$6.68 \pm 0.05b$	4.52±0.18c	$4.43 \pm 0.52d$	2,588±56d	566±10e	$10.38 \pm 0.44d$	51.03±3.85e	16.60±0.19e	2.67±0.28d	71.96±0.56c
T3 69.46±1.98b 6.75±0.08b 5.39±0.12b 5.70±0.17bc 3,199±104c 705±8c 13.07±0.33c 64.47±1.22d 23.23±0.12b 5.06±0.30b 81.89±1.24		T2	$63.40 \pm 1.21 \text{ cd}$	5.92±0.15c	4.68±0.17c	$4.79 \pm 0.24d$	2,715±51d	636±14d	$10.57 \pm 0.33d$	57.27±3.91de	19.65±0.04d	3.96±0.12c	74.50±1.04d
		T3	69.46±1.98b	$6.75 \pm 0.08b$	5.39±0.12b	$5.70 \pm 0.17 bc$	3,199±104c	705±8c	$13.07 \pm 0.33c$	64.47±1.22d	23.23±0.12b	$5.06 \pm 0.30b$	81.89±1.24c
	^b TN: total n.	iitrogen.											

morphological and biochemical processes to mitigate the drought stress incurred by crop plants or herbs, such as maize (Jochum et al., 2019), wheat (Yaseen et al., 2019), and Chlorophytum (Barnawal et al., 2016a). Accordingly, in the present study, the growth traits and physiological properties of grapevines were measured under non-drought and drought stress conditions. This demonstrated that single-PGPR inoculations of P. corrugata or E. soli promoted the plant growth. Importantly, applying the mixture inoculation of both PGPRs had stronger promotion effects than applying either alone. Specifically, plant height, shoot dry matter and diameter, root length and volume, and leaf nutrients were all significantly higher for the mixture of PGPRs than either single-strain inoculation or none at all (control) under drought condition. These results are consistent with other findings (Jochum et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). For example, inoculation with PGPRs improved the growth of spring wheat plants (Baris et al., 2014), whose dry matter content and growth were higher when treated with the mixed than single inoculations (Sahin et al., 2004; Baris et al., 2014). Therefore, two PGPRs, P. corrugata and E. soli, are capable of effectively enhancing the drought tolerance of grapevine and ameliorating the negative effects to it caused by drought.

Although both PGPRs P. corrugata and E. soli were isolated, selected, and inoculated to improve drought tolerance and growth of grapevine plants, their mechanisms may nonetheless be complicated and likely involve a complex combination of many pathways (Cohen et al., 2015; Ortiz et al., 2015). For example, PGPRs are known to benefit plant growth under drought conditions in multiple ways (Figure 5), because of their role in various processes: absorption of nutrients and water, root proliferation, aggregate stability, EPS production, and regulating phytohormone secretion (Sandhya et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2017). Nitrogen (N) participates in chlorophyll synthesis, so higher leaf concentrations of N could improve the photosynthetic rate of plants (Chen et al., 2005). Phosphorus (P) could participate in energy synthesis and promote plant growth, which are both determined by the relative supply of N and P in soil (Longstreth and Nobel, 1980; Mattos et al., 2003). Here, adding PGPRs augmented the available N and P contents, along with N, P-related enzyme activities and urease and alkaline phosphatase activities, to promote the 1-year-old own-rooted grapevine growth; however, the mechanisms responsible are different. We know that PGPRs capable of nitrogen fixation and P-solubilization can promote plants' absorption of specific nutrients and increase their utilization rate of nutrients (Islam et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2020). In this way, PGPRs that promote nitrogen fixation will enhance the uptake of N by plants, thereby increasing the N content and photosynthetic rate of leaves. These positive effects on plants would feedback belowground, leading to more plant root exudates being formed and released into the rhizosphere, including ACC. Meanwhile, those PGPRs with ACC deaminase will decompose ACC as an N resource. This could drive a concentration gradient of ACC between the internal and external plant roots, and continuously promote ACC exudation from roots, which would also mitigate the ethylene stress induced by drought.

 λ alues are the mean ±SE (n=3). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan's multiple range test at the P < 0.05 level.

¹RWC: relative water content

Drought stress	Treatments	Chlorophyll contents (mg/g)	Pnª (μmol/m²s)	Gs⁵ (mmol/m²s)	WUE° (µmol/mmol)
ND	СК	2.62±0.05c	8.01 ±0.55 cd	80.73±3.58d	1.40±0.12d
	T1	$3.05 \pm 0.03b$	10.11±0.47b	93.46±3.64c	2.52±0.16b
	T2	$3.09 \pm 0.05b$	10.27 ±0.40b	112.10±4.34b	2.57 ±0.11b
	Т3	3.43±0.08a	11.88±0.38a	144.78±5.68a	3.04±0.16a
DS	CK	1.96±0.01d	5.66±0.49e	26.85 ± 2.45 g	0.96±0.08e
	T1	2.58±0.07c	7.36±0.49d	41.05±2.93f	1.46±0.11d
	T2	2.71±0.10c	7.02±0.31d	66.51 ± 3.78e	1.36±0.11d
	Т3	3.16±0.15b	9.13±0.52bc	80.04±3.61d	1.95±0.12c

TABLE 5 | Effects of inoculation with ACC deaminase-producing PGPRs on the chlorophyll contents, Pn, Gs, and water use efficiency (WUE) of grapevine leaves under non-drought (ND) and drought stress (DS) conditions.

^aPn: photosynthetic rates.

^bGs: stomatal conductance.

°WUE: water use efficiency.

Values are the mean ± SE (n=3). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan's multiple range test at the P<0.05 level.

Because P typically has only one source-mainly from the weathering of bedrock material-the relatively low P content of soil would restrict plant growth (Del Campillo et al., 1999; Ezawa et al., 2002; Vassilev and Vassileva, 2003). Regarding the increased available P contents in soil in this study, it is likely driven by the P-solubilization of PGPRs, this increasing the production of P-related enzymes by PGPRs, which stimulates the availability of P in soil, as suggested by our Table 5 results. These findings are supported by several studies (Vacheron et al., 2013; Bargaz et al., 2018; Enebe and Babalola, 2018). Moreover, some work has shown that Pseudomonas and Escherichia coli used in present study function well as phosphate solubilizers (Park et al., 2008). Compared with the single strain, the inoculation of mixed strains had a more pronounced effect on soil fertility. This further proved that applying PGPRs could improve the nutrient contents of soil and promote the absorption and utilization of soil nutrients by plants. Therefore, inoculation with PGPRs could be used as good biofertilizers to regulate the soil nutrient elements and improve drought resistance of grapevines under drought conditions.

Drought is a root-borne stress, because the corresponding root metabolism that occurs under drought mainly will influence the photosynthesis process. Therefore, the alternations to root system architecture under drought conditions are a response best understood as a stress defensive mechanism. The EPS, a high molecular weight type of carbohydrate, could help bacteria attach themselves to the plants root surface for the formation of biofilm which protect the roots from drying (Janczarek and Rachwał, 2013) and improves their drought tolerance. In addition, research has shown that EPS-producing PGPR could improve water uptake and soil nutrients absorption by improving the RAS/RT ratio and macro-aggregate stability under drought conditions (Janczarek et al., 2015). Our results showed that single and mixed inoculations of EPS-producing DR3 and DR6 into the rhizosphere did not only significantly increase the RAS/RT, RWC, and soil aggregation stability of grapevines under drought stress, but they also led to the development of an extensive root system and greater total dry weight. Thus, we may conclude that the main role of EPS production under drought conditions is to augment the levels of rhizosphere soil nutrients and regulate water.

Drought stress can induce the excessive production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which could impair or degrade normal cell metabolism functioning via oxidative damage of DNA, membrane proteins, and lipids, ultimately limiting the growth of plants (Song et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2015). Notably, MDA, the final decomposition product of membrane lipid peroxidation, could be used to gauge the degree to which a plant has been damaged in the face of adversity (Peng et al., 2020). This view is supported by our study's results, in that imposing drought significantly increased the MDA in grapevine. To overcome the negative effects caused by ROS, the antioxidant defense systems of plants, which include SOD, POD, and CAT enzymes, are activated under drought stress (Jesus et al., 2015) to eliminate excessive ROS. In our study, the SOD, POD, and CAT activities of the grapevines inoculated under drought stress were significantly higher than those without inoculation and accompanied by a decreased MDA. Therefore, our results support the view that the PGPR inoculations are very effective at reducing oxidative damage under different stress conditions (Barnawal et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2020). While facing drought stress conditions, this study's experimental injections of P. corrugata, E. soli, and their mixed inoculation into the rhizosphere were able to significantly reduce the MDA content of the grapevines. Accordingly, we anticipate the three inoculums could reduce the MDA content by increasing the activity of SOD and POD under drought conditions, thereby improving the antioxidant defense activity and drought resistance of grapevines in field settings.

Further, the hormonal balance of a plant can be modulated by phytohormones produced by PGPRs. This could regulate morphological and physiological characteristics of plants indirectly, thus promoting plant growth under stressful conditions. Our results revealed that drought stress significantly decreased IAA, whereas the two strains have stronger capacity to promote the synthesis of IAA (*P. corrugata*: $10.29 \,\mu$ g/ml; *E. soli*: $11.14 \,\mu$ g/ml). Inoculation with PGPRs increased the endogenous IAA production compared with the control under both normal







and drought conditions. In this way, the root biomass and surface area would be increased, which then promoted the uptake of water and nutrients, thus ensuring plant growth and survival when incurring drought stress. This is supported by other findings that IAA is one of the vital factors which can alleviate exogenous stress upon plants, by stimulating plant development, significantly increasing dry matter content, shoot or root lengths, and affecting the absorption of nutrients by plants (Baris et al., 2014; Pal et al., 2019; Zerrouk et al., 2019). Previous studies have also shown that IAA could promote the growth of cotton (Kapgate et al., 1989), soybeans (Sarkar et al., 2002), and *Brassica juncea* (Mir et al., 2020). In addition, some

Parameters	Root properties		Shoot properties		Photosynthetic properties		Soil properties	
	r	Р	r	Р	r	Р	r	Р
IAA	0.66	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.62	0.00	0.57	0.00
ABA	0.69	0.00	0.68	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.53	0.00
MDA	0.89	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.76	0.00	0.50	0.00
SOD	-0.05	0.65	-0.08	0.77	-0.08	0.79	0.34	0.00
POD	0.09	0.15	0.01	0.39	0.03	0.31	0.46	0.00
CAT	0.04	0.28	-0.03	0.60	-0.02	0.52	0.41	0.00

TABLE 6 | Mantel test results for the correlations between the grapevines' phytohormones, MDA, and antioxidant enzymes and their root, shoot, photosynthesis, and soil properties.

Bold numbers indicate significant correlations (P<0.05).







studies demonstrated IAA does not only regulate growth of the root system to increase the absorption of water and nutrients, but also enhance stomatal conductance, photosynthetic rate, and the activity of antioxidant enzymes by removing excess ROS (Shi et al., 2014; Li et al., 2019).

Another important stress hormone, ABA, has been well-studied for how it enhances drought tolerance in plant through regulating stress-induced genes as well as signaling the stomatal closure under drought conditions (During, 1984; Jochum et al., 2019). Diminished stomatal conductance is beneficial for lessening transpiration, thus reducing water losses, but it may lead to insufficient CO₂ and a lowering of the plant photosynthesis rate. Our results showed that drought significantly increased ABA and indirectly decreased Gs to reduce transpiration in grapevines, thereby increasing their WUE. Inoculation with PGPRs significantly decreased the ABA concentration in grapevines, compared with non-inoculated grapevines under drought stress. Similar results were reported for maize (Porcel et al., 2014) and tomato (Belimov et al., 2015). Therefore, we speculated that PGPRs with ACC deaminase activity might alleviate the diminished photosynthetic characteristics due to drought stress by reducing the content of ABA. Our experiment confirmed this hypothesis, in that the chlorophyll content of leaves, Pn, Gs, and WUE were all significantly increased by inoculation strains under drought conditions. Those results are supported by Liu et al. (2019), who determined the photosynthetic characteristics of Sambucus williamsii Hance seedling leaves in response to inoculation with Acinetobacter calcoaceticus X128. Therefore, PGPRs can promote plant growth and increase dry matter accumulation by increasing the photosynthetic rate (Liu et al., 2013).

CONCLUSION

This study shows that several ACC deaminase-producing rhizobacteria exhibit high tolerance to drought stress. Hence, roots of wine grapevines can be selected as a resource to isolate PGPRs that might be used to protect plants from drought stress impacts. Our study suggests that inoculations with Pseudomonas corrugate DR3 and E. soli DR6 isolated from rhizosphere soil of wine grape could effectively alleviate drought stress damage and promote the growth of 1-year-old own-rooted grapevines, with their mixed inoculation showing the best promotion effects. The mechanisms by which PGPRs alleviate environmental stress and promote plant growth rely on complex combination of numerous pathways. These two strains improved soil nutrients and then promoted plant growth by contributing to enhanced nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilization. Additionally, the PGPRs' ability to partly regulate phytohormones and induce the ROS defense system indirectly affects biochemical and physiological properties of grapevines, ameliorating the drought stress incurred by plants. Therefore, P. corrugata DR3 and E. soli DR6 both offer great potential as biological fertilizers in arid regions for the sustainable development of the grape industry.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession numbers can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/,

MK774791; MK346043; MK411217; MK611658; MK611660; MK611659; and MK611665.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XL designed the experiments and was responsible for the manuscript revision. GC performed the experiment and laboratory analysis. BD and LL co-wrote the paper. CS-Z, YL, HM, and WL analyzed the data and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Aballay, E., Mårtensson, A., and Persson, P. (2011). Screening of rhizosphere bacteria from grapevine for their suppressive effect on Xiphinema index Thorne & Allen on *in vitro* grape plants. *Plant Soil* 1, 313–325. doi: 10.1007/ s11104-011-0851-6
- Alemneh, A. A., Zhou, Y., Ryder, M. H., and Denton, M. D. (2021). Largescale screening of rhizobacteria to enhance the chickpea-Mesorhizobium symbiosis using a plant-based strategy. *Rhizosphere* 18:100361. doi: 10.1016/j. rhisph.2021.100361
- Ansari, F. A., Jabeen, M., and Ahmad, I. (2021). Pseudomonas azotoformans FAP5, a novel biofilm-forming PGPR strain, alleviates drought stress in wheat plant. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1–16. doi: 10.1007/s13762-020-03045-9
- Bargaz, A., Lyamlouli, K., Chtouki, M., Zeroual, Y., and Dhiba, D. (2018). Soil microbial resources for improving fertilizers efficiency in an integrated plant nutrient management system. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:1606. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01606
- Baris, O., Sahin, F., Turan, M., Orhan, F., and Gulluce, M. (2014). Use of plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) seed inoculation as alternative fertilizer inputs in wheat and barley production. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 45, 2457–2467. doi: 10.1080/00103624.2014.912296
- Barnawal, D., Bharti, N., Tripathi, A., Pandey, S. S., Chanotiya, C. S., and Kalra, A. (2016a). ACC-deaminase-producing endophyte *Brachybacterium* paraconglomeratum strain SMR20 ameliorates Chlorophytum salinity stress via altering phytohormone generation. J. Plant Growth Regul. 35, 553–564. doi: 10.1007/s00344-015-9560-3
- Barnawal, D., Pandey, S. S., Bharti, N., Pandey, A., Ray, T., Singh, S., et al. (2016b). ACC deaminase-containing plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria protect *Papaver somniferum* from downy mildew. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 122, 1286–1298. doi: 10.1111/jam.13417
- Beer, R. F. J., and Sizer, I. W. A. (1952). Spectrophotometric method for measuring the breakdown of hydrogen peroxide by catalase. J. Biol. Chem. 195, 133–140. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)50881-X
- Belimov, A. A., Dodd, I. C., Safronova, V. I., Shaposhnikov, A. I., Azarova, T. S., Makarova, N. M., et al. (2015). Rhizobacteria that produce auxins and contain 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid deaminase decrease amino acid concentrations in the rhizosphere and improve growth and yield of well-watered and water-limited potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). Ann. Appl. Biol. 167, 11–25. doi: 10.1111/aab.12203
- Beyer, W. F. Jr., and Fridovich, I. (1987). Assaying for superoxide dismutase activity: some large consequences of minor changes in conditions. *Anal. Biochem.* 161, 559–566. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(87)90489-1
- Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72, 248–254. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3
- Broadbent, P., Baker, K. F., Franks, N., and Holland, J. (1977). Effect of *Bacillus* spp. on increased growth of seedling in steamd and in nontreated soil. *Phytopathology* 67, 1027–1034. doi: 10.1094/phyto-67-1027
- Calvo-Polanco, M., Sanchez-Romera, B., Aroca, R., Asins, M. J., Declerck, S., Dodd, I. C., et al. (2016). Exploring the use of recombinant inbred lines in combination with beneficial microbial inoculants (AM fungus and PGPR) to improve drought stress tolerance in tomato. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 131, 47–57. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2016.06.015

FUNDING

All sources of funding received for the research being submitted here. The National Key Research and Development of China, Key Research and Development of Shaanxi province, and Key Research and Development of Sichuan Province supported the experiments conducted in field and greenhouse. Besides, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities gave support for the publication fees and sampling analysis.

- Cattelan, A. J., Hartel, P. G., and Fuhrmann, J. J. (1999). Screening for plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to promote early soybean growth. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63, 1670–1680. doi: 10.2136/sssaj1999.6361670x
- Chandra, R., Amit, , and Ghosh, U. K. (2019). Effects of various abiotic factors on biomass growth and lipid yield of *Chlorella minutissima* for sustainable biodiesel production. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 26, 3848–3861. doi: 10.1007/ s11356-018-3696-1
- Chaudhary, T. N., and Kar, S. (1972). "Aggregate and clod size distribution," in *Theory and Practice in Agrophysics Measurements*. eds. R. P. Gupta and B. P. Ghildyal (New Delhi: Allied publishers Ltd), 61–70.
- Chen, S. P., Bai, Y. F., Zhang, L. X., and Han, X. G. (2005). Comparing physiological responses of two dominant grass species to nitrogen addition in Xilin River Basin of China. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 53, 65–75. doi: 10.1016/j. envexpbot.2004.03.002
- Chen, Y. P., Rekha, P. D., Arun, A. B., Shen, F. T., Lai, W. A., and Young, C. C. (2006). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria from subtropical soil and their tricalcium phosphate solubilizing abilities. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 34, 33–41. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2005.12.002
- Cohen, A. C., Bottini, R., Pontin, M., Berli, F. J., Moreno, D., Boccanlandro, H., et al. (2015). Azospirillum brasilense ameliorates the response of Arabidopsis thaliana to drought mainly *via* enhancement of ABA levels. *Physiol. Plant.* 153, 79–90. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12221
- Day, J. M., and Döbereiner, J. (1976). Physiological aspects of N2-fixation by a Spirillum from Digitaria roots. Soil Biol. Biochem. 8, 45–50. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(76)90020-1
- Del Campillo, M. C., Van der Zee, S., and Torrent, J. (1999). Modelling longterm phosphorus leaching and changes in phosphorus fertility in excessively fertilized acid sandy soils. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 50, 391–399. doi: 10.1046/j.1365–2389.1999.00244.x
- During, B. (1984). Diurnal changes in water relations and abscisic acid in field-grown Vitis vinifera cultivars: II. Abscisic acid changes under semi-arid conditions. New Phytol. 97, 37–47. doi: 10.2307/2434193
- Dworkin, M., and Foster, J. (1958). Experiments with some microorganisms which utilize ethane and hydrogen. *J. Bacteriol.* 75, 592–601. doi: 10.1128/ jb.75.5.592-603.1958
- Enebe, M. C., and Babalola, O. O. (2018). The influence of plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria in plant tolerance to abiotic stress: a survival strategy. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 102, 7821–7835. doi: 10.1007/s00253-018-9214-z
- Evans, R. G., and Sadler, E. J. (2008). Methods and technologies to improve efficiency of water use. *Water Resour. Res.* 44, 767–768. doi: 10.1029/2007WR006200
- Ezawa, T., Smith, S. E., and Smith, F. A. (2002). P metabolism and transport in AM fungi. *Plant Soil* 244, 221–230. doi: 10.1023/A:1020258325010
- Gontia-Mishra, I., Sapre, S., Kachare, S., and Tiwari, S. (2017). Molecular diversity of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase producing PGPR from wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) rhizosphere. *Plant Soil* 414, 213–227. doi: 10.1007/s11104-016-3119-3
- Guo, J. F., Yang, Y. S., Chen, G. S., and Lin, P. (2005). Dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen in precipitation, throughfall and stemflow from *Schima* superba and *Cunninghamia lanceolata* plantations in subtropical China. J. Forestry Res. 16, 19–22. doi: 10.1007/BF02856847
- Hassan, W., Bano, R., Bashir, F., and David, J. (2014). Comparative effectiveness of ACC-deaminase and/or nitrogen-fixing rhizobacteria in promotion of maize (*Zea mays L.*) growth under lead pollution. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 21, 10983–10996. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3083-5

- Heath, R. L., and Packer, L. (1968). Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts.
 I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. Arch. Biochem. Biophysic. 125, 189–198. doi: 10.1016/0003-9861(68)90654-1
- Himmelbauer, M. L., Loiskandl, W., and Kastanek, F. (2004). Estimating length, average diameter and surface area of roots using two different image analyses systems. *Plant Soil* 260, 111–120. doi: 10.1023/B:PLSO.0000030171.28821.55
- Hoffmann, A. A., and Hercus, M. J. (2000). Environmental stress as an evolutionary force. *Bioscience* 50, 217–226. doi: 10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[0217:ESAAEF] 2.3.CO;2
- Islam, F., Yasmeen, T., Ali, Q., Ali, S., Arif, M. S., Hussain, S., et al. (2014). Influence of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa as PGPR on oxidative stress tolerance in wheat under Zn stress. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 104, 285–293. doi: 10.1016/j. ecoenv.2014.03.008
- Janczarek, M., and Rachwał, K. (2013). Mutation in the pssA gene involved in exopolysaccharide synthesis leads to several physiological and symbiotic defects in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *Trifolii. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 23711–23735. doi: 10.3390/ijms141223711
- Janczarek, M., Rachwał, K., Cieśla, J., Ginalska, G., and Bieganowski, A. (2015). Production of exopolysaccharide by *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *Trifolii* and its role in bacterial attachment and surface properties. *Plant Soil* 388, 211–227. doi: 10.1007/s11104-014-2320-5
- Jesus, J. M., Danko, A. S., Fiúza, A., and Borges, M. T. (2015). Phytoremediation of salt-affected soils: a review of processes, applicability, and the impact of climate change. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 6511–6525. doi: 10.1007/s11356-015-4205-4
- Jochum, M. D., McWilliams, K. L., Borrego, E. J., Kolomiets, M. V., Niu, G. H., Pierson, E. A., et al. (2019). Bioprospecting plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria that mitigate drought stress in grasses. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2106. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02106
- Kalozoumis, P., Savvas, D., Aliferis, K., Ntatsi, G., Marakis, G., Simou, E., et al. (2021). Impact of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria inoculation and grafting on tolerance of tomato to combined water and nutrient stress assessed via metabolomics analysis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12:670236. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2021.670236
- Kapgate, H. G., Potkile, N. N., Zode, N. G., and Dhopte, A. M. (1989). Persistence of physiological responses of upland cotton to growth regulators. *Ann. Plant Physiol.* 3, 188–195.
- Kaushal, M., and Wani, S. P. (2016). Rhizobacterial-plant interactions: strategies ensuring plant growth promotion under drought and salinity stress. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 231, 68–78. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2016.06.031
- Khan, N., Bano, A., and Babar, M. D. A. (2017). The root growth of wheat plants, the water conservation and fertility status of sandy soils influenced by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Symbiosis* 72, 195–205. doi: 10.1007/ s13199-016-0457-0
- Li, J., Guan, Y. L., Yuan, L. Y., Hou, J. F., Wang, C. G., Liu, F. F., et al. (2019). Effects of exogenous IAA in regulating photosynthetic capacity, carbohydrate metabolism and yield of *Zizania latifolia*. *Sci. Hortic.* 253, 276–285. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2019.04.058
- Li, H. S., Lei, P., Pang, X., Li, S., Xu, H., Xu, Z. Q., et al. (2017). Enhanced tolerance to salt stress in canola (*Brassica napus* L.) seedlings inoculated with the halotolerant Enterobacter cloacae HSNJ4. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 119, 26–34. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.05.033
- Liu, F. C., Ma, H. L., Peng, L., Du, Z. Y., Ma, B. Y., and Liu, X. H. (2019). Effect of the inoculation of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on the photosynthetic characteristics of *Sambucus williamsii* Hance container seedlings under drought stress. *AMB Express* 9:169. doi: 10.1186/s13568-019-0899-x
- Liu, F. C., Xing, S. J., Ma, H. L., Du, Z. Y., and Ma, B. Y. (2013). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria affect the growth and nutrient uptake of *Fraxinus americana* container seedlings. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 97, 4617–4625. doi: 10.1007/s00253-012-4255-1
- Longstreth, D. J., and Nobel, P. S. (1980). Nutrient influences on leaf photosynthesis. Effects of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium for *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Plant Physiol.* 65, 541–543. doi: 10.1104/pp.65.3.541
- Luo, L. J., Xia, H., and Lu, B. R. (2019). Editorial: crop breeding for drought resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:314. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00314
- Mattos, D., Graetz, D. A., and Alva, A. K. (2003). Biomass distribution and nitrogen-15 partitioning in citrus trees on a sandy entisol. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 67, 555–563. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2003.5550
- Mazzei, P., Celano, G., Palese, A. M., Lardo, E., Drosos, M., and Piccolo, A. (2019). HRMAS-NMR metabolomics of Aglianicone grapes pulp to evaluate

terroir and vintage effects, and, as assessed by the electromagnetic induction (EMI) technique, spatial variability of vineyard soils. *Food Chem.* 283, 215–223. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.01.012

- Meher, , Shivakrishna, P., Reddy, K. A., and Rao, D. M. (2018). Effect of PEG-6000 imposed drought stress on RNA content, relative water content (RWC), and chlorophyll content in peanut leaves and roots. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 25, 285–289. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2017.04.008
- Michel, B. E., and Kaufmann, M. R. (1973). The osmotic potential of polyethylene glycol 6000. *Plant Physiol.* 51, 914–916. doi: 10.1104/pp.51.5.914
- Mir, A. R., Siddiqui, H., Alam, P., and Hayat, S. (2020). Foliar spray of auxin/ IAA modulates photosynthesis, elemental composition, ROS localization and antioxidant machinery to promote growth of *Brassica juncea*. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 26, 2503–2520. doi: 10.1007/s12298-020-00914-y
- Naveed, M., Qureshi, M. A., Zahir, Z. A., Hussain, M. B., Sessitsch, A., and Mitter, B. (2015). L-tryptophan-dependent biosynthesis of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) improves plant growth and colonization of maize by *Burkholderia phytofirmans* PsJN. *Ann. Microbiol.* 65, 1381–1389. doi: 10.1007/ s13213-014-0976-y
- Niu, X. G., Song, L. C., Xiao, Y. N., and Ge, W. D. (2018). Drought-tolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria associated with Foxtail Millet in a semi-arid agroecosystem and their potential in alleviating drought stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2580. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02580
- Novello, G., Gamalero, E., Bona, E., Boatti, L., Mignone, F., Massa, N., et al. (2017). The rhizosphere bacterial microbiota of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Pinot Noir in an integrated pest management vineyard. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:1528. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01528
- Oddiraju, V. G., Beyl, C. A., and Barker, P. A. (1996). Root growth of seedlings and microcuttings of western black cherry grown in compacted soil. *HortScience* 31, 453–457. doi: 10.21273/HORTSCI.31.3.453
- Ortiz, N., Armada, E., Duque, E., Roldan, A., and Azcon, R. (2015). Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and/or bacteria to enhancing plant drought tolerance under natural soil conditions: effectiveness of autochthonous or allochthonous strains. *J. Plant Physiol.* 174, 87–96. doi: 10.1016/j. jplph.2014.08.019
- Page, A., Miller, R., and Keeney, D. (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Am. Soc. Agro. Soil Sci. Soc. Am 2, 643–698.
- Pal, A. K., Mandal, S., and Sengupta, C. (2019). Exploitation of IAA Producing PGPR on mustard (*Brassica nigra* L.) seedling growth under cadmium stress condition in comparison with exogenous IAA application. *Plant Sci. Today* 6, 22–30. doi: 10.14719/pst.2019.6.1.440
- Park, K. H., Park, G. T., Kim, S. M., Lee, C. Y., and Son, H. J. (2008). Conditions for soluble phosphate production by environment-friendly biofertilizer resources, *Pseudomonas fluorescens. J. Environ. Sci. Int.* 17, 1033–1037. doi: 10.5322/JES.2008.17.9.1033
- Peng, Y., Li, T. L., Jiang, H. M., Gu, Y. F., Chen, Q., Yang, C. R., et al. (2020). Postharvest biochemical characteristics and ultrastructure of *Coprinus comatus*. *Peer J.* 8:e8508. doi: 10.7717/peerj.8508
- Penrose, D. M., and Glick, B. R. (2003). Methods for isolating and characterizing ACC deaminase-containing plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Physiol. Plant.* 118, 10–15. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-3054.2003.00086.x
- Pii, Y., Mimmo, T., Tomasi, N., Terzano, R., Cesco, S., and Crecchio, C. (2015). Microbial interactions in the rhizosphere: beneficial influences of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on nutrient acquisition process. A review. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 51, 403–415. doi: 10.1007/s00374-015-0996-1
- Porcel, R., Zamarreño, Á. M., García-Mina, J. M., and Aroca, R. (2014). Involvement of plant endogenous ABA in *Bacillus megaterium* PGPR activity in tomato plants. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14:36. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-36
- Ryle, G. J. A., Arnott, R. A., and Powell, C. E. (1981). Distribution of dry weight between root and shoot in white clover dependent on N_2 fixation or utilizing abundant nitrate nitrogen. *Plant Soil* 60, 29–39. doi: 10.1007/BF02377110
- Şahin, F., Çakmakçi, R., and Kantar, F. (2004). Sugar beet and barley yields in relation to inoculation with N₂-fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Plant Soil* 265, 123–129. doi: 10.1007/s11104-005-0334-8
- Saiya-Cork, K. R., Sinsabaugh, R. L., and Zak, D. R. (2002). The effects of long term nitrogen deposition on extracellular enzyme activity in an Acer saccharum forest soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34, 1309–1315. doi: 10.1016/ S0038-0717(02)00074-3

- Sandhya, V. Z. A. S. K., Grover, M., Reddy, G., and Venkateswarlu, B. (2009). Alleviation of drought stress effects in sunflower seedlings by the exopolysaccharides producing *Pseudomonas* putida strain GAP-P45. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 46, 17–26. doi: 10.1007/s00374-009-0401-z
- Sarkar, P. K., Haque, M. S., and Karim, M. A. (2002). Growth analysis of soybean as influenced by GA₃ and IAA and their frequency of application. *J. Agron.* 3, 123–126. doi: 10.3923/ja.2002.123.126
- Sharp, R. E., Hsiao, T. C., and Silk, W. K. (1990). Growth of the maize primary root at low water potentials: II. Role of growth and deposition of hexose and potassium in osmotic adjustment. *Plant Physiol.* 93, 1337–1346. doi: 10.1104/pp.93.4.1337
- Shi, H., Chen, L., Ye, T., Liu, X., Ding, K., and Chan, Z. (2014). Modulation of auxin content in Arabidopsis confers improved drought stress resistance. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 82, 209–217. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.06.008
- Singh, R. P., Shelke, G. M., Kumar, A., and Jha, P. N. (2015). Biochemistry and genetics of ACC deaminase: a weapon to "stress ethylene" produced in plants. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:937. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00937
- Song, Y., Miao, Y., and Song, C. (2014). Behind the scenes: the roles of reactive oxygen species in guard cells. *New Phytol.* 201, 1121–1140. doi: 10.1111/ nph.12565
- Spaepen, S., Dobbelaere, S., Croonenborghs, A., and Vanderleyden, J. (2008). Effects of Azospirillum brasilense indole-3-acetic acid production on inoculated wheat plants. *Plant Soil* 312, 15–23. doi: 10.1007/s11104-008-9560-1
- Timmusk, S., Abd El-Daim, I. A., Copolovicim, L., Tanilas, T., Kannaste, A., Behers, L., et al. (2014). Drought-tolerance of wheat improved by rhizosphere bacteria from harsh environments: enhanced biomass production and reduced emissions of stress volatiles. *PLoS One* 9:e96086. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096086
- Tiwari, G., Duraivadivel, P., Sharma, S., and Hariprasad, P. (2018). 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid deaminase producing beneficial rhizobacteria ameliorate the biomass characters of *Panicum maximum* Jacq. By mitigating drought and salt stress. *Sci. Rep.* 8:17513. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-018-35565-3
- Vacheron, J., Desbrosses, G., Bouffaud, M. L., Touraine, B., Moënne-Loccoz, Y., Muller, D., et al. (2013). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4:356. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00356
- Vassilev, N., and Vassileva, M. (2003). Biotechnological solubilization of rock phosphate on media containing agro-industrial wastes. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 61, 435–440. doi: 10.1007/s00253-003-1318-3
- Vurukonda, S. S. K. P., Vardharajula, S., Shrivastava, M., and SkZ, A. (2016). Enhancement of drought stress tolerance in crops by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Microbiol. Res.* 184, 13–24. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2015.12.003
- Wang, J. J., Li, R. V., Zhang, H., Wei, G. H., and Li, Z. F. (2020). Beneficial bacteria activate nutrients and promote wheat growth under conditions of reduced fertilizer application. *BMC Microbiol.* 20:38. doi: 10.1186/ s12866-020-1708-z
- Watanabe, F. S., and Olsen, S. R. (1965). Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorous in water and NaHCO₃ extracts from soil. *Soil*

Sci. Soc. Am. J. 29, 677–678. doi: 10.2136/sssaj1965.0361599500290006002 5x

- Yang, L. M., Fountain, J. C., Wang, H., Ni, X. Z., Ji, P. S., Lee, R. D., et al. (2015). Stress sensitivity is associated with differential accumulation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in maize genotypes with contrasting levels of drought tolerance. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 16, 24791–24819. doi: 10.3390/ijms161024791
- Yaseen, R., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., and Hussain, M. (2019). Integrated application of ACC-deaminase containing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and biogas slurry improves the growth and productivity of wheat under drought stress. *Int. J. Agric. Bio.* 21, 869–878. doi: 10.17957/IJAB/15.0969
- You, J. H., Gao, L., Wang, H. O., Lu, Q. Q., Zhou, L., and Li, S. (2020). Effects of drought stress on physiological indexes of nine grape rootstock varieties. *Non-wood Forest Res.* 38, 180–189. doi: 10.14067/j.cnki.1003-8981.2020.03.021
- Zerrouk, I. Z., Rahmoune, B., Khelifi, L., Mounir, K., Baluska, F., and Ludwig-Muller, J. (2019). Algerian Sahara PGPR confers maize root tolerance to salt and aluminum toxicity via ACC deaminase and IAA. Acta Physiol. Plant. 41:91. doi: 10.1007/s11738-019-2881-2
- Zhang, C., Liu, G., Xue, S., and Wang, G. (2016). Soil bacterial community dynamics reflect changes in plant community and soil properties during the secondary succession of abandoned farmland in the Loess Plateau. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 97, 40–49. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.02.013
- Zhang, M., Yang, L., Hao, R., Bai, X., and Yu, X. (2020). Drought-tolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria isolated from jujube (*Ziziphus jujuba*) and their potential to enhance drought tolerance. *Plant Soil* 452, 423–440. doi: 10.1007/s11104-020-04582-5
- Zhou, W., and Leul, M. (1998). Uniconazole-induced alleviation of freezing injury in relation to changes in hormonal balance, enzyme activities and lipid peroxidation in winter rape. *Plant Growth Regul.* 26, 41–47. doi: 10.1023/A:1006004921265

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Duan, Li, Chen, Su-Zhou, Li, Merkeryan, Liu and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.